

# singR: An R package for Simultaneous non-Gaussian Component Analysis for data integration

by Liangkang Wang, Irina Gaynanova, and Benjamin Risk

**Abstract** This paper introduces an R package that implements Simultaneous non-Gaussian Component Analysis for data integration. SING uses a non-Gaussian measure of information to extract feature loadings and scores (latent variables) that are shared across multiple datasets. We describe and implement functions through two examples. The first example is a toy example working with images. The second example is a simulated study integrating functional connectivity estimates from a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging dataset and task activation maps from a working memory functional magnetic resonance imaging dataset. The SING model can produce joint components that accurately reflect information shared by multiple datasets, particularly for datasets with non-Gaussian features such as neuroimaging.

## Introduction

Combining information across different data sets collected on the same participants can improve our understanding of biology and diseases. In neuroimaging, combining information across different modalities, or types of imaging data, can lead to a more comprehensive picture of human brain activity. Commonly used modalities to investigate brain function include functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), resting-state fMRI (rs-fMRI), diffusion MRI, structural images, electroencephalography, and positron emission tomography. Combining data from multiple modalities can result in a better understanding of the underlying biology than analyzing each modality separately (Calhoun and Sui, 2016). In previous studies, researchers use data fusion to define a set of joint components that are composed of subject scores (a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $n$  is the number of subjects) and loadings (a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{p_k}$ , where  $p_k$  is the number of variables in the  $k$ th dataset). For a particular component, the subject scores are equal or strongly correlated across datasets. The loadings represent the relative importance of a variable to each component. A higher subject score implies the vector of loadings is more important in that individual.

Data integration approaches for neuroimaging should accommodate the distinct statistical properties of imaging data. Imaging features characterizing brain activation and intrinsic functional connectivity are substantially more non-Gaussian compared to noise. Methods employing principal component analysis (PCA) for dimension reduction and independent component analysis (ICA) have been widely used in neuroscience (Calhoun and Sui, 2016; Sui et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2016). ICA maximizes the non-Gaussianity of components, which is useful for extracting interesting features from imaging data. ICA is commonly used to estimate resting-state networks (Beckmann et al., 2005), estimate task-activated components (Sui et al., 2010), and has been used to derive network structure in resting-state correlation matrices (Amico et al., 2017). Risk and Gaynanova (2021) proposed simultaneous non-Gaussian component analysis (SING) for analyzing two datasets, which uses an objective function that maximizes the skewness and kurtosis of latent components with a penalty to enhance the similarity between subject scores. Unlike previous methods, SING does not use PCA for dimension reduction, but rather uses non-Gaussianity, which can improve feature extraction.

Some useful software have been developed in this area. In multimodal analysis and multitask fMRI data fusion, FIT is a Matlab toolbox that implements jointICA, parallel ICA (Vergara et al., 2014), and multimodal/multiset CCA (Sui et al., 2011). GIFT provides functions for conducting group ICA on fMRI data from multiple subjects from a single modality (Calhoun et al., 2009, 2006a,b). On the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN), there are several R packages for ICA functions, including steadyICA (Risk et al., 2015), ica (Helwig, 2018), fastICA (Marchini et al., 2021), JADE (Miettinen et al., 2017), and templateICAr (Mejia et al., 2020). These R packages use different algorithms to extract non-Gaussian features but are designed for decomposing a single modality. For data integration, rjive (O'Connell and Lock, 2020) and ajive (Carmichael, 2022; Feng et al., 2018) capture the joint variation, or variance shared between datasets, and individual variation, or variance unique to a dataset. JIVE methods use singular value decompositions, which are related to maximizing variance instead of non-Gaussianity. In this way, there exists a need for freely available software for extracting joint structure from multiple datasets using non-Gaussian measures of information.

This paper develops **singR**, an R package to implement Risk and Gaynanova (2021). Similar to **rjive**, the input data to SING are  $n \times p_k$  data matrices,  $k = 1, 2$ , where each row is a vector of features

from a subject. Unlike existing software, **singR** uses non-Gaussianity for both dimension reduction and feature extraction.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section [2], we review the Simultaneous non-Gaussian component analysis (SING) model. In Sections [3] and [4], we present the main functions in **singR** package and show how to utilize it for joint components estimation in two example datasets. Finally, Section [5] summarizes our conclusions.

## Methods

### Linear non-Gaussian Component Analysis

*Matrix decomposition for one dataset.* Based on linear non-Gaussian component analysis (LNGCA), we first summarize a matrix decomposition for a single dataset  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p_x}$  ( $n$  subjects and  $p_x$  features) into a non-Gaussian subspace and a Gaussian subspace. Each row of  $X$  is a vector of features from the  $i$ th subject. Let  $X_c$  denote the double-centered data matrix such that  $1^T X_c = 0^T$  and  $X_c 1 = 0$  where  $1$  denotes the vector of ones of appropriate dimension, which has rank  $n - 1$  when  $p_x > n$ . Let  $I_{r_x}$  denote the  $r_x \times r_x$  identity matrix. Then define the matrix decomposition

$$X_c = M_x S_x + M_{N_x} N_x \quad (1)$$

where:

1.  $M_x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r_x}$  and  $M_{N_x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-r_x-1)}$ . The columns of  $M_x$  are called subject scores, and the matrix  $[M_x, M_{N_x}]$  is called the mixing matrix and has rank  $n - 1$ .
2.  $S_x \in \mathbb{R}^{r_x \times p_x}$  and  $N_x \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r_x-1) \times p_x}$ .  $S_x S_x^T = p_x I_{r_x}$ ,  $N_x S_x^T = 0_{(n-r_x-1) \times r_x}$ . The rows of  $S_x$  are the non-Gaussian components, and elements of  $S_x$  are called variable loadings because  $X_c S_x^T = M_x$ . The rows of  $N_x$  are the Gaussian components.
3. The rows of  $S_x$  have the largest non-Gaussianity, as described below.

