

FREE \mathbb{Q} -GROUPS ARE RESIDUALLY TORSION-FREE NILPOTENT

ANDREI JAIKIN-ZAPIRAIN

ABSTRACT. We develop a method to show that some (abstract) groups can be embedded into a free pro- p group. In particular, we show that every finitely generated subgroup of a free \mathbb{Q} -group can be embedded into a free pro- p group for almost all primes p . This solves an old problem raised by G. Baumslag: free \mathbb{Q} -groups are residually torsion-free nilpotent.

1. INTRODUCTION

A group G is called a **\mathbb{Q} -group** if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in G$ there exists exactly one $h \in G$ satisfying $h^n = g$. These groups were introduced by G. Baumslag in [4] under the name of \mathcal{D} -groups. He observed that \mathbb{Q} -groups may be viewed as universal algebras, and as such they constitute a variety. Every variety of algebras contains free algebras (in that variety). In the variety of \mathbb{Q} -groups we call such free algebras **free \mathbb{Q} -groups**. G. Baumslag dedicated several papers to the study of residual properties of free \mathbb{Q} -groups [5, 7, 9]. For example, in [5] he showed that a free \mathbb{Q} -group is residually periodic-by-soluble and locally residually finite-by-soluble. He wrote in [5] “It is, of course, still possible that, locally, free \mathcal{D} -groups are, say, residually finite p -groups” or in [7] “In particular it seems likely that free \mathcal{D} -groups are residually torsion-free nilpotent. However the complicated nature of free \mathcal{D} -groups makes it difficult to substantiate such a remark.” This conjecture is part of two main collections of problems in group theory ([10, Problem F12] and [38, Problem 13.39 (a),(c)]), and in addition to mentioned works of Baumslag, it was also studied in [15, 22]. In this paper we solve Baumslag’s conjecture.

Theorem 1.1. *A free \mathbb{Q} -group is residually torsion-free nilpotent.*

The structure of a finitely generated subgroup of a free \mathbb{Q} -group was studied already in [4] (see also [53, Section 8] and Proposition 5.3). It was shown that it is the end result of repeatedly freely adjoining n th roots to a finitely generated free group. The key point of our proof of Theorem 1.1 is to show that any finitely generated subgroup of a free \mathbb{Q} -group can be

Date: November 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 20E06, Secondary: 16K40, 20C07, 20E18, 20E26.

Key words and phrases. Free \mathbb{Q} -groups, free pro- p groups, mod- p L^2 -Betti numbers, Lück approximation, universal division ring of fractions.

embedded into a finitely generated free pro- p group for some prime p . We actually prove the following more precise result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be a prime. Let H_0 be a finitely generated free group and let $H_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ be the canonical embedding of H_0 into its pro- p completion \mathbf{F} . Let $(H_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of subgroups of \mathbf{F} such that for $i \geq 0$,*

- (1) $H_{i+1} = \langle H_i, B_i \rangle$, where B_i is a finitely generated abelian subgroup of \mathbf{F} and
- (2) $A_i = H_i \cap B_i$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of H_i .

Then for every $i \geq 0$, the canonical map

$$H_i *_{A_i} B_i \rightarrow H_{i+1}$$

is an isomorphism,

Theorem 1.2 is actually an application of the slightly more technical Theorem 5.1.

Let us make a few remarks about the groups A_i and B_i . It is relatively easy to describe abelian subgroups of amalgamated products. In particular, the conclusion of the theorem implies that all abelian subgroups of H_i are finitely generated. Thus, an implicit hypothesis, which appears in the theorem, that maximal abelian subgroups A_i of H_i are finitely generated, is automatically fulfilled.

A maximal abelian subgroup of \mathbf{F} is isomorphic to the additive group of the ring of p -adic numbers $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$. Therefore, for any finitely generated (abstract) abelian subgroup A of \mathbf{F} and any finitely generated torsion-free abelian group B which contains A and such that B/A has no p -torsion, it is possible to extend the embedding $A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ to an embedding $B \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$. This extension is unique if and only if B/A is finite.

Given a commutative ring A , we will introduce in Section 5 the notions of A -group and free A -group $F^A(X)$. For example, a free pro- p group is an example of a \mathbb{Z}_p -group. We have the following consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $F(X)$ be the free group on a finite free generating set X , let \mathbf{F} be its pro- p completion. Then the canonical homomorphism*

$$\phi : F^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$$

is injective.

Let H be a group and A the centralizer of a non-trivial element. Then the group $G = H *_{A} (A \times \mathbb{Z}^k)$ is said to be obtained from H by **extension of a centralizer**. A group is called an **ICE group** if it can be obtained from a free group using iterated centralizer extensions. A group G is a **limit group** if and only if it is a finitely generated subgroup of an ICE group (see [37, 14]). All centralizers of non-trivial elements of an ICE group are abelian. Thus, Theorem 1.1 provides explicit realizations of ICE groups (and so limit groups) as subgroups of a non-abelian free pro- p -groups (for this application we only need the case where all B_i/A_i are torsion-free). Non-explicit realizations of limit groups as subgroups of a non-abelian free pro- p group (in fact, as subgroups of every compact group containing a non-abelian free group) was obtained in [2] (see also [12]).

In Section 5 we recall the definition of the \mathbb{Q} -completion of a group G . For example, a free \mathbb{Q} -group is the \mathbb{Q} -completion of a free group. Theorem 1.2 allows also to show that the \mathbb{Q} -completion of a limit group is residually torsion-free nilpotent.

Theorem 1.4. *The \mathbb{Q} -completion of a limit group is residually torsion-free nilpotent.*

A group G is called **parafree** if it is residually nilpotent and for some free group F , we have that for all i , $G/\gamma_i(G) \cong F/\gamma_i(F)$ where $\gamma_i(G)$ denotes the terms of the lower central series of G . Baumslag introduced this family of groups and produced many examples of them [6]. In [35] we apply the method of the proof of Theorem 1.2 in order to construct new examples of finitely generated parafree groups.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is by induction on i . In the inductive step argument we start with the following situation. We have a finitely generated subgroup H of \mathbf{F} , a maximal abelian subgroup A of H and an abelian subgroup B of \mathbf{F} containing A . We want to show that the canonical homomorphism $H *_A B \rightarrow \langle H, B \rangle$ is an isomorphism. Unfortunately, we do not know how to show this statement in such a generality, but we prove it in Theorem 5.1 under an additional assumption that the embedding $H \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is strong (see Definition 3.8). Theorem 5.1 is the main result of the paper. Its proof uses in an essential way the results of [32], where we proved a particular case of the Lück approximation in positive characteristic.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give basic preliminaries. The proof of Theorem 5.1 uses the theory of mod- p L^2 -Betti numbers. In Section 3 we explain how to define them for subgroups G of a free pro- p group. In Section 4 we introduce a technical notion of \mathcal{D} -torsion-free modules and show that some relevant $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules are $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free (see Proposition 4.10). In Section 5 we prove Theorem 5.1 and obtain all the results mentioned in the introduction. In Section 6 we discuss the following two well-known problems concerning linearity of free pro- p groups and free \mathbb{Q} -groups:

Question 1.5.

- (1) (*I. Kapovich*) *Is a free \mathbb{Q} -group linear?*
- (2) (*A. Lubotzky*) *Is a free pro- p group linear?*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am very grateful to Pavel Zalesski who explained to me how a surface group can be embedded into a free pro- p group. This was a starting point of Theorem 1.2. I would like to thank Warren Dicks for providing the references needed in the proof of Proposition 2.13, Yago Antolin, Ashot Minasyan and a referee for explaining to me the proof of Theorem 6.1, Emmanuel Breuillard for pointing out the paper [2] and Ismael Morales and anonymous referees for useful suggestions and comments.

This paper is partially supported by the grants MTM2017-82690-P and PID2020-114032GB-I00 of the Ministry of Science and Innovation of Spain and by the ICMAT Severo Ochoa project CEX2019-000904-S4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. R -rings. All rings in this paper are associative and have the identity element. All ring homomorphisms send the identity to the identity. We denote the invertible elements of a ring R by R^* . An R -module means a left R -module. By an **R -ring** we understand a ring homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$. We will often refer to S as R -ring and omit the homomorphism φ if φ is clear from the context. Two R -rings $\varphi_1 : R \rightarrow S_1$ and $\varphi_2 : R \rightarrow S_2$ are said to be **isomorphic** if there exists a ring isomorphism $\alpha : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ such that $\alpha \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$.

If $Y = \{y_i : i \in I\}$, we denote by $A\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$ the ring of formal power series with coefficients in A on the non-commuting indeterminates Y .

2.2. Left ideals in group algebras. Let G be a group and k a commutative ring. We denote by I_G the augmentation ideal of $k[G]$. If H is a subgroup of G we denote by I_H^G the left ideal of $k[G]$ generated by I_H . The following lemma gives an alternative description of the $k[G]$ -module I_H^G .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $H \leq T$ be subgroups of G . Then the following holds.*

(a) *The canonical map*

$$k[G] \otimes_{k[H]} I_H \rightarrow I_H^G$$

sending $a \otimes b$ to ab , is an isomorphism of $k[G]$ -modules.

(b) *The canonical map $k[G] \otimes_{k[T]} (I_T/I_H^T) \rightarrow I_T^G/I_H^G$, sending $a \otimes (b + I_H^T)$ to $ab + I_H^G$, is an isomorphism of $k[G]$ -modules.*

Proof. (a) Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_H \rightarrow k[H] \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0.$$

The freeness of $k[G]$ as $k[H]$ -module implies that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow k[G] \otimes_{k[H]} I_H \xrightarrow{\alpha} k[G] \xrightarrow{\beta} k[G] \otimes_{k[H]} k \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact. Here α sends $a \otimes b$ to ab and β sends a to $a \otimes 1$. Thus, α establishes an isomorphism of $k[G]$ -modules between $k[G] \otimes_{k[H]} I_H$ and $\ker \beta = I_H^G$. This proves the first claim of the lemma.

(b) Consider now the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_H^T \rightarrow I_T \rightarrow I_T/I_H^T \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying $k[G] \otimes_{k[T]}$ and taking again into account that $k[G]$ is a free $k[T]$ -module, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_H^G \rightarrow I_T^G \rightarrow k[G] \otimes_{k[T]} (I_T/I_H^T) \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves the second claim. \square

2.3. Profinite modules over pro- p groups. In this paper the letters \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , \mathbf{H} , etc. will denote pro- p groups. When we speak about pro- p groups, the finite generation, the finite presentation, the freeness etc. will always be considered in the category of pro- p groups. For example, $d(\mathbf{G})$ denotes the minimal number of topological generators of \mathbf{G} .

Almost all pro- p groups that we consider are free pro- p groups. Recall that a closed subgroup of a free pro- p group is also free pro- p ([56, Corollary 7.7.5]). As a consequence we obtain the following result which we will use often in this paper.

Lemma 2.2. *Every maximal abelian subgroup of a non-trivial free pro- p group is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$.*

Let \mathbf{G} be a pro- p group. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ the inverse limit of $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{U}]$, where the limit is taken over all open normal subgroups \mathbf{U} of \mathbf{G} . $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ is called the **completed group algebra of \mathbf{G} over \mathbb{F}_p** . In the case where \mathbf{G} is a free pro- p group we have the following useful description of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$.

