

# Triply charmed baryons mass decomposition from lattice QCD

Jin-Bo Li

*Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China*

Long-Cheng Gui\* and Wen Qin†

*Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China  
Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects and Applications (SICQEA), Changsha 410081, China and  
Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Structures and  
Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, Changsha 410081, China*

Wei Sun‡

*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P.R. China*

Jian Liang§

*Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Institute of Quantum Matter,  
South China Normal University, Guangzhou51006, China and  
Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter,  
Southern Nuclear Science Computing Center, South China Normal University, Guangzhou51006, China*

We present the first calculation of connected scalar matrix element and the momentum fraction of charm quark within the  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  triply charmed baryons on lattice QCD. The results are based on overlap valence fermions on two ensembles of  $N_f = 2 + 1$  domain wall fermion configurations with two lattice spacings. The corresponding sea quark pion masses are 300 MeV and 278 MeV. The separated contributions to the triply charmed baryon mass are derived through the decomposition of QCD energy-momentum tensor. The contribution of the connected charm quark matrix element to the triply charmed baryon is about 3/2 times of that to the charmonium. And it contributes almost 70% of the total mass. The mass splitting of  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  triply charmed baryons is mainly from  $\langle H_E \rangle$  of the QCD energy-momentum tensor. A mass decomposition from quark model is also studied as comparison.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of the  $J/\psi$  meson in 1974 [1, 2], charm physics has garnered significant attention, leading to the identification of numerous charmed hadrons. Recently, the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) has made notable advancements in the study of charmed baryons, including the spectroscopy of excited hadrons such as  $\Lambda_c^+$  [3],  $\Omega_c(X)$  [4], and  $\Xi_c(X)$  [5]. Notably, the doubly charmed baryon  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  was established by LHCb in 2017 [6] and has since been confirmed by subsequent studies [7, 8], following the initial identification of the charmed baryon  $\Lambda_c$  by Mark-II in 1979 [9]. However, the triply charmed baryon has yet to be observed experimentally, although there are theoretical researches indicate that its discovery is promising at LHC [10, 11].

Despite the lack of experimental evidence, theoretical investigations into the triply charmed baryon have been actively pursued using various approaches, including the quark model [12–18], QCD sum rules [19–21] Faddeev equations [22–24], the di-quark model [25, 26], the variational method [27, 28] the bag model [29, 30], Regge

theory [31], and the Bethe-Salpeter equation [32], etc. These studies predict the mass of the ground state triply charmed baryon to be approximately 4.8 GeV. Numerous theoretical calculations have also been conducted to determine the mass spectrum using both quenched [33] and unquenched lattice QCD [34–44]. The predicted ground state mass from these lattice QCD calculations is consistent with other theoretical predictions.

Beyond spectroscopy, decomposing the mass of a hadron within the QCD framework offers deeper insight into its internal structure. This approach provides valuable information about the distribution of mass contributions from various components within the hadron. The mass decomposition of the nucleon was first proposed in [45], taking into account the dynamic interactions between quarks and gluons. In this framework, hadron mass is divided into four components: quark energy, quark mass, glue energy, and trace anomaly. Mass decomposition has been explored in various hadronic systems, yielding novel insights [46–48]. For instance, it has been found that the trace anomaly contributes significantly in light hadron systems [46], and the quark mass matrix contributes less in hybrids compared to charmonium [48]. In this work, we aim to study the mass decomposition of the ground state triply charmed baryon using lattice QCD. We will first calculate the hadron mass  $M$ , the valence charm quark mass contribution  $\langle H_m \rangle$ , and the valence charm quark momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_q$  us-

\* guilongcheng@hunnu.edu.cn

† qinwen@hunnu.edu.cn

‡ sunwei@ihep.ac.cn

§ jianliang@scnu.edu.cn

ing lattice QCD. Subsequently, the other components of the hadron mass can be determined through the decomposition formula of the QCD energy-momentum tensor (EMT) and the trace sum rule.

There is also a mass decomposition in the non-relativistic quark model, which separate the hadron mass into three parts: the mass and kinetic energy of the constituent quarks, and the potential energy between them. The hyperfine splitting is primarily attributed to the spin-spin interaction arising from the one-gluon exchange potential. Previous results for the heavy meson mass decomposition from lattice QCD appear to align with the constituent quark model's perspective [46]. In this work, we will also study the mass decomposition in the constituent quark model to give a comparison, and try to get some clues of the correlation between the phenomenological model and QCD theory, deepen our understanding of their structure and underlying interactions. Given that the triply charmed baryon actives in the energy region that non-perturbative interactions cannot be ignored, a precise decomposition of the mass will provide valuable insights into comprehending the non-perturbative properties of QCD.

The remainder of our article is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we provide a detailed introduction to the formula for hadron mass decomposition within the QCD framework. We also discuss the relationship between the hadron mass and two-point functions, as well as the hadron matrix elements and three-point functions. In Sec. III, we present the specifics of our numerical simulation, including the configuration information, effective mass, effective matrix element calculations, and our fitting results. In Sec. IV, we analyze and discuss our results, including the comparison of the constituent quark model. Finally, a brief summary is provided in Sec. V.

## II. FORMALISM

### A. Mass decomposition from the QCD EMT

In this paper, we adopt the decomposition of QCD energy-momentum tensor proposed by Ji [45], which is also used in Ref. [46–48]. The energy-momentum tensor of QCD is written as

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} i \overleftrightarrow{D}^{(\mu} \gamma^{\nu)} \psi + \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^2 - F^{\mu\alpha} F_{\alpha}^{\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\langle \rangle$  symmetrizing all the indices,  $\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} = \overrightarrow{D}^{\mu} - \overleftarrow{D}^{\mu}$ ,  $\overrightarrow{D}$  is the gauge-covariant derivative,  $F^{\mu\nu}$  is the color field strength tensor. The QCD Hamiltonian and the hadron mass could be written in terms of the energy-momentum tensor

$$H_{\text{QCD}} = \int d^3x T^{00}(0, \mathbf{x}), \quad (2)$$

$$M = \frac{\langle H | H_{\text{QCD}} | H \rangle}{\langle H | H \rangle} \equiv \langle T^{00} \rangle,$$

where the hadron state  $|H\rangle$  is renormalized as  $\langle H | H \rangle = 2E(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(0)$ .

