

FAILURE OF THE WANDERING SUBSPACE PROPERTY FOR ANALYTIC NORM-INCREASING 3-ISOMETRIES

SAMEER CHAVAN AND SHAILESH TRIVEDI

Dedicated to the memory of Pradeep Kumar

ABSTRACT. We construct an analytic norm-increasing 3-isometric weighted shift on a rootless directed tree, which does not have the wandering subspace property. This answers a question of Shimorin [S2001, p. 185] in the negative. The counterexample in question is built over the rootless quasi-Brownian directed tree of valency 2.

CONTENTS

1. Wold-type decompositions for norm-increasing m -isometries	1
2. Preliminaries from operator theory and graph theory	3
3. The construction theorem and its consequences	6
4. Proof of the construction theorem and counterexamples	7
5. Concluding remarks	15
References	15

1. WOLD-TYPE DECOMPOSITIONS FOR NORM-INCREASING m -ISOMETRIES

This paper is motivated by the following question posed by Shimorin:

Question 1.1. ([S2001, p. 185]) Is it true that the m -concave and norm-increasing operators admit Wold-type decompositions for $m \geq 3$?

It is known that the hyper-range of a norm-increasing m -concave operator reduces it to a unitary (see [S2001, Proposition 3.4]). Thus Question 1.1 has an affirmative answer if and only if all analytic norm-increasing m -concave operators have the wandering subspace property. Recall that the wandering subspace property for analytic isometries is attributed to Beurling, Lax and Halmos for the cases of discrete unilateral shifts of multiplicity one, continuous unilateral shifts of finite multiplicity and discrete unilateral shifts of countable multiplicity, respectively (see [B1949, Theorem IV], [L1959, Section 4] and [H1961, Theorem 2]). For a detailed analysis of the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem for infinite multiplicity, the reader is referred to [CHL2021].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A15, 47B37; Secondary 05C20.

Key words and phrases. wandering subspace property, Wold-type decomposition, weighted shift, directed tree, m -isometry.

The work of the second author is supported partially through the Inspire Faculty Fellowship (DST/INSPIRE/04/2018/000338) and OPERA (FR/SCM/03-Nov-2022/MATH).

The wandering subspace property for analytic concave operators (in particular, for analytic 2-isometries) is due to Richter (see [R1988, Theorem 1]). In this paper, we construct analytic norm-increasing 3-isometries, which do not have the wandering subspace property (see Theorem 3.1). As a consequence, we answer Question 1.1 in the negative (see Corollary 3.3 and Example 4.5).

Below we mention some attempts made to answer Question 1.1. First, a theorem of Shimorin provides a condition ([S2001, Eq. (3.5)]), stronger than 3-concavity, which ensures Wold-type decomposition for norm-increasing operators (see [S2001, Theorem 3.8]). The inconvenience of the inequality [S2001, Eq. (3.5)] is that it may not hold if the operator in question is replaced by its restriction to an invariant subspace. Second, Shimorin's theorem above has recently been generalised to a subclass of m -concave operators (see [GGR2022, Theorem 5.7]). Third, sufficient conditions ensuring the wandering subspace property for analytic norm-increasing operators have been provided in [O2005, Theorem 2.1] and [CS2022, Theorem 1.2]. Regarding Question 1.1, it was mentioned in [R2019, p. 734] that it remains unknown whether or not an arbitrary analytic m -isometry, with $m \geq 3$, necessarily has the wandering subspace property. In response, [ACT2020, Example 3.1] provides a family of analytic cyclic 3-isometries which do not have the wandering subspace property and which are norm-increasing on the orthogonal complement of a one-dimensional space.

Here we explain the thought process that helps us arrive at the answer to Question 1.1. In this regard, note that the construction in [ACT2020, Example 3.1] was capitalized on the idea of the weighted shift on a one-circuit directed graph (see [BJJS2017, Section 3]). The one-dimensional space on which the weighted shift in question fails to be norm-increasing corresponds to the indicator function of an element of the circuit. Thus, to get a counterexample to Question 1.1 from weighted shifts on directed graphs, it is necessary to confine ourselves to directed graphs without circuits. This thinking can indeed be strongly based on the following dichotomy:

Theorem 1.2. ([ACT2020, Theorem 4.3]) *If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an analytic norm-increasing m -concave operator, then either T has the wandering subspace property or the orthogonal complement of the T -invariant subspace generated by its wandering subspace is infinite-dimensional.*

Thus, a counterexample to Question 1.1 can not be built on any one-circuit directed graph (see [ACT2020, Corollary 4.4]). This, combined with the fact that any bounded weighted shift on a rooted directed tree admits Wold-type decomposition (see [CPT2017, Proposition 1.3.4]) propels us to explore rootless directed graphs without a circuit. The natural question arises as to which choice of the rootless directed tree would lead to the desired counterexample. It turns out that a rootless directed tree of finite branching index (see [CT2016, Definition 3]) does not support analytic weighted shifts. Indeed, by [CT2016, Lemma 6.1], the indicator function of the generalized root belongs to the hyper-range of the weighted shift in question. This motivates us to examine rootless directed trees of infinite branching index. As shown below, this speculation leads to a negative answer to Question 1.1. Indeed, the rootless quasi-Brownian directed tree of valency two supports an analytic norm-increasing 3-isometry without the wandering subspace property.

2. PRELIMINARIES FROM OPERATOR THEORY AND GRAPH THEORY

This section recalls some notions from operator theory and graph theory necessary to state the construction theorem.

2.1. Operator theory. For a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the unital C^* -algebra of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} , where the identity operator I is the unit, the composition of operators is the multiplication and the uniquely defined adjoint T^* of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the involution. For a subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{H} , let $[\mathcal{M}]_T = \bigvee \{T^n h : n \geq 0, h \in \mathcal{M}\}$, where \bigvee stands for the closed linear span. The *hyper-range* of T is given by $T^\infty(\mathcal{H}) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{H}$. We say that T is *analytic* if $T^\infty(\mathcal{H}) = \{0\}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has the *wandering subspace property* if $\mathcal{H} = [\ker T^*]_T$, where $\ker S$ stands for the kernel of $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Since $\ker T^*$ is orthogonal to $T^n(\ker T^*)$ for any integer $n \geq 1$, following [H1961], $\ker T^*$ is called the *wandering subspace* of T .