Proposition 2.3. [39, Section II, Proposition 3.1.4] *Let \mathbf{F} be a finitely generated free pro- p group freely generated by x_1, \dots, x_d . Then the continuous \mathbb{F}_p -algebra homomorphism*

$$\mathbb{F}_p\langle\langle y_1, \dots, y_d \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$$

that sends y_i to $x_i - 1$ (for $1 \leq i \leq d$) is an isomorphism.

A **discrete $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module** is an $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module M such that for any $m \in M$,

$$\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]}(m) := \{a \in \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] : am = 0\}$$

is open in $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$.

A **profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module** is an inverse limit of finite discrete $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathbf{G} be a pro- p group. Let $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism of profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules. If M is finitely generated as an $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module, then α is continuous.*

Remark 2.5. *This lemma resembles a well-known result of Nikolov and Segal [55] that says that every homomorphism from a finitely generated profinite group to a profinite group is always continuous. This is equivalent to that every subgroup of finite index in a finitely generated profinite group is open. In the case of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules, the situation is different: it is not always true that every left ideal of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ of finite index is open.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that N is a finite discrete $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module and we have to show that $\ker \alpha$ is open.

We put $I = \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]} = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] : aN = \{0\}\}$. Then I is an open, and so also closed, ideal of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$. Let IM be the submodule of M generated by $\{a \cdot m : a \in I, m \in M\}$. Since M is finitely generated we can write

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]m_i.$$

Therefore, $IM = \sum_{i=1}^s Im_i$.

From the definition of a profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module it follows that the multiplicative map $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \times \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is continuous. Hence, since I is closed in $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$, $IM = \sum_{i=1}^s Im_i$ is a closed submodule of M . But IM is also of finite index. Hence, it is open in M . Since $IM \leq \ker \alpha$, $\ker \alpha$ is also open. \square

If $M = \varprojlim_{i \in I} M_i$ and $N = \varprojlim_{j \in J} N_j$ are right and left, respectively, profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules (M_i and N_j are finite discrete $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules), then the profinite tensor product is denoted by $\widehat{\otimes}$ and it is defined as the inverse limit of $M_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]} N_j$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let \mathbf{H} be a closed subgroup of \mathbf{G} and let M be a finitely presented $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module. Then M is a profinite module and the canonical map*

$$\gamma : \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules.

Remark 2.7. *It is claimed in [56, Proposition 5.5.3 (d)] that it is enough to assume that M is a finitely generated profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module. However, we want to warn the reader that the proof is incorrect.*

Proof. Since M is finitely presented, there exists an exact sequence of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -modules

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]^r \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]^d \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we can write $M \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]^d / I$, where $I = \text{Im } \alpha$. By Lemma 2.4, α is continuous. Since $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]^r$ is compact and Hausdorff, I is closed in $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]^d$. Hence $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]^d / I$, and so M , are profinite.

It is clear that

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]] \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]] \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]].$$

Thus, after applying $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$ to the sequence (1) we obtain a commutative diagram of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]^r & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]^d & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\ \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]^r & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]^d & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Since \otimes and $\widehat{\otimes}$ are right exact (see [57, Theorem 2.6.3] and [56, Proposition 5.5.3(a)]) the horizontal sequences are exact. This clearly implies that γ is an isomorphism. \square

Recall that if a pro- p group \mathbf{G} is finitely generated, then the augmentation ideal $I_{\mathbf{G}}$ is finitely generated as an $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module. In particular, the trivial $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module \mathbb{F}_p is finitely presented. We can extend this to all finite discrete $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules.

Lemma 2.8. *Let \mathbf{G} be a finitely generated pro- p group and let M be a finite discrete $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module. Then M is finitely presented as an $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module.*

Proof. We have to show that every open submodule of a finitely generated profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module M is finitely generated. Arguing by induction on the index of the open submodule, we easily obtain this statement from the fact that $I_{\mathbf{G}}$ is finitely generated. \square

2.4. Left ideals in completed group algebras. Now we apply the results of the previous subsection in a particular situation that interests us.

Let \mathbf{G} be a pro- p group. The definition of free profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules can be found in [56, Section 5.2]. We will need the the following fact.

Lemma 2.9. *Let \mathbf{G} be a pro- p group and \mathbf{H} a closed subgroup of \mathbf{G} . Then $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ is a free profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module. In particular the functor $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} -$ is exact.*

Proof. The first part follows from [56, Corollary 5.7.2] and the second one from [56, Proposition 5.5.3 (e)]. \square

We denote by $I_{\mathbf{G}}$ the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$. The following lemma is well-known. We provide a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.10. *Let \mathbf{H} be a finitely presented pro- p group. Then $I_{\mathbf{H}}$ is finitely presented as a $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ is a local ring, a profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module M is finitely presented if and only if $H_0(\mathbf{H}; M)$ and $H_1(\mathbf{H}; M)$ are finite. Since \mathbf{H} is finitely presented as a pro- p group, [56, Theorem 7.8.1 and Theorem 7.8.3] imply that $H_1(\mathbf{H}; \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $H_2(\mathbf{H}; \mathbb{F}_p)$ are finite. However, we have that for $i \geq 0$, $H_i(\mathbf{H}, I_{\mathbf{H}}) = H_{i+1}(\mathbf{H}; \mathbb{F}_p)$. Thus, $I_{\mathbf{H}}$ is finitely presented as a $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module. \square

If \mathbf{H} is a closed subgroup of \mathbf{G} , then $I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ denotes the closed left ideal of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ generated by $I_{\mathbf{H}}$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let \mathbf{G} be a pro- p group and let $\mathbf{H} \leq \mathbf{T}$ be closed subgroups of \mathbf{G} . Then the following holds.*

(a) *The continuous map*

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} I_{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}$$

that sends $a \otimes b$ to ab , is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules.

(b) *If \mathbf{H} is finitely presented, the map*

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} I_{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}$$

that sends $a \otimes b$ to ab , is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules.

(c) *If \mathbf{T} is finitely presented and \mathbf{H} is finitely generated, the map*

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{T}]]} (I_{\mathbf{T}}/I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{T}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{G}}/I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}$$

sending $a \otimes (b + I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{T}})$ to $ab + I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}$, is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -modules.

Proof. (a) Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2.9, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} I_{\mathbf{H}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact. Thus, $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} I_{\mathbf{H}}$ is isomorphic to $\ker \beta = I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}$.

(b) Since \mathbf{H} is finitely presented, by Lemma 2.10, $I_{\mathbf{H}}$ is finitely presented as $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module, and so, by Lemma 2.6,

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} I_{\mathbf{H}} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} I_{\mathbf{H}}.$$

Thus we conclude that the natural map from $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} I_{\mathbf{H}}$ to $I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ is also an isomorphism by (a).

(c) Consider now the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{T}}/I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{T}]]}$ and taking again into account that $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ is a free profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{T}]]$ -module (Lemma 2.10), we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{T}]]} (I_{\mathbf{T}}/I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{T}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathbf{T} is finitely presented and \mathbf{H} is finitely generated, by Lemma 2.10, $I_{\mathbf{T}}/I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{T}}$ is finitely presented as $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{T}]]$ -module. Thus, by Lemma 2.6, the canonical map

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{T}]]} (I_{\mathbf{T}}/I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{T}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{T}]]} (I_{\mathbf{T}}/I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{T}})$$

is an isomorphism. This proves the last claim. \square

Corollary 2.12. *Let \mathbf{H} be a finitely generated free subgroup of a pro- p group \mathbf{G} . Then $I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module of rank $d(\mathbf{H})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, $I_{\mathbf{H}}$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module of rank $d(\mathbf{H})$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.11(b), $I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -module of rank $d(\mathbf{H})$. \square

2.5. On amalgamated products of groups. Let G be a group and H_1 and H_2 two subgroups that generate G and have intersection $A = H_1 \cap H_2$. The following result gives an algebraic condition for G to be isomorphic to the amalgamated product of H_1 and H_2 over A .

Proposition 2.13. [40] *Let k be a non-trivial commutative ring. Then the canonical map $H_1 *_A H_2 \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism if and only if $I_{H_1}^G \cap I_{H_2}^G = I_A^G$ in $k[G]$.*

Proof. This is [40, Theorem 1], which is proved for $k = \mathbb{Z}$ but the proof works over an arbitrary nonzero commutative ring k . \square

2.6. On convergence of Sylvester rank functions. Let R be a ring. A **Sylvester matrix rank function** rk on R is a function that assigns a non-negative real number to each matrix over R and satisfies the following conditions.

- (SMat1) $\text{rk}(M) = 0$ if M is any zero matrix and $\text{rk}(1) = 1$ (where 1 denotes the identity matrix of size one);
- (SMat2) $\text{rk}(M_1 M_2) \leq \min\{\text{rk}(M_1), \text{rk}(M_2)\}$ for any matrices M_1 and M_2 which can be multiplied;

- (SMat3) $\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rk}(M_1) + \text{rk}(M_2)$ for any matrices M_1 and M_2 ;
- (SMat4) $\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_3 \\ 0 & M_2 \end{pmatrix} \geq \text{rk}(M_1) + \text{rk}(M_2)$ for any matrices M_1, M_2 and M_3 of appropriate sizes.

We denote by $\mathbb{P}(R)$ the set of Sylvester matrix rank functions on R , which is a compact convex subset of the space of functions on matrices over R considered with pointwise convergence.

Many problems can be reinterpreted in terms of convergence in $\mathbb{P}(R)$. For example, if G is group and $G \geq G_1 \geq G_2 \geq \dots$ is a chain of normal subgroups of G of finite index with trivial intersection, then the fact of the existence of the Lück approximation over \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{C} can be viewed as the convergence of rk_{G/G_i} to rk_G in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Q}[G])$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ respectively (see [45, 30] for details).

An alternative way to introduce Sylvester rank functions is via Sylvester module rank functions. A **Sylvester module rank function** \dim on R is a function that assigns a non-negative real number to each finitely presented R -module and satisfies the following conditions.

- (SMod1) $\dim\{0\} = 0, \dim R = 1$;
- (SMod2) $\dim(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \dim M_1 + \dim M_2$;
- (SMod3) if $M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ is exact then

$$\dim M_1 + \dim M_3 \geq \dim M_2 \geq \dim M_3.$$

By [50, Theorem 4] (see also [43, Proposition 1.2.8]), there exists a natural bijection between Sylvester matrix and module rank functions over a ring. Given a Sylvester module rank function \dim on R and a finitely presented R -module $M \cong R^n/R^m A$ (A is a matrix over R), we define the corresponding Sylvester matrix rank function rk by means of $\text{rk}(A) = n - \dim M$.

By a recent result of Li [41], any Sylvester module rank function \dim on R can be extended to a unique function (satisfying some natural conditions) on arbitrary modules over R . We will call this extension, the **extended Sylvester module rank function** and denote it also by \dim . In this paper we will mostly use this extension for finitely generated modules M . In this case $\dim M$ is defined as

$$(2) \quad \dim M = \inf\{\dim \widetilde{M} : \widetilde{M} \text{ is finitely presented and } M \text{ is a quotient of } \widetilde{M}\}.$$

In the case of an arbitrary R -module M the formula for $\dim M$ is more complex. In this case we put

$$\dim M = \sup_{M_1} \inf_{M_2} (\dim M_2 - \dim(M_2/M_1)),$$

where $M_1 \leq M_2$ are finitely generated R -submodules of M . Observe that we allow $+\infty$ to be a value of $\dim M$.

