

Contact seaweeds II: type C

Vincent E. Coll, Jr.^{*} and Nicholas Russoniello^{**}

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015: vec208@lehigh.edu

^{**}Department of Mathematics, College of William & Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23185: nrussoniello@wm.edu

June 12, 2023

Abstract

This paper is a continuation of earlier work on the construction of contact forms on seaweed algebras. In the prequel to this paper, we show that every index-one seaweed subalgebra of $A_{n-1} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ is contact by identifying contact forms that arise from Dougherty’s framework. We extend this result to include index-one seaweed subalgebras of $C_n = \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$. Our methods are graph-theoretic and combinatorial.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 17Bxx, 53D10

Key Words and Phrases: contact Lie algebra, contact structure, seaweeds, meanders, regular one-forms

1 Introduction

The index¹ of a Lie algebra is an important algebraic invariant and recent investigations have concentrated on methods by which this integral invariant may be computed for a class of algebras called *seaweed* (or *bi-parabolic*) algebras (see [2], [8], [12], and [15]). Seaweed algebras of classical type are certain Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)^2$; for example, a type-C ($C_n = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$) seaweed is defined by two partial compositions of n and a symmetry condition across the anti-diagonal. Ongoing, we will assume that all Lie algebras are over \mathbb{C} .

From the differential point of view, the problem of identifying which Lie groups admit a left-invariant contact structure, i.e., have associated Lie algebras that are contact, remains an open question (see [1], [5], [9], [11] and [13]). Recall that a $(2k+1)$ -dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called *contact* if there exists a one-form $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $\varphi \wedge (d\varphi)^k \neq 0$. In this case, \mathfrak{g} is said to be *contact*, φ is called a *contact form*, and $\varphi \wedge (d\varphi)^k$ is a *volume form* on the underlying Lie group. Contact Lie algebras have index one, but not characteristically so.

As in the prequel ([6], 2022), we are concerned with constructing contact one-forms, when possible, on index-one seaweeds. In [6], the authors establish that index-one, type-A seaweeds are necessarily contact by leveraging the “Dougherty construction” ([10], 2019; cf. [3], 2020), which yields index-realizing one-forms with readily describable kernels – compare with those of the Kostant one-forms. Here, we extend the methods used to procure the type-A result to include the more complicated type-C case, thus obtaining the following more general theorem, which constitutes the main result of this paper.

Theorem 30. *If \mathfrak{g} is a type-A or type-C seaweed, then \mathfrak{g} is contact if and only if $\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = 1$.*

Remark 1. *It was recently shown in ([7], 2023) that an index-one seaweed is contact if and only if it is quasi-reductive. Combining this with a result of Panyushev ([14], 2005) which ensures each type-A and type-C seaweed is quasi-reductive yields Theorem 30 above. However, the methods of [7] are algebraic and existential, rather than combinatorial and constructive. Thus, in tandem with the type-A result from [6], we*

¹The *index* of a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ is defined by $\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = \min_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*} \dim(\ker(B_\varphi))$, where φ is an element of the linear dual \mathfrak{g}^* and B_φ is the associated skew-symmetric *Kirillov form* defined by $B_\varphi(x, y) = \varphi([x, y])$, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

²There is a basis-free definition of seaweed Lie algebras, but we do not require it for our current investigation.

provide an alternative proof of Theorem 30, along with an explicit, combinatorially described contact form for each type-A and type-C seaweed.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we define seaweed algebras of type C and detail the construction of an associated planar graph: the seaweed’s *meander*. Using a combinatorial formula based on the number and type of connected components in the meander, one readily obtains the index of the corresponding seaweed. A collection of deterministic, graph-theoretic moves yield a “winding-down” procedure for a meander, revealing the meander’s *homotopy type*. Index-one seaweeds are then classified according to their meander’s homotopy type. In Section 3, we introduce another planar graph built from the seaweed’s meander – the *component meander* of the seaweed – which is used to identify the *core* and *peak* of the seaweed. These distinguished sets are certain sets of matrix locations, and they provide the grist for the Dougherty construction. Remarkably, when restricted to index-one, type-C seaweeds, the Dougherty construction yields regular forms that are also contact. Section 4 consists of the proof of the main result, which is then used – along with a convenient index formula for certain type-C seaweeds – in Section 5 to quickly construct infinite families of contact, type-C seaweeds.

