

WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE SURFACE QUASI-GEOSTROPHIC FRONT EQUATION

ALBERT AI AND OVIDIU-NECULAI AVADANEI

ABSTRACT. We consider the well-posedness of the surface quasi-geostrophic (SQG) front equation. Hunter-Shu-Zhang [11] established well-posedness under a small data condition as well as a convergence condition on an expansion of the equation's nonlinearity. In the present article, we establish unconditional large data local well-posedness of the SQG front equation, while also improving the low regularity threshold for the initial data. In addition, we establish global well-posedness theory in the rough data regime by using the testing by wave packet approach of Ifrim-Tataru.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation and preliminaries	4
3. Paralinearizations and the linearized equation	10
4. Energy estimates	15
5. Local well-posedness	17
6. Global well-posedness	19
7. Modified scattering	34
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

The surface quasi-geostrophic (SQG) equation takes the form

$$(1.1) \quad \theta_t + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0, \quad u = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^\perp \theta$$

where θ is a scalar evolution equation on \mathbb{R}^2 , $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ denotes a fractional Laplacian, and $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_y, \partial_x)$. The SQG equation arises from oceanic and atmospheric science as a model for quasi-geostrophic flows confined to a surface. This equation is also of interest due to similarities with the three dimensional incompressible Euler equation. In particular, the question of singularity formation remains open for both problems.

The SQG equation is one member in a family of two-dimensional active scalar equations parameterized by the transport term in (1.1), with

$$(1.2) \quad u = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nabla^\perp \theta, \quad \alpha \in (0, 2].$$

The case $\alpha = 2$ gives the two dimensional incompressible Euler equation, while the $\alpha = 1$ case gives the SQG equation (1.1) above.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35.

Key words and phrases. SQG front equation, paralinearization, modified energies, frequency envelopes, wave packet testing.

Front solutions to (1.1) refer to piecewise constant solutions taking the form

$$\theta(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} \theta_+ & \text{if } y > \varphi(t, x) \\ \theta_- & \text{if } y < \varphi(t, x) \end{cases},$$

where the front is modeled by the graph $y = \varphi(t, x)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Front solutions are closely related with patch solutions

$$\theta(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} \theta_+ & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Omega(t) \\ \theta_- & \text{if } (x, y) \notin \Omega(t) \end{cases},$$

where Ω is a bounded, simply connected domain.

In the SQG case $\alpha = 1$, the evolution equation for the front φ , derived in [7, 9], takes the form

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \varphi(t, x) - A_\varphi \varphi_x(t, x) &= 2 \log |D_x| \partial_x \varphi(t, x), \\ \varphi(0, x) &= \varphi_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

where φ is a real-valued function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$(1.4) \quad A_\varphi \varphi_x(t, x) = \int \left(\frac{1}{|y|} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{y^2 + (\varphi(t, x+y) - \varphi(t, x))^2}} \right) \cdot (\varphi_x(t, x+y) - \varphi_x(t, x)) dy.$$

The equation (1.3) is invariant under the transformation

$$t \rightarrow \kappa t, \quad x \rightarrow \kappa(x + \log |\kappa| t), \quad \varphi \rightarrow \kappa \varphi,$$

which means that $\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the corresponding critical Sobolev space.

When $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, contour dynamics equations for patches and fronts may be derived and analyzed in a similar way. Global well-posedness for small and localized data was established by Córdoba-Gómez-Serrano-Ionescu in [3].

However, when $\alpha \in [1, 2]$, the derivation of contour dynamics equations for fronts has complexities arising from the slow decay of Green's functions. The derivation in this range was addressed by Hunter-Shu [7] via a regularization procedure, and again by Hunter-Shu-Zhang in [9].

In the case of SQG patches, Gancedo-Nguyen-Patel proved in [4] that in a suitable parametrization, the SQG front equation is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, where $s > 2$. Local well-posedness for the generalized SQG family, where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $\alpha \neq 1$, was also considered by Gancedo-Patel in [5], establishing in particular local well-posedness in H^2 for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

In the case of nonperiodic SQG fronts, Hunter-Shu-Zhang studied the local well-posedness for a cubic approximation of (1.3) in [8]. In [11], they considered the local well-posedness for the full equation (1.3) with initial data in H^s , $s \geq 5$, along with global well-posedness for small, localized, and essentially smooth ($s \geq 1200$) initial data. However, these well-posedness results require a small data assumption to ensure the coercivity of the modified energies used in the energy estimates, along with a convergence condition on an expansion of the nonlinearity $A_\varphi \varphi$ appearing in (1.3). These results were extended to the range $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ for the generalized SQG family in [10].

In the present article, our objective is to revisit and streamline the analysis of (1.3), while improving the established well-posedness results. Our contributions include:

- offering substantial simplifications to the paradifferential analysis,
- removing the convergence and small data assumptions in the local well-posedness result of [11],
- establishing the local well-posedness in a significantly lower regularity setting at $1 + \epsilon$ derivatives above scaling, corresponding to the classical threshold of Hughes-Kato-Marsden for nonlinear hyperbolic systems [6], and
- establishing the global well-posedness in a low regularity setting, by applying the wave packet testing method of Ifrim-Tataru (see for instance [12, 14]).

We anticipate that our streamlined analysis will also open the way to substantial simplifications and improvements in the analysis of related equations, including the generalized SQG family (1.2).

Our main local well-posedness result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Equation (1.3) is locally well-posed for initial data in H^s with $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Precisely, for every $R > 0$, there exists $T = T(R) > 0$ such that for any $\varphi_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|\varphi_0\|_{H^s} < R$, the Cauchy problem (1.3) has a unique solution $\varphi \in C([0, T], H^s)$. Moreover, the solution map $\varphi_0 \mapsto \varphi$ from H^s to $C([0, T], H^s)$ is continuous.*

We also consider global well-posedness for small and localized data. To describe localized solutions, we define the operator

$$L = x + 2t + 2t \log |D_x|,$$

which commutes with the linear flow $\partial_t - 2 \log |D_x| \partial_x$, and at time $t = 0$ is simply multiplication by x . Then we define the time-dependent weighted energy space

$$\|\varphi\|_X := \|\varphi\|_{H^s} + \|L \partial_x \varphi\|_{L^2},$$

where $s > 4$. To track the dispersive decay of solutions, we define the pointwise control norm

$$\|\varphi\|_Y := \| |D_x|^{3/4-\delta} \varphi \|_{L_x^\infty} + \| |D_x|^{2+\delta} \varphi \|_{L_x^\infty}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Consider data φ_0 with*

$$\|\varphi_0\|_X \lesssim \epsilon \ll 1.$$

Then the solution φ to (1.3) with initial data φ_0 exists globally in time, with energy bounds

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_X \lesssim \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2}$$

and pointwise bounds

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_Y \lesssim \epsilon \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Further, the solution φ exhibits a modified scattering behavior, with an asymptotic profile W , in a sense that will be made precise in Section 7. There, we also observe that (1.3) has a conserved L^2 mass. We remark that Hunter-Shu-Zhang [10] makes a similar observation regarding mass conservation for the generalized SQG in the range $\alpha \in (1, 2]$.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish notation and preliminaries used through the rest of the paper. We define a parameter-dependent paradifferential quantization which will ensure coercivity in our energy estimates, and which is key in removing

the small data assumption in the local well-posedness theory. We also establish Moser estimates to be applied toward the parilinearization of $A_\varphi\varphi$, as well as a more general linearized counterpart $A_\varphi v$. Lastly, we record some elementary lemmas involving difference quotients.

In Section 3, we parilinearize the operator $A_\varphi v$, up to a perturbative error. We then apply this result to reduce the analysis of both the equation (1.3) and its linearization

$$(1.5) \quad \partial_t v - \partial_x A_\varphi v = 2 \log |D_x| \partial_x v$$

to the analysis of a paradifferential flow with perturbative source.

In Section 4, we prove energy estimates for the paradifferential flow of Section 3, by defining modified energies that are comparable to the classical Sobolev energies in the spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. This part crucially uses the quantization established in Section 2 to remove the small data assumption made in the local well-posedness result from [11].

In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.1, the local well-posedness result for (1.3). We do this by first using an iterative scheme to construct smooth solutions, and then using the method of frequency envelopes to lower the regularity exponent for the solutions. This method was introduced by Tao in [16] in order to better track the evolution of energy distribution between dyadic frequencies. A systematic presentation of the use of frequency envelopes in the study of local well-posedness theory for quasilinear problems can be found in the expository paper [13].

In Section 6 we use the wave packet testing method of Ifrim-Tataru to prove the global-wellposedness part of Theorem 1.2, along with the dispersive bounds for the resulting solution. This method is systematically presented in [14].

Finally, in Section 7 we provide a short proof for the mass conservation for the solutions of (1.3). Then we discuss the modified scattering behavior of the global solutions constructed in Section 6.

1.1. Acknowledgements. The first author was supported by the NSF grant DMS-2220519 and the RTG in Analysis and Partial Differential equations grant DMS-2037851. The second author was supported by the NSF grant DMS-2054975, as well as by the Simons Foundation. The authors would like to thank Mihaela Ifrim and Daniel Tataru for many helpful discussions.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

To facilitate the analysis of the operator A_φ , we define the smooth function

$$(2.1) \quad F(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s^2}},$$

which in particular vanishes to second order at $s = 0$, satisfying $F(0) = F'(0) = 0$. Using this notation, we define the following generalization of (1.4):

$$(2.2) \quad (A_\varphi v)(t, x) = \int F(\delta^y \varphi(t, x)) \cdot |\delta|^y v(t, x) dy.$$

This generalized operator will be useful when we study the linearized equation.

We let $0 < \delta < s - \frac{5}{2}$ refer to a small positive exponent throughout. Implicit constants may depend on our choice of δ .

2.1. Paradifferential operators and paraproducts. Let χ be an even smooth function such that $\chi = 1$ on $[-\frac{1}{20}, \frac{1}{20}]$ and $\chi = 0$ outside $[-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}]$, and define

$$\tilde{\chi}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \chi\left(\frac{|\theta_1|^2}{M^2 + |\theta_2|^2}\right).$$

Given a symbol $a(x, \eta)$, we use the above cutoff symbol $\tilde{\chi}$ to define an M dependent paradifferential quantization of a by (see also [2])

$$\widehat{T_a u}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int \hat{P}_{>M}(\xi) \tilde{\chi}(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta) \hat{a}(\xi - \eta, \eta) \hat{P}_{>M}(\eta) \hat{u}(\eta) d\eta,$$

where the Fourier transform of the symbol $a = a(x, \eta)$ is taken with respect to the first argument. We make the following remarks:

- The Fourier transform, and in particular T_a and Littlewood-Paley projections, will all operate with respect to the x variable throughout.
- On the Fourier side, the support conditions on $\hat{P}_{>M}$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ imply that $|\eta| \approx |\xi|$.
- When a is independent of η , the above definition coincides with the Weyl quantization, which means that the operator T_a will be self-adjoint if a is real valued. This will be useful when proving energy estimates in Section 4.

Implicit constants may depend on $M \gg 1$, which we view as a constant parameter except in Section 5. There, we will choose M using the following lemma, which will be key for establishing local well-posedness for large data:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $R > 0$, $r \geq 1$, and $s > \frac{1}{2}$. There exists M such that $\|T_{1-(1-F(u))^r}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} < 1$ for any u such that $\|u\|_{H^s} \leq R$.*

Proof. On $|\xi - \eta| \leq \frac{M}{2}$, we have $\tilde{\chi}(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta) = 1$. Thus we may write

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \cdot \widehat{T_a f}(\xi) &= \int_{|\xi - \eta| \leq \frac{M}{2}} \hat{P}_{>M}(\xi) \hat{a}(\xi - \eta) \hat{P}_{>M}(\eta) \hat{f}(\eta) d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_{|\xi - \eta| > \frac{M}{2}} \hat{P}_{>M}(\xi) \tilde{\chi}(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta) \hat{a}(\xi - \eta) \hat{P}_{>M}(\eta) \hat{f}(\eta) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

and conclude

$$\|T_a f\|_{L^2} \leq (\|P_{\leq \frac{M}{2}} a\|_{L^\infty} + C\|P_{> \frac{M}{2}} a\|_{L^\infty}) \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

We have by Sobolev embedding

$$\|P_{> \frac{M}{2}} a\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim M^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \|a\|_{H^s}$$

so that for $a = 1 - (1 - F(u))^r$ with $\|u\|_{H^s} \leq R$, we have

$$\|P_{> \frac{M}{2}} a\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim_R M^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}.$$

Further, using the form of F combined with Sobolev embedding, we have $\|a\|_{L^\infty} < 1 - \delta$ uniformly over $\|u\|_{H^s} \leq R$, for some $\delta > 0$ depending on R . Choosing M sufficiently large depending on R , we obtain

$$\|T_{1-(1-F(u))^r}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq \|1 - (1 - F(u))^r\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{\delta}{2} < 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

□

2.2. Classical estimates. We recall the following Moser-Schauder estimates. Note that in the present article, we typically take F to be given by (2.1).

