

# FRECHÉT DIFFERENTIABILITY AND QUASI-POLYHEDRALITY IN SPACES OF OPERATORS

T. S. S. R. K. RAO

ABSTRACT. Let  $X, Y$  be infinite dimensional, Banach spaces. Let  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  be the space of bounded operators. Motivated by the fact that smoothness of norm in the higher duals of even order of a Banach space can lead to Frechét differentiability, we exhibit classes of Banach spaces  $X, Y$  where very smooth points (i.e., smooth points that remain smooth in the bidual) in the space of compact operators  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  are Frechét smooth in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and hence in  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . These results yield new examples of Frechét smooth operators. We also study quasi-polyhedral points in spaces of vector-valued continuous functions. Our arguments apply when  $X$  is an abstract  $L$ -space and  $Y$  is a function algebra on a compact set.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. We recall from [2] that a non-zero vector  $x \in X$  is said to be a smooth point, if there is a unique functional of norm one,  $x_0^* \in X^*$  such that  $x_0^*(x) = \|x\|$ . It is easy to see that such a functional will be an extreme point of the dual unit ball,  $x_0^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$ . By  $X_1$  we denote the unit ball of  $X$ .

For a Banach non-reflexive space  $X$  by  $X^{**}, X^{(IV)}, \dots$  we denote the bidual, fourth dual etc.,. We always embed a Banach space in its bidual via the canonical embedding. We recall from [14] that a smooth point  $x \in X$  is said to be very smooth, if it is also a smooth point of  $X^{**}$ . It is easy to show that  $C([0, 1])$  does not have any very smooth points (see Example 1. 1.6 (b) from [2]). Thus smoothness can vanish in higher even duals.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47 L 05, 46 B20, 46B25 .

*Key words and phrases.* Frechét differentiability of the norm, very smooth points, Quasi-polyhedral points, spaces of operators, Abstract  $L$  and  $M$ -spaces.

We recall from [2] (page 2) that a smooth point  $x \in X$  is said to be Frechét smooth, if the functional  $x_0^* \in X^*$  satisfies  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+y\| - \|x\| - x_0^*(y)}{\|y\|} = 0$ .

We will be using an equivalent formulation (see Corollary 1.1.5 from [2]), a smooth point  $x$  is Frechét smooth if and only if  $x_0^*$  strongly exposes  $x$  in the sense that if for a sequence  $\{x_n^*\}_{n \geq 1} \subset X_1^*$  such that  $x_n^*(x) \rightarrow \|x\| = x_0^*(x)$  implies  $x_n^* \rightarrow x_0^*$ . Hence or otherwise, it is easy to see that  $x$  continues to be a point of Frechét differentiability in  $X^{**}$  and thus in all higher order even duals of  $X$ . It is also known that for Banach spaces  $Y \subset X$ , if  $y \in Y$  is point of Frechét differentiability in  $X$ , then is also Frechét differentiable in  $Y$  (see Lemma 2.1 of [8]).

The main thrust of our investigation is based on exhibiting very smooth points of certain Banach spaces that turn out to be Frechét differentiable in  $X^{**}$  and hence in  $X$ . See [8] where such ideas have been used in  $JB^*$ -triples. We then use this idea to exhibit very smooth points of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  that are Frechét smooth in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . The limitations of ‘higher-order smoothness techniques’ was illustrated in [5] by exhibiting smooth points of  $X$  that are smooth in  $X^{(IV)}$  but not beyond.

For a unit vector  $x \in X$ , we denote the state space  $S_x = \{x^* \in X_1^* : x^*(x) = 1\}$ . This is a weak\*-compact face of  $X_1^*$  and hence  $\partial_e S_x \subset \partial_e X_1^*$ . Another geometric concept studied in [6] that is also related to differentiability, is that of a  $QP$ -point (quasi-polyhedral), based on the ‘nearness’ of state spaces. We recall that  $x$  is a  $QP$  point, if there exists a  $\delta > 0$ , such that for any unit vector  $z$  with  $\|z - x\| < \delta$ ,  $S_z \subset S_x$ . Note that it is enough to show that  $\partial_e S_z \subset \partial_e S_x$ . See [9] for an analysis of state spaces in spaces of operators.