2 Seaweeds and meanders

A seaweed subalgebra \mathfrak{g} of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ is defined by two compositions of n . The passage to seaweeds of “classical type” is realized by requiring that elements of \mathfrak{g} satisfy additional algebraic conditions. For example, the type-A case ($A_{n-1} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$) is defined by a vanishing trace condition. A type-C seaweed in its “standard (matrix) form” is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ and is parametrized by two *partial compositions* of n as follows. Let

$$\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \text{ and } \underline{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t) \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \leq n \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^t b_i \leq n,$$

and let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{U} denote, respectively, the subspaces of $M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of all lower and upper triangular matrices, respectively. If $X_{\underline{a}}$ is the vector space of block-diagonal matrices whose blocks have sizes

$$a_1 \times a_1, \dots, a_m \times a_m, 2 \left(n - \sum a_i \right) \times 2 \left(n - \sum a_i \right), a_m \times a_m, \dots, a_1 \times a_1 \quad (1)$$

and similarly for $X_{\underline{b}}$, then the underlying vector space for a type-C seaweed is the subspace of $M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$(X_{\underline{a}} \cap \mathcal{L}) \cup (X_{\underline{b}} \cap \mathcal{U}).$$

We denote a type-C seaweed with such a standard form by

$$\mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1 | \dots | a_m | 2n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m a_i | a_m | \dots | a_1}{b_1 | \dots | b_t | 2n - 2 \sum_{j=1}^t b_j | b_t | \dots | b_1} \quad (2)$$

or, more succinctly, by $\mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1 | \dots | a_m}{b_1 | \dots | b_t}$, because of the evidently palindromic pattern of the numerator and denominator of the fraction in (2). See Example 2.

Example 2. Consider the seaweed $\mathfrak{p}_{16}^C \frac{2|3}{1|6}$, whose standard form is illustrated in Figure 2. The asterisks represent “admissible” locations of the seaweed where possible nonzero entries from \mathbb{C} may appear; blank locations are forced zeroes.




Figure 1: The type-C seaweed $\mathfrak{p}_{16}^C \frac{2|3}{1|6}$

Remark 3. We tacitly assume a standard (Chevalley) basis for a seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1|\dots|a_m}{b_1|\dots|b_t}$ given by the union of the following sets of matrix units:

- $\{e_{i,j} - e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$,
- $\{e_{i,j} \mid i + j = 2n + 1\}$,
- $\{e_{i,j} + e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, n + 1 \leq j \leq 2n, \text{ and } i + j < 2n + 1\}$, and
- $\{e_{i,j} + e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1} \mid n + 1 \leq i \leq 2n, 1 \leq j \leq n, \text{ and } i + j < 2n + 1\}$,

for all admissible locations (i, j) .

To each type-C seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1|\dots|a_m}{b_1|\dots|b_t}$ we associate a planar graph called a (*type-C*) *meander*, denoted by $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ and constructed as follows. Place n vertices v_1 through v_n in a horizontal line, and create two partitions (top and bottom) of the vertices based on the given partial compositions of n . Following the type-A construction, draw arcs in the first m top blocks and the first t bottom blocks. There may be vertices left over. Now define the following sets: $T_a = \{v_i \mid \sum_{j=1}^m a_j < i < n\}$ and $T_b = \{v_i \mid \sum_{j=1}^t b_j < i < n\}$. The set $T_n^C(\mathfrak{g}) = (T_a \cup T_b) \setminus (T_a \cap T_b)$ is the *tail* of $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$, and the *aftertail* $\tilde{T}_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ of $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ is $T_a \cap T_b$. By a slight abuse of terminology, we also refer to $T_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\tilde{T}_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ as the tail and aftertail of \mathfrak{g} . See Example 4.