The hadron mass can be decomposed as

$$M = \langle T^{00} \rangle = \langle H_M \rangle + \langle H_E^{(\mu)} \rangle + \langle H_g^{(\mu)} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle H_a \rangle. \quad (3)$$

in the rest frame of the hadron state with

$$\begin{aligned} H_E^{(\mu)} &= \sum_f \int d^3x \bar{\psi}^{(f)} (\overleftrightarrow{D} \cdot \vec{\gamma}) \psi^{(f)}, \\ H_M &= \sum_f \int d^3x \bar{\psi}^{(f)} m_f \psi^{(f)}, \\ H_g^{(\mu)} &= \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} (B^2 - E^2), \\ H_a &= \int d^3x [\gamma_m \sum_f \bar{\psi}^{(f)} m_f \psi^{(f)} - \frac{\beta(g)}{g} (B^2 + E^2)], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_f$  denotes the summation of quark flavors,  $\gamma_m$  is the quark mass anomalous dimension,  $\beta(g)$  is the  $\beta$  function of QCD.  $H_E$ ,  $H_M$ ,  $H_g$  and  $H_a$  denote the contributions from the quark energy, quark condensate, the glue field energy and the joint contribution of quantum anomalies from both glue and quark in Euclidean space.  $\langle H_M \rangle$  and  $\langle H_a \rangle$  are scale and renormalization scheme independent, while the quark energy  $\langle H_E^{(\mu)} \rangle$  and glue field energy  $\langle H_g^{(\mu)} \rangle$  depend on the scale and renormalization separately.

Thus, the renormalized quark and gluon energy are derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_E^R \rangle &= \frac{3}{4} \langle x \rangle_q^R M - \frac{3}{4} \langle H_M \rangle, \\ \langle H_g^R \rangle &= \frac{3}{4} \langle x \rangle_g^R M, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\langle x \rangle_q^R$  and  $\langle x \rangle_g^R$  are the renormalized quark and gluon momentum fraction respectively, satisfying  $\langle x \rangle_g^R = 1 - \langle x \rangle_q^R$  [49]. Following Ref. [48], we could also define the total valence charm quark contribution as

$$\langle H_q^R \rangle = \langle H_E^R \rangle + \langle H_M \rangle = \frac{3}{4} \langle x \rangle_q^R M + \frac{1}{4} \langle H_M \rangle. \quad (5)$$

In combination with the trace sum rule [50]

$$M = \langle T_{\mu}^{\mu} \rangle = \langle H_M \rangle + \langle H_a \rangle, \quad (6)$$

separate part of the mass decomposition will be gained, provided with the calculation of the hadron mass  $M$ , the quark condensate contribution  $\langle H_M \rangle$  and the quark energy contribution  $\langle H_E \rangle$ .

## B. Two-point and three-point function

The components of the mass decomposition can be extracted from the corresponding two-point and three-point correlation functions. To construct the correlation function for the triply charmed baryon, similar to the Omega baryon [51], we use the operator for the triply charmed baryon as:

$$O^\mu(\vec{x}, t) = \epsilon^{abc} [\psi_\alpha^a(\vec{x}, t)^T (C\gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta^b(\vec{x}, t)] \psi_\gamma^c(\vec{x}, t), \quad (7)$$

where  $C = \gamma_2\gamma_4$  is the  $C$ -parity operator;  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  represent the Dirac indices;  $a, b, c$  are the color indices; and  $T$  is the transpose operator. To project onto a definite parity, we use the following parity projection operator:

$$P_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_4). \quad (8)$$

Additionally, to project onto the triply charmed baryon with a definite spin, we use the following spin projection operators [52]:

$$\begin{cases} P_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\mu\nu} = \delta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu, \\ P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu. \end{cases}$$

In our study, only the spatial component of the triply charmed baryon operator is considered. Therefore, the baryon operator with a definite  $J^P$  quantum number can be expressed as:

$$O^i(\vec{x}, t) = (P_\pm)_{\rho\rho'} \times \sum_j (P_J)^{ij}_{\rho'\gamma} \epsilon^{abc} [\psi_\alpha^a(\vec{x}, t)^T (C\gamma^j)_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta^b(\vec{x}, t)] \psi_\gamma^c(\vec{x}, t).$$

The hadron mass  $M$  can be obtained from the two-point correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(t) &= \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle O(\vec{x}, t) O^\dagger(\vec{0}, 0) \rangle \\ &= \sum_n Z_n^2 e^{-M_n t} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_0^2 e^{-M_0 t}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here,  $M_0$  represents the ground state hadron mass, and  $Z_0$  is the overlap matrix element between the ground state hadron and the hadron operator. Hadronic matrix elements, such as the quark content  $\langle H_M \rangle$  and the quark momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_q$ , can be extracted from the three-point function

$$C_3(t, t', J, \hat{O}) = \sum_{\vec{x}, \vec{y}} \langle O(\vec{x}, t) J(\vec{y}, t') O^\dagger(\vec{0}, 0) \rangle, \quad (10)$$

$$\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_0^2 e^{-M_0 t} \langle \Omega_{ccc} | J(\vec{0}) | \Omega_{ccc} \rangle \quad (11)$$

where  $J(\vec{y}, t')$  refers to the current operator corresponding to each component. Here, we only considered the contribution of the valence charm quark. For the quark

content  $\langle H_M \rangle$ , the corresponding current operator is as follows:

$$\hat{H}_M(\vec{y}, t') = m_c \bar{\psi}^{(c)}(\vec{y}, t') \psi^{(c)}(\vec{y}, t'), \quad (12)$$

where  $m_c$  refers to the bare charm quark mass. For the charm quark momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_q$ , the current operator is

$$\hat{x}_q(\vec{y}, t') = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{(c)}(\vec{y}, t') (\gamma_4 \overleftrightarrow{D}_4 - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_i \overleftrightarrow{D}_i) \psi^{(c)}(\vec{y}, t'). \quad (13)$$

