arXiv:2211.08934v1 [physics.bio-ph] 16 Nov 2022

MCL-1 promiscuity and the structural resilience of its binding partners

Philipp J. Heckmeier*, Jeannette Ruf, Brankica G. Janković, and Peter Hamm

Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

*philipp.heckmeier@chem.uzh.ch

(Dated: 17th November 2022)

MCL-1 and its natural inhibitors, the BH3-only proteins PUMA, BIM, and NOXA regulate apoptosis by interacting promiscuously within an entangled binding network. Little is known about the transient processes and dynamic conformational fluctuations that are the basis for the formation and stability of the MCL-1/BH3-only complex. In this study, we designed photoswitchable versions of MCL-1/PUMA and MCL-1/NOXA, and investigated the protein response after an ultrafast photoperturbation with transient infrared spectroscopy. We observed partial α -helical unfolding in all cases, albeit on strongly varying timescales (1.6 ns for PUMA, 9.7 ns for the previously studied BIM, and 85 ns for NOXA). These differences are interpreted as a BH3-only-specific "structural resilience" to defy the perturbation while remaining in MCL-1's binding pocket. Thus, the presented insights could help to better understand the differences between PUMA, BIM, and NOXA, the promiscuity of MCL-1 in general, and the role of the proteins in the apoptotic network.

Protein-protein interactions are the fundamental driving force for a majority of cellular $processes^{1,2}$. Understanding the molecular mechanisms behind this proteinprotein interplay is of highest scientific interest³. For numerous protein complexes, it is not clear how they form, how small conformation fluctuations contribute to the complex function and stability, and whether there are intertwined intermediate states of altered conformation^{4,5}. Illuminating the nuances of these dynamic processes is particularly essential for complexes formed by intrinsically disordered proteins⁶. These proteins do not assume an ordered structure in their isolated form but only when they are bound to their complex partner. For intrinsically disordered proteins, the process of complex formation can be explained with models^{7,8} such as the induced fit model⁹, the conformational selection model⁴, or a hybrid version of both theories¹⁰. Intrinsic disorder plays a significant role in promiscuous protein networks, as it enables the complex formation with numerous binding partners^{11,12}. Being on "the edge of chaos" ¹³ ensures structural and functional flexibility and provides an ideal basis for diverse protein-protein interactions, for instance in a network of activator, inhibitor, and effector proteins.

The BCL-2 protein family is a paramount example for an intricate protein network, which is driven by promiscuous interactions of several intrinsically disordered protein domains. In this protein family, categorized in subfamilies based on the type and the number of their BCL-2 homology (BH) domains, the disordered binding domains of so-called BH3-only proteins – they solely have a BH3 domain - form complexes with numerous other BCL-2 proteins, thereby controlling apoptosis in a finelybalanced manner^{14–17}. The BH3-only proteins, abundant when cells suffer cytotoxic stress $^{18-20}$, either directly activate the pro-apoptotic effector proteins (BAK and BAX) or inhibit the anti-apoptotic effector-inhibitors such as the Myeloid Cell Leukemia 1 protein (MCL-1), one of the key players in apoptosis regulation¹⁵ (Fig. 1). MCL-1 is overexpressed in various tumor variants, which makes it of high interest in the rapeutic application $^{18,21-25}$. At



FIG. 1. The protein MCL-1 promiscuously binds BH3-only peptides NOXA, PUMA, and BIM, and has a central position in an entangled binding network, regulating apoptotic effector proteins BAK and BAX.

its canonical binding site, MCL-1 promiscuously binds the α -helical binding domain of the BH3-only proteins PUMA, BIM, and NOXA^{26,27}, most likely by induced fit^{28–32}. PUMA and BIM inhibit MCL-1 by occupying its binding pocket with affinities in the sub-nanomolar range, but additionally bind other anti-apoptotic factors and the pro-apoptotic effector proteins BAK and BAX^{17,26,33}. In contrast, NOXA binds MCL-1 specifically and with a weaker affinity, however effects the degradation of the whole complex³⁴.

In their intriguing review¹⁵, Kale, Osterlund, and Andrews describe this interplay of different pro- and antiapoptotic factors very figuratively as a "dance" of various partners – an interesting and vivid depiction of protein promiscuity. In this "apoptotic dance", the protein affinity and stability determine the complex formation and whether cell death will be initiated or not.

To date, little is known about the protein dynamics behind the MCL-1/BH3-only complex stability and formation, let alone intermediate states of BH3-only folding and unfolding at MCL-1's binding groove 35 . Investigating subtle, dynamical rearrangements inside proteins like the MCL-1/BH3-only complex is connected to a fundamental challenge: the ability to resolve structural flexibility and small conformational fluctuations in a reasonable time frame 36,37 . In the past, the dynamics of protein ensembles - intrinsically disordered or folded - have been experimentally studied via single-molecule FRET spectroscopy^{38,39}, NMR spectroscopy^{40,41}, as well as transient infrared (IR) spectroscopy 42,43 . IR spectroscopy allows the differentiation of very small conformational differences⁴⁴ and, in its transient form, the sensitive detection of non-equilibrium processes⁴⁵, making it a highly suitable method to study MCL-1/BH3-only complexes.

To selectively trigger a dynamical process inside a protein for transient IR spectroscopy, a fast and precisely induced perturbation of the proteins is required, ideally initiated by short light pulses. In this regard, a plethora of photoreceptor proteins, i.e., light-sensitive or fluorescent, have been investigated in the past $^{46-52}$. Beyond proteins that show natural photo-activity, linking azobenzene photoswitches covalently to selected protein domains – most prominently α -helical structures – defines a potent strategy to introduce photo-sensitivity in molecules, which are otherwise "blind" to light. The light-induced isomerization of the cross-linked azobenzene moiety leads to a fast perturbation of the secondary structure⁵³, and in turn to a slower protein response, both of which can be detected via transient IR spectroscopy 54-56. With this technique, the allosteric signal propagation in PDZ domains and the unbinding in the RNase S complex were investigated^{42,43,57}. Similarly, we recently revealed the signal propagation inside the MCL-1/BIM complex. 32

In this study, we apply transient IR spectroscopy to explore the protein dynamics of the intrinsically disordered binding domains of PUMA and NOXA in complex with MCL-1. An azobenzene photoswitch has been covalently linked to the short PUMA and NOXA peptides. The induced isomerization of the photoswitch leads to a subsequent destabilization of the secondary structure of the linked peptides, yet remaining bound to MCL-1 (Fig. 2). Together with data from the previously analyzed MCL-1/BIM complex³², we classify the kinetic response of these three MCL-1/BH3-only complexes and discuss how the observed protein dynamics integrate into the promiscuous nature of the MCL-1/BH3-only system.