Theorem 2.2 (Moser-Schauder). *Let $s \geq 0$ and $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function satisfying $F(0) = 0$.*

a) *If $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$(2.3) \quad \|F(f)\|_{H^s} \lesssim_{F, \|f\|_{L^\infty}} \|f\|_{H^s}.$$

b) *If $\partial_x^{-1} f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $F'(0) = 0$, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|F(f) - T_{F'(f)}f\|_{H^s} &\lesssim_{F, \|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}}} \|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{H^s}, \\ \|F(f) - T_{F'(f)}f\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\lesssim_{F, \|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}}} \|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{\dot{H}^s}. \end{aligned}$$

c) *Under the hypotheses of b), in the case $s = 0$, we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \|F(f) - T_{F'(f)}f\|_{L^2} \lesssim_F \|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. For a proof of a), see [17, Lemma A.9]. Here, we prove parts b) and c). In the following, denote

$$f_{\leq hk} := f_{\leq k-1} + hf_k.$$

Then write

$$\begin{aligned} F(f) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^1 F'(f_{\leq hk}) \cdot f_k dh \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (F'(f_{\leq hk})_{<k-4} + F'(f_{\leq hk})_{\geq k-4}) \cdot f_k dh \\ &:= \int_0^1 B_1 + B_2 dh. \end{aligned}$$

We consider B_2 . Write

$$\|B_2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} F'(f_{\leq hk-j})_k \cdot f_{k-j} \right\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2.$$

We estimate the summand by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} F'(f_{\leq hk-j})_k \cdot f_{k-j} \right\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\lesssim \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} \| |D_x|^s F'(f_{\leq hk-j})_k \|_{L^\infty} \|f_{k-j}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} 2^{sk-j} \| (F''(f_{\leq hk-j}) \cdot \partial_x f_{\leq hk-j})_k \|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^{-1} f_{k-j}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the chain rule, for each $j \geq -4$,

$$\| (F''(f_{\leq hk-j}) \cdot \partial_x f_{\leq hk-j})_k \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim_N 2^{-Nj} K(\|f\|_{L^\infty}, \|f_x\|_{L^\infty}).$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} F'(f_{\leq hk-j})_k \cdot f_{k-j} \right\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim K(\|f\|_{L^\infty}, \|f_x\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} 2^{(s-N-1)j} \|\partial_x^{-1} f_{k-j}\|_{\dot{H}^s}.$$

In this case of the frequencies $k \geq 0$ in B_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} F'(f_{\leq h^{k-j}})_k \cdot f_{k-j} \right\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 &\lesssim K(\|f\|_{L^\infty}, \|f_x\|_{L^\infty})^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} 2^{(s-N-1)j} \|\partial_x^{-1} f_{k-j}\|_{\dot{H}^s} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim K(\|f\|_{L^\infty}, \|f_x\|_{L^\infty})^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\partial_x^{-1} f_k\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\ &\lesssim K(\|f\|_{L^\infty}, \|f_x\|_{L^\infty})^2 \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where N has been chosen such that $N + 1 > s$. In particular, when $s = 0$, we may choose $N = 0$ to obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} F'(f_{\leq h^{k-j}})_k \cdot f_{k-j} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|f_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For the low frequency terms $k \leq 0$ in B_2 , using the fact that $F'(0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} F'(f_{\leq h^{k-j}})_k \cdot f_{k-j} \right\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} F'(f_{\leq h^{k-j}})_k \cdot f_{k-j} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} \|F'(f_{\leq h^{k-j}})_k\|_{L^\infty} \|f_{k-j}\|_{L^2} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=-4}^{\infty} 2^{k-j} \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{L^2} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude in the case $s > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_2\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\lesssim K(\|f\|_{L^\infty}, \|f_x\|_{L^\infty}) \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim K(\|f\|_{L^\infty}, \|f_x\|_{L^\infty}) \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{\dot{H}^s}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|B_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{W_x^{1,\infty}} \|\partial_x^{-1} f\|_{L^2}$$

when $s = 0$.

We now exchange B_1 for

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F'(f)_{<k-4} \cdot f_k.$$

The summand of the difference

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (F'(f) - F'(f_{\leq h^k}))_{<k-4} \cdot f_k$$

can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^k (F'(f) - F'(f_{\leq h k}))_{<k-4} \cdot 2^{-k} f_k \right\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\ & \lesssim \left\| 2^k \int_0^1 (F''(f_{\leq h k} + \theta((1-h)f_k + f_{>k})) \cdot ((1-h)f_k + f_{>k}))_{<k-4} d\theta \right\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^{-1} f_k\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\ & \lesssim \left(\int_0^1 \|f_x\|_{L^\infty} d\theta \right) \|\partial_x^{-1} f_k\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim \|f_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^{-1} f_k\|_{\dot{H}^s} \end{aligned}$$

so that summing orthogonally, we have the desired bound.

It remains to exchange (2.6) with $T_{F'(f)}f$. Write

$$F'(f)_{<k-4} \cdot f_k = T_{F'(f)_{<k-4}} f_k + T_{f_k} F'(f)_{<k-4} + \Pi(F'(f)_{<k-4}, f_k).$$

The second term contributes

$$\|T_{f_k} F'(f)_{<k-4}\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim \|\partial_x f\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^{-1} f_k\|_{\dot{H}^s}$$

which sums orthogonally over k . The third term is estimated similarly. For the first, using cancellation with $T_{F'(f)}f_k$, it remains to estimate

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{F'(f)_{\geq k-4}} f_k,$$

which can be done by noting that

$$\|T_{F'(f)_{\geq k-4}} f_k\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim \|(F''(f)f_x)_{\geq k-4}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^{-1} f_k\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim \|\partial_x f\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^{-1} f_k\|_{\dot{H}^s}$$

which is estimated in a similar way as in the frequency-balanced case. \square

We will use the following logarithmic commutator estimate:

Lemma 2.3. *We have for $s \geq 0$,*

$$\|\partial_x [T_f, \log |\partial_x|] g\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \|g\|_{H^s}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\partial_x [T_f, \log |\partial_x|] g)(\xi) &= \int \hat{P}_{>M}(\xi) \tilde{\chi}(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta) \hat{f}(\xi - \eta) \hat{P}_{>M}(\eta) \hat{g}(\eta) i\xi (\log |\eta| - \log |\xi|) d\eta \\ &= - \int \hat{P}_{>M}(\xi) \tilde{\chi}(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta) \widehat{\partial_x f}(\xi - \eta) \hat{P}_{>M}(\eta) \hat{g}(\eta) \frac{\log \left| 1 + \frac{\eta - \xi}{\xi} \right|}{\frac{\eta - \xi}{\xi}} d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\log |1 + x|/x$ is bounded away from $x = -1$. Thus, setting

$$\tilde{\chi}_1(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta) = \tilde{\chi}(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta) \hat{P}_{>M}(\eta) \frac{\log \left| 1 + \frac{\eta - \xi}{\xi} \right|}{\frac{\eta - \xi}{\xi}},$$

and observing that $(\eta - \xi)/\xi$ remains away from -1 on the support of $\tilde{\chi}$ and $\hat{P}_{>M}$, where $|\eta| \geq M$ and $|\xi| \lesssim |\eta|$, we see that $\tilde{\chi}_1$ is bounded. Further, since $\tilde{\chi}_1$ satisfies the same kind of bounds as $\tilde{\chi}$, we can apply paraproduct Coifman-Meyer estimates to deduce the desired result. \square

We also recall the following variant of [1, Lemma 2.5].

Lemma 2.4 (Para-products). *Assume that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 < 1$, $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \geq 0$. Then*

$$(2.7) \quad \|T_f T_g - T_{fg}\|_{\dot{H}^s \rightarrow \dot{H}^{s+\gamma_1+\gamma_2}} \lesssim \| |D|^{\gamma_1} f \|_{BMO} \| |D|^{\gamma_2} g \|_{BMO}.$$

When $\gamma_2 = 1$,

$$(2.8) \quad \|T_f T_g - T_{fg}\|_{\dot{H}^s \rightarrow \dot{H}^{s+\gamma_1+1}} \lesssim \| |D|^{\gamma_1} f \|_{BMO} \|g_x\|_{L^\infty}.$$

2.3. Difference quotients. We denote difference quotients by

$$\delta^y h(x) = \frac{h(x+y) - h(x)}{y}, \quad |\delta|^y h(x) = \frac{h(x+y) - h(x)}{|y|}$$

and the distribution p.v. $|y|^{-1}$ by

$$\langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, f \rangle = \int_{|y| \geq 1} \frac{f(y)}{|y|} dy + \int_{|y| < 1} \frac{f(y) - f(0)}{|y|} dy.$$

Equivalently,

$$\langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, f \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{|y| > \epsilon} \frac{f(y)}{|y|} dy + 2 \log(\epsilon) f(0) \right).$$

We also use the following estimates which we will apply to averages over difference quotients:

Lemma 2.5. *We have*

$$\left\| \int \frac{1}{|y|} f(x, y) dy \right\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim \sup_{|y| > 1} \| |y|^\delta f \|_{H_x^s} + \sup_{|y| \leq 1} \| |y|^{-\delta} f \|_{H_x^s}$$

and

$$\left\| \int \frac{1}{|y|} f(x, y) dy \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \sup_{|y| > 1} \| |y|^\delta f \|_{L_x^\infty} + \sup_{|y| \leq 1} \| |y|^{-\delta} f \|_{L_x^\infty}.$$

Proof. We decompose the integral

$$\int \frac{1}{|y|} f(x, y) dy = \int_{|y| > 1} + \int_{|y| \leq 1}$$

and estimate

$$\left\| \int_{|y| > 1} \frac{1}{|y|} f(x, y) dy \right\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim \sup_{|y| > 1} \| |y|^\delta f \|_{H_x^s}, \quad \left\| \int_{|y| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|y|} f(x, y) dy \right\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim \sup_{|y| \leq 1} \| |y|^{-\delta} f \|_{H_x^s}$$

with similar estimates for L^∞ . □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $i = \overline{1, n}$ and $p_i, r \in [1, \infty]$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in [0, 1]$ satisfying*

$$\sum_i \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{r}, \quad n-1 < \sum_i \alpha_i \leq n, \quad 0 \leq \sum_i \beta_i < n-1.$$

Then

$$\left\| \int \text{sgn}(y) \prod \delta^y f_i dy \right\|_{L_x^r} \lesssim \prod \| |D|^{\alpha_i} f_i \|_{L^{p_i}} + \prod \| |D|^{\beta_i} f_i \|_{L^{p_i}}.$$

Proof. We write

$$\int \operatorname{sgn}(y) \prod \delta^y f_i dy = \int_{|y| \leq 1} + \int_{|y| > 1}.$$

For the former integral, we have by Hölder

$$\left\| \int_{|y| \leq 1} \operatorname{sgn}(y) \prod \delta^y f_i dy \right\|_{L_x^r} \lesssim \int_{|y| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|y|^{n - \sum \alpha_i}} \prod \| |D|^{\alpha_i} f_i \|_{L^{p_i}} dy \lesssim \prod \| |D|^{\alpha_i} f_i \|_{L^{p_i}}.$$

The latter integral is treated similarly. \square

3. PARALINEARIZATIONS AND THE LINEARIZED EQUATION

The objective of this section is to parilinearize the operator $A_\varphi v$ defined in (2.2). With this parilinearization, we reduce the analysis of (1.3) and its linearization to the analysis of a paradifferential flow with perturbative source.

The analysis in this section is time independent. We will use the notation B^0 to denote the zeroth order coefficient of the parilinearization,

$$B^0(\varphi) = \langle \text{p.v.} |y|^{-1}, F(\delta^y \varphi) \rangle - F(\varphi_x) \langle \text{p.v.} |y|^{-1}, e^{-iy} \rangle.$$

Proposition 3.1. *We have the parilinearization*

$$A_\varphi v = T_{B^0(\varphi)} v - 2T_{F(\varphi_x)} \log |D_x| v + \mathcal{R}(\varphi, v)$$

where

$$\|\partial_x \mathcal{R}(\varphi, v)\|_{L^2} \lesssim_{\|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}}} \| |D_x|^{-\delta} \varphi_x \|_{C^{1,2\delta}}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. We decompose

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varphi v &= \int T_{|\delta|^y v} F(\delta^y \varphi) dy + \int \Pi(F(\delta^y \varphi), |\delta|^y v) dy + \int T_{F(\delta^y \varphi)} |\delta|^y v dy \\ &:= A_1 + A_2 + A_3. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate A_1 using Lemma 2.5,

$$\|\partial_x A_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sup_y \left(\|y^\delta \partial_x T_{v(\cdot+y)-v(\cdot)} F(\delta^y \varphi)\|_{L^2} + \|y^{-\delta} \partial_x T_{v(\cdot+y)-v(\cdot)} F(\delta^y \varphi)\|_{L^2} \right).$$

For the first term, we have

$$\|y^\delta \partial_x F(\delta^y \varphi)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|y^\delta \partial_x \delta^y \varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|F'(\delta^y \varphi)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\partial_x \varphi\|_{C^{0,1-\delta}} \|\partial_x \varphi\|_{L^\infty}.$$

For the second term, we have

$$\|\partial_x T_{y^{-\delta}(v(\cdot+y)-v(\cdot))} F(\delta^y \varphi)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \| |D|^{-\delta} v \|_{\dot{H}^\delta} \| |D|^{1+\delta} F(\delta^y \varphi) \|_{BMO} \lesssim \|v\|_{L^2} \|\partial_x \varphi\|_{C^{1,\delta}}^2$$

so that the contribution from A_1 may be absorbed into $\mathcal{R}(\varphi, v)$. A similar analysis applies to absorb A_2 into $\mathcal{R}(\varphi, v)$.