We recall that if  $X = M \bigoplus_1 N$ , for closed subspaces  $M, N$ , then there are called  $L$ -summands (similarly, when the direct sum is a  $\ell^\infty$ -sum, they are called  $M$ -summands). We first show that if  $X$  is a Banach space such that for every  $x^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$ ,  $\text{span}\{x^*\}$  is a  $L$ -summand in  $X^*$ , then any very smooth point of  $X$  is a Frechét smooth point. Let  $X$

be a abstract  $L$ -space. By Kakutani's theorem (see [7] Chapter 1),  $X$  is isometric to  $L^1(\mu)$ , for a positive measure  $\mu$ . When  $\mu$  has atoms, for any  $f \in \partial_e L^1(\mu)_1$  is of the form  $\pm \frac{\chi_A}{\mu(A)}$  for a  $\mu$ -atom  $A$ , clearly the projection,  $f \rightarrow f\chi_A$  shows that  $\text{span}\{\frac{\chi_A}{\mu(A)}\}$  is a  $L$ -summand. Thus any Banach space  $X$  with  $X^*$  is isometric to  $L^1(\mu)$  (called  $L^1$ -predual spaces) has this property. Any abstract  $M$ -space has this property. See [7] Chapter 7, Sections 18,19 for several examples which need not be lattices, like the space of affine continuous functions,  $A(K)$  on a Choquet simplex  $K$ ). We recall that these spaces are precisely order unit spaces, with the Riesz decomposition property. See [7] Chapter 2.

Our attempts are towards proving commutative versions of the results from [8] (see Lemma 2.2). In particular the proof of Theorem 8 here illustrates a popular 'facial structure' technique.

For the space of vector-valued continuous function on a compact set  $\Omega$ , we show that if every very smooth point of  $X$  is a  $QP$ -point, then any very smooth point of  $C(\Omega, X)$  is a  $QP$ -point.

We show that if  $X$  is a Banach space such that every very smooth point of  $X^*$  is Frechét smooth and  $Y$  is a  $L^1$ -predual space, then any very smooth point of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  is a Frechét smooth point of  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . See [13] for a description of very smooth points of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . See [17], [16],[15] and [9] for recent applications of these ideas.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Our first result gives a procedure for generating Frechét differentiable points. For simplicity, we assume that the spaces are over real scalar field (particularly while dealing with extreme points, we multiply by  $\pm 1$ , rather than by a scalar from the circle), however the arguments hold over either scalar field.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $x_0 \in X$  be a unit vector such that  $X = \text{span}\{x_0\} \oplus_\infty N$  for some closed subspace  $N \subset X$ . If  $d(x, \text{span}\{x_0\}) < \|x\|$ , then the norm is Frechét differentiable at  $x$ .*

*Proof.* To see this note,  $X^* = \text{span}\{x_0\}^\perp \oplus_1 N^\perp$ . Clearly  $N^\perp = \text{span}\{x_0^*\}$ , where  $x_0^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$  is the unique functional with  $x_0^*(x_0) = 1$ .

We next show that  $x_0^*$  strongly exposes  $x_0$ . Suppose  $\{x_n^*\}_{n \geq 1} \subset X_1^*$  such that  $x_n^*(x_0) \rightarrow 1$ . We shall show that  $x_n^* \rightarrow x_0^*$  in the norm. Clearly all weak\*-accumulation points of the sequence  $x_n^*$ , take value 1 at  $x_0$ . Hence by uniqueness and weak\*-compactness,  $x_n^* \rightarrow x_0^*$ . So that  $\|x_n^*\| \rightarrow 1$ .

