

---

# APPLICATIONS OF LAX-MILGRAM THEOREM TO PROBLEMS IN FRAME THEORY

---

**Laura De Carli**

Florida International Univ., Dept. of mathematics and statistics, Miami, FL 33199.  
decarlil@fiu.edu

**Pierluigi Vellucci**

Univ. Roma Tre, Dept. of economics, Via Silvio D'Amico 77, 00145 Rome, Italy.  
pierluigi.vellucci@uniroma3.it

## ABSTRACT

We apply Lax-Milgram theorem to characterize scalable and piecewise scalable frame in finite and infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. We also introduce a method for approximating the inverse frame operator using finite-dimensional linear algebra which, to the best of our knowledge, is new in the literature.

**Keywords** frames, scalable frames, inverse frame operator, Lax-Milgram

## 1 Introduction

Let  $J \subset \mathbb{N}$  denote a set of indices that can either be finite or infinite. A sequence of distinct vectors  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$  belonging to a separable Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a *frame* for  $\mathcal{H}$  if there exist positive constants  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} |\langle x, x_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2 \quad (1.1)$$

for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . We will assume, often without saying, that the vectors  $x_j$  have norm 1. The *frame operator* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $S = S_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $S(x) = \sum_{j \in J} \langle x, x_j \rangle x_j$ . From general frame theory, we know that  $S$  is bounded, self-adjoint and invertible, and the representation formula

$$x = \sum_{j \in J} \langle x, S^{-1}x_j \rangle x_j, \quad (1.2)$$

holds for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Furthermore, the series in (1.2) converges unconditionally for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . The scalars  $\langle x, S^{-1}x_j \rangle$  are called the *frame coefficients* of  $x$  relative to the frame  $\mathcal{F}$ .

In general, the representation formula (1.2) may difficult to apply because inverting  $S$  can be challenging, but if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Parseval frame (i.e., if  $A = B = 1$ ), then  $Sx = S^{-1}x = x$ , and the reconstruction formula  $x = \sum_{j \in J} \langle x, x_j \rangle x_j$ , holds for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . A key question in frame theory is how to modify a given frame so that the resulting system forms a Parseval frame. One way to do this is just by scaling each frame vector in such a way to obtain a Parseval frame. Frames for which such modification is possible are called *scalable*. Unfortunately frame scaling is a very difficult problem [3, 7–9, 18, 19, 22, 23]. A more general definition of scaling is given in [4]. We have recalled these definitions and properties of scalable frames in Section 2.2.

In this paper we use the Lax-Milgram theorem to characterize frames that are scalable in the classical and generalized sense. We also present a method for approximating the inverse frame operators which seems new in the literature. Our main results are in Sections 3 and 4. In Section 2 we have collected some preliminaries on frames and the Lax Milgram theorem, and in Section 5 we have provided some examples.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Basic on frames

Most of the results presented in this section can be found e.g. in the classical textbook [12]. For a given nonempty set  $J \subset \mathbb{N}$  we denote with  $\ell^2(J)$  the space of sequences of real numbers  $\vec{a} = (a_j)_{j \in J}$  for which  $\sum_{j \in J} |a_j|^2 < \infty$  and with  $\ell^\infty(J)$  the space of sequences for which  $\sup_{j \in J} |a_j| < \infty$ . When convenient, we will also use the notation  $a = (a(j))_{j \in J}$  to denote vectors in  $\ell^p(J)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a frame for a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The *synthesis operator* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$T_{\mathcal{F}} : \ell^2(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad T_{\mathcal{F}}(\vec{a}) = \sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j.$$

The *analysis operator* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$T_{\mathcal{F}}^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(J), \quad T_{\mathcal{F}}^*(x) = (\langle x, x_j \rangle)_{j \in J}.$$

We will omit the subscript  $\mathcal{F}$  when there is no ambiguity. Note that  $TT^* = S$ , the frame operator of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The operator  $S$  is self-adjoint, invertible and positive, and satisfies.

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \langle S(x), x \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} |\langle x, x_j \rangle|^2 = \|T^*(x)\|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2 \quad (2.1)$$

where  $0 < A < B$  are the frame constants of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Parseval frame, then  $\langle S(x), x \rangle = \|x\|^2$ , and  $S(x) = x$ .

When  $\mathcal{H}$  is a finite-dimensional vector space, we can identify  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the vectors of the frame with vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that, with some abuse of notation, we will still denote with  $x_j$ . The synthesis operator of  $\mathcal{F}$  is represented by a matrix  $M_T$  whose  $i$ -th column is  $x_i$ , in the sense that  $M_T x = T(x)$ . The frame operator of  $\mathcal{F}$  is represented by the matrix  $M_S = M_T(M_T)^*$ . The element of the matrix  $M_S = \{m_{i,k}\}_{i,k \leq n}$  are the dot products of the rows of  $M_T$ , i.e.

$$m_{i,k} = \sum_{j \in J} x_j(k) x_j(i). \quad (2.2)$$

Note that the diagonal elements of  $M$  are positive. Indeed,  $m_{k,k} = \sum_{j \in J} x_j(k)^2 \geq 0$ . If  $x_j(k) = 0$  for every  $j$ , then the vectors  $x_j$  would not span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; thus,  $x_j(k) \neq 0$  for some  $j$  and  $m_{k,k} > 0$ .

Frame operators of frames in  $\ell^2(J)$  can be represented by matrices also when  $|J| = \infty$ . We will not discuss the properties of this representation; the reader can refer to [1] for a thorough discussion on representations of frame operators with matrices in infinite-dimensional spaces.