We designed photoswitchable variants of the bindings domains of PUMA and NOXA in complex with MCL-1, to compare them to the previously generated photoswitchable MCL-1/BIM variant³². The domains, from here on *pars-pro-toto* referred to as PUMA, NOXA and BIM, are 25 to 29 amino acid long intrinsically disordered peptides that become α -helical when com-



FIG. 2. Structures of MCL-1 (purple) binding BIM (orange), PUMA (red), or NOXA (brown), adapted from PDB entries 2NL9^{26} , 2ROC^{27} and 2ROD^{27} , respectively. The peptides were covalently crosslinked with an azobenzene photoswitch (blue) at introduced Cys residues (cyan). Illumination with 450 nm light promotes the isomerization of the photoswitch from the *cis*- to the *trans*-state, exemplified here for NOXA. The opposite direction can be induced with 375 nm light. The α -helical BH3-only peptides are destabilized in the *trans*state. Aligned peptide sequences are also shown together with the *a* and *d* positions of their heptad pattern. The residue positions that are known to form the contact interface with the binding groove of MCL-1 are marked in yellow⁵⁸.

plexing with MCL-1¹⁶. They interact with a classical heptad pattern with hydrophobic side chains at the a/d positions of the helix (Fig. 2, sequences). On the solvent-exposed side of PUMA and NOXA, we introduced two cysteine residues, which were used to covalently bind the photoswitch 3,3'-bis(sulfonato)-4,4'-bis-(chloroacetamido) azobenzene (BSBCA)⁵⁹ to the peptide. In a previous study with BIM, we identified positions 16 and 23 (two consecutive c positions of the heptad pattern) as anchoring points for the azobenzene moiety. For the newly generated complexes, we introduced cysteines at the corresponding positions (Fig. 2, in cyan).

Circular Dichroism (CD) spectroscopy of the isolated BH3-only peptides reveal a random coil structure in both the *cis* and the *trans*-state of the photoswitch (exemplified for PUMA in Fig. 3a, red and blue). The CD spectrum of MCL-1 alone displays the classical response for an α -helical structure (Fig. 3a, black). The α -helical content is increased by a factor of ≈ 1.2 when the BH3-only peptides BIM, PUMA, and NOXA are added in equivalent amounts in the dark, in which case the photoswitch is



FIG. 3. (a) CD spectra of MCL-1 and PUMA (both 20 μ M) in isolation and when forming a complex. The PUMA spectra are shown for both the *cis*- and the *trans*-state of the photoswitch. (b) Ellipticity at 220 nm of the MCL-1/peptide complexes relative to that of MCL-1 without peptide (dashed line). The data for BIM are adapted from Heckmeier et al.³².

in the trans-state (Fig. 3a, purple). This proves that the photoswitchable BH3-only peptides assume an α -helical structure in the presence of their natural binding partner MCL-1. By illuminating the MCL-1/BH3-only complexes with 375 nm laser light, we uniformly switched the photoswitchable BH3-only peptides to the *cis*-state and could detect a further increase in α -helical content for NOXA, PUMA, and BIM (see Fig. 3b), as anticipated from the spacing of 7 amino acids between the two anchoring points of the photoswitch. Previous results on BIM showed that the slight destabilization of the α -helix in the *trans*-state is not sufficient to result in unbinding of the peptide in the concentration range needed for IR spectroscopy³².

For the transient IR experiments, the sample was first prepared in the *cis*-state with the help of a cw-LED at 375 nm. Upon subsequent switching of the azobenzene moiety from the *cis*- to the *trans*-state by the irradiation with an ultrashort UV/VIS laser pulse at 420 nm, the abrupt isomerization process perturbs the secondary structure of the peptide, and in consequence also that of its binding partner MCL-1. To monitor these structural changes, we set our focus on the C=O stretch vibrations of the protein backbone, i.e. the amide I band in the region around 1650 cm⁻¹. The amide I band serves as an indicator for rearrangements and alterations in the protein structure⁶⁰. We performed these experiments both in a steady-state manner with the help of a Bruker Tensor 27 FTIR spectrometer (Fig. 4a,c), as well as transiently with the pump-probe delay time ranging from picoseconds to 42 μ s (Fig. 4b,d). The late time (42 μ s) transient spectra are in essence the same as the steadystate difference spectra (Fig. 4a,c red vs black lines), indicating that most of the structural changes have already found their end at this time point.

In order to extract the dynamical processes contained in the transient spectra, we performed global multiexponential fitting, assuming interconverting discrete states with time-invariant spectra⁶¹⁻⁶³:

$$d(\omega_i, t_j) = a_0(\omega_i) + \sum_k a(\omega_i, \tau_k) e^{-t_j/\tau_k}.$$
 (1)

Here, we treated the amplitudes $a(\omega_i, \tau_k)$, as well as a common set of time constants τ_k as the free fitting parameters, with the number of exponential terms being restrained to a minimum^{47,64}. We fitted the experimental data of PUMA with four states, S₁, S₂, S₃ and a terminal state S_t and three time constants connecting them (τ_{12} =1.6 ns, τ_{23} =18 ns, τ_t =1.6 μ s). For NOXA, three states S₁, S₂, and S_t and two time constants were sufficient (τ_{12} =85 ns and τ_t =1.4 μ s). The corresponding time constants in BIM are τ_{12} =9.7 ns, τ_{23} =150 ns, and τ_t =3.6 μ s, see Ref.³².

