

Fast Adaptive Federated Bilevel Optimization

Feihu Huang*

Abstract

Bilevel optimization is a popular hierarchical model in machine learning, and has been widely applied to many machine learning tasks such as meta learning, hyperparameter learning and policy optimization. Although many bilevel optimization algorithms recently have been developed, few adaptive algorithm focuses on the bilevel optimization under the distributed setting. It is well known that the adaptive gradient methods show superior performances on both distributed and non-distributed optimization. In the paper, thus, we propose a novel adaptive federated bilevel optimization algorithm (i.e., AdaFBiO) to solve the distributed bilevel optimization problems, where the objective function of Upper-Level (UL) problem is possibly nonconvex, and that of Lower-Level (LL) problem is strongly convex. Specifically, our AdaFBiO algorithm builds on the momentum-based variance reduced technique and local-SGD to obtain the best known sample and communication complexities simultaneously. In particular, our AdaFBiO algorithm uses the unified adaptive matrices to flexibly incorporate various adaptive learning rates to update variables in both UL and LL problems. Moreover, we provide a convergence analysis framework for our AdaFBiO algorithm, and prove it needs the sample complexity of $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3})$ with communication complexity of $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$ to obtain an ϵ -stationary point. Experimental results on federated hyper-representation learning and federated data hyper-cleaning tasks verify efficiency of our algorithm.

1 Introduction

Federated Learning (FL) [McMahan et al., 2017] is a popular distributed learning paradigm in machine learning for training a centralized model based on decentralized data over a network of clients. Although many FL algorithms recently have been developed, few FL algorithms focus on the bilevel optimization problems with hierarchical structures. In the paper, we consider studying the following distributed stochastic bilevel optimization problem, defined as

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} F(x) := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{\xi^m \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [f^m(x, y^*(x); \xi^m)] \quad (\text{Upper-Level}) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{s.t. } y^*(x) \in \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{\zeta^m \sim \mathcal{S}^m} [g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)], \quad (\text{Lower-Level})$$

where for any $m \in [M]$, $f^m(x, y^*(x)) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi^m} [f^m(x, y^*(x); \xi^m)]$ denotes the objective function of Upper-Level (UL) problem in m -th client, which is a differentiable and possibly nonconvex

*College of Computer Science and Technique, College of Artificial Intelligence, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, China. Email: huangfeihu2018@gmail.com

Table 1: **Sample** and **Communication** complexities comparison of the representative **federated bilevel optimization** algorithms to find an ϵ -stationary point of the bilevel problem (1), i.e., $\mathbb{E}\|\nabla F(x)\| \leq \epsilon$ or its equivalent variants. **ALR** denotes adaptive learning rate.

Algorithm	Reference	Sample Complexity	Communication Complexity	ALR
FEDNEST	Tarzanagh et al. [2022]	$\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-4})$	$\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-4})$	
FedBiOAcc	Li et al. [2022a]	$\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3})$	$\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$	
LocalBSGVRM	Gao [2022]	$\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3})$	$\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$	
AdaFBiO	Ours	$\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3})$	$\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$	✓

function, and $g^m(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_{\zeta^m} [g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)]$ denotes the objective function of Lower-Level (LL) problem in m -th client, which is a differentiable and strongly convex function. Here ξ^m and ζ^m for any $m \in [M]$ are independent random variables follow unknown distributions \mathcal{D}^m and \mathcal{S}^m respectively, and for any $m, j \in [M]$ possibly $\mathcal{D}^m \neq \mathcal{D}^j$, $\mathcal{S}^m \neq \mathcal{S}^j$ and $\mathcal{D}^m \neq \mathcal{S}^j$. Note that let $y^m \in \mathbb{R}^{p_m}$ for any $m \in [M]$, $p = \sum_{m=1}^M p_m$, $y = (y^1; \dots; y^M) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $y^* = (y^{*(1)}; \dots; y^{*(M)}) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $g^m(x, y; \zeta^m) = g^m(x, y^m; \zeta^m)$ and $f^m(x, y^*(x); \xi^m) = f^m(x, y^{*(m)}(x); \xi^m)$, the above problem (1) will become the following formulation studied in [Guo and Yang, 2021, Li et al., 2022a],

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} F(x) &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{\xi^m \sim \mathcal{D}^m} [f^m(x, y^{*(m)}(x); \xi^m)] \\ \text{s.t. } y^{*(m)}(x) &\in \arg \min_{y^m \in \mathbb{R}^{p_m}} \mathbb{E}_{\zeta^m \sim \mathcal{S}^m} [g^m(x, y^m; \zeta^m)], \quad \forall m \in [M]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Thus, Problem (2) is a specific case of Problem (1). Note that Guo and Yang [2021] did not focus on the *distributed* bilevel optimization. Applications of the problem (1) involves many machine learning problems with a hierarchical structure, which include meta learning Franceschi et al. [2018], hyper-parameter optimization Franceschi et al. [2018], reinforcement learning Hong et al. [2020] and neural network architecture search Liu et al. [2018]. Specifically, we provide two popular applications that can be formulated as the bilevel problem (1).

1). Distributed Meta Learning. The meta learning [Finn et al., 2017, Franceschi et al., 2018] aims to learn task specific parameters that generalize to a diverse set of tasks. Suppose we have M tasks $\{\mathcal{T}_m, m \in [M]\}$ and each task has a corresponding loss function $L(x, y^m; \xi^m)$ with ξ^m representing data sample for task \mathcal{T}_m , where $y^m \in \mathbb{R}^{p_m}$ denotes the task specific parameters, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the model parameters shared among tasks. In the paper, we consider the meta learning under the distributed setting, where each task is in each client. Then the goal of meta learning can be represented to solve the following bilevel optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{\xi^m \sim \mathcal{D}_{te}^m} [L(x, y^{*(m)}(x); \xi^m)] \\ \text{s.t. } y^*(x) \in \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\zeta^m \sim \mathcal{D}_{tr}^m} [L(x, y^m; \zeta^m)] + \mathcal{R}(y^m) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $y^m \in \mathbb{R}^{p_m}$ for any $m \in [M]$, $p = \sum_{m=1}^M p_m$, $y = (y^1; \dots; y^M) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $y^* = (y^{*(1)}; \dots; y^{*(M)}) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\mathcal{R}(y^m)$ is a strongly convex regularizer for all $m \in [M]$. Here \mathcal{D}_{tr}^m and \mathcal{D}_{te}^m are the training and testing datasets respectively for task \mathcal{T}_m for all $m \in [M]$. Let $f^m(x, y^*(x); \xi^m) = L(x, y^{*(m)}(x); \xi^m)$

and $g^m(x, y; \zeta^m) = L(x, y^m; \zeta^m) + R(y^m)$, so the above formulation (3) can be represented by the formulation of Problem (1).

2). Federated Data Hyper-Cleaning. The data hyper-cleaning [Shaban et al., 2019] is a hyperparameter optimization problem that aims to train a classifier model with a dataset of randomly corrupted label. In the paper, we design a robust federated learning framework by using data hyper-cleaning technique, which is to solve the following distributed bilevel problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{te}^m} L(a_i^T y^*(x), b_i) \right\} \\ \text{s.t. } y^*(x) \in \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{tr}^m} \sigma(x_i) L(a_i^T y, b_i) + \nu \|y\|^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\nu > 0$, $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the loss function, (a_i, b_i) denotes the i -th data point, $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the model parameter, and x_i is the parameter that determines the weight for the i -th data. Here $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ denotes the weight function. \mathcal{D}_{tr}^m and \mathcal{D}_{te}^m are validation and training sets on the m -th client, respectively.

Although many algorithms recently have been proposed to solve the bilevel optimization problems, few distributed algorithms focus on solving the distributed bilevel optimization problems. More recently, Li et al. [2022a], Tarzanagh et al. [2022], Gao [2022] proposed some federated bilevel algorithms for the distributed bilevel problems. Meanwhile, Chen et al. [2022], Lu et al. [2022a] studied the bilevel optimization algorithms under the decentralized setting. It is well known that the adaptive gradient methods show superior performance over the non-adaptive learning rate schedule, however, how to incorporate these adaptive gradient methods efficiently to the federated setting is still an open problem. Recently, only a few adaptive federated learning algorithms have been developed for the single-level optimization problems. Then there exists a natural question:

Could we design an effective adaptive federated algorithm for solving the distributed bilevel optimization problem (1) ?

In the paper, we provide an affirmative answer to the above question and propose an effective and efficient adaptive federated bilevel optimization algorithm (i.e., AdaFBiO) to solve the problem (1). Specifically, our AdaFBiO algorithm builds on the local-SGD [Stich, 2018] and momentum-based variance reduced of STORM [Cutkosky and Orabona, 2019] techniques to obtain the best known sample and communication complexities simultaneously. Our main contributions are three-fold:

- (1) We propose an efficient adaptive federated bilevel optimization algorithm (i.e., AdaFBiO) for the distributed bilevel optimization based on the local-SGD and momentum-based variance reduced techniques. In particular, our AdaFBiO algorithm uses the unified adaptive matrices to flexibly incorporate various adaptive learning rates to update variables in both UL and LL problems.
- (2) We provide a convergence analysis framework for our AdaFBiO algorithm under non-i.i.d. setting, and prove it reaches the best known sample complexity of $O(\epsilon^{-3})$ with communication complexity of $O(\epsilon^{-2})$ to obtain an ϵ -stationary point (Please see Table 1).

- (3) Some experiments demonstrate the efficiency of our AdaFBiO algorithm on federated hyper-representation learning and federated data hyper-cleaning tasks.

2 Related Works

In this section, we overview some representative federated learning algorithms, bilevel optimization algorithms and adaptive gradient algorithms, respectively.

2.1 Federated Learning Algorithms

Federated Learning (FL) is a distributed and privacy-preserving optimization method, which learns a global model from a set of distributed located clients without sharing their private data under the coordination of a server. McMahan et al. [2017] firstly proposed the FedAvg algorithm for FL based on local-SGD algorithms [Stich, 2018], where each client conducts multiple steps of gradient descent with its local data and then sends the learned parameter to the server for averaging. Recently, local-SGD and FedAvg algorithms have been developed and studied in [Karimireddy et al., 2019, Deng and Mahdavi, 2021, Li et al., 2019, Glasgow et al., 2022]. For example, Li et al. [2019] studied the convergence properties of FedAvg or local-SGD algorithm under non-i.i.d setting. More recently, Glasgow et al. [2022] provided a near optimal convergence rate of the Local-SGD under the convex setting. In parallel, many accelerated FL algorithms [Yuan and Ma, 2020, Karimireddy et al., 2020b,a, Chen et al., 2020a, Khanduri et al., 2021a] have been proposed to accelerate the vanilla local-SGD and FedAvg algorithms. For example, Karimireddy et al. [2020b] proposed a stochastic controlled averaging algorithm for FL by adopting the variance-reduced technique. Meanwhile, Karimireddy et al. [2020a] presented a general framework for FL by using a combination of control-variate and server-level optimizer state. More recently, Khanduri et al. [2021a] proposed a momentum-based variance reduced algorithm for FL, which reaches simultaneously near-optimal sample and communication complexities.

Recently, some adaptive gradient methods [Reddi et al., 2020, Chen et al., 2020b, Li et al., 2022b] are proposed for FL. For example, Reddi et al. [2020] proposed a class of adaptive federated algorithms for FL by using adaptive learning rates at the server side. Meanwhile, Chen et al. [2020b] proposed an efficient local-AMSGrad algorithm, where clients locally update variables by using adaptive learning rates shared with all clients. Subsequently, some communication-efficient adaptive federated algorithms [Tang et al., 2020, Lu et al., 2022b] have been developed based on the compression technique.

2.2 Bilevel Optimization Algorithms

Bilevel optimization is widely applied in many machine learning problems with hierarchical structures such as meta learning and hyperparameter learning. So its researches have become active in the machine learning community, and some bilevel optimization methods recently have been proposed. For example, one class of successful methods Colson et al. [2007], Kunapuli et al. [2008] are to reformulate the bilevel problem as a single-level problem by replacing the inner problem by its optimality conditions. Another class of successful methods Ghadimi and Wang [2018], Hong et al. [2020], Ji et al. [2020], Chen et al. [2021], Khanduri et al. [2021b], Li et al. [2021], Guo and Yang [2021] for bilevel optimization are to iteratively approximate the (stochastic) gradient of the outer problem either in forward or backward. Moreover, the non-asymptotic analysis of these bilevel

optimization methods has been recently studied. For example, Ghadimi and Wang [2018] firstly studied the sample complexity of $O(\epsilon^{-6})$ of the proposed double-loop algorithm for the bilevel problem (1). Subsequently, Ji et al. [2020] proposed an accelerated double-loop algorithm that reaches the sample complexity of $O(\epsilon^{-4})$ relying on large batches. At the same time, Hong et al. [2020] studied a single-loop algorithm that reaches the sample complexity of $O(\epsilon^{-5})$ without relying on large batches. Moreover, Yang et al. [2021], Khanduri et al. [2021b], Guo and Yang [2021], Huang and Huang [2021] proposed a class of accelerated methods by using variance-reduced technique, which achieve the best known sample complexity of $O(\epsilon^{-3})$. More recently, Huang et al. [2022] proposed a class of accelerated bilevel optimization algorithms by using Bregman distances.

More recently, Li et al. [2022a], Tarzanagh et al. [2022], Chen et al. [2022], Lu et al. [2022a], Gao [2022] studied the bilevel optimization under the distributed setting. Specifically, Li et al. [2022a], Tarzanagh et al. [2022], Gao [2022] studied the federated learning framework for bilevel optimization. Chen et al. [2022], Lu et al. [2022a] studied the bilevel optimization under the decentralized learning setting.

2.3 Adaptive Gradient Algorithms

Adaptive gradient methods are a class of successful optimization tools to solve large-scale machine learning problems. Recently, the adaptive gradient methods [Duchi et al., 2011, Kingma and Ba, 2014, Loshchilov and Hutter, 2018] have been widely studied in machine learning community. Adam [Kingma and Ba, 2014] is one of popular adaptive gradient methods by using a coordinate-wise adaptive learning rate and momentum technique to accelerate algorithm, which is the default optimization tool for training deep neural networks (DNNs). Subsequently, some variants of Adam algorithm [Zaheer et al., 2018, Reddi et al., 2019, Chen et al., 2019, Guo et al., 2021a] have been presented to obtain a convergence guarantee under the nonconvex setting. Due to using coordinate-wise adaptive learning rate, Adam frequently shows a bad generalization performance in training DNNs. To improve the generalization performance of Adam, recently some adaptive gradient methods such as AdamW [Loshchilov and Hutter, 2018] and AdaBelief [Zhuang et al., 2020] have been proposed. More recently, some accelerated adaptive gradient methods [Cutkosky and Orabona, 2019, Huang et al., 2021] have been proposed based on the variance-reduced techniques.

3 Preliminaries

3.1 Notations

$\mathcal{U}\{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ denotes a uniform distribution over a discrete set $\{1, 2, \dots, K\}$. $[M]$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$. $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the ℓ_2 norm for vectors and spectral norm for matrices. $\nabla f(x)$ denotes the gradient of function $f(x)$. $\langle x, y \rangle$ denotes the inner product of two vectors x and y . For vectors x and y , x^r ($r > 0$) denotes the element-wise power operation, x/y denotes the element-wise division and $\max(x, y)$ denotes the element-wise maximum. I_d denotes a d -dimensional identity matrix. Matrix $A \succ 0$ is a positive definite. Given $f(x, y)$, $f(x, \cdot)$ denotes function *w.r.t.* the second variable with fixing x , and $f(\cdot, y)$ denotes function *w.r.t.* the first variable with fixing y . $a_m = O(b_m)$ denotes $a_m \leq cb_m$ for some constant $c > 0$. The notation $\tilde{O}(\cdot)$ hides logarithmic terms.

3.2 Assumptions

In this subsection, we give some mild assumptions on the problem (1).

Assumption 1. For any $m \in [M]$, x and ζ^m , $g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$ is L_g -smooth and μ -strongly convex function on variable y , i.e., $L_g I_p \succeq \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m) \succeq \mu I_p$.

Assumption 2. For any $m \in [M]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, ξ^m and ζ^m , stochastic functions $f^m(x, y; \xi^m)$ and $g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$ satisfy the following conditions hold: $\nabla_x f^m(x, y; \xi^m)$ and $\nabla_y f^m(x, y; \xi^m)$ are L_f -Lipschitz continuous, $\nabla_y g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$ is L_g -Lipschitz continuous, $\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$ is L_{gxy} -Lipschitz continuous, $\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$ is L_{gyy} -Lipschitz continuous. For example, for all $x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_x f^m(x_1, y; \xi^m) - \nabla_x f^m(x_2, y; \xi^m)\| &\leq L_f \|x_1 - x_2\|, \\ \|\nabla_x f^m(x, y_1; \xi^m) - \nabla_x f^m(x, y_2; \xi^m)\| &\leq L_f \|y_1 - y_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Assumption 3. The partial derivatives $\nabla_y f^m(x, y)$ and $\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y)$ are bounded for any $m \in [M]$, i.e., $\|\nabla_y f^m(x, y)\|^2 \leq C_{fy}^2$ and $\|\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y)\|^2 \leq C_{gxy}^2$.