This decomposition may be meaningful in neuroimaging studies because: 1) vectorized components like brain activation maps and resting-state networks have highly non-Gaussian distributions, and 2) the decomposition is useful when  $p_x \gg n$ , e.g., the number subjects is smaller than the number of voxels or edges.

To achieve the matrix decomposition in (1), we need to find a separating matrix  $A_x$  that maximizes non-Gaussianity and satisfies the constraint  $A_x X_c X_c^T A_x^T = S_x S_x^T = p_x I_{r_x}$ . We utilize a prewhitening matrix and then reparameterize the model with a semiorthogonal separating matrix to enforce this constraint. Let  $\hat{\Sigma}_x = X_c X_c^T / p_x$ . Then define the eigenvalue decomposition  $\hat{\Sigma}_x = V_x \Lambda_x V_x^T$  and the prewhitening matrix  $\hat{L}_x = V_x \Lambda_x^{-1/2} V_x^T$ . (For double-centered  $X_c$ , this is understood to be the square root of the generalized inverse from the non-zero eigenvalues.) Note  $A_x = U_x \hat{L}_x$ , and it follows that  $\hat{M}_x = \hat{L}_x^{-1} U_x^T$ , with  $\hat{L}_x^{-1}$  denoting the generalized inverse. Let  $f(\cdot)$  be a measure of non-Gaussianity. Then (1) is estimated using

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{U_x}{\text{minimize}} - \sum_{l=1}^{r_x} f\left(u_{xl}^T \hat{L}_x X_c\right), \\ & \text{subject to } U_x U_x^T = I_{r_x}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $u_{xl}^T$  is the  $l$ th row of the  $r_x \times n$  matrix  $U_x$ .

We measure non-Gaussianity with the **Jarque-Bera (JB) statistic**, a combination of squared skewness and kurtosis. For a vector  $s \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , the JB statistic is

$$f(s) = 0.8 \left( \frac{1}{p} \sum_j s_j^3 \right)^2 + 0.2 \left( \frac{1}{p} \sum_j s_j^4 - 3 \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

### Simultaneous non-Gaussian component analysis model

*Matrix decomposition for two datasets.* We now decompose  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p_x}$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p_y}$  into a joint non-Gaussian subspace defined by shared subject score directions, individual non-Gaussian subspaces, and Gaussian subspaces. Let  $r_j$  denote the rank of the joint non-Gaussian subspace and  $r_x$ ,  $r_y$  denote the rank of the non-Gaussian subspaces for  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Define the double-centered  $X_c$  and

$Y_c$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{1}^\top X_c = 0$  and  $X_c \mathbf{1} = 0$ . In data applications, we also recommend standardizing each feature to have unit variance, as common in PCA. The double centering with standardization requires an iterative algorithm that standardizes each feature (mean 0 variance 1 across subjects), then centers the features for a given subject (the mean of the features for a subject is 0), and repeats (typically  $< 10$  iterations on real data suffices). The function `standard` is described in Section [3].

The SING matrix decomposition is

$$\begin{aligned} X_c &= M_J D_x S_{J_x} + M_{I_x} S_{I_x} + M_{N_x} N_x, \\ Y_c &= M_J D_y S_{J_y} + M_{I_y} S_{I_y} + M_{N_y} N_y, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where:

1.  $M_J \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r_J}$ ,  $M_{I_x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (r_x - r_J)}$ ,  $M_{I_y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (r_y - r_J)}$ ,  $M_{N_x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n - r_x - 1)}$ , and  $M_{N_y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n - r_y - 1)}$ .
2.  $D_x$  and  $D_y$  are diagonal and allow the size of  $M_J$  to vary between datasets.
3.  $S_{J_x}$  are the joint non-Gaussian components,  $S_{I_x}$  are the individual non-Gaussian components, and  $N_x$  are the individual Gaussian components, respectively, for  $X$ , with constraints  $S_{J_x} S_{J_x}^\top = p_x I_{r_J}$ ,  $S_{I_x} S_{I_x}^\top = p_x I_{r_x - r_J}$ ,  $S_{J_x} S_{I_x}^\top = 0_{r_J \times (r_x - r_J)}$ ,  $N_x S_{J_x}^\top = 0_{(n - r_x - 1) \times r_J}$ ,  $N_x S_{I_x}^\top = 0_{(n - r_x - 1) \times (r_x - r_J)}$ , and similarly define the components of  $Y$ .

*Simultaneous non-Gaussian Component Analysis fitting and algorithm.* Recall the whitening matrix for  $X_c$  is  $\hat{L}_x$ , and define its generalized inverse  $\hat{L}_x^- = (X_c X_c^\top / p_x)^{1/2} = V_x \Lambda_x^{1/2} V_x^\top$ . We will estimate a semiorthogonal unmixing matrix  $\hat{U}_x$  such that  $\hat{M}_x = \hat{L}_x^- \hat{U}_x^\top$ . Similarly define the whitening matrix  $\hat{L}_y$  and  $\hat{M}_y$  for  $Y_c$ . Let  $f$  be the JB statistic, as defined in (3). We consider