Assume that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a left-invertible operator. Following [S2001], we say that T admits *Wold-type decomposition* if $T^\infty(\mathcal{H})$ reduces T to a unitary and $\mathcal{H} = T^\infty(\mathcal{H}) \oplus [\ker T^*]_T$. The *Cauchy dual* T' of T is given by $T' := T(T^*T)^{-1}$ (this notion appeared implicitly in [R1988, Proof of Theorem 1], and introduced in [S2001, Eq. (1.6)]). In the sequel, we need the following duality formulas (see [S2001, Proposition 2.7]):

$$T'^{\infty}(\mathcal{H})^\perp = [\ker T^*]_T, \quad T^\infty(\mathcal{H})^\perp = [\ker T^*]_{T'}, \quad (2.1)$$

where \perp denotes the orthogonal complement. For the role of the Cauchy dual operators in wandering subspace theorems, see [S2001, HKZ2000, CS2022].

Given a positive integer m and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, set

$$\Delta_{m,T} := \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} T^k.$$

An operator T is said to be an *isometry* (resp. *norm-increasing*) if $\Delta_{1,T} = 0$ (resp. $\Delta_{1,T} \leq 0$). For $m \geq 2$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be an *m-isometry* (resp. *m-concave*) if $\Delta_{m,T} = 0$ (resp. $(-1)^m \Delta_{m,T} \leq 0$). A 2-concave operator is commonly referred to as a *concave* operator. It is easy to see that any *m-concave* operator is left-invertible; hence, its Cauchy dual is well-defined. The reader is referred to [AS1995, R1988, S2001] for the basic properties of *m-isometries* and related classes.

2.2. Graph theory. A *directed graph* \mathcal{G} is a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{V} is a non-empty set (the set of vertices) and \mathcal{E} is a subset of $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \setminus \{(v, v) : v \in \mathcal{V}\}$ (the set of edges). For $v \in \mathcal{V}$, define

$$\text{Chi}(v) := \{w \in \mathcal{V} : (v, w) \in \mathcal{E}\} \text{ and } \text{par}(v) := \{u \in \mathcal{V} : (u, v) \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

The *degree* of a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$, denoted by $\deg v$, is defined as $\text{Card}(\text{Chi}(v))$, where $\text{Card}(\cdot)$ denotes the cardinality. For a non-empty subset \mathcal{W} of \mathcal{V} , set

$$\text{Chi}(\mathcal{W}) := \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{W}} \text{Chi}(v) \text{ and } \text{par}(\mathcal{W}) := \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{W}} \text{par}(v).$$

For $v \in \mathcal{V}$, set $\text{Chi}^{(0)}(v) = v$ and $\text{par}^{(0)}(v) = v$. For an integer $n \geq 1$, define $\text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)$ and $\text{par}^{(n)}(v)$ inductively as follows:

$$\text{Chi}^{(n)}(v) := \text{Chi}^{(n-1)}(\text{Chi}(v)) \text{ and } \text{par}^{(n)}(v) := \text{par}^{(n-1)}(\text{par}(v)), \quad v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

A directed graph \mathcal{G} is *leafless* if there is no $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\text{Chi}(v) = \emptyset$. A vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$ is said to be a *branching vertex* of \mathcal{G} if $\deg v \geq 2$. A vertex v of \mathcal{G} is called a *root* of \mathcal{G} , or $v \in \text{Root}(\mathcal{G})$, if there is no $u \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}$. A finite sequence $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of distinct vertices is said to be a *circuit* if $n \geq 2$, $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $(v_n, v_1) \in \mathcal{E}$. A directed graph \mathcal{G} is said to be *connected* if for any two distinct vertices $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$, there exists a finite sequence $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, $n \geq 2$, such that $v_1 = u$, $v_n = v$ and (v_i, v_{i+1}) or $(v_{i+1}, v_i) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Set $\mathcal{V}^\circ := \mathcal{V} \setminus \text{Root}(\mathcal{G})$. A directed graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is called a *directed tree* if \mathcal{G} has no circuit, \mathcal{G} is connected and $\text{par}(v)$ is nonempty for every $v \in \mathcal{V}^\circ$. A directed tree \mathcal{G} is said to be *rootless* if $\text{Root}(\mathcal{G})$ is an empty set.

Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is a rootless directed tree. We say that $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$ *belong to the same generation* if there exists a non-negative integer n such that $\text{par}^{(n)}(u) = \text{par}^{(n)}(v)$. This defines an equivalence relation on \mathcal{V} , and hence we may define the *generation* \mathcal{G}_v of $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as the equivalence class containing v , that is,

$$\mathcal{G}_v = \{u \in \mathcal{V} : u, v \text{ belong to the same generation}\}.$$

It follows from [JJS2012, Proposition 2.1.12(v)] that

$$\mathcal{G}_v = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Chi}^{(n)}(\text{par}^{(n)}(v)), \quad v \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (2.2)$$

Weighted shift on directed trees. Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a directed tree. In what follows, $\ell^2(\mathcal{V})$ stands for the Hilbert space of square summable complex functions on \mathcal{V} equipped with the standard inner product. If $e_u \in \ell^2(\mathcal{V})$ denotes the indicator function $\chi_{\{u\}}$ of $\{u\}$, then the set $\{e_u\}_{u \in \mathcal{V}}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathcal{V})$. Given a system $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}^\circ}$ of positive real numbers, define the *weighted shift operator* S_λ on \mathcal{T} with *weight system* λ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(S_\lambda) &:= \{f \in \ell^2(\mathcal{V}) : \Lambda_{\mathcal{T}} f \in \ell^2(\mathcal{V})\}, \\ S_\lambda f &:= \Lambda_{\mathcal{T}} f, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(S_\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the mapping defined on complex-valued functions f on \mathcal{V} by

$$(\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}} f)(v) := \begin{cases} \lambda_v \cdot f(\text{par}(v)) & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{V}^\circ, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \text{ is a root of } \mathcal{T}. \end{cases}$$

Throughout this paper, we use the conventions that the sum over an empty set is 0 and the product over an empty set is 1.