Assumption 4. Stochastic functions $f^m(x, y; \xi^m)$ and $g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$ have unbiased stochastic partial derivatives with bounded variance, e.g.,

$$\mathbb{E}[\nabla_x f^m(x, y; \xi^m)] = \nabla_x f^m(x, y), \quad \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_x f^m(x, y; \xi^m) - \nabla_x f^m(x, y)\|^2 \leq \sigma^2.$$

The same assumptions hold for $\nabla_y f^m(x, y; \xi^m)$, $\nabla_y g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$, $\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$ and $\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$.

Assumptions 1-4 are commonly used in stochastic bilevel optimization problems [Ghadimi and Wang, 2018, Hong et al., 2020, Ji et al., 2020, Chen et al., 2021, Khanduri et al., 2021b, Guo et al., 2021b]. Based on Assumption 2, we have $\|\nabla_x f^m(x_1, y) - \nabla_x f^m(x_2, y)\| = \|\mathbb{E}[\nabla_x f^m(x_1, y; \xi^m) - \nabla_x f^m(x_2, y; \xi^m)]\| \leq \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_x f^m(x_1, y; \xi^m) - \nabla_x f^m(x_2, y; \xi^m)\| \leq L_f \|x_1 - x_2\|$, i.e., we can also obtain $\nabla_x f^m(x, y)$ is L_f -Lipschitz continuous, which is similar for $\nabla_y f^m(x, y)$, $\nabla_y g^m(x, y)$, $\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y)$ and $\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y)$. At the same time, based on Assumptions 3 and 4, we also have $\|\nabla_y f^m(x, y; \xi^m)\|^2 = \|\nabla_y f^m(x, y; \xi^m) - \nabla_y f^m(x, y) + \nabla_y f^m(x, y)\|^2 \leq 2\|\nabla_y f^m(x, y; \xi^m) - \nabla_y f^m(x, y)\|^2 + 2\|\nabla_y f^m(x, y)\|^2 \leq 2\sigma^2 + 2C_{fy}^2$ and $\|\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)\|^2 \leq 2\sigma^2 + 2C_{gxy}^2$. Clearly, under Assumption 4, the bounded $\nabla_y f^m(x, y)$ and $\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y)$ are not milder than the bounded $\nabla_y f^m(x, y; \xi^m)$ and $\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)$ for all ξ^m and ζ^m .

3.3 Distributed Bilevel Optimization

In this subsection, we review the basic first-order method for solving the distributed bilevel problem, defined as

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} F(x) := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M f^m(x, y^*(x)), \quad \text{s.t. } y^*(x) \in \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} g(x, y) := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M g^m(x, y). \quad (5)$$

Naturally, we use the gradient descent to update the variables x, y : at the t -th step

$$y_{t+1} = y_t - \lambda \nabla_y g(x_t, y_t), \quad x_{t+1} = x_t - \gamma \nabla F(x_t),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ denote the step sizes. Clearly, if there does not exist a closed form solution of the inner problem in Problem (5), i.e., $y_{t+1} \neq y^*(x_t)$, we can not easily obtain the gradient $\nabla F(x_t) = \nabla f(x_t, y^*(x_t))$. Thus, one of key points in solving Problem (5) is to estimate the gradient $\nabla F(x_t)$. For notational simplicity, let $\nabla_{xy}^2 = \nabla_x \nabla_y$ and $\nabla_{yy}^2 = \nabla_y \nabla_y$. In the following, we give two useful lemmas, whose proofs are provided in the Appendix.

Lemma 1. *Under the above Assumption 1, we have, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla F(x) &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x f^m(x, y^*(x)) + \nabla y^*(x)^T \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x, y^*(x)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x f^m(x, y^*(x)) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \left[\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right]^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x, y^*(x)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From the above Lemma 1, when $M = 1$, we have

$$\nabla F(x) = \nabla_x f(x, y^*(x)) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g(x, y^*(x)) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g(x, y^*(x)))^{-1} \nabla_y f(x, y^*(x)),$$

which is the same result in Lemma 2.1 of Ghadimi and Wang [2018]. Then, it is natural to use the following form to estimate $\nabla F(x)$, defined as

$$\hat{\nabla} f(x, y) = \nabla_x f(x, y) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g(x, y) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g(x, y))^{-1} \nabla_y f(x, y).$$

Since the cost of explicitly compute Hessian inverse matrix $(\nabla_{yy}^2 g(x, y))^{-1}$ is very expensive, we can use the Neumann series $\mu \sum_{k=0}^K (I_p - \nabla_{yy}^2 g(x, y) \mu)^k$ ($K \geq 1$) to approximate $(\nabla_{yy}^2 g(x, y))^{-1}$, where $\mu > 0$. When $K = +\infty$, we have $\mu \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (I_p - \nabla_{yy}^2 g(x, y) \mu)^i = (\nabla_{yy}^2 g(x, y))^{-1}$. For each function $f^m(x, y^*(x))$, we also provide an approximated gradient $\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y)$, defined as

$$\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y) = \nabla_x f^m(x, y) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x, y), \quad \forall m \in [M]. \quad (7)$$

In the paper, we consider the distributed stochastic bilevel optimization problem (1). For any $m \in [M]$, $f^m(x, y^*(x)) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi^m} [f^m(x, y^*(x); \xi^m)]$ and $g^m(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_{\zeta^m} [g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)]$.

Lemma 2. *Under the above Assumptions (1, 2, 3), the global functions (or mappings) $F(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M f^m(x, y^*(x))$ and $y^*(x) = \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M g^m(x, y)$ satisfy, for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2)\| &\leq \kappa \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad \|\nabla y^*(x_1) - \nabla y^*(x_2)\| \leq L_y \|x_1 - x_2\| \\ \|\nabla F(x_1) - \nabla F(x_2)\| &\leq L \|x_1 - x_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = C_{gxy}/\mu$, $L_y = \left(\frac{C_{gxy} L_{gyy}}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gxy}}{\mu} \right) \left(1 + \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu} \right)$ and $L = \left(L_f + \frac{C_{gxy} L_f}{\mu} + C_{fy} \left(\frac{C_{gxy} L_{gyy}}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gxy}}{\mu} \right) \right) \left(1 + \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu} \right)$.

The above Lemma 2 shows that $y^*(x)$ is κ -Lipschitz continuous, $\nabla y^*(x)$ is L_y -Lipschitz continuous, and $\nabla F(x)$ is L -Lipschitz continuous.

Algorithm 1 Adaptive Federated Bilevel Optimization (**AdaFBiO**) Algorithm

- 1: **Input:** $T, q, K \in \mathbb{N}^+$, tuning parameters $\{\gamma, \lambda, \eta_t, \alpha_t, \beta_t\}$, initial inputs $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^p$;
 - 2: **initialize:** Set $x_1^m = x_1$ and $y_1^m = y_1$ for $m \in [M]$, and draw $q(K+2)$ independent samples $\{\bar{\xi}_1^{m,i}\}_{i=1}^q = \{\xi_1^{m,i}, \zeta_{1,0}^{m,i}, \zeta_{1,1}^{m,i}, \dots, \zeta_{1,K-1}^{m,i}\}_{i=1}^q$ and $\{\zeta_1^{m,i}\}_{i=1}^q$, and then compute $v_1^m = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \nabla_y g^m(x_1^m, y_1^m; \zeta_1^{m,i})$, and $w_1^m = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_1^m, y_1^m; \bar{\xi}_1^{m,i})$ for all $m \in [M]$; Generate adaptive matrices $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$.
 - 3: **for** $t = 1$ **to** T **do**
 - 4: **if** $\text{mod}(t, q) = 0$ **then**
 - 5: $\bar{v}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M v_t^m, \bar{w}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M w_t^m, \bar{y}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M y_t^m, \bar{x}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M x_t^m$;
 - 6: Generate the adaptive matrices $A_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $B_t \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$;
 One example of A_t and B_t by using update rule ($a_0 = 0, b_0 = 0, 0 < \varrho_t < 1, \rho > 0$).
 Compute $a_t = \varrho_t a_{t-1} + (1 - \varrho_t) \bar{w}_t^2, A_t = \text{diag}(\sqrt{a_t} + \rho)$;
 Compute $b_t = \varrho_t b_{t-1} + (1 - \varrho_t) \|\bar{v}_t\|, B_t = (b_t + \rho) I_p$;
 - 7: $\hat{y}_{t+1}^m = \hat{y}_{t+1} = \bar{y}_t - \lambda B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t, \hat{x}_{t+1}^m = \hat{x}_{t+1} = \bar{x}_t - \gamma A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t$;
 - 8: $y_{t+1}^m = \hat{y}_{t+1} = \bar{y}_t + \eta_t (\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t), x_{t+1}^m = \hat{x}_{t+1} = \bar{x}_t + \eta_t (\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t)$; (Sent them to Clients)
 - 9: **else**
 - 10: **for** $m = 1, \dots, M$ (**in parallel**) **do**
 - 11: $\hat{y}_{t+1}^m = y_t^m - \lambda B_t^{-1} v_t^m, \hat{x}_{t+1}^m = x_t^m - \gamma A_t^{-1} w_t^m$;
 - 12: $y_{t+1}^m = y_t^m + \eta_t (\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m), x_{t+1}^m = x_t^m + \eta_t (\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m)$;
 - 13: $A_{t+1} = A_t, B_{t+1} = B_t$;
 - 14: **end for**
 - 15: **end if**
 - 16: **for** $m = 1, \dots, M$ (**in parallel**) **do**
 - 17: Draw independent samples $\bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m = \{\xi_{t+1}^m, \zeta_{t+1,0}^m, \dots, \zeta_{t+1,K-1}^m\}$ and ζ_{t+1}^m ;
 - 18: $v_{t+1}^m = \nabla_y g^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \zeta_{t+1}^m) + (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) [v_t^m - \nabla_y g^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \zeta_{t+1}^m)]$;
 - 19: $w_{t+1}^m = \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) + (1 - \beta_{t+1}) [w_t^m - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)]$;
 - 20: **end for**
 - 21: **end for**
 - 22: **Output:** Chosen uniformly random from $\{\bar{x}_t\}_{t=1}^T$.
-

4 Adaptive Federated Bilevel Optimization Algorithm

In this section, we propose a fast adaptive federated bilevel optimization (AdaFBiO) algorithm to solve Problem (1), which builds on the momentum-based variance reduced and local-SDG techniques. Meanwhile, our AdaFBiO algorithm uses the unified adaptive matrices to flexibly incorporate various adaptive learning rates to update variables in both UL and LL subproblems of Problem (1). Specifically, Algorithm 1 provides a procedure framework of our AdaFBiO algorithm.

In Algorithm 1, when $\text{mod}(t, q) = 0$ (i.e., synchronization step), the server receives the updated variables $\{y_t^m, x_t^m\}_{m=1}^M$ and estimated stochastic gradients $\{v_t^m, w_t^m\}_{m=1}^M$ from the clients, and then averages them to obtain the averaged variables $\{\bar{y}_t, \bar{x}_t\}$ and averaged gradients $\{\bar{v}_t, \bar{w}_t\}$. Based on these averaged gradients, we can generate some adaptive matrices (i.e., adaptive learning rates). Besides one example given at the line 6 of Algorithm 1, we can also generate many adaptive matrices. For example, we can generate adaptive matrices as in AdaBelief [Zhuang et al., 2020] algorithm,

defined as

$$a_t = \rho_t a_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_t)(\bar{w}_t - \bar{w}_{t_0})^2, \quad A_t = \text{diag}(\sqrt{a_t} + \rho), \quad (8)$$

$$b_t = \rho_t b_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_t)\|\bar{v}_t - \bar{v}_{t_0}\|, \quad B_t = (b_t + \rho)I_p, \quad (9)$$

where $t_0 = t - q$. Note that we can directly choose α_t and β_t instead of ρ_t to reduce the number of tuning parameters in our algorithm. Next, based on these adaptive matrices, we can update the variables x and y in the server, then sent these updated variables to each client.

When $\text{mod}(t, q) \neq 0$ (i.e., asynchronization step), the clients receive the updated variables $\{\bar{x}_{t+1}, \bar{y}_{t+1}\}$ and the generated adaptive matrices $\{A_t, B_t\}$ from the server. Then the clients use the momentum-based variance reduced technique of STORM [Cutkosky and Orabona, 2019] to update the stochastic gradients based on local data: for $m \in [M]$

$$v_{t+1}^m = \nabla_y g^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \zeta_{t+1}^m) + (1 - \alpha_{t+1})[v_t^m - \nabla_y g^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \zeta_{t+1}^m)] \quad (10)$$

$$w_{t+1}^m = \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\zeta}_{t+1}^m) + (1 - \beta_{t+1})[w_t^m - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\zeta}_{t+1}^m)] \quad (11)$$

where $\alpha_{t+1} \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta_{t+1} \in (0, 1)$. Based on the estimated stochastic gradients and adaptive matrices, the clients update the variables $\{x_t^m, y_t^m\}_{m=1}^M$, defined as

$$\hat{y}_{t+1}^m = y_t^m - \lambda B_t^{-1} v_t^m, \quad y_{t+1}^m = y_t^m + \eta_t(\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m), \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{x}_{t+1}^m = x_t^m - \gamma A_t^{-1} w_t^m, \quad x_{t+1}^m = x_t^m + \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m) \quad (13)$$

which is equivalent to the following formation:

$$y_{t+1}^m = y_t^m - \lambda \eta_t B_t^{-1} v_t^m, \quad x_{t+1}^m = x_t^m - \gamma \eta_t A_t^{-1} w_t^m. \quad (14)$$

In Algorithm 1, all clients use the same adaptive matrices generated from the server. Moreover, our AdaFBiO algorithm uses the unified adaptive matrices $\{A_t, B_t\}$ to flexibly incorporate various adaptive learning rates.

At the line 17 of Algorithm 1, we draw $K+1$ independent samples $\bar{\xi}^m = \{\xi^m, \zeta_0^m, \zeta_1^m, \dots, \zeta_{K-1}^m\}$, then provide a stochastic gradient estimator of the function $f^m(x, y^*(x))$, defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y, \bar{\xi}^m) &= \nabla_x f^m(x, y; \xi^m) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta_0^m) \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{K}{L_g} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(I_p - \frac{1}{L_g} \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta_i^m) \right) \right] \nabla_y f^m(x, y; \xi^m), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $K \geq 1$ and $k \sim \mathcal{U}\{0, 1, \dots, K-1\}$ is a uniform random variable independent on $\bar{\xi}$. Here we use the term $\frac{K}{L_g} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(I_p - \frac{1}{L_g} \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta_i^m) \right)$ to approximate the Hessian inverse, i.e., $(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m))^{-1}$. In practice, we can use a tuning parameter $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{1}{L_g}]$ instead of the parameter $\frac{1}{L_g}$ in the above gradient estimator (15), as in Khanduri et al. [2021b]. Clearly, the above $\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y, \bar{\xi}^m)$ is a biased estimator in estimating $\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y)$, i.e. $\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\xi}^m} [\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y; \bar{\xi}^m)] \neq \hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y)$.

Note that our AdaFBiO algorithm does not estimate the global gradient

$$\nabla F(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\nabla_x f^m(x, y^*(x)) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \left[\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right]^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x, y^*(x)) \right) \right)$$

or the global information of $g(x, y^*(x)) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M g^m(x, y^*(x))$, i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right)^{-1},$$

as in [Tarzanagh et al., 2022, Chen et al., 2022] to control the variance generated from data heterogeneity. However, we only estimates the local gradient $\nabla_x f^m(x, y^*(x))$ at each client based on the local data, which can also guarantee the convergence of our algorithms. Moreover, our AdaFBiO algorithm still can obtain the best known sample and communication complexities simultaneously under the non-i.i.d. setting (Please see the following convergence analysis). At the same time, our AdaFBiO algorithm does not rely on some unreasonable assumptions such as the Assumption 4 of [Gao, 2022].

5 Theoretical Analysis

In this section, we study the convergence properties of our AdaFBiO algorithm under some mild assumptions. All related proofs are provided in the Appendix. We first review some useful lemmas and assumptions.

Lemma 3. (Lemma 2.1 of Khanduri et al. [2021b]) Under the about Assumptions 1 and 3, for any $K \geq 1$, $m \in [M]$, the gradient estimator in (15) satisfies

$$\|R^m(x, y)\| \leq \frac{C_{gxy} C_{fy}}{\mu} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L_g}\right)^K, \quad (16)$$

where $R^m(x, y) = \hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y) - \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\xi}^m} [\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y; \bar{\xi}^m)]$.

Lemma 4. (Lemma 3.1 of Khanduri et al. [2021b]) Under the above Assumptions 1-3, stochastic gradient estimator $\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y; \bar{\xi}^m)$ for any $m \in [M]$ is L_K -Lipschitz continuous, such that for $x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\xi}^m} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_1, y; \bar{\xi}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_2, y; \bar{\xi}^m)\|^2 &\leq L_K^2 \|x_1 - x_2\|^2, \\ \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\xi}^m} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y_1; \bar{\xi}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y_2; \bar{\xi}^m)\|^2 &\leq L_K^2 \|y_1 - y_2\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $L_K^2 = 2L_f^2 + 6C_{gxy}^2 L_f^2 \frac{K}{2\mu L_g - \mu^2} + 6C_{fy}^2 L_{gxy}^2 \frac{K}{2\mu L_g - \mu^2} + 6C_{gxy}^2 L_f^2 \frac{K^3 L_{gyy}^2}{(L_g - \mu)^2 (2\mu L_g - \mu^2)}$.