The observed timescales are summarized in Fig. 5. While the last timescale (τ_t) is more or less the same in all three samples, the preceding two processes $(\tau_{12} \text{ and } \tau_{23})$ vary by almost a factor 100, with PUMA being the fastest and NOXA the slowest. In the case of NOXA, τ_{23} is not resolved, presumably since it coincides with the terminal process τ_t .

Assuming a sequential, unidirectional process (see Fig. 6, top), we also calculated evolution associated difference spectra (EADS) according to:

$$d(\omega_i, t_j) = \sum_k C_k(t_j) A_k(\omega_i), \qquad (2)$$

where $C_k(t_j)$ is the concentration profile of component k as a function of time t_j , and $A_k(\omega_i)$ its spectrum at probe frequency ω_i . The spectra $A_k(\omega_i)$ are a linear combination of the fitting amplitudes $a(\omega_i, \tau_k)^{64}$.

For all investigated peptides, the first EADS, revealing the response immediately after the pump pulse is over, shows in essence a bleach of the amide I band (Fig. 6, red). The subsequent state (Fig. 6, yellow), populated with time constant τ_{12} , reveals an additional positive band on the higher-frequency side, and hence a blue-shift of the amide I band. This blue shift has been similarly detected for MCL-1/BIM previously³² and can be interpreted as a partial α -helical unfolding^{44,65}. In Ref.³², we



FIG. 4. IR spectroscopic analysis of PUMA (a,b) and NOXA (c,d) in complex with MCL-1. (a,c) Steady-state *cis*-to-*trans* difference spectrum (black) and the last kinetic trace at 42 μ s (red). (b,d) Transient *cis*-to-*trans* difference spectra as a function of pump-probe delay time. The triangles mark the blue shift of the amide I band from $\approx 1640 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ to $\approx 1660 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

had also investigated the response a localized vibrational mode directly associated with the photoswitch, supporting this interpretation. The blue-shift can be identified in the raw data of Fig. 4 as well, where it is marked with triangles. While the blue-shifted band is observed for all three samples with very similar spectroscopic characteristics, the timescale with which it appears, τ_{12} , varies by almost a factor 100.

Based on isotope labelling experiments,³² the subsequent spectral changes occurring with τ_{23} and τ_t have been attributed to mostly the protein MCL-1 responding to the structural perturbation of its binding partner. We assume the same for PUMA and NOXA.

MCL-1 promiscuously binds to numerous intrinsically disordered inhibitors, BH3-only peptides, at its binding groove^{26,27}. MCL-1's centrality in the cancer-related apoptotic networks and its promiscuous nature makes it of high interest for biochemical and pharmaceutical research^{21,22}. In this study, we characterized the promiscuity of MCL-1 on an atomistic level. To that end, we explored the protein response of MCL-1/PUMA and MCL-1/NOXA upon ultrafast photo-perturbation. Together with the recently published data on a photoswitchable MCL-1/BIM variant, we could draw on dynamical information for three of MCL-1's natural inhibitors in a picoto microsecond time window.

After the abrupt photo-isomerization of the azoben-

zene moiety, the three complexes reveal very similar spectroscopic responses, both regarding the late time (steadystate) response (Fig. 4a,b), as well as that of the transient intermediates (Fig. 6). There is, however, one crucial difference between the three investigated MCL-1/BH3-only complexes: Partial α -helical unfolding, occurring with τ_{12} , happens at strongly divergent time points for every BH3-only peptide, see blue lines in Fig. 5. The fastest response was detected for PUMA ($\tau_{12}=1.6$ ns), succeeded by BIM ($\tau_{12}=9.7$ ns), and finally by NOXA ($\tau_{12}=85$ ns). Interestingly, the time point of partial α -helical unfolding does not correlate with the binding affinity of the peptide¹⁷, but significantly with computed scores for its polarity⁶⁶ and hydropathicity⁶⁷, as well as with the decline of α -helical content due to photoswitching (Fig. 7). The stronger the decrease in α -helicity is upon *cis*-totrans isomerization, the faster is its response. The same is true for increasing hydrophilicity as well as polarity.

Disrupting PUMA, which reveals the fastest response, with the *trans*-state azobenzene moiety may result in unfavorable contacts between hydrophilic side chains of PUMA and the hydrophobic interface of the binding groove of MCL-1. This strong tension could be dissolved by a rapid partial α -helical unfolding. Interestingly, a computational study solely on PUMA highlighted the increased α -helical propensity at the C-terminal relative to the rest of the peptide⁶⁸, the same region that



FIG. 5. Timescales of dynamical activity for MCL-1/PUMA, MCL-1/BIM, and MCL-1/NOXA upon photo-perturbation. For PUMA and NOXA, the time constants were determined from the transient spectra in Fig. 4, while the data for BIM were taken from Heckmeier et al.³². Time constant τ_{12} , associated with the spectral blue shift (and thus marked by triangles), is underlined in blue, time constant τ_{23} in red, and the terminal time constant τ_t in purple. For NOXA, τ_{23} cannot be resolved. In Heckmeier et al.³², we reported an additional time constant prior to 100 ps, which however was related to the pump-pulse duration and hence does not reflect a kinetic process.

we destabilize in our experiments. Apparently, the perturbation of this region which is innately more likely to form ordered structures, leads to conformational tension and thus to the fast protein response. In contrast, the slow opponent NOXA - inherently more hydrophobic and less polar – is not confronted with comparable tensions, thus it is not forced to rearrange quickly and thus unfolds later. The discrepancies between the peptides may also arise from breaking peptide-exclusive electrostatic interactions at the putative contact interface (Fig. 2, vellow residues) upon photoswitching. Electrostatic interactions at the contact interface are known to support the complex formation of MCL-1 and BH3-only peptides, namely those formed by MCL-1 Lys234 and Glu9 of NOXA or PUMA (numbering according to the alignment in Fig. 2)^{26,69}, MCL-1 Asp256/peptide $Arg15^{69,70}$, and MCL-1 Arg263/peptide Asp19^{69,70}.