Suppose  $x_n^* = \alpha_n x_0^* + y_n^*$ , where  $y_n^* \in \text{span}\{x_0\}^\perp$  and  $\|x_n^*\| = |\alpha_n| + \|y_n^*\|$ . Evaluating at  $x_0$ ,  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 1$ . Thus  $\|y_n^*\| \rightarrow 0$ . Now

$$\|x_n^* - x_0^*\| \leq |\alpha_n - 1| + \|y_n^*\|.$$

Hence the norm is Fréchet differentiable at  $x_0$ .

If  $x^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$  is such that  $x^*(x) = \|x\|$ , we see that  $x^* \in \partial_e(N^\perp)_1$ , so that  $x^* = x_0^*$ . The conclusion follows as before.

Now  $X^{**} = \text{span}\{x_0\} \oplus_\infty N^{**}$ . Also  $d(x, \text{span}\{x_0\}) < \|x\|$ , so  $x$  is a Fréchet smooth point in  $X^{**}$  as well as all the higher even duals of  $X$  (this later fact is true in general, but it is immediate in this context).  $\square$

We recall that if  $x_0 \in X$  is a very smooth point, then the unique functional  $x_0^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$  has the property that in  $X_1^{***}$ , it is also the unique norm preserving extension of  $x_0^*$  on  $X$  to  $X^{**}$ . Thus by Lemma III.2.14 from [3] we get that  $x_0^*$  is a point of weak\*-weak continuity for the identity map on  $X_1^*$ . See [10] for an analysis of these points in  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .

As an illustration, we recall that any very smooth point of a  $C(K)$  space is a Fréchet smooth point. It is well known that  $C(K)$  is a  $L^1$ -predual space.

**Example 2.** Let  $K$  be a compact set and suppose  $k_0 \in C(K)$  is an isolated point. We have  $C(K) = \text{span}\{\chi_{k_0}\} \oplus_\infty \{g \in C(K) : \text{supp}(g) \subset$

$(\{k_0\})^c$ . Now for  $f \in C(K)$ ,  $d(f, \text{span}\{\chi_{k_0}\}) < \|f\|$  if and only if  $f$  attains its norm only at  $k_0$ . Hence any such  $f$  is a Fréchet smooth point in  $C(K)$ .

Suppose  $f \in C(K)$  is a very smooth point. Since the norm attaining extreme point, one of,  $\pm\delta(k') \in \partial_e C(K)_1^*$  is also a point of weak\*-weak continuity for the identity map on the set of measures,  $C(K)_1^*$ , we see that  $f$  attains its norm at the isolated point  $k'$  (and only here) of  $K$ . Clearly  $d(f, \text{span}\{\chi_{k'}\}) < \|f\|$ . Thus any very smooth point of  $C(K)$  is a Fréchet smooth point of  $C(K)$ .

The condition assumed in the following Theorem is satisfied apart from  $L^1$ -predual spaces, by any function algebra on a compact set  $\Omega$  (closed subalgebra that contains constants and separates point of  $\Omega$ ) and by the space  $A(K)$ , of affine continuous functions on  $K$ , equipped with the supremum norm, where  $K$  is a compact convex set such that every point of  $\partial_e K$  is a split face of  $K$ . See [3], pages 5 and 233 for the details. Also note that in this situation, for  $x_1^*, x_2^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$ , if  $x_1^* \neq \pm x_2^*$ ,  $\|x_1^* - x_2^*\| = \|x_1^*\| + \|x_2^*\| = 2$ . Thus  $\partial_e X_1^*$  is a norm-discrete set.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be such that for all  $x^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$ ,  $X^* = \text{span}\{x^*\} \oplus_1 N$  for a closed subspace  $N$ . Let  $x \in X$  be a very smooth point. Then  $x$  is a Fréchet smooth point.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$  be the unique functional such that  $x_0^*(x) = \|x\|$ . We have  $X^* = \text{span}\{x_0^*\} \oplus_1 N$ , for some closed subspace  $N \subset X^*$ . Since  $X^{**} = \text{span}\{x_0^*\}^\perp (= \ker(x_0^*)) \oplus_\infty \text{span}\{x\}$ . Thus  $x$  is a Fréchet smooth point of  $X^{**}$  and hence of  $X$ .  $\square$