According to Lemma 3, choose $K = \frac{L_g}{\mu} \log(C_{gxy} C_{fy} T / \mu)$ in Algorithm 1, we have $\|R^m(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{T}$ for all $t \geq 1$. Lemma 3 shows that the bias $R^m(x, y)$ decays exponentially fast with number K . For notational simplicity, let $R_t^m = R^m(x_t, y_t)$ for all $t \geq 1$. Lemma 4 shows the smoothness of gradient estimator $\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y; \bar{\xi}^m)$, for any $\xi^m \sim \mathcal{D}^m$, $m \in [M]$.

Assumption 5. The estimated stochastic partial derivative $\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y; \bar{\xi}^m)$ for any $m \in [M]$ satisfies $\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\xi}^m} [\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y; \bar{\xi}^m)] = \hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y) + R^m(x, y)$, $\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\xi}^m} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y; \bar{\xi}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y) - R^m(x, y)\|^2 \leq \sigma^2$.

Assumption 6. In our algorithms, the adaptive matrices $A_t \succeq \rho I_d \succ 0$ for all $t \geq 1$ for updating the variables x , where $\rho > 0$ is an appropriate positive number. The adaptive matrices $B_t = b_t I_p$ ($\hat{b} \geq b_t \geq \rho > 0$) for all $t \geq 1$ for updating the variables y .

Assumption 7. For any $m, j \in [M]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, we have $\|\nabla_x f^m(x, y) - \nabla_x f^j(x, y)\| \leq \delta_f$, $\|\nabla_y f^m(x, y) - \nabla_y f^j(x, y)\| \leq \delta_f$, $\|\nabla_y g^m(x, y) - \nabla_y g^j(x, y)\| \leq \delta_g$, $\|\nabla_{xy} g^m(x, y) - \nabla_{xy} g^j(x, y)\| \leq \delta_g$, $\|\nabla_{yy} g^m(x, y) - \nabla_{yy} g^j(x, y)\| \leq \delta_g$, where $\delta_f > 0$ and $\delta_g > 0$ are constants.

Assumption 8. The function $F(x)$ is lower bounded, i.e., $F^* = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} F(x)$.

Assumption 5 is commonly used in stochastic bilevel optimization [Khanduri et al., 2021b, Tarzanagh et al., 2022]. Assumption 6 is commonly used in the adaptive gradient methods Huang et al. [2021], Huang and Huang [2021]. Assumption 7 guarantees to constrain the data heterogeneity in non-i.i.d setting, which is commonly used in FL algorithm Li et al. [2022a]. Assumption 8 ensures the feasibility of Problem (1).

Lemma 5. Assume the sequences $\{\bar{w}_t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ generated from Algorithm 1, we have

$$\|\bar{w}_t - \nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \leq 8\bar{L}^2 \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2, \quad (17)$$

where $\bar{L}^2 = L_f^2 + \frac{L_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gyy}^2 C_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{L_f^2 C_{gxy}^2}{\mu^2}$.

Based on the about Assumption 7, as in Li et al. [2022a], we show the bound of heterogeneity in estimated gradients $\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y)$ and $\hat{\nabla} f^j(x, y)$ for any $m, j \in [M]$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 6. Based on the above Assumptions 1, 3 and 7, for any $m, j \in [M]$, we have

$$\|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y) - \hat{\nabla} f^j(x, y)\|^2 \leq \delta^2, \quad (18)$$

where $\delta^2 = 4\delta_f^2 + \frac{4C_{fy}^2 \delta_a^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2 \delta_a^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2 \delta_f^2}{\mu^2}$.

From Lemma 6, since $\max(C_{fy}, C_{gxy}) > \mu$, the variance of heterogeneity in $\{\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y)\}_{m=1}^M$ is much larger than the variance of heterogeneity in $\{\nabla_y g^m(x, y)\}_{m=1}^M$. Clearly, the Assumption 4 of [Gao, 2022] is not reasonable. Meanwhile, the following lemma shows that each gradient $\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y)$ for $m \in [M]$ is \hat{L} -Lipschitz continuous.

Lemma 7. Based on the above Assumptions 1-3, we have, for any $m \in [M]$, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

$$\|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_1, y_1) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_2, y_2)\|^2 \leq \hat{L}^2 (\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|y_1 - y_2\|^2), \quad (19)$$

where $\hat{L} = \sqrt{8L_f^2 + \frac{8L_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{8L_{gyy}^2 C_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{8L_f^2 C_{gxy}^2}{\mu^2}}$.

Next, based on the above assumptions, we give the convergence properties of our AdaFBiO algorithm.

5.1 Convergence Analysis of AdaFBiO

Theorem 1. (Adaptive Algorithm 1) Suppose the sequence $\{\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be generated from Algorithm 1. Under the above Assumptions, and let $\eta_t = \frac{kM^{1/3}}{(n+t)^{1/3}}$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1 \eta_t^2$, $\beta_{t+1} = c_2 \eta_t^2$, $n \geq \max(2, Mk^3, M(c_1 k)^3, M(c_2 k)^3, \frac{(12k\lambda)^3 M^{5/2} (L_K^2 + L_g^2)^{3/2}}{(\theta\rho)^3})$, $k > 0$, $c_2 = \vartheta c_1$ ($\vartheta >$

0), $\frac{2}{3k^3} + \frac{1000\bar{L}^2}{3\mu^2} \leq c_1 \leq \frac{72\lambda^2 q(L_K^2 + L_g^2)}{\rho^2 \sqrt{\vartheta^2 \bar{L}^2 + L_g^2}}$, $c_2 \geq \frac{2}{3k^3} + 34$, $\lambda = \tau\gamma$, $0 < \tau \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{15M\rho\gamma}{T}}, 1\right)$,
 $0 < \theta \leq \min\left(9\bar{L}\sqrt{\frac{75\lambda(L_K^2 + L_g^2)M\mu}{\rho(30\bar{L}^2\mu^2 + 1000\bar{L}^2L_g^2 + 52\bar{L}^2\mu^2)}}, 1\right)$,
 $0 < \gamma \leq \min\left(\frac{\rho}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1}{(125\bar{L}^2\kappa^2\hat{b}^2)/(6\mu^2\lambda^2) + (L_g^2 + L_K^2)/M}}, \frac{n^{1/3}\rho}{4LkM^{1/3}}\right)$, $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{225M\rho\bar{L}^2}{184\mu(L_K^2 + L_g^2)}$ and $K = \frac{L_g}{\mu} \log(C_{gxy}C_{fy}T/\mu)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{3G}n^{1/6}}{M^{1/6}T^{1/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{3G}}{M^{1/6}T^{1/3}} \right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|A_t\|^2}, \quad (20)$$

where $G = \frac{4(F(\bar{x}_1) - F^*)}{k\rho\gamma} + \frac{160b_1\bar{L}^2\Delta_0}{k\lambda\mu\rho^2} + \frac{8n^{1/3}\sigma^2}{qM^{4/3}k^2\rho^2} + 8k^2 \left(\frac{(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\sigma^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{2\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15\rho\gamma\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \right) \ln(n + T) + \frac{54kM^{1/3}(n+T)^{2/3}}{T^2\rho^2}$, $\Delta_0 = \|\bar{y}_1 - y^*(\bar{x}_1)\|^2$, $\hat{c}^2 = \frac{2c_2^2}{T^2} + 2c_2^2\sigma^2 + c_1^2\sigma^2 + 3c_2^2\hat{\delta}^2 + 3c_1^2\delta_g^2$, $\hat{\delta}^2 = 4\delta_f^2 + \frac{4C_{fy}^2\delta_g^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2C_{fy}^2\delta_g^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2\delta_f^2}{\mu^2}$ and $\Gamma = \frac{5\theta^2\bar{L}^2\gamma\rho}{36(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} + \frac{125\theta^2\bar{L}^2L_g^2\gamma\rho}{27\mu^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} + \frac{8(L_K^2 + L_g^2)\lambda^2\gamma}{\rho} + \frac{17\theta^2\bar{L}^2\rho\gamma}{72(L_K^2 + L_g^2)}$.

Remark 1. Under Assumptions 1 and 3, and assume the bounded gradient $\|\nabla_x f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \xi_t^m)\| \leq C_{fx}$ for all $m \in [M]$, then we have $\left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_t^m) \right\| \leq C_{fx} + K(C_{gxy} + \sigma)(C_{fy} + \sigma)/L_g$. When the matrix A_t is generated from the line 6 of Algorithm 1, we have $\sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|A_t\|^2} \leq \sqrt{2C_{fx} + 2K(C_{gxy} + \sigma)(C_{fy} + \sigma)/L_g + 2\rho}$.

Remark 2. Without loss of generality, let $k = O(1)$, $\rho = O(1)$, $\hat{b} = O(1)$, $c_1 = O(1)$, $c_2 = O(1)$ and $n = O(q^3)$, we have $G = \tilde{O}(1)$ and $\sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|A_t\|^2} = O(1)$. Based on the above Theorem 1, let $q = T^{1/3}$ and

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \leq \tilde{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{1}{T^{1/3}}\right) = \tilde{O}\left(\frac{1}{T^{1/3}}\right) \leq \epsilon, \quad (21)$$

then we can obtain $T = \tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3})$ and $K = \tilde{O}(1)$. Our AdaFBiO algorithm requires $K + 2$ samples at each iteration expect for the first iteration requires $q(K + 2)$ samples, so it has a sample complexity of $q(K + 2) + (K + 2)T = \tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3})$ for finding an ϵ -stationary point of Problem (1). Meanwhile, our AdaFBiO algorithm requires a communication complexity of $\frac{T}{q} = T^{2/3} = \tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$.

Remark 3. From the above Theorem 1, our AdaFBiO algorithm simultaneously obtain the best known sample and communication complexities in finding an ϵ -stationary point of the above bilevel problem (1). Besides using effective adaptive learning rates in our algorithm, our convergence analysis is more reasonable than that of Gao [2022], and our studied distributed bilevel problem (1) is more general than that of Li et al. [2022a]. Meanwhile, our AdaFBiO algorithm simultaneously have lower sample and communication complexities than these of FEDNEST algorithm [Tarzanagh et al., 2022]. Moreover, our AdaMFCGD algorithm simultaneously have lower sample and communication complexities than the existing adaptive single-level FL methods such as the local-AMSGrad [Chen et al., 2020b] that requires the sample complexity of $O(\epsilon^{-4})$ and communication complexity of $O(\epsilon^{-3})$ to find an ϵ -stationary point of the single-level optimization problems.

5.2 Convergence Analysis of Non-Adaptive Version of AdaFBiO

Theorem 2. (*Non-Adaptive Algorithm 1*, i.e., $A_t = I_d$ and $B_t = I_p$ for all $t \geq 1$) Suppose the sequence $\{\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be generated from Algorithm 1. Under the above Assumptions, and let

$$\eta_t = \frac{kM^{1/3}}{(n+t)^{1/3}} \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \alpha_{t+1} = c_1\eta_t^2, \beta_{t+1} = c_2\eta_t^2,$$

$$n \geq \max\left(2, Mk^3, M(c_1k)^3, M(c_2k)^3, \frac{(12k\lambda q)^3 M^{5/2} (L_K^2 + L_g^2)^{3/2}}{\theta^3}\right), k > 0, c_2 = \vartheta c_1 \ (\vartheta > 0), \frac{2}{3k^3} + \frac{1000\bar{L}^2}{3\mu^2} \leq c_1 \leq \frac{72\lambda^2 q (L_K^2 + L_g^2)}{\sqrt{\vartheta^2 \bar{L}^2 + L_g^2}}, c_2 \geq \frac{2}{3k^3} + 34, \lambda = \tau\gamma, 0 < \tau \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{15M\gamma}{\Gamma}}, 1\right), 0 < \theta \leq$$

$$\min\left(9\bar{L}\sqrt{\frac{75\lambda(L_K^2 + L_g^2)M\mu}{1000\bar{L}^2 L_g^2 + 82\bar{L}^2 \mu^2}}, 1\right), 0 < \gamma \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1}{(125L^2 \kappa^2)/(6\mu^2 \lambda^2) + (L_g^2 + L_K^2)/M}}, \frac{n^{1/3}}{4LkM^{1/3}}\right), 0 < \lambda \leq \frac{225M\bar{L}^2}{184\mu(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \text{ and } K = \frac{L_g}{\mu} \log(C_{gxy} C_{fy} T / \mu), \text{ we have}$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3G}n^{1/6}}{M^{1/6}T^{1/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{3G}}{M^{1/6}T^{1/3}}, \quad (22)$$

$$\text{where } G = \frac{4(F(\bar{x}_1) - F^*)}{k\gamma} + \frac{160\bar{L}^2 \Delta_0}{k\lambda\mu} + \frac{8n^{1/3} \sigma^2}{qM^{4/3} k^2} + 8k^2 \left((c_1^2 + c_2^2) \sigma^2 + \frac{2\hat{c}^2 \Gamma}{15\gamma \lambda^2 (L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \right) \ln(n+T) + \frac{54kM^{1/3} (n+T)^{2/3}}{T^2},$$

$$\Delta_0 = \|\bar{y}_1 - y^*(\bar{x}_1)\|^2, \hat{c}^2 = \frac{2c_2^2}{T^2} + 2c_2^2 \sigma^2 + c_1^2 \sigma^2 + 3c_2^2 \hat{\delta}^2 + 3c_1^2 \delta_g^2, \hat{\delta}^2 = 4\delta_f^2 + \frac{4C_{fy}^2 \delta_g^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2 \delta_g^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2 \delta_f^2}{\mu^2}$$

$$\text{and } \Gamma = \frac{5\theta^2 \bar{L}^2 \gamma}{36(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} + \frac{125\theta^2 \bar{L}^2 L_g^2 \gamma}{27\mu^2 (L_K^2 + L_g^2)} + 8(L_K^2 + L_g^2) \lambda^2 \gamma + \frac{17\theta^2 \bar{L}^2 \gamma}{72(L_K^2 + L_g^2)}.$$

Remark 4. The proofs of Theorem 2 can totally follow the proofs of the above Theorem 1 with $A_t = I_d$ and $B_t = I_p$ for all $t \geq 1$, and $\rho = \hat{b} = 1$. Since the conditions of Theorem 2 are similar to these of Theorem 1, clearly, the **non-adaptive version** of AdaFBiO algorithm still can obtain the best known sample (or gradient) complexity of $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3})$ and communication complexity of $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$ in finding an ϵ -stationary point of the above bilevel problem (1).

6 Numerical Experiments

In this section, we apply some numerical experiments to demonstrate the efficiency of our AdaFBiO algorithm on federated hyper-representation learning and federated data hyper-cleaning tasks. We compare our AdaFBiO algorithm with the existing state-of-the-art algorithms in Table 1 for solving bilevel optimization problems.

6.1 Federated Hyper-Representation Learning

6.2 Federated Data Hyper-Cleaning

7 Conclusion

In the paper, we studied a class of novel distributed bilevel optimization problems that are widely applied many machine learning problems such as distributed meta learning and federated data hyper-cleaning. Meanwhile, we proposed an effective and efficient adaptive federated bilevel optimization algorithm (i.e., AdaFBiO) to solve these distributed bilevel optimization problems. Moreover, we provide a convergence analysis framework for our AdaFBiO algorithm, and prove that

it reaches the best known sample and communication complexities simultaneously. In particular, we use the unified adaptive matrices to our AdaFBiO algorithm, which can flexibly incorporate various adaptive learning rates to update variables in both UL and LL problems.

References

- Y. Censor and A. Lent. An iterative row-action method for interval convex programming. *Journal of Optimization theory and Applications*, 34(3):321–353, 1981.
- Y. Censor and S. A. Zenios. Proximal minimization algorithm with d-functions. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 73(3):451–464, 1992.
- C. Chen, Z. Chen, Y. Zhou, and B. Kaikhura. Fedcluster: Boosting the convergence of federated learning via cluster-cycling. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)*, pages 5017–5026. IEEE, 2020a.
- T. Chen, Y. Sun, and W. Yin. A single-timescale stochastic bilevel optimization method. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.04671*, 2021.
- X. Chen, S. Liu, R. Sun, and M. Hong. On the convergence of a class of adam-type algorithms for non-convex optimization. In *7th International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2019.
- X. Chen, X. Li, and P. Li. Toward communication efficient adaptive gradient method. In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM-IMS on Foundations of Data Science Conference*, pages 119–128, 2020b.
- X. Chen, M. Huang, and S. Ma. Decentralized bilevel optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.05670*, 2022.
- B. Colson, P. Marcotte, and G. Savard. An overview of bilevel optimization. *Annals of operations research*, 153(1):235–256, 2007.
- A. Cutkosky and F. Orabona. Momentum-based variance reduction in non-convex sgd. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.
- Y. Deng and M. Mahdavi. Local stochastic gradient descent ascent: Convergence analysis and communication efficiency. In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 1387–1395. PMLR, 2021.
- J. Duchi, E. Hazan, and Y. Singer. Adaptive subgradient methods for online learning and stochastic optimization. *Journal of machine learning research*, 12(7), 2011.
- C. Finn, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine. Model-agnostic meta-learning for fast adaptation of deep networks. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1126–1135. PMLR, 2017.
- L. Franceschi, P. Frasconi, S. Salzo, R. Grazzi, and M. Pontil. Bilevel programming for hyperparameter optimization and meta-learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1568–1577. PMLR, 2018.
- H. Gao. On the convergence of momentum-based algorithms for federated stochastic bilevel optimization problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.13299*, 2022.
- S. Ghadimi and M. Wang. Approximation methods for bilevel programming. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.02246*, 2018.