For convenience, we gather below some known facts related to the weighted shifts on directed trees (refer to [JJS2012, CT2016]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a rootless directed tree and S_λ be a weighted shift on \mathcal{T} . Set $\lambda(v) := \lambda_v$, $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and let*

$$\lambda^{(n)} := \lambda(\lambda \circ \text{par}) \cdots (\lambda \circ \text{par}^{(n-1)}), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.3)$$

Then $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathcal{V}))$ if and only if $\sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{w \in \text{Chi}(v)} \lambda_w^2 < \infty$. Moreover, if $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathcal{V}))$, then the following statements are true:

(i) for any positive integer n ,

$$S_{\lambda}^n e_u = \sum_{v \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}(u)} \lambda^{(n)}(v) e_v, \quad u \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (2.4)$$

(ii) if S_{λ} is left-invertible, then the Cauchy dual S'_{λ} of S_{λ} is the weighted shift $S_{\lambda'}$ on \mathcal{T} , where $\lambda' = \{\lambda'_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}^\circ}$ is given by

$$\lambda'_v = \frac{\lambda_v}{\|S_{\lambda} e_{\text{par}(v)}\|^2}, \quad v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof. See [JJS2012, Propositions 3.1.3(iii)&3.1.8 and Lemma 6.1.1(i)] and [ACJS2019, Lemma 5.5]. \square

Quasi-Brownian directed tree of valency l . To state the construction theorem, we need a notion from [ACJS2019, Example 5.1(c)].

Let $l \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. We say that a directed tree $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is a *quasi-Brownian directed tree of valency l* if

- ◊ there exists $u_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\deg u_0 = l$,
- ◊ each vertex $u \in \mathcal{V}$ is of degree 1 or l ,
- ◊ if $u \in \mathcal{V}$ is such that $\deg u = 1$ and $v \in \text{Chi}(u)$, then $\deg v = 1$,
- ◊ for every $u \in \mathcal{V}$ with $\deg u = l$, there exists exactly one $v \in \text{Chi}(u)$ such that $\deg v = l$ and the remaining $l - 1$ vertices in $\text{Chi}(u)$ are of degree 1.

As noted in [ACJS2019, Example 5.1(c)], there are only two (up to graph isomorphism) quasi-Brownian directed trees of valency l , one with root and the other without root. It turns out that the adjacency operator (that is, the weighted shift on \mathcal{T} with constant weight system of value 1) on a quasi-Brownian directed tree is a quasi-Brownian isometry in the sense of [ACJS2019] (this notion, first studied in [MMS2016], generalizes that of Brownian isometry introduced in [AS1995]).

We are particularly interested in the rootless quasi-Brownian directed tree of valency 2 (cf. [J2003, Example 4.4]). This directed tree, denoted by \mathcal{T}_{qb} , is given by


$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &= \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathcal{E} &= \{((0, m), (1, m)), ((0, m), (0, m - 1)) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\sqcup \{((n, m), (n + 1, m)) : n \geq 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} denote the set of non-negative integers and integers, respectively. Geometrically, \mathcal{T}_{qb} is obtained by “gluing” a copy of the directed tree \mathbb{N} to each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Figure 1). Note that \mathcal{T}_{qb} is a leafless directed tree, which is not of finite branching index. This follows from

$$\text{Chi}((n, m)) = \begin{cases} \{(n, m - 1), (1, m)\} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \{(n + 1, m)\} & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

This, combined with an induction in $n \geq 1$, yields

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{Chi}^{(n)}((0, m)) &= \{(j, m - n + j) : 0 \leq j \leq n\}, \\ \text{Chi}^{(n)}((l, m)) &= \{(l + n, m)\}, \end{aligned} \right\} m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n, l \geq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

FIGURE 1. The quasi-Brownian directed tree \mathcal{T}_{qb} of valency 2

3. THE CONSTRUCTION THEOREM AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

The following main theorem of this paper provides a recipe for constructing analytic norm-increasing 3-isometric weighted shifts without the wandering subspace property (see Example 4.5).

Theorem 3.1 (The construction theorem). *Let $\{p_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a bi-infinite sequence of quadratic polynomials with positive coefficients such that*

$$p_m(0) = 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{p'_m(0), p''_m(0)\} < \infty, \quad (3.8)$$

$$p'_m(0) - p'_{m-1}(0) + \delta_2(m) = \frac{1}{2} \left(p''_m(0) + p''_{m-1}(0) \right) + \delta_3(m), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.9)$$

where δ_n denotes the Dirac delta function with a point mass at $n \in \{2, 3\}$. Consider the weight system $\lambda = \{\lambda_{(n,m)} : (n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}\}$ given by

$$\lambda_{(n,m)} := \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{p_m(n-1)}{p_m(n-2)}} & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \geq 2, \\ \sqrt{\frac{m}{m+1}} & \text{if } m \geq 1, \quad n = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} & \text{if } m \geq 1, \quad n = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } m < 1, \quad n = 0, 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

Then the weighted shift S_λ on the rootless quasi-Brownian directed tree \mathcal{T}_{qb} of valency 2 is an analytic norm-increasing 3-isometry, which does not have the wandering subspace property. Moreover, the orthogonal complement of $[\ker S_\lambda^*]_{S_\lambda}$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$ is infinite dimensional.

The most challenging part in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is to construct a weighted shift with the hyper-range containing infinitely many functions having disjoint supports (see Theorem 4.3). We postpone the proof until the next section. Below are two corollaries of this theorem. The first shows that the dilation operators associated with the weighted shift S_λ , as appearing in Theorem 3.1, do not have uniformly bounded norms.

Corollary 3.2. *Let S_λ be the weighted shift on the rootless quasi-Brownian directed tree \mathcal{T}_{qb} of valency 2 with weight system given by (3.10). Then*

$$\sup_{r \in [0,1)} \left\| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^l S_\lambda^l P_\lambda L_\lambda^l \right\| = \infty,$$

where $L_\lambda := S_\lambda'^*$ and P_λ denotes the orthogonal projection of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$ onto $\ker S_\lambda^*$.