These observations pose the question of how the peptide-specific differences correlate with the promiscuous nature of the MCL-1/BH3-only complexes on a cellular level. Pro-apoptotic BH3-only proteins are "damage sensors" and abundantly expressed when cells suffer cytotoxic stress^{18–20}. Although their binding domains share the same α -helical structure of similar length and identical hydrophobic heptad pattern, as well as the same binding site at MCL-1, BH3-only proteins differ substantially in their relationship inside the BCL-2 family and their interaction pattern (Fig. 1). BIM and PUMA bind the full spectrum of the anti-apoptotic BCL-2 family,



FIG. 6. Evolution associated difference spectra (EADS) of photo-perturbed (a) MCL-1/PUMA, (b) MCL-1/BIM (adapted from previous study³²), and (c) MCL-1/NOXA. The triangles mark the blue shift of the amide I band from $\approx 1640 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ to $\approx 1660 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

and also activate the effector proteins BAK and BAX, whereas NOXA selectively inhibits MCL-1 and BCL-2, another member of the same protein family¹⁵. BIM and PUMA stabilize MCL-1, while NOXA promotes its degradation^{26,34}.

Studies with truncated or mutated versions of NOXA demonstrated that the C-terminal region of the binding domain regulates the stability of the MCL-1/NOXA complex and therefore is important to control MCL-1/NOXA degradation^{26,34,71} (Fig. 1, left). For its biological function, this region of NOXA has to bind to MCL-1, which requires a certain "structural resilience" for this region, even when the whole complex is destabilized in the proteolytic process. We delimit this "structural resilience" from the already established terms "stability"⁷² and "structural plasticity"⁷³. The former



FIG. 7. Correlation of (a) the binding affinity of the peptide, (b) its polarity⁶⁶, (c) its hydropathicity⁶⁷, and (d) the loss in α -helicity upon photoswitching (*cis*-to-*trans*) against time constant τ_{12} for PUMA (red), BIM (orange), and NOXA (brown). The K_d values were taken from Dahal et al.,¹⁷, the scores in (b) and (c) were computed from amino acid sequences (see Methods for details), and the data points in (d) from Fig. 3.

is classically used in a thermodynamic context to describe how partners in a protein complex form and maintain folded conformations^{72,74–76}. The latter is frequently used to characterize the ability of promiscuous proteins, e.g. BCL-2-type⁷⁷, chaperones^{78,79}, Trypsin⁸⁰, or protein kinases⁸¹, to flexibly bind various, different binding partners at the same interface. With "structural resilience", we have in mind a kinetic stabilisation, to contrast to a thermodynamics one.

Our results show that even after photo-induced destabilization, the photo-perturbed C-terminus of the NOXA peptide remains folded more than 10 times longer than the equivalent region in other peptides (Fig. 5). While that is definitely speculative, the high structural resilience of NOXA's C-terminus inside the binding pocket of MCL-1 could help to remain in place, even when the whole complex is confronted with major rearrangements leading to the potent proteolysis of MCL-1. Future experiments could test this hypothesis by connecting a mutational analysis of NOXA and *in vivo* screening of MCL-1 proteolysis with monitoring the peptide's structural resilience by IR spectroscopy.

Different to NOXA, PUMA and BIM increase the stability of MCL-1^{26,33} and block the binding groove of MCL-1 (Fig. 1, right). This in turn limits MCL-1's ability to bind the effector proteins BAK and BAX. The competition between BIM, PUMA, and the effector proteins for MCL-1 manifests in the extremely high affinities of BIM ($K_d=25$ pM) and PUMA ($K_d=180$ pM) in comparison to the already high affinities for the effector proteins BAK (K_d=1.4 nM) and BAX (K_d=22 nM)¹⁷. Transient IR spectroscopy demonstrated that the structural resilience of PUMA and BIM is smaller than that of NOXA, presumably because their natural role is different, binding the partner in a highly-stable complex with little structural flexibility. Any disturbance seems to result in a fast adaptation, i.e. the partial unfolding that we observed in our experiments.

In summary, our study reveals insights into the promiscuity of the anti-apoptotic MCL-1 for the intrinsically disordered binding domains of BH3-only proteins. By using transient IR spectroscopy in combination with photoswitchable protein complexes, we quantified the dynamic response of BIM, PUMA, and NOXA in the binding pocket of MCL-1 upon photo-perturbation. All peptides show partial α -helical unfolding, however on very different time-scales. The correlations in Fig. 7 are indicating that the speed of protein response in our system is coupled to the extent of structural rearrangements (Fig. 7d) and possibly linked to favourable or unfavourable interactions, caused by the perturbation. Computational studies could test this hypothesis.

NOXA is structurally more resilient than BIM and PUMA. This finding reveals a new viewpoint on the nature of BH3-only peptides, which could help to better understand promiscuous protein-protein interactions in general, as well as the design of novel molecules and peptides to therapeutically manipulate the oncologically relevant MCL-1/BH3-only complex.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Peptide preparation

The BH3 domains of PUMA (EEQWAREIGAQLR-CMADDLNCQYER) and NOXA (RAELPPEFAAQL-RCIGDKVYCTWSAP), both containing cysteine mutations with a spacing of 7 amino acids, were synthesized using solid state peptide synthesis on a Liberty 1 peptide synthesizer (CEM corporation, Matthews, NC, USA). These peptides were purified analogously to the BIM variant (GGSGRPEIWIAQELRCIGDEFNCY-YARRV), which was investigated in a preceding study³². The watersoluble photoswitch 3,3'-bis(sulfonato)-4,4'bis(chloroacetamido)azobenzene (BSBCA) was subsequently covalently linked to the cysteine residues, as described before^{59,82}. The successful linkage, purity, as well as the integrity of the peptide was controlled via mass spectrometry.