Remark 2.14. If $\text{rk}, \text{rk}_i \in \mathbb{P}(R)$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are Sylvester matrix rank functions corresponding to Sylvester module rank functions \dim, \dim_i , respectively,

then $\text{rk} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{rk}_i$ in the space $\mathbb{P}(R)$ if and only if for any finitely presented R -module M , $\dim M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_i M$.

However, the existence of the limit $\text{rk} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{rk}_i$ does not imply that $\dim M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_i M$ for any finitely generated R -module M . This phenomenon is well-known. For example, it explains why the Lück approximation of the first L^2 -Betti numbers is valid for finitely presented groups but not always valid for finitely generated groups (see [46]).

If M is a finitely generated R -module, we only always have that

$$(3) \quad \dim M \geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_i M.$$

Indeed, let \mathcal{F} be the set of all finitely presented R -modules \tilde{M} such that M is a quotient of \tilde{M} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M &= \inf_{\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{F}} \dim \tilde{M} = \inf_{\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{F}} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_i \tilde{M} \geq \\ &\quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{F}} \dim_i \tilde{M} = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_i M. \end{aligned}$$

In this subsection we will explain how to overcome this problem in some situations. For two Sylvester rank functions rk_1 and $\text{rk}_2 \in \mathbb{P}(R)$ we write $\text{rk}_1 \geq \text{rk}_2$ if $\text{rk}_1(A) \geq \text{rk}_2(A)$ for any matrix A over R . If \dim_1 and \dim_2 are the Sylvester module rank functions on R corresponding to rk_1 and rk_2 , then the condition $\text{rk}_1 \geq \text{rk}_2$ is equivalent to the condition $\dim_1 \leq \dim_2$, meaning that $\dim_1 M \leq \dim_2 M$ for any finitely presented R -module M .

Proposition 2.15. *Let R be a ring and let $\text{rk}, \text{rk}_i \in \mathbb{P}(R)$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) be Sylvester matrix rank functions on R corresponding to (extended) Sylvester module rank functions \dim, \dim_i respectively. Assume that $\text{rk} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{rk}_i$ and for all i , $\text{rk} \geq \text{rk}_i$. Then, for any finitely generated R -module M ,*

$$\dim M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_i M.$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let k be such that

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_i M \geq \dim_k M - \varepsilon.$$

There exists a finitely presented R -module \tilde{M} such that M is a quotient of \tilde{M} and $\dim_k M \geq \dim_k \tilde{M} - \varepsilon$. Since $\text{rk} \geq \text{rk}_k$, we have that $\dim_k \tilde{M} \geq \dim \tilde{M}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_i M \geq \dim_k M - \varepsilon \geq \dim_k \tilde{M} - 2\varepsilon \geq \dim \tilde{M} - 2\varepsilon \geq \dim M - 2\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we conclude that $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_i M \geq \dim M$. In view of (3), we are done. \square

2.7. Epic division R -rings. Let R be a ring. An **epic division R -ring** is a R -ring $\phi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ where \mathcal{D} is a division ring generated by $\phi(R)$. Moreover, we say that \mathcal{D} is a **division R -ring of fractions** if ϕ is injective. In this case we will normally omit ϕ and see R as a subring of \mathcal{D} .

Each epic division R -ring \mathcal{D} induces a Sylvester module rank function $\dim_{\mathcal{D}}$ on R : for every a finitely presented R -module M we define $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} M$ to be equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R M$ as a \mathcal{D} -module.

The extended Sylvester rank function $\dim_{\mathcal{D}}$ is calculated in the same way: for an R -module M , $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} M$ is equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R M$ as a \mathcal{D} -module.

We will use $\dim_{\mathcal{D}}$ for the (extended) Sylvester module rank function on R and for the \mathcal{D} -dimension of \mathcal{D} -spaces. This is a coherent notation because, since \mathcal{D} is epic, $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R \mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{D} as R -bimodule (see [31, Section 4]).

The following result of P. M. Cohn will be used several times in the paper.

Proposition 2.16. [18, Theorem 4.4.1] *Two epic division R -rings \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 are isomorphic as R -rings if and only if $\dim_{\mathcal{D}_1} M = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_2} M$ for every finitely presented R -module M .*

2.8. Natural extensions of Sylvester rank functions. Let G be a group with trivial element e . We say that a ring R is **G -graded** if R is equal to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ and $R_g R_h \subseteq R_{gh}$ for all g and h in G . If for each $g \in G$, R_g contains an invertible element u_g , then we say that R is a **crossed product** of R_e and G and we will write $R = S * G$ if $R_e = S$.

Let $R = S * G$ be a crossed product. Let rk be a Sylvester matrix rank function on S and \dim its associated Sylvester module rank function. We say that rk (and \dim) are **R -compatible** if for any $g \in G$ and any matrix A over S , $\text{rk}(A) = \text{rk}(u_g A u_g^{-1})$. If G is finite and M is a finitely presented R -module, then M is also a finitely presented S -module. Thus, if \dim is R -compatible, we can define

$$(4) \quad \widetilde{\dim} M = \frac{\dim M}{|G|},$$

where M is a finitely presented R -module. This defines a Sylvester module rank function on R , called **the natural extension** of \dim . This notion was studied, for example, in [36]. We notice that the same formula (4) holds also for extended Sylvester module rank functions (that is, when M is an arbitrary R -module). In this subsection we prove the following result.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $R = S * G$ be a crossed product with G finite and let $R \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a division R -ring of fractions. Denote by \mathcal{D}_e the division closure of S in \mathcal{D} . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) $\widetilde{\dim}_{\mathcal{D}_e} = \dim_{\mathcal{D}}$ as Sylvester functions on R .
- (b) $\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e} \mathcal{D} = |G|$.
- (c) \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to a crossed product $\mathcal{D}_e * G$.
- (d) \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_e \otimes_S R$ as (\mathcal{D}_e, R) -bimodule.
- (e) \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to $R \otimes_S \mathcal{D}_e$ as (R, \mathcal{D}_e) -bimodule.

Proof. For any $h, g \in G$, define $\alpha(g, h) = u_g u_h (u_{gh})^{-1} \in R$. Since the conjugation by u_g fixes S , it also fixes \mathcal{D}_e . Therefore, we can define a ring structure on $T = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{D}_e v_g$ defining the multiplication on homogenous elements by means of

$$(d_1 v_g) \cdot (d_2 v_h) = (d_1 u_g d_2 (u_g)^{-1} \alpha(g, h)) v_{gh}, \quad d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}_e, \quad g, h \in G.$$

It is clear that $T = \mathcal{D}_e * G$ is a crossed product, it contains R as a subring, and T is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_e \otimes_S R$ as (\mathcal{D}_e, R) -bimodule.

There exists a natural map $\gamma : T \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, sending $\sum_{g \in G} d_g v_g$ ($d_g \in \mathcal{D}_e$) to $\sum_{g \in G} d_g u_g$. Observe that $\gamma(T)$ is a domain and of finite dimension over \mathcal{D}_e . Thus, $\gamma(T)$ is a division subring of \mathcal{D} . Since T contains R , $\mathcal{D} = \gamma(T)$. This implies that (c) and (d) are equivalent.

Since $\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e} T = |G|$, (b) implies that γ is an isomorphism, and so, (b) implies (c).

Now, let us assume (d). Let M be a finitely presented R -module. We have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\dim}_{\mathcal{D}_e} M &= \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e}(\mathcal{D}_e \otimes_S M)}{|G|} = \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e}(\mathcal{D}_e \otimes_S (R \otimes_R M))}{|G|} = \\ &= \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e}((\mathcal{D}_e \otimes_S R) \otimes_R M)}{|G|} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e}(\mathcal{D} \otimes_R M)}{|G|} = \\ &= \dim_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes_R M) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (a).

Now, we assume that (a) holds. Since \mathcal{D}_e is an epic S -ring $\mathcal{D}_e \otimes_S \mathcal{D}_e$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{D}_e as \mathcal{D}_e -bimodule and by the same reason, $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R \mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{D} as \mathcal{D} -bimodule. Consider $M = \mathcal{D}$ as an R -module and $N = \mathcal{D}_e$ as a S -module. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \dim_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes_R M) &= \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M \stackrel{(a)}{=} \widetilde{\dim}_{\mathcal{D}_e} M = \\ &= \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e}(\mathcal{D}_e \otimes_S M)}{|G|} = \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e}(\mathcal{D}_e \otimes_S (N^{\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e} \mathcal{D}}))}{|G|} = \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{D}_e} \mathcal{D}}{|G|} \end{aligned}$$

This implies (b).

Let R^{op} denotes the opposite ring, that is the ring with the same elements and addition operation, but with the multiplication performed in the reverse order. Then $R^{op} \cong S^{op} * G$ and the condition (c) is equivalent to

(c') \mathcal{D}^{op} is isomorphic to a crossed product $(\mathcal{D}_e)^{op} * G$.

Our previous proof gives that (c') is equivalent to

(d') \mathcal{D}^{op} is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{D}_e)^{op} \otimes_{S^{op}} R^{op}$ as $((\mathcal{D}_e)^{op}, R^{op})$ -bimodule.

Now, observe that (d') is equivalent to (e). \square

3. ON MOD- p L^2 -BETTI NUMBERS OF SUBGROUPS OF A FREE PRO- p GROUPS

3.1. Universal division ring of fractions. Given two epic division R -rings \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 the condition $\dim_{\mathcal{D}_1} \leq \dim_{\mathcal{D}_2}$ is equivalent to the existence of a specialization from \mathcal{D}_1 to \mathcal{D}_2 in the sense of P. Cohn ([18, Subsection 4.1]). We say that an epic division R -ring \mathcal{D} is **universal** if for every division R -ring \mathcal{E} , $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} \leq \dim_{\mathcal{E}}$. If a universal epic division R -ring exists, it is unique up to R -isomorphism. We will denote it by \mathcal{D}_R and instead of $\dim_{\mathcal{D}_R}$ we will simply write \dim_R .

We say that a ring R is a **semifir** if every finitely generated left ideal of R is free and free modules of distinct finite rank are non-isomorphic. For

example, if K is a field, the ring $K\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ of non-commutative power series is a semifir ([17, Theorem 2.9.4]). By a theorem of P. M. Cohn [16] a semifir R has a universal division R -ring. P. M. Cohn proved that in this case \mathcal{D}_R can be obtained from R by formally inverting all full matrices over R . In particular, this implies the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a semifir. Then \dim_R is the smallest Sylvester module rank function among all the Sylvester module rank functions on R .*

We will need the following result.

Proposition 3.2. [32, Proposition 2.2] *Let R be a semifir. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(\mathcal{D}_R, M) = 0$$

for any R -submodule of a \mathcal{D}_R -module.

Let G be a residually torsion-free nilpotent group (for example, G is a subgroup of a free pro- p group). Let K be a field. Then the universal division ring of fractions $\mathcal{D}_{K[G]}$ exists (see [33]). It can be constructed in the following way. Since G is residually torsion free nilpotent, G is bi-orderable. Fix a bi-invariant order \preceq on G . A. Malcev [49] and B. Neumann [54] (following an idea of H. Hahn [24]) showed independently that the set $K((G, \preceq))$ of formal power series over G with coefficients in K having well-ordered support has a natural structure of a ring and, moreover, it is a division ring. $\mathcal{D}_{K[G]}$ can be defined as the division closure of $K[G]$ in $K((G, \preceq))$. The universality of this division ring is shown in [33, Theorem 1.1].

