# Small-x resummation in coefficient function for differential heavy-quarks production<sup>\*</sup>

Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

> INFN, Sezione Roma 1, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

> > Federico Silvetti

Received January 25, 2023

High-energy logarithmic corrections are enhanced when the ratio  $x = \frac{Q^2}{s}$  between the typical energy scale of a scattering process Q and the total center-of-mass energy available s is small. We discuss recent developments on their resummation in differential cross sections in rapidity, transverse momentum, and invariant mass and their application to heavy-flavor production at the LHC.

### 1. Introduction

Within the scope of precision physics at the LHC, many different theory computations are required to achieve an accuracy of 1% and below (e.g. high-precision fixed-order computations, accurate PDFs and all-order resummation of large logarithmic contributions). In this proceeding, we take into account the so-called high-energy logarithms of the form  $\alpha_s^n \frac{1}{x} \log^k \frac{1}{x}$ , k < n, where  $x = \frac{Q^2}{s}$  is a dimensionless scaling variable that becomes small when the collider energy s is larger than the characteristic energy scale of a scattering process Q. Then, when x is small enough, the presence of such terms invalidates the perturbativity of fixed-order predictions in both the DGLAP splitting functions and the partonic cross sections. In the former case, the theory to control high-energy logarithms has been developed over the last thirty years [1–11]. In the latter one, the resummation of these logarithms was developed to leading logarithmic (LL) order using the  $k_t$ -factorization theorem [12–14]. More recently, this technique received

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at "Diffraction and Low-x 2022", Corigliano Calabro (Italy), September 24-30, 2022.

a stable numerical implementation in the High-Energy Large Logarithms public code (HELL) [15–17].

In this work, we consider the resummation of small-*x* logarithms in cross sections differential in invariant mass, rapidity and transverse momentum. This was first developed in Refs. [18–20]. Here, instead, we apply the modern resummation formalism of Refs. [15–17]. Then, leveraging this numerical implementation, we consider heavy-flavor pair production as a case study and construct resummed predictions for distribution in invariant mass, transverse momentum and rapidity of the heavy-quark pair or one of the heavy quarks.

## 2. Small-x resummation for differential coefficient functions

In this section, we will briefly review the resummation formalism implemented in HELLfor differential observables, a more detailed discussion can be found in [21]. First, we may consider the expression for a triple-differential cross-section in the usual collinear factorization

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dY dq_t^2} (x, Y, Q^2, q_t^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int d\hat{y} \,\mathscr{L}_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{z}, \hat{y}, Q^2\right) \frac{dC_{ij}}{dQ^2 dy dq_t^2} (z, Y - \hat{y}, Q^2, q_t^2), \quad (1)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{ij}\left(x,\hat{y},Q^{2}\right) = f_{i}(\sqrt{x}e^{\hat{y}},Q^{2}) f_{j}(\sqrt{x}e^{-\hat{y}},Q^{2}) \theta(e^{-2|\hat{y}|} - x), \qquad (2)$$

where the sum i, j runs over all partons,  $Q^2$ , Y and  $q_t^2$  are respectively the invariant mass, rapidity and transverse momentum of the final state. Inside the convolution, the parton level coefficient function C is computed in the center-of-mass frame of the initial state partons and then weighted against the parton luminosity  $\mathscr{L}$  of eq. (2). This second object contains the usual collinear PDFs and enforces the suitable boundaries on the rapidity integral. The same process can be written in  $k_t$ -factorization [18–20]

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dY dq_t^2}(x, Y, Q^2, q_t^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int d\hat{y} \int_0^\infty dk_1^2 \int_0^\infty dk_2^2 \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{x}{z}, \hat{y}, k_1^2, k_2^2\right) \\ \times \frac{d\mathcal{C}}{dQ^2 dy dq_t^2}(z, Y - \hat{y}, Q^2, q_t^2, k_1^2, k_2^2),$$
(3)

$$dQ^2 dy dq_t^2 \left( \sqrt{x} e^{\hat{y}}, k_1^2, k_2^2 \right) = \mathcal{F}(\sqrt{x} e^{\hat{y}}, k_1^2) \,\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{x} e^{-\hat{y}}, k_2^2) \,, \theta(e^{-2|\hat{y}|} - x) \,, \tag{4}$$

where this time the coefficient function is computed at Born-level with offshell gluons in the initial state, according to the prescription of [12-14]. Since high-energy logarithms emerge from the integration over gluon exchanges in the t channel, this ensures that the LL contributions are hidden away in the off-shell luminosity  $\mathcal{L}$  [14,18]. In turn, the off-shell gluon distributions  $\mathcal{F}$  within  $\mathcal{L}$  are related to their on-shell counterparts by an evolution operator U, which encodes the resummed logarithms and is available from Refs. [15–17,21]. This relation can be made explicit after taking a Mellin-Fourier transform over the variables x and  $Y^1$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}\left(N\pm\frac{ib}{2},k_t^2\right) = \frac{dU}{dk_t^2}\left(N\pm\frac{ib}{2},k_t^2,Q^2\right)f_g\left(N\pm\frac{ib}{2},Q^2\right)\,.$$
 (5)