B. Protein preparation

Human MCL-1 (hMCL- $1\Delta N-\Delta C$, 171-327, C286S⁸³) was expressed in Escherichia coli BL21. The cells were grown until they reached $OD_{600}=0.6$ and induced with 0.75 mM isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside. After the induction, the cells were incubated for 20 hours at 30° C until they were harvested end lysed using sonication. The proteins were purified under native conditions via Ni-affinity chromatography and a His₆-Tag at the Nterminus of the protein. The N-terminal His₆-Tag was removed by 3C protease cleavage. All experiments were performed in 50 mM Tris (pH 8) and 125 mM NaCl. The integrity, as well as the purity of the protein sample was controlled via mass spectrometry. For IR spectroscopy, H₂O containing sample buffer was exchanged against D_2O based buffer via spin column centrifugation. To circumvent the contamination with atmospheric H_2O , the sample was kept in a water-vapor free nitrogen environment.

C. Transient IR spectroscopy

For pump-probe measurements, we used two electronically synchronized 2.5 kHz Ti:sapphire oscillator/regenerative amplifier femtosecond laser systems (Spectra Physics), allowing a delay of maximally 45 μs^{84} . For the pump pulses, one laser system was tuned to 840 nm and then brought to 420 nm pulses via second harmonic generation in a β -BaBO₄ crystal, later needed for *cis*-to-*trans*-isomerization of the photoswitch. After light amplification, the compressor has been bypassed, resulting in ≈ 60 ps stretched pulses, in order to reduce sample deposition on the sample cell windows. At the sample cell, the power was 3 μ J per pulse, focused to a ≈ 140 μm beam diameter. The second laser system was used to generate midIR probe pulses in an optical parametric amplifier (100 fs, spot size 110 μ m, center wavenumber 1625 cm^{-1})⁸⁵. To prepare the investigated samples for the spectroscopic experiments, the crosslinked peptides and MCL-1 were mixed in an 1:1 ratio in D₂O with a total complex concentration of 1 mM. The protein samples were constantly circulated in a closed-cycle flow cell system, comprising a CaF_2 measurement cell (50 μ m optical path length) and a reservoir. The investigated sample was irradiated with a 375 nm continuous wave diode laser (90 mW, CrystaLaser) before entering the measurement cell, in order to prepare >85% of the sample in *cis*-state.

D. Peptide parameter computation

To better understand the differences of the various BH3-only peptides, we calculated parameters for the polarity according to Grantham,⁶⁶ and the hydrophobicity/hydropathicity according to Kyte & Doolitle⁶⁷ from the sequences of PUMA, BIM, and NOXA, using the Expasy/ProtScale server (http://web.expasy.org/protscale/). The calculations were executed with a window size of 7 residues (interval length for the computation) and the same weight for every residue in that interval (relative weight = 100%)⁸⁶.

ABBREVIATIONS

BH, BCL-2 homology; BSBCA, 3,3'-bis(sulfonato)-4,4'-bis-(chloroacetamido) azobenzene; CD, Circular Dichroism; EADS, evolution associated difference spectra; IR, Infrared; MCL-1, Myeloid Cell Leukemia 1.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: philipp.heckmeier@chem.uzh.ch

ORCID identifiers

Philipp J. Heckmeier	0000-0002-7311-977X
Jeannette Ruf	0000-0003-0495-6459
Brankica G. Janković	0000-0002-8073-3014
Peter Hamm	0000-0003-1106-6032

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgement We thank Markus B. Glutz for the synthesis of the peptides and Functional Genomics Center Zurich for their work on the mass spectrometry analysis. We thank Roland Zehnder for the technical support and Kerstin T. Oppelt, Gerhard Stock, and Steffen Wolf for fruitful discussions. The work has been supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF) through Grant 200020B_188694/1.

Data Availability: The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in Zenodo (the link will be provided at the proof stage.)

References:

- Jeong, H.; Mason, S. P.; Barabási, A. L.; Oltvai, Z. N. Lethality and centrality in protein networks. *Nature* 2001, 411, 41–42.
- (2) Hartwell, L. H.; Hopfield, J. J.; Leibler, S.; Murray, A. W. From molecular to modular cell biology. *Nature* **1999**, 402, C47–C52.
- (3) Jin, Z.; El-Deiry, W. S. Overview of cell death signaling pathways. *Cancer Biology and Therapy* **2005**, *4*, 147– 171.
- (4) Tsai, C.-J.; Kumar, S.; Ma, B.; Nussinov, R. Folding funnels, binding funnels, and protein function. *Protein Science* **1999**, *8*, 1181–1190.
- (5) Sugase, K.; Dyson, H. J.; Wright, P. E. Mechanism of coupled folding and binding of an intrinsically disordered protein. *Nature* **2007**, 447, 1021–1025.

