# SUPRA: Superpixel Guided Loss for Improved Multi-modal Segmentation in Endoscopy

Rafael Martinez-Garcia-Peña<sup>1</sup>, Mansoor Ali Teevno<sup>1</sup>, Gilberto Ochoa-Ruiz<sup>1</sup>, Sharib Ali<sup>2</sup>

*Abstract*—Domain shift is a well-known problem in the medical imaging community. In particular, for endoscopic image analysis where the data can have different modalities the performance of deep learning (DL) methods gets adversely affected. In other words, methods developed on one modality cannot be used for a different modality. However, in real clinical settings, endoscopists switch between modalities for better mucosal visualisation. In this paper, we explore the domain generalisation technique to enable DL methods to be used in such scenarios. To this extend, we propose to use super pixels generated with Simple Linear Iterative Clustering (SLIC) which we refer to as "SUPRA" for SUPeRpixel Augmented method. SUPRA first generates a preliminary segmentation mask making use of our new loss "SLI-CLoss" that encourages both an accurate and color-consistent segmentation. We demonstrate that SLICLoss when combined with Binary Cross Entropy loss (BCE) can improve the model's generalisability with data that presents significant domain shift. We validate this novel compound loss on a vanilla U-Net using the EndoUDA dataset, which contains images for Barret's Esophagus and polyps from two modalities. We show that our method yields an improvement of nearly 25% in the target domain set compared to the baseline.

*Index Terms*—domain generalisation, endoscopy, segmentation, loss function, superpixels, SLIC, UNet

# I. INTRODUCTION

Computer vision has traditionally been an area of significant interest in many practical tasks. In medicine and other related fields, imaging techniques have shown great promise when used for segmentation, tracking, and detection in various applications. Furthermore, deep learning-based (DL) methods are increasingly being developed for medical imaging and endoscopy, as data becomes more available and procedures become more complex [\[1\]](#page-4-0).

These advances, however, have also highlighted several shortcomings in many DL methods, which arise from the nature of the medical settings: not only are the tasks themselves quite difficult, but data availability is a significant hurdle for most of the common methods used successfully in other domains [\[2\]](#page-4-1). Furthermore, when there is available data, it tends to be limited to only a small fraction of the cases one would observe in real-world scenarios: everything from instruments and acquisition devices, to procedures used and the lighting conditions are variable and can change from a hospital-tohospital basis [\[3\]](#page-4-2).

One of such tasks where these data constraints become apparent is in computer vision applications for endoscopy. Since

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

Fig. 1: Sample images from EndoUDA's White-light Imaging modalities (WLI) and Narrow-band Imaging (NBI) for both Barret's Esophagus (BE, left) and polyps (right).

the models commonly trained for this use entail networks meant for very high volumes of data, the most commonly used methodologies in other domains are not always easily deployed in such settings. In particular, image segmentation often faces domain shift issues due to these data availability limitations, combined with the natural difficulty of the task itself. Existing methods used to overcome these difficulties are often networkor dataset-specific, requiring additional information to adapt to new data, which limits their use.

An example of this limitation is the usage of different light modalities during an endoscopic examination. White-Light Imaging (WLI) may be used for a general examination, with more specific areas highlighted with Narrow-Band Imaging (NBI), allowing a clinician to inspect different anatomical aspects of the same lesion. If a model for endoscopic computer aided diagnostic tools is to be usable in this setting, then it is important that such models can work seamlessly in both of these imaging modalities, and that they avoid the need for any modality-specific training. As shown in Fig. [1](#page-0-0) (which shows two sample images from the EndoUDA dataset using two different modalities) the changes introduced by switching the lighting modality strongly alters the visual properties of the areas to be segmented [\[4\]](#page-4-3). These changes affect a model's ability to generalise. This problem is further exacerbated due to the relatively limited amount of NBI images compared to those available for WLI.