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize}_{U_x, U_y} & - \sum_{l=1}^{r_x} f(u_{xl}^\top \hat{L}_x X_c) - \sum_{l=1}^{r_y} f(u_{yl}^\top \hat{L}_y Y_c) + \rho \sum_{l=1}^{r_J} d(\hat{L}_x^- u_{xl}, \hat{L}_y^- u_{yl}) \\ \text{subject to} & U_x U_x^\top = I_{r_x}, U_y U_y^\top = I_{r_y}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $d(x, y)$  is the chosen distance metric between vectors  $x$  and  $y$ , calculated using the chordal distance:  $d(x, y) = \left\| \frac{xx^\top}{\|x\|_2^2} - \frac{yy^\top}{\|y\|_2^2} \right\|_F^2$ . When columns are mean zero, the chordal distance between joint scores in the SING objective function is equal to zero when their correlation is equal to one. Larger values of the tuning parameter  $\rho$  result in common  $M_J$ , but smaller values result in highly correlated joint structure and could also be considered. In our examples, we match components from the separate LNGCA, determine candidate joint components from a permutation test, and then set  $\rho$  equal to the sum of the JB statistics of all candidate joint loadings divided by 10, which results in  $\hat{L}_x^- u_{xl} \approx \hat{L}_y^- u_{yl}$ , i.e., a shared  $M_J$ .

Let  $\hat{U}_x$  and  $\hat{U}_y$  be the estimated value of  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  in (5). The corresponding estimated non-Gaussian components are defined as  $\hat{S}_x = \hat{U}_x X_w$  and  $\hat{S}_y = \hat{U}_y Y_w$ , where  $X_w = \hat{L}_x X_c$ , and  $Y_w = \hat{L}_y Y_c$ , respectively. Then the first  $r_J$  columns of  $\hat{M}_x = \hat{L}_x^- \hat{U}_x^\top$ , scaled to unit norm, define  $\hat{M}_{J_x}$ . We can similarly define  $\hat{M}_{J_y}$ . For sufficiently large  $\rho$ ,  $\hat{M}_{J_x} = \hat{M}_{J_y} = \hat{M}_J$ , and more generally,  $\hat{M}_J$  is defined from their average. Additionally, the first  $r_J$  rows of  $\hat{S}_x$  correspond to  $\hat{S}_{J_x}$ .

## Overview of R package `singR`

The R package `singR` implements simultaneous non-Gaussian component analysis for data integration in neuroimaging. Highlighted below are key functions:

**ingca:** This function estimates non-Gaussian components for a single dataset. Non-Gaussian components can be estimated using the Jarque-Bera test statistic, which is the non-Gaussian measure used in SING, or using likelihood component analysis, which achieves dimension reduction while estimating the densities of non-Gaussian components (Risk et al., 2019). It returns  $\hat{U}_x$  and  $\hat{S}_x$  from decomposing  $X_c$  through (2). It also returns the non-gaussianity of each estimated component.

**standard:** This function is an iterative algorithm that standardizes each feature (mean 0 variance 1 across subjects), then centers the features for a given subject (the mean of the features for a subject is 0) for the original datasets ( $\mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ ), and repeats until the variance is approximately equal to 1 (typically  $< 10$  iterations on real data suffices).

**est.M.ols:** This function returns  $\hat{M}_x$  with input  $\hat{S}_x$  and  $X_c$  with the formula  $\hat{M}_x = X_c \hat{S}_x^\top$ .

**greedymatch:** This function reorders the columns in  $\hat{U}_x$  to match the columns (subject scores) in

$\hat{U}_y$  based on the chordal distances between corresponding  $\hat{M}_x$  and  $\hat{M}_y$ .

**permTestJointRank:** This function tests whether the correlation between matched columns (subject scores) is significant and returns the family wise error rate corrected p-values.

**%^%:** Calculates the matrix exponential. For example,  $A\%^{\%}0.5$  returns a matrix square root. Used during prewhitening.

**calculateJB:** This function calculates the sum of the JB statistics across components and is useful for determining the size of the penalty parameter  $\rho$  (sufficiently large  $\rho$  results in the chordal distance between  $M_{Jx}$  and  $M_{Jy}$  equal to 0). Assumes the variance of each row of the input S is equal to 1 and mean of each row is equal to 0.

**curvilinear:** This function gives the final estimates of  $\hat{U}_x$  and  $\hat{U}_y$  using the curvilinear algorithm derived from (5). This is a pure R implementation but is slow.

**curvilinear\_c:** This implements the curvilinear algorithm in C++, which is faster.

**NG\_number:** This is a wrapper function for FOBIasympt from **ICtest** (Nordhausen et al., 2022) that can be used to estimate the number of non-Gaussian components in a single dataset.

**signchange:** This function makes the skewness of each row of  $\hat{S}_x$  positive, which is useful for visualizing non-Gaussian component loadings.

**singR:** This function integrates all the functions above. We can use this function to estimate joint scores and loadings from two datasets X and Y and optionally return the individual scores and loadings.

## Application

To illustrate the use of **singR**, we provide two examples.

### Example 1. The toy datasets decomposition


The tutorial dataset `exempladata` are included in the **singR** package. We generate the SING model in (4) as follows. We generate joint subject scores  $M_J = [m_{J1}, m_{J2}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2}$  with  $m_{J1} \sim N(\mu_1, I_n), m_{J2} \sim N(\mu_2, I_n), \mu_1 = (1_{24}^\top, -1_{24}^\top)^\top$  and  $\mu_2 = (-1_{24}^\top, 1_{24}^\top)^\top$ . We set  $D_x = I$  and  $D_y = \text{diag}(-5, 2)$  to have differences in both sign and scale between the two datasets. We generate  $M_{Jx}$  and  $M_{Jy}$  similar to  $M_J$  using iid unit variance Gaussian entries with means equal to  $\mu_{3y} = (-1_6^\top, 1_6^\top, -1_6^\top, 1_6^\top, -1_6^\top, 1_6^\top - 1_6^\top, -1_6^\top)^\top, \mu_{4y} = (1_{24}^\top, -1_{24}^\top)^\top, \mu_{3x} = (-1_{12}^\top, 1_{12}^\top, -1_{12}^\top, 1_{12}^\top)^\top, \mu_{4x} = (1_{12}^\top, -1_{12}^\top, 1_{12}^\top, -1_{12}^\top)^\top$ . These means result in various degrees of correlation between the columns of the mixing matrices. For the Gaussian noise, we generate  $M_{Nx}, M_{Ny}, N_x$  and  $N_y$  using iid standard Gaussian mean zero entries.

