

# BEST APPROXIMATION IN SPACES OF COMPACT OPERATORS

T. S. S. R. K. RAO

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  be the space of compact operators. For a proximal subspace  $Z \subset Y$ , this paper deals with the question, when does every  $Y$ -valued compact operator admits a  $Z$ -valued compact best approximation? For any reflexive Banach space  $X$  and for a  $L^1$ -predual space  $Y$ , if  $Z \subset Y$  is a strongly proximal subspace of finite codimension, we show that  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  under an additional condition on the position of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$ . When  $Y$  is a  $c_0$ -direct sum of finite dimensional spaces we achieve a strong transitivity result by showing that for any proximal subspace of finite codimension  $Z \subset Y$ , every  $Y$ -valued bounded operator admits a best  $Z$ -valued compact approximation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $Y$  be a real Banach space. We recall that a closed subspace  $Z \subset Y$ , is said to be proximal, if for any  $y \in Y$ , there is a  $z_0 \in Z$  such that  $d(y, Z) = \|y - z_0\|$ . For a proximal subspace  $Z \subset Y$ , an interesting question in operator theory is to decide if  $Z$ -valued operators form a proximal subspace of the space of  $Y$ -valued operators, for a fixed domain space  $X$ . In a dual space, if  $Z \subset Y^*$  is a weak\*-closed subspace, then using the weak\*-operator topology, one can see that the space of operators  $\mathcal{L}(X, Z) \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  is a closed (weak\*-operator topology) and hence proximal subspace. In spaces of compact operators, not many instances are known where best approximation can be achieved for all domain spaces.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41 A 50, 47 L 05, 46 B28, 46B25 .

*Key words and phrases.* Compact operators, strongly proximal subspaces,  $L^1$ -predual spaces, injective and projective tensor products .

For a compact Hausdorff space  $K$ , let  $C(K, X)$  denote the space of continuous  $X$ -valued functions, equipped with the supremum norm. Using the canonical identification of  $C(K, X^*)$  with  $\mathcal{K}(X, C(K))$ , it is known that if  $H \subset X^*$  is a finite dimensional Chebyshev space (best approximations are unique), then  $\mathcal{K}(H^*, C(K))$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, C(K))$  ([8] Corollary 2.2).

On the other hand for any finite dimensional subspace  $H \subset L^1([0, 1])$ , keeping the canonical embedding of  $L^1([0, 1]) \subset L^\infty([0, 1])^*$  in mind, considering the inclusions,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(H^*, C([0, 1])) &= C([0, 1], H) \subset C([0, 1], L^1([0, 1])) \\ &\subset \mathcal{K}(L^\infty([0, 1]), C([0, 1])). \end{aligned}$$

we have that  $\mathcal{K}(H^*, C([0, 1]))$  is not a proximal subspace of

$$\mathcal{K}(L^\infty([0, 1]), C([0, 1])),$$

as  $C([0, 1], H)$  is not a proximal subspace of  $C([0, 1], L^1([0, 1]))$ . See [8] Theorem 2.6.

Not many results where proximality is preserved among spaces of operators are known when one considers infinite dimensional subspaces. Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space. Let  $Y$  be a Banach space such that  $Y^*$  is isometric to a  $L^1(\mu)$  for a positive measure  $\mu$ . Such spaces are called Lindenstrauss spaces or  $L^1$ -predual spaces. These were extensively studied by J. Lindenstrauss (see [7], Chapter 7).

For any compact space  $K$ ,  $C(K)$  is a  $L^1$ -predual space. Also  $X$  is a  $L^1$ -predual space if and only if  $X^{**}$  is a  $C(K)$ -space. Motivated by the above classical example, we consider the question, for a  $L^1$ -predual space  $Y$  and for a finite codimensional proximal subspace  $Z \subset Y$ , when is  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z) \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  a proximal subspace for all reflexive spaces  $X$ ? We partially answer the question based on a stronger notion of proximality (see Section 2) and the structure of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$ .

We consider a discrete variation of  $L^1$ -predual spaces by considering Banach spaces  $Y$  for which  $Y^* = \bigoplus_1 Y_i$ , for an infinite family  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  of finite dimensional spaces. Here we achieve a stronger result by showing that for any reflexive Banach space  $X$  with the approximation property and for any finite codimensional strongly proximal subspace  $Z \subset Y$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

The author thanks the communicating Editors and the referees, for their efficient handling of this article during the pandemic (submitted during the first wave and a corrected version submitted during the harsh second wave in India).