- S. Ghadimi, G. Lan, and H. Zhang. Mini-batch stochastic approximation methods for nonconvex stochastic composite optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 155(1-2):267–305, 2016.
- M. R. Glasgow, H. Yuan, and T. Ma. Sharp bounds for federated averaging (local sgd) and continuous perspective. In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 9050–9090. PMLR, 2022.
- Z. Guo and T. Yang. Randomized stochastic variance-reduced methods for stochastic bilevel optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.02266*, 2021.
- Z. Guo, Y. Xu, W. Yin, R. Jin, and T. Yang. A novel convergence analysis for algorithms of the adam family. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.03459*, 2021a.
- Z. Guo, Y. Xu, W. Yin, R. Jin, and T. Yang. On stochastic moving-average estimators for non-convex optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.14840*, 2021b.
- M. Hong, H.-T. Wai, Z. Wang, and Z. Yang. A two-timescale framework for bilevel optimization: Complexity analysis and application to actor-critic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.05170*, 2020.
- F. Huang and H. Huang. Biadam: Fast adaptive bilevel optimization methods. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.11396*, 2021.
- F. Huang, J. Li, and H. Huang. Super-adam: faster and universal framework of adaptive gradients. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:9074–9085, 2021.
- F. Huang, J. Li, G. Shangqian, and H. Huang. Enhanced bilevel optimization via bregman distance. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022.
- K. Ji, J. Yang, and Y. Liang. Bilevel optimization: Nonasymptotic analysis and faster algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.07962*, 2020.
- S. P. Karimireddy, Q. Rebjock, S. Stich, and M. Jaggi. Error feedback fixes signsgd and other gradient compression schemes. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 3252–3261. PMLR, 2019.
- S. P. Karimireddy, M. Jaggi, S. Kale, M. Mohri, S. J. Reddi, S. U. Stich, and A. T. Suresh. Mime: Mimicking centralized stochastic algorithms in federated learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.03606*, 2020a.
- S. P. Karimireddy, S. Kale, M. Mohri, S. Reddi, S. Stich, and A. T. Suresh. Scaffold: Stochastic controlled averaging for federated learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 5132–5143. PMLR, 2020b.
- P. Khanduri, P. Sharma, H. Yang, M. Hong, J. Liu, K. Rajawat, and P. Varshney. Stem: A stochastic two-sided momentum algorithm achieving near-optimal sample and communication complexities for federated learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:6050–6061, 2021a.
- P. Khanduri, S. Zeng, M. Hong, H.-T. Wai, Z. Wang, and Z. Yang. A near-optimal algorithm for stochastic bilevel optimization via double-momentum. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:30271–30283, 2021b.
- D. P. Kingma and J. Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
- G. Kunapuli, K. P. Bennett, J. Hu, and J.-S. Pang. Classification model selection via bilevel programming. *Optimization Methods & Software*, 23(4):475–489, 2008.

- J. Li, B. Gu, and H. Huang. A fully single loop algorithm for bilevel optimization without hessian inverse. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.04660*, 2021.
- J. Li, F. Huang, and H. Huang. Local stochastic bilevel optimization with momentum-based variance reduction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01608*, 2022a.
- X. Li, K. Huang, W. Yang, S. Wang, and Z. Zhang. On the convergence of fedavg on non-iid data. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019.
- Y. Li, W. Li, B. Zhang, and J. Du. Federated adam-type algorithm for distributed optimization with lazy strategy. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2022b.
- H. Liu, K. Simonyan, and Y. Yang. Darts: Differentiable architecture search. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.09055*, 2018.
- I. Loshchilov and F. Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018.
- S. Lu, X. Cui, M. S. Squillante, B. Kingsbury, and L. Horesh. Decentralized bilevel optimization for personalized client learning. In *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 5543–5547. IEEE, 2022a.
- Y. Lu, C. Li, M. Zhang, C. De Sa, and Y. He. Maximizing communication efficiency for large-scale training via 0/1 adam. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.06009*, 2022b.
- B. McMahan, E. Moore, D. Ramage, S. Hampson, and B. A. y Arcas. Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data. In *Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 1273–1282. PMLR, 2017.
- S. J. Reddi, S. Kale, and S. Kumar. On the convergence of adam and beyond. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09237*, 2019.
- S. J. Reddi, Z. Charles, M. Zaheer, Z. Garrett, K. Rush, J. Konečný, S. Kumar, and H. B. McMahan. Adaptive federated optimization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.
- A. Shaban, C.-A. Cheng, N. Hatch, and B. Boots. Truncated back-propagation for bilevel optimization. In *The 22nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 1723–1732. PMLR, 2019.
- S. U. Stich. Local sgd converges fast and communicates little. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.09767*, 2018.
- H. Tang, S. Gan, S. Rajbhandari, X. Lian, J. Liu, Y. He, and C. Zhang. Apmsqueeze: A communication efficient adam-preconditioned momentum sgd algorithm. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.11343*, 2020.
- D. A. Tarzanagh, M. Li, C. Thrampoulidis, and S. Oymak. Fednest: Federated bilevel, minimax, and compositional optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.02215*, 2022.
- J. Yang, K. Ji, and Y. Liang. Provably faster algorithms for bilevel optimization. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34, 2021.
- H. Yuan and T. Ma. Federated accelerated stochastic gradient descent. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:5332–5344, 2020.
- M. Zaheer, S. Reddi, D. Sachan, S. Kale, and S. Kumar. Adaptive methods for nonconvex optimization. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 31, 2018.

J. Zhuang, T. Tang, Y. Ding, S. C. Tatikonda, N. Dvornik, X. Papademetris, and J. Duncan. Adabelief optimizer: Adapting stepsizes by the belief in observed gradients. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33, 2020.

A Appendix

In this section, we provide the detailed convergence analysis of our algorithms.

We first introduce some useful notations: $\bar{v}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M v_t^m$, $\bar{w}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M w_t^m$, $\bar{x}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M x_t^m$, $\hat{x}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{x}_t^m$, $\bar{y}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M y_t^m$,

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M f^m(x, y^*(x)), \quad \hat{\nabla} f(x, y) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y), \quad \nabla_y g(x, y) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y g^m(x, y),$$

$$\bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \bar{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m), \quad \bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y g^m(x_t^m, y_t^m),$$

$$R_t^m = \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\xi}^m} [\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}^m)] - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m), \quad R_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M R_t^m = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M R_t^m(x_t, y_t), \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

Next, we review and provide some useful lemmas.

Lemma 8. *Given M vectors $\{u^m\}_{m=1}^M$, the following inequalities satisfy: $\|u^m + u^j\|^2 \leq (1+c)\|u^m\|^2 + (1+\frac{1}{c})\|u^j\|^2$ for any $c > 0$, and $\|\sum_{m=1}^M u^m\|^2 \leq M \sum_{m=1}^M \|u^m\|^2$.*

Lemma 9. *Given a finite sequence $\{u^m\}_{m=1}^M$, and $\bar{u} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M u^m$, the following inequality satisfies $\sum_{m=1}^M \|u^m - \bar{u}\|^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^M \|u^m\|^2$.*

Given a ρ -strongly convex function $\phi(x)$, we define a prox-function (Bregman distance) Censor and Lent [1981], Censor and Zenios [1992] associated with $\phi(x)$ as follows:

$$D(z, x) = \phi(z) - [\phi(x) + \langle \nabla \phi(x), z - x \rangle]. \quad (23)$$

Then we define a generalized projection problem as in Ghadimi et al. [2016]:

$$x^* = \arg \min_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \{ \langle z, w \rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma} D(z, x) + h(z) \}, \quad (24)$$

where $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\gamma > 0$. Here $h(x)$ is convex and possibly nonsmooth function.

Lemma 10. *(Lemma 1 of Ghadimi et al. [2016]) Let x^* be given in (24). Then, for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\gamma > 0$, we have*

$$\langle w, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, w, \gamma) \rangle \geq \rho \|\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, w, \gamma)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} [h(x^*) - h(x)], \quad (25)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, w, \gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma}(x - x^*)$, and $\rho > 0$ depends on ρ -strongly convex function $\phi(x)$.

When $h(x) = 0$, in the above lemma 10, we have

$$\langle w, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, w, \gamma) \rangle \geq \rho \|\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, w, \gamma)\|^2. \quad (26)$$

Lemma 11. *(Restatement of Lemma 1) Under the above Assumption 1, we have, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla F(x) &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x f^m(x, y^*(x)) + \nabla y^*(x)^T \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x, y^*(x)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x f^m(x, y^*(x)) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \left[\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right]^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x, y^*(x)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Proof. Since $F(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M f^m(x, y^*(x))$, we have

$$\nabla F(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x f^m(x, y^*(x)) + \nabla y^*(x) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x, y^*(x)) \right). \quad (28)$$

Meanwhile, according to the optimal condition of the LL subproblem in Problem (1), we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right) = 0, \quad (29)$$

then further differentiating the above equality (35) on the variable x , we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x \nabla_y g^m(x, y^*(x)) + \nabla y^*(x) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y \nabla_y g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right) = 0. \quad (30)$$

According to Assumption 1, the matrix $\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y \nabla_y g^m(x, y^*(x))$ is reversible. Thus, we have

$$\nabla y^*(x) = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x \nabla_y g^m(x, y^*(x)) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y \nabla_y g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right)^{-1}. \quad (31)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla F(x) &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x f^m(x, y^*(x)) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x \nabla_y g^m(x, y^*(x)) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y \nabla_y g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x, y^*(x)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

□

Lemma 12. (Restatement of Lemma 2) Under the above Assumptions (1, 2, 3), the global functions (or mappings) $F(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M f^m(x, y^*(x))$ and $y^*(x) = \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M g^m(x, y)$ satisfy, for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2)\| &\leq \kappa \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad \|\nabla y^*(x_1) - \nabla y^*(x_2)\| \leq L_y \|x_1 - x_2\| \\ \|\nabla F(x_1) - \nabla F(x_2)\| &\leq L \|x_1 - x_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = C_{gxy}/\mu$, $L_y = \left(\frac{C_{gxy}L_{gxy}}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gxy}}{\mu} \right) \left(1 + \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu} \right)$ and $L = \left(L_f + \frac{C_{gxy}L_f}{\mu} + C_{fy} \left(\frac{C_{gxy}L_{gxy}}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gxy}}{\mu} \right) \right) \left(1 + \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu} \right)$.

Proof. From the above lemma 11, we have

$$\nabla y^*(x) = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right)^{-1}. \quad (33)$$

According to Assumptions 1 and 3, we have for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla y^*(x)\| &= \left\| -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right)^{-1} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right\| \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y^*(x)) \right\|^{-1} \leq \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

By using the Mean Value Theorem, we have for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\|y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2)\| = \|\nabla y^*(z)(x_1 - x_2)\| \leq \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu} \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad (35)$$

where $z \in (x_1, x_2)$.

For any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla y^*(x_1) - \nabla y^*(x_2)\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \right)^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \right)^{-1} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \right)^{-1} - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \right)^{-1} \right\| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \right)^{-1} - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \right)^{-1} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{C_{gxy}}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \right)^{-1} \right\| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{M\mu} \sum_{m=1}^M \|\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2))\| \\ &\leq \frac{C_{gxy}}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \right)^{-1} \right\| + \frac{1}{M\mu} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(L_{gxy} \|x_1 - x_2\| + L_{gxy} \|y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2)\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C_{gxy}}{M\mu^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) - \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y^*(x_1))) \right\| + \frac{1}{M\mu} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(L_{gxy} \|x_1 - x_2\| + L_{gxy} \|y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2)\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C_{gxy}}{M\mu^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (L_{gyy} \|x_1 - x_2\| + L_{gyy} \|y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2)\|) + \frac{1}{M\mu} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(L_{gxy} \|x_1 - x_2\| + L_{gxy} \|y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2)\| \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C_{gxy} L_{gyy}}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gxy}}{\mu} \right) \left(1 + \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu} \right) \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad (36) \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by the above inequality (35).

From the above lemma 11, we have

$$\nabla F(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x f^m(x, y^*(x)) + \nabla y^*(x)^T \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x, y^*(x)) \right), \quad (37)$$

then for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla F(x_1) - \nabla F(x_2)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x f^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) + \nabla y^*(x_1)^T \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_x f^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) - \nabla y^*(x_2)^T \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \right) \right\| \\
&\leq L_f (\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2)\|) + \|\nabla y^*(x_1)^T \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \nabla y^*(x_2)^T \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \right) + \nabla y^*(x_2)^T \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \nabla y^*(x_2)^T \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_y f^m(x_2, y^*(x_2)) \right) \right\| \\
&\leq L_f (\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2)\|) + C_{fy} \|\nabla y^*(x_1) - \nabla y^*(x_2)\| \\
&\quad + \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \|\nabla_y f^m(x_1, y^*(x_1)) - \nabla_y f^m(x_2, y^*(x_2))\| \\
&\leq L_f \left(1 + \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu}\right) \|x_1 - x_2\| + C_{fy} \|\nabla y^*(x_1) - \nabla y^*(x_2)\| + \frac{C_{gxy} L_f}{\mu} \left(1 + \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu}\right) \|x_1 - x_2\| \\
&\leq \left(L_f + \frac{C_{gxy} L_f}{\mu} + C_{fy} \left(\frac{C_{gxy} L_{gyy}}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gxy}}{\mu} \right) \right) \left(1 + \frac{C_{gxy}}{\mu}\right) \|x_1 - x_2\|, \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by (36). □

Lemma 13. (Restatement of Lemma 5) Assume the sequences $\{\bar{w}_t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ generated from Algorithm 1, we have

$$\|\bar{w}_t - \nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \leq 8\bar{L}^2 \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2, \tag{39}$$

where $\bar{L}^2 = L_f^2 + \frac{L_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gyy}^2 C_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{L_f^2 C_{gxy}^2}{\mu^2}$.

Proof. We first consider the term $\|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2$. Since $\nabla F(\bar{x}_t) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t))$ and

$\hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla}f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla}f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \|\nabla f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \hat{\nabla}f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \|\nabla_x f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) \\
&\quad - \nabla_x f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \|\nabla_x f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \nabla_x f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) \\
&\quad + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) \\
&\quad + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) \\
&\quad + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(4 \|\nabla_x f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \nabla_x f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 + \frac{4C_{fy}^2}{\mu^2} \|\nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^4} \|\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2}{\mu^2} \|\nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) - \nabla_y f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \right) \\
&\leq 4(L_f^2 + \frac{L_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gxy}^2 C_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{L_f^2 C_{gxy}^2}{\mu^2}) \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 \\
&= 4\bar{L}^2 \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2, \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

where the second last inequality is due to Assumptions 1, 2 and 4; the last equality holds by $\bar{L}^2 = L_f^2 + \frac{L_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{L_{gxy}^2 C_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{L_f^2 C_{gxy}^2}{\mu^2}$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{w}_t - \nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 &= \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) + \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 + 2\|\hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 + 8\bar{L}^2 \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2. \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 14. Suppose that the sequence $\{\bar{x}_t, \hat{x}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be generated from Algorithm 1, where $\bar{x}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M x_t^m$, $\hat{x}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{x}_t^m$. Let $0 < \eta_t \leq 1$ and $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{\rho}{2L\eta_t}$, then we have

$$F(\bar{x}_{t+1}) \leq F(\bar{x}_t) + \frac{8\bar{L}^2 \gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2. \tag{42}$$

Proof. For notational simplicity, let $s_t = q\lfloor t/q \rfloor + 1$. When $t = s_t$, according to the line 8 of Algorithm 1, we have $\bar{x}_{t+1} = \bar{x}_t + \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t)$. When $t \in (s_t, s_t + q)$, according to the line 12 of Algorithm 1, we have $\bar{x}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \bar{x}_{t+1}^m = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (x_t^m + \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m)) = \bar{x}_t + \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t)$.

According to the above Lemma 2, the function $F(x)$ is L -smooth. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\bar{x}_{t+1}) &\leq F(\bar{x}_t) + \langle \nabla F(\bar{x}_t), \bar{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\bar{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
&= F(\bar{x}_t) + \langle \nabla F(\bar{x}_t), \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t) \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t)\|^2 \\
&= F(\bar{x}_t) + \eta_t \underbrace{\langle \bar{w}_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t \rangle}_{=T_1} + \eta_t \underbrace{\langle \nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{w}_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t \rangle}_{=T_2} + \frac{L\eta_t^2}{2} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where the second equality is due to $\bar{x}_{t+1} = \bar{x}_t + \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t)$.