Each row of  $S_{Jx}$  and  $S_{Jy}$  is a vectorized image. We can reshape the loadings back to their image dimensions for visualization. The loadings  $S_{Jx}$  are inspired by activation patterns found in functional MRI, and similar simulations were considered in (Risk et al., 2019). The rows of  $S_{Jy}$  and  $S_{Iy}$  are formed from the lower diagonal of a symmetric matrix, which are inspired by ICA of correlation matrices (Amico et al., 2017), and we can visualize the loadings by reshaping the vectors back to the symmetric matrix. The true loadings of latent non-Gaussian components are plotted in figure 1.

```
library(singR)
data(exempladata)
data <- exempladata

lgrid = 33
par(mfrow = c(2, 4))
# Components for X
image(matrix(data$sjX[1, ], lgrid, lgrid), col = heat.colors(12), xaxt = "n",
        yaxt = "n", main = expression("True S"[ "Jx" ] * ", 1"))
image(matrix(data$sjX[2, ], lgrid, lgrid), col = heat.colors(12), xaxt = "n",
        yaxt = "n", main = expression("True S"[ "Jx" ] * ", 2"))
image(matrix(data$siX[1, ], lgrid, lgrid), col = heat.colors(12), xaxt = "n",
        yaxt = "n", main = expression("True S"[ "Ix" ] * ", 1"))
image(matrix(data$siX[2, ], lgrid, lgrid), col = heat.colors(12), xaxt = "n",
        yaxt = "n", main = expression("True S"[ "Ix" ] * ", 2"))

# Components for Y
image(vec2net(data$sjY[1, ]), col = heat.colors(12), xaxt = "n", yaxt = "n",
```



**Figure 1:** True loadings in example 1.

```

main = expression("True S[\"Jy\"] * \", 1)")
image(vec2net(data$sjY[2, ]), col = heat.colors(12), xaxt = "n", yaxt = "n",
      main = expression("True S[\"Jy\"] * \", 2)")
image(vec2net(data$siY[1, ]), col = heat.colors(12), xaxt = "n", yaxt = "n",
      main = expression("True S[\"Iy\"] * \", 1)")
image(vec2net(data$siY[2, ]), col = heat.colors(12), xaxt = "n", yaxt = "n",
      main = expression("True S[\"Iy\"] * \", 2)")

```

#### Function `singR` performs all steps in the SING pipeline as a single function

We first illustrate the use of the wrapper function `singR` using the default settings. We will describe optional arguments in more detail in example 2.

```
example1 = singR(dX = data$dX, dY = data$dY, individual = T)
```

#### Details of the SING pipeline

We next explain each of the steps involved in SING estimation. Using these individual functions in place of the high-level `singR` function allows additional fine-tuning and can be helpful for large datasets.

Estimate the number of non-Gaussian components in datasets `dX` and `dY` using `FOBIasympt` from `ICtest`:

```

n.comp.X = NG_number(data$dX)
n.comp.Y = NG_number(data$dY)

```

Apply `lngca` separately to each dataset using the JB statistic as the measure of non-Gaussianity:

```

# JB on X
estX_JB = lngca(xData = data$dX, n.comp = n.comp.X, whiten = "sqrtprec",
               restarts.pbyd = 20, distribution = "JB")
Uxfull <- estX_JB$U
Mx_JB = est.M.ols(sData = estX_JB$S, xData = data$dX)

# JB on Y
estY_JB = lngca(xData = data$dY, n.comp = n.comp.Y, whiten = "sqrtprec",
               restarts.pbyd = 20, distribution = "JB")
Uyfull <- estY_JB$U
My_JB = est.M.ols(sData = estY_JB$S, xData = data$dY)

```

Use `greedymatch` to reorder  $\hat{U}_x$  and  $\hat{U}_y$  by descending matched correlations and use `permTestJointRank` to estimate the number of joint components:

```

matchMxMy = greedymatch(scale(Mx_JB, scale = F), scale(My_JB, scale = F),
  Ux = Uxfull, Uy = Uyfull)
permJoint <- permTestJointRank(matchMxMy$Mx, matchMxMy$My)
joint_rank = permJoint$Rj

```

For preparing input to `curvilinear_c`, manually prewhiten  $dX$  and  $dY$  to get  $\hat{L}_x^{-1}$  and  $\hat{L}_y^{-1}$ :

```

# Center X and Y
dX = data$dX
dY = data$dY
n = nrow(dX)
pX = ncol(dX)
pY = ncol(dY)
dXcentered <- dX - matrix(rowMeans(dX), n, pX, byrow = F)
dYcentered <- dY - matrix(rowMeans(dY), n, pY, byrow = F)

# For X Scale rowwise
est.sigmaXA = tcrossprod(dXcentered)/(pX - 1)
whitenerXA = est.sigmaXA %^% (-0.5)
xDataA = whitenerXA %*% dXcentered
invLx = est.sigmaXA %^% (0.5)

# For Y Scale rowwise
est.sigmaYA = tcrossprod(dYcentered)/(pY - 1)
whitenerYA = est.sigmaYA %^% (-0.5)
yDataA = whitenerYA %*% dYcentered
invLy = est.sigmaYA %^% (0.5)