We proceed with A_3 , which we may write as

$$A_3 = - \int T_{F(\delta^y \varphi) \frac{1-e^{iny}}{|y|}} v dy = -T_{\int F(\delta^y \varphi) \frac{1-e^{iny}}{|y|} dy} v.$$

Since $F(\delta^y \varphi)(1 - e^{iny})$ vanishes at $y = 0$ and $F(\delta^y \varphi)$ satisfies the decay

$$|F(\delta^y \varphi)| \lesssim_{\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}} |y|^{-2}$$

in y , we may express the symbol of the paradifferential operator in terms of p.v. $|y|^{-1}$:

$$\int F(\delta^y \varphi) \frac{1 - e^{i\eta y}}{|y|} dy = \langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, F(\delta^y \varphi)(1 - e^{-i\eta y}) \rangle.$$

The inverse Fourier transform of p.v. $|y|^{-1}$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, e^{-i\eta y} \rangle &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{|y| > \epsilon} \frac{e^{i\eta y}}{|y|} dy + 2 \log(\epsilon) \right) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{|z| > |\eta| \epsilon} \frac{e^{iz}}{|z|} dz + 2 \log(|\eta| \epsilon) \right) - 2 \log |\eta| \\ &= \langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, e^{-iy} \rangle - 2 \log |\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, F(\delta^y \varphi)(1 - e^{-i\eta y}) \rangle &= \langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x) e^{-i\eta y} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, (F(\varphi_x) - F(\delta^y \varphi)) e^{-i\eta y} \rangle \\ (3.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= B^0(\varphi) + 2F(\varphi_x) \log |\eta| \\ &\quad + \langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, (F(\varphi_x) - F(\delta^y \varphi)) e^{-i\eta y} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first two terms on the right hand side of (3.1) form the main terms of the parilinearization of A_φ , it remains to absorb the contribution of the last term in (3.1) into \mathcal{R} . From Lemma 6.2 in [15], we have

$$(3.2) \qquad \partial_x T_{\langle \text{p.v.}|y|^{-1}, (F(\varphi_x) - F(\delta^y \varphi)) e^{-i\eta y} \rangle} v = T'_{\int \frac{F(\varphi_x) - F(\delta^y \varphi)}{|y|} i\eta e^{i\eta y} dy} v,$$

where T' is another low-high paraproduct.

Integrating the paradifferential symbol by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \frac{F(\varphi_x) - F(\delta^y \varphi)}{|y|} e^{i\eta y} i\eta dy \\ (3.3) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \int_0^\infty \frac{F(\varphi_x) - F(\delta^y \varphi)}{y} \partial_y (e^{i\eta y}) dy - \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{F(\varphi_x) - F(\delta^y \varphi)}{y} \partial_y (e^{i\eta y}) dy \\ &= F'(\varphi_x) \varphi_{xx} - \int \text{sgn}(y) \cdot \partial_y \left(\frac{F(\varphi_x) - F(\delta^y \varphi)}{y} \right) e^{i\eta y} dy \\ &=: F'(\varphi_x) \varphi_{xx} - \int G(x, y) e^{i\eta y} dy. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate to see that the contribution from the first term on the right in (3.3) may be absorbed into \mathcal{R} . It remains to consider the contribution from the second term, which we write

$$-T'_{\int G(x, y) e^{i\eta y} dy} v = - \int T'_{G(x, y)} v(\cdot + y) dy$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int T'_{G(x, y)} v(\cdot + y) dy \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \int \|G(\cdot, y)\|_{L^\infty} dy \cdot \|v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{|y| \leq 1} \|G(\cdot, y)\|_{L^\infty} dy + \int_{|y| > 1} \|G(\cdot, y)\|_{L^\infty} dy \right) \|v\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$G(x, y) = \operatorname{sgn}(y) \frac{-y \cdot \partial_y F(\delta^y \varphi) + F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x)}{y^2}$$

and

$$|\partial_y F(\delta^y \varphi)| \lesssim \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 |y|^{-1},$$

we have

$$\|G(\cdot, y)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \frac{1}{y^2}$$

so that the integral over $\{|y| > 1\}$ converges with the appropriate bound. On the other hand, for the integral over $\{|y| \leq 1\}$, we use instead

$$\begin{aligned} |y|^{1-\delta} \|G(\cdot, y)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \left\| \frac{1}{|y|^\delta} \left(\partial_y F(\delta^y \varphi) - \frac{F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x)}{y} \right) \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|F(\delta^y \varphi)\|_{L_x^\infty C_y^{1,\delta}} \lesssim \|\partial_x \varphi\|_{C_x^{1,\delta}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

which also suffices. □

Next, we parilinearize the nonlinear term $A_\varphi \varphi$ in (1.3), evaluating the H^s higher regularity of the errors:

Proposition 3.2. *We have the parilinearization*

$$A_\varphi \varphi_x = \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi)} \varphi - 2\partial_x T_{F(\varphi_x)} \log |D_x| \varphi + \mathcal{R}(\varphi),$$

where for any $s \geq 0$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\varphi)\|_{H^s} \lesssim_{\|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}}} \| |D_x|^{-\delta} \varphi_x \|_{C^{1,2\delta}}^2 \|\varphi\|_{H^s}.$$

Moreover, if φ and ψ are two solutions and $v = \varphi - \psi$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\varphi) - \mathcal{R}(\psi)\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim K(\|(\varphi, \psi)\|_{W^{2+s+\delta, \infty}}) \|v\|_{L_x^2} + K(\|(\varphi, \psi)\|_{W^{2+\delta, \infty}}) \|v\|_{H_x^s},$$

where $K : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a nondecreasing function.

We remark that the \mathcal{R} difference estimate is not optimal with respect to the pointwise control coefficients. However, this estimate is only used in the construction of smooth solutions, and will play no role in the refined low regularity analysis.

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varphi \varphi_x &= \int T_{|\delta|^y \varphi_x} F(\delta^y \varphi) dy + \int \Pi(F(\delta^y \varphi), |\delta|^y \varphi_x) dy + \int T_{F(\delta^y \varphi)} |\delta|^y \varphi_x dy \\ &= \int T_{|\delta|^y \varphi_x} F(\delta^y \varphi) - T_{\partial_x(F(\delta^y \varphi))} \delta^y \varphi dy + \int \Pi(F(\delta^y \varphi), |\delta|^y \varphi_x) dy \\ &\quad + \partial_x \int T_{F(\delta^y \varphi)} |\delta|^y \varphi dy \\ &:= A_1 + A_2 + \partial_x A_3. \end{aligned}$$

The analysis of A_3 closely follows the analysis of the counterpart A_3 in the proof of Proposition 3.1, with φ in the place of v and H^s in the place of L^2 . This contributes both terms in the parilinearization. The balanced frequency term A_2 is also similar to its counterpart and may be absorbed into $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$.

It remains to absorb A_1 into $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$. We further decompose

$$A_1 = \int T_{|\delta|^y \varphi_x} (F(\delta^y \varphi) - T_{F'(\delta^y \varphi)} \delta^y \varphi) dy + \int T_{\delta^y \varphi_x} T_{F'(\delta^y \varphi)} |\delta|^y \varphi - T_{\partial_x(F(\delta^y \varphi))} |\delta|^y \varphi dy.$$

The first difference is estimated using the Moser estimates of Theorem 2.2, while the second may be estimated using the para-product estimates of Lemma 2.4.

We now prove the difference estimate. We rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varphi \varphi_x &= \int T_{|\delta|^y \varphi_x} F(\delta^y \varphi) dy + \int \Pi(F(\delta^y \varphi), |\delta|^y \varphi_x) dy + \int T_{F(\delta^y \varphi)} |\delta|^y \varphi_x dy \\ &:= B_1 + B_2 + B_3. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the components of the remainders arising from the terms of the form B_3 . One such contribution is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int T_{\frac{1}{|y|}(F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x)) e^{i\eta y}} \varphi_x dy - \int T_{\frac{1}{|y|}(F(\delta^y \psi) - F(\psi_x)) e^{i\eta y}} \psi_x dy \\ &= \int T_{\frac{1}{|y|}(F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x)) e^{i\eta y}} v_x dy + \int T_{\frac{1}{|y|}((F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x)) - (F(\delta^y \psi) - F(\psi_x))) e^{i\eta y}} \psi_x dy. \end{aligned}$$

We analyze the last term, by splitting the integral over the regions $\{|y| \leq 1\}$ and $\{|y| > 1\}$, respectively. We first consider the former. We claim that

$$\left\| \int_{|y| \leq 1} \partial_x T_{(F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x)) - (F(\delta^y \psi) - F(\psi_x))} |\delta|^y \psi dy \right\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|v\|_{L_x^2} \|(\psi_x, \varphi_x)\|_{W_x^{1,\infty}} \|\psi_x\|_{W_x^{2,\infty}},$$

where $v = \varphi - \psi$.

For this purpose, we write the low frequency component as

$$\begin{aligned} D &:= \frac{1}{|y|} (F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x)) - (F(\delta^y \psi) - F(\psi_x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{|y|} \int_0^1 \varphi_x(x + \mu y) - \varphi_x(x) d\mu \cdot \int_0^1 F' \left(\lambda \int_0^1 \varphi_x(x + \mu y) d\mu + (1 - \lambda) \varphi_x(x) \right) d\lambda \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{|y|} \int_0^1 \psi_x(x + \mu y) - \psi_x(x) d\mu \cdot \int_0^1 F' \left(\lambda \int_0^1 \psi_x(x + \mu y) d\mu + (1 - \lambda) \psi_x(x) \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\int_0^1 f(x + \mu y) - f(x) d\mu := k_f(x, y)$, $p_f(x, y) = \text{sgn}(y) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mu f(x + \tau \mu y) - f(x) d\mu d\tau$

$l_f(\lambda, x, y) = \lambda k_f(x, y) + f(x)$. In particular, $\frac{k_f(x, y)}{|y|} = p_{f_x}(x, y)$. D becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \frac{1}{|y|} k_{v_x} \int_0^1 F' (l_{\varphi_x}(\lambda, x, y)) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|y|} k_{\psi_x} \int_0^1 l_{v_x}(\lambda, x, y) \int_0^1 F'' (\nu l_{\varphi_x}(\lambda, x, y) + (1 - \nu) l_{\psi_x}(\lambda, x, y)) d\nu d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which can in turn be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
D &= \partial_x^2 \left(p_\nu \int_0^1 F'(l_{\varphi_x}(\lambda, x, y)) d\lambda \right) - \partial_x \left(p_\nu \partial_x \int_0^1 F'(l_{\varphi_x}(\lambda, x, y)) d\lambda \right) \\
&\quad - \partial_x \left(p_\nu \partial_x \int_0^1 F'(l_{\varphi_x}(\lambda, x, y)) d\lambda \right) + p_\nu \partial_x^2 \int_0^1 F'(l_{\varphi_x}(\lambda, x, y)) d\lambda \\
&\quad + \partial_x \left(p_{\psi_{xx}} \int_0^1 l_\nu(\lambda, x, y) \int_0^1 F''(\nu l_{\varphi_x}(\lambda, x, y) + (1-\nu)l_{\psi_x}(\lambda, x, y)) d\nu d\lambda \right) \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 l_\nu(\lambda, x, y) \partial_x \left(p_{\psi_{xx}} \int_0^1 F''(\nu l_{\varphi_x}(\lambda, x, y) + (1-\nu)l_{\psi_x}(\lambda, x, y)) d\nu d\lambda \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We can now move the derivatives in the first three terms from the low frequencies to the high frequencies and obtain the claimed estimates.

For the $\{|y| > 1\}$ region, we write

$$T_{\int_{|y|>1} \frac{1}{|y|} ((F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x)) - (F(\delta^y \psi) - F(\psi_x)) e^{i\eta y i \eta}) \psi}$$

integrate the low frequency component by parts (and use the notation of (3.3))

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|y|>1} \frac{1}{|y|} ((F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x)) - (F(\delta^y \psi) - F(\psi_x)) \partial_y e^{i\eta y}) \\
&\quad = (2F(\varphi_x) - F(\delta^1 \varphi) - F(\delta^{-1} \varphi)) - (2F(\psi_x) - F(\delta^1 \psi) - F(\delta^{-1} \psi)) \\
&\quad \quad - T_{\int_{|y|>1} (G_\varphi(x, y) - G_\psi(x, y)) e^{i\eta y} dy} \psi,
\end{aligned}$$

where G_φ is defined in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

The estimate for this term now follows using ideas similar to the ones for the region $\{|y| \leq 1\}$ and from the proof of 3.1.

From the contribution corresponding to A_1 , we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|y|\leq 1} T_{|\delta|^y \varphi_x} F(\delta^y \varphi) - T_{F'(\delta^y \varphi) \delta^y \varphi_x} |\delta|^y \varphi - T_{|\delta|^y \psi_x} F(\delta^y \psi) + T_{F'(\delta^y \psi) \delta^y \psi_x} |\delta|^y \psi dy \\
&= \int_{|y|\leq 1} T_{|\delta|^y \varphi_x - |\delta|^y \psi_x} F(\delta^y \varphi) dy - \int_{|y|\leq 1} T_{F'(\delta^y \varphi) \delta^y \varphi_x - F'(\delta^y \psi) \delta^y \psi_x} |\delta|^y \varphi dy \\
&\quad + \int_{|y|\leq 1} T_{|\delta|^y \psi_x} F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\delta^y \psi) dy - \int_{|y|\leq 1} T_{F'(\delta^y \psi) \delta^y \psi_x} |\delta|^y (\varphi - \psi) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

As F has a zero of order 2 at 0, Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{|y|\leq 1} T_{|\delta|^y \varphi_x} F(\delta^y \varphi) dy \right\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|v\|_{L_x^2} \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 ((\|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty} + 1)(\| |D_x|^{1+\delta} \varphi_x \|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\varphi_{xx}\|_{L_x^\infty})) \\
&\quad + \| |D_x|^{1+\delta} \varphi_x \|_{L_x^\infty} + \| |D_x|^{1-\delta} \varphi_x \|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

The other bounds follow by reasoning similarly as before.

The other terms in $\mathcal{R}(\varphi) - \mathcal{R}(\psi)$ can be treated similarly. The proof of the H_x^s -bound is analogous. \square

Recall that the linearized equation (1.5) corresponding to (1.3) may be written

$$\partial_t v - \partial_x A_\varphi v = 2 \log |D_x| \partial_x v.$$

Using the parilinearization Proposition 3.1, we obtain

Proposition 3.3. *The linearized equation (1.5) admits the parilinearization*

$$(3.4) \quad \partial_t v - \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi)} v + \mathcal{R}(\varphi, v) = 2 \partial_x T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} \log |D_x| v,$$

where

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\varphi, v)\|_{L^2} \lesssim_{\|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}}} \| |D_x|^{-\delta} \varphi_x \|_{C^{1,2\delta}}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}.$$

4. ENERGY ESTIMATES

The goal of this section is to prove energy estimates for the paradifferential flow (3.3), which will in turn be used to derive energy estimates for solutions of (1.3), along with its linearization (1.5).