## III. NUMERICAL DETAILS

In this calculation, we used the 2+1 flavor domain wall fermion and Iwasaki gauge action configurations provided by the RBC/UKQCD collaboration [55, 56]. Table I presents the parameters of these gauge ensembles. For the valence charm quark, we employ the overlap fermion with exact chiral symmetry on the lattice, which ensures that the valence charm quark mass matrix  $\langle H_M \rangle$  is renormalization scale and scheme independent [53]. We adopt the same valence charm quark mass as used in Ref [48]

TABLE I. The parameters for the configurations [48]

ensemble	$L^3 \times T$	$a(\text{fm})$	$m_\pi(\text{MeV})$	$m_c a$	$N_{\text{cfg}}$
32I	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0828(3)	300	0.493	305
48If	$48^3 \times 96$	0.0711(3)	278	0.410	205

To extract the hadron mass, we directly fit the two-point correlation function. Considering the unphysical oscillatory behavior introduced by the Domain Wall fermion [54], we use the following fitting function for the two-point function:

$$C_2(t) = A_0 e^{-Mt} (1 + A_1 e^{-\delta mt}) + W (-1)^t e^{-\tilde{M}t}.$$

In this expression,  $e^{-\delta mt}$  represents the contribution from the excited state, and  $e^{-\tilde{M}t}$  is the oscillating term. The parameters  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are free parameters to be determined through the fitting process. This approach allows us to account for and mitigate the effects of oscillations in the data when determining the hadron mass.

The effective masses of the two triply charmed baryons with quantum numbers  $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ , obtained from two different lattice configurations, are depicted in Fig. [Effective Mass]. In the figure, the dark color band represents our fitting range, while the light color band indicates the extrapolation results. The masses of the two different triply charmed baryons are provided in Table II. This table summarizes the numerical values of the masses obtained from our analysis, highlighting the difference between the positive and negative parity state.

To obtain the charmness content  $\langle H_M \rangle$  and the charm quark momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_q$ , we need to calculate the




FIG. 1. Effective mass  $m_{\text{eff}} = \ln \left( \frac{C_2(t+1)}{C_2(t)} \right)$  for two triply charmed baryon states on  $32^3 \times 64$  (top) and  $48^3 \times 96$  (bottom) configurations.

TABLE II. The hadron mass for two triply charmed baryon states on  $32^3 \times 64$  (top) and  $48^3 \times 96$  (bottom) configurations, along with the fitting range  $[t_{\min} - t_{\max}]$  and  $\chi^2/d.o.f.$

ensemble	$J^P$	M(GeV)	$[t_{\min} - t_{\max}]$	$\chi^2/d.o.f.$
32I	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	4.804(20)	10-27	0.76
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	5.064(51)	10-25	1.4
48If	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	4.793(21)	13-38	0.42
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	5.071(27)	10-25	0.85
$\infty$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	4.762(98)		
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	5.09(18)		

corresponding three-point correlation functions. Similar to the approach in [48], we use the summed current sequential source method to compute the three-point function. The corresponding current-summed three-point function is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_3(t, J, \hat{O}) &= \sum_{t'} C_3(t, t', J, \hat{O}) \\
 &= \sum_{y, c} \langle \Gamma G_c^J(\vec{y}, t; 0) G(\vec{y}, t; 0) G(\vec{y}, t; 0) \rangle, \quad (14)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the sum over  $c$  represents the various possible contractions of the current coupling to a quark propagator and  $G_c^J$  denotes the current sequential propagator:

$$G_c^J(\vec{y}, t; 0) = \sum_{\vec{x}, t'} G(\vec{y}, t; \vec{x}, t') J(\vec{x}, t') G(\vec{x}, t'; 0),$$

and  $\Gamma$  represents the product of the initial and final state  $\gamma$  matrices. For simplicity, the contraction over color indices is omitted.

We define the ratio of the three-point function to the corresponding two-point function as

$$R(t, J, \hat{O}) \equiv \frac{C_3(t, J, \hat{O})}{C_2(t, \hat{O})}.$$

When  $t$  is large enough to ignore the contributions from excited states, the hadronic matrix elements can be determined using the following expressions:

$$\langle H_M \rangle(t) = R(t, \hat{H}_M, \hat{Q}) - R(t-1, \hat{H}_M, \hat{Q})$$

$$M \langle x \rangle_q(t) = R(t, \hat{x}, \hat{Q}) - R(t-1, \hat{x}, \hat{Q})$$

TABLE III. The charmness content  $\langle H_M \rangle$  for two triply charmed baryon states on  $32^3 \times 64$  (top) and  $48^3 \times 96$  (bottom) configurations, along with the corresponding fitting range  $[t_{min} - t_{max}]$  and  $\chi^2/d.o.f$ .

ensemble	$J^P$	$\langle H_M \rangle$ (GeV)	$[t_{min} - t_{max}]$	$\chi^2/d.o.f$
32I	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	3.192(13)	10-27	0.062
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3.098(38)	11-16	0.38
48If	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	3.185(19)	13-38	0.089
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3.114(60)	10-21	0.33

TABLE IV. The charmed quark momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_q$  for two triply states on  $32^3 \times 64$  (top) and  $48^3 \times 96$  (bottom) configurations, along with the corresponding fitting range  $[t_{min} - t_{max}]$  and  $\chi^2/d.o.f$ .

ensemble	$J^P$	$\langle x \rangle_q$	$[t_{min} - t_{max}]$	$\chi^2/d.o.f$
32I	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0.7960(18)	10-27	0.096
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	0.7878(99)	7-18	1.7
48If	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0.7653(33)	13-38	0.12
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	0.7623(42)	7-24	1.1

This method provides an effective way to suppress excited state contamination by focusing on the difference in the ratio  $R$  at successive time slices. As the contribution from excited states diminishes at large  $t$ , this approach enhances the accuracy of extracting the desired hadronic matrix elements. By minimizing the influence of excited states, we obtain more reliable results for the ground state properties of the system being studied.