```

Obtain a reasonable value for the penalty  $\rho$  by calculating the JB statistics for all the joint components:

```

# Calculate the Sx and Sy.
Sx = matchMxMy$Ux[1:joint_rank, ] %*% xDataA
Sy = matchMxMy$Uy[1:joint_rank, ] %*% yDataA

JBall = calculateJB(Sx) + calculateJB(Sy)

# Penalty used in curvilinear algorithm:
rho = JBall/10

```

Estimate  $\hat{U}_x$  and  $\hat{U}_y$  with `curvilinear_c`:

```

# alpha=0.8 corresponds to JB weighting of skewness and kurtosis (can
# customize to use different weighting):
alpha = 0.8
# tolerance:
tol = 1e-10

out <- curvilinear_c(invLx = invLx, invLy = invLy, xData = xDataA, yData = yDataA,
  Ux = matchMxMy$Ux, Uy = matchMxMy$Uy, rho = rho, tol = tol, alpha = alpha,
  maxiter = 1500, rj = joint_rank)

```

Obtain the final result:

```

# Estimate Sx and Sy and true S matrix
Sjx = out$Ux[1:joint_rank, ] %*% xDataA
Six = out$Ux[(joint_rank + 1):n.comp.X, ] %*% xDataA
Sjy = out$Uy[1:joint_rank, ] %*% yDataA
Siy = out$Uy[(joint_rank + 1):n.comp.Y, ] %*% yDataA

# Estimate Mj and true Mj
Mxjoint = tcrossprod(invLx, out$Ux[1:joint_rank, ])
Mxindiv = tcrossprod(invLx, out$Ux[(joint_rank + 1):n.comp.X, ])
Myjoint = tcrossprod(invLy, out$Uy[1:joint_rank, ])
Myindiv = tcrossprod(invLy, out$Uy[(joint_rank + 1):n.comp.Y, ])

```




Figure 2: Estimated joint loadings in example 1.

```
# signchange to keep all the S and M skewness positive
Sjx_sign = signchange(Sjx, Mxjoint)
Sjy_sign = signchange(Sjy, Myjoint)
Six_sign = signchange(Six, Mxindiv)
Siy_sign = signchange(Siy, Myindiv)

Sjx = Sjx_sign$S
Sjy = Sjy_sign$S
Six = Six_sign$S
Siy = Siy_sign$S

Mxjoint = Sjx_sign$M
Myjoint = Sjy_sign$M
Mxindiv = Six_sign$M
Myindiv = Siy_sign$M

est.Mj = aveM(Mxjoint, Myjoint)

trueMj <- data.frame(mj1 = data$mj[, 1], mj2 = data$mj[, 2], number = 1:48)
SINGMj <- data.frame(mj1 = est.Mj[, 1], mj2 = est.Mj[, 2], number = 1:48)

  Plot  $\hat{S}_{J_x}$ ,  $\hat{S}_{J_y}$ ,  $\hat{S}_{I_x}$ , and  $\hat{S}_{I_y}$  in figure 2.
  Plot  $\hat{M}_J$  in figure 3.

library(tidyverse)
library(ggpubr)

t1 <- ggplot(data = trueMj) + geom_point(mapping = aes(y = mj1, x = number)) +
  ggtitle(expression("True M"[ "J" ] * ", 1")) + theme_bw() + theme(panel.grid = element_blank())

t2 <- ggplot(data = trueMj) + geom_point(mapping = aes(y = mj2, x = number)) +
  ggtitle(expression("True M"[ "J" ] * ", 2")) + theme_bw() + theme(panel.grid = element_blank())

# SING mj

S1 <- ggplot(data = SINGMj) + geom_point(mapping = aes(y = mj1, x = number)) +
  ggtitle(expression("Estimated M"[ "J" ] * ", 1")) + theme_bw() + theme(panel.grid = element_blank())
```






Figure 3: Estimated joint subject scores in example 1.

```
S2 <- ggplot(data = SINGMj) + geom_point(mapping = aes(y = mj2, x = number)) +
  ggtitle(expression("Estimated M"["J"] * ", 2")) + theme_bw() + theme(panel.grid = element_blank())

ggarrange(t1, t2, S1, S2, ncol = 2, nrow = 2)
```

## Example 2. MRI data simulation

This example is a simulation inspired by the real data analysis of the Human Connectome Project from Risk and Gaynanova (2021).  $X$  are generated from  $\hat{S}_X$  from working memory task maps and  $Y$  are generated from  $\hat{S}_Y$  from resting-state correlations from a previous SING analysis of the Human Connectome Project. The working memory loadings are defined on the cortical surface, which is the highly folded ribbon of gray matter forming the outer layer of the brain containing billions of neurons. Large working memory loadings indicate locations in the brain that tend to work together during memory tasks. The resting-state correlation loadings are defined using a brain parcellation from (Glasser et al., 2016) and (Akiki and Abdallah, 2019). Large resting-state loadings are related to large correlations between brain regions occurring when a participant is lying in a scanner performing no task. Additional details are in (Risk and Gaynanova, 2021). For the purposes of this example, we lower the resolution of the working memory loadings and subset parts of the rs-correlation loadings to reduce computation time. The `simdata` can be found in the github repository (Liangkang Wang, 2022).


```
# Load the package
library(singR)

# Read and visualize data
load("extdata/simdata.rda")

# sign change makes the skewness positive, which makes the region of
# 'activation' yellow in the plots that follow
Sxtrue = signchange(simdata$sjx)$S
Sytrue = signchange(simdata$sjy)$S
```

The `simdata.rda` have already been resampled from 32k to 2k resolution to reduce computation time. Next, we resample the background surface (i.e., template) to the same resolution, which will allow us to plot the loadings on the cortical surface. This step uses `ciftiTools` (Pham et al., 2021) and `connectome-workbench` (Marcus et al., 2011). To run this code, one needs to install `connectome-workbench`, as described in (<https://github.com/mandymejia/ciftiTools>).