When convenient, we will identify  $k$ -dimensional sub-spaces of  $\ell^2(J)$  with  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ; for example, if  $\Pi_k : \ell^2(J) \rightarrow \ell^2(J)$ ,  $\Pi_k(x) = (x(j_1) \dots x(j_k), 0, \dots)$  is the orthogonal projection on the first  $k$  components of  $x$ , we will identify vectors  $(x(j_1) \dots, x(j_k), 0, \dots) \in \ell^2(J)$  with vectors  $(x(j_1) \dots, x(j_k)), x(j) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a frame on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with frame operator  $S$ . Let  $P : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be an orthogonal projection. Recall that an orthogonal projection  $P : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $P^* = P \circ P = P$ . We can see at once that

$$PSP(x) = P \left( \sum_{i \in J} \langle x_j, Px \rangle x_j \right) = \sum_{i \in J} \langle Px_j, Px \rangle Px_j$$

which is the frame operator of  $P(\mathcal{F})$ . This observation proves the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *The set  $P(\mathcal{F}) = \{Px_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a frame on  $P(\mathcal{H})$  with frame operator  $PSP$ .*

Note that the operator  $PSP$  is defined in  $\mathcal{H}$ , but it is only invertible in  $P(\mathcal{H})$ . In the following we will assume, often without saying, that  $PSP : P(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow P(\mathcal{H})$ .

*Remark.* If  $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(J)$  and  $P = \Pi_k$ , we can identify  $\Pi_k(\ell^2(J))$  with  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and the matrix that represents  $\Pi_k S \Pi_k$  on  $\Pi_k(\ell^2(J))$  with a  $k \times k$  matrix. In view of this observation and (2.2), we can see at once that if  $S$  is represented by the matrix  $M_S$ , the frame operator of  $\Pi_k(\mathcal{F})$  is represented on  $\Pi_k(\ell^2(J))$  by the matrix obtained from the intersection of the first  $k$  rows and columns of  $M_S$ . Note that by the Cauchy interlacing theorem (see e.g. [21], Thm. 4.3.17) principal sub-matrices of positive-definite symmetric matrices are always positive-definite.

## 2.2 Scalable and piecewise scalable frames

Let us recall the definition of scalable frames from [23].

**Definition 2.1.** A frame  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_i\}_{i \in J}$  for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is *scalable* if there exists  $\vec{c} \in \ell^\infty(J)$  for which  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{c}} =: \{c_i x_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a Parseval frame.

We denote with  $T_{\vec{c}}, T_{\vec{c}}^*$  and  $S_{\vec{c}}$  the analysis, the synthesis and the frame operator of  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{c}}$ . Thus,

$$S_{\vec{c}}x = \sum_{j \in J} |c_j|^2 \langle x, x_j \rangle x_j \quad (2.3)$$

Some of the  $c_j$  are allowed to be zero as long as  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{c}}$  is still a frame; we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *strictly scalable* if it can be scaled to a Parseval frame with nonzero coefficients  $c_j$ .

We let  $D_{\vec{c}} : \ell^2(J) \rightarrow \ell^2(J)$  be the operator defined as

$$D_{\vec{c}}(\vec{y}) = (y_j c_j)_{j \in J}. \quad (2.4)$$

We also let  $T_{\vec{c}}^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(J)$ ,

$$T_{\vec{c}}^*(x) = D_{\vec{c}} \circ T^* = (c_j \langle x, x_j \rangle)_{j \in J} = (\langle x, c_j x_j \rangle)_{j \in J}$$

be the analysis operator of  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{c}}$ , and

$$S_{\vec{c}} = (T_{\vec{c}}^*)^* \circ T_{\vec{c}}^* = T \circ D_{\vec{c}}^2 \circ T^* \quad (2.5)$$

be the frame operator of  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{c}}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}$  is scalable if and only if  $T \circ D_{\vec{c}}^2 \circ T^* = I$ , the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The following generalization of Definition 2.1 is in [4].

**Definition 2.2.** A frame  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_i\}_{i \in J}$  for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is *piecewise scalable* if there exist an orthogonal projection  $P : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and constants  $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i \in J}$  so that  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}} =: \{a_i P x_i + b_i (I - P) x_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a Parseval frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In [4] only finite frames in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are considered, but the definitions and many results proved in that paper easily generalize to frames in infinite dimensional spaces.

With the notation previously introduced, the analysis operator of  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}^* x &=: (\langle x, a_j P x_j + b_j (I - P) x_j \rangle)_{j \in J} \\ &= (\langle P x, a_j x_j \rangle)_{j \in J} + (\langle (I - P) x, b_j x_j \rangle)_{j \in J} \\ &= D_{\vec{a}} T^* P x + D_{\vec{b}} T^* (I - P) x. \end{aligned}$$

The synthesis operator is  $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}(\vec{c}) = P T D_{\vec{a}}(\vec{c}) + (I - P) T D_{\vec{b}}(\vec{c})$ , and the frame operator is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}} &= T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}} T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}^* = (P T D_{\vec{a}} + (I - P) T D_{\vec{b}})(D_{\vec{a}} T^* P + D_{\vec{b}} T^* (I - P)) \\ &= P T D_{\vec{a}}^2 T^* P + (I - P) T D_{\vec{b}}^2 T^* (I - P) + \{R + R^*\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $R = (I - P) T D_{\vec{b}} D_{\vec{a}} T^* P$ . In view of (2.5), we can write

$$S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}} = P S_{\vec{a}} P + (I - P) S_{\vec{b}} (I - P) + \{R + R^*\}. \quad (2.6)$$

We prove the following

**Lemma 2.2.** *With the notation and definitions previously introduced, a frame  $\mathcal{F}$  is piecewise scalable with projection  $P$  and scaling constants  $\vec{a}, \vec{b}$  if and only if the following holds for every  $u, w \in \mathcal{H}$*

- $\langle D_{\vec{a}} T^* P u, D_{\vec{b}} T^* (I - P) w \rangle = 0$ ,
- $\|D_{\vec{a}} T^* P u\|^2 + \|D_{\vec{b}} T^* (I - P) u\|^2 = \|u\|^2$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.8 in [4],  $\mathcal{F}$  is piecewise scalable if and only if i) and ii) below hold.

$$i) \quad R = (I - P) T D_{\vec{b}} D_{\vec{a}} T^* P = 0, \quad ii) \quad P S_{\vec{a}} P + (I - P) S_{\vec{b}} (I - P) = I..$$

The proof of Theorem 2.8 is for frames in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , but its generalization to infinite-dimensional vector spaces is straightforward.