We use the following formulas to fit the hadronic matrix elements from  $\langle H_M \rangle(t)$  and  $\langle x \rangle_q(t)$ :

$$\langle H_M \rangle(t) = \langle H_M \rangle + A'_1 e^{-\delta mt} + t A'_2 e^{-\delta mt}$$

$$M \langle x_q \rangle(t) = M \langle x_q \rangle + A'_3 e^{-\delta mt} + t A'_4 e^{-\delta mt},$$

where  $A'_1, A'_2, A'_3$ , and  $A'_4$  are free parameters, and the exponential terms are used to absorb the contribution from excited states. This fitting approach helps to isolate the effects of the ground state by accounting for and minimizing the influence of excited states.

The charmness content  $\langle H_M \rangle$  and charmed quark momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_q$  are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, where the dark color band is our fitting range and the light color band shows the extrapolation results. Table III and Table IV are the fitting results of the corresponding hadron matrix elements. Our results reveal that, on two different configurations, the charmness content of the orbital excited state  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  is slightly smaller than that of the ground state  $\frac{3}{2}^+$ .

## IV. DISCUSSION

### A. Mass spectrum

Before delving into the mass decomposition of the triply charmed baryon, let's briefly review and discuss the mass spectrum. Numerous lattice QCD studies have been conducted on the spectrum, and we have compiled their results alongside ours in Table V, which are also presented more intuitively in Fig. 4. Regarding the ground  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  state, most of the results are in good agreement with each other. Relatively, the result of Ref [42] is lower as 4.6769(46)(30). However, the result is updated to 4.746(4)(32) when simulations were performed on configurations with the physical pion mass in Ref [41]. Our results for the  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  state are consistent with the other lattice calculations within error bars, despite not extrapolating to the continuum limit as only two lattices were simulated. For the p-wave  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  state, the corresponding lattice calculations are fewer, and the uncertainties are relatively larger. Our results align closely with those of the TRJQCD collaboration but are slightly smaller than the results from the HSC and TWQCD collaborations. Considering that TRJQCD performed simulations on configurations close to the physical pion mass, the results we obtained here appear reasonable. After our work, two recent studies have also calculated the masses of the triply charmed baryon [55, 56]. In summary, the mass spectrum we have obtained is consistent with other lattice calculations, indicating the reliability of our outcomes within the current computational constraints.

### B. Mass decomposition

In this work, we have neglected the sea charm quark mass term  $\langle H_M^{c,sea} \rangle$  for calculation convenience. This is a reasonable approximation, as  $\langle H_M^{c,sea} \rangle$  is estimated to be less than 100 MeV for both  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  states on either lattice configurations, based on the heavy quark expansion [50],

$$\langle H_M^{c,sea} \rangle = \frac{2}{27} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \gamma_m(\mu)} M - \langle H_M^{c,v} \rangle \right) + O(\alpha_s),$$

where  $\gamma_m(\mu) \approx \frac{2\alpha(\mu)}{\pi}$  is the quark anomalous dimension, and  $\alpha(\mu = m_c) \approx 0.37$  is taken from Ref [57]. Regarding the light and strange sea quarks, we conjecture that it is also safe to neglect their contributions, based on the observation that their total contribution is less than 40 MeV in charmonium [48]. Disconnected diagrams are also not considered, so their contribution is absorbed in the QCD anomaly term  $H_a$  and the glue energy term  $H_g$ . Possibly this is the reason why the values of  $H_a$  and  $H_g$  we obtained in the triply charmed baryon are slightly larger than those in charmonium states, as shown in Table VI.




FIG. 2. Effective matrix elements of the charmness content  $\langle H_M \rangle$  for two triply charmed baryon states on  $32^3 \times 64$  (top) and  $48^3 \times 96$  (bottom) configurations.

Nevertheless, the total valence charm quark contribution  $H_q$  remains the main contributor to the triply charmed baryon mass, accounting for approximately 75% of the total mass. This is consistent with the pattern observed in charmonium but differs from that in light baryons, where the trace anomaly contribution is more significant. Additionally, the mass decomposition provides insights into the nature of the mass splitting between the s-wave ( $\frac{3}{2}^+$ ) and p-wave ( $\frac{3}{2}^-$ ) states. Our calculations show that the mass splitting is about 250 MeV, with the primary difference between these states coming from the quark energy term, contributing around 200 MeV. In contrast, the differences in the QCD anomaly term  $H_a$  and the glue energy term  $H_g$  are less than 100 MeV. It is different with that in charmonium, where the mass splitting is not only sensitive with  $H_E$ , but also sensitive with  $H_g$  and  $H_a$ .

The mass decompositions of charmonium that we previously calculated are also listed in Table VI for comparison. The quark mass contribution  $H_M$  in the triply charmed baryon is about  $\frac{3}{2}$  times that in charmonium. This is consistent with the scenario that a baryon is composed of three valence quarks, while a meson is composed of two. In fact, the quark condensate contribution is similar to the well-known sigma term in nucleon physics. We

define the renormalized charmness matrix element in the triply charmed baryon in accordance with the sigma term defined in nucleon physics as:

$$\mathcal{M}_S \equiv \langle \Omega_{ccc}(\vec{k} = 0) | Z_S \bar{\psi}^c \psi^c | \Omega_{ccc}(\vec{k} = 0) \rangle \quad (15)$$

where  $Z_S$  is the renormalization constant of the scalar current. With  $Z_S = [1.009(16), 1.008(26)]$  on the two ensembles adopted from Ref. [58, 59], the charmness matrix element for the triply charmed baryon  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  states that we obtained are listed in Table VI, alongside those of charmonium that we previously calculated. It is shown that the charmness matrix elements in triply charmed baryons are greater than that in charmonium. This difference arises primarily because the scalar current operator couples to the triply charmed baryon with a factor of 3, whereas the corresponding factor for charmonium is 2. If we remove the associated factors, we obtain the relations  $\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{M}_S(\Omega) \sim \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}_S(\bar{c}c) \sim 0.9$ .