- (6) Wright, P. E.; Dyson, H. J. Linking folding and binding. Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2009, 19, 31–38.
- (7) Kumar, S.; Ma, B.; Tsai, C.-J.; Sinha, N.; Nussinov, R. Folding and binding cascades: Dynamic landscapes and population shifts. *Protein Science* **2000**, *9*, 10–19.
- (8) Boehr, D. D.; Nussinov, R.; Wright, P. E. The role of dynamic conformational ensembles in biomolecular recognition. *Nature Chemical Biology* **2009**, *5*, 789–796.
- (9) Koshland, D. E. Application of a Theory of Enzyme Specificity to Protein Synthesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1958, 44, 98–104.
- (10) Csermely, P.; Palotai, R.; Nussinov, R. Induced fit, conformational selection and independent dynamic segments: an extended view of binding events. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **2010**, *35*, 539–546.
- (11) Dunker, A. K.; Cortese, M. S.; Romero, P.; Iakoucheva, L. M.; Uversky, V. N. Flexible nets: The roles of intrinsic disorder in protein interaction networks. *FEBS Journal* **2005**, *272*, 5129–5148.
- (12) Kim, P. M.; Sboner, A.; Xia, Y.; Gerstein, M. The role of disorder in interaction networks: A structural analysis. *Molecular Systems Biology* **2008**, *4*, 179.
- (13) Uversky, V. N. Unusual biophysics of intrinsically disordered proteins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Proteins* and Proteomics **2013**, 1834, 932–951.
- (14) Youle, R. J.; Strasser, A. The BCL-2 protein family: Opposing activities that mediate cell death. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **2008**, *9*, 47–59.
- (15) Kale, J.; Osterlund, E. J.; Andrews, D. W. BCL-2 family proteins: changing partners in the dance towards death. *Cell Death and Differentiation* **2018**, *25*, 65–80.
- (16) Hinds, M. G.; Smits, C.; Fredericks-Short, R.; Risk, J. M.; Bailey, M.; Huang, D. C. S.; Day, C. L. Bim, Bad and Bmf: intrinsically unstructured BH3-only proteins that undergo a localized conformational change upon binding to prosurvival Bcl-2 targets. *Cell Death* and Differentiation **2007**, 14, 128–136.
- (17) Dahal, L.; Kwan, T. O.; Hollins, J. J.; Clarke, J. Promiscuous and Selective: How Intrinsically Disordered BH3 Proteins Interact with Their Pro-survival Partner MCL-1. Journal of Molecular Biology 2018, 430, 2468–2477.
- (18) Adams, J. M.; Cory, S. The Bcl-2 apoptotic switch in cancer development and therapy. Oncogene 2007, 26, 1324–1337.
- (19) Czabotar, P. E.; Lessene, G.; Strasser, A.; Adams, J. M. Control of apoptosis by the BCL-2 protein family: Implications for physiology and therapy. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **2014**, *15*, 49–63.
- (20) Roufayel, R.; Younes, K.; Al-Sabi, A.; Murshid, N. BH3-Only Proteins Noxa and Puma Are Key Regulators of Induced Apoptosis. *Life* **2022**, 12.
- (21) Bolomsky, A.; Vogler, M.; Köse, M. C.; Heckman, C. A.; Ehx, G.; Ludwig, H.; Caers, J. MCL-1 inhibitors, fastlane development of a new class of anti-cancer agents. *Journal of Hematology and Oncology* **2020**, *13*, 1–19.
- (22) Thomas, L. W.; Lam, C.; Edwards, S. W. Mcl-1; the molecular regulation of protein function. *FEBS Letters* 2010, 584, 2981–2989.
- (23) Craig, R. W. MCL1 provides a window on the role of the BCL2 family in cell proliferation, differentiation and tumorigenesis. *Leukemia* **2002**, *16*, 444–454.
- (24) Fletcher, S. MCL-1 inhibitors where are we now (2019)? Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Patents **2019**,

29, 909–919.

- (25) Bonneaud, T. L.; Lefebvre, C. C.; Nocquet, L.; Basseville, A.; Roul, J.; Weber, H.; Campone, M.; Juin, P. P.; Souazé, F. Targeting of MCL-1 in breast cancer-associated fibroblasts reverses their myofibroblastic phenotype and pro-invasive properties. *Cell death & disease* 2022, 13, 787.
- (26) Czabotar, P. E.; Lee, E. F.; van Delft, M. F.; Day, C. L.; Smith, B. J.; Huang, D. C. S.; Fairlie, W. D.; Hinds, M. G.; Colman, P. M. Structural insights into the degradation of Mcl-1 induced by BH3 domains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **2007**, *104*, 6217–6222.
- (27) Day, C. L.; Smits, C.; Fan, F. C.; Lee, E. F.; Fairlie, W. D.; Hinds, M. G. Structure of the BH3 Domains from the p53-Inducible BH3-Only Proteins Noxa and Puma in Complex with Mcl-1. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **2008**, *380*, 958–971.
- (28) Miles, J. A.; Yeo, D. J.; Rowell, P.; Rodriguez-Marin, S.; Pask, C. M.; Warriner, S. L.; Edwards, T. A.; Wilson, A. J. Hydrocarbon constrained peptides – understanding preorganisation and binding affinity. *Chemical Science* **2016**, *7*, 3694–3702.
- (29) Rogers, J. M.; Steward, A.; Clarke, J. Folding and Binding of an Intrinsically Disordered Protein: Fast, but Not 'Diffusion-Limited'. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2013, 135, 1415–1422.
- (30) Rogers, J. M.; Wong, C. T.; Clarke, J. Coupled Folding and Binding of the Disordered Protein PUMA Does Not Require Particular Residual Structure. *Journal of* the American Chemical Society **2014**, 136, 5197–5200.
- (31) Rogers, J. M.; Oleinikovas, V.; Shammas, S. L.; Wong, C. T.; De Sancho, D.; Baker, C. M.; Clarke, J. Interplay between partner and ligand facilitates the folding and binding of an intrinsically disordered protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the* United States of America **2014**, 111, 15420–15425.
- (32) Heckmeier, P. J.; Ruf, J.; Buhrke, D.; Janković, B. G.; Hamm, P. Signal Propagation Within the MCL-1/BIM Protein Complex. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **2022**, 434, 167499.
- (33) Mei, Y.; Du, W.; Yang, Y.; Wu, M. Puma*Mcl-1 interaction is not sufficient to prevent rapid degradation of Mcl-1. Oncogene 2005, 24, 7224–7237.
- (34) Willis, S. N.; Chen, L.; Dewson, G.; Wei, A.; Naik, E.; Fletcher, J. I.; Adams, J. M.; Huang, D. C. Proapoptotic Bak is sequestered by Mcl-1 and Bcl-xL, but not Bcl-2, until displaced by BH3-only proteins. *Genes and Development* **2005**, *19*, 1294–1305.
- (35) Sora, V.; Papaleo, E. Structural Details of BH3 Motifs and BH3-Mediated Interactions: an Updated Perspective. Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences 2022, 9, 1–20.
- (36) Kumar, S.; Ma, B.; Tsai, C. J.; Wolfson, H.; Nussinov, R. Folding funnels and conformational transitions via hinge-bending motions. *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics* **1999**, *31*, 141–164.
- (37) Tsai, C. J.; Ma, B.; Nussinov, R. Folding and binding cascades: Shifts in energy landscapes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1999, 96, 9970–9972.
- (38) Aviram, H. Y.; Pirchi, M.; Mazal, H.; Barak, Y.; Riven, I.; Haran, G. Direct observation of ultrafast largescale dynamics of an enzyme under turnover conditions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*

United States of America 2018, 115, 3243-3248.