Let us show that a) is equivalent to i) and b) to ii). Indeed, for every  $u, w \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\langle Ru, w \rangle = \langle (I - P)TD_{\bar{b}}D_{\bar{a}}T^*Pu, w \rangle = \langle D_{\bar{a}}T^*Pu, D_{\bar{b}}T^*(I - P)w \rangle$$

thus  $R = 0$  if and only if a) holds.

Let  $S_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}} = PS_{\bar{a}}P + (I - P)S_{\bar{b}}(I - P)$ . Since  $P$  and  $I - P$  have orthogonal range,

$$\langle S_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}u, u \rangle = \langle PS_{\bar{a}}Pu, u \rangle + \langle (I - P)S_{\bar{b}}(I - P)u, u \rangle = \|D_{\bar{a}}T^*Pu\|^2 + \|D_{\bar{b}}T^*(I - P)u\|^2.$$

If ii) holds, then  $S_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}} = I$  and b) trivially holds. Conversely, if b) holds, then  $\langle S_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}u, u \rangle = \|u\|^2$  and this is only possible if  $S_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}} = I$ . □

### 2.3 The Stampacchia and the Lax-Milgram theorems

We recall the following definitions. A bilinear form  $a = a(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *bounded* if there exist a constant  $C > 0$  for which  $a(u, v) \leq C\|u\|\|v\|$  whenever  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ . We say that  $a$  is *coercive* if there exist a constant  $c > 0$  for which  $a(u, u) \geq c\|u\|^2$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ , and it is symmetric if  $a(u, v) = a(v, u)$ .

A linear function  $L : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *bounded* (or continuous) if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $|L(v)| \leq C\|v\|$  for every  $v \in \mathcal{H}$ . We can also say that  $L \in \mathcal{H}'$ , the dual space of  $\mathcal{H}$ . By the Riesz representation theorem, for every  $L \in \mathcal{H}'$  there exists a unique  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $L(u) = \langle f, u \rangle$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Given a bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  and a function  $L = \langle f, \cdot \rangle \in \mathcal{H}'$ , we define the functional  $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}a(u, u) - Lu \tag{2.7}$$

The Lax-Milgram theorem can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 2.3** (Lax-Milgram). *Let  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a bilinear, bounded and coercive form on  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $L \in \mathcal{H}'$ . Let  $J$  be as in (2.7). There exists a unique  $v \in \mathcal{H}$  for which  $a(u, v) = L(u)$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ .*

*If  $a$  is symmetric,  $v$  is characterized by the property*

$$J(v) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{H}} J(u). \tag{2.8}$$

where  $J$  is as in (2.7).

The following theorem due to G. Stampacchia is an important generalization of the Lax-Milgram theorem

**Theorem 2.4** (Stampacchia). *Let  $K$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  be as in Theorem 2.3. Then, for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , exists a unique  $v \in K$  such that*

$$a(v, u - v) \geq \langle f, u - v \rangle \quad \text{for every } u \in K. \tag{2.9}$$

*If  $a$  is conjugate-symmetric,  $v$  is characterized by the property*

$$J(v) = \min_{u \in K} J(u)$$

where  $J$  is as in (2.7).

For the proof of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 see e.g. [2], section 5.3.

Theorem 2.3 can be proven by showing that there exists a linear, bounded, bijective application  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  for which  $a(u, v) = \langle Au, v \rangle$  whenever  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite-dimensional, the functional  $A$  is represented by a matrix that can still be denoted with  $A$ . Thus, for a given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , the unique  $v$  in Theorem 2.3 satisfies  $\langle Av, u \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ , and hence  $v = A^{-1}f$ . If  $a$  is symmetric, then  $A$  is self-adjoint, and by the second part of Lax-Milgram theorem, the minimum of the functional  $J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Au, u \rangle - \langle f, u \rangle$  is attained when  $u = v = A^{-1}f$ .

If  $K$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then for a given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , the unique  $v \in K$  that satisfies (2.9) satisfies also  $a(v, u) = \langle Av, u \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle$  for every  $u \in K$ . The properties of such  $v$  are described by the following

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be linear, bounded and invertible. Let  $K$  be a nonempty closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

a) For a given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  exists a unique  $v \in K$  for which  $\langle Av, u \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle$  for every  $u \in K$ . If  $A$  is self-adjoint, the minimum of the operator

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Au, u \rangle - \langle f, u \rangle \quad (2.10)$$

is attained when  $u = v$ .

b) Let  $P = P_K : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be the orthogonal projection on  $K$ . The operator  $PAP$  is invertible on  $K$ , and for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , the element  $v = (PAP)^{-1}Pf$  is characterized by the property (2.10).

*Proof.* a) follows directly from Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

Let us prove b). For a given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , the element  $v$  in (2.10) is such that  $v = Pv$ . By a), for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$  we have that  $\langle APv, Pu \rangle = \langle PAPv, u \rangle = \langle Pf, u \rangle$ . Thus, for a given  $f \in P(\mathcal{H})$ , we can always find a unique  $v \in P(\mathcal{H})$  for which  $PAPv = Pf$ , which proves that the operator  $PAP$  is invertible on  $P(\mathcal{H})$ .  $\square$

We state and prove here an easy lemma that will be useful in the following sections

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , suppose that there exist  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  for which  $F(x_0) = \min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} F(x)$ . Then

$$F(x_0) = \inf_{r>0} \min_{\|y\|=r} F(y).$$

*Proof.* By assumption,  $F(x_0) \leq \min_{\|y\|=r} F(y)$  for every  $r > 0$ , and so also  $F(x_0) \leq \inf_{r>0} \min_{\|y\|=r} F(y)$ . On the other hand, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have that  $F(x) \geq \min_{\|y\|=|x|} F(y)$ , and so  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} F(x) \geq \inf_{\|x\|>0} \min_{\|y\|=|x|} F(y)$ . Thus,  $F(x_0) \geq \inf_{r>0} \min_{\|y\|=r} F(y)$  and the proof is concluded.  $\square$