**Figure 4:** True joint loadings in SJX

```
library(ciftiTools)
ciftiTools.setOption("wb_path", "C:/Software/workbench")

xii_template <- read_cifti("extdata/template.dtseries.nii", brainstructures = c("left",
  "right"), resamp_res = 2000)
## the template cifti file is built in the package, and resample to
## 2k resolution.

xii_new <- newdata_xifti(xii_template, t(Sxtrue))
view_xifti_surface(select_xifti(xii_new, 1), zlim = c(-2.43, 2.82)) ## true S_JX1
view_xifti_surface(select_xifti(xii_new, 2), zlim = c(-2.43, 2.82)) ## true S_JX2
```

In figure 4, the yellow regions indicate locations with large loadings. Similar plots can be created for the two individual components (not shown). When applied to fMRI activation maps, SING tends to identify small patches of cortex, similar to this figure.

Next, we convert the rows of  $S_{Jy}$  to symmetric matrices and create plots. The nodes are organized into communities (i.e., modules) to aid visualization. SING tends to identify a single node and the connections with this node, which result in a cross-like pattern in the matrix representation. The joint loadings are plotted in figure 5 with `plotNetwork_change`, which is defined below. Similar plots can be created for the loadings from the two individual components.

```
# define plotNetwork_change
plotNetwork_change = function(component, title = "", qmin = 0.005, qmax = 0.995,
  path = "mmpplus.csv", make.diag = NA) {
  # component: vectorized network of length choose(n,2)
  require(ggplot2)
  require(grid)
  require(scales)

  # load communities for plotting:
  mmp_modules = read.csv(path, header = TRUE)
  mmp_order = order(mmp_modules$Community_Vector)

  zmin = quantile(component, qmin)
  zmax = quantile(component, qmax)

  netmat = vec2net(component, make.diag)

  meltsub = create.graph.long(netmat, mmp_order)

  g2 = ggplot(meltsub, aes(X1, X2, fill = value)) + geom_tile() + scale_fill_gradient2(low = "blue",
    high = "red", limits = c(zmin, zmax), oob = squish) + labs(title = title,
    x = "Node 1", y = "Node 2") + coord_cartesian(clip = "off", xlim = c(-0,
    100))
```




Figure 5: True joint loadings in SJY in example 2

```

loadingsummary = apply(abs(netmat), 1, sum, na.rm = TRUE)
loadingsum2 = loadingsummary[mmp_order]

Community = factor(mmp_modules$Community_Label)[mmp_order]

g3 = qplot(c(1:100), loadingsum2, col = Community, size = I(3)) +
  xlab("MMP Index") +
  ylab("L1 Norm of the Rows")

return(list(netmatfig = g2, loadingsfig = g3, netmat = netmat, loadingsummary = loadingsummary))
}

library(cowplot)
# plot for the true component of Y
path = "extdata/new_mmp.csv"
out_true1 = plotNetwork_change(Sytrue[1, ], title = expression("True S["Jx"] *
  ", 1"), qmin = 0.005, qmax = 0.995, path = path)
out_true2 = plotNetwork_change(Sytrue[2, ], title = expression("True S["Jx"] *
  ", 2"), qmin = 0.005, qmax = 0.995, path = path)


p1 = out_true1$netmatfig
p2 = out_true1$loadingsfig
p3 = out_true2$netmatfig
p4 = out_true2$loadingsfig

plot_grid(p1, p2, p3, p4, nrow = 2)

```

### Function `singR` performs all steps in the SING pipeline as a single function

In example 1, we introduced the pipeline of the SING method. We will use example 2 to explain the `singR` function in detail. The default output of `singR` is a list of  $\hat{S}_{Jx}$ ,  $\hat{S}_{Jy}$ ,  $\hat{M}_J$ ,  $\hat{M}_{Jx}$ ,  $\hat{M}_{Jy}$ . By default, it will center the data such that the mean of each row is equal to zero. In our simulated dataset, all variables are on the same scale, and consequently we do not perform standardization (`stand=FALSE`). When `stand=TRUE`, the data are additionally standardized to have the mean and variance of each column equal to zero, which is the standardization commonly used in PCA. If `n.comp.X` and `n.comp.Y` are not specified, `singR` will use `F0BIasympt` from `ICtest` to estimate the number of non-Gaussian components in each dataset, which requires additional computational expense. (Other tests of the number of non-Gaussian components accounting for spatial smoothing/autocorrelation can be found in (Zhao et al., 2022), which may be more effective for spatially correlated data but are generally slower.)



**Figure 6:** Estimated joint loadings in SJX in example 2.

When `individual = TRUE`, the `singR` will additionally output  $\hat{M}_{Ix}$ ,  $\hat{M}_{Iy}$ ,  $\hat{S}_{Ix}$ , and  $\hat{S}_{Iy}$ . When `distribution = "tiltedgaussian"`, non-Gaussian components will be estimated through `lngca` using likelihood component analysis, which is slower but can be more accurate. By default `distribution = "JB"`, and `lngca` will use the Jarque-Bera test statistic as the measure of non-Gaussianity of each component.