We define the modified energies

$$E^{(s)}(v) = \int g \cdot T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} g \, dx, \quad g = T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s} |D_x|^s v,$$

and

$$E^s(v) = E^{(s)}(v) + E^{(0)}(v).$$

Due to our construction of the paraproduct, these modified energies are comparable to the classical energies in the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$.

We prove the following:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $v_0 \in H^s$ and $\mathcal{R} \in C([0, T], H_x^s)$, $\varphi \in C([0, T], W_x^{2,\infty})$. Then the initial value problem*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t v - \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi)} v + \mathcal{R} &= 2 \partial_x T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} \log |D_x| v, \\ v(0, x) &= v_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $v \in C([0, T]; H_x^s)$, satisfying the estimate

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E^s(t) \lesssim (\|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}} + \|\varphi_{tx}\|_{L^\infty}) \|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}} E^s(t) + \|\mathcal{R}\|_{H^s} \|v\|_{H^s}.$$

Proof. Using that $T_{1-F(\varphi_x)}$ is self-adjoint,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E^{(s)}(t) = \int 2g_t \cdot T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} g - g \cdot T_{F'(\varphi_x)\varphi_{tx}} g \, dx.$$

Applying $T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s} |D_x|^s$, we obtain an equation for g_t ,

$$\partial_t g - \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi)} g + T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s} |D_x|^s \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}_1 = 2 \partial_x \log |D_x| T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} g,$$

where \mathcal{R}_1 consists of commutators which we will record and estimate momentarily. Using this, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E^{(s)}(t) &= \int 2(\partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi)} g + 2 \partial_x \log |D_x| T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} g - \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R}_1) \cdot T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} g \, dx \\ &\quad - \int g \cdot T_{F'(\varphi_x)\varphi_{tx}} g \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the first integral on the right hand side, we rewrite the contribution

$$2 \int \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi)} g \cdot T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} g \, dx = 2 \int \partial_x (T_{B^0(\varphi)} g \cdot T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} g) \, dx + \int \mathcal{R}_2 \cdot g \, dx,$$

where as before, \mathcal{R}_2 consists of commutators which we record and estimate momentarily. Observe that the first term on the right is a divergence which thus vanishes. Similarly, since $\partial_x \log |D_x|$ is skew-adjoint, the corresponding contribution to (4.3) vanishes and we conclude

$$\frac{d}{dt} E^{(s)}(t) = - \int 2(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}_1) \cdot T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} g + g \cdot T_{F'(\varphi_x)\varphi_{tx}} g \, dx + \int \mathcal{R}_2 \cdot g \, dx,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1 &= [T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s} |D_x|^s, \partial_t - \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi)}] v \\ &\quad + 2\partial_x [T_{F(\varphi_x)}, \log |D_x|] g - 2[T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s} |D_x|^s, \partial_x \log |D_x| T_{1-F(\varphi_x)}] v, \\ \mathcal{R}_2 &= (T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} T_{\partial_x B^0(\varphi)} + [T_{1-F(\varphi_x)}, T_{B^0(\varphi)}] \partial_x + T_{\partial_x F(\varphi_x)} T_{B^0(\varphi)}) g. \end{aligned}$$

The second commutator of \mathcal{R}_1 may be estimated using the $\log |D_x|$ commutator Lemma 2.3. For the third commutator of \mathcal{R}_1 , applying again Lemma 2.3, we may commute $\log |D_x|$ to the front, so this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \log |D_x| [T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s} |D_x|^s, \partial_x T_{1-F(\varphi_x)}] v &= \log |D_x| [T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s}, \partial_x] |D_x|^s T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} v \\ &\quad + \log |D_x| \partial_x T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s} [|D_x|^s, T_{1-F(\varphi_x)}] v \\ &\quad + \log |D_x| \partial_x [T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s}, T_{1-F(\varphi_x)}] |D_x|^s v. \end{aligned}$$

The third commutator on the right may be estimated using Lemma 2.4. The first may be written

$$\log |D_x| T_{s(1-F(\varphi_x))^{s-1} F'(\varphi_x)\varphi_{xx}} |D_x|^s T_{1-F(\varphi_x)} v,$$

while the principal term of the second is

$$- \log |D_x| \partial_x T_{(1-F(\varphi_x))^s} |D_x|^s \partial_x^{-1} T_{F'(\varphi_x)\varphi_{xx}} v,$$

which cancel up to commutators and paraproducts estimated via Lemma 2.4.

Returning to \mathcal{R}_1 , it remains to consider the first commutator. For the commutator with respect to ∂_t , we have

$$T_{s(1-F(\varphi_x))^{s-1} F'(\varphi_x)\varphi_{tx}} |D_x|^s v$$

which contributes to the right hand side of (4.2). For the commutator with respect to $\partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi)}$, and in particular to estimate $B^0(\varphi)$, we apply Lemma 2.5 to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^0(\varphi)\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \sup_{|y|>1} \|y^\delta (F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x) e^{-iy})\|_{L_x^\infty} + \sup_{|y|\leq 1} \|y^{-\delta} (F(\delta^y \varphi) - F(\varphi_x) e^{-iy})\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi_x\|_{C^{0,\delta}}, \end{aligned}$$

using the decay of F for the first term. The same estimate for $B^0(\varphi)$ then suffices to estimate each term of \mathcal{R}_2 .

We conclude, using Lemma 2.1 to pass between $\|v\|_{H^s}$ and $\|g\|_{L^2}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E^{(s)}(t) \lesssim (\|\varphi_x\|_{C^1} + \|\varphi_{tx}\|_{L^\infty}) \|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathcal{R}\|_{H^s} \|g\|_{L^2}$$

which along with the similar case $s = 0$ gives the estimate of the proposition. By using the adjoint method, it follows that the equation has a unique solution. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let $R > 0$. If φ is a solution of (1.3) on an interval $[0, T]$ on which $\|\varphi\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} \lesssim R$, where $s > \frac{5}{2}$, then we have the energy estimate*

$$\|\varphi(t, x)\|_{H_x^s} \leq C(R) e^{C(R) \int_0^t \| |D_x|^{-\delta} \varphi_x(\tau) \|_{C^{1,2\delta}}^2 d\tau} \|\varphi_0\|_{H_x^s}.$$

Moreover, if v is a solution of the linearized equation (1.5) on an interval $[0, T]$ where the solution φ satisfies the previous conditions, then

$$\|v(t, x)\|_{L_x^2} \leq C(R) e^{C(R) \int_0^t \| |D_x|^{-\delta} \varphi_x(\tau) \|_{C^{1,2\delta}}^2 d\tau} \|v_0\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.1, we have the energy estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} E^{(s)}(t) \lesssim (\|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}} + \|\varphi_{tx}\|_{L^\infty}) \|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}} E^{(s)}(t) + \|\mathcal{R}\|_{H^s} \|v\|_{H^s}.$$

In both situations, φ is a solution of (1.3), so in order to control $\|\varphi_{tx}\|_{L_x^\infty}$, we write

$$\varphi_{tx} = 2 \log |D_x| \varphi_{xx} - \partial_x \int F(\delta^y \varphi) |\delta|^y \varphi_x dy.$$

From Lemma 2.6 and the product rule, we have

$$\left\| \partial_x \int F(\delta^y \varphi) |\delta|^y \varphi_x dy \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\varphi_x\|_{W_x^{1,\infty}} \| |D_x|^{1-\delta} \varphi \|_{W_x^{1+2\delta,\infty}}.$$

We also have

$$\|\log |D_x| \varphi_{xx}\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \| |D_x|^\delta \varphi_{xx} \|_{L_x^\infty} + \| |D_x|^{1-\delta} \varphi_x \|_{L_x^\infty}.$$

In the first case, $v = \varphi$ solves the (1.3) equation, so by Proposition 3.2, we know that

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\varphi)\|_{H^s} \lesssim_{\|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}}} \|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}}^2 \|\varphi\|_{H^s},$$

and an application of Grönwall's lemma, along with the coercivity bounds implies the claimed estimate. When v solves the linearized equation (1.5), Proposition 3.1 similarly implies that

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\varphi, v)\|_{L^2} \lesssim_{\|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}}} \|\varphi_x\|_{C^{1,\delta}}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}.$$

□

5. LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS

In this section we establish Theorem 1.1, our main local well-posedness result in Sobolev spaces for the SQG equation (1.3). We do this by first constructing smooth solutions using an iterative scheme, and then we employ frequency envelopes in order to construct rough solutions as limits of smooth ones and to prove continuous dependence on the initial data.

We first consider smoother data $\varphi_0 \in H^s$ with $s \geq 4$. Fix $R > 0$ and choose M as in Lemma 2.1. We construct the sequence

$$\varphi^{(0)} = \varphi_0(x), \quad \varphi^{(n)} = \mathbf{G}(\varphi^{(n-1)}), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where we define the operator $\mathbf{G}(\varphi) = v$ using Proposition 4.1 with $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$, the paralin-earization error from Proposition 3.2. We have

$$\partial_t \varphi^{(n)} - \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi^{(n-1)})} \varphi^{(n)} + \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{(n-1)}) = 2 \partial_x T_{1-F(\varphi_x^{(n-1)})} \log |D_x| \varphi^{(n)}.$$

An application of Grönwall's inequality with Proposition 4.1 shows that

$$E^{(s)}(\varphi^{(n+1)})(t) \leq e^{C(R) \int_0^t (\|\varphi_x^{(n)}\|_{C_x^{1,\delta}} + \|\varphi_{tx}^{(n)}\|_{L_x^\infty}) \|\varphi_x^{(n)}\|_{C_x^{1,\delta}} d\tau} \cdot \left(E^{(s)}(\varphi^{(n+1)})(0) + \int_0^t \|\varphi_x^{(n)}\|_{C_x^{1,\delta}}^2 \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_{H_x^s}^2 d\tau \right),$$

along with an easy induction on n and the terms of the sequence $(\|\varphi_{tx}^{(n)}\|_{L_x^\infty})_{n \geq 0}$ show that for sufficiently small $T > 0$ depending on R , $\varphi^{(n)}$ has uniform H_x^s bounds.

Moreover, we show that for sufficiently small $T > 0$, $\varphi^{(n)}$ is Cauchy. Let $\varphi^{(m)}$ and $\varphi^{(n)}$ be two terms of the sequence. Denoting $v = \varphi^{(m)} - \varphi^{(n)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t v - \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi^{(m-1)})} v + \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi^{(m-1)})-B^0(\varphi^{(n-1)})} \varphi^{(n)} + \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{(m-1)}) - \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{(n-1)}) \\ & = 2\partial_x T_{1-F(\varphi_x^{(m-1)})} \log |D_x| v + 2\partial_x T_{F(\varphi_x^{(m-1)})-F(\varphi_x^{(n-1)})} \log |D_x| \varphi^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply the energy estimate of Proposition 4.1 with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} & = \partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi^{(m-1)})-B^0(\varphi^{(n-1)})} \varphi^{(n)} - 2\partial_x T_{F(\varphi_x^{(m-1)})-F(\varphi_x^{(n-1)})} \log |D_x| \varphi^{(n)} \\ & \quad + \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{(m-1)}) - \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{(n-1)}), \end{aligned}$$

estimating

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x T_{F(\varphi_x^{(m-1)})-F(\varphi_x^{(n-1)})} \log |D_x| \varphi^{(n)}\|_{L_x^2} & \lesssim \|\varphi^{(m-1)} - \varphi^{(n-1)}\|_{L_x^2} \|\log |D_x| \varphi_{xx}^{(n)}\|_{L_x^\infty}, \\ \|\partial_x T_{B^0(\varphi^{(m-1)})-B^0(\varphi^{(n-1)})} \varphi^{(n)}\|_{L_x^2} & \lesssim \|\varphi^{(m-1)} - \varphi^{(n-1)}\|_{L_x^2} \|\varphi_{xx}^{(n)}\|_{L_x^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

An application of Grönwall's inequality shows that the sequence is Cauchy in L_x^2 , for $T > 0$ small enough. This settles the existence. Uniqueness follows from the energy estimate for the difference.

To establish the local well-posedness result at low regularity, we follow the approach outlined in [13]. We consider $\varphi_0 \in H^s$ with $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Let $\varphi_0^h = (\varphi_0)_{\leq h}$, where $h \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\varphi_0^h \rightarrow u_0$ in H_x^s , we may assume that $\|\varphi_0^h\|_{H_x^s} < R$ for all h .