If one uses more of the available data for WLI, then we must envisage methods that can reduce the impact of the domain shift when the Source Domain (SD) is WLI, and the Target Domain (TD) is NBI. Developing such a modality agnostic approach for the segmentation of injuries in the esophagus, and pre-cancerous polyps is the focus of this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Tecnologico de Monterrey, School of Sciences and Engineering, Mexico

<sup>2</sup> University of Leeds, Computing Department

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors: gilberto.ochoa@tec.mx, S.S.Ali@leeds.ac.uk

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Fig. 2: A summary of our proposed model. A simple UNet is trained using source domain data, with each frame also being used to generate a segmentation output using Simple Linear Iterative Clustering (SLIC), a k-means clustering algorithm. Then, the generated mask from UNet is combined with the superpixel grid, where two different loss objectives are combined: One is the superpixel guided loss (S) where we evaluate how closely the mask follows the superpixel boundaries (on the right, a blue check-mark shows a boundary being closely followed, whereas the red circle shows a segmentation that does not follow a boundary), and the other is Binary Cross Entropy (B), which evaluates the overall accuracy in the prediction (on the right, a green checkmark shows good accuracy, whereas the red cross shows poor accuracy).

Several techniques have been proposed to reduce the effects of domain shift on a model [\[5\]](#page-4-4), [\[6\]](#page-4-5), which can be divided into either domain adaptation methods (where we have a set of target domains with different data distribution) or domain generalisation methods (where we wish to make our model better with any unseen data). There are many possible directions that one could follow to apply these methods in deep learning, from using conventional algorithms and regularization during training, to modifying the model being used to learn more relevant features or creating additional methods to learn how to model and lessen the impact of domain shift [\[7\]](#page-4-6).

Domain generalisation methods have shown to be effective in semantic image segmentation tasks in areas such as autonomous driving. Thus, in this paper we draw inspiration from this previous work [\[8\]](#page-4-7) to propose a new loss function that utilizes traditional superpixel segmentation using the Simple Linear Iterative Clustering (SLIC) method, a k-means-based technique [\[9\]](#page-4-8) to enforce a cluster-based consistency during training, generating more geometrically regular predictions that are better translated to other datasets without requiring any changes to the base model. Our contributions herein are two-fold: i) SUPRA (SUPeRpixel Augmented), a framework that generates the superpixels and incorporates our loss to a model and ii) SLICLoss, a loss that combines agreement with superpixel boundaries generated by SLIC, which is then added to the BCE loss function.

In order to validate our proposal (schematically depicted in Fig. [2\)](#page-1-0), we make use of a vanilla UNet model [\[10\]](#page-4-9) and incorporate an additional loss term in the form of the proposed SLICLoss. In our experiments, we compared our method with a baseline model using only the BCE loss on different modalities for BE in EndoUDA. The rationale for this case study is to assess if the proposed loss is capable of improving the network's generalisation capabilities for segmenting images containing a significant domain shift.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II further discusses the importance of dealing with domain shift in endoscopic tasks, analyzing some recent works in literature. Section III presents the proposed approach and introduces the SLICLoss. In Section IV we discuss the experimental setup, providing training and testing details. Section V presents the results of our experiments in the EndoUDA dataset. Finally, Section VI concludes the article and discusses future work.

# II. STATE OF THE ART

The issue of domain shift is one that has been addressed by many other works in literature. For instance, federated learning has been proposed to leverage many small datasets shared between hospitals to generate a single, large model from them [\[11\]](#page-4-10). Another approach has been to modify network architectures to learn differently from the same data, and then use those various approaches cooperatively for performing the final prediction [\[12\]](#page-4-11). Other studies, have made use of data augmentation, or have incorporated meta-learning strategies to exploit different characteristics from the training data in a self-supervised manner [\[7\]](#page-4-6).

Another subset of domain generalisation methods forgo using additional data and instead seek to improve the features learned by a network to make them more globally relevant to the task. Clustering and patch-based constraints have been used to improve generalisation in road segmentation [\[8\]](#page-4-7), and have been shown to reduce the accuracy loss caused by domain shift. The idea behind using constraints is to enforce consistency even if doing so may hurt the training accuracy. This is akin to seeing the domain shift problem as an overfit: the constraints have a regularizing effect that encourages the network to learn more globally applicable features.