We next consider  $QP$ -points. If a unit vector  $x$  is a smooth point with the associated extreme point  $x^*$ , then if  $x$  is a  $QP$ -point, the conclusion from the definition, is  $z$  is smooth,  $x^*(z) = 1$ . The relation to differentiability of this notion, was shown in [6] when they prove that the norm is strongly subdifferentiable at a  $QP$ -point  $x$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x+ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$  exists uniformly over  $y$  in the unit ball of  $X$ . Converse implication need

not hold. Thus one is looking for stronger differentiability conditions to determine the polyherdal geometry of the ball.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be such that for all  $x^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$ ,  $X^* = \text{span}\{x^*\} \oplus_1 N$  for a closed subspace  $N$ . Let  $x \in X$  be a unit vector and very smooth point. Then  $x$  is a  $QP$ -point. If  $X$  is also a  $L^1$ -predual space, then  $x$  continues to be a  $QP$ -point in all higher duals of even order of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3 we have that  $x$  is a Frechét smooth point and  $x^*(x) = 1$ . Suppose  $x$  is not a  $QP$ -point. Then in view of our preceding remarks, there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of unit vectors,  $z_n \rightarrow x$  and a sequence  $\{z_n^*\}_{n \geq 1} \subset S_z \cap \partial_e X_1^*$  and  $z_n^* \neq x^*$  for all  $n$ . If  $z^*$  is any *weak\**-accumulation point of this sequence, we get,  $z^*(x) = 1$ . Thus  $z^* = x^*$ . Therefore  $z_n^* \rightarrow x^*$  in the *weak\**-topology. Hence  $z_n^*(x) \rightarrow 1$ . Since  $x^*$  strongly exposes  $x$  we conclude that  $z_n^* \rightarrow x^*$  in the norm. This contradicts the norm-discreteness of  $\partial_e X_1^*$ .

If  $X$  is a  $L^1$ -predual, since  $X^{**} = C(K)$  we again have same extremal property in  $\partial_e C(K)_1^*$ . Thus  $x$  is a  $QP$ -point of  $X^{**}$  and this procedure can be continued.  $\square$

These ideas allow us easily to extend Example 2 to vector-valued case. For a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , let  $C(\Omega, X)$  denote the set of  $X$ -valued continuous functions on  $\Omega$  equipped with the supremum norm. We recall that the dual space  $C(\Omega, X)$  can be identified with space of  $X^*$ -valued measures, with the total variation norm and

$$\partial_e C(\Omega, X)_1^* = \{\delta(k) \otimes x^* : k \in \Omega, x^* \in \partial_e X_1^*\}.$$

For a later reference we note the canonical embedding (via composition) of  $C(\Omega, X) \subset \mathcal{K}(X^*, C(\Omega))$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space such that any very smooth point of  $X$  is Frechét smooth. Then the same is true of  $C(\Omega, X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C(\Omega, X)$  be a very smooth point. Arguments similar to Example 2, will give,  $\|f\| = \|f(k_0)\|$  for an isolated point  $k_0 \in \Omega$ . Thus

$C(K, X) = X \bigoplus_{\infty} C(\{k_0\}^c, X)$ . Now it is easy to see,  $f(k_0)$  is a very smooth and hence Frechét smooth point of  $X$  and thus  $f$  is a Frechét smooth point of  $C(K, X)$ .  $\square$

In order to prove the *QP*-point version we again use a simple idea of  $M$ -summands.