Example 4. Consider the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{16}^C \frac{2|3}{1|6}$ whose meander $M_8^C(\mathfrak{g})$ is illustrated in Figure 2. Note that $T_a = \{v_6, v_7, v_8\}$, $T_b = \{v_8\}$, $T_8^C(\mathfrak{g}) = \{v_6, v_7\}$, and $\tilde{T}_8^C(\mathfrak{g}) = \{v_8\}$. The vertices in $T_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\tilde{T}_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ are colored blue and red, respectively – a convention we employ ongoing.




Figure 2: The meander $M_8^C(\mathfrak{g})$

The identification of the tail in the meander leads to a combinatorial index formula for type-C seaweeds. In particular, we have the following result.

Theorem 5 (Coll et al. [4], 2017). *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1|\dots|a_m}{b_1|\dots|b_t}$ is a type-C seaweed, then*

$$\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = 2C + \tilde{P}, \quad (3)$$

where C is the number of cycles and \tilde{P} is the number of paths with zero or two endpoints in $T_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$.

Remark 6. *Note that the aftertail is not involved in (3); it is, however, essential in the construction of regular one-forms on a type-C seaweed (see Section 3.3).*

Example 7. *Consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{36}^C \frac{5|10}{2|4|3|1|1}$ with its meander $M_{18}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ illustrated in Figure 3. Notice that*

- $\{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5\}$ defines a cycle,
- $\{v_{10}, v_{11}\}, \{v_{16}\}, \{v_{17}\},$ and $\{v_{18}\}$ each define paths with zero endpoints in the tail,
- $\{v_{13}, v_8\}$ and $\{v_{15}, v_6, v_3\}$ each define paths with exactly one endpoint in the tail, and
- $\{v_{14}, v_7, v_9, v_{12}\}$ defines a path with two endpoints in the tail.

It now follows from Theorem 5 that $\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = 2(1) + 4 + 0 + 1 = 7$.




Figure 3: Meander $M_{18}^C(\mathfrak{g})$

A meander $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ can be contracted via a set of winding moves, each of which is predicated on the defining partial compositions of the seaweed at the time of move application. The “winding-down” process, detailed in Lemma 8 below, continues until one of the partial compositions is reduced to the empty composition. This process corresponds precisely to the reduction algorithm given in (Panyushev [15], 2001; cf. [14], 2005) for type C, but we state the graph-theoretic version of the reduction as a set of winding moves as in [6]. The *signature* of the meander is the sequence of moves required to transform $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ to a meander defined by at least one empty composition.

Lemma 8. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1|\dots|a_m}{b_1|\dots|b_t}$ be a type-C seaweed with associated meander $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ – in this setting, we say the meander $M = M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ is of fractional form $\frac{a_1|\dots|a_m}{b_1|\dots|b_t}$. Create a meander M' by one of the following moves.*

1. *Pure Contraction (P): If $a_1 > 2b_1$, then $M \mapsto M'$ of fractional form $\frac{(a_1-2b_1)|b_1|a_2|\dots|a_m}{b_2|b_3|\dots|b_t}$.*
2. *Block Elimination (B): If $a_1 = 2b_1$, then $M \mapsto M'$ of fractional form $\frac{b_1|a_2|\dots|a_m}{b_2|b_3|\dots|b_t}$.*
3. *Rotation Contraction (R): If $b_1 < a_1 < 2b_1$, then $M \mapsto M'$ of fractional form $\frac{b_1|a_2|\dots|a_m}{(2b_1-a_1)|b_2|\dots|b_t}$.*
4. *Component Deletion (C(c)): If $a_1 = b_1 = c$, then $M \mapsto M'$ of fractional form $\frac{a_2|\dots|a_m}{b_2|\dots|b_t}$.*
5. *Flip (F): If $a_1 < b_1$, then $M \mapsto M'$ of fractional form $\frac{b_1|\dots|b_t}{a_1|\dots|a_m}$.*

The five moves are called winding-down moves. For all moves, except the Component Deletion move, \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}' (the seaweed with meander $M_k^C(\mathfrak{g}') = M'$, $k \leq n$) have the same index.