For comparison, we have also calculated the rest energy composition of the ground state  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and orbitally excited state  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  triply charmed baryons within the framework of the constituent quark model. Following Ref [16], the Hamiltonian for the triply charmed baryon can be expressed as:




FIG. 3. Effective matrix elements of valence charmed quark momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_q$  for two triply charmed baryon states on  $32^3 \times 64$  (top) and  $48^3 \times 96$  (bottom) configurations.




FIG. 4. Current Lattice QCD calculation results for the masses of two triply charmed baryons.

$$H = M_q + T + V_C + V_G,$$

where  $M_q$  and  $T$  denote the mass and kinetic energy of the constituent quarks, respectively.  $V_C$  and  $V_G$  represent the confinement potential and the one-gluon exchange potential, respectively. The corresponding values of  $M_q$ ,  $T$ ,  $V_C$ , and  $V_G$  for both the  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  states have been calculated and are shown in Table VII, using the same parameters adopted in Ref [16].

The constituent quark mass terms for the  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  states are identical, each being three times the constituent quark mass. In this framework, the mass splitting between the  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  states primarily arises from the potential energy terms  $V_C$  and  $V_G$ , because the constituent quark mass is constant and the kinetic energy terms are comparable between the two states. The influences of the two potential terms  $V_C$  and  $V_G$  act in opposite directions. The confinement potential  $V_C$  has a constructive impact on the hadron mass, while the one-gluon exchange potential  $V_G$  has a destructive effect. The  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  state has a stronger confinement potential coupled with a weaker one-gluon exchange potential, leading to a slightly higher mass compared to the  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  state, which aligns with lattice QCD calculations.

It is quite complex to directly relate quantities defined in lattice QCD with those in quark models. However, a phenomenological link between these two pictures can be considered. The confinement potential  $V_C$  in the quark model describes the quark confinement effect. In lattice QCD, the confinement potential of a quark-antiquark pair relates to the gluon contribution  $H_a^g = \frac{\beta}{2g} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$  in the QCD anomaly term  $H_a$ , which can be calculated using the Wilson loop. In our calculation the QCD anomaly term  $H_a$  contributes about 0.4 GeV in  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  state and 0.49

TABLE V. The masses of the ground state  $\Omega_{ccc}(1^4S_{3/2^+})$  and the orbital excited state  $\Omega_{ccc}(1^2P_{3/2^-})$  calculated by us on  $32^3 \times 64$  (48If) and  $48^3 \times 96$  (32I) configurations are shown alongside the results from other lattice QCD collaborations. The corresponding number of the flavor ( $N_f$ ), lattice spacings ( $a$ ), the pion mass ( $m_\pi$ ), the actions of the relevant sea ( $S_q^{sea}$ ) and valence charm ( $S_c^{val}$ ) quarks are also included for comparison. The abbreviations HISQ and RHQA stand for highly-improved staggered quark and relativistic heavy-quark action, respectively.

Collaboration	$N_f$	$a(fm)$	$m_\pi(GeV)$	$S_q^{sea}$	$S_c^{val}$	$\Omega_{ccc}(\frac{3}{2}^+)(GeV)$	$\Omega_{ccc}(\frac{3}{2}^-)(GeV)$
[Ours]48If	2+1	0.0711(3)	0.278	Domain-wall	Overlap	4.793(21)	5.071(27)
[Ours]32I	2+1	0.0828(3)	0.3	Domain-wall	Overlap	4.804(20)	5.064(51)
[Ours]continuum	2+1					4.762(98)	5.09(18)
TRJQCD [40]	2+1	0.0907(13)	0.156(9)	Clover	Clover	4.817(12)	5.083(67)
C.Alexandrou et al. [41]	2	0.0938(3)(2)	0.130	Twisted Mass	OS	4.746(4)(32)	-
TWQCD [44]	2+1+1	0.063	0.280	Domain-wall	Domain-wall	4.766(5)(11)	5.168(37)(51)
K.U.Can [38]	2+1	0.0907(13)	0.156(7)(2)	Wilson	Clover	4.769(6)	-
Z.S.Brown et al. [37]	2+1	0.085-0.11	0.227-0.419	Domain-wall	RHQA	4.796(8)(18)	-
ETMC [39]	2+1+1	0.065-0.094	0.210-0.430	Twisted Mass	Twisted Mass	4.734(12)(11)(9)	-
HSC [36]	2+1	0.0351(2)	0.390	Clover	Clover	4.763(6)	5.124(13)
PACS-CS [35]	2+1	0.0899	0.135(6)	Clover	RHQA	4.789(22)	-
R.A.Briceno et al. [34]	2+1+1	0.06-0.12	0.220-0.310	HISQ	RHQA	4.761(52)(21)(6)	-
S.Durr et al. [43]	2	0.0728(5)(19)	0.280	Wilson	Brillouin	4.774(24)	-
C.Alexandrou et al. [42]	2	0.0561(1)-0.089(1)	0.260-0.450	Twisted Mass	OS	4.6769(46)(30)	-

GeV in  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  state, in comparison with that  $V_C$  contributes 0.47 GeV in  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  state and 0.63 GeV in  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  state in quark model.  $H_a$  and  $V_C$  are seem to be compatible in  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  state and not far away in  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  state. So it maybe reasonable to construct relation between  $H_a$  and  $V_C$ . However, the constituent quark mass in the quark model is significantly heavier than the valence quark mass used in lattice QCD. One may conjecture that the valence quarks may be dressed by gluons and incorporate the effects of possible quark condensation.  $M_q$  in the quark model may absorb effects of  $H_a$  and  $H_g$  defined in lattice QCD. It is also notice that the constituent quark mass is relatively fixed in quark model. Hence the kinetic term  $T$  and potential terms  $V_C$  and  $V_G$  defined in the quark model should reflect a mixed effect of  $H_E, H_M, H_g$ , and  $H_a$  that defined in lattice QCD. This highlights how theoretical interpretations from different frameworks can complement each other in understanding the nature of hadron masses.