## 2.4 Lax-Milgram theorem for frames

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $S(x) = \sum_{j \in J} \langle x_j, x \rangle x_j$  be the frame operator of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $s : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$s(u, v) = \langle Su, v \rangle.$$

In view of the frame inequality (2.1) and the fact that  $S$  is self-adjoint, the bilinear form  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  is bounded, coercive and symmetric. The Lax-Milgram and Stampacchia theorems yield the following

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a frame of  $\mathcal{H}$  with frame operator  $S$ . Let  $J(u)$  be defined as in (2.11).

a) For a given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a unique  $v = S^{-1}f$  for which  $\langle Sv, u \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ . Furthermore,  $v$  minimizes the functional

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Su, u \rangle - \langle f, u \rangle. \quad (2.11)$$

b) If  $K$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $P : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is the orthogonal projection on  $K$ , the operator  $PSP$  is invertible on  $P(\mathcal{H})$ , and  $v = (PSP)^{-1}Pf$  attains the minimum of the functional  $J(u)$  on  $P(\mathcal{H})$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Corollary 2.3.  $\square$

*Remarks.* 1) In finite dimensional Hilbert spaces, every positive self-adjoint operator is the frame operator of a certain frame [6].

2) Since  $S = TT^*$ , where  $T^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(J)$  is the analysis operator of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can also write  $\langle Sv, u \rangle = \langle T^*v, T^*u \rangle$ , and

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|T^*u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle. \quad (2.12)$$

## 3 Applications of Lax-Milgram theorem

In this section we use Lax-Milgram theorem to characterize scalable and piecewise scalable frames. We start with the following

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a frame in  $\mathcal{H}$  with frame constants  $A < B$ . Let  $S$  be the frame operator of  $\mathcal{F}$  and, for a given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Su, u \rangle - \langle f, u \rangle$  be as in (2.11).

a) We have

$$-\frac{\|f\|^2}{2A} \leq \min_{u \in \mathcal{H}} J(u) \leq -\frac{\|f\|^2}{2B}.$$

b)  $\mathcal{F}$  is Parseval if and only if, for every  $f \in H$

$$\min_{u \in \mathcal{H}} J(u) = -\frac{1}{2}\|f\|^2.$$

*Proof.* (a) For a given  $t \geq 0$ , let  $J_t$  be the restriction of  $J$  to the set  $\sigma_t = \{u : \|u\| = t\}$ . We can see at once that for every  $u \in \sigma_t$ ,

$$J_t(u) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \langle Su, u \rangle - \langle f, u \rangle \right) \leq \left( \frac{B}{2} \|u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle \right) = \frac{Bt^2}{2} - \langle f, u \rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\min_{u \in H} J(u) = \inf_{t > 0} \min_{u \in \sigma_t} J_t(u) \leq \inf_{t > 0} \left( \frac{Bt^2}{2} - \max_{u \in \sigma_t} \langle f, u \rangle \right) \quad (3.1)$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,  $\langle f, u \rangle \leq t\|f\|$  whenever  $u \in \sigma_t$ , and equality is attained when  $u = \frac{tf}{\|f\|}$ . Thus,

$$\min_{u \in H} J(u) \leq \inf_{t > 0} \left( \frac{Bt^2}{2} - t\|f\| \right).$$

The minimum of the right-hand side is attained when  $t = \frac{\|f\|}{B}$ , and so  $\min_{u \in H} J(u) \leq -\frac{\|f\|^2}{2B}$ . We can prove that

$\min_{u \in H} J(u) \geq -\frac{\|f\|^2}{2A}$  in a similar manner.

(b) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is Parseval, we have  $A = B = 1$  and by (a),  $\min_{u \in H} J(u) = -\frac{\|f\|^2}{2}$ .

We now assume that  $\min_{u \in H} J(u) = -\frac{1}{2}\|f\|^2$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , and we prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is Parseval. After perhaps re-scaling the vectors of the frame, we can assume that  $B = 1$ ; thus,  $\langle Sf, f \rangle \leq \|f\|^2$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\tilde{J}(u) = J(u) + \frac{1}{2}\|f\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\langle Su, u \rangle - \langle f, 2u - f \rangle)$ . By assumption,  $\tilde{J}(u) \geq 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ , and so also  $\tilde{J}(f) = \langle Sf, f \rangle - \|f\|^2 \geq 0$ . We can infer that  $\langle Sf, f \rangle = \|f\|^2$ , and hence that  $\mathcal{F}$  is Parseval.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a frame in  $\mathcal{H}$ ; Let  $P : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H$  be an orthogonal projection, and let  $\vec{a}, \vec{b}$  and  $\vec{c} \in \ell^\infty(J)$  for which the sets  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{c}} = \{c_j x_j\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}} = \{a_j P x_j + b_j (I - P)x_j\}_{j \in J}$  are frames in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Recall that  $D_{\vec{c}} T^*$  and  $D_{\vec{a}} T^* P + D_{\vec{b}} T^* (I - P)$ , with  $D_{\vec{b}}$  defined as in (2.4) are the analysis operators of  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{c}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}$ ; we have denoted the frame operators of  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{c}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}$  with  $S_{\vec{c}}$  and  $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}$ .