- (39) Borgia, A.; Borgia, M. B.; Bugge, K.; Kissling, V. M.; Heidarsson, P. O.; Fernandes, C. B.; Sottini, A.; Soranno, A.; Buholzer, K. J.; Nettels, D.; Kragelund, B. B.; Best, R. B.; Schuler, B. Extreme disorder in an ultrahigh-affinity protein complex. *Nature* **2018**, 555, 61–66.
- (40) Kovermann, M.; Grundström, C.; Elisabeth Sauer-Eriksson, A.; Sauer, U. H.; Wolf-Watz, M. Structural basis for ligand binding to an enzyme by a conformational selection pathway. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America 2017, 114, 6298–6303.
- (41) Lange, O. F.; Lakomek, N. A.; Farès, C.; Schröder, G. F.; Walter, K. F.; Becker, S.; Meiler, J.; Grubmüller, H.; Griesinger, C.; De Groot, B. L. Recognition dynamics up to microseconds revealed from an RDC-derived ubiquitin ensemble in solution. *Science* **2008**, *320*, 1471–1475.
- (42) Buchli, B.; Waldauer, S. A.; Walser, R.; Donten, M. L.; Pfister, R.; Blochliger, N.; Steiner, S.; Caflisch, A.; Zerbe, O.; Hamm, P. Kinetic response of a photoperturbed allosteric protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2013, 110, 11725–11730.
- (43) Jankovic, B.; Ruf, J.; Zanobini, C.; Bozovic, O.; Buhrke, D.; Hamm, P. Sequence of Events during Peptide Unbinding from RNase S: A Complete Experimental Description. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* 2021, 12, 5201–5207.
- (44) Barth, A. Infrared spectroscopy of proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics 2007, 1767, 1073– 1101.
- (45) Lórenz-Fonfría, V. A. Infrared Difference Spectroscopy of Proteins: From Bands to Bonds. *Chemical Reviews* 2020, 120, 3466–3576.
- (46) Gerwert, K.; Souvignier, G.; Hess, B. Simultaneous monitoring of light-induced changes in protein side-group protonation, chromophore isomerization, and backbone motion of bacteriorhodopsin by time-resolved Fouriertransform infrared spectroscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 1990, 87, 9774–9778.
- (47) Buhrke, D.; Oppelt, K. T.; Heckmeier, P. J.; Fernández-Terán, R.; Hamm, P. Nanosecond protein dynamics in a red/green cyanobacteriochrome revealed by transient IR spectroscopy. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2020**, *153*, 245101–1–245101–12.
- (48) Buhrke, D.; Michael, N.; Hamm, P. Vibrational couplings between protein and cofactor in bacterial phytochrome Agp1 revealed by 2D-IR spectroscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2022**, *119*, e2206400119.
- (49) Lórenz-Fonfría, V. A.; Resler, T.; Krause, N.; Nack, M.; Gossing, M.; Von Mollard, G. F.; Bamann, C.; Bamberg, E.; Schlesinger, R.; Heberle, J. Transient protonation changes in channelrhodopsin-2 and their relevance to channel gating. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America **2013**, 110, E1273–E1281.
- (50) Laptenok, S. P.; Gil, A. A.; Hall, C. R.; Lukacs, A.; Iuliano, J. N.; Jones, G. A.; Greetham, G. M.; Donaldson, P.; Miyawaki, A.; Tonge, P. J.; Meech, S. R. Infrared spectroscopy reveals multi-step multi-timescale photoactivation in the photoconvertible protein archetype

dronpa. Nature Chemistry 2018, 10, 845–852.

- (51) Kennis, J. T.; Groot, M. L. Ultrafast spectroscopy of biological photoreceptors. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **2007**, *17*, 623–630.
- (52) Kottke, T.; Lórenz-Fonfría, V. A.; Heberle, J. The Grateful Infrared: Sequential Protein Structural Changes Resolved by Infrared Difference Spectroscopy. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 2017, 121, 335–350.
- (53) Kumita, J. R.; Smart, O. S.; Woolley, G. A. Photocontrol of helix content in a short peptide. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **2000**, 97, 3803–3808.
- (54) Ihalainen, J. A.; Bredenbeck, J.; Pfister, R.; Helbing, J.; Chi, L.; Van Stokkum, I. H.; Woolley, G. A.; Hamm, P. Folding and unfolding of a photoswitchable peptide from picoseconds to microseconds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2007, 104, 5383–5388.
- (55) Wachtveitl, J.; Spörlein, S.; Satzger, H.; Fonrobert, B.; Renner, C.; Behrendt, R.; Oesterhelt, D.; Moroder, L.; Zinth, W. Ultrafast Conformational Dynamics in Cyclic Azobenzene Peptides of Increased Flexibility. *Biophysi*cal Journal **2004**, 86, P2350–P2362.
- (56) Rampp, M. S.; Hofmann, S. M.; Podewin, T.; Hoffmann-Röder, A.; Moroder, L.; Zinth, W. Time-resolved infrared studies of the unfolding of a light triggered βhairpin peptide. *Chemical Physics* **2018**, *512*, 116–121.
- (57) Bozovic, O.; Zanobini, C.; Gulzar, A.; Jankovic, B.; Buhrke, D.; Post, M.; Wolf, S.; Stock, G.; Hamm, P. Real-time observation of ligand-induced allosteric transitions in a PDZ domain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2020, 117, 26031–26039.
- (58) London, N.; Gullá, S.; Keating, A. E.; Schueler-Furman, O. In Silico and in Vitro Elucidation of BH3 Binding Specificity toward Bcl-2. Biochemistry 2012, 51, 5841–5850.
- (59) Zhang, Z.; Burns, D. C.; Kumita, J. R.; Smart, O. S.; Woolley, G. A. A Water-Soluble Azobenzene Cross-Linker for Photocontrol of Peptide Conformation. *Bio*conjugate Chemistry **2003**, 14, 824–829.
- (60) Barth, A.; Zscherp, C. What vibrations tell us about proteins. Q. Rev. Biophys. 2002, 35, 369–430.
- (61) Hobson, M. P.; Lasenby, A. N. The entropic prior for distributions with positive and negative values. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **1998**, 298, 905–908.
- (62) Kumar, A. T. N.; Zhu, L.; Christian, J. F.; Demidov, A. A.; Champion, P. M. On the Rate Distribution Analysis of Kinetic Data Using the Maximum Entropy Method: Applications to Myoglobin Relaxation on the Nanosecond and Femtosecond Timescales. *The Journal* of Physical Chemistry B 2001, 105, 7847–7856.
- (63) Lórenz-Fonfría, V. A.; Kandori, H. Transformation of Time-Resolved Spectra to Lifetime-Resolved Spectra by Maximum Entropy Inversion of the Laplace Transform. *Applied Spectroscopy* **2006**, *60*, 407–417.
- (64) Van Stokkum, I. H.; Larsen, D. S.; Van Grondelle, R. Global and target analysis of time-resolved spectra. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics* 2004, 1657, 82–104.
- (65) Huang, C. Y.; Getahun, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Klemke, J. W.; Degrado, W. F.; Gai, F. Helix formation via conformation diffusion search. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences of the United States of America **2002**, 99, 2788–2793.

