

SOME OBSERVATIONS ABOUT “MOVING NORMS” IN NORMED ALGEBRAS

ELIAHU LEVY

ABSTRACT. In this note one tries to venture into a study of some notions, in the context of a (unital) normed algebra, in particular the algebra of operators on a Hilbert space. Namely, one considers “moving norms”, i.e. norming an element minus a scalar multiple of the unity and related notions, and goes into obtaining some rather nice assertions.

CONTENTS

.1. The Moving Norm and Augmented Moving Norm	1
.2. The Horizon of an Element of Norm ≤ 1	2
.3. Re Elements in a C^* -Algebra	3
.4. Re Operators on Hilbert Space	3
.5. Firmly Nonexpansive Operators	4
References	5

.1. The Moving Norm and Augmented Moving Norm. Recall, that a normed (unital) algebra is a (unital) algebra \mathcal{A} over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} as scalars, which is a normed vector space satisfying

$$(1) \quad \|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \mathcal{A},$$

$$(2) \quad \|\mathbf{1}\| = 1, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{1} \text{ is the unit element.}$$

Definition 1. *The moving norm of an $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is the (continuous) function on $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ given by*

$$(3) \quad \|x\|_m(\lambda) := \|x - \lambda\mathbf{1}\|, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

Then one has

$$(4) \quad \text{For } x \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } c \geq 0, \quad \|cx\|_m(c\lambda) = c\|x\|_m(\lambda);$$

$$(5) \quad \text{For } x, y \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \lambda, \mu \geq 0, \quad \|x + y\|_m(\lambda + \mu) \leq \|x\|_m(\lambda) + \|y\|_m(\mu);$$

Consequently, for $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$

$$(6) \quad \|x\|_m(\lambda) \leq \inf_{y+z=x, \mu+\nu=\lambda, \mu, \nu \geq 0} \|y\|_m(\mu) + \|z\|_m(\nu).$$

Define also

Definition 2. *The augmented moving norm of an $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is the (continuous) function on $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ given by*

$$(7) \quad \|x\|_{am}(\lambda) := \|x - \lambda\mathbf{1}\| + \lambda = \|x\|_a(\lambda) + \lambda, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

I am much indebted and grateful to Professor Yair Censor of the Haifa University, this note stemming from joint work with him, and to Professors Yoav Benyamini, Simeon Reich and Orr Shalit of the Technion, Haifa for their constant kind advice.

This definition is motivated by some somewhat nice properties obtained (Thm. 3).

Theorem 3.

$$(8) \quad \|cx\|_{am}(c\lambda) = c\|x\|_{am}(\lambda), \text{ For } x, y \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \lambda \geq 0$$

$$(9) \quad \|x + y\|_{am}(\lambda + \mu) \leq \|x\|_{am}(\lambda) + \|y\|_{am}(\mu),$$

Consequently, for $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$

$$(10) \quad \|x\|_{am}(\lambda) \leq \inf_{y+z=x, \mu+\nu=\lambda, \mu, \nu \geq 0} \|y\|_{am}(\mu) + \|z\|_{am}(\nu).$$

For $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$

$$(11) \quad \|x + y\|_{am}(\lambda + \mu) \leq \|x\|_{am}(\lambda) + \|y\|_{am}(\mu).$$

$$(12) \quad \|xy\|_{am}(\lambda\mu) \leq \|x\|_{am}(\lambda) \cdot \|y\|_{am}(\mu).$$

Proof. The first assertions about multiplying by a scalar and about a sum follow from (4) and (5).