## V. SUMMARY

In this work, we have studied the mass decomposition of triply charmed baryon under the framework of lattice QCD. The two lowest triply charmed baryons with  $J^P$  as  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  have been calculated on two lattice ensembles. An appropriate mass spectrum is obtained, displaying consistency with the other theories. The mass decompositions of both  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  triply charmed baryon states on two lattices are gained. It is found that the total valence charm quark contribution  $H_q$  dominate in triply charmed baryon. It is in line with the pattern of charmonium but different from that of the nucleon case, where the trace anomaly contribution plays a major role.

We also calculate the mass decomposition of these two




FIG. 5. The mass decomposition for two triply charmed baryon states on  $32^3 \times 64$  (top) and  $48^3 \times 96$  (bottom) configurations.

states in constituent quark model and a brief comparison of these two results have been given. The mass difference between the ground state  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and the orbital excited state  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  is from the quark energy  $\langle H_E \rangle$  in the framework of lattice QCD. On the other hand, the difference almost comes from the potential energy of three valence charm quarks in the constituent quark model. It seems that the mass splitting between the ground state  $\frac{3}{2}^+$  and the orbital excited state  $\frac{3}{2}^-$  of the triply charmed baryons



TABLE VI. Mass decomposition of the two triply charmed baryon states on  $32^3 \times 64$  (top) and  $48^3 \times 96$  (bottom) configurations, along with the mass decomposition of charmonium states. The charmness matrix element  $\mathcal{M}_s$  are also listed for comparison.

$J^P$	$M(\text{GeV})$	$\langle H_M \rangle (\text{GeV})$	$\langle H_E \rangle (\text{GeV})$	$\langle H_g \rangle (\text{GeV})$	$\frac{1}{4} \langle H_a \rangle (\text{GeV})$	$\mathcal{M}_s$
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	4.804(20)	3.192(13)	0.474(17)	0.735(30)	0.403(6)	2.742(46)
$\frac{3}{2}^-$	5.064(51)	3.098(38)	0.669(56)	0.806(86)	0.492(16)	2.661(54)
$J/\psi$	3.104(02)	2.162(02)	0.264(03)	0.442(02)	0.2355(07)	1.857(30)
$\chi_{c1}$	3.434(11)	2.101(30)	0.335(37)	0.664(28)	0.333(08)	1.805(39)
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	4.793(21)	3.185(19)	0.362(22)	0.844(37)	0.402(07)	2.822(76)
$\frac{3}{2}^-$	5.071(27)	3.114(60)	0.564(50)	0.904(84)	0.489(16)	2.759(90)
$\bar{J}/\psi$	3.100(01)	2.139(01)	0.2116(25)	0.509(02)	0.2403(04)	1.895(50)
$\chi_{c1}$	3.480(18)	2.063(38)	0.387(47)	0.676(37)	0.354(11)	1.828(58)

TABLE VII. Mass decomposition in quark model,  $M$  is the hadron mass of triply charmed baryon.

$J^P$	$M(\text{GeV})$	$M_q(\text{GeV})$	$T(\text{GeV})$	$V_C(\text{GeV})$	$V_G(\text{GeV})$
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	4.83	4.45	0.53	0.47	-0.63
$\frac{3}{2}^-$	5.16	4.45	0.54	0.63	-0.46

from the picture of the constituent quark model is consistent with our results from lattice QCD. However, the relationship between the contributions of various components in the mass decomposition based on QCD theory and the phenomenological model remains to be explored in future research.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors L. C. Gui, W. Sun and J. Liang, as members of the  $\chi$ QCD collaboration, thank the RBC collab-

oration for providing us their DWF gauge configurations. This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No.12175036, No.11935017, No.12175073, No.12205311, No.12222503. J. Liang is also supported by Guangdong Major Project of Basic and Applied Basic Research under grant No.2020B0301030008. L. C. Gui is also supported by Hunan Provincial Department of Education under grant No.20A310. W. Qin is supported by the Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation (No.2024JJ6300) and the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (No.22B0044). We thank Hui-hua Zhong for useful discussion. The computations were performed on the Xiangjiang-1 cluster at Hunan Normal University (Changsha) and the Southern Nuclear Science Computing Center (SNSC) and the HPC clusters at Institute of High Energy Physics (Beijing) and China Spallation Neutron Source (Dongguan) and the ORISE Supercomputer.