Following the promising results of constraints for domain generalisation, in this work we drew inspiration from superpixel-based methods [\[8\]](#page-4-7) applied to reduce the domain shift generated by a switch from synthetic to real data. Through the use of SLIC, a superpixel grid is generated, without requiring any prior training. In the context of our work, the domain shift we observe arises from different light modalities used in endoscopic interventions. We demonstrate that superpixel patch consistency not only improves results when switching from real to synthetic data, but also increases performance when different lighting modalities are used.

# III. PROPOSED APPROACH

In order to alleviate the problem of domain shift in segmentation tasks for medical imaging, we propose a framework for a model to favor results that have specific visual properties more globally relevant to the lesions in our data. One characteristic that is present in both the images seen in polyps and BE is that the lesions tend to be mostly smooth shapes with few patches. With our method, we aim to encourage the model to produce similar results in both image modalities, using the same model weights without the need of retraining.

To achieve this goal, we made use of a superpixel approach based on the SLIC method for superpixel generation. We propose and test a loss function that penalizes any predictions that generate images that disagree with color differences present in the image.

The SLIC superpixel generation algorithm works by using two main parameters [\[9\]](#page-4-8). The first parameter is  $k$ , which is the number of superpixels to generate; this parameter enforces the generation of similarly sized regions with spacing  $S = \sqrt{N/k}$ , where  $N$  is the number of pixels in the image. This value then controls the number of regions generated by SLIC. The second parameter of the algorithm is  $m$ , a constant used to calculate a distance measure used to determine which region a pixel belongs to,

$$
D=\sqrt{d_c^2+\left(\frac{d_s}{S}\right)^2m^2}
$$

where  $d_c$  is euclidean distance for each color space, and  $d_s$ is the euclidean distance between pixels. A higher value of  $m$  will encourage compactness, creating regions with a lower area-to-perimeter ratio and more regular shapes. When  $m$  is lower, it produces more irregular superpixels that more strictly adhere to color boundaries.

The loss function is constructed from two main elements: the first is BCE, and the second is a correlation measure that determines whether the predicted mask produces results that are consistent with the superpixel segmentation generated by SLIC. To combine them, the SLIC correlation is multiplied by a weighing factor  $(\lambda)$ , and then both losses are added and normalized. The result is then used as the loss for the network.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
L(x, y, y') = \frac{BCE(y, y') + \lambda C_m(x, y')}{1 + \lambda}
$$
 (1)

The loss function in eq. [1](#page-2-0) has an adjustable parameter  $(\lambda)$  that encourages more color-consistent results.  $C_m$  is the correlation measure between the superpixels generated from the original image and the proposed mask generated by the model. It is expressed as a percentage of superpixels where a fraction of pixels (controlled by a threshold) are classified as belonging to a single class.  $\lambda$ , k and m are parameters tested during our grid search, while the threshold for  $C_m$  was set according to the best value observed for BE in EndoUDA.

#### IV. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

# *A. Data Partitioning*

The dataset used to perform these experiments is the EndoUDA [\[4\]](#page-4-3), [\[13\]](#page-4-12), [\[14\]](#page-4-13), which contains endoscopic images from two different medical tasks (binary segmentation for BE and polyps). EndoUDA is composed of 799 images for BE, with 284 in NBI modality and 515 in (WLI) modality. It also contains 1042 images for polyps, with 42 in NBI modality and 1000 in WLI modality (see Fig. [1\)](#page-0-0).

We used a 75-25 split on the WLI frames for training and validation, and used every frame available for NBI during testing (284 for BE and 42 for polyps).