The `Cplus` argument determines whether to use `curvilinear_c` or `curvilinear` in `singR`. `curvilinear` is implemented with pure R but is slow while `curvilinear_c` uses C++. The parameter `rho_extent` can be one of `c("small", "medium" or "large")` or a number. This determines the penalty  $\rho$  in `curvilinear` or `curvilinear_c` that results in equal or highly correlated  $\hat{M}_{Jx}$  and  $\hat{M}_{Jy}$ . Additionally, we can use `pmse()` to evaluate the distance between two subject scores matrices. With larger  $\rho$ , the  $pmse(\hat{M}_{Jx}, \hat{M}_{Jy})$  value will be smaller. Usually, "small"  $\rho$  is sufficient for approximately equal  $\hat{M}_{Jx}$  and  $\hat{M}_{Jy}$ . We have observed that very large  $\rho$  can adversely impact the accuracy of the loadings. Our recommendation is to use "small"  $\rho$  and check if it results in equal scores, and if not, then try other settings. The code below took approximately 20 seconds to run on a 2.8 GHz processor, but may take longer depending on the processing speed.

```
example2 = singR(dX = simdata$dX, dY = simdata$dY, rho_extent = "small",
  Cplus = TRUE, stand = FALSE, individual = TRUE, distribution = "JB")
```

The joint loadings  $\hat{S}_{Jx}$  are depicted in figure 6.

```
xii_new <- newdata_xifti(xii_template, t(example2$Sjx))
```

```
view_xifti_surface(select_xifti(xii_new, 1), zlim = c(-2.43, 2.82)) ## component1 small rho
view_xifti_surface(select_xifti(xii_new, 2), zlim = c(-2.43, 2.82)) ## component2 small rho
```

The joint loadings  $\hat{S}_{Jy}$  are depicted in figure 7.

```
library(cowplot)
path = "extdata/new_mmp.csv"
out_rhoSmall1 = plotNetwork_change(example2$Sjy[1, ], title = expression("Estimate S"[ "Jy" ] *
  ", 1"), qmin = 0.005, qmax = 0.995, path = path)
out_rhoSmall2 = plotNetwork_change(example2$Sjy[2, ], title = expression("Estimate S"[ "Jy" ] *
  ", 2"), qmin = 0.005, qmax = 0.995, path = path)

p5 = out_rhoSmall1$netmatfig
p6 = out_rhoSmall1$loadingsfig
p7 = out_rhoSmall2$netmatfig
p8 = out_rhoSmall2$loadingsfig

plot_grid(p5, p6, p7, p8, nrow = 2)
```




Figure 7: Estimated joint loadings in SJY in example 2.

## Summary

This paper introduces the `singR` package and demonstrates how simultaneous non-Gaussian component analysis can be used to extract shared features from two datasets using R. The main contribution of the R package `singR` is to provide easy code for data integration in neuroscience. We introduce the function `singR`, which combines the SING pipeline into one function that performs data standardization, estimates the number of non-Gaussian components and estimates the number of joint components. Previous analyses indicate the joint structure estimated by SING can improve upon other neuroimaging data integration methods. SING can reveal new insights by using non-Gaussianity for both dimension reduction and latent variable extraction, whereas ICA methods involve an initial PCA step that tends to aggregate features and can remove information.

## Acknowledgments

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01MH129855 to BBR. The research was also supported by the Division of Mathematical Sciences of the National Science Foundation under award number DMS-2044823 to IG. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health and National Science Foundation.

Simulated data were based on a previous analysis of data from the Human Connectome Project. These data were provided [in part] by the Human Connectome Project, WU-Minn Consortium (Principal Investigators: David Van Essen and Kamil Ugurbil; 1U54MH091657) funded by the 16 NIH Institutes and Centers that support the NIH Blueprint for Neuroscience Research; and by the McDonnell Center for Systems Neuroscience at Washington University.