We prove the following

**Corollary 3.2.** (a) A frame  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$  is scalable if and only if there exists  $\vec{c} \in \ell^\infty(J)$  such that, for every  $f \in H$ ,

$$\min_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|D_{\vec{c}} T^* u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2. \quad (3.2)$$

(b)  $\mathcal{F}$  is piecewise scalable with projection  $P$  if and only if there exist constants  $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \ell^\infty(J)$  for which i) and ii) hold.

i) For every  $u, w \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\langle D_{\vec{a}} T^* P u, D_{\vec{b}} T^* (I - P) w \rangle = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

ii) for every  $f \in H$ ,

$$\min_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\|D_{\vec{a}} T^* P u\|^2 + \|D_{\vec{b}} T^* (I - P) u\|^2) - \langle f, u \rangle \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2. \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof.* (a) Since  $\langle S_{\vec{c}}u, u \rangle = \|D_{\vec{c}}T^*u\|^2$ , (3.2) follows directly from Theorem 3.1.

(b) If  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}$  is Parseval, by Theorem 3.1, we have that

$$\min_{u \in \mathcal{H}} J(u) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \langle S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}u, u \rangle - \langle f, u \rangle \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

By Lemma 2.2, (3.3) holds and  $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}} = PS_{\vec{a}}P + (I - P)S_{\vec{b}}(I - P)$ . Thus,

$$\langle S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}u, u \rangle = \langle PS_{\vec{a}}Pu + (I - P)S_{\vec{b}}(I - P)u, u \rangle = \|D_{\vec{a}}T^*Pu\|^2 + \|D_{\vec{b}}T^*(I - P)u\|^2. \quad (3.6)$$

(3.5) and (3.6) yield (3.4).

Assume that (3.3) and (3.4) hold. By Lemma 2.2, the frame operator of  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}$  is  $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}} = PS_{\vec{a}}P + (I - P)S_{\vec{b}}(I - P)$  and  $\|D_{\vec{a}}T^*Pu\|^2 + \|D_{\vec{b}}T^*(I - P)u\|^2 = \langle S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}u, u \rangle$ . Thus, (3.4) is equivalent to  $\min_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \langle S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}u, u \rangle - \langle f, u \rangle \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2$ , and by Theorem 3.1 we can conclude that  $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}}$  is Parseval.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *a) A frame  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$  is scalable if and only if there exist constants  $\vec{c} \in \ell^\infty(J)$  for which the following inequality holds for every  $u, w, f \in \mathcal{H}$ .*

$$-\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|D_{\vec{c}}T^*u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

*b)  $\mathcal{F}$  is piecewise scalable with projection  $P$  if and only if there exist constants  $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \ell^\infty(J)$  for which (3.3) and the following inequality holds for every  $u, f \in \mathcal{H}$ .*

$$-\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|D_{\vec{a}}T^*Pu\|^2 + \|D_{\vec{b}}T^*(I - P)u\|^2) - \langle f, u \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof.* We only prove a) since the proof of b) follows from Lemma 2.2 and a similar argument.

Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is scalable. Let  $\vec{c} \in \ell^\infty(J)$  for which  $\langle S_{\vec{c}}u, u \rangle = \|D_{\vec{c}}T^*u\|^2 = \|u\|^2$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ ; by Corollary 3.2, for every  $u, f \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|D_{\vec{c}}T^*u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle \geq \min_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|D_{\vec{c}}T^*u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle \right\} \geq -\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2.$$

and so

$$-\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|D_{\vec{c}}T^*u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \langle f, u \rangle$$

which is (3.7)

If (3.7) holds, then, when  $u = f$  we have that  $-\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle S_{\vec{c}}f, f \rangle - \|f\|^2 \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2$  and so  $\langle S_{\vec{c}}f, f \rangle = \|f\|^2$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

## 4 Approximating the inverse frame operator

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a frame for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with frame operator  $S(x) = \sum_{j \in J} \langle x_j, x \rangle x_j$ . As remarked in the introduction, every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  can be represented as in (1.2) in terms of the inverse of the frame operator. Since evaluating  $S^{-1}$  can be very difficult, even for finite frames, it is important to approximate  $S^{-1}$ , or at least to approximate the frame coefficients of  $f$ .

### 4.1 Approximations with projections

For a given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , we let  $J_f(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Su, u \rangle - \langle f, u \rangle$ . By Lax-Milgram theorem,  $v = S^{-1}f$  is the only solution of the problem  $\mathcal{P}$  below.

$$\text{Problem } \mathcal{P} : \quad \text{Given } f \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ find } v \in \mathcal{H} \text{ for which } J_f(v) \leq J_f(u) \text{ for every } u \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (4.1)$$

Consider a family of projections  $P_N : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that, for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P_N(u) = u \quad \text{and} \quad P_{N+1}(\mathcal{H}) \supset P_N(\mathcal{H}). \quad (4.2)$$

We let  $\mathcal{H}_N = P_N(\mathcal{H})$ , and we consider the following

$$\text{Problem } \mathcal{P}_N : \quad \text{Given } f \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ find } v_N \in \mathcal{H}_N \text{ for which } J_f(v_N) \leq J_f(u) \text{ for every } u \in \mathcal{H}_N. \quad (4.3)$$

By Theorem 2.5,  $v_N = (P_N S P_N)^{-1} P_N f$  is the only solution of Problem  $\mathcal{P}_N$  in  $\mathcal{H}_N$ .

The following theorem shows that the solutions of the problem  $\mathcal{P}_N$  provide a good approximation of  $v = S^{-1}f$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $v$  and  $v_N$  be the solutions of Problems  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_N$  defined above. Then,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|v_N - v\| = 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|(P_N S P_N)^{-1} P_N f - S^{-1}f\| = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

From Theorem 4.1 follows that for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and every frame vector  $x_j \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle v_N, x_j \rangle = \langle v, x_j \rangle = \langle S^{-1}f, x_j \rangle.$$

Thus, the frame coefficients of  $f$  can be approximated in terms of the  $v_N$ .

To prove Theorem 4.1, we use the following

**Theorem 4.1.** (Vigier) *Let  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of bounded self-adjoint operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is strongly convergent if it is increasing and bounded above, or if it is decreasing and bounded below.*

Here, "increasing and bounded above" (or "decreasing and bounded below") means that for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|u\| = 1$ , the sequence  $n \rightarrow \langle A_n u, u \rangle$  is increasing and bounded above (or decreasing and bounded below). Recall that a sequence of bounded operators  $A_n : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  strongly converges to an operator  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|A_n u - Au\| = 0$ .