According to Assumption 6, i.e., $A_t \succ \rho I_d$ for any $t \geq 1$, the mirror function $\phi_t(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A_t x$ is ρ -strongly convex, then we can define a Bregman distance as in Ghadimi et al. [2016],

$$D_t(x, \bar{x}_t) = \phi_t(x) - [\phi_t(\bar{x}_t) + \langle \nabla \phi_t(\bar{x}_t), x - \bar{x}_t \rangle] = \frac{1}{2}(x - \bar{x}_t)^T A_t (x - \bar{x}_t). \tag{44}$$

When $t = s_t$, according to the line 7 of Algorithm 1, we have $\hat{x}_{t+1} = \bar{x}_t - \gamma A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ \langle \bar{w}_t, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2\gamma}(x - \bar{x}_t)^T A_t (x - \bar{x}_t) \}$. When $t \in (s_t, s_t + q)$, according to the line 11 of Algorithm 1, we have $\hat{x}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{x}_{t+1}^m = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (x_t^m - \gamma A_t^{-1} w_t^m) = \bar{x}_t - \gamma A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ \langle \bar{w}_t, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2\gamma}(x - \bar{x}_t)^T A_t (x - \bar{x}_t) \}$.

By using Lemma 1 in Ghadimi et al. [2016] to the problem $\hat{x}_{t+1} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ \langle \bar{w}_t, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2\gamma}(x - \bar{x}_t)^T A_t (x - \bar{x}_t) \}$, we can obtain

$$\langle \bar{w}_t, \frac{1}{\gamma}(\bar{x}_t - \hat{x}_{t+1}) \rangle \geq \rho \left\| \frac{1}{\gamma}(\bar{x}_t - \hat{x}_{t+1}) \right\|^2. \tag{45}$$

Then we have

$$T_1 = \langle \bar{w}_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t \rangle \leq -\frac{\rho}{\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2. \tag{46}$$

Next, consider the bound of the term T_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 &= \langle \nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{w}_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t \rangle \\
&\leq \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{w}_t\| \cdot \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\| \\
&\leq \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{\rho}{4\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where the first inequality is due to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the last is due to Young's inequality. By combining the above inequalities (43), (46) with (47), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\bar{x}_{t+1}) &\leq F(\bar{x}_t) + \eta_t \langle \nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{w}_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t \rangle + \eta_t \langle \bar{w}_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t \rangle + \frac{L\eta_t^2}{2} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
&\leq F(\bar{x}_t) + \frac{\eta_t \gamma}{\rho} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{\rho \eta_t}{4\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \frac{L\eta_t^2}{2} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
&= F(\bar{x}_t) + \frac{\eta_t \gamma}{\rho} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{w}_t\|^2 - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 - \left(\frac{\rho \eta_t}{4\gamma} - \frac{L\eta_t^2}{2} \right) \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
&\leq F(\bar{x}_t) + \frac{\eta_t \gamma}{\rho} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{w}_t\|^2 - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
&\leq F(\bar{x}_t) + \frac{8\bar{L}^2 \gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where the second last inequality is due to $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{\rho}{2L\eta_t}$, and the last inequality holds by the above Lemma 13. \square

Lemma 15. Suppose the sequence $\{\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be generated from Algorithm 1. Under the above assumptions, given $0 < \eta_t \leq 1$, $B_t = b_t I_p$ ($\hat{b} \geq b_t \geq \rho > 0$) for all $t \geq 1$, and $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{\rho}{6L_g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2 &\leq \left(1 - \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}\right) \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t}{4} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{25\eta_t \lambda}{6\mu b_t} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2 \eta_t b_t}{6\mu \lambda} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where $\kappa = L_g/\mu$.

Proof. According to Assumption 1, for any $m \in [M]$ and ζ^m , the function is L_g -smooth and μ -strongly convex function on variable y , i.e., $L_g I_p \succeq \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m) \succeq \mu I_p$. Then we have $L_g I_p \succeq \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) = \mathbb{E}[\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y; \zeta^m)] \succeq \mu I_p$ for any $m \in [M]$, and $L_g I_p \succeq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) \succeq \mu I_p$. In other words, for any $m \in [M]$, $g^m(x, y)$ also is L_g -smooth and μ -strongly convex w.r.t y . Meanwhile, $g(x, y) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M g^m(x, y)$ also is L_g -smooth and μ -strongly convex w.r.t y . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{x}_t, y) &\geq g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) + \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t), y - \bar{y}_t \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - \bar{y}_t\|^2 \\ &= g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) + \langle \bar{v}_t, y - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle + \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t, y - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t), \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - \bar{y}_t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

According to the Assumption 2, i.e., the function $g(x, y)$ is L_g -smooth, we have

$$g(\bar{x}_t, \hat{y}_{t+1}) \leq g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) + \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t), \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t \rangle + \frac{L_g}{2} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2. \quad (51)$$

Combining the about inequalities (50) with (51), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{x}_t, y) &\geq g(\bar{x}_t, \hat{y}_{t+1}) + \langle \bar{v}_t, y - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle + \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t, y - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - \bar{y}_t\|^2 - \frac{L_g}{2} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

When $t = s_t = q\lfloor t/q \rfloor + 1$, according to the line 7 of Algorithm 1, we have $\hat{y}_{t+1} = \bar{y}_t - \lambda B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t = \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ \langle \bar{v}_t, y \rangle + \frac{1}{2\lambda} (y - \bar{y}_t)^T B_t (y - \bar{y}_t) \right\}$. When $t \in (s_t, s_t + q)$, according to the line 11 of Algorithm 1, we have $\hat{y}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{y}_{t+1}^m = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (y_t^m - \lambda B_t^{-1} v_t^m) = \bar{y}_t - \lambda B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t = \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ \langle \bar{v}_t, y \rangle + \frac{1}{2\lambda} (y - \bar{y}_t)^T B_t (y - \bar{y}_t) \right\}$.

By the optimality of the problem $\hat{y}_{t+1} = \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ \langle \bar{v}_t, y \rangle + \frac{1}{2\lambda} (y - \bar{y}_t)^T B_t (y - \bar{y}_t) \right\}$, given $B_t = b_t I_p$ ($b_t \geq \rho > 0$), we have

$$\langle \bar{v}_t + \frac{b_t}{\lambda} (\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t), y - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^p. \quad (53)$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{v}_t, y - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle &\geq \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \langle \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t, \hat{y}_{t+1} - y \rangle \\ &= \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \langle \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t, \bar{y}_t - y \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

By pugging the inequalities (54) into (52), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{x}_t, y) &\geq g(\bar{x}_t, \hat{y}_{t+1}) + \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \langle \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t, \bar{y}_t - y \rangle + \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t, y - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - \bar{y}_t\|^2 - \frac{L_g}{2} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Let $y = y^*(\bar{x}_t)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) &\geq g(\bar{x}_t, \hat{y}_{t+1}) + \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \langle \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t, \bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t) \rangle + \left(\frac{b_t}{\lambda} - \frac{L_g}{2}\right) \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Due to the strongly-convexity of $g(\cdot, y)$ and $y^*(\bar{x}_t) = \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^p} g(\bar{x}_t, y)$, we have $g(\bar{x}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t)) \leq g(\bar{x}_t, \hat{y}_{t+1})$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \langle \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t, \bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t) \rangle + \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{b_t}{\lambda} - \frac{L_g}{2}\right) \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

According to $\bar{y}_{t+1} = \bar{y}_t + \eta_t(\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 &= \|\bar{y}_t + \eta_t(\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t) - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \\ &= \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + 2\eta_t \langle \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t, \bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t) \rangle + \eta_t^2 \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Then we obtain

$$\langle \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t, \bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\eta_t} \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta_t} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{\eta_t}{2} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2. \quad (59)$$

Consider the upper bound of the term $\langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t, y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t \rangle + \langle \nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t, \bar{y}_t - \hat{y}_{t+1} \rangle \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{\mu} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{4} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 - \frac{1}{\mu} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{4} \|\bar{y}_t - \hat{y}_{t+1}\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{2}{\mu} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{4} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{4} \|\bar{y}_t - \hat{y}_{t+1}\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

By plugging the inequalities (59) and (60) into (57), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{b_t}{2\eta_t\lambda} \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{b_t}{2\eta_t\lambda} - \frac{\mu}{4}\right) \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + \left(\frac{b_t\eta_t}{2\lambda} + \frac{\mu}{4} + \frac{L_g}{2} - \frac{b_t}{\lambda}\right) \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{2}{\mu} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{b_t}{2\eta_t\lambda} - \frac{\mu}{4}\right) \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + \left(\frac{3L_g}{4} - \frac{b_t}{2\lambda}\right) \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{2}{\mu} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{b_t}{2\eta_t\lambda} - \frac{\mu}{4}\right) \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \left(\frac{3b_t}{8\lambda} + \frac{b_t}{8\lambda} - \frac{3L_g}{4}\right) \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{2}{\mu} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{b_t}{2\eta_t\lambda} - \frac{\mu}{4}\right) \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3b_t}{8\lambda} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{2}{\mu} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where the second inequality holds by $L_g \geq \mu$ and $0 < \eta_t \leq 1$, and the last inequality is due to $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{\rho}{6L_g} \leq \frac{b_t}{6L_g}$. It implies that

$$\|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{\eta_t\mu\lambda}{2b_t}\right) \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t}{4} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{4\eta_t\lambda}{\mu b_t} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2. \quad (62)$$

Next, we decompose the term $\|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2 &= \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_t) + y^*(\bar{x}_t) - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2 \\
&= \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + 2\langle \bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_t), y^*(\bar{x}_t) - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1}) \rangle + \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 + \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{4b_t}{\eta_t \mu \lambda}) \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 + \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{4b_t}{\eta_t \mu \lambda}) \kappa^2 \|\bar{x}_t - \bar{x}_{t+1}\|^2, \tag{63}
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality holds by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Young's inequality, and the second inequality is due to Lemma 3, and the last equality holds by $\bar{x}_{t+1} = \bar{x}_t + \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t)$.

By combining the above inequalities (62) and (63), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2 &\leq (1 + \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) (1 - \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{2b_t}) \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - (1 + \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) \frac{3\eta_t}{4} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 \\
&\quad + (1 + \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) \frac{4\eta_t \lambda}{\mu b_t} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + (1 + \frac{4b_t}{\eta_t \mu \lambda}) \kappa^2 \|\bar{x}_t - \bar{x}_{t+1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \eta_t \leq 1$, $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{\rho}{6L_g} \leq \frac{b_t}{6L_g}$ and $L_g \geq \mu$, we have $\lambda \leq \frac{b_t}{6L_g} \leq \frac{b_t}{6\mu}$ and $\eta_t \leq 1 \leq \frac{b_t}{6\mu\lambda}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 + \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) (1 - \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{2b_t}) &= 1 - \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{2b_t} + \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t} - \frac{\eta_t^2 \mu^2 \lambda^2}{8b_t^2} \leq 1 - \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}, \\
-(1 + \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) \frac{3\eta_t}{4} &\leq -\frac{3\eta_t}{4}, \\
(1 + \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) \frac{4\eta_t \lambda}{\mu b_t} &\leq (1 + \frac{1}{24}) \frac{4\eta_t \lambda}{\mu b_t} = \frac{25\eta_t \lambda}{6\mu b_t}, \\
(1 + \frac{4b_t}{\eta_t \mu \lambda}) \kappa^2 &\leq \frac{b_t \kappa^2}{6\eta_t \mu \lambda} + \frac{4b_t \kappa^2}{\eta_t \mu \lambda} = \frac{25b_t \kappa^2}{6\eta_t \mu \lambda},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second last inequality is due to $\frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{b_t} \leq \frac{1}{6}$ and the last inequality holds by $\frac{b_t}{6\mu\lambda\eta_t} \geq 1$. By using $\bar{x}_{t+1} = \bar{x}_t + \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2 &\leq (1 - \frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t}{4} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{25\eta_t \lambda}{6\mu b_t} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2 \eta_t b_t}{6\mu \lambda} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2. \tag{64}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 16. *Under the above assumptions, and assume the stochastic gradient estimators $\{\bar{v}_t, \bar{w}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be generated from Algorithm 1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \bar{v}_{t+1}\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{M} \\
&\quad + \frac{4L_g^2 \eta_t^2}{M^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\|\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2), \tag{65}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_{t+1} - \bar{\nabla} f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - R_{t+1}\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_{t+1}) \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{2\beta_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{M} \\
&\quad + \frac{4L_K^2 \eta_t^2}{M^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\|\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2), \tag{66}
\end{aligned}$$

where $L_K^2 = 2L_f^2 + 6C_{gxy}^2 L_f^2 \frac{K}{2\mu L_g - \mu^2} + 6C_{fy}^2 L_{gxy}^2 \frac{K}{2\mu L_g - \mu^2} + 6C_{gxy}^2 L_f^2 \frac{K^3 L_{gyy}^2}{(L_g - \mu)^2 (2\mu L_g - \mu^2)}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we only prove the above inequality (66), and it is similar to (65). Since $\bar{w}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) + (1 - \beta_{t+1})(w_t^m - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_{t+1} - \bar{\nabla} f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - R_{t+1}\|^2 \tag{67} \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (w_{t+1}^m - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m) - R_{t+1}^m) \right\|^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) + (1 - \beta_{t+1})(w_t^m - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m) - R_{t+1}^m) \right\|^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m) - R_{t+1}^m \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (1 - \beta_{t+1})(\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - R_t^m) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 - \beta_{t+1}) \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (w_t^m - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - R_t^m) \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m) - R_{t+1}^m \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (1 - \beta_{t+1})(\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - R_t^m) \right\|^2 + (1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2}{M^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m) + R_{t+1}^m - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - R_t^m) \right\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2\beta_{t+1}^2}{M^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m) - R_{t+1}^m \right\|^2 + (1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2}{M^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) \right\|^2 + \frac{2\beta_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{M} \\
&\quad + (1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{2\beta_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{M} + \frac{4(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 L_K^2}{M^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\|x_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|y_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_{t+1}) \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{2\beta_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{M} + \frac{4L_K^2 \eta_t^2}{M^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\|\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where the forth equality holds by the following fact: for any $m \in [M]$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m} [\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)] = \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m) + R_{t+1}^m, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m} [\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)] = \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) + R_t^m,$$

and for any $m \neq j \in [M]$, $\bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m$ and $\bar{\xi}_{t+1}^j$ are independent, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m) - R_{t+1}^m - (1 - \beta_{t+1})(\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - R_t^m) \\
& \quad , \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^j, y_{t+1}^j; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^j) - \hat{\nabla} f^j(x_{t+1}^j, y_{t+1}^j) - R_{t+1}^j - (1 - \beta_{t+1})(\hat{\nabla} f^j(x_t^j, y_t^j; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^j) - \hat{\nabla} f^j(x_t^j, y_t^j) - R_t^j) \rangle = 0;
\end{aligned}$$

the second inequality holds by the inequality $\mathbb{E} \|\zeta - \mathbb{E}[\zeta]\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \|\zeta\|^2$ and Assumption 5; the second last inequality is due to Lemma 4; the last inequality holds by $0 < \beta_{t+1} \leq 1$ and $x_{t+1}^m = x_t^m + \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m)$, $y_{t+1}^m = y_t^m + \eta_t(\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m)$.

□

Lemma 17. (Restatement of Lemma 6) Based on the above Assumptions 1-3 and 7, for any $m, j \in [M]$, we have

$$\|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y) - \hat{\nabla} f^j(x, y)\|^2 \leq \hat{\delta}^2, \quad (68)$$

$$\text{where } \hat{\delta}^2 = 4\delta_f^2 + \frac{4C_{fy}^2\delta_g^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2C_{fy}^2\delta_g^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2\delta_f^2}{\mu^2}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x, y) - \hat{\nabla} f^j(x, y)\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \nabla_x f^m(x, y) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x, y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \nabla_x f^j(x, y) + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^j(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^j(x, y) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \nabla_x f^m(x, y) - \nabla_x f^j(x, y) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x, y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x, y) + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x, y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x, y) + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^j(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x, y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^j(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x, y) + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^j(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^j(x, y) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 4 \left\| \nabla_x f^m(x, y) - \nabla_x f^j(x, y) \right\|^2 + 4 \left\| \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x, y) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \right\|^2 \left\| \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) \right)^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x, y) \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + 4 \left\| \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) - \nabla_{yy}^2 g^j(x, y) \right\|^2 \left\| \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \nabla_y f^m(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x, y) \right)^{-1} \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^j(x, y) \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + 4 \left\| \nabla_y f^m(x, y) - \nabla_y f^j(x, y) \right\|^2 \left\| \nabla_{xy}^2 g^j(x, y) \left(\nabla_{yy}^2 g^j(x, y) \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 4\delta_f^2 + \frac{4C_{fy}^2\delta_g^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2C_{fy}^2\delta_g^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2\delta_f^2}{\mu^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where the last inequality holds by the above Assumptions 1-3 and 7.