If A is a torsion-free abelian group, then $\mathcal{D}_{K[A]}$ coincides with the classical ring of fractions $\mathcal{Q}(K[A])$ of $K[A]$.

3.2. The division ring $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}$. If \mathbf{F} is a free pro- p group freely generated by f_1, \dots, f_d , then, by Proposition 2.3, the continuous homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_p\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ that sends x_i to $f_i - 1$, is an isomorphism. Thus, there exists a universal division ring of fraction $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}$. Using results of [32] we establish the following formula for $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}$ which is one of main ingredients of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{N}_1 > \mathbf{N}_2 > \mathbf{N}_3 > \dots$ be a chain of open normal subgroups of a finitely generated free pro- p group \mathbf{F} with trivial intersection. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}} M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}} M)}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}_i|}.$$

Proof. Let \mathbf{N} be a normal open subgroup of \mathbf{F} and let $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}]}$ be a Sylvester module rank function on $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ defined by

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}]} L = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}} L)}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}|},$$

where L is a finitely presented $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module.

Let $M = \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]^n / I$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module. Since

$$\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}} M \cong \mathbb{F}[[\mathbf{G}]]^n / (I + (I_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{G}})^n)$$

is finite, it is finitely presented by Lemma 2.10. Therefore, there exists a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{G}]]$ -submodule J of I such that $I + (I_N^G)^n = J + (I_N^G)^n$. Put $\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]^n/J$. Then \widetilde{M} is a finitely presented $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module \widetilde{M} satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) M is a quotient of \widetilde{M} and
- (2) $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \widetilde{M} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M$.

Therefore, from (2) we obtain that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}]} M = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \widetilde{M})}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}|} = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M)}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}|}.$$

In the case where M is finitely presented, [32, Theorem 1.4] and Remark 2.14 imply that

$$(5) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i]} M.$$

By Proposition 3.1, we also have that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i]} M$ for all i . Therefore, Proposition 2.15 implies that (5) holds also when M is finitely generated. Hence,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i]} M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M)}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}_i|}.$$

□

In the following proposition we collect some basic properties of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let \mathbf{H} be a finitely generated closed subgroup of \mathbf{F} . The following holds.*

- (a) *Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}$ be the division closure of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$ as an $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -ring.*
- (b) *If M is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M).$$

- (c) *If \mathbf{H} is open then,*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$$

as $(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]], \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]])$ -bimodules.

Proof. (a) Fix a normal chain $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{N}_1 > \mathbf{N}_2 > \mathbf{N}_3 > \dots$ of open normal subgroups of \mathbf{F} , and let $\mathbf{H}_i = \mathbf{N}_i \cap \mathbf{H}$. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module. Observe first, that by Proposition 3.3,

$$(6) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{H}_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M)}{|\mathbf{H} : \mathbf{H}_i|}.$$

Considering $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i]$ as a right $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i] \cong \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{H}_i]^{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}_i \mathbf{H}|}$$

as right $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -modules. Thus,

$$(7) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{H}_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M) = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M)}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}_i \mathbf{H}|}.$$

Therefore, from (6), (7) and Proposition 3.3, we conclude that

$$(8) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} (\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M))}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}_i|} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M) &= \\ \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M)) &= \\ \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M) &= \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M),$$

and so, by Proposition 2.16, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$ as an $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -ring.

(b) This is the equality (8).

(c) First assume that \mathbf{H} is normal in \mathbf{F} . Observe that for large i , $\mathbf{N}_i \leq \mathbf{H}$. Let M be a finitely presented $\mathbb{F}_p[[F]]$ -module. Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{N}_i]]} M)}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}_i|} = \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{N}_i]]} M)}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{H}| |\mathbf{H} : \mathbf{N}_i|} = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{H}|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M}{|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{H}|}$. Now, the result follows from Proposition 2.17.

Now we assume that \mathbf{H} is arbitrary. We argue by induction on $|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{H}|$. If \mathbf{H} has index p in \mathbf{F} , then it is normal. If $|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{H}| > p$, we find \mathbf{H}_1 of index p in \mathbf{F} containing \mathbf{H} . Then by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} &\cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}_1]]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}_1]]} \cong \\ &\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}_1]]} (\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}_1]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In view of the previous proposition, we will identify $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$ and the division closure of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$, and see $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$ as a subring of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$.

3.3. The division rings $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{F}_p[G], \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]})$. Let G be a subgroup of \mathbf{F} . As we have explained in Subsection 3.1 there exists the universal division $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -ring of fractions $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_G = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{F}_p[G], \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]})$ be the division closure of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$. In this subsection we will show that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ and \mathcal{D}_G are isomorphic as $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -rings. In the case $G = F$ is a finitely generated free group and \mathbf{F} is the pro- p completion of F , this result follows from [17, Corollary 2.9.16].

Proposition 3.5. *Let \mathbf{F} be a finitely generated free pro- p group and let G be a finitely generated subgroup of \mathbf{F} . Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{N}_1 > \mathbf{N}_2 > \mathbf{N}_3 > \dots$ be a chain of open normal subgroups of \mathbf{F} with trivial intersection. We put*

$G_j = G \cap \mathbf{N}_j$. Let $\mathcal{D}_G = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{F}_p[G], \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]])$ be the division closure of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}$. Then for every finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module M ,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{D}_G}(\mathcal{D}_G \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G_i]} M)}{|G : G_i|} = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M).$$

In particular, the division rings \mathcal{D}_G and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -rings.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that G is dense in \mathbf{F} . First observe that

$$(9) \quad \dim_{\mathcal{D}_G}(\mathcal{D}_G \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M).$$

Observe also that, since G is dense in \mathbf{F} , $|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}_i| = |G : G_i|$ and

$$\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G_i]} M \cong \mathbb{F}_p[G/G_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M \cong \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M \cong \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M).$$

Thus, Proposition 3.3 implies that

$$(10) \quad \dim_{\mathcal{D}_G}(\mathcal{D}_G \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G_i]} M)}{|G : G_i|}.$$

Let $\mathbf{F}_i = \gamma_i(\mathbf{F})$ and we put $H_i = G \cap \mathbf{F}_i$. The ring $\mathbb{F}_p[G/H_i]$ is a Noetherian domain and its classical field of fractions $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}_p[G/H_i])$ is universal. Moreover, by [33, Theorem 1.2], we have that

$$(11) \quad \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G/H_i]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G/H_i]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M).$$

Observe that $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{F}_i]]$ is also a Noetherian domain, and so the division closure of $\mathbb{F}_p[G/H_i]$ in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{F}_i]])$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G/H_i]}$ (as a $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -ring). Therefore,

$$(12) \quad \dim_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{F}_i]])}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{F}_i]]) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G/H_i]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G/H_i]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M).$$

Using Proposition 3.3 and arguing as in the proof of [29, Theorem 2.3], we obtain that

$$(13) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{F}_i]])}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{F}_i]]) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M).$$

Therefore, putting together (11), (12), (13), (9) and (10), we obtain that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_G}(\mathcal{D}_G \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G_i]} M)}{|G : G_i|}.$$

Applying Proposition 2.16, we obtain that the division rings \mathcal{D}_G and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -rings. \square

An alternative approach of proving that \mathcal{D}_G is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ as $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -ring is taken in [51, Lemma 7.5.5], where the result is proved by using a variation from [58, Theorem 6.3] of the uniqueness of Hughes-free division rings [27] (see also [19]).

3.4. Mod- p L^2 -Betti numbers. L^2 -Betti numbers play an important role in the solution of many problems in group theory. In the last years there was an attempt to develop a theory of mod- p L^2 -Betti numbers for different families of groups (see [31]). If G is torsion-free and satisfies the Atiyah conjecture, P. Linnell [42] showed that L^2 -Betti numbers of G can be defined as

$$b_i^{(2)}(G) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}(G)} H_i(G; \mathcal{D}(G)),$$

where $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is the division ring obtained as the division closure of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ in the ring of affiliated operators $\mathcal{U}(G)$. It turns out that if G is residually torsion-free nilpotent, $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is isomorphic to the universal division ring of fractions $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}$ (see, for example, [34]). Therefore, we have

$$b_i^{(2)}(G) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}} H_i(G; \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}).$$

Thus, by analogy, if G is a residually torsion-free nilpotent group, we define the i th mod- p L^2 -Betti number of G as

$$\beta_i^{\text{mod } p}(G) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}} H_i(G; \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}).$$

In the case, where G is a subgroup of a free pro- p group, we also obtain the following formula, which can be seen as a mod- p analogue of the Lück approximation theorem [45].

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathbf{F} be a finitely generated free pro- p group and let G be a subgroup of \mathbf{F} of type FP_k for some $k \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{N}_1 > \mathbf{N}_2 > \mathbf{N}_3 > \dots$ be a chain of open normal subgroups of \mathbf{F} with trivial intersection. We put $G_j = G \cap \mathbf{N}_j$. Then*

$$\beta_k^{\text{mod } p}(G) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(G_j; \mathbb{F}_p)}{|G : G_j|}.$$

Proof. There exists a resolution of the $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module \mathbb{F}_p

$$0 \rightarrow R_k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[G]^{n_k} \xrightarrow{\phi_k} \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[G]^{n_1} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathbb{F}_p \xrightarrow{\phi_0} 0$$

with R_k finitely generated. The relevant part of the sequence for calculation of $H_k(G; *)$ is the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R_k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[G]^{n_k} \rightarrow R_{k-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

($R_{k-1} = \text{Im } \phi_k = \ker \phi_{k-1}$), because for any right $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module M we have

$$0 \rightarrow H_k(G; M) \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} R_k \rightarrow M^{n_k} \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} R_{k-1} \rightarrow 0$$

Then we obtain that

$$\beta_k^{\text{mod } p}(G) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}} H_k(G; \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} R_k - n_k + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} R_{k-1} \text{ and}$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(G_j; \mathbb{F}_p) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G_j]} R_k) - n_k |G : G_j| + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[G_j]} R_{k-1}).$$

Thus, Proposition 3.5 implies the proposition. \square

In this paper we will work only with $\beta_1^{\text{mod } p}(G)$. Observe that in this case, if G is infinite, we have the formula

$$\beta_1^{\text{mod } p}(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_G - 1.$$

Also observe that if A is a non-trivial torsion-free abelian group, then since $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[A]} = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}_p[A])$ is the field of fractions of $\mathbb{F}_p[A]$,

$$(14) \quad \beta_1^{\text{mod } p}(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[A]} I_A - 1 = \dim_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}_p[A])} (\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}_p[A]) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[A]} I_A) - 1 = 0$$

3.5. Strong embeddings into free pro- p groups. Assume that a finitely generated group G is a subgroup of a free pro- p group \mathbf{F} . Since a closed subgroup of a free pro- p group is free (see [56, Corollary 7.7.5]), changing \mathbf{F} by the closure of G in \mathbf{F} , we may assume that G is dense in \mathbf{F} . Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{N}_1 > \mathbf{N}_2 > \mathbf{N}_3 > \dots$ be a chain of open normal subgroups of \mathbf{F} with trivial intersection and put $G_j = G \cap \mathbf{N}_j$. Observe that the closure of G_j in \mathbf{F} is equal to \mathbf{N}_j , and so

$$(15) \quad |G_j : G_j^p[G_j, G_j]| \geq |\mathbf{N}_j : \mathbf{N}_j^p[\mathbf{N}_j, \mathbf{N}_j]|.$$

Denote by $d(\mathbf{F})$ the number of profinite generators of \mathbf{F} . By [56, Theorem 7.8.1],

$$d(\mathbf{F}) = \log_p |\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{F}^p[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}]|$$

and by the index Schreier formula

$$d(\mathbf{N}_j) = (d(\mathbf{F}) - 1)|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}_j| + 1.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_1(G_j; \mathbb{F}_p) &= \log_p |G_j : G_j^p[G_j, G_j]| \geq \log_p |\mathbf{N}_j : \mathbf{N}_j^p[\mathbf{N}_j, \mathbf{N}_j]| = \\ &= d(\mathbf{N}_j) = (d(\mathbf{F}) - 1)|\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{N}_j| + 1 = (d(\mathbf{F}) - 1)|G : G_j| + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Proposition 3.6 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be a finitely generated dense subgroup of a free pro- p group \mathbf{F} . Then*

$$(16) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} I_G = \beta_1^{\text{mod } p}(G) + 1 \geq d(\mathbf{F}).$$

This result suggests the following definition.