Then, in this adjoint space, we can compare directly eqs. (1) and (3). Plugging in definition (5), we can then see that

$$\frac{dC}{dQ^2 dy dq_t^2} (N, b, Q^2, q_t^2) 
= \int_0^\infty dk_1^2 \int_0^\infty dk_2^2 \frac{d}{dk_1^2} U\left(N + \frac{ib}{2}, k_1^2, Q^2\right) 
\times \frac{d}{dk_1^2} U\left(N - \frac{ib}{2}, k_2^2, Q^2\right) \frac{d\mathcal{C}}{dQ^2 dy dq_t^2} (N, b, Q^2, q_t^2, k_1^2, k_2^2) , \quad (6)$$

this last expression still has the structure of a Mellin-Fourier convolution like eq. (1). Then, after undoing the transformation, we finally retrieve

$$\frac{dC}{dQ^2 dy dq_t^2}(x, y, Q^2, q_t^2) = \int_0^\infty dk_1^2 \int_0^\infty dk_2^2 \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int d\hat{y} \frac{d\mathcal{C}}{dQ^2 dy dq_t^2}(z, y - \hat{y}, Q^2, k_1^2, k_2^2) \quad (7) \\ \times \frac{d}{dk_1^2} U\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{z}}e^{\hat{y}}, k_1^2, Q^2\right) \frac{d}{dk_2^2} U\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{z}}e^{-\hat{y}}, k_2^2, Q^2\right) \theta\left(e^{-2|\hat{y}|} - \frac{x}{z}\right). \tag{8}$$

This direct-space expression is implemented for numerical integration in the HELL public code and provides a handle on the resummation for the triple-differential distribution.

#### 2.1. Heavy-quark pair production

After elucidating the structure of the new resummation formalism, we showcase some examples. We consider heavy-quark pair production (bottom flavor specifically) as an explicit case study:

$$p\bar{p} \to b(p_b)b(p_{\bar{b}}) + X$$
 . (9)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For briefness, we write explicitly only the gluon part of the evolution relation, leaving the discussion of the quark side to a more complete review of the topic [21].



Fig. 1. Distribution in rapidity and transverse momentum for the bottom quark kinematics. Plotted for  $q_t = 2$  GeV and at LHC 13 TeV and the NNPDF31sx PDF set.



Fig. 2. The triple-differential distribution for the bottom-antibottom pair, plotted at Q = 20 GeV and  $q_t = 50$  GeV, with LHC energy of 13 TeV and the NNPDF31sx PDF set.

We plot  $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dY dq_t^2}$  for two different kinematical settings: considering the kinematics of a single open quark or those of the entire quark-antiquark pair. In both cases, we combine the resummed result from eq. (8) with PDF from NNPDF31sx and match to the LO and NLO fixed orders obtained from POWHEG-box [22–26]. In the first case, we identify  $Q^2$  with the squared mass of the bottom quark and the triple distribution reduces to a double one in  $q_t^2 = (p_{b,1})^2 + (p_{b,2})^2$ ,  $Y = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{(p_{b,0}+p_{b,3})}{(p_{b,0}-p_{\bar{b},3})} \right)$ , reported in Fig. 1. We observe that resummation is a positive correction at LO, of about 50% at central rapidity and decreasing towards the rapidity endpoints. At NLO, the correction is smaller, hinting at an improved convergence of perturbation theory from the inclusion of resummation. Overall, the matched NLO+LL curve is about 1.4 times the LO one. The NLO correction alone induces most of this effect but the contribution from the resummation grows up to 50% at large rapidity.

When considering pair kinematics instead, the two heavy bottom quarks

are treated as a single object and we can identify

$$Q^{2} = (p_{b} + p_{\bar{b}})^{2}, \qquad q_{t}^{2} = \left(p_{b,1} + p_{\bar{b},1}\right)^{2} + \left(p_{b,2} + p_{\bar{b},2}\right)^{2},$$
$$Y = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\left(p_{b,0} + p_{\bar{b},0}\right) + \left(p_{b,3} + p_{\bar{b},3}\right)}{\left(p_{b,0} + p_{\bar{b},0}\right) - \left(p_{b,3} + p_{\bar{b},3}\right)}\right).$$

The corresponding plot is shown in Fig. 2. We see that NLO (blue dashed curve) is smaller than the  $LL^2$  curve (solid orange) result. This time the matched NLO+LL curve (solid blue) is a small positive correction to the NLO result, pointing toward the still larger LL prediction, possibly indicating that the addition of resummation effects can bridge the gap between fixed orders and lead to a more stable perturbative expansion. It must be pointed out, however, that this apparent stabilization may be sensitive to further input from fixed order [27].