Proof. In view of [S2001, Corollary 2.6] and Theorem 3.1, it suffices to check that $r(S_\lambda) = r(L_\lambda) = 1$, where $r(\cdot)$ denotes the spectral radius. First, note that $L_\lambda S_\lambda = I$, and hence by [CS2022, Corollary 4.2],

$$r(L_\lambda)r(S_\lambda) \geq 1. \quad (3.11)$$

By Theorem 3.1, S_λ is a 3-isometry, and hence by [AS1995, Lemma 1.21], $r(S_\lambda) = 1$. This together with (3.11) implies that $r(L_\lambda) \geq 1$. Finally, since S_λ is norm-increasing, $r(L_\lambda) = r(S_\lambda') \leq \|S_\lambda'\| \leq 1$, and hence $r(L_\lambda) = 1$. \square

It is worth mentioning that the uniform estimate for the dilation operators was a critical step in the solution to the wandering subspace problem for Bergman shifts as obtained in [ARS1996] (see [S2001, p. 158]).

The following corollary answers Question 1.1 in the negative.

Corollary 3.3. *If \mathcal{T}_{qb} and S_λ are as given in Theorem 3.1, then the weighted shift S_λ on \mathcal{T}_{qb} is a norm-increasing 3-isometry, which does not admit Wold-type decomposition.*

4. PROOF OF THE CONSTRUCTION THEOREM AND COUNTEREXAMPLES

The proof of Theorem 3.1 consists of several lemmas. An essential step in this direction is to describe the hyper-range of a left-invertible weighted shift on \mathcal{T}_{qb} (see Theorem 4.3). For this, we need the following variant of [K2011, Theorem 2.1.1] and [ACT2020, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a leafless, rootless directed tree such that*

$$\sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \deg v < \infty. \quad (4.12)$$

If $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathcal{V}))$ and $n \geq 1$ is an integer, then $f \in S_\lambda^n(\ell^2(\mathcal{V}))$ if and only if

$$\frac{f}{\lambda^{(n)}} \Big|_{\text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)} \text{ is constant for each } v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{u \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)} \left| \frac{f(u)}{\lambda^{(n)}(u)} \right|^2 \text{ is convergent,} \quad (4.14)$$

where $\lambda^{(n)}$ is given by (2.3). In particular, $f \in S_\lambda^\infty(\ell^2(\mathcal{V}))$ if and only if (4.13) and (4.14) hold for every integer $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Assume that $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathcal{V}))$. For an integer $n \geq 1$, let $f \in S_\lambda^n(\ell^2(\mathcal{V}))$. Thus there exists $g \in \ell^2(\mathcal{V})$ such that

$$f(v) = S_\lambda^n g(v) \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} \lambda^{(n)}(v) g(\text{par}^{(n)}(v)), \quad v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

It follows that for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)$, we have

$$\frac{f(u_1)}{\lambda^{(n)}(u_1)} = g(v) = \frac{f(u_2)}{\lambda^{(n)}(u_2)}. \quad (4.15)$$

This verifies (4.13). To see (4.14), note that by (4.12),

$$\sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \text{Card}(\text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)) \leq \left(\sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \deg v \right)^n.$$

It now follows from (4.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{u \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)} \left| \frac{f(u)}{\lambda^{(n)}(u)} \right|^2 &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{u \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)} |g(v)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \text{Card}(\text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)) |g(v)|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \deg v \right)^n \|g\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which yields (4.14).

Conversely, suppose that (4.13) and (4.14) hold for some complex-valued function f on \mathcal{V} . Define the complex-valued function g on \mathcal{V} by

$$g(v) = \frac{f(u)}{\lambda^{(n)}(u)}, \quad v \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } u \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}(v).$$

By (4.13), g is well-defined. Since \mathcal{T} is leafless,


$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} |g(v)|^2 &\leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \text{Card}(\text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)) |g(v)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{u \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}(v)} |g(v)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.14) guarantees that $g \in \ell^2(\mathcal{V})$. Moreover,

$$(S_{\lambda}^n g)(u) \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} \lambda^{(n)}(u) g(\text{par}^{(n)}(u)), \quad u \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Letting $v = \text{par}^{(n)}(u)$, we obtain that $S_{\lambda}^n g = f$. Thus $f \in S_{\lambda}^n(\ell^2(\mathcal{V}))$, completing the proof the first part. This also yields the remaining part. \square

To localise the hyper-range of a weighted shift on the rootless quasi-Brownian directed tree \mathcal{T}_{qb} of valency 2, we need a decomposition of \mathcal{T}_{qb} into generations of its branching vertices (cf. [JJS2012, Proposition 2.1.12(vi)]).

FIGURE 2. The generations of the branching vertices of \mathcal{T}_{qb}

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathcal{T}_{qb} be the rootless quasi-Brownian directed tree of valency 2. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the generation of $(0, m)$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{G}_{(0,m)} = \{(j, m+j) : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (4.16)$$

(see Figure 2). Moreover, we have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z} = \bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{G}_{(0,m)}. \quad (4.17)$$

In particular, if $\text{Chi}^{(n)}((0, k)) \cap \mathcal{G}_{(0,m)} \neq \emptyset$ for some $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an integer $n \geq 1$, then $\text{Chi}^{(n)}((0, k)) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{(0,m)}$.

Proof. The equality in (4.16) is immediate from (2.2) and (2.6). To see (4.17), note that $\mathcal{G}_{(0,l)} \cap \mathcal{G}_{(0,m)} = \emptyset$ if $l \neq m$. Further, if $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$, then $(n, m) = (n, m - n + n) \in \mathcal{G}_{(0, m-n)}$. This yields (4.17). To see the remaining part, note that for every integer $n \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the elements of $\text{Chi}^{(n)}((0, k))$ are equivalent. Since any generation is an equivalence class, we get the desired conclusion. \square

We now describe the hyper-range of a left-invertible bounded weighted shift on \mathcal{T}_{qb} (cf. [ACT2020, Lemma 2.4]).