Definition 3.8. *We say that an embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ of a finitely generated group G into a free pro- p group \mathbf{F} is **strong** if G is dense in \mathbf{F} and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} I_G = \beta_1^{\text{mod } p}(G) + 1 = d(\mathbf{F})$.*

*A finitely generated group G is called **strongly embeddable in a free pro- p group** (SE(p) for simplicity) if there are a free pro- p group \mathbf{F} and a strong embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$.*

Let G be a parafree group. Observe that G is residually- p for every prime p . Thus, if G is finitely generated, its pro- p completion $G_{\hat{p}}$ is a finitely generated free pro- p group and G is a subgroup of $G_{\hat{p}}$. In this case the inequality (15) is an equality, and so in the same way as we obtained the inequality (16), we obtain that $\beta_1^{\text{mod } p}(G) = d(G_{\hat{p}}) - 1$. Therefore, the embedding $G \hookrightarrow G_{\hat{p}}$ is strong. Thus, all finitely generated parafree groups are SE(p). On the other hand, not every subgroup of a parafree group is

$\mathrm{SE}(p)$. For example, the fundamental group of an oriented surface of genus greater than 1 is not $\mathrm{SE}(p)$. However the fundamental group of an oriented surface of genus greater than 2 can be embedded in a parafree group (see [8, Section 4.1]).

By [13, Proposition 7.5], if G is a finitely generated dense subgroup of a finitely generated free pro- p group \mathbf{F} , then $b_1^{(2)}(G) \geq d(\mathbf{F}) - 1$. On the other hand, by [21, Theorem 1.6] and Proposition 3.6, $b_1^{(2)}(G) \leq \beta_1^{\mathrm{mod} p}(G)$. Thus, if $G \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is a strong embedding, we have $b_1^{(2)}(G) = \beta_1^{\mathrm{mod} p}(G) = d(\mathbf{F}) - 1$.

4. ON \mathcal{D} -TORSION-FREE MODULES.

4.1. General results. Let R be a ring and let $R \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an embedding of R into a division ring \mathcal{D} . Let M be a left R -module. We say that M is **\mathcal{D} -torsion-free** if the canonical map

$$M \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes_R M, \quad m \mapsto 1 \otimes m,$$

is injective. The following lemma describes several equivalent definitions of \mathcal{D} -torsion-free modules.

Lemma 4.1. *The following statements for a left R -module M are equivalent.*

- (a) M is \mathcal{D} -torsion-free.
- (b) There are a \mathcal{D} -module N and an injective homomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ of R -modules.
- (c) For any $0 \neq m \in M$, there exists a homomorphism of R -modules $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, such that $\varphi(m) \neq 0$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and we leave it to the reader. \square

Let M be a left R -module. Recall that we use $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} M$ to denote the dimension of $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R M$ as a \mathcal{D} -module. Observe that if $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} M$ is finite, it is also equal to the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathcal{D})$ as a right \mathcal{D} -module.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules. Assume that*

- (1) M_1 and M_3 are \mathcal{D} -torsion-free,
- (2) $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} M_1$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} M_3$ are finite and
- (3) $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} M_2 = \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M_1 + \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M_3$.

Then M_2 is also \mathcal{D} -torsion-free.

Proof. We are going to use the third characterization of \mathcal{D} -torsion-free modules from Lemma 4.1. Consider the following exact sequence of right \mathcal{D} -modules.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_3, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_2, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_1, \mathcal{D}).$$

Since $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} M_2 = \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M_1 + \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M_3$, the last map is surjective.

Let $m \in M_2$. If $m \notin M_1$, then since M_3 is \mathcal{D} -torsion-free, there exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_2/M_1, \mathcal{D})$ such that $\varphi(m + M_1) \neq 0$. Hence there exists $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_2, \mathcal{D})$, such that $\tilde{\varphi}(m) \neq 0$.

If $m \in M_1$, then since M_1 is \mathcal{D} -torsion-free, there exists $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M_1, \mathcal{D})$ such that $\varphi(m) \neq 0$. Using that the restriction map

$$\text{Hom}_R(M_2, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M_1, \mathcal{D})$$

is surjective, we obtain again that there exists $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_R(M_2, \mathcal{D})$, such that $\tilde{\varphi}(m) \neq 0$. \square

In the calculations of $\dim_{\mathcal{D}}$ the following elementary lemma will be useful.

Lemma 4.3. *Let \mathcal{D} be a division R -ring and M be a \mathcal{D} -torsion-free R -module of finite \mathcal{D} -dimension. Let L be a non-trivial R -submodule of M . Then $\dim_{\mathcal{D}}(M/L) < \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M$. Moreover, if $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} L = 1$, then $\dim_{\mathcal{D}}(M/L) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M - 1$.*

Proof. Since M is \mathcal{D} -torsion-free, $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R(M/L)$ is a proper quotient of $\mathcal{D} \otimes_R M$. Hence $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} M/L < \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M$.

Now assume that $\dim_{\mathcal{D}} L = 1$. In this case $\dim_{\mathcal{D}}(M/L) \geq \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M - 1$. Therefore, $\dim_{\mathcal{D}}(M/L) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}} M - 1$. \square

4.2. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}$ -torsion-free modules. Let \mathbf{F} be a finitely generated free pro- p group. The main purpose of this subsection is to prove the following result.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that $1 \neq z \in \mathbf{F}$ is not a proper p -power of an element of \mathbf{F} . Denote by \mathbf{Z} the closed subgroup of \mathbf{F} generated by z . Then the module $I_{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}$ -torsion-free.*

Before proving the proposition we have to establish several preliminary results.

Lemma 4.5. *Let \mathbf{H} be an open subgroup of \mathbf{F} . Let M be a $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module. Then $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]}$ -torsion-free if and only if M is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]}$ -torsion-free.*

Proof. Assume that M is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]}$ -torsion-free. We have that the map $M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M$ is injective. Then, since $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ is a free right $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module, the map

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M)$$

is also injective.

Consider the canonical isomorphism between tensor products

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M) \xrightarrow{\beta} (\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M.$$

By Proposition 3.4(c),

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$$

as $(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]], \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]])$ -bimodules. Thus, there exists an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -modules

$$(\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M.$$

We put $\varphi = \gamma \circ \beta \circ \alpha$ and apply Lemma 4.1. Since $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$ -module and φ is an injective $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -homomorphism, $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$ -torsion-free.

Another direction of the proposition is clear because M is a $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]} M$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let \mathbf{H} be an open normal subgroup of \mathbf{F} and assume that $1 \neq z \in \mathbf{H}$. Let \mathbf{Z} be the closed subgroup of \mathbf{H} generated by z . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *The $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module $I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ is not $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$ -torsion-free.*
- (b) *The $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]$ -module $I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{H}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{H}}$ is not $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$ -torsion-free.*
- (c) *There are $a \in I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and $b \in I_{\mathbf{F}}$ such that $ba = z - 1$.*
- (d) *There are $a \in I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and $b \in I_{\mathbf{F}}$ such that $ab = z - 1$.*

Proof. (a) \iff (b): This follows from Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 2.11.

(c) \implies (a): Put $N = \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]a/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$, Then

$$N = \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]a/\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]](z - 1) = \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]a/\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]ba.$$

Since b is not invertible in $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$, N is a non-trivial submodule of $I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and since $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ does not have non-trivial zero-divisors

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]a/\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]ba \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]/\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]b.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} N = 0$, and so (a) holds.

(a) \implies (c): We put $M = I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and let $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M$ be the canonical map. Let $\bar{L} = L/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ be the kernel of ϕ and \bar{M} the image of ϕ . Hence we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \bar{M} \rightarrow 0.$$

After applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$ we obtain the exact sequence

$$(17) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}, \bar{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} L \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]})^{d(\mathbf{H})} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \bar{M} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Corollary 2.12, the $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module $I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ is free of rank $d(\mathbf{H})$ and the $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module $I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ is cyclic. Thus, by Lemma 4.3,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M) = d(\mathbf{H}) - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \bar{M}) = \dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} M) = d(\mathbf{H}) - 1.$$

Observe also that by Proposition 3.2, $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}, \bar{M}) = 0$. Therefore, (17) implies that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]} L) = 1.$$

By [29, Lemma 3.1] a profinite submodule of a free profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module is again free. Hence L is a free profinite $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module, and so L should be a cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module. We write $L = \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]a$ for some $a \in I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}}$. Then there exists $b \in \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ such that $ba = z - 1$. By our assumption $L \neq I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$. Thus, b is not invertible, and so $b \in I_{\mathbf{F}}$.

(c) \implies (d): The map $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ on \mathbf{F} can be extended to a continuous anti-isomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$. If $z - 1 = ba$, then $z^{-1} - 1 = \alpha(z - 1) =$

$\alpha(a)\alpha(b)$ and so $z - 1 = (-z\alpha(a))\alpha(b)$. Now note that $-z\alpha(a) \in I_{\mathbf{H}}\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ and $\alpha(b) \in I_{\mathbf{F}}$. Since \mathbf{H} is normal in \mathbf{F} , $I_{\mathbf{H}}\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] = I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}}$, and we obtain (d).

(d) \implies (c): It is proved in the same way as (c) \implies (d). \square

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 4.4.

Proof of Proposition 4.4. If \mathbf{F} is cyclic, then $I_{\mathbf{F}} = I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and so $I_{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$ -torsion-free.

Now we assume that \mathbf{F} is not cyclic. There exists a normal open subgroup \mathbf{N} of \mathbf{F} such that $z\mathbf{N}$ is not a p -power in \mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N} . We will prove the proposition by induction on $|\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}|$.

If \mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N} is cyclic, then $z \notin \Phi(\mathbf{F})$ and so z is a member of a free generating system of \mathbf{F} . If $\{z, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a free generating set of \mathbf{F} , then

$$I_{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}} = (\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]])\langle z - 1 \rangle \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]\langle x_i - 1 \rangle) / \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]\langle z - 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]^k$$

is a free $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]$ -module and we are done.