□

Lemma 18. (Restatement of Lemma 7) Based on the above Assumptions 1-3, we have, for any $m \in [M]$, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

$$\|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_1, y_1) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_2, y_2)\|^2 \leq \hat{L}^2 (\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|y_1 - y_2\|^2), \quad (70)$$

$$\text{where } \hat{L}^2 = 8L_f^2 + \frac{8L_{gxy}^2C_{fy}^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{8L_{gxy}^2C_{gxy}^2C_{fy}^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{8L_f^2C_{gxy}^2}{\mu^2}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_1, y_1) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_2, y_2)\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \nabla_x f^m(x_1, y_1) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \nabla_x f^m(x_2, y_2) + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x_2, y_2) \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \nabla_x f^m(x_1, y_1) - \nabla_x f^m(x_2, y_2) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y_1) \right. \\
&\quad + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y_1) \\
&\quad - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y_1) + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y_1) \\
&\quad \left. - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y_1) + \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x_2, y_2) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 4 \left\| \nabla_x f^m(x_1, y_1) - \nabla_x f^m(x_2, y_2) \right\|^2 + 4 \left\| \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1) - \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) \right\|^2 \left\| (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1))^{-1} \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y_1) \right\|^2 \\
&\quad + 4 \left\| \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1) - \nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) \right\|^2 \left\| \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y_1) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_1, y_1))^{-1} (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2))^{-1} \right\|^2 \\
&\quad + 4 \left\| \nabla_y f^m(x_1, y_1) - \nabla_y f^m(x_2, y_2) \right\|^2 \left\| \nabla_{xy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2) (\nabla_{yy}^2 g^m(x_2, y_2))^{-1} \right\|^2 \\
&\leq (8L_f^2 + \frac{8L_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{8L_{gyy}^2 C_{gxy}^2 C_{fy}^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{8L_f^2 C_{gxy}^2}{\mu^2}) (\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|y_1 - y_2\|^2) \\
&= \hat{L}^2 (\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|y_1 - y_2\|^2), \tag{71}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by the above Assumptions 1-3. \square

Lemma 19. *Based on the above Assumptions 1-3 and 7, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^j(x_t^j, y_t^j) \right\|^2 \leq 6\hat{L}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) + 3M\hat{\delta}^2, \\
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla_y g^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \nabla_y g^j(x_t^j, y_t^j) \right\|^2 \leq 6L_g^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) + 3M\delta_g^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the term $\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^j(x_t^j, y_t^j)\|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^j(x_t^j, y_t^j)\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) + \hat{\nabla} f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^j(x_t^j, y_t^j)\|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{m=1}^M 3\mathbb{E} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 + \sum_{m=1}^M 3\mathbb{E} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^M 3\mathbb{E} \|\frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^j(x_t^j, y_t^j)\|^2 \\
&\leq 3\hat{L}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) + 3 \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \hat{\nabla} f^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \\
&\quad + 3 \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \|\hat{\nabla} f^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \hat{\nabla} f^j(x_t^j, y_t^j)\|^2 \\
&\leq 6\hat{L}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) + 3M\hat{\delta}^2, \tag{72}
\end{aligned}$$

where the second last inequality holds by the above Lemma 18, and the last inequality holds by the above Lemma 17.

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla_y g^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \nabla_y g^j(x_t^j, y_t^j) \right\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla_y g^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \nabla g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) + \nabla g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \nabla_y g^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \nabla_y g^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \nabla_y g^j(x_t^j, y_t^j) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{m=1}^M 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla_y g^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \nabla_y g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) \right\|^2 + \sum_{m=1}^M 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla_y g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \nabla_y g^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) \right\|^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^M 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \nabla_y g^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \nabla_y g^j(x_t^j, y_t^j) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 3L_g^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) + 3 \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla_y g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \nabla_y g^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) \right\|^2 \\
&\quad + 3 \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \left\| \nabla_y g^j(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \nabla_y g^j(x_t^j, y_t^j) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 6L_g^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) + 3M\delta_g^2, \tag{73}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to the above Assumption 7. \square

Lemma 20. *Suppose the iterates $\{x_t^m, y_t^m\}_{t=1}^T$, for all $m \in [M]$ generated from Algorithm 1 satisfy:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 &\leq (q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2, \\
\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2 &\leq (q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Algorithm 1, when $s_t = q\lfloor t/q \rfloor + 1$, we have $t = s_t$ and $x_t^m = \bar{x}_t$, the above inequality holds trivially. When $t \in (s_t, s_t + q)$, we have

$$x_t^m = x_{s_t+1}^m - \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma \eta_l A_l^{-1} w_l^m, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}_t = \bar{x}_{s_t+1} - \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma \eta_l A_l^{-1} \bar{w}_l.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 &= \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| x_{s_t+1}^m - \bar{x}_{s_t+1} - \left(\sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma \eta_l A_l^{-1} w_l^m - \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma \eta_l A_l^{-1} \bar{w}_l \right) \right\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} (\gamma \eta_l A_l^{-1} w_l^m - \gamma \eta_l A_l^{-1} \bar{w}_l) \right\|^2 \leq (q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the above inequality is due to $t - s_t - 1 \leq q - 1$. Similarly, we can obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2 \leq (q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2.$$

□

Lemma 21. Let $\eta_t \leq \frac{\rho^\theta}{12\lambda q \sqrt{M} \sqrt{L_K^2 + L_g^2}}$ ($0 < \theta \leq 1$) for all $t \geq 1$, $\gamma = \tau\lambda$ ($0 < \tau \leq 1$), $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1 \eta_t^2$, $\beta_{t+1} = c_2 \eta_t^2$ and $c_2 = \vartheta c_1$ with $\vartheta > 0$, and $c_1 \leq \frac{72\lambda^2 q (L_K^2 + L_g^2)}{\rho^2 \sqrt{\vartheta^2 \hat{L}^2 + L_g^2}}$. Set $s_t = q\lfloor t/q \rfloor + 1$ and $t \in [s_t, s_t + q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \\ & \leq \frac{8M}{15} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \mathbb{E} (\tau^2 \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2) + \frac{4M \hat{c}^2}{15\lambda^2 (L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{c}^2 = \frac{2c_2^2}{\tau^2} + 2c_2^2 \sigma^2 + c_1^2 \sigma^2 + 3c_2^2 \delta^2 + 3c_1^2 \delta_g^2$.

Proof. When $t = s_t = q\lfloor t/q \rfloor + 1$, we have $w_t^m = \bar{w}_t$ for all $m \in [M]$. So $\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\| = 0$, clearly, the about inequality in the lemma holds trivially. When $t \in (s_t, s_t + q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_{t+1}^{-1}(w_{t+1}^m - \bar{w}_{t+1})\|^2 \tag{74} \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_{t+1}^{-1} \left(\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) + (1 - \beta_{t+1})(w_t^m - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) + (1 - \beta_{t+1})(w_t^m - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m))) \right)\|^2 \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_{t+1}^{-1} \left((1 - \beta_{t+1})(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t) + (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (1 - \beta_{t+1})(\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)) \right)\|^2 \\ & \leq (1 + \nu)(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\nu}) \frac{1}{\rho^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - (1 - \beta_{t+1})(\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m))\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by $A_{t+1} = A_t$ for any $t \in [s_t, s_t + q - 1)$ and $A_t \succeq \rho I_d$ for any $t \geq 1$.

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - (1 - \beta_{t+1}) (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)) \right\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \beta_{t+1} (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m)) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_{t+1}^m, y_{t+1}^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) \right\|^2 \\
& \quad + 2\beta_{t+1}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 4L_K^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\|x_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|y_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) + 2\beta_{t+1}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) \right\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

where the second last inequality is due to Young inequality and the above Lemma 9, and the last inequality holds by the above Lemma 4.

Consider the term $\sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) \right\|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) \right\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m)) \right\| \\
& \quad + 2 \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m; \bar{\xi}_{t+1}^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) \right\| + 2 \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 4 \sum_{m=1}^M (\|R_t^m\|^2 + \sigma^2) + 12\hat{L}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) + 6M\delta^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where the last inequality holds by the above Lemma 19.

By combining the above inequalities (74), (75) and (76), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_{t+1}^{-1}(w_{t+1}^m - \bar{w}_{t+1})\|^2 \tag{77} \\
& \leq (1 + \nu)(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\nu}) \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(4L_K^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\|x_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|y_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 8\beta_{t+1}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\|R_t^m\|^2 + \sigma^2) + 24\beta_{t+1}^2 \hat{L}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) + 12\beta_{t+1}^2 M \hat{\delta}^2 \right) \\
& \leq (1 + \nu)(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\nu}) \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(4L_K^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \|A_t^{-1} w_t^m\|^2 + \lambda^2 \eta_t^2 \|B_t^{-1} v_t^m\|^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 8\beta_{t+1}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\|R_t^m\|^2 + \sigma^2) + 24\beta_{t+1}^2 \hat{L}^2 ((q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2) + 12\beta_{t+1}^2 M \hat{\delta}^2 \right) \\
& \leq (1 + \nu)(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\nu}) \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(8L_K^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \eta_t^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 8L_K^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \lambda^2 \eta_t^2 \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2) + 8\beta_{t+1}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\|R_t^m\|^2 + \sigma^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 24\beta_{t+1}^2 \hat{L}^2 ((q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + (q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2) + 12\beta_{t+1}^2 M \hat{\delta}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds by the above Lemma 20.

Similarly, we can also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_{t+1}^{-1}(v_{t+1}^m - \bar{v}_{t+1})\|^2 \tag{78} \\
& \leq (1 + \nu)(1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\nu}) \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(4L_g^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\|x_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|y_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 4M\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2 + 24\alpha_{t+1}^2 L_g^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E} \|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) + 12\alpha_{t+1}^2 M \delta_g^2 \right) \\
& \leq (1 + \nu)(1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\nu}) \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(8L_g^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \eta_t^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 8L_g^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} (\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \lambda^2 \eta_t^2 \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2) + 4M\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 24\alpha_{t+1}^2 L_g^2 ((q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + (q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2) + 12\alpha_{t+1}^2 M \delta_g^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By combining the above inequalities (77) with (78), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\|A_{t+1}^{-1}(w_{t+1}^m - \bar{w}_{t+1})\|^2 + \|B_{t+1}^{-1}(v_{t+1}^m - \bar{v}_{t+1})\|^2) \tag{79} \\
& \leq (1 + \nu)(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\nu})\frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(8L_K^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \eta_t^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \right. \\
& \quad + 8L_K^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \lambda^2 \eta_t^2 \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2) + 8\beta_{t+1}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\|R_t^m\|^2 + \sigma^2) \\
& \quad + 24\beta_{t+1}^2 \hat{L}^2 ((q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + (q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2) + 12\beta_{t+1}^2 M \hat{\delta}^2 \left. \right) \\
& \quad + (1 + \nu)(1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\nu})\frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(8L_g^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \eta_t^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \right. \\
& \quad + 8L_g^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \lambda^2 \eta_t^2 \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2) + 4M\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2 \\
& \quad + 24\alpha_{t+1}^2 L_g^2 ((q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + (q-1) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2) + 12\alpha_{t+1}^2 M \delta_g^2 \left. \right) \\
& \leq \max \left((1 + \nu)(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 + 8\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 (1 + \frac{1}{\nu})\frac{1}{\rho^2} (L_K^2 + L_g^2), (1 + \nu)(1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 + 8\lambda^2 \eta_t^2 (1 + \frac{1}{\nu})\frac{1}{\rho^2} (L_K^2 + L_g^2) \right) \\
& \quad \cdot \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \\
& \quad + 8\eta_t^2 (L_K^2 + L_g^2) (1 + \frac{1}{\nu})\frac{1}{\rho^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2) \\
& \quad + (1 + \frac{1}{\nu})\frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(8\beta_{t+1}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \|R_t^m\|^2 + 8M\beta_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2 + 4M\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2 + 12\beta_{t+1}^2 M \hat{\delta}^2 + 12\alpha_{t+1}^2 M \delta_g^2 \right) \\
& \quad + 24(q-1)(1 + \frac{1}{\nu})\frac{1}{\rho^2} (\beta_{t+1}^2 \hat{L}^2 + \alpha_{t+1}^2 L_g^2) \max(\gamma^2, \lambda^2) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu = \frac{1}{q}$ and $\gamma = \tau\lambda$ ($0 < \tau \leq 1$), i.e., $\gamma \leq \lambda$. Since $M \geq 1$ and $0 < \theta \leq 1$, we have $\eta_t \leq \frac{\rho^\theta}{12\lambda q \sqrt{M} \sqrt{L_K^2 + L_g^2}} \leq \frac{\rho}{12\lambda q \sqrt{L_K^2 + L_g^2}}$ for all $t \geq 1$. Since $\alpha_{t+1} \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta_{t+1} \in (0, 1)$ for all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 + \nu)(1 - \beta_{t+1})^2 + 8\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 (1 + \frac{1}{\nu})\frac{1}{\rho^2} (L_K^2 + L_g^2) \\
& \leq 1 + \frac{1}{q} + 8(1 + q)\frac{\gamma^2}{\rho^2} (L_K^2 + L_g^2) \frac{\rho^2}{144\lambda^2 q^2 (L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \\
& \leq 1 + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda^2} \frac{1+q}{18q^2} \leq 1 + \frac{10}{9q}. \tag{80}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can also obtain $(1 + \nu)(1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 + 8\lambda^2\eta_t^2(1 + \frac{1}{\nu})\frac{1}{\rho^2}(L_K^2 + L_g^2) \leq 1 + \frac{10}{9q}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\|A_{t+1}^{-1}(w_{t+1}^m - \bar{w}_{t+1})\|^2 + \|B_{t+1}^{-1}(v_{t+1}^m - \bar{v}_{t+1})\|^2) \\
& \leq (1 + \frac{10}{9q}) \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \\
& \quad + 8\eta_t^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)(1 + q) \frac{\lambda^2}{\rho^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\tau^2\|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2) \\
& \quad + (1 + q) \frac{1}{\rho^2} (8\beta_{t+1}^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \|R_t^m\|^2 + 8M\beta_{t+1}^2\sigma^2 + 4M\alpha_{t+1}^2\sigma^2 + 12\beta_{t+1}^2M\delta^2 + 12\alpha_{t+1}^2M\delta_g^2) \\
& \quad + 24q^2 \frac{\lambda^2}{\rho^2} (\beta_{t+1}^2\hat{L}^2 + \alpha_{t+1}^2L_g^2) \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2) \\
& \leq (1 + \frac{10}{9q}) \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) + \frac{1}{9q} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\tau^2\|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{2M\eta_t^3}{3\rho\lambda\sqrt{L_K^2 + L_g^2}} (\frac{2c_2^2}{T^2} + 2c_2^2\sigma^2 + c_1^2\sigma^2 + 3c_2^2\delta^2 + 3c_1^2\delta_g^2) \\
& \quad + (c_2^2\hat{L}^2 + c_1^2L_g^2) \frac{\eta_t^2}{6} \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2), \tag{82}
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is due to $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1\eta_t^2$ and $\beta_{t+1} = c_2\eta_t^2$, and the last inequality holds by $8\eta_t^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)(1 + q)\frac{\lambda^2}{\rho^2} \leq \frac{1}{9q}$ and $\|R_t^m\| \leq \frac{1}{T}$ for any $m \in [M]$, $t \geq 1$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\|A_{t+1}^{-1}(w_{t+1}^m - \bar{w}_{t+1})\|^2 + \|B_{t+1}^{-1}(v_{t+1}^m - \bar{v}_{t+1})\|^2) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{9q} \sum_{s=s_t}^t \left(1 + \frac{10}{9q}\right)^{t-s_t} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\tau^2 \|A_s^{-1} \bar{w}_s\|^2 + \|B_s^{-1} \bar{v}_s\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{2M}{3\rho\lambda\sqrt{L_K^2 + L_g^2}} \left(\frac{2c_2^2}{T^2} + 2c_2^2\sigma^2 + c_1^2\sigma^2 + 3c_2^2\hat{\delta}^2 + 3c_1^2\delta_g^2\right) \sum_{s=s_t}^t \left(1 + \frac{10}{9q}\right)^{t-s_t} \eta_s^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{c_2^2\hat{L}^2 + c_1^2L_g^2}{6} \sum_{s=s_t}^t \left(1 + \frac{10}{9q}\right)^{t-s_t} \eta_s^2 \sum_{l=s_t}^s \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{9q} \sum_{s=s_t}^t \left(1 + \frac{10}{9q}\right)^q \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\tau^2 \|A_s^{-1} \bar{w}_s\|^2 + \|B_s^{-1} \bar{v}_s\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{2M}{3\rho\lambda\sqrt{L_K^2 + L_g^2}} \left(\frac{2c_2^2}{T^2} + 2c_2^2\sigma^2 + c_1^2\sigma^2 + 3c_2^2\hat{\delta}^2 + 3c_1^2\delta_g^2\right) \sum_{s=s_t}^t \left(1 + \frac{10}{9q}\right)^q \eta_s^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{c_2^2\hat{L}^2 + c_1^2L_g^2}{6} \sum_{s=s_t}^t \left(1 + \frac{10}{9q}\right)^q \eta_s^2 \sum_{l=s_t}^s \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2) \\
& \leq \frac{4M}{9q} \sum_{s=s_t}^{t+1} \mathbb{E}(\tau^2 \|A_s^{-1} \bar{w}_s\|^2 + \|B_s^{-1} \bar{v}_s\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{8M}{3\rho\lambda\sqrt{L_K^2 + L_g^2}} \left(\frac{2c_2^2}{T^2} + 2c_2^2\sigma^2 + c_1^2\sigma^2 + 3c_2^2\hat{\delta}^2 + 3c_1^2\delta_g^2\right) \sum_{s=s_t}^{t+1} \eta_s^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{\rho^3(c_2^2\hat{L}^2 + c_1^2L_g^2)}{18 * (12)^2 \lambda^3 q^2 (L_K^2 + L_g^2)^{3/2}} \sum_{s=s_t}^{t+1} \eta_s \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_s^{-1}(w_s^m - \bar{w}_s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_s^{-1}(v_s^m - \bar{v}_s)\|^2), \tag{84}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by $(1 + \frac{10}{9q})^q \leq e^{10/9} \leq 4$.