As for the assertion (12) about a product, we have

For $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$

$$\|x\|_m(\lambda) = \|x - \lambda\mathbf{1}\|, \quad \|y\|_m(\mu) = \|y - \mu\mathbf{1}\|,$$

making

$$\|xy - \lambda y - \mu x + \lambda\mu\mathbf{1}\| \leq \|x\|_m(\lambda)\|y\|_m(\mu),$$

thus

$$\|xy - \lambda\mu\mathbf{1}\| \leq \|x\|_m(\lambda)\|y\|_m(\mu) + \|\lambda y - \lambda\mu\mathbf{1}\| + \|\mu x - \lambda\mu\mathbf{1}\| =$$

$$\|x\|_m(\lambda)\|y\|_m(\mu) + \lambda\|y\|_m(\mu) + \mu\|x\|_m(\lambda).$$

$$\|xy\|_m(\lambda\mu) \leq \|x\|_m(\lambda)\|y\|_m(\mu) + \lambda\|y\|_m(\mu) + \mu\|x\|_m(\lambda),$$

$$\|xy\|_{am}(\lambda\mu) = \|xy\|_m(\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu \leq \|x\|_m(\lambda)\|y\|_m(\mu) + \lambda\|y\|_m(\mu) + \mu\|x\|_m(\lambda) + \lambda\mu =$$

$$\left(\|x\|_m(\lambda) + \lambda\right) \cdot \left(\|y\|_m(\mu) + \mu\right) = \left(\|x\|_{am}(\lambda)\right) \cdot \left(\|y\|_{am}(\mu)\right)$$

Proving (12). □

.2. The Horizon of an Element of Norm ≤ 1 . Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ be of norm $\|x\| \leq 1$. Its augmented moving norm, a continuous function $\mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ $\lambda \mapsto \|x\|_{am}(\lambda)$ is convex (by Thm. 3), taking at 0 the value $\|x\| \leq 1$ and is $\geq \lambda$, so it turns to ∞ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore there is a unique $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ so that at λ_0 it takes the value 1 and has value > 1 at greater places.

Definition 4. For an $x \in \mathcal{A}$ of norm $\|x\| \leq 1$, the *horizon* of x is defined as the unique nonnegative number $\text{Hor}(x)$ so that

$$(13) \quad \|x\|_{am}(\text{Hor}(x)) = 1, \quad \lambda > \text{Hor}(x) \Rightarrow \|x\|_{am}(\lambda) > 1.$$

Remark 5. Then by the convexity of $\lambda \mapsto \|x\|_{am}(\lambda)$,

$$(14) \text{ either } \left(\lambda < \text{Hor}(x) \Rightarrow \|x\|_{am}(\lambda) < 1\right), \text{ hence if } \text{Hor}(x) > 0 \text{ then } \|x\| = \|x\|_{am}(0) < 1,$$

$$(15) \text{ or } \left(0 \leq \lambda \leq \text{Hor}(x) \Rightarrow \|x\|_{am}(\lambda) = 1\right), \text{ in particular } \|x\| = \|x\|_{am}(0) = 1.$$

And clearly, as we always have $\|x\|_{am}(\lambda) \geq \lambda$,

$$(16) \quad \text{Hor}(x) \leq 1 \text{ for every } x.$$

Theorem 6. For $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ with norms $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$

$$(17) \quad \text{Hor}(tx + (1-t)y) \geq t \text{Hor}(x) + (1-t) \text{Hor}(y) \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

$$(18) \quad \text{Hor}(xy) \geq \text{Hor}(x) \cdot \text{Hor}(y).$$

Proof. By Def. 4 and (8) we have that

$$\|tx\|_{am}(t\lambda) = t\|x\|_{am}(\lambda) \text{ is } \leq t \text{ at } \lambda = \text{Hor}(x).$$

Similarly $\|(1-t)y\|_{am}((1-t)\lambda) = (1-t)\|y\|_{am}(\lambda) \text{ is } \leq 1-t \text{ at } \lambda = \text{Hor}(y).$

Therefore, by Thm. 3,

$$\|tx + (1-t)y\|_{am}\left(t\text{Hor}(x) + (1-t)\text{Hor}(y)\right) \leq 1,$$

which implies (17).

Now, by Def. 4 and Thm. 3, we have that $\|xy\|_{am}(\lambda) \text{ is } \leq 1 \text{ at } \lambda = \text{Hor}(x) \cdot \text{Hor}(y)$, implying (18). \square

.3. Re Elements in a C^* -Algebra. Consider a C^* -algebra \mathcal{C} .

Clearly for an $x \in \mathcal{C}$, the moving norm, augmented moving norm and horizon are the same for x and x^* .