Assume now that \mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N} is not cyclic. Since $\Phi(\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}) = \mathbf{N}\Phi(\mathbf{F})/\mathbf{N}$, the pro- p group $\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}\Phi(\mathbf{F})$ is not cyclic as well.

Let \mathbf{M} be the closed subgroup of \mathbf{F} containing the commutator subgroup $[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}]$ and the element z and such that $\mathbf{M}/([\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}]\mathbf{Z})$ is the torsion part of $\mathbf{F}/([\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}]\mathbf{Z})$. Since \mathbf{Z} is cyclic and $\mathbf{F}/([\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}])$ is torsion-free and abelian, $\mathbf{M}\Phi(\mathbf{F})/\Phi(\mathbf{F})$ is non-trivial cyclic (if $z \notin \Phi(\mathbf{F})$) or trivial (if $z \in \Phi(\mathbf{F})$). Therefore, since $\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}\Phi(\mathbf{F})$ is not cyclic, $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}$ is a proper subgroup of \mathbf{F} .

By the construction of \mathbf{M} , $\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{M} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ for some $k \geq 1$. Since $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}$ is a proper subgroup of \mathbf{F} , $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{M}$ is a proper subgroup of \mathbf{F}/\mathbf{M} . Therefore, there exists a surjective map $\sigma : \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\mathbf{M} \leq \ker \sigma$ and $\mathbf{N}\ker \sigma \neq \mathbf{F}$. We put $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{N}\ker \sigma$ and extend σ to the map $\tilde{\sigma} : \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]$. Observe that $\ker \tilde{\sigma} = I_{\ker \sigma}^{\mathbf{F}}$.

By way of contradiction, assume that $I_{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ is not $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$ -torsion-free. Then by Lemma 4.6, there are $a, b \in I_{\mathbf{F}}$ such that $ab = z - 1$. Applying $\tilde{\sigma}$ we obtain that $\tilde{\sigma}(a)\tilde{\sigma}(b) = 0$. Since $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]$ is a domain, either a or b lie in $\ker \tilde{\sigma} = I_{\ker \sigma}^{\mathbf{F}} \subset I_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{F}}$. Applying again Lemma 4.6, we conclude that $I_{\mathbf{H}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{H}}$ is not $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$ -torsion-free.

However, observe that \mathbf{N} is also a normal subgroup of \mathbf{H} , $z\mathbf{N}$ is not a p -power in \mathbf{H}/\mathbf{N} and $|\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{N}| < |\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{N}|$. Thus, we can apply the inductive assumption and conclude that $I_{\mathbf{H}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{H}}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{H}]]}$ -torsion-free. We have arrived to a contradiction. Thus, $I_{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$ -torsion-free. \square

4.3. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[G]]}$ -torsion-free modules. In this subsection we assume that \mathbf{F} is a finitely generated free pro- p group and G is an (abstract) dense finitely generated subgroup of \mathbf{F} . First we prove the following analogue of Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.7. *Let H be a subgroup of G and let M be a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]}$ -torsion-free left $\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]$ -module. Then $\mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]} M$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[G]]}$ -torsion-free.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{D}_H be the division closure of $\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[G]]}$. Observe that \mathcal{D}_H and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]}$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]$ -rings (it follows, for example, from Proposition 3.5).

We have that the map $M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_H \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} M$ is injective. Then, since $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ is a free right $\mathbb{F}_p[H]$ -module, the map

$$\mathbb{F}_p[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} M \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_p[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} (\mathcal{D}_H \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} M)$$

is also injective.

Consider the canonical isomorphism between tensor products

$$\mathbb{F}_p[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} (\mathcal{D}_H \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} M) \xrightarrow{\beta} (\mathbb{F}_p[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} \mathcal{D}_H) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} M.$$

By [23], $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ is strongly Hughes-free. This means that the canonical map of $(\mathbb{F}_p[G], \mathbb{F}_p[H])$ -bimodules

$$\mathbb{F}_p[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} \mathcal{D}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$$

is injective. Moreover, the image of $\mathbb{F}_p[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} \mathcal{D}_H$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ as a right \mathcal{D}_H -submodule (and so, it is also a direct summand as a right $\mathbb{F}_p[H]$ -submodule). Thus, the following canonical map of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules

$$(\mathbb{F}_p[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} \mathcal{D}_H) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} M \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} M$$

is injective. We put $\varphi = \gamma \circ \beta \circ \alpha$ and apply Lemma 4.1. Since $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} M$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -module and φ is an injective $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -homomorphism, $\mathbb{F}_p[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} M$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free. \square

Now we can present our first example of a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module.

Proposition 4.8. *Let H be a non-trivial subgroup of G and A a maximal abelian subgroup of H . Then the $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module I_H^G/I_A^G is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 we know that

$$I_H^G/I_A^G \cong \mathbb{F}_p[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} (I_H/I_A^H).$$

Thus, in view of Lemma 4.7, it is enough to show that I_H/I_A^H is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]}$ -torsion-free.

Let $\mathbf{Z} = C_{\mathbf{F}}(A)$. Since \mathbf{F} is a free pro- p group, a centralizer of a non-trivial element is maximal cyclic pro- p subgroup. Therefore, since A is abelian and non-trivial, \mathbf{Z} is a maximal cyclic pro- p subgroup of \mathbf{F} .

Claim 4.9. *The canonical map $\mathbb{F}_p[H/A] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{Z}]]$ is injective.*

Proof. Since A is maximal abelian in H , we have that $A = \mathbf{Z} \cap H$. Hence the obvious map $\mathbb{F}_p[H/A] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{Z}]]$ is injective. The map $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{Z}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{Z}]]$ is also injective, because \mathbf{Z} is closed in \mathbf{F} . This finishes the proof of the claim. \square

Observe that $\mathbb{F}_p[H/A] \cong \mathbb{F}_p[H]/I_A^H$ and $\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{Z}]]$. Therefore, by Claim 4.9, I_H/I_A^H is a $\mathbb{F}_p[H]$ -submodule of $I_{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$. By Proposition 4.4, we can embed $I_{\mathbf{F}}/I_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ in a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$ -module. By Proposition 3.5, every $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbf{F}]]}$ -module is also a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]}$ -module. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, I_H/I_A^H is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]}$ -torsion-free. \square

The following proposition shows another example of a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module. This is the main result of this section.

Proposition 4.10. *Let \mathbf{F} be a finitely generated free pro- p group and let G be an (abstract) dense finitely generated subgroup of \mathbf{F} . Let H be a non-trivial subgroup of G and A a maximal abelian subgroup of H . Let B be an abelian subgroup of G containing A . We put*

$$J = \{(x, -x) \in I_H^G \oplus I_B^G : x \in I_A^G\}.$$

Then $M = (I_H^G \oplus I_B^G)/J$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_H^G$.

Proof. Let $L = (I_A^G \oplus I_B^G)/J \leq M$. Then $L \cong I_B^G$ is a submodule of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$, and so it is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free. The $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module M/L is isomorphic to I_H^G/I_A^G , and so it is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free by Proposition 4.8.

By (14), $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[A]} I_A = 1$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.4(b),

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_A^G = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[A]} I_A = 1.$$

In the same way we obtain that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_B^G = 1$.

Since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}(I_H^G \oplus I_B^G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_H^G + 1$, by Lemma 4.3,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_H^G + 1 - 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_H^G \text{ and}$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}(I_H^G/I_A^G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_H^G - 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}(M/L) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}(I_H^G/I_A^G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M - 1.$$

Thus, we have obtained that M/L and L are $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}(M/L) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} L.$$

Applying Lemma 4.2, we conclude that M is also $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free. \square

5. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

5.1. The inductive step in the proof of Theorem 1.2. The following theorem is the main result of the paper. Theorem 1.2 follows from it directly.

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathbf{F} be a finitely generated free pro- p group and let $H \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ be a strong embedding of a finitely generated group H . Let A be a maximal abelian subgroup of H and let B be an abelian finitely generated subgroup of \mathbf{F} containing A . Put $G = \langle H, B \rangle$. Then the canonical homomorphism $H *_A B \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism, and the embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is strong.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 2.13 we have to show that $I_H^G \cap I_B^G = I_A^G$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$. Let

$$J = \{(x, -x) \in I_H^G \oplus I_B^G : x \in I_A^G\} \text{ and } M = (I_H^G \oplus I_B^G)/J.$$

Then by Proposition 4.10, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_H^G$. Therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_H^G = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[H]} I_H = \beta_1^{\text{mod } p}(H) + 1 = d(\mathbf{F}).$$

Since $I_G = I_H^G + I_B^G$, we have that the natural map $\alpha : M \rightarrow I_G$ is surjective. In particular

$$\beta_1^{\text{mod } p}(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_G - 1 \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M - 1 = d(\mathbf{F}) - 1.$$

Thus, using (16) we obtain that $\beta_1^{\text{mod } p}(G) = d(\mathbf{F}) - 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} I_G = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M$. This shows that the embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is strong.

By Proposition 4.10, M is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}$ -torsion-free. Therefore, by Lemma 4.3, for any proper quotient \overline{M} of M , $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} \overline{M} < \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} M$. This implies that α is an isomorphism, and so $I_H^G \cap I_B^G = I_A^G$. Hence, Proposition 2.13 implies that $G \cong H *_A B$. \square

Another direct consequence of Theorem 5.1 is the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *Let \mathbf{F} be a finitely generated free pro- p group and let $H \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ be a strong embedding of finitely generated group H . Let A be a maximal abelian subgroup of H . Assume that A is finitely generated. Let B be a finitely generated torsion-free abelian group containing A and such that B/A has no p -torsion. Then there exists an embedding of $H *_A B$ into \mathbf{F} that extends $H \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$. In particular, $H *_A B$ is $SE(p)$ (see Definition 3.8).*

Proof. Let $H \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ be a strong embedding. Since B/A has no p -torsion and B is torsion-free abelian, the embedding of A into \mathbf{F} can be extended to an embedding of B into \mathbf{F} . Now, we can apply Theorem 5.1. \square

5.2. A -groups. Let A be a commutative ring. An **A -group** is a group G along with a map $G \times A \rightarrow G$, called “action”, satisfying the following axioms:

- (a) $g^{1A} = g, g^{0A} = 1, 1^\alpha = 1;$
- (b) $g^\alpha g^\beta = g^{\alpha+\beta}, (g^\alpha)^\beta = g^{\alpha\beta};$
- (c) $(h^{-1}gh)^\alpha = h^{-1}g^\alpha h;$
- (d) If $gh = hg, (gh)^\alpha = g^\alpha h^\alpha;$

for all $g, h \in G$ and $\alpha, \beta \in A$. This definition generalizes the definition of \mathbb{Q} -group which appears in the introduction.

Given a group G and a commutative ring A , an **A -completion** of G is an A -group G^A with a group homomorphism $\lambda : G \rightarrow G^A$ such that G^A is generated by $\lambda(G)$ as an A -group and for any A -group H and any homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ there exists a unique A -homomorphism $\psi : G^A \rightarrow H$ such that $\phi = \psi \circ \lambda$. It is shown in [52, Theorems 1 and 2] that an A -completion of G exists and it is unique up to an A -isomorphism.

An A -group $F^A(X)$ with the set of A -generators X is said to be a free A -group with base X , if for every A -group G an arbitrary mapping $\phi_0 : X \rightarrow G$ can be extended to an A -homomorphism $\phi : F^A(X) \rightarrow G$. Thus, $F^A(X)$ is the A -completion of the ordinary free group $F(X)$ with free generating set X .