- [1] J. J. Aubert *et al.* (E598), Experimental observation of a heavy particle  $J$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33**, 1404 (1974).
- [2] J. E. Augustin *et al.* (SLAC-SP-017), Discovery of a narrow resonance in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33**, 1406 (1974).
- [3] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), Study of the  $D^0 p$  amplitude in  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^0 p \pi^-$  decays, *JHEP* **05**, 030, [arXiv:1701.07873 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [4] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), Observation of five new narrow  $\Omega_c^0$  states decaying to  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 182001 (2017), [arXiv:1703.04639 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [5] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), Observation of new  $X_i^0$  baryons decaying to  $\Lambda_c^+ K^-$ , *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 222001 (2020), [arXiv:2003.13649 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [6] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), Observation of the doubly charmed baryon  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 112001 (2017), [arXiv:1707.01621 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [7] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), First observation of the doubly charmed baryon decay  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$ , *Physical Review Letters* **121**, 162002 (2018), [arXiv:1807.01919 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [8] R. Aaij, A. S. W. Abdelmotteleb, C. Abellán Beteta, *et al.* (LHCb), Observation of the doubly charmed baryon decay  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$ , *Journal of High Energy Physics* **05**, 038 (2022), [arXiv:2202.05648 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [9] G. S. Abrams *et al.*, Observation of charmed baryon production in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44**, 10 (1980).
- [10] Y.-Q. Chen and S.-Z. Wu, Production of triply heavy baryons at lhc, *JHEP* **08**, 144, [arXiv:1106.0193 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [11] W. Wang and J. Xu, Weak Decays of Triply Heavy Baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 093007 (2018), [arXiv:1803.01476 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [12] B. Silvestre-Brac, Spectrum and static properties of heavy baryons, *Few Body Syst.* **20**, 1 (1996).
- [13] W. Roberts and M. Pervin, Heavy baryons in a quark model, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **23**, 2817 (2008), [arXiv:0711.2492 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [14] J. Vijande, A. Valcarce, and H. Garcilazo, Constituent-quark model description of triply heavy baryon nonperturbative lattice qcd data, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 054011

- (2015), arXiv:1507.03735 [hep-ph].
- [15] G. Yang, J. Ping, P. G. Ortega, and J. Segovia, Triply heavy baryons in the constituent quark model, *Chin. Phys. C* **44**, 023102 (2020), arXiv:1904.10166 [hep-ph].
- [16] M.-S. Liu, Q.-F. Lü, and X.-H. Zhong, Triply charmed and bottom baryons in a constituent quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 074031 (2020), arXiv:1912.11805 [hep-ph].
- [17] Z. Shah and A. K. Rai, Masses and regge trajectories of triply heavy  $\Omega_{ccc}$  and  $\Omega_{bbb}$  baryons, *The European Physical Journal A* **53**, 195 (2017).
- [18] B. Patel, A. Majethiya, and P. C. Vinodkumar, Masses and magnetic moments of triply heavy flavour baryons in hypercentral model, *Pramana* **72**, 679 (2009), arXiv:0808.2880 [hep-ph].
- [19] J.-R. Zhang and M.-Q. Huang, Deciphering triply heavy baryons in terms of qcd sum rules, *Physics Letters B* **674**, 28 (2009), arXiv:0902.3297 [hep-ph].
- [20] Z.-G. Wang, Analysis of the triply heavy baryon states with qcd sum rules, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **58**, 723 (2012), arXiv:1112.2274 [hep-ph].
- [21] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, and M. Savcı, Properties of triply heavy spin-3/2 baryons, *J. Phys. G* **41**, 065003 (2014), arXiv:1404.2091 [hep-ph].
- [22] H. Sanchis-Alepuz, R. Alkofer, G. Eichmann, and R. Williams, Model comparison of delta and omega masses in a covariant faddeev approach, *PoS QCD-TNT-II*, 041 (2011), arXiv:1112.3214 [hep-ph].
- [23] M. Radin, Sh. Babaghodrat, and M. Monemzadeh, Estimation of heavy baryon masses  $\Omega_{ccc}^{++}$  and  $\Omega_{bbb}^{-}$  by solving the faddeev equation in a three-dimensional approach, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 047701 (2014).
- [24] S.-x. Qin, C. D. Roberts, and S. M. Schmidt, Spectrum of light- and heavy-baryons, *Few Body Syst.* **60**, 26 (2019), arXiv:1902.00026 [nucl-th].
- [25] K. Thakkar, A. Majethiya, and P. C. Vinodkumar, Magnetic moments of baryons containing all heavy quarks in the quark-diquark model, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **131**, 339 (2016), arXiv:1609.05444 [hep-ph].
- [26] P.-L. Yin, C. Chen, G. Krein, C. D. Roberts, J. Segovia, and S.-S. Xu, Masses of ground-state mesons and baryons, including those with heavy quarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 034008 (2019), arXiv:1903.00160 [nucl-th].
- [27] Y. Jia, Variational study of weakly coupled triply heavy baryons, *JHEP* **10**, 073, arXiv:hep-ph/0607290.
- [28] J. M. Flynn, E. Hernandez, and J. Nieves, Triply heavy baryons and heavy quark spin symmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 014012 (2012), arXiv:1110.2962 [hep-ph].
- [29] A. Bernotas and V. Simonis, Heavy hadron spectroscopy and the bag model, *Lith. J. Phys.* **49**, 19 (2009), arXiv:0808.1220 [hep-ph].
- [30] P. Hasenfratz, R. R. Horgan, J. Kuti, and J. M. Richard, Heavy baryon spectroscopy in the qcd bag model, *Phys. Lett. B* **94**, 401 (1980).
- [31] K.-W. Wei, B. Chen, and X.-H. Guo, Masses of doubly and triply charmed baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 076008 (2015), arXiv:1503.05184 [hep-ph].
- [32] S. Migura, D. Merten, B. Metsch, and H.-R. Petry, Charmed baryons in a relativistic quark model, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **28**, 41 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0602153.
- [33] T.-W. Chiu and T.-H. Hsieh, Baryon masses in lattice qcd with exact chiral symmetry, *Nucl. Phys. A* **755**, 471 (2005), arXiv:hep-lat/0501021.
- [34] R. A. Briceno, H.-W. Lin, and D. R. Bolton, Charmed-baryon spectroscopy from lattice qcd with  $N_f = 2+1+1$  flavors, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 094504 (2012), arXiv:1207.3536 [hep-lat].
- [35] Y. Namekawa *et al.* (PACS-CS), Charmed baryons at the physical point in 2+1 flavor lattice qcd, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 094512 (2013), arXiv:1301.4743 [hep-lat].
- [36] M. Padmanath, R. G. Edwards, N. Mathur, and M. Pardon, Spectroscopy of triply-charmed baryons from lattice qcd, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 074504 (2014), arXiv:1307.7022 [hep-lat].
- [37] Z. S. Brown, W. Detmold, S. Meinel, and K. Orginos, Charmed bottom baryon spectroscopy from lattice qcd, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 094507 (2014), arXiv:1409.0497 [hep-lat].
- [38] K. U. Can, G. Erkol, M. Oka, and T. T. Takahashi, Look inside charmed-strange baryons from lattice qcd, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 114515 (2015), arXiv:1508.03048 [hep-lat].
- [39] C. Alexandrou, V. Drach, K. Jansen, C. Kallidonis, and G. Koutsou, Baryon spectrum with  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  twisted mass fermions, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 074501 (2014), arXiv:1406.4310 [hep-lat].
- [40] H. Bahtiyar, K. U. Can, G. Erkol, P. Gubler, M. Oka, and T. T. Takahashi, Charmed baryon spectrum from lattice qcd near the physical point, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 054513 (2020).
- [41] C. Alexandrou and C. Kallidonis, Low-lying baryon masses using  $N_f = 2$  twisted mass clover-improved fermions directly at the physical pion mass, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 034511 (2017), arXiv:1704.02647 [hep-lat].
- [42] C. Alexandrou, J. Carbonell, D. Christaras, V. Drach, M. Gravina, and M. Papinutto, Strange and charm baryon masses with two flavors of dynamical twisted mass fermions, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 114501 (2012), arXiv:1205.6856 [hep-lat].
- [43] S. Durr, G. Koutsou, and T. Lippert, Meson and baryon dispersion relations with brillouin fermions, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 114514 (2012), arXiv:1208.6270 [hep-lat].
- [44] Y.-C. Chen and T.-W. Chiu (TWQCD), Lattice qcd with  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  domain-wall quarks, *Phys. Lett. B* **767**, 193 (2017), arXiv:1701.02581 [hep-lat].
- [45] X.-D. Ji, A qcd analysis of the mass structure of the nucleon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 1071 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9410274.
- [46] Y.-B. Yang, Y. Chen, T. Draper, M. Gong, K.-F. Liu, Z. Liu, and J.-P. Ma, Meson mass decomposition from lattice qcd, *Physical Review D* **91**, 074516 (2015), arXiv:1405.4440.
- [47] Y. B. Yang, J. Liang, Y. J. Bi, Y. Chen, T. Draper, K. F. Liu, and Z. Liu, Proton mass decomposition from the qcd energy momentum tensor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 212001 (2018), arXiv:1808.08677 [hep-lat].
- [48] W. Sun, Y. Chen, P. Sun, and Y. B. Yang, Gluons in charmoniumlike states, *Physical Review D* **103**, 094503 (2021), arXiv:2012.06228.
- [49] R. Horsley, R. Mollo, Y. Nakamura, H. Perlt, D. Pleiter, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz, A. Schiller, F. Winter, and J. M. Zanotti (QCDSF, UKQCD), A lattice study of the glue in the nucleon, *Phys. Lett. B* **714**, 312 (2012), arXiv:1205.6410 [hep-lat].
- [50] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Remarks on higgs boson interactions with nucleons, *Phys. Lett. B* **78**, 443 (1978).
- [51] J. Liang, W. Sun, Y. Chen, W.-F. F. Qiu, M. Gong, C. Liu, Y.-B. B. Liu, Z. F. Liu, J.-P. P. Ma, and J.-B. B. Zhang, Spectrum and bethe-salpeter amplitudes of