# *B. Training*

A control test was first performed with an early stopping scheme (finalizing at 15 epochs) and with a 30 epochs duration with the standard BCE loss. The models reported in Table [I](#page-3-0) are those presenting the best validation score, corresponding to those with a training duration of 15 epochs for UNet and 30 epochs for SUPRA-UNet. All models were trained using Tensorflow 2.8.1 on a RTX 2060 GPU using CUDA 11.2, with a learning rate of 1e-04, using an Adam optimizer. The batch size was set to 1 for all runs. Geometric data augmentations were used in the training set, using the default Tensorflow generators. These include horizontal mirroring, rotation, width and height shift, shearing, and zooming. The maximum strength for these transformations were set to 5% where applicable, except for rotation which was set to 20%.

#### *C. Hyperparamater tuning*

In order to find the best values for the hyperparameters  $\lambda$ , k and m, we performed a grid search. Our initial values were chosen based on the qualitative performance of the hyperparameters on frames from the BE dataset, choosing initial values of 100 superpixels, a value of 40 for  $m$ , and with a  $\lambda$  of 25%.

These values created a superpixel grid that followed the boundaries of the ground truth acceptably. For  $C_m$ , the threshold for the expected single-class occupation was set to 80%, as this was the observed minimum percentage of occupation in the ground truth masks. Then, the hyperparameters were modified as summarized in Table [II,](#page-3-1) choosing the best value based on its performance in the target domain. The final hyperparameters, indicated in Table [II](#page-3-1) with an asterisk, were then trained for 15 and 30 epochs to compare against the performance of the control model, for both BE and polyps.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

Fig. 3: GT: Ground Truth. A qualitative comparison between SUPRA-UNet (SUPRA) and vanilla UNet (UNet). For Barret's Esophagus (left side), SUPRA performs better in narrow-band imaging (Target Dataset). For Polyps (right side), the performance is very similar between both models.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>TABLE I: Segmentation analysis for the different models



SD: Validation split of the Source Domain. TD: Target Domain (Test). SUPRA-Unet: Model trained on proposed loss. UNet: the vanilla UNet was trained only on the BCE loss. The best results are shown with bold formatting.

# TABLE II: Grid Search

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

	Hyperparameter	SD IoU	<b>TD</b> IoU	<b>SD</b> Dice	<b>TD</b> Dice
λ	Weight 50%	0.5438	0.5944	0.6548	0.7221
	Weight 75%*	0.7643	0.5865	0.8450	0.7217
	Weight 100%	0.6962	0.4522	0.7925	0.6089
k	50 Superpixels	0.7466	0.4937	0.8222	0.6362
	150 Superpixels	0.7059	0.3738	0.7985	0.5228
	500 Superpixels*	0.6881	0.6429	0.7676	0.7679
	1000 Superpixels	0.7379	0.4135	0.7942	0.5619
m	20 Consistency	0.7083	0.3650	0.8012	0.5153
	30 Consistency	0.7236	0.3872	0.8140	0.4763
	50 Consistency*	0.4975	0.5919	0.6151	0.7212

SD: Validation split of the Source Domain. TD: Target Domain (Test). λ: Weighing factor for the superpixel boundary consistency k: Number of superpixels generated. m: Compactness. \* Hyperparameter value used for the final model (SUPRA). The best results are shown with bold formatting.

The reported scores in Table [I](#page-3-0) are those obtained with 30 epochs. The proposed loss yielded the best results with the configuration:  $k = 500$  superpixels,  $m = 50$  and  $\lambda = 75\%$ .

#### V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results for the experiments are summarized in Table [I.](#page-3-0) We can observe that there is a significant drop in segmentation performance when using BCE loss from the validation set (Source Domain, SD) to the test set (Target Domain, TD), confirming the existence of severe domain shift. All tests with the SLICloss showed some degree of improvement in the TD and some degradation of performance in the SD set compared to the baseline.

SUPRA-UNet for BE achieved a SD IoU of -5.7% and a SD Dice of -5.1%, but a TD performance of +24.1% IoU and +17.7% Dice – a drop of only 5% in IoU and an improvement of 24% in IoU over the baseline model.