Vigier's theorem can also be stated in a more general form (see [26], Theorem 4.1). Theorem 4.1 yields the following

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\{P_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of orthogonal projections on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $P_{N+1}(\mathcal{H}) \supset P_N(\mathcal{H})$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\cup_{N=1}^{\infty} P_N(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}$ . Then, the projections  $P_N$  strongly converge to the identity, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|P_n u - u\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}_n = P_n(\mathcal{H})$ . In view of the assumptions on the  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x) = x$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Observe that  $P_{j+1}P_j = P_jP_{j+1} = P_j$ , that  $P_j^2 = P_j$ , and  $\|P_j x\| \leq \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,

$$\langle P_j x, x \rangle = \langle P_j^2 x, x \rangle = \|P_j x\|^2 = \|P_j P_{j+1} x\|^2 \leq \|P_{j+1} x\|^2 = \langle P_{j+1} x, x \rangle$$

which shows that the sequence  $\{P_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is increasing and bounded above. By Vigier's theorem, the  $P_N$  converge strongly to the identity, as required.  $\square$

The following Lemma is Theorem 3.1-2 in [27] but we will prove it here for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and let  $v$  and  $v_N$  be the solutions of Problems  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_N$  defined above. There exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that*

$$\|v - v_N\| \leq C \inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}_N} \|u - v\|. \quad (4.5)$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $v$  and the  $v_N$  satisfy

$$\langle S v, u \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle, \quad \langle S v_N, P_N u \rangle = \langle f, P_N u \rangle$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $y \in \mathcal{H}_N$  and let  $w_N = y - v_N$ . The element  $w_N$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_N$  and therefore to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus,  $\langle S v, w_N \rangle = \langle f, w_N \rangle$  and  $\langle S v_N, w_N \rangle = \langle f, w_N \rangle$ , and so  $\langle S(v - v_N), w_N \rangle = 0$ ; we can see at once that

$$\langle S(v - v_N), v - v_N \rangle = \langle S(v - v_N), v - y \rangle + \langle S(v - v_N), w_N \rangle = \langle S(v - v_N), v - y \rangle.$$



In view of  $A\|x\|^2 \leq \langle S(x), x \rangle$  and  $\langle S(x), S(x) \rangle = \langle S^2x, x \rangle \leq B^2\|x\|^2$ , we gather

$$A\|v - v_N\|^2 \leq \langle S(v - v_N), v - y \rangle \leq \|S(v - v_N)\| \|v - y\| \leq B\|v - v_N\| \|v - y\|$$

from which follows that  $\|v - v_N\|^2 \leq \frac{B}{A}\|v - y\|$ . Since the inequality holds for every  $y \in \mathcal{H}_N$ , (4.5) follows with  $C = \frac{B}{A}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* By Lemma 4.3,

$$\|v - v_N\| \leq C \inf_{y \in \mathcal{H}_N} \|y - v\| \leq C\|v - P_N v\|$$

and by Corollary 4.2,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - v_N\| \leq C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - P_N v\| = 0$   $\square$

*Remark.* Our approach to approximate the inverse frame operator is different from the approximation methods presented in [12, Chapt 23].

In [12, Section 23.1], the author considers the increasing sequence of finite frames  $\mathcal{F}_N = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_N = \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  and approximates the frame operators of  $\mathcal{F}$  with the frame operators of the  $\mathcal{F}_N$ . For a given  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ , the sequence  $S_N u = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle u, x_j \rangle x_j$  converges to  $Su$ , but the frame coefficients  $\langle S_N^{-1}u, x_k \rangle$  converge to the  $\langle S^{-1}u, x_k \rangle$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$  if and only if, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \leq n$ , we have that  $\|S_N^{-1}(x_j)\| \leq C_j$ , with  $C_j$  independent of  $N$  ([12, Theorem 23.1.1]). See also [10].

Let  $P_N$  denote the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{H}_N = \text{Span}\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ . The Casazza-Christensen method (see [12, Section 23.2], and [11]) consists in approximating  $S^{-1}$  with operators  $(P_n S_{n+m(n)})^{-1} P_n : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$ , where  $m(n) > 0$  is chosen so that the frame bounds of the frames  $\{P_n f_k\}_{k=1}^{n+m(n)}$  are all the same.

In [12, Theorem 23.2.3] it is proved that  $(P_n S_{n+m(n)})^{-1} P_n u$  converges to  $S^{-1}u$  in the strong topology of  $\mathcal{H}$ , from which follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (P_n S_{n+m(n)})^{-1} P_n u, x_k \rangle = \langle S^{-1}u, x_k \rangle$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ .

The method of approximation presented in our paper relies on a family of orthogonal projections that satisfy the assumptions in Corollary 4.2; we do not approximate  $S$  with frame operators of frames related to  $\mathcal{F}$  in an obvious way. In th

## 5 Examples

In the previous section we have shown that, for a given family of projections  $\{P_N\}_N$  that satisfy the the assumptions in Corollary 4.2, the inverse of the frame operator  $S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  can be approximated arbitrarily well (in the sense of Theorem 4.1) with the inverse of operators  $P_N S P_N : P_N(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow P_N(\mathcal{H})$ . The following example illustrates how our results can be applied.

*Example 1.* Let  $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ , with  $f_1 = e_1$  and  $f_k = e_{k-1} + \frac{1}{k}e_k$ . Here  $\{e_n\}$  is the canonical orthonormal basis of  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

It is easy to verify that the frame operator of  $\mathcal{F}$  is represented by a matrix  $M$  with elements  $m_{i,j}$ , with  $m_{i,j} = 0$  if  $|i - j| \geq 2$ , and  $m_{j,j} = 1 + \frac{1}{(j+1)^2}$ , and  $m_{j,j+1} = m_{j+1,j} = \frac{1}{j+1}$ .