## 2. STRONGLY PROXIMAL SUBSPACES

In order to achieve best compact approximation, we need a stronger notion of proximality. We recall an equivalent version of strong proximality from [4]. For a proximal subspace  $Y \subset X$ , for  $x \in X$ , let  $P(x) = \{y \in Y : d(x, Y) = \|x - y\|\}$  denote the set of best approximants.

**Definition 1.** *A proximal subspace  $Y \subset X$  is said to be strongly proximal, if for any  $x \in X$ , for any minimising sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset Y$ , i.e,  $d(x, Y) = \lim_n \|x - y_n\|$ , there is a subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  and a sequence  $\{z_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subset P(x)$  such that  $\|y_{n_k} - z_k\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Remark 2.** *It is easy to see that any finite dimensional subspace is strongly proximal. It was shown in [9] that for a strongly proximal subspace  $Y \subset X$  and for any finite dimensional space  $F \subset X$ ,  $F + Y$  is a strongly proximal subspace.*

In order to give a necessary condition for a closed subspace of finite codimension to be strongly proximal, we recall the notion of strong subdifferentiability from ([3]).

**Definition 3.** *A non-zero vector,  $x \in X$  is said to be a point of strong subdifferentiability (in short, SSD point) if the one sided limit*

$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x+th\| - \|x\|}{t}$  exists uniformly for  $h \in B_X$ , where  $B_X$  denotes the closed unit ball of the Banach space  $X$ .

If  $x^* \in X^*$  is a *SSD* point, it was shown in [3], (Theorem 3.3) that  $x^*$  attains its norm. Since any extreme point of the unit ball of  $L^1(\mu)$  is given by  $\pm \frac{\chi_A}{\mu(A)}$  for a  $\mu$ -atom  $A$ , it is easy to see that it is a *SSD* point.

We recall an interesting relation between these concepts, a geometric statement from [4] (Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 2.5) that in a dual space  $X^*$ , a non-zero vector  $x^* \in X^*$  is a *SSD* point of  $X^*$  if and only if  $\ker(x^*)$  is a strongly proximal subspace of  $X$ .

**Remark 4.** *We note that for any strongly proximal subspace of finite codimension  $Y \subset X$ , if  $Z$  is a closed subspace such that  $Y \subset Z \subset X$ , then since  $Z = F + Y$  for some finite dimensional space  $F \subset X$ , by Remark 2,  $Z$  is also a strongly proximal subspace of  $X$ . In particular for such a  $Y$ ,  $Y^\perp$  consists of *SSD* points of  $X^*$ .*

We next state a result from ([6]) that describes *SSD* points of a dual  $L^1(\mu)$  space. For a  $L^1$ -predual space  $X$ , it is easy to see that if  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are linearly independent extreme points of the dual unit ball, as each  $f_i$  corresponds to a normalized characteristic function of a measure atom of the underlying measure space, the span of  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  is isometric to the discrete space  $\ell^1(n)$  and  $X^* = L^1(\mu) = \ell^1(n) \oplus_1 L^1(\nu)$ , where  $\nu$  lives on the complement of the union of the supports of the  $f_i$ 's.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $X$  be a  $L^1$  predual space. Any *SSD* point of  $X^*$  is contained in  $F = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  for some finitely many independent vectors  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  which are extreme points of the unit ball of  $X^*$ . Consequently  $F$  is isometric to  $\ell^1(k)$  and  $X^* = F \oplus_1 N$  ( $\ell^1$ -sum) for some closed subspace  $N \subset X^*$ .*

Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space with the metric approximation property. Let  $I$  be an infinite index set. Let  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of finite dimensional Banach spaces. Let  $Y$  be a Banach space such that  $Y^* = \bigoplus_1 Y_i$ . Let  $Z \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  be a closed subspace such that  $Z^\perp$

consists of *SSD* points of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)^*$ . We show that  $Z$  is a proximal, factor reflexive subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . We could achieve proximality in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  when  $Y = \bigoplus_{c_0} Y_i^*$ . Let  $Z \subset Y$  be a proximal subspace of finite codimension.  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In order to study the compact approximation problem, we use the identification of space of compact operators as injective tensor product spaces. The monographs by Ryan ([11]), Diestel and Uhl ([2], Chapter VIII) are standard references.

We will be using two classical results from the theory of best approximations from closed subspaces. One is a classical result of Garkavi ([12] Chapter 1, Theorem 2.1) that says, if  $Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset Y$  are closed subspaces such that  $Y/Z_1$  is reflexive and  $Z_1$  is a proximal subspace of  $Y$ , then  $Z_2$  is also a proximal subspace of  $Y$ .