Now, define the (type-C) homotopy type of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1 | \dots | a_m}{b_1 | \dots | b_t}$ with meander $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ to be

$$\mathcal{H}_C(c_1, \dots, c_{q_1}, c_{q_1+1}, \dots, c_{q_2}, c_{q_2+1}),$$

where $C(c_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq c_{q_1}$, are the component deletion moves in the signature of $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$, the fully wound-down meander is $M_k^C(\mathfrak{g}')$, where $k \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{p}_{2k}^C \frac{c_{q_1+1} | \dots | c_{q_2}}{\emptyset}$, and $c_{q_2+1} = 2 \left(k - \sum_{j=q_1+1}^{q_2} c_j \right)$. Notice that the fully wound-down meander $M_k^C(\mathfrak{g}')$ consists only of tail and aftertail vertices, and moreover, neither the tail nor the aftertail are affected by the winding-down moves. Thus, the tail and aftertail of $M_k^C(\mathfrak{g}')$ are identical to those of $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$. See Example 10.

Remark 9. It is worth noting that since type-A seaweeds are based on two full compositions of the same integer n , there is no tail or aftertail. Even so, the winding moves of Lemma 8 still apply and the winding-down process terminates when the empty meander is reached. Note also that a type-C seaweed may be defined by two full compositions, in which case, all relevant results coincide with those of type-A seaweeds.

Example 10. The meander of fractional form $\frac{5|10}{2|4|3|1|1}$ of Example 7 has signature $PFPPC(2)PPBC(1)$, and the resulting meander is of fractional form $\frac{3|1}{\emptyset}$, so the homotopy type is $\mathcal{H}_C(2, 1, 3, 1, 6)$. The winding-down moves associated with the signature are illustrated in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Winding down the meander of fractional form $\frac{5|10}{2|4|3|1|1}$

The type-C homotopy type encodes essential information for the construction of regular one-forms in Section 3.3. So although we may use Theorem 5 to identify index-one, type-C seaweeds, it will be helpful to have the following index formula for a type-C seaweed in terms of its homotopy type.

Theorem 11 (Dougherty [10], Theorem 4.3.13). *If \mathfrak{g} is a type-C seaweed with homotopy type*

$$\mathcal{H}_C(c_1, \dots, c_{q_1}, c_{q_1+1}, \dots, c_{q_2}, c_{q_2+1}),$$

then

$$\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = \sum_{i=1}^{q_1} c_i + \sum_{i=q_1+1}^{q_2} \left\lfloor \frac{c_i}{2} \right\rfloor + \frac{c_{q_2+1}}{2}.$$

Corollary 12. *A type-C seaweed \mathfrak{g} has index one if and only if it has one of the following homotopy types:*

- 1a. $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$,
- 1b. $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 3, 1, \dots, 1)$,
2. $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 2)$, or
3. $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Note that in the above cases, the sets of blue 1's are allowed to be empty.

3 Framework for Regular Forms

In her dissertation [10], Dougherty establishes an inductive framework for the explicit construction of multiple *regular* (index-realizing) one-forms – each having associated Kirillov forms with easily describable kernels – on, in particular, type-A and type-C seaweeds (cf. [3]). On index-one, type-C seaweeds, we claim that a subset of such regular forms are, in fact, contact, and the proof of this claim is the subject of Section 4. First, we establish the *Dougherty Framework* for type-C seaweeds, which requires some preliminary constructions.

3.1 The Component Meander

Recall that the meander of the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1 | \dots | a_m}{b_1 | \dots | b_t}$, where (a_1, \dots, a_m) and (b_1, \dots, b_m) are partial compositions of n , has n vertices. Also recall that \mathfrak{g} may be written as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1 | \dots | a_m | 2(n - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i) | a_m | \dots | a_1}{b_1 | \dots | b_t | 2(n - \sum_{i=1}^t b_i) | b_t | \dots | b_1},$$

so define the meander with $2n$ vertices and fractional form $\frac{a_1 | \dots | a_m | 2(n - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i) | a_m | \dots | a_1}{b_1 | \dots | b_t | 2(n - \sum_{i=1}^t b_i) | b_t | \dots | b_1}$ to be the *full meander* $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$. Placing the standard (counterclockwise) orientation on $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ yields the *oriented full meander* $\vec{M}_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ is constructed using two “full” compositions of $2n$, and graphically, the full meander is two horizontally mirrored copies of $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ with additional edges incident to the tail and aftertail vertices of $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$. See Example 13.