Theorem 4.3. *Let S_λ be a bounded weighted shift on the rootless quasi-Brownian directed tree \mathcal{T}_{qb} of valency 2. If S_λ is left-invertible, then*

$$S_\lambda^\infty(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})) = \sqrt{\{g_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}},$$

where $g_m \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, is given by

$$g_m = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{(j, m+n)}}{\lambda_{(0, m+j-1)}} \right) e_{(n, m+n)} & \text{if } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{(j, m+n)}}{\lambda_{(0, m+j-1)}} \right)^2 < \infty, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms an orthogonal set in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Assume that S_λ is left-invertible and fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We claim that g_m belongs to the hyper-range of S_λ . In view of Lemma 4.1, it suffices to verify (4.13) and (4.14) for every integer $n \geq 1$. In turn, in view of (2.6), it suffices to check that for every integer $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{g_m}{\lambda^{(n)}} \Big|_{\text{Chi}^{(n)}((0,q))} \text{ is constant for every } q \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{(k,l) \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}((r,q))} \left| \frac{g_m((k,l))}{\lambda^{(n)}((k,l))} \right|^2 \text{ is convergent}, \quad (4.19)$$

where $\lambda^{(n)}$ is given by (2.3). To see (4.18) and (4.19), first, note that

$$\text{the support of } g_m \text{ is either empty or it is equal to } \mathcal{G}_{(0,m)} \quad (4.20)$$

(see (4.16)). Therefore, for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{Chi}^{(n)}((0,q)) \cap \mathcal{G}_{(0,m)} = \emptyset \implies \frac{g_m}{\lambda^{(n)}} \Big|_{\text{Chi}^{(n)}((0,q))} = 0 \text{ (constant)}. \quad (4.21)$$

By the same reasoning, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{If } \text{Chi}^{(n)}((r,q)) \cap \mathcal{G}_{(0,m)} = \emptyset \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n \geq 1, \\ &\text{then } \sum_{(k,l) \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}((r,q))} \left| \frac{g_m((k,l))}{\lambda^{(n)}((k,l))} \right|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Next, consider the case when $\text{Chi}^{(n)}((0,q)) \cap \mathcal{G}_{(0,m)} \neq \emptyset$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 4.2, $\text{Chi}^{(n)}((0,q)) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{(0,m)}$, and hence by (2.6),

$$m = q - n, \quad \text{Chi}^{(n)}((0,q)) = \text{Chi}^{(n)}((0, m+n)). \quad (4.23)$$

Let $(j, m+j) \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}((0, m+n))$ for some $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. By the definition of g_m and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_m((j, m+j))}{\lambda^{(n)}((j, m+j))} &= \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{\lambda_{(i, m+j)}}{\lambda_{(0, m+i-1)}} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^j \lambda_{(i, m+j)} \prod_{i=j}^{n-1} \lambda_{(0, m+i)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{(0, m+i)}} = \frac{g_m((0, m))}{\lambda^{(n)}((0, m))}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Thus $\frac{g_m}{\lambda^{(n)}} \Big|_{\text{Chi}^{(n)}((0,q))}$ is constant, which together with (4.21) completes the verification of (4.18). To see (4.19), note that by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{(k,l) \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}((r,q))} \left| \frac{g_m((k,l))}{\lambda^{(n)}((k,l))} \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{(k,l) \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}((0,q))} \left| \frac{g_m((k,l))}{\lambda^{(n)}((k,l))} \right|^2 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{g_m((r+n, q))}{\lambda^{(n)}((r+n, q))} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This combined with (4.20) yields

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{(k,l) \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}((r,q))} \left| \frac{g_m((k,l))}{\lambda^{(n)}((k,l))} \right|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(4.23)\&(4.24)}{=} (n+1) \left| \frac{g_m((0, m))}{\lambda^{(n)}((0, m))} \right|^2 \\
& + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{g_m((r+n, m+r+n))}{\lambda^{(n)}((r+n, m+r+n))} \right|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Since S_{λ} is left-invertible, there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\lambda^{(n)}((r+n, m+r+n)) \stackrel{(2.4)\&(2.6)}{=} \|S_{\lambda}^n e_{(r, m+r+n)}\| \geq c^n, \quad r \geq 1.$$

This, together with (4.22) and (4.25), completes the verification of (4.19). In turn, this shows that $g_m \in S_{\lambda}^{\infty}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))$. As $S_{\lambda}^{\infty}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))$ is a closed subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$, this gives the inclusion

$$\bigvee \{g_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq S_{\lambda}^{\infty}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})). \tag{4.26}$$

To see the reverse inclusion in (4.26), let $f \in S_{\lambda}^{\infty}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))$ and note that

$$f \stackrel{(4.17)}{=} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f((n, m+n)) e_{(n, m+n)}. \tag{4.27}$$

Also, by (2.6),

$$(n, m+n), (0, m) \in \text{Chi}^{(n)}((0, m+n)), \quad n \geq 1.$$

This together with (4.13) and (2.3) gives

$$f((n, m+n)) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{(j, m+n)}}{\lambda_{(0, m+j-1)}} f((0, m)), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Substituting this into (4.27), we obtain

$$f = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f((0, m)) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{(j, m+n)}}{\lambda_{(0, m+j-1)}} \right) e_{(n, m+n)} \right).$$

Consider the set $\mathbb{Z}_f := \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : f(0, m) \neq 0\}$. Since $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$, by (4.20), $g_m \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_f$. Thus $f = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_f} f((0, m)) g_m$, and hence, we have $f \in \bigvee \{g_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}_f\} \subseteq \bigvee \{g_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This together with (4.26) now completes the proof of the first part. The ‘‘moreover’’ part follows from (4.20). \square

Note that Theorem 4.3 provides a way to construct a weighted shift with a hyper-range of dimension varying from 0 to ∞ . This flexibility is used crucially in the proof of Theorem 3.1. We also need a family of norm-increasing 3-isometric weighted shifts on \mathcal{T}_{qb} .