We construct a uniform H_x^s frequency envelope $\{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for φ_0 having the following properties:

a) Uniform bounds:

$$\|P_k(\varphi_0^h)_x\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim c_k,$$

b) High frequency bounds:

$$\|\varphi_0^h\|_{H_x^N} \lesssim 2^{h(N-s)} c_h, \quad N - s \geq 4,$$

c) Difference bounds:

$$\|\varphi_0^{h+1} - \varphi_0^h\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim 2^{-sh} c_h,$$

d) Limit as $h \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\varphi_0^h \rightarrow \varphi_0 \in H_x^s.$$

Let φ^h be the solutions with initial data φ_0^h . Using the energy estimate for the solution φ of (1.3) from Corollary 4.2, we deduce that there exists $T = T(\|\varphi_0\|_{H_x^s}) > 0$ on which all of these solutions are defined, with high frequency bounds

$$\|\varphi^h\|_{C_t^0 H_x^N} \lesssim \|\varphi_0^h\|_{H_x^N} \lesssim 2^{h(N-s)} c_h.$$

Further, by using the energy estimates for the solution of the linearized from Corollary 4.2, we have

$$\|\varphi^{h+1} - \varphi^h\|_{C_t^0 L_x^2} \lesssim 2^{-sh} c_h.$$

By interpolation, we infer that

$$\|\varphi^{h+1} - \varphi^h\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} \lesssim c_h.$$

As in [13], we get

$$\|P_k \varphi^h\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} \lesssim c_k$$

and that

$$\|\varphi^{h+k} - \varphi^h\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} \lesssim c_{h \leq \cdot < h+k} = \left(\sum_{n=h}^{h+k-1} c_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for every $k \geq 1$. Thus, φ^h converges to an element φ belonging to $C_t^0 H_x^s([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, we also obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \|\varphi^h - \varphi\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} \lesssim c_{\geq h} = \left(\sum_{n=h}^{\infty} c_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We now prove continuity with respect to the initial data. We consider a sequence

$$\varphi_{0j} \rightarrow \varphi_0 \in H_x^s$$

and an associated sequence of H_x^s -frequency envelopes $\{c_k^j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, each satisfying the analogous properties enumerated above for c_k , and further such that $c_k^j \rightarrow c_k$ in $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$. In particular,

$$(5.2) \quad \|\varphi_j^h - \varphi_j\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} \lesssim c_{\geq h}^j = \left(\sum_{n=h}^{\infty} (c_n^j)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using the triangle inequality with (5.1) and (5.2), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_j - \varphi\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} &\lesssim \|\varphi^h - \varphi\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} + \|\varphi_j^h - \varphi_j\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} + \|\varphi_j^h - \varphi^h\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s} \\ &\lesssim c_{\geq h} + c_{\geq h}^j + \|\varphi_j^h - \varphi^h\|_{C_t^0 H_x^s}. \end{aligned}$$

To address the third term, we observe that for every fixed h , $\varphi_j^h \rightarrow \varphi^h$ in H_x^s . We conclude $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi$ in $C_t^0 H_x^s([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ and therefore $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi$ in $C_t^0 X^s([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$.

6. GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS

In this section we prove global well-posedness for the SQG equation (1.3) with small and localized initial data. We do this by using the wave packet method of Ifrim-Tataru, which is systematically described in [14].

6.1. **Notation.** Consider the linear flow

$$i\partial_t\varphi - A(D)\varphi = 0$$

and the linear operator

$$L = x - tA'(D).$$

In our setting, we have the symbol

$$a(\xi) = -2\xi \log |\xi|$$

and thus

$$A(D) = -2D \log |D|, \quad L = x + 2t + 2t \log |D|.$$

Recall that we define the weighted energy space

$$\|\varphi\|_X = \|\varphi\|_{H^s} + \|L\partial_x\varphi\|_{L^2} \approx \|\varphi\|_{H^s} + \|\partial_x L\varphi\|_{L^2},$$

which when frequency localized may be written

$$\|\varphi_\lambda\|_X \approx \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{H^s} + \lambda\|L\varphi_\lambda\|_{L^2}.$$

We partition the frequency space into dyadic intervals I_λ localized at dyadic frequencies $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and consider the associated partition of velocities

$$J_\lambda = a'(I_\lambda)$$

which form a covering of the real line, and have equal lengths. To these intervals J_λ we select reference points $v_\lambda \in J_\lambda$, and consider an associated spatial partition of unity

$$1 = \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(x), \quad \text{supp } \chi_\lambda \subseteq \overline{J_\lambda}, \quad \chi_\lambda = 1 \text{ on } J_\lambda,$$

where $\overline{J_\lambda}$ is a slight enlargement of J_λ , of comparable length, uniformly in λ .

Lastly, we consider the related spatial intervals, tJ_λ , with reference points $x_\lambda = tv_\lambda \in tJ_\lambda$.

6.2. **Overview of the proof.** We provide a brief overview of the proof.

1. We make the bootstrap assumption for the pointwise bound

$$(6.1) \quad \|\varphi(t)\|_Y \lesssim C\epsilon\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

where C is a large constant, in a time interval $t \in [0, T]$ where $T > 1$.

2. The energy estimates for (1.3) and the linearized equation will imply

$$(6.2) \quad \|\varphi(t)\|_X \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{C^2\epsilon^2} \|\varphi(0)\|_X.$$

3. We aim to improve the bootstrap estimate (6.1) to

$$(6.3) \quad \|\varphi(t)\|_Y \lesssim \epsilon\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We use vector field inequalities to derive bounds of the form

$$(6.4) \quad \|\varphi(t)\|_Y \lesssim \epsilon\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+C\epsilon^2},$$

which is the desired bound but with an extra $t^{C\epsilon^2}$ loss.

4. In order to rectify the extra loss, we use the wave packet testing method define a suitable asymptotic profile γ , which is then shown to be an approximate solution for an ordinary differential equation. This enables us to obtain suitable bounds for the asymptotic profile without the aforementioned loss, which can then be transferred back to the solution φ .

6.3. Energy estimates. From Corollary 4.2, and by using the fact that $\epsilon \ll 1$,

$$\|\varphi(t, x)\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim e^{C \int_0^t \|\varphi_x\|_{C_x^{1,\delta}}^2 d\tau} \|\varphi_0\|_{H_x^s}.$$

Let $u = L\partial_x\varphi + \varphi$, which satisfies the linearized equation. From Corollary 4.2, along with Grönwall's lemma and the fact that $\epsilon \ll 1$, we have

$$\|u(t, x)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim e^{C \int_0^t \|\varphi_x(\tau)\|_{C_x^{1,\delta}}^2 d\tau} \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Along with the bootstrap assumptions, these readily imply that

$$(6.5) \quad \|\varphi\|_X \lesssim \|\varphi(t)\|_{H_x^s} + \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \epsilon e^{C^2 \epsilon^2 \int_0^t (s)^{-1} ds} \lesssim \epsilon \langle t \rangle^{C^2 \epsilon^2}.$$

6.4. Vector field bounds. Proposition 2.1 from [14] implies that

$$\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{t} (\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} \|L\partial_x\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} + \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}^2).$$

When $\lambda \leq 1$,

$$\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+2\delta} (\|\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-4\delta}\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}^{1/2} \|L\partial_x\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}^{1/2} + \|\lambda^{\frac{3}{4}-2\delta}\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \lambda^{-(\frac{3}{4}-2\delta)} \|\varphi\|_X$$

and when $\lambda > 1$,

$$\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \lambda^{-2-2\delta} (\|\lambda^{4+4\delta}\varphi_l\|_{L_x^2}^{1/2} \|L\partial_x\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}^{1/2} + \|\lambda^{2+2\delta}\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \lambda^{-(2+2\delta)} \|\varphi\|_X.$$

By dyadic summation and Bernstein's inequality, we deduce the bound

$$(6.6) \quad \|\varphi\|_Y = \| |D_x|^{3/4-\delta} \varphi \|_{L_x^\infty} + \| |D_x|^{1+\delta} \varphi \|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\|\varphi\|_X}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

By the localized dispersive estimate [14, Proposition 5.1],

$$|\varphi_\lambda(x)|^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{|x - x_\lambda| t \frac{1}{\lambda}} (\|L\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} + \lambda^{-1} \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2})^2,$$

which implies that

$$(6.7) \quad \|(1 - \chi_\lambda)\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{-1/2}}{t} (\|L\partial_x\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} + \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}) \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{-1/2}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X$$

To end this section we record the following elliptic bounds:

Lemma 6.1. *We have*

$$(6.8) \quad \| |D_x|^{1+\delta} \partial_x ((1 - \chi_\lambda)\varphi_\lambda) \|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{3/2+\delta}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X$$

$$(6.9) \quad \| |D_x|^{3/4-\delta} ((1 - \chi_\lambda)\varphi_\lambda) \|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/4-\delta}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X,$$

and

$$(6.10) \quad \|(1 - \chi_\lambda)\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X,$$

Moreover, the difference quotient satisfies the bounds

$$\|(1 - \chi_\lambda)\delta^y\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X,$$

and

$$\|(1 - \chi_\lambda)\delta^y\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \frac{\|\varphi\|_X}{t}.$$

Proof. We use the bounds

$$|\partial_x(\chi_\lambda(x/t))| \lesssim t^{-1}.$$

From 6.7 applied for $\partial_x\varphi$,

$$\|\partial_x((1 - \chi_\lambda)\varphi_\lambda)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \|\chi'_\lambda\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|(1 - \chi_\lambda)\partial_x\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X.$$

The first two bounds immediately follow from 6.7, and the L^2 elliptic estimate similarly follows from [14, Proposition 5.1].

For the bounds involving the difference quotient, from 6.7 applied for $\delta^y\varphi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \chi_\lambda)\delta^y\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{t} (\|L\delta^y\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|\delta^y\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{t} (\|\delta^y(L\varphi_\lambda)\|_{L_x^2} + \|\varphi_\lambda(x+y)\|_{L_x^2} + \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{t} (\|L\partial_x\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} + \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X \end{aligned}$$

The other bound is proved similarly. \square

6.5. Wave packets. We construct wave packets as follows. Given the dispersion relation $a(\xi)$, the group velocity v satisfies

$$v = a'(\xi) = -2 - 2 \log |\xi|,$$

so we denote

$$\xi_v = -e^{-1-\frac{v}{2}}.$$

Then we define the linear wave packet \mathbf{u}^v associated with velocity v by

$$\mathbf{u}^v = a''(\xi_v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi(y) e^{it\phi(x/t)}, \quad y = \frac{x - vt}{t^{\frac{1}{2}} a''(\xi_v)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where the phase ϕ is given by

$$\phi(v) = v\xi_v - a(\xi_v),$$

and χ is a unit bump function, such that $\int \chi(y) dy = 1$.

We remark that we will typically apply frequency localization $\mathbf{u}_\lambda^v = P_\lambda \mathbf{u}^v$ with $v \in J_\lambda$.

We observe that since

$$\partial_v(|\xi_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}) = -\frac{1}{4}|\xi_v|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \partial_v(a''(\xi_v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = -\frac{1}{4}a''(\xi_v)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

we may write

$$(6.11) \quad \partial_v \mathbf{u}^v = -\tilde{L}\mathbf{u}^v + \mathbf{u}^{v,II} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}a''(\xi_v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{u}^v + \mathbf{u}^{v,II}$$

where

$$\tilde{L} = t(\partial_x - i\phi'(x/t))$$

and $\mathbf{u}^{v,II}$ has a similar wave packet form. We also recall from [14, Lemmas 4.4, 5.10] the sense in which \mathbf{u}^v is a good approximate solution:

Lemma 6.2. *The wave packet \mathbf{u}^v solves an equation of the form*

$$(i\partial_t - A(D))\mathbf{u}^v = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}(L\mathbf{u}^{v,I} + \mathbf{r}^v)$$

where $\mathbf{u}^{v,I}, \mathbf{r}^v$ have wave packet form,

$$\mathbf{u}^{v,I} \approx a''(\xi_v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{u}^v, \quad \mathbf{r}^v \approx \xi_v^{-1}a''(\xi_v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{u}^v.$$

The asymptotic profile at frequency λ is meaningful when the associated spatial region tJ_λ dominates the wave packet scale at frequency λ :

$$\delta x \approx t^{\frac{1}{2}}a''(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |tJ_\lambda| \approx t\lambda a''(\lambda).$$

This corresponds to

$$t \gtrsim \lambda^{-2}a''(\lambda)^{-1} \approx \lambda^{-1}.$$

Accordingly we define

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(t, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} : v \in J_\lambda, t \gtrsim \lambda^{-1}\}.$$

6.6. Wave packet testing. In this section we establish estimates on the asymptotic profile function

$$\gamma^\lambda(t, v) := \langle \varphi, \mathbf{u}_\lambda^v \rangle_{L_x^2} = \langle \varphi_\lambda, \mathbf{u}^v \rangle_{L_x^2}.$$

We will see that γ^λ essentially has support $v \in J_\lambda$.

We will also use the following crude bounds involving the higher regularity of γ^λ :

Lemma 6.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_\lambda \partial_v^n \gamma^\lambda\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^n \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}, \\ \|\chi_\lambda \partial_v^n \gamma^\lambda\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (t\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}(1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^n \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\chi_\lambda \partial_v \gamma^\lambda\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{4}}\lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|\varphi\|_X + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}.$$

Proof. Using the second form of $\partial_v \mathbf{u}^v$ in (6.11), we have

$$|\chi_\lambda \partial_v \gamma^\lambda| = |\chi_\lambda \langle \varphi_\lambda, \partial_v \mathbf{u}^v \rangle| \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}$$

where the $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ loss in front arises from the L^1 norm of the wave packet. Higher derivatives are obtained similarly, along with the L^2 estimates.