Let  $\Pi_N : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\Pi_N(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, \dots)$  be the projection on the first  $N$  components of  $x$ . We have observed in Section 2.1 that  $\Pi_N S \Pi_N$  is represented on  $\Pi_N(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$  by the matrix  $M_N$  formed by the intersection of the first  $N$  rows and columns of  $M$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|S^{-1}f - M_N^{-1}(\Pi_N f)\| = 0$  whenever  $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , from which follows that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_N^{-1}(\Pi_N f), x_k \rangle = \langle S^{-1}f, x_k \rangle$ .

The sub-matrices  $M_N$  are symmetric tri-diagonal; the inverse of these matrices are well-studied and explicit formulas are know. See [25].

Example 23.1.3 in [12] shows how the approximation method presented in [12, Section 23.1] does not work for the frame  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## 5.1 Inverting an increasing sequence of matrices

The previous example can be generalized to any frame of  $\ell^2(J)$ , with frame operator represented by the infinite matrix  $M = \{m_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . If  $S$ , the frame operator of  $\mathcal{F}$ , is represented by the matrix  $M$ , the operators  $\Pi_N S \Pi_N$  are represented on  $\mathcal{H}_N = \Pi_N(\ell^2(J))$  by the matrices  $M_N$  formed by the intersection of the first  $N$  rows and columns of  $M$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|S^{-1}f - M_N^{-1}(\Pi_N f)\| = 0$  whenever  $f \in \ell^2(J)$ , and so the problem of approximating  $S^{-1}$  reduces to the problem of inverting a sequence of matrices  $\{M_N\}_N$  where, for every  $N \geq 1$ ,  $M_N$  is the principal sub-matrix of order  $N$  of  $M_{N+1}$ .

The results that follow are not new, but we present them here for completeness.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $M_n$  be a symmetric invertible  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $M_{n-1}$  be the sub-matrix of  $M_n$  obtained after removing the  $n$ -th row and column of  $M_n$ . If  $k = m_{n,n} - b^T M_{n-1}^{-1} b \neq 0$ , then*

$$M_n^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{n-1}^{-1} + \frac{1}{k}(M_{n-1}^{-1}b)(M_{n-1}^{-1}b)^T & -\frac{1}{k}M_{n-1}^{-1}b \\ -\frac{1}{k}(M_{n-1}^{-1}b)^T & \frac{1}{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

To prove the theorem, we use the Sherman–Morrison formula (see [28], and also [24]).

**Lemma 5.2.** *If the matrices  $A$  and  $A + B$  are invertible and  $B = uv^T$ , then*

$$(A + B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \left( I - \frac{uv^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1}u} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* We can write  $M_n = \begin{pmatrix} M_{n-1} & b \\ b^T & m_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$  where  $b^T = (m_{n,1}, \dots, m_{n,n-1})$ . By the well-known formula for the inverse of a block matrix, we obtain

$$M_n^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (M_{n-1} - \frac{1}{m_{n,n}}bb^T)^{-1} & -\frac{1}{k}M_{n-1}^{-1}b \\ -\frac{1}{k}b^T M_{n-1}^{-1} & \frac{1}{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $k = m_{n,n} - b^T M_{n-1}^{-1} b$ .

So, we need to evaluate the inverse of  $M_{n-1} - \frac{1}{m_{n,n}}bb^T$ .

If we apply 5.1 with  $A = M_{n-1}$  and  $u = v = m_{n,n}^{-\frac{1}{2}}b$ , we obtain

$$(M_{n-1} - \frac{1}{m_{n,n}}bb^T)^{-1} = M_{n-1}^{-1} \left( I + \frac{1}{k}(bb^T M_{n-1}^{-1}) \right),$$

and so

$$M_n^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{n-1}^{-1} \left( I + \frac{1}{k}(bb^T M_{n-1}^{-1}) \right) & -\frac{1}{k}M_{n-1}^{-1}b \\ -\frac{1}{k}b^T M_{n-1}^{-1} & \frac{1}{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

□

## 5.2 Inverting the frame operator of frames with $n$ elements in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let  $S$  be the frame operator of frame with  $n$  elements in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $A$  be an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix, and let  $x_j = y_j$ . Then

$$S(x) = \sum \langle x_j, x \rangle x_j = \sum \langle Ay_j, x \rangle Ay_j = A \sum \langle y_j, A^T x \rangle y_j \quad (5.2)$$

Thus, if we let  $S_A$  be the frame operator of the frame  $A^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ , and  $y = A^T x$ , then  $S(x) = AS_A A^T(x)$ . If the vectors  $y_j$  are orthonormal, then the frame operator  $S_A$  is the identity, and so  $S = AA^T$ ; thus,

$$S^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T A^{-1}.$$

We can construct the matrix  $A$  of the change of basis from  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  to the orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ , which is obtained from  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  by the Gram-Schmidt process. Using the notation  $\langle u|v \rangle := \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle}$ , we can construct

recursively the columns of the matrix  $A$  as follows. Since  $e_1 = \frac{1}{\|v_1\|} \cdot v_1 \Rightarrow v_1 = \|v_1\| \cdot e_1$ , and the first column

$$\text{of } A \text{ is } C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \|v_1\| \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us now assume that we already have the orthonormal vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  and the first  $k$  columns of  $A$ . We let  $w_{k+1} = v_{k+1} - \langle e_1 | v_{k+1} \rangle e_1 - \langle e_2 | v_{k+1} \rangle e_2 - \dots - \langle e_k | v_{k+1} \rangle e_k$  and  $e_{k+1} := \frac{1}{\|w_{k+1}\|} w_{k+1}$ . Then,

$$v_{k+1} = \langle e_1 | v_{k+1} \rangle e_1 + \langle e_2 | v_{k+1} \rangle e_2 + \dots + \langle e_k | v_{k+1} \rangle e_k + \|w_{k+1}\| e_{k+1}$$

gives the  $k+1$ -th column of  $A$ :

$$C_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle e_1 | v_{k+1} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle e_k | v_{k+1} \rangle \\ \|w_{k+1}\| \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix of the operator  $S$  has the following form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \|v_1\| & \langle e_1 | v_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle e_1 | v_n \rangle \\ 0 & \|w_2\| & \cdots & \langle e_2 | v_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \|w_n\| \end{pmatrix}$$