A **CSA-group** is a group in which the centralizer of every nontrivial element is abelian and malnormal. In [53, Theorem 5] it is shown that every torsion-free extension of centralizer of a torsion-free CSA group is again CSA. This is used in [53, Theorem 8] to describe the group $F^A(X)$. In the following proposition we extract the information that we will need later.

Proposition 5.3. *Let X be a finite set and A a commutative ring with a torsion-free additive group. Then there are subgroups $\{W_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of $F^A(X)$ such that*

- (a) $W_0 = F(X)$;
- (b) $W_{n+1} = \langle W_n, z_n^A \rangle$, where $z_n \in W_n$ generates a maximal abelian subgroup in W_n and the canonical map $W_n *_{z_n=1_A} A \rightarrow W_{n+1}$ is an isomorphism;
- (c) $F(X)^A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} W_n$.

Now we are ready to prove Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. If ϕ is not injective, then there exists a finitely generated subgroup G of $F^{\mathbb{Z}^p}(X)$ such that $G \cap \ker \phi$ is not trivial. By Proposition 5.3, there exists a non-negative integer k and a sequence $G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_k$ of subgroups of $F^A(X)$, where

- (a) $G_0 = F(X_0)$ is the group generated by a finite subset X_0 of X ;
- (b) for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ there exists an element $z_i \in G_i$, generating a maximal abelian subgroup in G_i , and a finitely generated subgroup T_i of $(A, +)$ containing 1_A , such that $z_i^{T_i} \leq G_{i+1}$ and the canonical map

$$G_i *_{z_i=1_A} T_i \rightarrow G_{i+1}$$

is an isomorphism;

- (c) G is a subgroup of G_k .

Put $H_i = \phi(G_i)$, $A_i = \langle \phi(z_i) \rangle$ and $B_i = \phi(z_i^{T_i})$.

Let us show by induction on i that $\phi|_{G_i} : G_i \rightarrow H_i$ is an isomorphism. It is clear for $i = 0$. Assume we have proved it for $i < k$. Observe that, since $\phi(z_i) \neq 1$,

$$B_i = \phi(z_i^{T_i}) = \phi(z_i)^{T_i} \cong T_i \text{ and } A_i = \phi(\langle z_i \rangle) = \phi(G_i \cap z_i^{T_i}) = B_i \cap H_i.$$

Then by Theorem 1.2, $\phi|_{G_{i+1}} : G_{i+1} \rightarrow H_{i+1}$ is also an isomorphism.

Thus, $\ker \phi \cap G_k = \{1\}$. This is a contradiction. \square

We will also need the following consequence of Proposition 5.3.

Corollary 5.4. [53, Corollary 5] *Let B be a ring with a torsion-free additive group and A a subring of B . Then the canonical map $F^A(X) \rightarrow F^B(X)$ is injective.*

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. In this subsection we will prove that $F^A(X)$ is residually nilpotent for every commutative ring A with a torsion-free additive group. The proof is based on the following general result communicated to us by a referee.

Proposition 5.5. *Let G be a group. Assume that for each $n \geq 0$ there are subgroups G_n and B_n of G such that*

- (a) $G_{n+1} = \langle G_n, B_n \rangle$;
- (b) B_n is abelian and G_n is residually torsion-free nilpotent;
- (c) $A_n = G_n \cap B_n$ and the canonical map $G_n *_{A_n} B_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ is an isomorphism;

$$(d) \ G = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} G_n.$$

Then G is residually torsion-free nilpotent.

Proof. Let $x \in G$. We want to show that G has a torsion-free nilpotent quotient such that the image of x in this quotient is not trivial.

Let $x \in G_m$ for some $m \geq 0$. Since G_m is residually torsion-free nilpotent, there exists a torsion-free nilpotent group N and the map $\phi_m : G_m \rightarrow N$ such that $\phi_m(x) \neq 1$. We can embed N in its Malcev \mathbb{Q} -completion. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that N is also a \mathbb{Q} -group.

We will show that for each $n \geq m$ there exist $\phi_n : G_n \rightarrow N$ such that the restriction of ϕ_n on G_{n-1} is ϕ_{n-1} . We construct ϕ_n by induction. Assume that we constructed ϕ_n for $m \leq n \leq k$. Since N is a \mathbb{Q} -group, we can extend ϕ_k from A_k to $A_k^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and so to $B_k^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and B_k . Thus we have a homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_k : B_k \rightarrow N$ that extends $(\phi_k)|_{A_k} : A_k \rightarrow N$. Now, by the universal property of the amalgamated product, there exists a homomorphism $\phi_{k+1} : G_{k+1} \rightarrow N$ whose restriction on G_k is ϕ_k and on B_k is $\tilde{\phi}_k$.

Let $\phi : G \rightarrow N$ be the homomorphism satisfying $\phi(g) = \phi_n(g)$ if $g \in G_n$. Then ϕ is well-defined and $\phi(x) \neq \{1\}$. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let X be a set and A a countable commutative ring with a torsion-free additive group. Then $F^A(X)$ is residually torsion-free nilpotent.*

Proof. First assume that X is finite. Since A is countable, by Proposition 5.3 there are subgroups $\{G_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{B_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of $F^A(X)$ such that

- (a) $G_{n+1} = \langle G_n, B_n \rangle$;
- (b) B_n is abelian and finitely generated;
- (c) $A_n = G_n \cap B_n$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of G_n and the canonical map $G_n *_{A_n} B_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ is an isomorphism;
- (d) $F^A(X) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} G_n$.

By Theorem 1.2, G_n are residually torsion-free nilpotent. Thus, Proposition 5.5 implies that $F^A(X)$ is residually torsion-free nilpotent.

Now assume that X is an arbitrary set. Given an element $1 \neq g$ of $F^A(X)$, it belongs to $F^A(X_0)$ for some finite subset X_0 of X , and $F^A(X_0)$ is a retract of $F^A(X)$. As we have already proved, there exists a homomorphism $\phi : F^A(X_0) \rightarrow N$, where N is torsion-free nilpotent such that $\phi(g) \neq 1$. This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 5.7. *The proof of the previous corollary can also be adapted to the case where A is not countable. We prove it, using a different method, in Corollary 5.9.*

Let $X = \{x_i : i \in I\}$ and $Y = \{y_i : i \in I\}$ be two sets indexed by the elements of a set I . Let A be a commutative ring. We say that A is a **binomial domain** if A is a domain and $\binom{a}{n}$ belongs to A for every $a \in A$. For example \mathbb{Z}_p and \mathbb{Q} -algebras are binomial domains.

Assume that A is a binomial domain. If Δ_A denotes the ideal of $A\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$ generated by Y then $1 + \Delta_A$ is a subgroup of the group of units of $A\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$.

We can define an action of A on $1 + \Delta_A$ in the following way:

$$(1 + f)^a = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{a}{n} f^n \quad (a \in A, f \in \Delta_A).$$

Then, by [60], $1 + \Delta_A$ provided with this action is an A -group. Therefore, the map $x_i \mapsto 1 + y_i$ can be uniquely extended to an A -homomorphism

$$\phi_A : F^A(X) \rightarrow 1 + \Delta_A$$

called the **Magnus representation of $F^A(X)$** . Magnus (see, for example, [47]) proved that $\phi_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is injective. Now we prove the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 5.8. *Let X be an arbitrary set and A be a binomial domain. Then the map $\phi_A : F^A(X) \rightarrow 1 + \Delta_A$ is injective.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.4, we can assume that A is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. If $\ker \phi_A$ is not trivial, then there exists a finite subset X_0 of X and countable \mathbb{Q} -subalgebra of A such that the kernel of the map $F^{A_0}(X_0) \rightarrow 1 + \Delta_{A_0}$ is not trivial. Hence, we can also assume that X is finite and A is countable.

Let $F_n = F(X)/\gamma_n(F(X))$. Denote by K_n the kernel of the canonical map $F^A(X) \rightarrow F_n^A$. Using [52, Property 2], we obtain that F_n^A is nilpotent of nilpotency class $n - 1$. By Proposition 5.6, $F^A(X)$ is residually torsion-free nilpotent. Hence $\bigcap_n K_n = \{1\}$.

The map $F_n \rightarrow 1 + \Delta_A/1 + (\Delta_A)^n$ induces the map $\phi_n : F_n^A \rightarrow 1 + \Delta_A/1 + (\Delta_A)^n$. It is known that ϕ_n is injective (see, for example, [22, Theorem 23]). Thus K_n is the kernel of the canonical map $F^A(X) \rightarrow 1 + \Delta_A/1 + (\Delta_A)^n$. This implies that ϕ_A is injective. \square

The following definition has been suggested to us by a referee. We say that an A -group G is **A -torsion-free** if for every $1 \neq g \in G$, the map $A \rightarrow G$ that sends a to g^a is injective.

Corollary 5.9. *Let A be a commutative ring with a torsion-free additive group. Then the group $F^A(X)$ is residually- $(A$ -torsion-free nilpotent).*

Proof. By Corollary 5.4, we can assume that A is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. Hence the corollary follows from Theorem 5.8 because the A -groups $1 + \Delta_A/1 + (\Delta_A)^n$ are A -torsion-free and nilpotent. \square

5.4. Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.4 uses a particular case of [53, Theorem 8] that we describe now.

Proposition 5.10. *Let G be a countable torsion-free CSA-group. Then the \mathbb{Q} -completion $G^{\mathbb{Q}}$ of G is a direct union of subgroups $W_0 \leq W_1 \leq \dots$ such that*

- (a) $W_0 = G$;
- (b) for $i \geq 0$ there exists a maximal abelian subgroup A_i of W_i such that W_{i+1} is the image of the canonical map $W_i *_{A_i} A_i^{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Moreover, if H is a subgroup $G^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and A is a maximal abelian subgroup of H , then the canonical map $H *_{A} A^{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is injective.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Our definition of a limit group from the introduction and Proposition 5.3 show that the limit groups are exactly finitely generated subgroups of $F^{\mathbb{Z}[t]}(X)$. Since $F^{\mathbb{Z}[t]}(X)$ is a CSA-group, its \mathbb{Q} -completion can be calculated using Proposition 5.10. In particular, $G^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a subgroup of the \mathbb{Q} -completion of $F^{\mathbb{Z}[t]}(X)$ and so it is a subgroup of $F^{\mathbb{Q}[t]}(X)$. Hence by Corollary 5.9, it is residually torsion-free nilpotent. \square

6. LINEARITY OF FREE \mathbb{Q} -GROUPS AND FREE PRO- p GROUPS

We finish this paper with a discussion on another two well-known problems concerning linearity of free \mathbb{Q} -groups and free pro- p groups.

The problem of whether a free \mathbb{Q} -group $F^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is linear appears in [10, Problem F13] and it is attributed to I. Kapovich (see also [38, Problem 13.39(b)]). The problem of whether a free pro- p group \mathbf{F} is linear is usually attributed to A. Lubotzky (for example, we discussed this question in Jerusalem in November, 2001).

In the context of profinite groups, one can consider two kinds of linearity (see, for example, [28]). On one hand, we say that a profinite group G is **linear** if it is linear as an abstract group, that is it has a faithful representation by matrices of fixed degree over a field. On the other hand, the concept of **t -linear** profinite group takes into account the topology of G and means that G can be faithfully represented as a closed subgroup of the group of invertible matrices of fixed degree over a profinite commutative ring.