## Bibliography

- T. J. Akiki and C. G. Abdallah. Determining the hierarchical architecture of the human brain using subject-level clustering of functional networks. *Scientific reports*, 9(1):1–15, 2019. [p8]
- E. Amico, D. Marinazzo, C. Di Perri, L. Heine, J. Annen, C. Martial, M. Dzemidzic, M. Kirsch, V. Bonhomme, S. Laureys, and J. Goñi. Mapping the functional connectome traits of levels of consciousness. *NeuroImage*, 148:201–211, 2017. ISSN 1053-8119. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.01.020>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811917300204>. [p1, 4]
- C. F. Beckmann, M. DeLuca, J. T. Devlin, and S. M. Smith. Investigations into resting-state connectivity using independent component analysis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 360(1457):1001–1013, 2005. [p1]
- V. D. Calhoun and J. Sui. Multimodal fusion of brain imaging data: A key to finding the missing link(s) in complex mental illness. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*, 1(3):230–244, 2016. ISSN 2451-9022 (Print) 2451-9022. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2015.12.005. [p1]
- V. D. Calhoun, T. Adali, N. R. Giuliani, J. J. Pekar, K. A. Kiehl, and G. D. Pearlson. Method for multimodal analysis of independent source differences in schizophrenia: combining gray matter structural and auditory oddball functional data. *Hum Brain Mapp*, 27(1):47–62, 2006a. ISSN 1065-9471 (Print) 1065-9471. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20166. [p1]
- V. D. Calhoun, T. Adali, K. A. Kiehl, R. Astur, J. J. Pekar, and G. D. Pearlson. A method for multitask fmri data fusion applied to schizophrenia. *Hum Brain Mapp*, 27(7):598–610, 2006b. ISSN 1065-9471 (Print) 1065-9471. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20204. [p1]
- V. D. Calhoun, J. Liu, and T. Adali. A review of group ica for fmri data and ica for joint inference of imaging, genetic, and erp data. *Neuroimage*, 45(1 Suppl):S163–72, 2009. ISSN 1053-8119 (Print) 1053-8119. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.10.057. [p1]
- I. Carmichael. *ajive: Angle Based Joint and Individual Variation Explained*, 2022. R package version 0.1.0. [p1]
- Q. Feng, M. Jiang, J. Hannig, and J. Marron. Angle-based joint and individual variation explained. *Journal of multivariate analysis*, 166:241–265, 2018. [p1]
- M. F. Glasser, T. S. Coalson, E. C. Robinson, C. D. Hacker, J. Harwell, E. Yacoub, K. Ugurbil, J. Andersson, C. F. Beckmann, M. Jenkinson, et al. A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature*, 536(7615):171–178, 2016. [p8]
- N. E. Helwig. *ica: Independent Component Analysis*, 2018. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ica>. R package version 1.0-2. [p1]
- B. B. R. Liangkang Wang, Irina Gaynanova. *singR*, 6 2022. URL <https://github.com/thebrisklab/singR>. [p8]
- J. L. Marchini, C. Heaton, and B. D. Ripley. *fastICA: FastICA Algorithms to Perform ICA and Projection Pursuit*, 2021. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=fastICA>. R package version 1.2-3. [p1]
- D. S. Marcus, J. Harwell, T. Olsen, M. Hodge, M. F. Glasser, F. Prior, M. Jenkinson, T. Laumann, S. W. Curtiss, and D. C. Van Essen. Informatics and data mining tools and strategies for the human connectome project. *Frontiers in neuroinformatics*, 5:4, 2011. [p8]
- A. F. Mejia, M. B. Nebel, T. Wang, B. S. Caffo, and Y. Guo. *templateICAr*, 2020. URL <https://github.com/github/mandymejia/templateICAr>. [p1]
- J. Miettinen, K. Nordhausen, and S. Taskinen. Blind source separation based on joint diagonalization in R: The packages JADE and BSSasymp. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 76(2):1–31, 2017. doi: 10.18637/jss.v076.i02. [p1]
- K. Nordhausen, H. Oja, D. E. Tyler, and J. Virta. *ICtest: Estimating and Testing the Number of Interesting Components in Linear Dimension Reduction*, 2022. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ICtest>. R package version 0.3-5. [p4]
- M. J. O’Connell and E. F. Lock. *r.jive: Perform JIVE Decomposition for Multi-Source Data*, 2020. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=r.jive>. R package version 2.4. [p1]
- D. D. Pham, J. Muschelli, and A. F. Mejia. *ciftitools: A package for reading, writing, visualizing and manipulating cifti files in r*, 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.11338>. [p8]



- B. B. Risk and I. Gaynanova. Simultaneous non-gaussian component analysis (sing) for data integration in neuroimaging. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 15(3):1431–1454, 2021. URL <https://doi.org/10.1214/21-AOAS1466>. [p1, 8]
- B. B. Risk, N. A. James, and D. S. Matteson. *steadyICA: ICA and Tests of Independence via Multivariate Distance Covariance*, 2015. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=steadyICA>. R package version 1.0. [p1]
- B. B. Risk, D. S. Matteson, and D. Ruppert. Linear non-gaussian component analysis via maximum likelihood. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 114(525):332–343, 2019. doi: 10.1080/01621459.2017.1407772. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2017.1407772>. [p3, 4]
- J. Sui, T. Adali, G. Pearlson, H. Yang, S. R. Sponheim, T. White, and V. D. Calhoun. A cca+ica based model for multi-task brain imaging data fusion and its application to schizophrenia. *NeuroImage*, 51(1):123–134, 2010. ISSN 1053-8119. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.01.069>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811910000911>. [p1]
- J. Sui, G. Pearlson, A. Caprihan, T. Adali, K. A. Kiehl, J. Liu, J. Yamamoto, and V. D. Calhoun. Discriminating schizophrenia and bipolar disorder by fusing fmri and dti in a multimodal cca+ joint ica model. *Neuroimage*, 57(3):839–55, 2011. ISSN 1053-8119 (Print) 1053-8119. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.05.055. [p1]
- J. Sui, T. Adali, Q. Yu, J. Chen, and V. D. Calhoun. A review of multivariate methods for multimodal fusion of brain imaging data. *J Neurosci Methods*, 204(1):68–81, 2012. ISSN 0165-0270 (Print) 0165-0270. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2011.10.031. [p1]
- V. M. Vergara, A. Ulloa, V. D. Calhoun, D. Boutte, J. Chen, and J. Liu. A three-way parallel ica approach to analyze links among genetics, brain structure and brain function. *Neuroimage*, 98:386–94, 2014. ISSN 1053-8119 (Print) 1053-8119. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.04.060. [p1]
- Y. Zhao, D. S. Matteson, S. H. Mostofsky, M. B. Nebel, and B. B. Risk. Group linear non-gaussian component analysis with applications to neuroimaging. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 171:107454, 2022. [p10]
- G. Zhou, Q. Zhao, Y. Zhang, T. Adali, S. Xie, and A. Cichocki. Linked component analysis from matrices to high-order tensors: Applications to biomedical data. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 104(2): 310–331, 2016. doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2015.2474704. [p1]

Liangkang Wang  
Emory University  
Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics  
Atlanta, Georgia, US  
ORCID: 0000-0003-3393-243X  
[liangkang.wang@emory.edu](mailto:liangkang.wang@emory.edu)

Irina Gaynanova  
Texas A&M University  
Department of Statistics  
College Station, Texas, US  
<https://irinagain.github.io/>  
ORCID: 0000-0002-4116-0268  
[irinag@tamu.edu](mailto:irinag@tamu.edu)

Benjamin Risk  
Emory University  
Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics  
Atlanta, Georgia, US  
<https://github.com/thebrisklab/>  
ORCID: 0000-0003-1090-0777  
[benjamin.risk@emory.edu](mailto:benjamin.risk@emory.edu)