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose  $X = M \bigoplus_{\infty} N$ . Let  $x = m + n$ ,  $\|m\| = 1$ ,  $\|n\| < 1$ . Assume  $m$  is a *QP* point of  $M$ . Then  $x$  is a *QP* point of  $X$ . Further, if  $m$  is a *QP* point of  $M^{**}$ , then  $x$  is a *QP* point of  $X^{**}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta$  be as in the definition for *QP*-point  $m$ . Let  $\|z\| = 1$ ,  $Z = m_1 + n_1$ ,  $\|z - x\| < \delta$ . Suppose  $x^* \in \partial_e S_z$  and  $x^* \in M^{\perp}$ . Then  $1 = x^*(z) = x^*(n)$  contradicting,  $\|n\| < 1$ . So  $x^* \in M^*$ . Also  $x^*(z) = x^*(m_1)$ . Since  $\|m_1 - m\| < \delta$ , we get  $x^*(m) = x^*(x) = 1$ . Hence the conclusion.

In particular, suppose  $X = M \bigoplus_{\infty} N$  and  $m \in M$  is a unit vector and *QP* point of  $M$ . Then it is a *QP* point of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space such that any very smooth point is a *QP*-point. Any very smooth point of  $C(\Omega, X)$  is a *QP*-point.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$ ,  $\|f\| = 1$  be a very smooth point. As in the proof of Proposition 5,  $C(\Omega, X) = X \bigoplus_{\infty} C(\{k_0\}^c, X)$ , for an isolated point  $k_0$ . Since  $\|f\| = \|f(k_0)\|$  and  $f(k_0)$  is a very smooth point, we get that  $f(k_0)$  is a *QP* point. As  $\|f/\{k_0\}^c\| < 1$ , the conclusion follows from the above Lemma.  $\square$

We now prove any  $L^1$ -predual space with a weak\*-closed extreme boundary, exhibits the same behaviour as  $C(K)$ -spaces with respect to Frechét smooth points, as in Example 2.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $X$  be a  $L^1$ -predual space such that  $\partial_e X_1^*$  is a weak\*-closed set. Let  $x \in X$  be a Frechét smooth point. There is a  $x_0 \in X$*

such that  $d(x, \text{span}\{x_0\}) < \|x\|$  and  $X = \text{span}\{x_0\} \oplus_{\infty} N$  for some closed subspace  $N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$  be the unique functional such that  $x_0^*(x) = \|x\|$ . We have  $X^* = \text{span}\{x_0^*\} \oplus_1 N$ . For some closed subspace  $N \subset X^*$ . We shall show that  $N$  is a weak\*-closed set. Granting this, we get a  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $X = \text{span}\{x_0\} \oplus_{\infty} \ker(x_0^*)$ , where  $\text{span}\{x_0\}^{\perp} = N$ . By uniqueness of  $x_0^*$ , we get that  $d(x, \text{span}\{x_0\}) < \|x\|$ .

To see the claim, we show that  $N \cap \partial_e X_1^*$  is a weak\*-closed set in  $\partial_e X_1^*$ . We recall that since  $x_0$  is a very smooth point,  $x_0$  is a point of weak-weak\*-continuity for the identity map on the unit ball  $X_1^*$ . If a net  $\{x_{\alpha}^*\} \subset N \cap \partial_e X_1^*$  is such that  $x_{\alpha}^* \rightarrow \tau$  in the weak\*-topology, then by hypothesis,  $\tau \in \partial_e X_1^*$ . Also we may assume w. l. o. g that all the  $x_{\alpha}^*$ 's and  $\tau$  are distinct. Now either  $\tau = \pm x_0^*$  or  $\tau \in \partial_e N_1$ . In the former case, by the continuity assumption,  $x_{\alpha}^* \rightarrow \tau$  in the weak-topology. Since  $N$  is weakly closed,  $x_0^* \in N$ . A contradiction. Therefore  $\partial_e N_1$  is a weak\*-compact set in  $\partial_e X_1^*$ . Since  $X$  is a  $L^1$ -predual space, it follows from Lemma 1.1 in [13], that  $N$  is a weak\*-closed subspace.  $\square$

**Remark 9.** *It is easy to see that any smooth point of  $c_0$  gives rise to a decomposition  $c_0 = \text{span}\{e_n\} \oplus_{\infty} M$  for some closed subspace  $M$  (which is a copy of  $c_0$ ) and for some positive integer  $n$ . Thus the above assumption of weak\*-closedness is not necessary for the existence of very smooth points in  $L^1$ -predual spaces.*