It is possible to factorize the matrix  $S$  as follows:

$$D = \text{Diag}(\|v_1\|, \|w_2\|, \dots, \|w_n\|), \quad S = DQ$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\langle e_1 | v_2 \rangle}{\|v_1\|} & \cdots & \frac{\langle e_1 | v_n \rangle}{\|v_1\|} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \frac{\langle e_2 | v_n \rangle}{\|w_2\|} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I + N$$

where  $N$  is nilpotent, i.e.  $N^n = O$ . Therefore:

$$Q^{-1} = (I + N)^{-1} = I + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k N^k = I - N + N^2 - N^3 + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} N^{n-1},$$

Finally:

$$S^{-1} = Q^{-1}D^{-1} = Q^{-1} \text{Diag} \left( \frac{1}{\|v_1\|}, \frac{1}{\|w_2\|}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|w_n\|} \right)$$

## References

- [1] P. Balasz, *Matrix Representation of Operators Using Frames*, Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing 7 (2008) pp. 39--54
- [2] H. Brezis, *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2011
- [3] J. Cahill and X. Chen, *A note on scalable frames*, Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Sampling Theory and Applications (2013) pp. 93-96.
- [4] P. Cazazza, L. De Carli and T. Tran, *Piecewise scalable frames*, Preprint (2022). <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.12678.pdf>
- [5] P. G. Casazza, O. Christensen, *Approximation of the inverse frame operator and applications to Gabor frames*, Journal of Approximation Theory 103 (2) (2000) pp. 338--356.

- [6] P. G. Casazza, M. Leon, *Existence and construction of finite frames with a given frame operator*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math 63 (2) (2010) pp. 149–157.
- [7] P. G. Casazza and X. Chen, *Frame scalings: A condition number approach*, Linear Algebra and Applications. **523** (2017) pp. 152-168.
- [8] A. Chan, R. Domagalski, Y. H. Kim, S. K. Narayan, H. Suh, and X. Zhang, *Minimal scalings and structural properties of scalable frames*, Operators and matrices. **11**(4) (2017) 1057-1073.
- [9] X. Chen, G. Kutyniok, K. A. Okoudjou, F. Philipp, and R. Wang, *Measures of scalability*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory. **61**(8) (2015) pp. 4410-4423.
- [10] O. Christensen, *Frames and the projection method*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis 1 (1) (1993) pp. 50–53.
- [11] O. Christensen, *Finite-dimensional approximation of the inverse frame operator*, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications 6 (1) (2000) pp. 79–91.
- [12] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases* (second edition) Birkhäuser, 2016.
- [13] O. Christensen, T. Strohmer, *The finite section method and problems in frame theory*, Journal of Approximation Theory 133 (2) (2005) pp. 221–237.
- [14] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, *Adaptive wavelet methods for elliptic operator equations: convergence rates*, Math. Comp. 70 (233) (2001) pp. 27–75.
- [15] Cohen, Dahmen, DeVore, *Adaptive wavelet methods ii—beyond the elliptic case*, Foundations of Computational Mathematics 2 (3) (2002) pp. 203–245.
- [16] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, *Adaptive wavelet schemes for nonlinear variational problems*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 41 (5) (2003) pp. 1785–1823.
- [17] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, *Sparse evaluation of compositions of functions using multiscale expansions*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 35 (2) (2003) pp. 279–303.
- [18] M. S. Copenhaver, Y. H. Kim, C. Logan, K. Mayfield, S. K. Narayan, M. J. Petro, and J. Sheperd, *Diagram vectors and tight frame scaling in finite dimensions*, Oper. Matrices. **8**(1) (2014) pp. 73-88.
- [19] R. Domagalski, Y. Kim, and S. K. Narayan, *On minimal scalings of scalable frames*, Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Sampling Theory and Applications. (2015) pp. 91-95.
- [20] H. Feichtinger, P. Jorgensen, D. Larson, G. Olafsson, *Mini-workshop: Wavelets and frames*, in: mini-workshop held February, Vol. 1521, 2004, pp. 479–543.
- [21] R. Horn, C. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press (2013)
- [22] G. Kutyniok, K.A. Okoudjou, F. Phillip, and E.K. Tuley, *Scalable frames*, Linear Algebra Appl. **438**(5) (2013) pp. 2225-2238.
- [23] G. Kutyniok, K. A. Okoudjou, and F. Philipp, *Scalable frames and convex geometry*, Contemp. Math. **626** (2014) pp. 19-32.
- [24] Miller, K. S. *On the inverse of the sum of matrices*. Math. Mag. 54 (1981), no. 2, pp. 67–72
- [25] G. Meurant, *A review on the inverse of symmetric tridiagonal and block tridiagonal matrices*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. Vol. 13 (1992) no. 3, pp. 707–728
- [26] Murphy, Gerald J. *C\*-algebras and operator theory*. Academic press, 2014.
- [27] P.-A. Raviart, J.-M. Thomas, P. G. Ciarlet, J. L. Lions, *Introduction à l'analyse numérique des équations aux dérivées partielles*, Vol. 2, Dunod Paris, 1998.
- [28] Sherman, Jack; Morrison, Winifred J. *Adjustment of an Inverse Matrix Corresponding to a Change in One Element of a Given Matrix*. Annals of Mathematical Statistics Vol. 21 (1950) n. 1, pp. 124–127.
- [29] R. M. Young, *An introduction to non-harmonic Fourier series*, Academic Press, 2001.