The second result we need due to Alfsen and Effros (see Proposition II.1.1 [5]). Let  $Z \subset Y$  be a closed subspace such that there is a linear projection  $P : Y^* \rightarrow Y^*$  such that  $\ker(P) = Z^\perp$  and  $\|y^*\| = \|P(y^*)\| + \|y^* - P(y^*)\|$  for all  $y^* \in Y^*$  (such a subspace  $Z$  is called a *M-ideal* in  $Y$ ), then  $Z$  is a proximal subspace of  $Y$ . Over the years there have been several simpler proofs of this result, using ideas substantially different from those in [5], Chapter 1. See the survey article [1] and [10] where we also gave a simple proof of the result, *M-ideals* are proximal and strongly proximal subspaces.

In what follows we assume that  $Y^* = L^1(\mu)$  for a positive measure  $\mu$ . When  $\mu$  is non-atomic we need an additional condition on the position of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$ . Let  $Z \subset Y$  be a strongly proximal subspace of finite codimension. From Lemma 5, we assume that  $k$  is the smallest integer such that  $Z^\perp \subset \ell^1(k)$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space and let  $Y$  be a  $L^1$ -predual space. Let  $Z \subset Y$  be a finite codimensional strongly proximal*

subspace. Suppose  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)^\perp \subset X^* \otimes_\pi^\vee \ell^1(k)$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  is a subspace of finite codimension of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . Since  $X$  is reflexive and as  $Y^* = L^1(\mu)$  has the metric approximation property, we have  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)^* = X^* \otimes_\pi^\vee Y^*$ .

Since  $Z$  is a finite codimensional strongly proximal subspace of  $Y$ , from Remark 4 and the comments preceding it, we get that  $Z^\perp$  consists of *SSD* points of  $Y^*$ . Therefore by Lemma 5, let  $k$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $Z^\perp \subset \ell^1(k)$  and  $Y^* = \ell^1(k) \oplus_1 N$ , for some closed subspace of  $N \subset Y^*$ .

Since  $\ell^1$ -sum is distributive for projective tensor products, we have  $X^* \otimes_\pi^\vee Y^* = X^* \otimes_\pi^\vee \ell^1(k) \oplus_1 X^* \otimes_\pi^\vee N$ . Since  $X$  is reflexive,  $X^* \otimes_\pi^\vee \ell^1(k)$  is reflexive and hence a weak\*-closed subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)^*$ . Let  $M = \{T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y) : \tau(T) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in X^* \otimes_\pi^\vee \ell^1(k)\}$ .

As  $M^\perp = X^* \otimes_\pi^\vee \ell^1(k)$ ,  $M$  is a  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  and hence proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . We next show that  $M \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Z) \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .

We will show that  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)^\perp \subset M^\perp$ . Now by hypothesis  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)^\perp \subset M^\perp$  and therefore  $M \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Z) \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .

Since  $M$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)/M$  is a reflexive space, by Garkavi's theorem ([12] Chapter 1, Theorem 2.1) we get that  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .  $\square$

We recall that for a closed subspace  $Z \subset Y$  of finite codimension  $n$ , there exists independent unit vectors  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in Z^\perp$  such that  $Z = \bigcap_1^n \text{Ker}(f_i)$ . In what follows we apply the preceding arguments to both  $Y$  and  $Y^{**}$ . Since  $X$  is a reflexive space, for  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ ,  $T^{**} = T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . For a  $L^1$ -predual space  $Y$ , we also note that since all the duals of even higher order are spaces of continuous functions, the assumptions of the following theorem thus apply for all duals of higher even order of  $Y$  (we always consider the canonical embeddings).