Theorem 7. For $x \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$(19) \quad \|xx^*\|_{am}(\lambda^2), \|x^*x\|_{am}(\lambda^2) \leq \left(\|x\|_{am}(\lambda)\right)^2,$$

$$(20) \quad \text{and when } \|x\| \leq 1, \quad \text{Hor}(xx^*), \text{Hor}(x^*x) \geq \left(\text{Hor}(x)\right)^2.$$

Proof. Follows from Thm. 3 and Thm. 6, \square

Theorem 8. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}$ be unitary, i.e. $u^* = u^{-1}$, consequently $\|u\| = \sqrt{\|u^*u\|} = \sqrt{\|\mathbf{1}\|} = 1$. Then

$$(21) \quad \|u\|_{am}(\lambda) \geq 1 \text{ for any } \lambda \geq 1$$

Thus

$$(22) \quad \|u\|_{am}(\lambda) = 1 \text{ on } \lambda \in [0, \text{Hor}(u)].$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_m(\lambda) &= \|u - \lambda\mathbf{1}\| = \sqrt{\|(u^* - \lambda\mathbf{1})(u - \lambda\mathbf{1})\|} = \\ &= \sqrt{\|(\lambda^2 + 1)\mathbf{1} - \lambda(u + u^*)\|} \geq \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 1 - 2\lambda} = |1 - \lambda|. \\ \|u\|_{am}(\lambda) &= \|u\|_m(\lambda) + \lambda \geq |1 - \lambda| + \lambda \geq 1 - \lambda + \lambda = 1 \end{aligned}$$

\square

.4. Re Operators on Hilbert Space. Focus on the C^* -algebra of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert Space \mathcal{H} .

As is well known, The operator A is called **nonexpansive** NE if

$$(23) \quad \|A(x) - A(y)\| \leq \|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y.$$

Namely, A being linear, if $\|A\| \leq 1$.

And A is called **monotone** if

$$(24) \quad \langle y - x, Ay - Ax \rangle \geq 0, \text{ for all } x, y.$$

These definitions describe the action of A on a pair x, y compared with the original pair: Nonexpansive operators do not make the pair “further apart”, while monotone operators “do not rotate it in more than 90 degrees.” A linear orthogonal projection is NE and monotone, any linear operator with norm ≤ 1 is NE while any linear operator whose symmetric part is positive definite is monotone.

Now, as is well known, for a *Hermitian* operator A , its *spectral measure* (see [3]) is supported on \mathbb{R} , indeed on some bounded subset of \mathbb{R} . And the norm $\|A\|$ is the smallest R so that the spectral measure of A is supported inside the interval $[-R, R]$.

In other words, if $[a, b]$ is the convex hull of the support of the spectral measure then

$$\|A\| = \max(|a|, |b|).$$

Consequently A is *NE* if and only if its spectral measure is supported inside the interval $[-1, 1]$.

Now,

$$\|A\|_{am}(\lambda) = \|x - \lambda \mathbf{1}\| + \lambda = \max(|a - \lambda|, |b - \lambda|) + \lambda.$$

If $\lambda \leq b$, that is equal to

$$= \max(a - \lambda, b - \lambda) + \lambda = a - \lambda + \lambda = a.$$

but if $\lambda \geq a$, it will be

$$= \max(\lambda - a, \lambda - b) + \lambda = \lambda - b + \lambda = 2\lambda - b$$

And if $a \geq \lambda \geq b$, we will have

$$= \max(a - \lambda, \lambda - b) + \lambda = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \lambda \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \\ \lambda - b + \lambda = 2\lambda - b & \text{if } \lambda \geq \frac{a+b}{2} \end{cases}.$$

Thus,

Theorem 9. *Let A be a Hermitian operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and let $[a, b]$ be the convex hull of the support of the spectral measure of A . then*

$$(25) \quad \|A\|_{am}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \lambda \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \\ 2\lambda - b & \text{if } \lambda \geq \frac{a+b}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$(26) \quad \text{Hence, when } A \text{ NE, } \text{Hor}(A) = (b + 1)/2.$$