Lemma 4.4. *Let S_{λ} be a bounded weighted shift on the rootless quasi-Brownian directed tree \mathcal{T}_{qb} of valency 2. For bi-infinite sequences $\{a_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{b_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of positive real numbers, consider*

$$p_m(n) := 1 + a_m n + b_m n^2, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Assume that for $n \geq 2$,

$$\lambda_{(n, m)} = \sqrt{\frac{p_m(n-1)}{p_m(n-2)}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the following statements hold:

(i) S_λ is norm-increasing if and only if

$$\|S_\lambda e_{(0,m)}\|^2 \geq 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

(ii) S_λ is a 3-isometry if and only if

$$1 - 3\|S_\lambda e_{(0,m)}\|^2 + 3\|S_\lambda^2 e_{(0,m)}\|^2 - \|S_\lambda^3 e_{(0,m)}\|^2 = 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. By (2.4) and (2.6), for every integer $k \geq 1$,

$$\text{the set } \{S_\lambda^k e_{(n,m)} : n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ is orthogonal in } \ell^2(\mathcal{V}), \quad (4.28)$$

$$\|S_\lambda^k e_{(n,m)}\|^2 = \frac{p_m(n+k-1)}{p_m(n-1)}, \quad n \geq 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.29)$$

(i) Since $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))$, by (4.28), S_λ is norm-increasing if and only if $\|S_\lambda e_{(n,m)}\| \geq 1$ for all $(n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Part (i) now follows from (4.29) (with $k = 1$) and the assumption that each p_m has positive coefficients.

(ii) Note that by (4.28) and the assumption that $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))$, S_λ is a 3-isometry if and only if for every $(n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$,

$$1 - 3\|S_\lambda e_{(n,m)}\|^2 + 3\|S_\lambda^2 e_{(n,m)}\|^2 - \|S_\lambda^3 e_{(n,m)}\|^2 = 0. \quad (4.30)$$

To see (4.30) for $n \geq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, observe that by (4.29), $\|S_\lambda^k e_{(n,m)}\|^2$ is a quadratic polynomial in k . Hence, (4.30) holds for every integer $n \geq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see, for example, [D1967, Theorem 8.4]). This, combined with the discussion above, gives (ii). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We first check that $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))$. To see this, by Lemma 2.1, it suffices to check that

$$\sup_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(k,l) \in \text{Chi}((n,m))} \lambda_{(k,l)}^2 < \infty.$$

In turn, in view of (3.10), it is enough to show that for some $M > 0$,

$$\frac{p_m(n-1)}{p_m(n-2)} \leq M, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 2. \quad (4.31)$$

It is easy to see that $p_m(n-1) \leq 4p_m(n-2)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 3$. Also, by (3.8), $\{p_m(1)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is bounded. This together with (3.7) yields (4.31), completing the verification of $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))$.

To see that S_λ is a norm-increasing 3-isometry, fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and note that by (2.4) and (2.5),

$$S_\lambda^j e_{(0,m)} = \lambda_{(0,m-1)} S_\lambda^{j-1} e_{(0,m-1)} + \prod_{k=1}^j \lambda_{(k,m)} e_{(j,m)}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

This implies that the vectors $S_\lambda^{j-1} e_{(0,m-1)}$ and $e_{(j,m)}$ are orthogonal, and hence for any $j = 1, 2, 3$, we obtain

$$\|S_\lambda^j e_{(0,m)}\|^2 = \lambda_{(0,m-1)}^2 \|S_\lambda^{j-1} e_{(0,m-1)}\|^2 + \prod_{k=1}^j \lambda_{(k,m)}^2, \\ (3.7) \& (3.10) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m} \left((m-1) \|S_\lambda^{j-1} e_{(0,m-1)}\|^2 + p_m(j-1) \right) & \text{if } m \geq 2, \\ \|S_\lambda^{j-1} e_{(0,m-1)}\|^2 + p_m(j-1), & \text{if } m \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

This together with (3.7) and (3.10) yields

$$\|S_\lambda e_{(0,m)}\|^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \geq 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } m \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (4.32)$$

$$\|S_\lambda^2 e_{(0,m)}\|^2 \stackrel{(4.32)}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m}(m-1+p_m(1)) & \text{if } m \geq 3, \\ \frac{1}{2}(2+p_2(1)) & \text{if } m = 2, \\ 2+p_m(1) & \text{if } m \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (4.33)$$

$$\|S_\lambda^3 e_{(0,m)}\|^2 \stackrel{(4.33)}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m}(m-2+p_{m-1}(1)+p_m(2)) & \text{if } m \geq 4, \\ \frac{1}{3}(2+p_2(1)+p_3(2)) & \text{if } m = 3, \\ \frac{1}{2}(2+p_1(1)+p_2(2)) & \text{if } m = 2, \\ 2+p_{m-1}(1)+p_m(2) & \text{if } m \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.34)$$

It is now clear from (4.32) and Lemma 4.4(i) that S_λ is norm-increasing. Also, by (4.32)-(4.34),

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - 3\|S_\lambda e_{(0,m)}\|^2 + 3\|S_\lambda^2 e_{(0,m)}\|^2 - \|S_\lambda^3 e_{(0,m)}\|^2 \\ &= \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{m}(1-3p_m(1)+p_{m-1}(1)+p_m(2)) & \text{if } m \geq 4, \\ -\frac{1}{3}(2-3p_3(1)+p_2(1)+p_3(2)) & \text{if } m = 3, \\ \frac{1}{2}(3p_2(1)-p_1(1)-p_2(2)) & \text{if } m = 2, \\ -1+3p_m(1)-p_{m-1}(1)-p_m(2) & \text{if } m \leq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.9), shows that

$$1 - 3\|S_\lambda e_{(0,m)}\|^2 + 3\|S_\lambda^2 e_{(0,m)}\|^2 - \|S_\lambda^3 e_{(0,m)}\|^2 = 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.4(ii), S_λ is a 3-isometry. In particular, S_λ is left-invertible (see [AS1995, Lemma 1.21]).