By multiplying both sides of (83) by η_{t+1} and summing over $t = s_t - 1$ to $s_t + q - 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \\
& \leq \frac{4M}{9} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \mathbb{E}(\tau^2 \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{2M}{9\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \left(\frac{2c_2^2}{T^2} + 2c_2^2\sigma^2 + c_1^2\sigma^2 + 3c_2^2\hat{\delta}^2 + 3c_1^2\delta_g^2\right) \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{\rho^4(c_2^2\hat{L}^2 + c_1^2L_g^2)}{18 * (12)^3 \lambda^4 q^2 (L_K^2 + L_g^2)^2} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2), \tag{86}
\end{aligned}$$

For notational simplicity, let $c_2 = \vartheta c_1$ with $\vartheta > 0$. Given $c_1 \leq \frac{72\lambda^2 q(L_K^2 + L_g^2)}{\rho^2 \sqrt{\vartheta^2 \hat{L}^2 + L_g^2}}$, we have $\frac{60}{72} \leq 1 -$

$\frac{\rho^4(c_2^2\bar{L}^2+c_1^2L_g^2)}{18*(12)^3\lambda^4q^2(L_K^2+L_g^2)^2}$, we have

$$\sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}(\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \quad (87)$$

$$\leq \frac{8M}{15} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \mathbb{E}(\tau^2 \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2) + \frac{4M}{15\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \left(\frac{2c_2^2}{T^2} + 2c_2^2\sigma^2 + c_1^2\sigma^2 + 3c_2^2\delta^2 + 3c_1^2\delta_g^2 \right) \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3. \quad (88)$$

□

Theorem 3. (Restatement of Theorem 1) Suppose the sequence $\{\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be generated from Algorithm 1. Under the above Assumptions, and let $\eta_t = \frac{kM^{1/3}}{(n+t)^{1/3}}$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1\eta_t^2$, $\beta_{t+1} = c_2\eta_t^2$, $n \geq \max(2, Mk^3, M(c_1k)^3, M(c_2k)^3, \frac{(12k\lambda q)^3 M^{5/2} (L_K^2 + L_g^2)^{3/2}}{(\theta\rho)^3})$, $k > 0$, $c_2 = \vartheta c_1$ ($\vartheta > 0$), $\frac{2}{3k^3} + \frac{1000\bar{L}^2}{3\mu^2} \leq c_1 \leq \frac{72\lambda^2 q (L_K^2 + L_g^2)}{\rho^2 \sqrt{\vartheta^2 \bar{L}^2 + L_g^2}}$, $c_2 \geq \frac{2}{3k^3} + 34$, $\lambda = \tau\gamma$, $0 < \tau \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{15M\rho\gamma}{\Gamma}}, 1\right)$, $0 < \theta \leq \min\left(9\bar{L} \sqrt{\frac{75\lambda(L_K^2 + L_g^2)M\mu}{\rho(30\bar{L}^2\mu^2 + 1000\bar{L}^2L_g^2 + 52\bar{L}^2\mu^2)}}, 1\right)$, $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{225M\rho\bar{L}^2}{184\mu(L_K^2 + L_g^2)}$, $0 < \gamma \leq \min\left(\frac{\rho}{8} \sqrt{\frac{1}{(125\bar{L}^2\kappa^2\bar{b}^2)/(6\mu^2\lambda^2) + (L_g^2 + L_K^2)/M}}, \frac{n^{1/3}\rho}{4LkM^{1/3}}\right)$ and $K = \frac{L_g}{\mu} \log(C_{gxy}C_{fy}T/\mu)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{3G}n^{1/6}}{M^{1/6}T^{1/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{3G}}{M^{1/6}T^{1/3}} \right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|A_t\|^2}, \quad (89)$$

where $G = \frac{4(F(\bar{x}_1) - F^*)}{k\rho\gamma} + \frac{160b_1\bar{L}^2\Delta_0}{k\lambda\mu\rho^2} + \frac{8n^{1/3}\sigma^2}{qM^{4/3}k^2\rho^2} + 8k^2 \left(\frac{(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\sigma^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{2c^2\Gamma}{15\rho\gamma\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \right) \ln(n+T) + \frac{54kM^{1/3}(n+T)^{2/3}}{T^2\rho^2}$, $\Delta_0 = \|\bar{y}_1 - y^*(\bar{x}_1)\|^2$, $\hat{c}^2 = \frac{2c_2^2}{T^2} + 2c_2^2\sigma^2 + c_1^2\sigma^2 + 3c_2^2\delta^2 + 3c_1^2\delta_g^2$, $\hat{\delta}^2 = 4\delta_f^2 + \frac{4C_{fy}^2\delta_g^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2C_{fy}^2\delta_g^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{4C_{gxy}^2\delta_f^2}{\mu^2}$ and $\Gamma = \frac{5\theta^2\bar{L}^2\gamma\rho}{36(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} + \frac{125\theta^2\bar{L}^2L_g^2\gamma\rho}{27\mu^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} + \frac{8(L_K^2 + L_g^2)\lambda^2\gamma}{\rho} + \frac{17\theta^2\bar{L}^2\rho\gamma}{72(L_K^2 + L_g^2)}$.

Proof. Since $\eta_t = \frac{kM^{1/3}}{(n+t)^{1/3}}$ on t is decreasing and $n \geq k^3M$, we have $\eta_t \leq \eta_0 = \frac{kM^{1/3}}{n^{1/3}} \leq 1$ and $\gamma \leq \frac{n^{1/3}\rho}{4LkM^{1/3}} \leq \frac{\rho}{2L\eta_0} \leq \frac{\rho}{2L\eta_t}$ for any $t \geq 0$. Since $\eta_t \leq \frac{\theta\rho}{12\lambda q\sqrt{M}\sqrt{L_K^2 + L_g^2}}$ ($0 < \theta \leq 1$) for all $t \geq 0$, we have $\frac{kM^{1/3}}{n^{1/3}} = \eta_0 \leq \eta_t \leq \frac{\theta\rho}{12\lambda q\sqrt{M}\sqrt{L_K^2 + L_g^2}}$ ($0 < \theta \leq 1$), then we have $n \geq \frac{(12k\lambda q)^3 M^{5/2} (L_K^2 + L_g^2)^{3/2}}{(\theta\rho)^3}$. Due to $0 < \eta_t \leq 1$ and $n \geq M(c_1k)^3$, we have $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1\eta_t^2 \leq c_1\eta_t \leq \frac{c_1kM^{1/3}}{n^{1/3}} \leq 1$. Similarly, due to $n \geq M(c_2k)^3$, we have $\beta_{t+1} \leq 1$.

According to Lemma 16, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \bar{v}_{t+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_{t+1}}{\eta_t} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \right) \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_g^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\|\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) + \frac{2\alpha_{t+1}^2\sigma^2}{M\eta_t} \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{\eta_t} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} - c_1\eta_t \right) \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_g^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\|\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) + \frac{2c_1^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{M}, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

where the second equality is due to $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1 \eta_t^2$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_{t+1} - \bar{\nabla} f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - R_{t+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{t+1}}{\eta_t} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \right) \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_K^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\|\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) + \frac{2\beta_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{M\eta_t} \\
& = \left(\frac{1}{\eta_t} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} - c_2 \eta_t \right) \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_K^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\|\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) + \frac{2c_2^2 \eta_t^3 \sigma^2}{M}.
\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

By $\eta_t = \frac{kM^{1/3}}{(n+t)^{1/3}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\eta_t} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} &= \frac{1}{M^{1/3}k} \left((n+t)^{\frac{1}{3}} - (n+t-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{3M^{1/3}k(n+t-1)^{2/3}} \leq \frac{1}{3M^{1/3}k(n/2+t)^{2/3}} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{2/3}}{3M^{1/3}k(n+t)^{2/3}} \leq \frac{2^{2/3}M^{2/3}}{3k^3} \frac{k^2}{(n+t)^{2/3}} = \frac{2^{2/3}}{3k^3} \eta_t^2 \leq \frac{2}{3k^3} \eta_t,
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

where the first inequality holds by the concavity of function $f(x) = x^{1/3}$, i.e., $(x+y)^{1/3} \leq x^{1/3} + \frac{y}{3x^{2/3}}$; the second inequality is due to $n \geq 2$, and the last inequality is due to $0 < \eta_t \leq 1$.

Let $c_1 \geq \frac{2}{3k^3} + \frac{1000\bar{L}^2}{3\mu^2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \bar{v}_{t+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 \\
& \leq -\frac{1000\bar{L}^2 \eta_t}{3\mu^2} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_g^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\|\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) + \frac{2c_1^2 \eta_t^3 \sigma^2}{M} \\
& = -\frac{1000\bar{L}^2 \eta_t}{3\mu^2} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_g^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t + \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t + \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{2c_1^2 \eta_t^3 \sigma^2}{M} \\
& \leq -\frac{1000\bar{L}^2 \eta_t}{3\mu^2} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_g^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2) \\
& \quad + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2) + \frac{2c_1^2 \eta_t^3 \sigma^2}{M}.
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Let $c_2 \geq \frac{2}{3k^3} + 34$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_{t+1} - \bar{\nabla} f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - R_{t+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 \\
& \leq -34\eta_t \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_K^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\|\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\hat{y}_{t+1}^m - y_t^m\|^2) + \frac{2c_2^2 \eta_t^3 \sigma^2}{M} \\
& = -34\eta_t \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_K^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t + \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t + \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{2c_2^2 \eta_t^3 \sigma^2}{M} \\
& \leq -34\eta_t \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_K^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 \\
& \quad + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2) + \frac{2c_2^2 \eta_t^3 \sigma^2}{M}. \tag{94}
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemmas 14 and 20, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\bar{x}_{t+1}) - F(\bar{x}_t) & \leq \frac{8\bar{L}^2 \gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
& = \frac{8\bar{L}^2 \gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) + \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \\
& \quad - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{8\bar{L}^2 \gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{4\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t)\|^2 + \frac{4\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \\
& \quad - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{8\bar{L}^2 \gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{4\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{\nabla} f^m(x_t^m, y_t^m) - \hat{\nabla} f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)) \right\|^2 - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{8\bar{L}^2 \gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{4\gamma \eta_t \hat{L}^2}{M\rho} \sum_{m=1}^M (\|x_t^m - \bar{x}_t\|^2 + \|y_t^m - \bar{y}_t\|^2) - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{8\bar{L}^2 \gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{4(q-1)\gamma \eta_t \hat{L}^2}{M\rho} \left(\sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad - \frac{\rho \eta_t \gamma}{2} \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2, \tag{95}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by the above Lemma 20, and $\hat{x}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{x}_{t+1}^m$, $\bar{x}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M x_t^m$ and $\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{x}_{t+1}^m - x_t^m) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (-\gamma A_t^{-1} w_t^m) = -\gamma A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t$.

According to Lemma 15, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2 - \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \\
& \leq -\frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t}{4} \|\hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\eta_t \lambda}{6\mu b_t} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2 \eta_t b_t}{6\mu \lambda} \|\hat{x}_{t+1} - \bar{x}_t\|^2 \\
& = -\frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t \lambda^2}{4} \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\eta_t \lambda}{6\mu b_t} \|\nabla_y g(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) + \bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{25\kappa^2 \eta_t b_t \gamma^2}{6\mu \lambda} \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 \\
& \leq -\frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t \lambda^2}{4} \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\eta_t \lambda}{3\mu b_t} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\nabla_y g^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \nabla_y g^m(x_t^m, y_t^m)) \right\|^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{25\eta_t \lambda}{3\mu b_t} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2 \eta_t b_t \gamma^2}{6\mu \lambda} \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 \\
& \leq -\frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t \lambda^2}{4} \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{50\eta_t \lambda L_g^2}{3\mu b_t M} \sum_{m=1}^M (\|\bar{x}_t - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\bar{y}_t - y_t^m\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{25\eta_t \lambda}{3\mu b_t} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2 \eta_t b_t \gamma^2}{6\mu \lambda} \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 \\
& \leq -\frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t \lambda^2}{4} \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2 + (q-1) \frac{50\eta_t \lambda L_g^2}{3\mu b_t M} \left(\sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_l^{-1} (w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_l^{-1} (v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2 \right) + \frac{25\eta_t \lambda}{3\mu b_t} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2 \eta_t b_t \gamma^2}{6\mu \lambda} \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2, \tag{96}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by the above Lemma 20.

Next, we define a *Lyapunov* function, for any $t \geq 1$

$$\Omega_t = \mathbb{E} \left[F(\bar{x}_t) + \frac{40b_t \bar{L}^2 \gamma}{\lambda \mu \rho} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\rho \eta_{t-1}} (\|\bar{v}_t - \bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t)\|^2 + \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2) \right].$$

Then we have

$$\Omega_{t+1} - \Omega_t$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= F(\bar{x}_{t+1}) - F(\bar{x}_t) + \frac{40b_t\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\lambda\mu\rho} (\|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y^*(\bar{x}_{t+1})\|^2 - \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2) + \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{v}_{t+1} - \bar{\nabla}_y g(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1})\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{v}_t - \bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_{t+1} - \bar{\nabla} f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - R_{t+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{8\bar{L}^2\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2 - \frac{\rho\eta_t\gamma}{2} \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{4(q-1)\gamma\eta_t\hat{L}^2}{M\rho} \left(\sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \gamma^2\eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 + \sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \lambda^2\eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{40b_t\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\lambda\mu\rho} \left(-\frac{\eta_t\mu\lambda}{4b_t} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t\lambda^2}{4} \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + (q-1) \frac{50\eta_t\lambda L_g^2}{3\mu b_t M} \left(\sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \gamma^2\eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \lambda^2\eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E} \|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2 \right) + \frac{25\eta_t\lambda}{3\mu b_t} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2\eta_t b_t \gamma^2}{6\mu\lambda} \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \left(-\frac{1000\bar{L}^2\eta_t}{3\mu^2} \mathbb{E} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_g^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2) + \frac{2c_1^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{M} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 34\eta_t \mathbb{E} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla} f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_K^2}{M^2} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2) + \frac{2c_2^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{M} \right), \tag{97}
\end{aligned}$$

where the above inequality holds by the above inequalities (93), (94), (95) and (96).

Let $s_t = q\lfloor t/q \rfloor + 1$, summing the above inequality (97) over $t = s_t$ to $s_t + q - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} (\Omega_{t+1} - \Omega_t) \\
& \leq \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(\frac{8\bar{L}^2\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla}f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2 - \frac{\rho\eta_t\gamma}{2} \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{\theta^2\gamma\rho\hat{L}^2}{36M(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\mathbb{E}\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{40\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\lambda\mu\rho} \left(\sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(-\frac{\eta_t\mu\lambda}{4} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3b_t\eta_t\lambda^2}{4} \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\eta_t\lambda}{3\mu} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2\eta_t b_t^2\gamma^2}{6\mu\lambda} \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{25\theta^2\rho^2\lambda L_g^2}{216\mu M(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\mathbb{E}\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 \right) \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \left(\sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(-\frac{1000\bar{L}^2\eta_t}{3\mu^2} \mathbb{E}\|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_g^2\gamma^2}{M}\eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_g^2\lambda^2}{M}\eta_t \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{2c_1^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{M} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{8(L_K^2 + L_g^2)\lambda^2}{M^2} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(-34\eta_t \mathbb{E}\|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla}f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_K^2\gamma^2}{M}\eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_K^2\lambda^2}{M}\eta_t \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{2c_2^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{M} \right) \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(\frac{8\bar{L}^2\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + \frac{16\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{16(q-1)\hat{L}^2\gamma\eta_t}{M\rho} \left(\sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \gamma^2\eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \lambda^2\eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2 \right) + \frac{8\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2 - \frac{\rho\eta_t\gamma}{2} \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{\theta^2\gamma\rho\hat{L}^2}{36M(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\mathbb{E}\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{40\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\lambda\mu\rho} \left(\sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(-\frac{\eta_t\mu\lambda}{4} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t b_t\lambda^2}{4} \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\eta_t\lambda}{3\mu} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2\eta_t b_t^2\gamma^2}{6\mu\lambda} \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{25\theta^2\rho^2\lambda L_g^2}{216\mu M(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\mathbb{E}\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 \right) \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \left(\sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(-\frac{1000\bar{L}^2\eta_t}{3\mu^2} \mathbb{E}\|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_g^2\gamma^2}{M}\eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_g^2\lambda^2}{M}\eta_t \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{2c_1^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{M} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{8(L_K^2 + L_g^2)\lambda^2}{M^2} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(-17\eta_t \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{34(q-1)\hat{L}^2}{M}\eta_t \left(\sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \gamma^2\eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{l=s_t}^{t-1} \lambda^2\eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2 \right) + \frac{8L_K^2\gamma^2}{M}\eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_K^2\lambda^2}{M}\eta_t \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{2c_2^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{M} \right) \right), \quad (98)
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is due to $\eta_t \leq \frac{\theta\rho}{12\lambda q\sqrt{\hat{L}_K^2 + \hat{L}_g^2}}$ ($0 < \theta \leq 1$) for all $t \geq 1$, $b_t \geq \rho$ and $\lambda \geq \gamma$, and the last inequality holds by the following inequalities (99) and (101).