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $X, Y$  satisfy all the assumption made in the statement of Theorem 6. Let  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y^{**})$ .  $d(T, \mathcal{K}(X, Z^{\perp\perp})) = \|T - S\|$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{K}(X, Z^{\perp\perp})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is a  $L^1$ -predual space,  $Y^{**}$  is isometric to  $C(K)$  for some compact Hausdorff space  $K$  (see [7], Chapter 7). We note that  $Z^{\perp\perp} = \bigcap_1^n \ker(f_i)$ . We have  $f_i \in Y^*$  and are  $SSD$  points. Also since  $Y^* = L^1(\mu)$ , by Kakutani's Theorem (see [7], Chapter 1),  $Y^{***} = C(K)^* = Y^* \bigoplus_1 N$  for some closed subspace  $N \subset C(K)^*$ . It is easy to see that  $f_i$ 's continue to be points of  $SSD$  in  $C(K)^*$ . Thus we again have  $Z^{\perp\perp\perp} = Z^\perp \subset \ell^1(k)$  and  $C(K)^* = \ell^1(k) \bigoplus_1 N'$  for some closed subspace  $N' \subset C(K)^*$ . Also as  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z) \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Z^{\perp\perp}) \subset \mathcal{K}(X, C(K))$ , we get that  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z^{\perp\perp})^\perp \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Z)^\perp \subset X^* \otimes_\pi^\vee \ell^1(k)$ , as in the hypothesis of Theorem 6. Now proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 6, we conclude that  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z^{\perp\perp})$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, C(K))$ .  $\square$

#### 4. PROXIMAL SUBSPACES OF FINITE CODIMENSION IN $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$

Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space having the metric approximation property. In this section we describe a class of Banach spaces  $Y$  for which proximal subspaces in spaces of operators can be determined using  $SSD$  points.

Let  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an infinite family of finite dimensional Banach spaces. Let  $Y$  be a Banach space such that  $Y^* = \bigoplus_1 Y_i$ . For notational simplicity, we omit writing the fixed infinite index set  $I$ . This in particular covers the case where  $Y$  is a  $L^1$ -predual space where  $\mu$  is a discrete measure.

We recall that a closed subspace  $Z \subset Y$  is said to be a factor reflexive space, if  $Y/Z$  is a reflexive space.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $Z \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  be a closed subspace such that  $Z^\perp$  consists of  $SSD$  points. Then  $Z$  is a factor reflexive, proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* By our assumptions, we have  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)^* = X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y^*$ . And  $X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y^* = \bigoplus_1 (X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y_i)$ . Further for every  $i \in I$ , since  $Y_i$  is finite dimensional,  $X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y_i$  is a reflexive space.

Let  $f \in Z^{\perp}$  be a *SSD* point. We may and do assume that  $f$  has only countably many non-zero coordinates. We note that the definition of a *SSD* point does not involve the predual of the space .

Consider the  $c_0$ -direct sum,  $V = \bigoplus_{c_0} (X^* \otimes_{\epsilon}^{\vee} Y_i^*)$ . We have  $V^* = \bigoplus_1 X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y_i = Y^*$ .

Since  $f$  is a *SSD* point, it attains its norm on  $V$ . Since  $V$  is an infinite  $c_0$ -sum, it is easy to see that  $f$  has at most finitely many non-zero coordinates.

Since  $Z^{\perp}$  is a Banach space, by the Baire category theorem, it is easy to see that for a finite set  $A \subset I$ ,  $f(i) = 0$  for all  $i \notin A$  and  $f \in Z^{\perp}$ .

Thus we have the decomposition  $X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y^* = \bigoplus_1 \{X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y_i : i \in A\} \bigoplus_i \{X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y_i : i \notin A\}$ . And  $Z^{\perp} \subset \bigoplus_1 \{X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y_i : i \in A\}$ . Since  $Y_i$ 's are finite dimensional and  $A$  is a finite set, this latter space is a reflexive space and hence weak\*-closed space. Therefore  $Z$  is a factor reflexive space.

As before let  $M = \{T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y) : \tau(T) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in \bigoplus_1 \{X \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} Y_i : i \in A\}\}$ . Now  $M$  is a  $M$ -ideal and hence proximal subspace. Also  $M \subset Z$ . Therefore by an application of Garkavi's theorem again, we see that  $Z$  is proximal in  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an infinite family of finite dimensional space. Let  $Y$  be a Banach space such that  $Y^* = \bigoplus_1 Y_i$ . If  $Z \subset Y$  is a closed subspace such that  $Z^{\perp}$  consists of *SSD* points, then  $Z$  is a finite codimensional strongly proximal subspace of  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Proceeding as in the proof of the above theorem, we see that  $Z$  is a finite codimensional space. Since  $M$ -ideals are strongly proximal,



by using Remark 4, we see that  $Z$  is a strongly proximal subspace of  $Y$ .  $\square$

In the case  $Y = \bigoplus_{c_0} Y_i^*$  for the family  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  of the finite dimensional spaces considered above, we have proximality result for  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ , when  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space with the approximation property.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be as above. Let  $Z \subset Y$  be a proximal subspace of finite codimension.  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* To keep the arguments simple, we assume that  $I$  is the set of positive integers. Let  $Z = \bigcap_1^k \ker(\alpha_i)$  for some  $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \subset \bigoplus_1 Y_i$ . Since  $Z$  is a proximal subspace, using Garkavi's theorem, we have that  $\ker(\alpha_i)$  is a proximal subspace, for all  $i$ . Hence  $\alpha_i$ 's attain their norm on  $Y$ .