Example 13. *Consider the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{18}^C \frac{5|10}{2|4|3|1|1}$ whose full meander $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ is illustrated in Figure 5. We maintain the established coloring scheme and similarly color the edges in $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ according to whether they participate in a “tail component” or an “aftertail component”, i.e., a component containing a tail vertex or an aftertail vertex, respectively.*




Figure 5: The full meander $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$

For the next construction, we first note that the winding moves of Lemma 8 apply to $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$; that is, we may apply the winding moves to the meander of fractional form $\frac{a_1|\dots|a_m|2n-2\sum_{i=1}^m a_i|a_m|\dots|a_1}{b_1|\dots|b_t|2n-2\sum_{j=1}^t b_j|b_t|\dots|b_1}$. The sequence of winding moves used to contract $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ is similarly called the *signature* of $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$, and we define the *component meander* $CM(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} as the meander with the same signature as $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ except that the parameter c in all Component Deletion moves are modified so that $c = 1$. The edges of $CM(\mathfrak{g})$ can be oriented counterclockwise to yield the *oriented component meander*, which we denote by $\overrightarrow{CM}(\mathfrak{g})$. See Example 14.

Example 14. Consider, once again, the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{18}^C \frac{5|10}{2|4|3|1|1}$ whose oriented component meander $\overrightarrow{CM}(\mathfrak{g})$ is illustrated in Figure 6.




Figure 6: The oriented component meander $\overrightarrow{CM}(\mathfrak{g})$

In Section 3.2, the component meander of a seaweed is used to define distinguished subsets of admissible locations of the seaweed called the “core” and “peak.” These, in turn, allow for the identification of the summands of a regular one-form on the seaweed.

3.2 The Core and Peak Sets

In order to define the core and peak of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1|\dots|a_m}{b_1|\dots|b_t}$, we first require a certain decomposition of the underlying vector space of \mathfrak{g} . If \mathfrak{g} has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(c_1, \dots, c_{q_1}, c_{q_1+1}, \dots, c_{q_2}, c_{q_2+1})$, then the *vector space decomposition* of \mathfrak{g} is

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q_2+1} \mathfrak{g}|_{c_i}, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}|_{c_i}$ is the subspace of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to a particular component in $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ of size c_i . Note that, in this notation, two distinct subspaces corresponding to components of equal size are indistinguishable, except if the components’ interactions with the tail and aftertail differ. See Example 15.

Define the sets

$$V_{c_i} = \{I \mid v_I \text{ is a vertex on the path of } CM(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ corresponding to } c_i\},$$

$$\mathfrak{C}_{c_i} = \{I \times I \mid I \in V_{c_i}\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathfrak{P}_{c_i} = \{I \times J \mid I, J \in V_{c_i} \text{ and } (v_I, v_J) \text{ is an edge in } \overrightarrow{CM}(\mathfrak{g})\}.$$

The set \mathfrak{C}_{c_i} is the *core set* of $\mathfrak{g}|_{c_i}$ – the set of $c_i \times c_i$ blocks on the diagonal of \mathfrak{g} contained in $\mathfrak{g}|_{c_i}$ – and \mathfrak{P}_{c_i} is the *peak set* of $\mathfrak{g}|_{c_i}$.

Now, define the *core* $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of \mathfrak{g} and the *peak* $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of \mathfrak{g} as the union of the core and peak sets, respectively, of the components in the vector space decomposition (4); that is,

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{q_2+1} \mathfrak{C}_{c_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{q_2+1} \mathfrak{P}_{c_i}.$$

We refer to elements of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ as *core blocks* and *peak blocks*, respectively.