- $\Omega$  baryons from lattice qcd, *Chinese Physics C* **40**, 41001 (2016), [arXiv:1511.04294](#).
- [52] C. Alexandrou *et al.* (European Twisted Mass), Light baryon masses with dynamical twisted mass fermions, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 014509 (2008), [arXiv:0803.3190 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [53] Z. Liu, Y. Chen, S.-J. Dong, M. Glatzmaier, M. Gong, A. Li, K.-F. Liu, Y.-B. Yang, and J.-B. Zhang ( $\chi$ QCD), Nonperturbative renormalization of overlap quark bilinears on 2+1-flavor domain wall fermion configurations, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 034505 (2014), [arXiv:1312.7628 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [54] J. Liang, Y. Chen, M. Gong, L.-C. Gui, K.-F. Liu, Z. Liu, and Y.-B. Yang, Oscillatory behavior of the domain wall fermions revisited, *Physical Review D* **89**, 094507 (2014), [arXiv:1310.3532 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [55] N. S. Dhindsa, D. Chakraborty, A. Radhakrishnan, N. Mathur, and M. Padmanath, Precise study of triply charmed baryons ( $\Omega_{ccc}$ ), arXiv Preprint [arXiv:2411.12729](#) (2024), [arXiv:2411.12729 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [56] B. Hu, X. Jiang, K.-F. Liu, P. Sun, and Y.-B. Yang, Trace anomaly contributions to baryon masses from Lattice QCD, arXiv Preprint [arXiv:2411.18402](#) (2024), [arXiv:2411.18402 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [57] Y. Maezawa and P. Petreczky, Quark masses and strong coupling constant in 2+1 flavor qcd, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 034507 (2016), [arXiv:1606.08798 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [58] Y. Bi, Y. Chen, M. Gong, F. He, K.-F. Liu, Z. Liu, Y.-B. Yang, and D.-J. Zhao ( $\chi$ QCD), Ri/(s)mom renormalizations of overlap quark bilinears with different levels of hypercubic smearing, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 054506 (2023), [arXiv:2302.01659 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [59] F. He, Y.-J. Bi, T. Draper, K.-F. Liu, Z. Liu, and Y.-B. Yang ( $\chi$ QCD), Ri/mom and ri/smom renormalization of quark bilinear operators using overlap fermions, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 114506 (2022), [arXiv:2204.09246 \[hep-lat\]](#).