Some masks generated by SUPRA-UNet and UNet can be observed in Fig. [3.](#page-3-2) Generally, we observe that incorporating SUPRA yields masks with a larger prediction and fewer holes. The performance observed for NBI was a significant improvement for BE images. For polyps the same trend can be observed, but the improvement and degradation are much less pronounced.

We can observe that SUPRA is more effective for BE than for polyps. This can be due to the superpixel generation method where SLIC tires to exploit the color boundaries mostly, but it is not effective at separating a protrusion observed in polyps from the rest of the background. Even with these limitations the method still yields comparable results to vanilla UNet and does not harm performance in polyp segmentation. However, this does highlight the need for incorporating structural information to the objective function in the form of an additional loss term or to explore a superpixel generation method that takes into account topological information.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

Following a model-agnostic approach, SUPRA-UNet yielded a significant improvement in our BE target dataset, with a 24% relative increase in IoU when compared to using only BCE as loss that includes white-light imaging (WLI) as the source domain for training and narrow-band imaging (NBI) as the target domain. A slight improvement was also observed in polyp dataset. In future, we will explore using topology information inside the SUPRA to achieve improved performance on protruded lesions as well, such as polyps, with the incorporation of structural details in either the loss function or in the superpixel generation process.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank CONACYT for the master scholarship for Rafael Martinez Garcia-Peña and the PhD scholarship for Mansoor Ali Teevno at Tec de Monterrey.

# COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL APPROVAL

The images were captured in medical procedures following the ethical principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000, with the consent of the patients.

# **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] Xiongbiao Luo, Kensaku Mori, and Terry M. Peters, "Advanced Endoscopic Navigation: Surgical Big Data, Methodology, and Applications," *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 20, pp. 221–251, June 2018.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] Tobias Roß, Annika Reinke, Peter M. Full, Martin Wagner, Hannes Kenngott, Martin Apitz, Hellena Hempe, Diana Mindroc-Filimon, Patrick Scholz, Thuy Nuong Tran, Pierangela Bruno, Pablo Arbeláez, Gui-Bin Bian, Sebastian Bodenstedt, Jon Lindström Bolmgren, Laura Bravo-Sánchez, Hua-Bin Chen, Cristina González, Dong Guo, Pål Halvorsen, Pheng-Ann Heng, Enes Hosgor, Zeng-Guang Hou, Fabian Isensee, Debesh Jha, Tingting Jiang, Yueming Jin, Kadir Kirtac, Sabrina Kletz, Stefan Leger, Zhixuan Li, Klaus H. Maier-Hein, Zhen-Liang Ni, Michael A. Riegler, Klaus Schoeffmann, Ruohua Shi, Stefanie Speidel, Michael Stenzel, Isabell Twick, Gutai Wang, Jiacheng Wang, Liansheng Wang, Lu Wang, Yujie Zhang, Yan-Jie Zhou, Lei Zhu, Manuel Wiesenfarth, Annette Kopp-Schneider, Beat P. Muller-Stich, and ¨ Lena Maier-Hein, "Comparative validation of multi-instance instrument segmentation in endoscopy: Results of the ROBUST-MIS 2019 challenge," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 70, pp. 101920, May 2021.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] Juan Carlos Ángeles Cerón, Gilberto Ochoa Ruiz, Leonardo Chang, and Sharib Ali, "Real-time instance segmentation of surgical instruments using attention and multi-scale feature fusion," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 81, pp. 102569, 2022.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] Numan Celik, Sharib Ali, Soumya Gupta, Barbara Braden, and Jens Rittscher, "EndoUDA: A Modality Independent Segmentation Approach for Endoscopy Imaging," in *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2021*, Marleen de Bruijne, Philippe C. Cattin, Stephane Cotin, Nicolas Padoy, Stefanie Speidel, Yefeng Zheng, ´ and Caroline Essert, Eds., Cham, 2021, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 303–312, Springer International Publishing.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] Gabriela Csurka, Riccardo Volpi, and Boris Chidlovskii, "Unsupervised Domain Adaptation for Semantic Image Segmentation: a Comprehensive Survey," Dec. 2021, arXiv:2112.03241 [cs].
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[6] Tatiana Tommasi, Martina Lanzi, Paolo Russo, and Barbara Caputo, "Learning the Roots of Visual Domain Shift," in *Computer Vision – ECCV 2016 Workshops*, Gang Hua and Hervé Jégou, Eds., Cham, 2016, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 475–482, Springer International Publishing.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] Kaiyang Zhou, Ziwei Liu, Yu Qiao, Tao Xiang, and Chen Change Loy, "Domain Generalization: A Survey," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, pp. 1–20, 2022, Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[8] Yiheng Zhang, Zhaofan Qiu, Ting Yao, Chong-Wah Ngo, Dong Liu, and Tao Mei, "Transferring and Regularizing Prediction for Semantic Segmentation," in *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Seattle, WA, USA, June 2020, pp. 9618– 9627, IEEE.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[9] Radhakrishna Achanta, Appu Shaji, Kevin Smith, Aurelien Lucchi, Pascal Fua, and Sabine Süsstrunk, "SLIC superpixels compared to stateof-the-art superpixel methods," *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 2274–2282, Nov. 2012.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[10] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox, "U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation," in *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2015*, Nassir Navab, Joachim Hornegger, William M. Wells, and Alejandro F. Frangi, Eds., Cham, 2015, pp. 234–241, Springer International Publishing.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[11] Quande Liu, Cheng Chen, Jing Qin, Qi Dou, and Pheng-Ann Heng, "Feddg: Federated domain generalization on medical image segmentation via episodic learning in continuous frequency space," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2021, pp. 1013–1023.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[12] Chen Chen, Kerstin Hammernik, Cheng Ouyang, Chen Qin, Wenjia Bai, and Daniel Rueckert, "Cooperative Training and Latent Space Data Augmentation for Robust Medical Image Segmentation," in *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2021*, Marleen de Bruijne, Philippe C. Cattin, Stéphane Cotin, Nicolas Padoy,