For the last bound, we use the first form of $\partial_v \mathbf{u}^v$ in (6.11). The contribution from the wave packet $\mathbf{u}^{v,II}$ is easily estimated as above. For the remaining bound, Lemma 2.3 from [14] implies that

$$|\langle \varphi_\lambda, \tilde{L} \mathbf{u}^v \rangle| \lesssim (t\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\tilde{L} \varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{4}} \lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|\varphi_\lambda\|_X,$$

which finishes the proof. \square

6.6.1. Approximate profile. We recall from [14] that γ^λ provides a good approximation for the profile of φ . In our setting, we will also need to compare the profile with the differentiated flow $\partial_x \varphi$. Define

$$r^\lambda(t, x) = \chi_\lambda(x/t) \varphi_\lambda(t, x) - t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi_\lambda(x/t) \gamma^\lambda(t, x/t) e^{-it\phi(x/t)}.$$

Lemma 6.4. *Let $t \geq 1$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_\lambda(x/t) r^\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|\tilde{L} \varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}, \\ \|\chi_\lambda(x/t) \partial_v r^\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{4}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|\tilde{L} \partial_x \varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} + (1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first estimate may be obtained from the proof of [14, Proposition 4.7]. For the latter, we use the first representation in (6.11) to write

$$(6.12) \quad e^{it\phi(v)} \partial_v (\gamma(t, v) e^{-it(\phi(v))}) = t \langle \partial_x \varphi_\lambda, \mathbf{u}^v \rangle + \langle \varphi_\lambda, it(\phi'(\cdot/t) - \phi'(v)) \mathbf{u}^v \rangle + \langle \varphi_\lambda, \mathbf{u}^{v,II} \rangle.$$

To address the first term, we see that we may apply the undifferentiated estimate with $\partial_x \varphi_\lambda$ in place of φ_λ . Precisely, we may apply the first estimate on

$$\partial_x \varphi_\lambda(t, x) - t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \partial_x \varphi_\lambda, \mathbf{u}^{x/t} \rangle e^{-it\phi(x/t)}.$$

We estimate the third term of (6.12) via

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\langle \varphi_\lambda, \mathbf{u}^{v,II} \rangle| \lesssim \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty}.$$

It remains to estimate the middle term,

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\chi_\lambda(v) \langle \varphi_\lambda, it(\phi'(\cdot/t) - \phi'(v)) \mathbf{u}^v \rangle| \lesssim |\phi''(\lambda)| \cdot t^{\frac{1}{2}} a''(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty}$$

\square

We also observe that on the wave packet scale, we may replace $\gamma(t, v)$ with $\gamma(t, x/t)$ up to acceptable errors. Denote

$$\beta_v^\lambda(t, x) = t^{-1/2} \chi_\lambda(x/t) (\gamma(t, v) - \gamma(t, x/t)) e^{it\phi(x/t)},$$

Lemma 6.5. *Let $v \in J_\lambda$, and $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, for every $y \neq 0$ and x such that $|x - vt| \lesssim \delta x = t^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/2}$, we have the bound*

$$|\delta^y \beta_v| \lesssim t^{-3/4} \lambda^{-1/4} \|\varphi\|_X$$

Proof. We have

$$\delta^y \beta_v = -t^{-1/2} \delta^y (\gamma(t, \cdot/t)) \chi_\lambda((x+y)/t) e^{it\phi((x+y)/t)} + t^{-1/2} (\gamma(t, v) - \gamma(t, x/t)) \delta^y (\chi_\lambda(\cdot/t) e^{it\phi(\cdot/t)}).$$

The Mean Value Theorem ensures that

$$|\delta^y (\gamma(t, \cdot/t))| \lesssim t^{-1} \|\partial_v \gamma\|_{L^\infty},$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\delta^y \beta_v| &\lesssim t^{-1/2}(t^{-1}\|\partial_v \gamma\|_{L^\infty} + t^{-1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\|\partial_v \gamma\|_{L^\infty}(t^{-1} + \lambda)) \\
&\lesssim t^{-1}\|\partial_v \gamma\|_{L^\infty}(t^{-1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}) \lesssim t^{-1}\lambda^{1/2}(\lambda^{-3/4}t^{1/4}\|\varphi\|_X + \|\varphi\|_{L_x^\infty}t^{1/2}) \\
&\lesssim t^{-3/4}\lambda^{-1/4}\|\varphi\|_X + t^{-1/2}\lambda^{1/2}\|\varphi\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-3/4}\lambda^{-1/4}\|\varphi\|_X + t^{-1}\lambda^{-1/4}\|\varphi\|_X \\
&\lesssim t^{-3/4}\lambda^{-1/4}\|\varphi\|_X
\end{aligned}$$

□

6.7. **Bounds for Q .** Write, slightly abusing notation,

$$Q(\varphi) = Q(\varphi, \overline{\varphi}, \varphi) := \frac{1}{3} \int \operatorname{sgn}(y) \cdot |\delta^y \varphi|^2 \delta^y \varphi \, dy.$$

Lemma 6.6. *For $0 < \delta \ll 1$, we have the difference estimates*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q(\varphi_1) - Q(\varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty + L_x^{1/\delta}} &\lesssim (\|\partial_x(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 + \|\partial_x(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^{1/\delta}} \|(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty}) \|\partial_x(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty}, \\
\|Q(\varphi_1) - Q(\varphi_2)\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|\partial_x(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\quad + \| |D_x|^{1-\delta}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \|_{L_x^2} \|(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Write

$$Q(\varphi_1) - Q(\varphi_2) = \int_{|y| \leq 1} + \int_{|y| > 1}$$

where the integrand may be written

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{sgn}(y)(|\delta^y \varphi_1|^2 \delta^y \overline{\varphi_1} - |\delta^y \varphi_2|^2 \delta^y \overline{\varphi_2}) \\
&= \operatorname{sgn}(y)(\delta^y(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)(|\delta^y \varphi_1|^2 + |\delta^y \varphi_2|^2) + \delta^y(\overline{\varphi_1} - \overline{\varphi_2})\delta^y \varphi_1 \delta^y \varphi_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The first integral contributes to the two estimates respectively,

$$\left| \int_{|y| \leq 1} \right| \lesssim \|\partial_x(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \|\partial_x(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty}$$

and

$$\left\| \int_{|y| \leq 1} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_x(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty}.$$

For the second, using Sobolev embedding,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{|y| > 1} \right\|_{L^{1/\delta}} &\lesssim \| |D_x|^{1-\delta}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \|_{L_x^{1/\delta}} \|(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_x(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^{1/(2\delta)}} \|(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\| \int_{|y| > 1} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \| |D_x|^{1-\delta}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \|_{L_x^2} \|(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\|_{L_x^\infty}.$$

□

We will be considering separately the balanced and unbalanced components of Q . Precisely, we denote the diagonal set of frequencies by \mathcal{D} and write

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\varphi, \varphi, \varphi) &= \sum_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda) \in \mathcal{D}} Q(\varphi_{\lambda_1}, \varphi_{\lambda_2}, \varphi_{\lambda_3}) + \sum_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda) \notin \mathcal{D}} Q(\varphi_{\lambda_1}, \varphi_{\lambda_2}, \varphi_{\lambda_3}) \\ &= Q^{bal}(\varphi, \varphi, \varphi) + Q^{unbal}(\varphi, \varphi, \varphi) = Q^{bal}(\varphi) + Q^{unbal}(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

The unbalanced portion of Q satisfies the better bound as follows:

Lemma 6.7. Q^{unbal} satisfies the bounds

$$\|\chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x P_\lambda Q^{unbal}(\varphi)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} \frac{\|\varphi\|_X^3}{t^2}$$

and

$$\|\chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x P_\lambda Q^{unbal}(\varphi)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} \frac{\|\varphi\|_X^3}{t^{3/2}},$$

where χ_λ^1 is a cut-off widening χ_λ .

Proof. We shall denote

$$I_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn}(y) \delta^y \varphi_{\lambda_1} \delta^y \varphi_{\lambda_2} \delta^y \varphi_{\lambda_3} dy$$

and consider two cases in the frequency sum for $\partial_x P_\lambda Q^{unbal}$.

First we consider the case in which we have two low separated frequencies. We assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_3 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \ll \lambda$. In this case, the elliptic estimates will be applied for the factor φ_{λ_1} . Precisely, from Lemma 2.6 and estimates 6.6, 6.7, and 6.10, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_\lambda^1 I_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3}\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \lambda_1 \frac{\lambda_1^{-1/2}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X (\lambda_2^{1-2\delta} + \lambda_2) \|\varphi_{\lambda_2}\|_{L_x^\infty} \lambda_3^\delta \|\varphi_{\lambda_3}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\lambda_1^{1/2}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X \lambda_2^{1/4} (\lambda_2^{3/4-2\delta} + \lambda_2^{3/4}) \|\varphi_{\lambda_2}\|_{L_x^\infty} \lambda^{-2} \lambda^{2+\delta} \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_1^{1/2} \lambda_2^{1/4} \lambda^{-2} \frac{\|\varphi\|_X^3}{t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using dyadic summation in λ_1 and λ_2 , we deduce that

$$\left\| \chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x \sum_{\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \ll \lambda} I_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3} \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} \frac{\|\varphi\|_X^3}{t^2}.$$

Similarly, we deduce that

$$\left\| \chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x \sum_{\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \ll \lambda} I_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3} \right\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} \frac{\|\varphi\|_X^3}{t^{3/2}}$$

We now analyze the situation in which $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \gtrsim \lambda$, and λ_1 and λ_2 are comparable and both separated from λ . Thus, we will be able to use λ_1 and λ_2 interchangeably. We replace χ_λ^1

by $\tilde{\chi}_\lambda$, which has double support, and equals 1 on a comparably-sized neighbourhood of the support of χ_λ^1 . We write

$$\chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x P_\lambda = \chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x P_\lambda \tilde{\chi}_\lambda + \chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x P_\lambda (1 - \tilde{\chi}_\lambda).$$

For the first term, using Lemma 2.6, along with estimates 6.6, 6.7, 6.10, we get the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \chi_\lambda^1 P_\lambda \tilde{\chi}_\lambda I_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3} \right\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \lambda_2^{1/2+\delta} \frac{\lambda_3^{1-2\delta} + \lambda_3}{t} \|\varphi\|_X \|\varphi_{\lambda_2}\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\varphi_{\lambda_3}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_2^{-5/4-\delta/2} \lambda_3^{\delta/2} \frac{\|\varphi\|_X}{t} (\lambda_3^{1-5\delta/2} + \lambda_3^{1-\delta/2}) \|\varphi_{\lambda_3}\|_{L_x^\infty} \lambda_2^{7/4+3\delta/2} \|\varphi_{\lambda_2}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_2^{-5/4-\delta/2} \lambda_3^{\delta/2} \frac{\|\varphi\|_X^3}{t^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \chi_\lambda^1 P_\lambda \tilde{\chi}_\lambda I_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3} \right\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \lambda_2^\delta \frac{\lambda_3^{1-2\delta} + \lambda_3}{t} \|\varphi\|_X \|\varphi_{\lambda_2}\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\varphi_{\lambda_3}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_2^{-5/4-\delta/2} \lambda_3^{\delta/2} \frac{\|\varphi\|_X}{t} (\lambda_3^{1-5\delta/2} + \lambda_3^{1-\delta/2}) \|\varphi_{\lambda_3}\|_{L_x^\infty} \lambda_2^{5/4+3\delta/2} \|\varphi_{\lambda_2}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_2^{-5/4-\delta/2} \lambda_3^{\delta/2} \frac{\|\varphi\|_X^3}{t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using dyadic summation in λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 (and by using the fact that λ_1 and λ_2 are close), we deduce the bound

$$\left\| \chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x P_\lambda \tilde{\chi}_\lambda \sum_{\lambda_3 \lesssim \lambda_2, \lambda_1 \simeq \lambda_2 \gtrsim \lambda} I_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3} \right\|_{L_x^\infty \cap L_x^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} \frac{1}{t^2} \|\varphi\|_X^3.$$

We look at the second term. For every N , we know that

$$\|\chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x P_\lambda (1 - \tilde{\chi}_\lambda)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}, \|\chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x P_\lambda (1 - \tilde{\chi}_\lambda)\|_{L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1-N}}{t^N}$$

We take $N = \frac{3}{2}$. By carrying out a similar analysis as above, along with Lemma 2.6 and dyadic summation, we deduce that the contributions corresponding to these terms are also acceptable. \square

Lemma 6.8. *We have*

$$\chi_\lambda((x/t))^3 Q(e^{it\phi(x/t)}) = (\chi_\lambda(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)} q(\phi'(x/t)) + h(\lambda, t),$$

where for every $a \in (0, 1)$

$$|h(\lambda, t)| \lesssim \frac{\lambda^3}{t^{2-3a}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{t^{1-a}} + \frac{1}{t^{2a}}$$

Proof. We write

$$e^{-it\phi(x/t)} \delta y e^{it\phi(x/t)} = \frac{e^{iy\phi'(x/t)} (e^{it/2\phi''(c_{x,y}/t)y^2/t^2} - 1)}{y} + \frac{e^{iy\phi'(x/t)} - 1}{y} =: a + b,$$

where $c_{x,y}$ is between x and $x + y$. We now use the fact that x/t belongs to the support of χ_λ . We have

$$|\chi_\lambda(x/t)| |b| \lesssim \lambda.$$

Moreover, when $|y| \leq t^a$, $|c_{x,y}/t - x/t| \leq |y/t| \leq t^{a-1}$. This implies that $c_{x,y}/t$ belongs to the support of the enlarged cut-off χ_λ^1 , hence $\phi''(c_{x,y}/t) \simeq \lambda$. We note the bound

$$|\chi_\lambda(x/t)||a| \lesssim |\chi_\lambda(x/t)||y/(2t)\phi''(c_{x,y}/t)| \left| \frac{e^{\pm i\phi''(c_{x,y}/t)y^2/(2t)} - 1}{\phi''(c_{x,y}/t)y^2/(2t)} \right| \lesssim \lambda t^{a-1}$$

Thus, we have the bounds

$$(6.13) \quad \begin{aligned} |\chi_\lambda(x/t)||a| &\lesssim \lambda t^{a-1} \\ |\chi_\lambda(x/t)||b| &\lesssim \lambda \end{aligned}$$

We also note the cruder bounds

$$(6.14) \quad |\chi_\lambda(x/t)||a| + |\chi_\lambda(x/t)||b| \lesssim \frac{1}{|y|}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_\lambda(x/t))^3 Q(e^{it\phi(x/t)}) &= (\chi_\lambda(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)} \int |b|^2 b dy \\ &\quad + (\chi_\lambda(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)} \int a^2 \bar{a} + a^2 \bar{b} + 2|a|^2 b + 2a|b|^2 + b^2 \bar{a} dy := T_1 + T_2 \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$T_1 = (\chi_\lambda(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)} \int |b|^2 b dy = (\chi_\lambda(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)} q(\phi'(x/t)),$$

so we only need to analyze T_2 .