Proof. □

Thus, for a Hermitian NE A to have $\text{Hor}(A) > 0$, resp. $= 0$, it is necessary and sufficient that $b > -1$, resp $b = -1$ that is, *that the negative part of A has norm < 1 , resp. norm 1.*

And for A to have $\text{Hor}(A) = 1$, it is necessary and sufficient that $b = 1$, that is, *that A is a scalar multiple of $\mathbf{1}$.*

Theorem 10. *Let B be some NE operator. Then by Thm. 7,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hor}(B) > 0 &\Rightarrow \text{Hor}(B^*B), \text{Hor}(BB^*) > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \text{the negative parts of } B^*B \text{ and } BB^* \text{ have norm } < 1. \end{aligned}$$

While

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hor}(B) = 1 &\Rightarrow \text{Hor}(B^*B) = 1, \text{ thus } B^*B \text{ is a scalar multiple of } \mathbf{1}, \\ &\text{so } B \text{ is a scalar multiple of a unitary.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. □

.5. **Firmly Nonexpansive Operators.** An operator A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is called ([4] p. 41) **firmly Nonexpansive FNE** if A and $2A - \mathbf{1}$ are both NE.

- In particular, an orthogonal projection on a subspace P is FNE as $2P - \mathbf{1}$ is a reflection in the subspace.
- For an operator A to be FNE we need that for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|^2 &\leq \|x\|^2 \\ \|2Ax - x\|^2 &\leq \|x\|^2 \\ \langle Ax, Ax \rangle &\leq \langle x, x \rangle, \quad 4\langle Ax, Ax \rangle - 4\langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle x, x \rangle \leq \langle x, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(27) \quad \|Ax\|^2 = \langle Ax, Ax \rangle \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle.$$

(which would imply $\langle Ax, Ax \rangle \leq \langle x, x \rangle$, i.e. $\|Ax\| \leq \|x\|$, otherwise $\langle Ax, x \rangle$ would be $\leq \|Ax\|\|x\| < \|Ax\|^2$).

- Since $\langle Ax, x \rangle = (\cos \angle Ax, x) \cdot \|Ax\|\|x\|$ we may write (27) as

$$(28) \quad \|Ax\| \leq (\cos \angle Ax, x)\|x\|.$$

- Anyhow, we find that A FNE implies $\langle Ax, x \rangle$ always ≥ 0 i.e. A is NE and *monotone*.
- One may view (28) as a “balancing effect” – if the angle of rotation effected by P acting on x becomes close to 90° , then the norm will be reduced considerably. That over and above the latter angle being $\leq 90^\circ$ as A is monotone, and the norm of Px being \leq that of x , as A is NE.

The requirement for a NE operator A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} to be FNE, is $\|2A - \mathbf{1}\| \leq 1$, i.e. $\|A - \mathbf{1}/2\| \leq 1/2$, in other words $\|A\|_m(1/2) \leq 1/2$, that is $\|A\|_{am}(1/2) \leq 1$, and thus boils down to

Theorem 11. *For a NE operator A to be FNE it is necessary and sufficient that $\text{Hor}(A) \geq 1/2$.*

A NE Hermitian operator is FNE if and only if it is nonnegative semidefinite,

Proof. For the second assertion see Thm. 9. □

REFERENCES

- [1] H. H. Bauschke, P. L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, Second Edition, Springer International Publishing AG, 2017.
- [2] Y. Censor, E. Levy, *An analysis of the superiorization method via the principle of concentration of measure*, Mathematics and Optimization, 2019.
It can be found as item [162] on: <http://math.haifa.ac.il/yair/censor-recent-pubs.html#bottom>. The paper contains a brief introduction to the superiorization methodology and its history.
- [3] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part II: Spectral Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, Wiley Classics Library Edition, 1988.
- [4] K. Goebel, S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, 1983.
- [5] K. Lange, Singular Value Decomposition, in: *Numerical Analysis for Statisticians*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2010, pp. 129–142.

ELIAHU LEVY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION – ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TECHNION CITY, HAIFA 3200003, ISRAEL
Email address: `eliahu@math.technion.ac.il`