It is commonly believed that a non-abelian free pro- p group is not t -linear (see, [44, Conjecture 3.8], the discussion after [3, Theorem 1.1] and [59, Section 5.3]). An equivalent reformulation of this statement is that a p -adic analytic pro- p group satisfies a non-trivial pro- p identity. A. Zubkov [64] proved that if $p > 2$, then a non-abelian free pro- p group cannot be represented by 2-by-2 matrices over a profinite commutative ring. E. Zelmanov announced that given a fixed n , a non-abelian free pro- p group cannot be represented by n -by- n matrices over a profinite commutative ring for every large enough prime $p \gg n$ (see [62, 63]). Recently, D. El-Chai Ben-Ezra, E. Zelmanov showed that a free pro-2 group cannot be represented by 2-by-2 matrices over a profinite commutative ring of characteristic 2 [20].

Recall that by a result of A. Malcev [48, Theorem IV], a group can be represented by matrices of degree n over a field if and only if every one of its finitely generated subgroup has this property. Thus, in order to decide whether $F^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ or \mathbf{F} are linear, we have to analyze the structure of their finitely generated (abstract) subgroups. In order to apply the Malcev criterion one should find a uniform n which does not depend on a finitely generated subgroup. We may ask a weaker question of whether $F^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ and \mathbf{F} are locally linear. Using recent advances in geometric group theory one can answer this positively in the case of $F^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$.

Theorem 6.1. *The groups $F^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ are locally linear over \mathbb{Z} .*

Proof. Let G be a finitely generated subgroup of $F^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$. Then by Proposition 5.3, there exists $k \geq 0$ and a sequence $G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_k$ of subgroups of $F^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, such that G_0 is free, G_{i+1} is obtained from G_i by adjoining a root

and $G \leq G_k$. By [53, Theorem 5], the groups G_i are CSA. Thus, from a corollary on the page 100 of [11] we obtain that G is hyperbolic. Therefore, [26, Corollary C] ensures, by induction on i , that each G_i acts properly and cocompactly on a CAT(0) cube complex. Hence, by [1, Theorem 1.1], G_k has a finite index subgroup acting faithfully and specially on a CAT(0) cube complex. Finally from [25, Theorem 1.1] it follows that G_k , and so G , are linear over \mathbb{Z} . \square

I wouldn't be surprised if the groups $F^{\mathbb{Q}[t]}(X)$ are also locally linear. However, to decide whether $F^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ and $F^{\mathbb{Q}[t]}(X)$ are linear will require a completely new approach.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Agol, The virtual Haken conjecture. With an appendix by Agol, Groves, and Manning. *Doc. Math.* 18 (2013), 1045–1087.
- [2] J. Barlev, T. Gelander, Compactifications and algebraic completions of limit groups. *J. Anal. Math.* 112 (2010), 261–287.
- [3] Y. Barnea, M. Larsen, A non-abelian free pro- p group is not linear over a local field. *J. Algebra* 214 (1999), 338–341.
- [4] G. Baumslag, Some aspects of groups with unique roots. *Acta Math.* 104 (1960), 217–303.
- [5] G. Baumslag, On free \mathcal{D} -groups. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 18 (1965), 25–30.
- [6] G. Baumslag, Groups with the same lower central sequence as a relatively free group. I. The groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (1967), 308–321.
- [7] G. Baumslag, On the residual nilpotence of certain one-relator groups. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 21 (1968), 491–506.
- [8] G. Baumslag, Groups with the same lower central sequence as a relatively free group. II. Properties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142 (1969), 507–538.
- [9] G. Baumslag, Some reflections on proving groups residually torsion-free nilpotent. I. *Illinois J. Math.* 54 (2010), 315–325.
- [10] G. Baumslag, A. Myasnikov, V. Shpilrain, Open problems in combinatorial group theory. Second edition. *Combinatorial and geometric group theory (New York, 2000/Hoboken, NJ, 2001)*, 1–38, *Contemp. Math.*, 296, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [11] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, A combination theorem for negatively curved groups. *J. Differential Geom.* 35 (1992), 85–101.
- [12] E. Breuillard, T. Gelander, J. Souto, P. Storm, Dense embeddings of surface groups. *Geom. Topol.* 10 (2006), 1373–1389.
- [13] M. Bridson, A. Reid, Nilpotent completions of groups, Grothendieck pairs, and four problems of Baumslag. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2015, 2111–2140.
- [14] C. Champetier, V. Guirardel, Limit groups as limits of free groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 146 (2005), 1–75.
- [15] B. Chandler, The representation of a generalized free product in an associative ring. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 21 (1968), 271–288.
- [16] P. M. Cohn, Localization in semifirs. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 6 (1974), 13–20.
- [17] P. M. Cohn, Free rings and their relations. Second edition. *London Mathematical Society Monographs*, 19. Academic Press, Inc., London, 1985.
- [18] P. M. Cohn, Skew fields. *Theory of general division rings. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 57. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [19] W. Dicks, D. Herbera, J. Sánchez, On a theorem of Ian Hughes about division rings of fractions. *Comm. Algebra* 32 (2004), 1127–1149.
- [20] D. El-Chai Ben-Ezra, E. Zelmanov, On pro-2 identities of 2×2 linear groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 374 (2021), 4093–4128

- [21] M. Ershov, W. Lück, The first L^2 -Betti number and approximation in arbitrary characteristic, *Doc. Math.* 19 (2014), 313–332.
- [22] A. Gaglione, A. Myasnikov, V. Remeslennikov, D. Spellman, Formal power series representations of free exponential groups. *Comm. Algebra* 25 (1997), 631–648.
- [23] J. Gräter, Free Division Rings of Fractions of Crossed Products of Groups With Conradian Left-Orders, *Forum Math.* 32 (2020), 739–772. .
- [24] H. Hahn, Über die nichtarchimedischen Grössensysteme, *Sitzungsberichte der K. Akademie der Wissenschaften*, Vienna 116 (1907), 601–655.
- [25] F. Haglund, D. Wise, Special cube complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 17 (2008), 1551–1620.
- [26] T. Hsu, D. Wise, Cubulating malnormal amalgams. *Invent. Math.* 199 (2015), 293–331.
- [27] I. Hughes, Division rings of fractions for group rings. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 23 (1970), 181–188.
- [28] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, On linear just infinite pro- p groups. *J. Algebra* 255 (2002), 392–404.
- [29] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, Approximation by subgroups of finite index and the Hanna Neumann conjecture, *Duke Math. J.* 166 (2017), 1955–1987.
- [30] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, The base change in the Atiyah and the Lück approximation conjectures. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 29 (2019), 464–538.
- [31] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, L^2 -Betti numbers and their analogues in positive characteristic, *Groups St Andrews 2017 in Birmingham*, 346–406, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 455, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2019.
- [32] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, An explicit construction of the universal division ring of fractions of $E\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle\rangle$, *J. Comb. Algebra* 4 (2020), 369–395.
- [33] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, The universality of Hughes-free division rings, *Sel. Math. New Ser.* 27 (2021), 74.
- [34] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, D. López-Álvarez, The strong Atiyah and Lück approximation conjectures for one-relator groups, *Math. Ann.* 376 (2020), 1741–1793.
- [35] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, I. Morales, Parafree fundamental groups of graph of groups with cyclic edge subgroups, preprint (2021), arXiv:2110.11655.
- [36] B. Jiang, H. Li, Sylvester rank functions for amenable normal extensions, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 280 (2021), 108913, 47 pp.
- [37] O. Kharlampovich, A. Miasnikov. Elementary theory of free nonabelian groups, *J. Algebra*, 302 (2006), 451–552.
- [38] The Kouravka notebook. Unsolved problems in group theory. Nineteenth edition. March 2019 update. Edited by E. I. Khukhro and V. D. Mazurov. Sobolev Institute of Mathematics. Russian Academy of Sciences. Siberian Branch, Novosibirsk, 2018.
- [39] M. Lazard, Groupes analytiques p -adiques, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 26 (1965), 389–603.
- [40] J. Lewin, On the intersection of augmentation ideals. *J. Algebra* 16 (1970), 519–522.
- [41] H. Li, Bivariant and extended Sylvester rank functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2021), 222–249.
- [42] P. Linnell, Division rings and group von Neumann algebras. *Forum Math.* 5 (1993), 561–576.
- [43] D. López-Álvarez, Sylvester rank functions, epic division rings, and the strong Atiyah conjecture for locally indicable groups, Ph.D. Thesis 2021, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, https://www.icmat.es/Thesis/2021/Tesis_Diego_Lopez_Alvarez.pdf.
- [44] A. Lubotzky, A. Shalev, On some Λ -analytic pro- p groups. *Israel J. Math.* 85 (1994), 307–337.
- [45] W. Lück, Approximating L^2 -invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 4 (1994), 455–481.
- [46] W. Lück, D. Osin, Approximating the first L^2 -Betti number of residually finite groups. *J. Topol. Anal.* 3 (2011), 153–160.

- [47] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar, Combinatorial group theory. Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2004.
- [48] A. Malcev, On isomorphic matrix representations of infinite groups. (Russian) Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. 8 (50), (1940), 405–422.
- [49] A. I. Malcev, On the embedding of group algebras in division algebras. (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 60 (1948). 1499–1501.
- [50] P. Malcolmson, Determining homomorphisms to skew fields. J. Algebra 64 (1980), 399–413.
- [51] I. Morales, Embeddings into pro- p groups and the construction of parafree groups, Master Thesis, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (2021), arXiv:2109.12341.
- [52] A. Myasnikov, V. Remeslennikov, Exponential groups I: foundations of the theory and tensor completion. Siberian Math. J., 5 (1994), 986–996.
- [53] A. Myasnikov, V. Remeslennikov, Exponential groups. II. Extensions of centralizers and tensor completion of CSA-groups. Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 6 (1996), 687–711.
- [54] B. H. Neumann, On ordered division rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66, (1949).
- [55] N. Nikolov, D. Segal, On finitely generated profinite groups. I. Strong completeness and uniform bounds. Ann. of Math. (2) 165 (2007), no. 1, 171–238.
- [56] L Ribes, P. Zalesskii, Profinite groups. Second edition. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 40. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [57] J. J. Rotman, An introduction to homological algebra. Second edition. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [58] J. Sánchez, On division rings and tilting modules, Ph. D. Thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (2008), www.tdx.cat/bitstream/handle/10803/3107/jss1de1.pdf.
- [59] A. Shalev, Lie methods in the theory of pro- p groups. New horizons in pro- p groups, 1–54, Progr. Math., 184, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.
- [60] R. B. Warfield, Nilpotent groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 513. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [61] D. Wise, Research announcement: the structure of groups with a quasiconvex hierarchy. Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. 16 (2009), 44–55.
- [62] E. Zelmanov, Infinite algebras and pro- p groups, Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects, Progr. Math. 248, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005, 403–413.
- [63] E. Zelmanov, Groups with identities, Note. Mat. 36, 2016, 101–113.
- [64] A. N. Zubkov, Nonrepresentability of a free nonabelian pro- p -group by second-order matrices. (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 28 (1987), 64–69, English translation: Siberian Math. J. 28 (1987), 742–746.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID AND INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM

Email address: `andrei.jaikin@uam.es`