Next, we check that S_λ is analytic. By (3.10), $\lambda_{(0,m)} \leq 1$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Another application of (3.10) shows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{(j,m+n)}}{\lambda_{(0,m+j-1)}} \right)^2 \geq \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{m+n}(n-1)}{m+n} & \text{if } m \geq 1, \\ \sum_{n=-m+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{m+n}(n-1)}{m+n} & \text{if } m \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

This, together with the fact that $p_m(n) \geq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see (3.7)) shows that both the series on the right-hand side above are divergent. Hence, by the virtue of Theorem 4.3, S_λ is analytic.

To show that S_λ does not have the wandering subspace property, because of the duality formula

$$S_\lambda'^{\infty}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))^\perp \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} [\ker S_\lambda^*]_{S_\lambda},$$

it suffices to check that the Cauchy dual S_λ' of S_λ is not analytic. By Lemma 2.1(ii), S_λ' is the weighted shift $S_{\lambda'}$ on \mathcal{T}_{qb} , where

$$\lambda'((n,m)) := \lambda'_{(n,m)} = \frac{\lambda_{(n,m)}}{\|S_\lambda e_{\text{par}((n,m))}\|^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This together with (2.4), (2.5) and (3.10) yields

$$\lambda'_{(n,m)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_{(0,m)}}{\lambda_{(0,m)}^2 + \lambda_{(1,m+1)}^2} = \sqrt{\frac{m}{m+1}} & \text{if } n = 0, m \geq 1, \\ \frac{\lambda_{(1,m)}}{\lambda_{(0,m-1)}^2 + \lambda_{(1,m)}^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} & \text{if } n = 1, m \geq 2, \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_{(n,m)}} = \sqrt{\frac{p_m(n-2)}{p_m(n-1)}} & \text{if } n \geq 2, m \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.35)$$

It is now easy to see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda'_{(j,n+1)}}{\lambda'_{(0,j)}} \right)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_{n+1}(n-1)}, \quad (4.36)$$

which is convergent (since each p_n is a quadratic polynomial). Hence, by Theorem 4.3, the non-zero function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda'_{(j,n+1)}}{\lambda'_{(0,j)}} \right) e_{(n,n+1)}$ belongs to the hyper-range of $S_{\lambda'}$. This shows that S'_{λ} is not analytic. The remaining part is now immediate from Theorem 1.2 (applied to $T = S_{\lambda}$). \square

A careful examination of the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that the hyper-range of the Cauchy dual operator $S_{\lambda'}$ is necessarily trivial if the weighted shift S_{λ} in question is a 2-isometry (cf. [R1988, Theorem 1]). To see this, observe that the series in (4.36) is divergent if the polynomials p_m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, are of degree 1, and hence one may apply Theorem 4.3.

Now we reach the final step for constructing analytic norm-increasing 3-isometries without the wandering subspace property.

Example 4.5. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $a_m = p'_m(0)$ and $b_m = \frac{p''_m(0)}{2}$. Note that (3.9) holds if and only if

- (i) $1 + a_2 - a_1 = b_1 + b_2$,
- (ii) $a_3 - a_2 = 1 + b_2 + b_3$,
- (iii) $a_m - a_{m-1} = b_m + b_{m-1}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{2, 3\}$.

To see that the equations (i)-(iii) admit a solution, set $\mathbb{N}_k := \{0, \dots, k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the sequences $\{a_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}_3}$ and $\{b_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}_3}$ given by

$$a_m = \begin{cases} 1 + 3 \cdot 2^{m-1} & \text{if } m \leq -1, \\ \frac{81}{8} - \frac{3}{2^{m+1}} & \text{if } m \geq 4, \end{cases} \quad b_m = \begin{cases} 2^{m-1} & \text{if } m \leq -1, \\ \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} & \text{if } m \geq 4. \end{cases} \quad (4.37)$$

It is easy to see that the above choices of bi-sequences satisfy (iii) for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}_4$. We are interested in the solution set

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \{(a_j, b_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_3} \subseteq (0, \infty)^2 : \{a_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{b_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ satisfy (i)-(iii)} \right\}.$$

By (4.37), $\{(a_j, b_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_3} \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if (i) and (ii) hold and

$$a_0 = b_0 + 2, \quad a_1 - a_0 = b_1 + b_0, \quad a_3 + b_3 = 10.$$

Eliminating the parameters a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 from the equations above, we get

$$\{(b_0 + 2, b_0), (2 + b_1 + 2b_0, b_1), (1 + 2b_0 + 2b_1 + b_2, b_2), (10 - b_3, b_3)\} \in \mathcal{S}$$

if and only if $\sum_{j=0}^3 b_j = 4$. It is now clear that there are infinitely many elements in \mathcal{S} . For example,

$$\{(4 - s, 2 - s), (6 - s, s), (7 - t, 2 - t), (10 - t, t)\} \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for all } s, t \in (0, 1].$$

Given $\{(a_j, b_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_3} \in \mathcal{S}$, the polynomials

$$p_m(n) = 1 + a_m n + b_m n^2, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

also fulfil the conditions (3.7) and (3.8). An application of Theorem 3.1 now shows that the weighted shift S_λ , with weight system given by (3.10), on \mathcal{T}_{qb} is an analytic norm-increasing 3-isometry, which does not have the wandering subspace property. Also, an application of Corollary 3.3 to the bi-infinite sequence $\{p_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ answers Question 1.1 in the negative. ■

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Let S_λ be the weighted shift on \mathcal{T}_{qb} with weight system given by (3.10). It is easy to see using (2.5), (3.7) and (4.35) that for any integer $m \geq 3$,

$$\sum_{(k,l) \in \text{Chi}(0,m)} \frac{(\lambda'_{(k,l)})^2}{\|S'_\lambda e_{(k,l)}\|^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{m} \left(p'_m(0) + \frac{p''_m(0)}{2} \right) > 1.$$