Example 15. Consider our running example type-C seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{18}^C \frac{5|10}{2|4|3|1|1}$. Note that \mathfrak{g} has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(2, 1, 3, 1, 6)$, yielding the vector space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}|_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}|_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}|_3 \oplus \mathfrak{g}|_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}|_6.$$

The subspaces $\mathfrak{g}|_{c_i}$ are outlined and colored in Figure 7. Since each is contained in $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(18)$, the subspaces $\mathfrak{g}|_{c_i}$ maintain the necessary symmetry conditions about the antidiagonal; for example, a basis for $\mathfrak{g}|_1$ is given by $\{e_{10,10} - e_{27,27}, e_{11,10} - e_{27,26}, e_{11,11} - e_{26,26}\}$.




Figure 7: Vector space decomposition of \mathfrak{g}

There are five terms in the vector space decomposition of \mathfrak{g} , each having its own core and peak sets. In order to explicitly describe the set products in each of the core and peak sets, we implicitly assign a labeling v_1 through v_{36} – increasing from left to right – to the full meander in Figure 5, which induces a corresponding labeling of $CM(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the core set of the component $\mathfrak{g}|_3$ is the set of 3×3 blocks along the diagonal of $\mathfrak{g}|_3$; specifically,

$$\mathfrak{C}_3 = \left\{ \{7, 8, 9\} \times \{7, 8, 9\}, \{12, 13, 14\} \times \{12, 13, 14\}, \{23, 24, 25\} \times \{23, 24, 25\}, \{28, 29, 30\} \times \{28, 29, 30\} \right\}.$$

The peak set of the component $\mathfrak{g}|_3$ is the set of 3×3 blocks

$$\mathfrak{P}_3 = \left\{ \{12, 13, 14\} \times \{7, 8, 9\}, \{12, 13, 14\} \times \{23, 24, 25\}, \{28, 29, 30\} \times \{23, 24, 25\} \right\}.$$

The elements of the core and peak of \mathfrak{g} are bolded and outlined, respectively, in Figure 8.




Figure 8: The core and peak identified within \mathfrak{g}

3.3 Regular Forms

The core and peak of an index-one seaweed \mathfrak{g} assist in constructing a family Φ_C of regular one-forms on \mathfrak{g} that are dependent upon the homotopy type of \mathfrak{g} . Utilizing the symmetry conditions across the antidiagonal in a type-C seaweed, we can, without loss of generality, express an element of Φ_C as $\sum e_{i,j}^*$, where the sum is over a restricted set of admissible locations (i, j) of \mathfrak{g} for which $i + j \leq 2n + 1$. The restricted set of admissible locations is visualized by placing a black dot in a location (i, j) if and only if $e_{i,j}^*$ is a summand of the regular one-form (see Example 16). Since the restricted set of locations is determined by the homotopy type of \mathfrak{g} , we will explicitly construct a regular one-form $\varphi \in \Phi_C$ for each homotopy type listed in Corollary 12. First, we briefly describe the algorithm for defining $\varphi \in \Phi_C$ on a seaweed with arbitrary homotopy type and index.

Begin by identifying the tail and aftertail components in the seaweed. For tail components, form a triangle of black dots of height $\lfloor \frac{c_i}{2} \rfloor$, where c_i is the size of the tail component, in the upper left-hand corner of each core block and in all entries on the diagonal of each peak block, so long as these locations (i, j) satisfy $i + j \leq 2n + 1$. For the aftertail component, form a triangle of black dots of height $\frac{c_i}{2}$, where c_i is the size of the aftertail component, in the upper left-hand corner of the (unique) core block. Note there are no peak blocks in an aftertail component. The only remaining components are those which are neither tail nor aftertail components. For components of this form, black dots are placed on and above the antidiagonal of each core block and on the diagonal of each peak block. Example 16 illustrates this general construction.

Example 16. *The regular one-form φ can be visualized in the seaweed via the placement of black dots, as seen in Figure 9.*




Figure 9: Summands of φ given as black dots in the seaweed $\mathfrak{p}_{18}^C \frac{5|10}{2|4|3|1|1}$

The one-form φ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = & e_{1,1}^* + e_{1,2}^* + e_{2,1}^* + e_{3,6}^* + e_{4,1}^* + e_{4,4}^* + e_{4,5}^* + e_{5,2}^* + e_{5,4}^* + e_{7,7}^* + e_{11,10}^* + e_{12,7}^* + e_{12,12}^* + e_{12,23}^* \\ & + e_{13,8}^* + e_{13,24}^* + e_{14,9}^* + e_{15,6}^* + e_{15,22}^* + e_{16,16}^* + e_{16,17}^* + e_{16,18}^* + e_{17,16}^* + e_{17,17}^* + e_{18,16}^*. \end{aligned}$$

The description and construction of elements of Φ_C are simplified upon restriction to index-one seaweeds. We proceed by treating each of the homotopy types in Corollary 12 as its own case.