We first bound the contribution over the region $|y| \leq t^a$, which we shall denote by T_2^1 . We denote the contribution over the region $|y| > t^a$ by T_2^2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_2^1 &= (\chi_\lambda(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)} \int_{|y| \leq t^a} a^2 \bar{a} + a^2 \bar{b} + 2|a|^2 b dy \\ &\quad + (\chi_\lambda(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)} \int_{|y| \leq t^a} 2a|b|^2 + b^2 \bar{a} dy := T_{2a} + T_{2b}, \end{aligned}$$

6.13 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{2a}| &\lesssim |\chi_\lambda(x/t)|^3 \int_{|y| \leq t^a} |a|^3 + |a|^2 |b| dy \lesssim \int_{|y| \leq t^a} \frac{\lambda^2}{t^{2-2a}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{t^{1-a}} + \lambda \right) dy \lesssim \int_{|y| \leq t^a} \frac{\lambda^3}{t^{2-2a}} dy \\ &\lesssim t^a \frac{\lambda^3}{t^{2-2a}} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^3}{t^{2-3a}} \end{aligned}$$

6.13 and 6.14 imply the bound

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_\lambda(x/t)|^3 |b|^2 |a| &= |\chi_\lambda(x/t)|^3 |b|^2 |y/(2t)\phi''(c_{x,y}/t)| \left| \frac{e^{\pm i\phi''(c_{x,y}/t)y^2/(2t)} - 1}{\phi''(c_{x,y}/t)y^2/(2t)} \right| \\ &\lesssim \lambda \frac{1}{|y|} |\chi_\lambda(x/t)||y/(2t)\phi''(c_{x,y}/t)| \lesssim \frac{\lambda^2}{t} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that T_{2b} satisfies the bound

$$|T_{2b}| \lesssim |\chi_\lambda(x/t)|^3 \int_{|y| \leq t^a} |b|^2 |a| dy \lesssim \int_{|y| \leq t^a} \frac{\lambda^2}{t} dy \lesssim \frac{\lambda^2}{t^{1-a}}$$

For T_2^2 , 6.14 implies that

$$|T_2^2| \lesssim \int_{|y|>t^a} \frac{1}{|y|^3} dy \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{2a}}.$$

□

6.8. **The asymptotic equation for γ .** Here we prove the following:

Proposition 6.9. *Let $v \in J_\lambda$. Under the assumption $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$, we have*

$$\dot{\gamma}(t, v) = iq(\xi_v)\xi_v t^{-1}\gamma(t, v)|\gamma(t, v)|^2 + f(t, v),$$

where

$$|f(t, v)| + \|f(t, v)\|_{L_v^2(J_\lambda)} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-6/5+C\epsilon^2} \epsilon.$$

Proof. We have

$$\dot{\gamma}(t, v) = \langle \dot{\varphi}, \mathbf{u}_\lambda^v \rangle + \langle \varphi, \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\lambda^v \rangle = \langle P_\lambda A_\varphi \varphi, \mathbf{u}^v \rangle + i \langle \varphi_\lambda, (i\partial_t - A(D))\mathbf{u}^v \rangle := I_1 + I_2.$$

We first analyze I_2 . We use Lemma 6.2 to write

$$(i\partial_t - A(D))\mathbf{u}^v = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}(L\mathbf{u}^{v,I} + \mathbf{r}^v)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \varphi_\lambda, (i\partial_t - A(D))\mathbf{u}^v \rangle| &\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\|L\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} \cdot \lambda^{1/2} \lambda^{1/4} t^{1/4} + \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} \cdot \lambda^{-1/2} \lambda^{1/4} t^{1/4}) \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-5/4} \|\varphi\|_X \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-5/4} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_\lambda \langle \varphi_\lambda, (i\partial_t - A(D))\mathbf{u}^v \rangle\|_{L_v^2} &\lesssim t^{-3/2} (\|L\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} \lambda^{1/2} + \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2} \lambda^{-1/2}) \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-5/4} t^{-1/4} \lambda^{-1/4} \|\varphi\|_X \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-5/4} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-6/5} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

(we have used the condition $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$.)

In the remaining part of this section we shall analyze the term I_1 . We first exchange F for its principal quadratic term, expanding

$$F(\delta^y \varphi) - \frac{1}{2}(\delta^y \varphi)^2 = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-h)^2}{2} (\delta^y \varphi)^3 F'''(h\delta^y \varphi) dh.$$

From Moser's estimate (the nonlinear version, as well as the one for products), Lemma 2.6, and Sobolev embedding, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left\| P_\lambda \int (\delta^y \varphi)^3 F'''(t\delta^y \varphi) |\delta|^y \varphi_x dy \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \int \| |D_x|^{1/2} ((\delta^y \varphi)^3 |\delta|^y \varphi_x F'''(t\delta^y \varphi)) \|_{L_x^4} dy \\
& \lesssim \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_x \|_{L_x^4} (\| |D_x|^{1-\delta} \varphi \|_{L_x^\infty} \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \|\varphi_{xx}\|_{L_x^\infty}) \\
& + \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{xx} \|_{L_x^4} (\|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty}^3 + \|\varphi\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\varphi_x\|_{L_x^\infty} \| |D_x|^{1-\delta} \varphi \|_{L_x^\infty}) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{5/4}} \epsilon^5 \langle t \rangle^{C\epsilon^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have also used Sobolev embedding and the classical Moser estimate, keeping in mind $F'''(0) = 0$. Similarly,

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_\lambda \left((F(\delta^y \varphi) - \frac{1}{2}(\delta^y \varphi)^2) |\delta|^y \varphi_x \right) dy \right\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \epsilon^5 \langle t \rangle^{C\epsilon^2}.$$

By Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\langle P_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(F(\delta^y \varphi) - \frac{1}{2}(\delta^y \varphi)^2 \right) |\delta|^y \varphi_x dy, \varphi_v \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \epsilon^5 \langle t \rangle^{C\epsilon^2}, \\
& \left\| \left\langle P_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(F(\delta^y \varphi) - \frac{1}{2}(\delta^y \varphi)^2 \right) |\delta|^y \varphi_x dy, \varphi_v \right\rangle \right\|_{L_v^2(J_\lambda)} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \epsilon^5 \langle t \rangle^{C\epsilon^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We are left to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle P_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\delta^y \varphi)^2 |\delta|^y \varphi_x dy, \mathbf{u}^v \right\rangle &= \langle \partial_x P_\lambda Q^{\text{bal}}(\varphi), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle + \langle \chi_\lambda^1 \partial_x P_\lambda Q^{\text{unbal}}(\varphi), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle \\
&+ \langle (1 - \chi_\lambda^1) \partial_x P_\lambda Q^{\text{unbal}}(\varphi), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where χ_λ^1 be a cut-off function enlarging χ_λ . Due to the fact that \mathbf{u}^v is supported in the region $\left| \frac{x}{t} - v \right| \lesssim \lambda^{-1/2} t^{-1/2}$, the condition $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$ will imply that the third term is identically zero, while Lemma 6.7 implies that the second term is an acceptable error. Thus, we only have to analyze

$$\langle \partial_x P_\lambda Q^{\text{bal}}(\varphi), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle = \langle \partial_x P_\lambda Q(\varphi_\lambda), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle.$$

Let χ^1 be a cut-off function that is equal to 1 on the support of the wave packet \mathbf{u}_v . Let $\tilde{\chi}$ be another cut-off function whose support is slightly larger than the one of χ^1 . We write

$$\langle \partial_x P_\lambda Q(\varphi_\lambda), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle = \langle \partial_x P_\lambda \tilde{\chi} Q(\varphi_\lambda), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle + \langle \chi^1 \partial_x P_\lambda (1 - \tilde{\chi}) Q(\varphi_\lambda), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle$$

As in the proof of Lemma 6.7, we note that the operator norm bounds

$$\|\chi^1 \partial_x P_\lambda (1 - \tilde{\chi})\|_{L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty} + \|\chi^1 \partial_x P_\lambda (1 - \tilde{\chi})\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{1-2N} t^{-N}$$

for every N imply that the second term is acceptable error. This leaves us with the first.

We first replace φ_λ by $\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda$. From Lemma 6.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \partial_x P_\lambda \tilde{\chi}(Q(\varphi_\lambda) - Q(\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda)), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle| \\ & \lesssim \lambda \|(\partial_x(\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda), \partial_x \varphi_\lambda)\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \|\partial_x((1 - \chi_\lambda)\varphi_\lambda)\|_{L_x^\infty} (\|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^1} + \|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}}) \\ & + \lambda \|(\partial_x(\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda), \partial_x \varphi_\lambda)\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{2\delta}}} \|(\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x((1 - \chi_\lambda)\varphi_\lambda)\|_{L_x^\infty} (\|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^1} + \|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}}) \end{aligned}$$

By interpolation, along with Lemma 6.1 and the condition $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$, it follows that the errors are acceptable. The L_x^2 -bound is similar.

We now denote

$$\psi(t, x) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi_\lambda(x/t) \gamma(t, x/t) e^{it\phi(x/t)}$$

and replace $\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda$ by ψ . From Lemma 6.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \partial_x P_\lambda \tilde{\chi}(Q(\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda) - Q(\psi)), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle| \\ & \lesssim \lambda \|(\partial_x(\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda), \partial_x \psi)\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \|\partial_x(\chi_\lambda(x/t)r^\lambda)\|_{L_x^\infty} (\|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^1} + \|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}}) \\ & + \lambda \|(\partial_x(\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda), \partial_x \psi)\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{2\delta}}} \|(\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda, \psi)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x(\chi_\lambda(x/t)r^\lambda)\|_{L_x^\infty} (\|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^1} + \|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}}) \end{aligned}$$

By interpolation, along with Lemmas 6.4 and 6.3, and the condition $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$, it follows that the errors are acceptable. The L_x^2 -bound is similar.

We now denote

$$\theta(t, x) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi_\lambda(x/t) \gamma(t, v) e^{it\phi(x/t)}$$

and replace ψ by θ . We evaluate

$$\langle \partial_x P_\lambda \tilde{\chi}(Q(\psi) - Q(\theta)), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle$$

We have

$$|\tilde{\chi}(Q(\psi) - Q(\theta))| \lesssim \left| \tilde{\chi} \left(\frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{|ta''(\xi_v)|}} \right) \right| \int (|\delta^y \psi|^2 + |\delta^y \theta|^2) |\delta^y \beta_v^\lambda(x)| dy$$

The support condition of $\tilde{\chi}$ implies that x is in the region $|x - vt| \lesssim \delta x = t^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/2}$. From Lemma 6.5 we now get that

$$|\tilde{\chi}(Q(\psi) - Q(\theta))| \lesssim t^{-3/4} \lambda^{-1/4} \|\varphi\|_X \int (|\delta^y \psi|^2 + |\delta^y \theta|^2) dy$$

Bernstein's inequality, and Lemma 2.6, and Sobolev embedding, imply that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\chi}(Q(\psi) - Q(\theta))| & \lesssim t^{-3/4} \lambda^{3/4} \|\varphi\|_X (\|\psi_x\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 + \|\theta_x\|_{L_x^\infty}^2) \|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^1} \\ & + t^{-3/4} \lambda^{3/4} \|\varphi\|_X (\|\psi\|_{L_x^\infty} \| |D_x|^{1-\delta} \psi \|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{\delta}}} + \|\theta\|_{L_x^\infty} \| |D_x|^{1-\delta} \theta \|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{\delta}}}) \|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}} \\ & \lesssim t^{-3/4} \lambda^{3/4} \|\varphi\|_X (\|\psi_x\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 + \|\theta_x\|_{L_x^\infty}^2) \|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^1} \\ & + t^{-3/4} \lambda^{3/4} \|\varphi\|_X (\|\psi\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\psi_x\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{2\delta}}} + \|\theta\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\theta_x\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{2\delta}}}) \|\mathbf{u}^v\|_{L_x^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}} \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 6.3 along with the condition $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$, it follows that this error is acceptable. The L_x^2 -bound is similar.

We are left to analyze

$$t^{-3/2}\gamma(t, v)|\gamma(t, v)|^2 \langle \partial_x P_\lambda Q(\chi_\lambda e^{it\phi(x/t)}), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle.$$

Since by Lemma 6.3,

$$\|t^{-3/2}\gamma(t, v)|\gamma(t, v)|^2\|_{L_v^\infty(J_\lambda)} \lesssim \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}^3, \quad \|t^{-3/2}\gamma(t, v)|\gamma(t, v)|^2\|_{L_v^2(J_\lambda)} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}^2\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^2}$$

it suffices to estimate

$$|\langle \partial_x P_\lambda Q(\chi_\lambda e^{it\phi(x/t)}), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle - t^{\frac{1}{2}}q(\xi_v)\xi_v(\chi_\lambda(v))^3| \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4}t^{3/10+C\epsilon^2}\epsilon.$$

We note that

$$\delta^y(\chi_\lambda e^{\pm it\phi(x/t)}) = \chi_\lambda \delta^y(e^{\pm it\phi(x/t)}) + \delta^y(\chi_\lambda) e^{\pm it\phi((x+y)/t)}.$$

Lemma 2.6, implies that for every $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\left| \left\langle \partial_x P_\lambda \int \delta^y(\chi_\lambda) e^{it\phi((x+y)/t)} \delta^y(\chi_\lambda e^{-it\phi(x/t)}) \delta^y(\chi_\lambda e^{it\phi(x/t)}) dy, \mathbf{u}^v \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \lambda(t^{\delta-1/2}\lambda + t^{-1/2}\lambda^2).$$

The most problematic contribution is the one that arises from the first term. We have

$$\lambda^{9/4}t^{\delta-1/2}\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}^3 \lesssim t^{\delta-2}\|\varphi\|_X^3 \lesssim t^{-6/5}\epsilon^3 t^{C\epsilon^2}$$

The other term is analogous.