Hence, by [JJS2012, Theorem 5.1.2], S'_λ is not hyponormal:

$$S'^*_\lambda S'_\lambda \not\geq S'_\lambda S'^*_\lambda.$$

This seems to be one of the obstructions to the wandering subspace property for S_λ (cf. [S2002, Eq. (26)]). To see some more obstructions, note that by [LGR2021, Theorem 4.6], S_λ is unitarily equivalent to \mathcal{M}_z , the operator of multiplication by z acting on a vector-valued de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(B)$ for some Schur class function $B : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_\lambda)$ satisfying $B(0) = 0$, where $\mathcal{C}_\lambda = \overline{\Delta_{1,S_\lambda}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\lambda = \ker S^*_\lambda$. It is worth mentioning that the defect operator Δ_{1,S_λ} is of infinite rank. Indeed, by (4.32), $e_{(0,m)} \in \Delta_{1,S_\lambda}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}))$ for every integer $m \leq 1$, and hence \mathcal{C}_λ is of infinite dimension. More generally, if an analytic norm-increasing 3-isometry $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ does not have the wandering subspace property, then by [LGR2021, Theorem 1.4] and [R1988, Theorem 1], the defect space $\overline{\Delta_{1,T}(\mathcal{H})}$ is necessarily infinite-dimensional. Also, by [JJS2012, Proposition 3.5.1(ii)] and (2.5), \mathcal{D}_λ is of infinite dimension. We do not know, however, whether there exists an analytic norm-increasing 3-isometry $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ without the wandering subspace property for which $\ker T^*$ is of finite dimension. The problem of classifying analytic norm-increasing 3-isometries with the wandering subspace property certainly deserves more attention.

REFERENCES

- [AS1995] J. Agler, M. Stankus, m -isometric transformations of Hilbert spaces, I, II, III, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **21**, **23**, **24** (1995, 1995, 1996), 383-429, 1-48, 379-421. doi: 10.1007/BF01222016
- [ARS1996] A. Aleman, S. Richter, C. Sundberg, Beurling's theorem for the Bergman space, *Acta Math.* **177** (1996), 275-310. doi: 10.1007/BF02392623
- [ACJS2019] A. Anand, S. Chavan, Z. J. Jabłoński, J. Stochel, A solution to the Cauchy dual subnormality problem for 2-isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **277** (2019), art. 108292, 51 pp. doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2019.108292
- [ACT2020] A. Anand, S. Chavan, S. Trivedi, Analytic m -isometries without the wandering subspace property, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148** (2020), 2129-2142. doi: 10.1090/proc/14894
- [B1949] A. Beurling, On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Acta Math.* **81** (1949), 239-255. doi: 10.1007/BF02395019

- [BJJS2017] P. Budzyński, Z. J. Jabłoński, I. B. Jung, J. Stochel, Subnormality of unbounded composition operators over one-circuit directed graphs: exotic examples, *Adv. Math.* **310** (2017), 484-556. doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2017.02.004
- [CPT2017] S. Chavan, D. K. Pradhan, S. Trivedi, Multishifts on directed Cartesian products of rooted directed trees, *Dissertationes Math.* **527** (2017), 102 pp. doi: 10.4064/dm758-6-2017
- [CS2022] S. Chavan, J. Stochel, Weakly concave operators, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, (2022) (to appear). doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2108.10228
- [CT2016] S. Chavan, S. Trivedi, An analytic model for left-invertible weighted shifts on directed trees, *J. London Math. Soc.* **94** (2016), 253-279. doi: 10.1112/jlms/jdw029
- [CHL2021] R. E. Curto, I. S. Hwang, W. Y. Lee, The Beurling-Lax-Halmos theorem for infinite multiplicity, *J. Funct. Anal.* **280** (2021), Paper No. 108884, 101 pp. doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108884
- [D1967] D. R. Dickinson, *Operators: An algebraic synthesis*, Macmillan, London-Melbourne-Toronto, Ont.; St. Martin's Press, New York 1967 v+245 pp.
- [GGR2022] S. Ghara, R. Gupta, Md. R. Reza, Analytic m -isometries and weighted Dirichlet-type spaces, *J. Operator Theory*, **88** (2022), 445-477. doi: 10.7900/jot.2021mar26.2335
- [H1961] P. R. Halmos, Shifts on Hilbert spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **208** (1961), 102-112. doi: 10.1515/crll.1961.208.102
- [HKZ2000] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 199. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. x+286 pp.
- [J2003] Z. J. Jabłoński, Hyperexpansive composition operators, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **135** (2003), 513-526. doi: 10.1017/S0305004103006844
- [JJS2012] Z. J. Jabłoński, I. B. Jung, J. Stochel, Weighted shifts on directed trees, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **216** (2012), no. 1017, viii+106. doi: 10.1090/S0065-9266-2011-00644-1
- [K2011] P. Kumar, *A study of composition operators on ℓ^p spaces*, Thesis (PhD), Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, 2011.
- [L1959] P. D. Lax, Translation invariant spaces, *Acta Math.* **101** (1959), 163-178. doi: 10.1007/BF02559553
- [LGR2021] S. Luo, C. Gu, S. Richter, Higher order local Dirichlet integrals and de Branges-Rovnyak spaces, *Adv. Math.* **385** (2021), Paper No. 107748, 47 pp. doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2021.107748
- [MMS2016] W. Majdak, M. Mbekhta, L. Suciú, Operators intertwining with isometries and Brownian parts of 2-isometries, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **509** (2016) 168-190. doi: 10.1016/j.laa.2016.07.014
- [O2005] A. Olofsson, Wandering subspace theorems, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **51** (2005), 395-409. doi: 10.1007/s00020-003-1322-0
- [R1988] S. Richter, Invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet shift, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **386** (1988), 205-220. doi: 10.1515/crll.1988.386.205
- [R2019] E. Rydhe, Cyclic m -isometries and Dirichlet type spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.* **99** (2019), 733-756. doi: 10.1112/jlms.12199
- [S2001] S. Shimorin, Wold-type decompositions and wandering subspaces for operators close to isometries, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **531** (2001), 147-189. doi: 10.1515/crll.2001.013
- [S2002] S. Shimorin, Complete Nevanlinna-Pick property of Dirichlet-type spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **191** (2002), 276-296. doi: 10.1006/jfan.2001.3871

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR, 208016, INDIA

Email address: `chavan@iitk.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE, PILANI 333031, INDIA

Email address: `shailesh.trivedi@pilani.bits-pilani.ac.in`