- (66) Grantham, R. Amino Acid Difference Formula to Help Explain Protein Evolution. Science 1974, 185, 862–864.
- (67) Kyte, J.; Doolittle, R. F. A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **1982**, *157*, 105–132.
- (68) Chebaro, Y.; Ballard, A. J.; Chakraborty, D.; Wales, D. J. Intrinsically disordered energy landscapes. *Scientific Reports* **2015**, *5*, 1–12.
- (69) Chu, W. T.; Clarke, J.; Shammas, S. L.; Wang, J. Role of non-native electrostatic interactions in the coupled folding and binding of PUMA with Mcl-1. *PLoS Computational Biology* **2017**, 13, 1–20.
- (70) Stewart, M. L.; Fire, E.; Keating, A. E.; Walensky, L. D. The MCL-1 BH3 helix is an exclusive MCL-1 inhibitor and apoptosis sensitizer. *Nature Chemical Biology* **2010**, *6*, 595–601.
- (71) Pang, X.; Zhang, J.; Lopez, H.; Wang, Y.; Li, W.; O'Neill, K. L.; Evans, J. J.; George, N. M.; Long, J.; Chen, Y.; Luo, X. The carboxyl-terminal tail of Noxa protein regulates the stability of Noxa and Mcl-1. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2014**, 289, 17802–17811.
- (72) Murphy, K. P.; Freire, E. In *Thermodynamics of Structural Stability and Cooperative Folding Behavior in Proteins*; Anfinsen, C., Richards, F. M., Edsall, J. T., Eisenberg, D. S., Eds.; Advances in Protein Chemistry; Academic Press, **1992**; Vol. 43; pp 313–361.
- Matthews, J. M. In *Encyclopedia of Biophysics*; Roberts, G. C. K., Ed.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, **2013**; pp 1886–1888.
- (74) Pace, C. N.; Shirley, B. A.; McNutt, M.; Gajiwala, K. Forces contributing to the conformational stability of proteins. *Faseb J.* **1996**, *10*, 75–83.
- (75) Guerois, R.; Nielsen, J. E.; Serrano, L. Predicting changes in the stability of proteins and protein complexes: A study of more than 1000 mutations. *Journal* of Molecular Biology **2002**, 320, 369–387.
- (76) Majewski, M.; Ruiz-Carmona, S.; Barril, X. An investigation of structural stability in protein-ligand complexes reveals the balance between order and disorder. *Communications Chemistry* **2019**, *2*.
- (77) Smits, C.; Czabotar, P. E.; Hinds, M. G.; Day, C. L. Structural Plasticity Underpins Promiscuous Binding of

the Prosurvival Protein A1. *Structure* **2008**, *16*, 818–829.

- (78) Tapley, T. L.; Körnera, J. L.; Barge, M. T.; Hupfeld, J.; Schauerte, J. A.; Gafni, A.; Jakob, U.; Bardwell, J. C. Structural plasticity of an acid-activated chaperone allows promiscuous substrate binding. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2009, 106, 5557–5562.
- (79) Ashcroft, A. E.; Brinker, A.; Coyle, J. E.; Weber, F.; Kaiser, M.; Moroder, L.; Parsons, M. R.; Jager, J.; Hartl, U. F.; Hayer-Hartl, M.; Radford, S. E. Structural plasticity and noncovalent substrate binding in the GroEL apical domain. A study using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry and fluorescence binding studies. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2002, 277, 33115– 33126.
- (80) Plattner, N.; Noé, F. Protein conformational plasticity and complex ligand-binding kinetics explored by atomistic simulations and Markov models. *Nature Communications* **2015**, 6.
- (81) Huse, M.; Kuriyan, J. The conformational plasticity of protein kinases. *Cell* **2002**, *109*, 275–282.
- (82) Jankovic, B.; Gulzar, A.; Zanobini, C.; Bozovic, O.; Wolf, S.; Stock, G.; Hamm, P. Photocontrolling Protein–Peptide Interactions: From Minimal Perturbation to Complete Unbinding. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2019**, *141*, 10702–10710.
- (83) Liu, G.; Poppe, L.; Aoki, K.; Yamane, H.; Lewis, J.; Szyperski, T. High-Quality NMR Structure of Human Anti-Apoptotic Protein Domain Mcl-1(171-327) for Cancer Drug Design. *PLOS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e96521.
- (84) Bredenbeck, J.; Helbing, J.; Hamm, P. Continuous scanning from picoseconds to microseconds in time resolved linear and nonlinear spectroscopy. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 2004, 75, 4462–4466.
- (85) Hamm, P.; Kaindl, R. A.; Stenger, J. Noise suppression in femtosecond mid-infrared light sources. *Opt. Lett.* 2000, 25, 1798–1800.
- (86) Gasteiger, E.; Hoogland, C.; Gattiker, A.; Duvaud, S.; Wilkins, M. R.; Appel, R. D.; Bairoch, A. In *The Proteomics Protocols Handbook*; Walker, J. M., Ed.; Humana Press: Totowa, NJ, **2005**; pp 571–607.