The following proposition further enlarges the class of spaces where our results apply. We recall from Chapter 1 in [3] that a closed subspace  $J \subset X$  is said to be a  $M$ -ideal, if  $X^* = J^{\perp} \oplus J^*$ . For example, in any  $JB^*$ -triple closed ideals are precisely  $M$ -ideals (see [1] Theorem 3.2). It is also easy to see that if  $X$  is a  $L^1$ -predual space, then so is a  $M$ -ideal  $J$  in  $X$ . Also the quotient space  $X/J$  is again a  $L^1$ -predual space. More generally, if  $X$  has the property ‘for all  $x^* \in \partial_e X_1^*$ ,  $\text{span}\{x^*\}$  is a  $L$ -summand’, then both  $J$  and  $X/J$  have this property.



**Proposition 10.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space such that every very smooth point is Frechét smooth. Then the same is true of a  $M$ -ideal  $J \subset X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $j_0 \in J$  be a very smooth point. We note that  $X^{**} = J^{\perp\perp} \oplus_{\infty} (J^*)^{\perp}$ . Now the unique functional  $j_0^* \in \partial_e J_1^* \subset \partial_e J_1^{***}$  is the only functional attaining its norm at  $j_0 \in J^{\perp\perp}$ . Hence  $j_0$  is a very smooth point of  $X$  and hence a Frechét smooth point of  $J$ .  $\square$

We will now apply these ideas to analyse Frechét smooth points in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . We use a theorem of S. Heinrich [4] that if  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  attains its norm at a unique vector  $x$  (modulo scalar multiplication) and  $T(x_0)$  is a Frechét smooth point, the unique functional  $y^* \in \partial_e Y_1^*$  which attains its norm at  $T(x_0)$  is a Frechét smooth point of  $Y^*$ , then  $T$  is a Frechét smooth point of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .

We also need the analysis of very smooth points of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  (Proposition 2 from [10]) and the description of  $\partial_e \mathcal{K}(X, Y)_1^* = \{x^{**} \otimes y^* : x^{**} \in \partial_e X_1^{**}, y^* \in \partial_e Y_1^*\}$ . Where for any operator  $S$ ,  $(x^{**} \otimes y^*)(S) = x^{**}(S^*(y^*))$ .

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space such that in  $X^*$  very smooth points are Frechét differentiable and  $Y$  a  $L^1$ -predual space. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  be a very smooth point. Then  $T$  is a Frechét smooth point in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is a very smooth point, it follows from the arguments given during the proof of Proposition 2 in [10], that for a unique (up to scalar multiplication)  $x_0 \in \partial_e X_1^*$  and  $y_0^* \in \partial_e Y_1^*$ , such that  $y^*(T(x_0)) = \|T\|$ . Consequently  $\|T\| = \|T(x_0)\|$  and  $T^*(y_0^*)(x_0) = \|T^*(y_0^*)\|$ . Again as in the proof of Proposition 2,  $y_0^*$  is the unique functional (up to scalar multiples), attaining its norm at  $T(x_0)$  and is also a point of weak\*-weak continuity for the identity map on  $Y_1^*$ . Therefore  $T(x_0)$  is a very smooth point of  $Y$ . Hence by hypothesis, we get that  $T(x_0)$  is a Frechét smooth point of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . Also  $\|T^*(y_0^*)\| = \|T^*\| = \|T\|$  and as  $x_0$  is a point of weak\*-weak continuity in  $X_1^{**}$ , we get  $T^*(y_0^*)$  is a very

smooth and hence Fréchet smooth point of  $X^*$ . It now follows from [4] that  $T$  is a Fréchet smooth point of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .

Since  $Y$  is a  $L^1$ -predual space,  $Y$  has the metric (compact) approximation property (MCAP). Thus we have  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)^{**}$  in the canonical embedding (see [12] Example 1, in conjunction with the remarks on page 334 of [3] for the case of MCAP). Now as  $T$  is a Fréchet smooth point of  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)^{**}$ , we get that  $T$  is a Fréchet smooth point of  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 12.** *For the  $T$  as above, by applying Heinrich's Characterization of Fréchet smooth points in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ , we get that if  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset X_1$  is such that  $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow \|T\|$ , then there exists a sequence of scalars  $\lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda_n x_n \rightarrow x_0$ .*

**Remark 13.** *We have assumed  $Y$  to be a  $L^1$ -predual space, to ensure also the MAP of  $Y$ . The same arguments go through, if  $X^*$  or  $Y$  has the CMAP,  $Y$  is such that for all  $y^* \in \partial_e Y_1^*$ ,  $\text{span}\{y^*\}$  is a  $L$ -summand. It may be noted that if  $Y$  is the disc algebra on the unit circle (space of continuous functions which have extension, analytic in the interior), it has the MAP and hence the hypothesis of Theorem 11 is satisfied for  $Y$ . Same conclusions also hold when  $J = \{y \in Y : y(E) = 0\}$ , where  $E$  is a set of Lebesgue measure 0 in the unit circle.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. J. Barton and R. M. Timoney, *Weak\* continuity of Jordan triple products and its applications*, Math. Scand. 59 (1986) 177–191.
- [2] R. Deville, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, *Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 64, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1993.
- [3] P. Harmand, D. Werner and W. Werner, *M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, Springer LNM 1547, Berlin 1993.
- [4] S. Heinrich, *The differentiability of the norm in spaces of operators*, (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 9 (1975) 93–94. MR0390834
- [5] G. Godefroy and T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Renormings and extremal structures*, Illinois J. Math. 48 (2004) 1021–1029.

- [6] G. Godefroy and V. Indumathi, *Strong proximality and polyhedral spaces*, Rev. Mat. Complut. 14 (2001), 105–125.
- [7] H. E. Lacey, *The isometric theory of classical Banach spaces*, In: Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band, vol. 208, pp. x+270. Springer, New York (1974).
- [8] Edwards C. Martin and G. T. Ruttimann, *Smoothness properties of the unit ball in a  $JB^*$ -triple*. Bull. London Math. Soc. 28 (1996) 156–160.
- [9] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Subdifferential set of an operator*, Monatsh. Math. 199 (2022) 891–898.
- [10] T, S. S. R. K. Rao, *Smooth points in spaces of operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. 517 (2017) 129–133.
- [11] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *On the geometry of higher duals of a Banach space*, Ill. J. Math. 45 (2001) 1389–1392.
- [12] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *On ideals in Banach spaces*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. 31 (2001) 595–609.
- [13] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Characterizations of some classes of  $L^1$ -preduals by the Alfsen-Effros structure topology*, Israel J. Math. 42 (1982) 20–32.
- [14] F. Sullivan, *Geometric properties determined by the higher duals of a Banach space*, Illinois J. Math. 21 (1977) 315–331.
- [15] S. Singla, *Gateaux derivative of  $C^*$  norm*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 629 (2021) 208–218. Zbl Review 07390140.
- [16] S. Singla, *Birkhoff-James orthogonality and distance formulas in  $C^*$ -algebras and tuples of operators*, PhD thesis, Shiv Nadar University, March 2022.
- [17] K. F. Taylor and W. Werner, *Differentiability of the norm in  $C^*$ -algebras*, Bierstedt, Klaus D. (ed.) et al., Functional analysis. Proceedings of the Essen conference, held in Essen, Germany, November 24 - 30, 1991. New York, NY: Dekker (ISBN 0-8247-9066-9). Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 150, 329–344 (1994).

(T. S. S. R. K. Rao) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIV NADAR UNIVERSITY, DELHI (NCR), INDIA, *E-mail* : [srin@fulbrightmail.org](mailto:srin@fulbrightmail.org)