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla}f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 &\leq 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + 2\|\hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{\nabla}f(x_t, y_t)\|^2 \\
&= 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + 2\left\|\frac{1}{M}\sum_{m=1}^M (\hat{\nabla}f^m(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \hat{\nabla}f(x_t^m, y_t^m))\right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + 2\frac{1}{M}\sum_{m=1}^M \hat{L}^2(\|\bar{x}_t - x_t^m\|^2 + \|\bar{y}_t - y_t^m\|^2) \\
&\leq 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{2(q-1)\hat{L}^2}{M}\left(\sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2\right), \tag{99}
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality holds by Lemma 18, and the last inequality is due to Lemma 20.

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 &\leq 2\|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla}f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + 2\|\hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - \bar{\nabla}f(x_t, y_t)\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla}f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{2(q-1)\hat{L}^2}{M}\left(\sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2\right). \tag{100}
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the above inequality, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\|\bar{w}_t - \bar{\nabla}f(x_t, y_t) - R_t\|^2 &\leq -\frac{1}{2}\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{(q-1)\hat{L}^2}{M}\left(\sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \gamma^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|A_l^{-1}(w_l^m - \bar{w}_l)\|^2\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=s_t+1}^{t-1} \lambda^2 \eta_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}\|B_l^{-1}(v_l^m - \bar{v}_l)\|^2\right). \tag{101}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta_t \leq \frac{\theta\rho}{12\lambda q\sqrt{M}\sqrt{L_K^2+L_g^2}}$ ($0 < \theta \leq 1$) for all $t \geq 1$, and $\rho \leq b_t \leq \hat{b}$, and $\lambda \geq \gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} (\Omega_{t+1} - \Omega_t) \\
& \leq \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(\frac{8\bar{L}^2\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 + \frac{16\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2 - \frac{\rho\eta_t\gamma}{2} \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{5\theta^2\gamma\rho\hat{L}^2}{36M^2(L_K^2+L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{40\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\lambda\mu\rho} \left(\sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(-\frac{\eta_t\mu\lambda}{4} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta_t b_t \lambda^2}{4} \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\eta_t\lambda}{3\mu} \|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2\eta_t b_t^2\gamma^2}{6\mu\lambda} \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{25\theta^2\rho^2\lambda L_g^2}{216\mu M^2(L_K^2+L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \left(\sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(-\frac{125\bar{L}^2\eta_t}{6\mu^2} \mathbb{E}\|\bar{\nabla}_y g(x_t, y_t) - \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_g^2\gamma^2}{M}\eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_g^2\lambda^2}{M}\eta_t \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{2c_1^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{M} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{8(L_K^2+L_g^2)\lambda^2}{M^2} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 17 \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + \frac{17\theta^2\rho^2\hat{L}^2}{72M^2(L_K^2+L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbb{E}\|A_t^{-1}(w_t^m - \bar{w}_t)\|^2 + \mathbb{E}\|B_t^{-1}(v_t^m - \bar{v}_t)\|^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \left(\frac{8L_K^2\gamma^2}{M}\eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{8L_K^2\lambda^2}{M}\eta_t \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{2c_2^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{M} \right) \right) \\
& \leq -\frac{\gamma}{\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 - \frac{2\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{\rho\gamma}{2} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \\
& \quad - \frac{30\bar{L}^2\lambda\gamma}{\mu} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \frac{8\gamma\lambda^2(L_g^2+L_K^2)}{M\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2 + \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \frac{8\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2 \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{500\bar{L}^2\kappa^2\gamma^3\hat{b}^2}{3\mu^2\lambda^2\rho} + \frac{8\gamma^3(L_g^2+L_K^2)}{M\rho} \right) \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{2(c_1^2+c_2^2)\gamma\sigma^2}{M\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{\Gamma}{M^2} \left(\frac{8M}{15} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \mathbb{E}(\tau^2 \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \|B_t^{-1}\bar{v}_t\|^2) + \frac{4M\hat{c}^2}{15\lambda^2(L_K^2+L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3 \right), \tag{102}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by $\Gamma = \frac{5\theta^2\hat{L}^2\gamma\rho}{36(L_K^2+L_g^2)} + \frac{125\theta^2\bar{L}^2L_g^2\gamma\rho}{27\mu^2(L_K^2+L_g^2)} + \frac{8(L_K^2+L_g^2)\lambda^2\gamma}{\rho} + \frac{17\theta^2\hat{L}^2\rho\gamma}{72(L_K^2+L_g^2)}$ and Lemma 21.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} (\Omega_{t+1} - \Omega_t) \\
& \leq -\frac{\gamma}{\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 - \frac{2\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{\rho\gamma}{4} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 \\
& \quad - \left(\frac{30\bar{L}^2\lambda\gamma}{\mu} - \frac{8\gamma\lambda^2(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}{M\rho} - \frac{8\Gamma}{15M} \right) \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|B_t^{-1} \bar{v}_t\|^2 + \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \frac{8\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2 \\
& \quad - \left(\frac{\rho\gamma}{4} - \frac{500\bar{L}^2\kappa^2\gamma^3\hat{b}^2}{3\mu^2\lambda^2\rho} - \frac{8\gamma^3(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}{M\rho} - \frac{8\Gamma\tau^2}{15M} \right) \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{2(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\gamma\sigma^2}{M\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3 + \frac{4\Gamma\hat{c}^2}{15M\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3 \\
& \leq -\frac{\gamma}{\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 - \frac{2\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{\rho\gamma}{4} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{2(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\gamma\sigma^2}{M\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3 + \frac{4\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15M\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3 + \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \frac{8\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2, \tag{103}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by the following inequalities (106) and (108).

Due to $\lambda \leq \frac{225M\rho\bar{L}^2}{184\mu(L_K^2 + L_g^2)}$, we can obtain

$$\frac{15\bar{L}^2\lambda\gamma}{\mu} \geq \frac{8\gamma\lambda^2(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}{M\rho} + \frac{64\gamma\lambda^2(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}{15M\rho}. \tag{104}$$

Meanwhile, due to $\theta \leq 9\bar{L}\sqrt{\frac{75\lambda(L_K^2 + L_g^2)M\mu}{\rho(30\bar{L}^2\mu^2 + 1000\bar{L}^2L_g^2 + 52\bar{L}^2\mu^2)}}$, we can obtain

$$\frac{15\bar{L}^2\lambda\gamma}{\mu} \geq \frac{2\theta^2\gamma\rho\hat{L}^2}{27M(L_g^2 + L_K^2)} + \frac{200\theta^2\bar{L}^2L_g^2\rho\gamma}{81M\mu^2(L_g^2 + L_K^2)} + \frac{17\theta^2\hat{L}^2\rho\gamma}{135M(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}. \tag{105}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{30\bar{L}^2\lambda\gamma}{\mu} & \geq \frac{8\gamma\lambda^2(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}{M\rho} + \frac{8\Gamma}{15M} \\
& = \frac{8\gamma\lambda^2(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}{M\rho} + \frac{64\gamma\lambda^2(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}{15M\rho} + \frac{2\theta^2\gamma\rho\hat{L}^2}{27M(L_g^2 + L_K^2)} + \frac{200\theta^2\bar{L}^2L_g^2\rho\gamma}{81M\mu^2(L_g^2 + L_K^2)} \\
& \quad + \frac{17\theta^2\hat{L}^2\rho\gamma}{135M(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}. \tag{106}
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $\gamma \leq \frac{\rho}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1}{(125\bar{L}^2\kappa^2\hat{b}^2)/(6\mu^2\lambda^2) + (L_g^2 + L_K^2)/M}}$, we can obtain

$$\frac{\rho\gamma}{8} \geq \frac{500\bar{L}^2\kappa^2\gamma^3\hat{b}^2}{3\mu^2\lambda^2\rho} + \frac{8\gamma^3(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}{M\rho}. \tag{107}$$

Meanwhile, due to $\tau \leq \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{15M\rho\gamma}{\Gamma}}$, we have $\frac{\rho\gamma}{8} \geq \frac{8\Gamma\tau^2}{15M}$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{\rho\gamma}{4} \geq \frac{500\bar{L}^2\kappa^2\gamma^3\hat{b}^2}{3\mu^2\lambda^2\rho} + \frac{8\gamma^3(L_g^2 + L_K^2)}{M\rho} + \frac{8\Gamma\tau^2}{15M}. \quad (108)$$

Since $\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \leq 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 + 2\|R_t\|^2$, we have

$$-\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t) - R_t\|^2 \leq -\frac{1}{2}\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 + \|R_t\|^2. \quad (109)$$

Plugging the above inequalities (109) into (102), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} (\Omega_{t+1} - \Omega_t) \\ & \leq -\frac{\gamma}{2\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 - \frac{2\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{\rho\gamma}{4} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{2(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\gamma\sigma^2}{M\rho} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3 + \frac{4\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15M\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \eta_t^3 + \sum_{t=s_t}^{s_t+q-1} \frac{9\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Summing the above inequality 110 from $t = 1$ to T , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=1}^T (\Omega_{t+1} - \Omega_t) \\ & \leq -\frac{\gamma}{2\rho} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 - \frac{2\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\rho} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 - \frac{\rho\gamma}{4} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{2(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\gamma\sigma^2}{M\rho} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t^3 + \frac{4\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15M\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t^3 + \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{9\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} \|R_t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

Let $\Delta_0 = \|\bar{y}_1 - y^*(\bar{x}_1)\|^2$. Since $v_1^m = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \nabla_y g^m(x_1^m, y_1^m; \zeta_1^{m,i})$, and $w_1^m = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \hat{\nabla}f^m(x_1^m, y_1^m; \xi_1^{m,i})$ for any $m \in [M]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 & = \mathbb{E} \left[F(\bar{x}_1) + \frac{40b_1\bar{L}^2\gamma}{\lambda\mu\rho} \|\bar{y}_1 - y^*(\bar{x}_1)\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\rho\eta_0} (\|\bar{v}_1 - \bar{\nabla}_y g(x_1, y_1)\|^2 + \|\bar{w}_1 - \bar{\nabla}f(x_1, y_1) - R_1\|^2) \right] \\ & \leq F(\bar{x}_1) + \frac{40b_1\bar{L}^2\gamma\Delta_0}{\lambda\mu\rho} + \frac{2\gamma\sigma^2}{Mq\rho\eta_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

where the last inequality holds by Assumption 2.

Since $\eta_t = \frac{kM^{1/3}}{(n+t)^{1/3}}$ is decreasing, i.e., $\eta_T^{-1} \geq \eta_t^{-1}$ for any $0 \leq t \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \left[\|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{2}{\rho^2} \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 + \frac{8\bar{L}^2}{\rho^2} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \right] \\
& \leq \frac{4}{T\rho\gamma\eta_T} \sum_{t=1}^T (\Omega_t - \Omega_{t+1}) + \frac{8(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\sigma^2}{MT\rho^2\eta_T} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t^3 + \frac{36}{T\rho^2\eta_T} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t \|R_t\|^2 + \frac{16\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15MT\eta_T\rho\gamma\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t^3 \\
& \leq \frac{4}{T\rho\gamma\eta_T} (F(\bar{x}_1) + \frac{40b_1\bar{L}^2\gamma\Delta_0}{\lambda\mu\rho} + \frac{2\gamma\sigma^2}{qM\rho\eta_0} - F^*) + \left(\frac{8(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\sigma^2}{MT\rho^2\eta_T} + \frac{16\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15MT\eta_T\rho\gamma\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \right) \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{36}{\eta_T\rho^2T^3} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t \\
& \leq \frac{4}{T\rho\gamma\eta_T} (F(\bar{x}_1) + \frac{40b_1\bar{L}^2\gamma\Delta_0}{\lambda\mu\rho} + \frac{2\gamma\sigma^2}{qM\rho\eta_0} - F^*) + \left(\frac{8(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\sigma^2}{MT\rho^2\eta_T} + \frac{16\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15MT\eta_T\rho\gamma\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \right) \int_1^T \frac{Mk^3}{n+t} dt \\
& \quad + \frac{36}{\eta_T\rho^2T^3} \int_1^T \frac{kM^{1/3}}{(n+t)^{1/3}} dt \\
& \leq \frac{4(F(\bar{x}_1) - F^*)}{T\rho\gamma\eta_T} + \frac{160b_1\bar{L}^2\Delta_0}{\lambda\mu\rho^2\eta_T T} + \frac{8\sigma^2}{qM\rho^2\eta_0\eta_T T} + \frac{k^3}{T\eta_T} \left(\frac{8(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\sigma^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{16\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15\rho\gamma\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \right) \ln(n+T) \\
& \quad + \frac{54kM^{1/3}}{T^3\eta_T\rho^2} (n+T)^{2/3} \\
& = \left(\frac{4(F(\bar{x}_1) - F^*)}{k\rho\gamma} + \frac{160b_1\bar{L}^2\Delta_0}{k\lambda\mu\rho^2} + \frac{8n^{1/3}\sigma^2}{qM^{4/3}k^2\rho^2} + 8k^2 \left(\frac{(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\sigma^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{2\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15\rho\gamma\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \right) \right) \ln(n+T) \\
& \quad + \frac{54kM^{1/3}(n+T)^{2/3}}{T^2\rho^2} \frac{(n+T)^{1/3}}{M^{1/3}T},
\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

where the second inequality holds by the above inequality (112), Assumption 8 and $\|R_t\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{T^2}$ that is due to the following fact:

$$\|R_t\|^2 = \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M R_t \right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \|R_t\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{T^2}, \tag{114}$$

where the last inequality holds by the above Lemma 3 and $K = \frac{L_g}{\mu} \log(C_{gxy}C_{fy}T/\mu)$. Let $G = \frac{4(F(\bar{x}_1) - F^*)}{k\rho\gamma} + \frac{160b_1\bar{L}^2\Delta_0}{k\lambda\mu\rho^2} + \frac{8n^{1/3}\sigma^2}{qM^{4/3}k^2\rho^2} + 8k^2 \left(\frac{(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\sigma^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{2\hat{c}^2\Gamma}{15\rho\gamma\lambda^2(L_K^2 + L_g^2)} \right) \ln(n+T) + \frac{54kM^{1/3}(n+T)^{2/3}}{T^2\rho^2}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \left[\|A_t^{-1} \bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{2}{\rho^2} \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 + \frac{8\bar{L}^2}{\rho^2} \|\bar{y}_t - y^*(\bar{x}_t)\|^2 \right] \leq \frac{G}{M^{1/3}T} (n+T)^{1/3}. \tag{115}$$

According to Lemma 13 and $(a+b)^{1/2} \leq a^{1/2} + b^{1/2}$, we have

$$\|\bar{w}_t - \nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \leq 2\sqrt{2}\bar{L} \|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\| + \sqrt{2} \|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla} f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|. \tag{116}$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_t = \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\| + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(2\sqrt{2}\bar{L}\|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\| + \sqrt{2}\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\| \right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_t &= \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\| + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(2\sqrt{2}\bar{L}\|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\| + \sqrt{2}\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\| \right) \\
&\geq \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\| + \frac{1}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \\
&= \frac{1}{\|A_t\|} \|A_t\| \|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\| + \frac{1}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\|A_t\|} \|\bar{w}_t\| + \frac{1}{\rho} \|\bar{w}_t - \nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \\
&\stackrel{(i)}{\geq} \frac{1}{\|A_t\|} \|\bar{w}_t\| + \frac{1}{\|A_t\|} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{w}_t\| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\|A_t\|} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\|,
\end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

where the inequality (i) holds by $\|A_t\| \geq \rho$ for all $t \geq 1$ due to Assumption 6. Then we have

$$\|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \leq \|A_t\| \mathcal{G}_t. \tag{118}$$

According to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{G}_t \|A_t\|] \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{G}_t^2]} \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|A_t\|^2}. \tag{119}$$

According to the above inequality (115), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{G}_t^2] &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \left[3\|A_t^{-1}\bar{w}_t\|^2 + \frac{3}{\rho^2} \left(8\bar{L}^2\|y^*(\bar{x}_t) - \bar{y}_t\|^2 + 2\|\bar{w}_t - \hat{\nabla}f(\bar{x}_t, \bar{y}_t)\|^2 \right) \right] \\
&\leq \frac{3G}{M^{1/3}T} (n+T)^{1/3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

By combining the above inequalities (119) and (120), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|\nabla F(\bar{x}_t)\| &\leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{G}_t^2]} \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|A_t\|^2} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{3G}n^{1/6}}{M^{1/6}T^{1/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{3G}}{M^{1/6}T^{1/3}} \right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|A_t\|^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

□