Stefanie Speidel, Yefeng Zheng, and Caroline Essert, Eds., Cham, 2021, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 149–159, Springer International Publishing.

- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[13] Sharib Ali, Mariia Dmitrieva, Noha Ghatwary, Sophia Bano, Gorkem Polat, Alptekin Temizel, Adrian Krenzer, Amar Hekalo, Yun Bo Guo, Bogdan Matuszewski, Mourad Gridach, Irina Voiculescu, Vishnusai Yoganand, Arnav Chavan, Aryan Raj, Nhan T. Nguyen, Dat Q. Tran, Le Duy Huynh, Nicolas Boutry, Shahadate Rezvy, Haijian Chen, Yoon Ho Choi, Anand Subramanian, Velmurugan Balasubramanian, Xiaohong W. Gao, Hongyu Hu, Yusheng Liao, Danail Stoyanov, Christian Daul, Stefano Realdon, Renato Cannizzaro, Dominique Lamarque, Terry Tran-Nguyen, Adam Bailey, Barbara Braden, James E. East, and Jens Rittscher, "Deep learning for detection and segmentation of artefact and disease instances in gastrointestinal endoscopy," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 70, pp. 102002, May 2021.
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[14] Hanna Borgli, Vajira Thambawita, Pia H. Smedsrud, Steven Hicks, Debesh Jha, Sigrun L. Eskeland, Kristin Ranheim Randel, Konstantin Pogorelov, Mathias Lux, Duc Tien Dang Nguyen, Dag Johansen, Carsten Griwodz, Håkon K. Stensland, Enrique Garcia-Ceja, Peter T. Schmidt, Hugo L. Hammer, Michael A. Riegler, Pål Halvorsen, and Thomas de Lange, "HyperKvasir, a comprehensive multi-class image and video dataset for gastrointestinal endoscopy," *Scientific Data*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 283, Aug. 2020, Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.