By arguments indicated before, we may assume that there is a  $n > 0$ ,  $\alpha_i(j) = 0$  for  $j > n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

If  $M = \bigoplus_{c_0} \{Y_i^* : i > n\}$ , then  $Z = F \bigoplus_{\infty} M$ , for some finite dimensional space  $F \subset \bigoplus_{\infty} \{Y_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

It is easy to see, since  $X$  has the approximation property, that  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z) = \mathcal{L}(X, F) \bigoplus_{\infty} \mathcal{K}(X, M)$ . It is also easy to see that  $\mathcal{K}(X, M)$  can be identified with  $\bigoplus_{c_0} \{X^* \otimes_{\epsilon}^{\vee} Y_i^*\}$  where the sum is taken over  $i > n$ . We note that the component spaces,  $X^* \otimes_{\epsilon}^{\vee} Y_i^*$  are reflexive.

We further note that  $\mathcal{L}(X, F)$  is a reflexive space.

Since  $Y = \bigoplus_{\infty} \{Y_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \bigoplus_{\infty} M$ , by similar reasoning, we have,  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y) = \mathcal{L}(X, \bigoplus_{\infty} \{Y_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}) \bigoplus_{\infty} \mathcal{L}(X, M)$ .

We now compare the components in the direct sums in  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . The first component of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$ , being a reflexive space is a proximal subspace of the first component of  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

We note that  $T \rightarrow T^*$  maps  $\mathcal{L}(X, M)$  isometrically into  $\mathcal{L}(M^*, X^*) = (M^* \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} X)^*$ .

Since projective tensor product is distributive over  $\ell^1$ -sums, we have  $M^* \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} X = \bigoplus_1(Y_i \otimes_{\pi}^{\wedge} X)$ . Since  $Y_i$ 's are finite dimensional, we thus have  $\mathcal{L}(M^*, X^*) = \bigoplus_{\infty}(X^* \otimes_{\epsilon}^{\vee} Y_i)$ . Here the sums in both cases are taken over  $i > n$ .

It is well known that  $c_0$ -sum of a family of spaces is always a proximal subspace of  $\ell^{\infty}$ -sum of the same collection (see the proof of Proposition II.1 in [5]). Since  $\mathcal{K}(X, M) \subset \mathcal{L}(X, M) \subset \bigoplus_{\infty}(X^* \otimes_{\epsilon}^{\vee} Y_i)$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{K}(X, M)$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(X, M)$ .

Since the component spaces are  $\ell^{\infty}$ -sums, we get the conclusion  $\mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  is a proximal subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bhattacharyya and T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Survey of last ten years of work done in India in some selected areas of Functional Analysis and Operator theory*, Indian Journal of Pure and applied Mathematics, 50 (2019) 599–617.
- [2] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl Jr., *Vector measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977. With a foreword by B. J. Pettis; Mathematical Surveys, No. 15.
- [3] C. Franchetti and R. Paya, *Banach spaces with strongly subdifferentiable norm*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (1993) 45– 70.
- [4] G. Godefroy and V. Indumathi, *Strong proximality and polyhedral spaces*, Rev. Mat. Complut., 14 (2001) 1139–1148.
- [5] P. Harmand, D. Werner and W. Werner, *M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1547, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [6] C. R. Jayanarayanan and T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Strong subdifferentiability and strong proximality in Banach spaces*, Journal of Convex Analysis, 28 (2021).
- [7] H. E. Lacey, *The isometric theory of classical Banach spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 208
- [8] W.A. Light and E. W. Cheney, *Approximation theory in tensor product spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 1169 (1985). Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag.
- [9] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Points of strong subdifferentiability in dual spaces*, Houston J. Math. , 44 (2018) 1221–1226.
- [10] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Two results on strong proximality*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.(Math. Sci.) 131 (2021) .
- [11] R. A. Ryan, *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2002 .

- [12] I. Singer, *Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften Band 171., Springer, Berlin 1970 .

(T. S. S. R. K. Rao) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ASHOKA UNIVERSITY, RAI,  
INDIA, *E-mail* : *srin@fulbrightmail.org*