The L_v^2 -bound is treated similarly, and so is the case in which one chooses the term $\delta^y(\chi_\lambda) e^{-it\phi((x+y)/t)}$ in the expansion of $\delta^y(\chi_\lambda e^{-it\phi(x/t)})$. This leaves us with

$$\langle \partial_x P_\lambda (\chi_\lambda(x/t)^3 Q(e^{it\phi(x/t)})), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle$$

Lemma 6.8, implies that we can replace the latter with

$$\langle \partial_x P_\lambda (\chi_\lambda(x/t)^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)} (\phi'(x/t))^2 q(1)), \mathbf{u}^v \rangle,$$

with error bounded by

$$\lambda t^{1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda^3}{t^{2-3a}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{t^{1-a}} + \frac{1}{t^{2a}} \right)$$

We note that one problematic contribution is the one arising from the last term. We have the bound

$$\frac{\lambda^{5/4}}{t^{2a-1/2}} \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}^3 \lesssim t^{-2a} \|\varphi\|_X^3$$

By picking $a = \frac{3}{5}$, we deduce that this contribution is acceptable. The only other problematic contribution is the one arising from the first term, for which we bound

$$\frac{\lambda^{17/4}}{t^{3/2-3a}} \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}^3 \lesssim t^{3a-3} \|\varphi\|_X^3 \lesssim t^{-6/5} \epsilon^3 t^{C\epsilon^2}$$

The contribution arising from the second term can be immediately bounded by

$$\frac{\lambda^{13/4}}{t^{1/2-a}} \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}^3 \lesssim t^{a-2} \|\varphi\|_X^3 \lesssim t^{-7/5} \epsilon^3 t^{C\epsilon^2} \lesssim t^{-6/5} \epsilon^3 t^{C\epsilon^2}$$

The L_v^2 -bound is similar.

This means that we have to analyze

$$\begin{aligned} q(1) \langle \partial_x (\chi_\lambda(x/t)^3 (\phi'(x/t))^2 e^{it\phi(x/t)}), \mathbf{u}_\lambda^v \rangle &= q(1) \langle (\chi_\lambda(x/t) \phi'(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)}, \mathbf{u}_\lambda^v \rangle \\ &+ q(1) t^{-1} \langle (3\chi_\lambda(x/t)^2 \chi'_\lambda(x/t) (\phi'(x/t))^2 + 2\chi_\lambda(x/t)^3 \phi'(x/t) \phi''(x/t)) e^{it\phi(x/t)}, \mathbf{u}_\lambda^v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the last contribution can be immediately shown to be an acceptable error by using the condition $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$. Further, we may replace \mathbf{u}_λ^v by \mathbf{u}^v . To see this, from the proof of Lemma 5.8 in [14], we have

$$|P_{\neq \lambda} \mathbf{u}^v| \lesssim \lambda^{1/2} (1 + |y|)^{-1-\delta} t^{-1-\delta} \lambda^{-1-\delta}, \quad y = (x - vt) |ta''(\xi_v)|^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$|(\chi_\lambda(x/t) \phi'(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)}| \lesssim \lambda^3.$$

Thus,

$$|\langle (\chi_\lambda(x/t) \phi'(x/t))^3 e^{it\phi(x/t)}, P_{\neq \lambda} \mathbf{u}^v \rangle| \lesssim \lambda^3 \lambda^{-1/2-\delta} t^{-1-\delta} t^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/2} \lesssim t^{-1/2-\delta} \lambda^{2-\delta},$$

which along with the condition $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$ shows that this is an acceptable error.

As \mathbf{u}^v is supported in the region $\left| \frac{x}{t} - v \right| \lesssim t^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1/2}$, we can replace x/t by v in $\chi_\lambda(x/t) \phi'(x/t)$, with acceptable errors. As $\chi_\lambda(v) = 1$, the remaining term is now

$$iq(1)(\chi_\lambda(v) \xi_v)^3 \langle e^{it\phi(x/t)}, \mathbf{u}^v \rangle = t^{\frac{1}{2}} iq(\xi_v) \xi_v,$$

as desired. \square

6.9. Closing the bootstrap argument. We recall that

$$\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \lambda^{-(3/4-\delta-\delta_1)} \|\varphi\|_X \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \lambda^{-(3/4-\delta-\delta_1)} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2},$$

when $\lambda \leq 1$ and

$$\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \lambda^{-(2+3\delta/2)} \|\varphi\|_X \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \lambda^{-(2+3\delta/2)} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2},$$

when $\lambda > 1$.

Thus, if $t \lesssim \lambda^N$ when $\lambda > 1$, and if $t \lesssim \lambda^{-N}$ when $\lambda \leq 1$, where N can be chosen arbitrarily, we get the desired bounds. We are left to analyze $t \gtrsim \lambda^N$ when $\lambda > 1$, and $t \gtrsim \lambda^{-N}$ when $\lambda \leq 1$.

We recall the following bounds in the elliptic region:

$$\begin{aligned} \||D_x|^{3/4-\delta}((1-\chi_\lambda)\varphi_\lambda(x))\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/4-\delta}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/4-\delta}}{t} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2} \\ \||D_x|^{1+\delta} \partial_x((1-\chi_\lambda)\varphi_\lambda(x))\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \frac{\lambda^{3/2+\delta}}{t} \|\varphi\|_X \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{3/2+\delta}}{t} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired bounds when $t \gtrsim \lambda^N$ ($\lambda > 1$), and $t \gtrsim \lambda^{-N}$ ($\lambda \leq 1$). We still have to bound $\chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda$. We recall that, if $x/t \in J_\lambda$, and $r(t, x) = \chi_\lambda \varphi_\lambda(t, x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \chi_\lambda \gamma(t, x/t) e^{it\phi(x/t)}$,

$$t^{1/2} \|r^\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/4} \lambda^{-5/4} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2}$$

We note that

$$t^{-1/4} \lambda^{-5/4} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-(3/4-\delta-\delta_1)} \epsilon$$

when $\lambda \leq 1$, because this is equivalent to

$$\lambda^{-(1/2+\delta+\delta_1)} \lesssim t^{1/4-C\epsilon^2}$$

(this is true when $t \gtrsim \lambda^{-N}$), and that

$$t^{-1/4} \lambda^{-5/4} \epsilon t^{C\epsilon^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-(2+3\delta/2)} \epsilon$$

when $\lambda > 1$, because this is equivalent to

$$\lambda^{3/4+3\delta/2} \lesssim t^{1/4-C\epsilon^2}$$

(this is true when $t \gtrsim \lambda^N$).

This means that we only need the bounds

$$|\gamma(t, v)| \lesssim \epsilon \lambda^{-(3/4-\delta-\delta_1)}$$

when $\lambda \leq 1$, and

$$|\gamma(t, v)| \lesssim \epsilon \lambda^{-(2+3\delta/2)}$$

when $\lambda > 1$. By initializing at time $t = 1$, up to which the bounds are known to be true from the energy estimates, and by using Proposition 6.9, we reach the desired conclusion.

7. MODIFIED SCATTERING

In this section we discuss the modified scattering behaviour of the global solutions constructed in Section 6. We begin by proving the conservation of mass for the solutions of (1.3):

Proposition 7.1. *For solutions φ of (1.3), $\|\varphi(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ is conserved in time.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi\|_{L^2_x}^2 &= \int \varphi_t \cdot \varphi \, dx \\ &= -2 \int \int F(\delta^y \varphi) |\delta|^y \varphi_x \, dy \cdot \varphi \, dx - 2 \int \varphi \cdot \log |D_x| \varphi_x \, dx \\ &= -2 \int \int F(\delta^y \varphi) |\delta|^y \varphi_x \, dy \cdot \varphi \, dx := -2I. \end{aligned}$$

We note that by the change of variables $(x, y) \mapsto (x + y, -y)$,

$$I = - \int \int F(\delta^y \varphi) |\delta|^y \varphi_x \cdot \varphi(x + y) \, dx \, dy.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -2I &= \int \int F(\delta^y \varphi) |\delta|^y \varphi_x \cdot (\varphi(x + y) - \varphi(x)) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int |y| \int F(\delta^y \varphi) \delta^y \varphi \cdot \delta^y \varphi_x \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int |y| \int \partial_x (G(\delta^y \varphi)) \, dx \, dy = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $G(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} - \sqrt{1 + x^2}$. □

Recall the asymptotic equation

$$\dot{\gamma}(t, v) = iq(\xi_v)\xi_v t^{-1} |\gamma(t, v)|^2 \gamma(t, v) + f(t, v),$$

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\gamma(t, v)$ converges to the solution of the equation

$$\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(t, v) = iq(\xi_v)\xi_v t^{-1} \tilde{\gamma}(t, v) |\tilde{\gamma}(t, v)|^2,$$

whose solution is

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t, v) = W(v) e^{iq(\xi_v)\xi_v \ln(t) |W(v)|^2}$$

We can immediately see that $W(v)$ is well-defined, as $|W(v)| = |\tilde{\gamma}(t, v)|$, which is a constant, and

$$W(v) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\gamma}(e^{2s\pi/(q(\xi_v)\xi_v |W(v)|^2)}, v).$$

Corollary 7.2. *Let $v \in J_\lambda$. Under the assumption $(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}$, we have the asymptotic expansions*

$$(7.1) \quad \|\gamma(t, v) - W(v) e^{iq(\xi_v)\xi_v \log t |W(v)|^2}\|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty(J_\lambda)} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-1/5+C^2\epsilon^2} \epsilon.$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 6.9. \square

Proposition 7.3. *Under the assumption*

$$\|\varphi_0\|_X \lesssim \epsilon \ll 1,$$

the asymptotic profile W defined above satisfies

$$\|W(v)\|_{L_v^2} + \|e^{-\frac{v}{2}} e^{|v|C_1\epsilon^2} |D_v|^{1-C_1\epsilon^2} W(v)\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \epsilon.$$

Proof. We fix λ , and let $t \gtrsim \max\{1, \lambda^{-1}\} := t_\lambda$. From Corollary 7.2 we know that

$$\|W(v) - e^{-iq(\xi_v)\xi_v \log t |\gamma(t, v)|^2} \gamma(t, v)\|_{L_v^2(J_\lambda)} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-1/5+C^2\epsilon^2} \epsilon$$

From the product and chain rules with Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\left\| \partial_v \left(e^{-iq(\xi_v)\xi_v \log t |\gamma(t, v)|^2} \gamma(t, v) \right) \right\|_{L_v^2(J_\lambda)} \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \log(t) \epsilon t^{C^2\epsilon^2}.$$

In this case,

$$W(v) = O_{\dot{H}_v^1(J_\lambda)}(\lambda^{-1} \log(t) \epsilon t^{C^2\epsilon^2}) + O_{L_v^2(J_\lambda)}(\lambda^{-1/4} t^{-1/5+C^2\epsilon^2} \epsilon), \quad t \gtrsim t_\lambda.$$

By interpolation this will imply that for C_1 large enough we have

$$\|W(v)\|_{\dot{H}_v^{1-C_1\epsilon^2}(J_\lambda)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{C_1\epsilon^2}{4}-1} \epsilon, \quad \lambda > 1$$

respectively

$$\|W(v)\|_{\dot{H}_v^{1-C_1\epsilon^2}(J_\lambda)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{C_1\epsilon^2}{4}-1} \epsilon, \quad \lambda < 1$$

By dyadic summation over $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\lambda \leq 1$,

$$\|e^{-\frac{v}{2}} e^{|v|C_1\epsilon^2} |D_v|^{1-C_1\epsilon^2} W(v)\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \epsilon$$

\square

REFERENCES

- [1] Albert Ai, Mihaela Ifrim, and Daniel Tataru. Two dimensional gravity waves at low regularity I: Energy estimates. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1910.05323, October 2019.
- [2] T. Alazard, N. Burq, and C. Zuily. On the Cauchy problem for gravity water waves. *Invent. Math.*, 198(1):71–163, 2014.
- [3] Diego Córdoba, Javier Gómez-Serrano, and Alexandru D. Ionescu. Global solutions for the generalized SQG patch equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 233(3):1211–1251, 2019.
- [4] Francisco Gancedo, Huy Q. Nguyen, and Neel Patel. Well-posedness for SQG sharp fronts with unbounded curvature. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2105.10982, May 2021.
- [5] Francisco Gancedo and Neel Patel. On the local existence and blow-up for generalized SQG patches. *Ann. PDE*, 7(1):Paper No. 4, 63, 2021.
- [6] Thomas J. R. Hughes, Tosio Kato, and Jerrold E. Marsden. Well-posed quasi-linear second-order hyperbolic systems with applications to nonlinear elastodynamics and general relativity. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 63(3):273–294 (1977), 1976.
- [7] John K. Hunter and Jingyang Shu. Regularized and approximate equations for sharp fronts in the surface quasi-geostrophic equation and its generalizations. *Nonlinearity*, 31(6):2480–2517, 2018.
- [8] John K. Hunter, Jingyang Shu, and Qingtian Zhang. Local well-posedness of an approximate equation for SQG fronts. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 20(4):1967–1984, 2018.
- [9] John K. Hunter, Jingyang Shu, and Qingtian Zhang. Contour dynamics for surface quasi-geostrophic fronts. *Nonlinearity*, 33(9):4699–4714, 2020.
- [10] John K. Hunter, Jingyang Shu, and Qingtian Zhang. Global solutions for a family of GSQG front equations. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2005.09154, May 2020.
- [11] John K. Hunter, Jingyang Shu, and Qingtian Zhang. Global solutions of a surface quasigeostrophic front equation. *Pure Appl. Anal.*, 3(3):403–472, 2021.
- [12] Mihaela Ifrim and Daniel Tataru. Global bounds for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in one space dimension. *Nonlinearity*, 28(8):2661–2675, 2015.
- [13] Mihaela Ifrim and Daniel Tataru. Local well-posedness for quasilinear problems: a primer. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2008.05684, August 2020.
- [14] Mihaela Ifrim and Daniel Tataru. Testing by wave packets and modified scattering in nonlinear dispersive pde’s. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2204.13285, April 2022.
- [15] Terence Tao. Lecture notes 6 for 247b.
- [16] Terence Tao. Global regularity of wave maps. I. Small critical Sobolev norm in high dimension. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (6):299–328, 2001.
- [17] Terence Tao. *Nonlinear dispersive equations*, volume 106 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. Local and global analysis.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON
Email address: aai@math.wisc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKELEY
Email address: ovidiu.avadanei@berkeley.edu