#### REFERENCES

- L. N. Lipatov, Reggeization of the Vector Meson and the Vacuum Singularity in Nonabelian Gauge Theories, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 23 (1976) 338.
- [2] V. S. Fadin and L. N. Lipatov, BFKL pomeron in the next-to-leading approximation, Phys. Lett. B 429 (1998) 127 [hep-ph/9802290].
- G. P. Salam, A Resummation of large subleading corrections at small x, JHEP 07 (1998) 019 [hep-ph/9806482].
- [4] M. Ciafaloni, D. Colferai and G. P. Salam, Renormalization group improved small x equation, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 114036 [hep-ph/9905566].
- [5] M. Ciafaloni, D. Colferai, G. P. Salam and A. M. Stasto, A Matrix formulation for small-x singlet evolution, JHEP 08 (2007) 046 [0707.1453].
- [6] R. D. Ball and S. Forte, Summation of leading logarithms at small x, Phys. Lett. B 351 (1995) 313 [hep-ph/9501231].
- [7] G. Altarelli, R. D. Ball and S. Forte, Small x Resummation with Quarks: Deep-Inelastic Scattering, Nucl. Phys. B 799 (2008) 199 [0802.0032].
- [8] R. S. Thorne, Explicit calculation of the running coupling BFKL anomalous dimension, Phys. Lett. B 474 (2000) 372 [hep-ph/9912284].
- [9] R. D. Ball, V. Bertone, M. Bonvini, S. Marzani, J. Rojo and L. Rottoli, Parton distributions with small-x resummation: evidence for BFKL dynamics in HERA data, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 321 [1710.05935].
- [10] XFITTER DEVELOPERS' TEAM collaboration, H. Abdolmaleki et al., Impact of low-x resummation on QCD analysis of HERA data, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 621 [1802.00064].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> effectively LO+LL, since the LO contribution is proportional to  $\delta(q_t^2)$  and so vanishes for any non-zero transverse momentum)

- M. Bonvini and F. Giuli, A new simple PDF parametrization: improved description of the HERA data, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 134 (2019) 531
   [1902.11125].
- [12] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, GLUON CONTRIBUTIONS TO SMALL x HEAVY FLAVOR PRODUCTION, Phys. Lett. B 242 (1990) 97.
- [13] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, High-energy factorization and small x heavy flavor production, Nucl. Phys. B 366 (1991) 135.
- [14] S. Catani and F. Hautmann, High-energy factorization and small x deep inelastic scattering beyond leading order, Nucl. Phys. B 427 (1994) 475 [hep-ph/9405388].
- [15] M. Bonvini, S. Marzani and T. Peraro, Small-x resummation from HELL, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 597 [1607.02153].
- [16] M. Bonvini, S. Marzani and C. Muselli, Towards parton distribution functions with small-x resummation: HELL 2.0, JHEP 12 (2017) 117 [1708.07510].
- [17] M. Bonvini, Small-x phenomenology at the LHC and beyond: HELL 3.0 and the case of the Higgs cross section, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 834 [1805.08785].
- [18] F. Caola, S. Forte and S. Marzani, Small x resummation of rapidity distributions: The Case of Higgs production, Nucl. Phys. B 846 (2011) 167 [1010.2743].
- [19] S. Forte and C. Muselli, High energy resummation of transverse momentum distributions: Higgs in gluon fusion, JHEP 03 (2016) 122 [1511.05561].
- [20] C. Muselli, Double Differential High Energy Resummation, [1710.09376].
- [21] F. Silvetti and M. Bonvini, Differential heavy quark pair production at small x, [2211.10142].
- [22] P. Nason, A New method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, JHEP 11 (2004) 040 [hep-ph/0409146].
- [23] S. Frixione, P. Nason and C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with Parton Shower simulations: the POWHEG method, JHEP 11 (2007) 070 [0709.2092].
- [24] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, JHEP 06 (2010) 043 [1002.2581].
- [25] P. Nason, S. Dawson and R. K. Ellis, The Total Cross-Section for the Production of Heavy Quarks in Hadronic Collisions, Nucl. Phys. B 303 (1988) 607.
- [26] S. Frixione, P. Nason and G. Ridolfi, A Positive-weight next-to-leading-order Monte Carlo for heavy flavour hadroproduction, JHEP 09 (2007) 126 [0707.3088].
- [27] J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, G. A., von Manteuffel A. et al., The Unpolarized and Polarized Single-Mass Three-Loop Heavy Flavor Operator Matrix Elements  $A_{gg,Q}$  and  $\Delta A_{gg,Q}$ , [2211.05462].