3.3.1 $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, c, 1, \dots, 1)$ for $c = 2$ or 3

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1 | \dots | a_m}{b_1 | \dots | b_t}$ have homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, c, 1, \dots, 1)$, where $c = 2$ or 3 . The core blocks and peak blocks differ in dimension depending on the value of c ; however, the construction and proofs here considered hold whether $c = 2$ or 3 . Denote by $\varphi_{(c)}$ the regular one-form on \mathfrak{g} constructed as in Section 3.3. See Example 17.

Example 17. Consider the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{14}^C \frac{7}{1|2}$, which has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 2, 1)$. The regular one-form $\varphi_{(2)}$ has summands determined by the locations of black dots in Figure 10.




Figure 10: The summands of $\varphi_{(2)}$ identified within \mathfrak{g}

As Figure 10 displays, $\varphi_{(2)}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{(2)} = e_{2,2}^* + e_{4,11}^* + e_{5,2}^* + e_{5,5}^* + e_{5,9}^* + e_{6,3}^* + e_{7,1}^* + e_{7,8}^*.$$

For seaweeds with homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, c, 1, \dots, 1)$, where $c = 2$ or 3 , the full meander $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ consists of paths, each with one vertex in the tail, and exactly one cycle. Such a cycle, along with the following technical lemma, will allow for the identification of the generator of $\ker(B_{\varphi_{(c)}})$ in Theorem 19 below.

Lemma 18. *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ be a type- C seaweed with homotopy type consisting of at least one component of size $c = 2$ or 3 . Then let $\mathcal{C} = (v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}, v_{i_1})$ be a cycle in the full meander $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ corresponding to a component of size c , and let $h_{(c)} = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} e_{i_j, i_j}$. If $v_{i_r}, v_{i_\ell} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $r, \ell \in I$, where v_I is a vertex in the component meander $CM(\mathfrak{g})$, then e_{i_r, i_r} and e_{i_ℓ, i_ℓ} have coefficients with opposite sign in $h_{(c)}$.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{g} has a component of size c , there exist vertices v_i and v_{i+c-1} in $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\{v_i, v_{i+c-1}\}$ is an edge in $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$. Fix such vertices v_i and v_{i+c-1} . Considering $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$, since v_{i_r} and v_{i_ℓ} are identified in the construction of $CM(\mathfrak{g})$ by assumption, it follows that the length of the shortest path from v_{i_r} to v_i is equal to the length of the shortest path from v_{i_ℓ} to v_{i+c-1} . Therefore, the length of the path from v_{i_r} to v_{i_ℓ} is odd, so e_{i_r, i_r} and e_{i_ℓ, i_ℓ} have coefficients with opposite sign in $h_{(c)}$. \square

Theorem 19. *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ be a type- C seaweed with homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, c, 1, \dots, 1)$, where $c = 2$ or 3 , and let $\mathcal{C} = (v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}, v_{i_1})$ be the unique cycle in the full meander $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$. If $\varphi_{(c)}$ is defined as in Section 3.3, then*

$$\ker(B_{\varphi_{(c)}}) = \text{span}\{h_{(c)}\},$$

where $c = 2$ or 3 , and

$$h_{(c)} = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} e_{i_j, i_j}.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_{(c)}$ is regular, we need only show that $h_{(c)} \in \ker(B_{\varphi_{(c)}})$, for $c = 2$ or 3 . Note that by the definition of the full meander $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$, the length of any path from v_{i_j} to v_{2n-i_j+1} is odd, so the coefficients of e_{i_j, i_j} and $e_{2n-i_j+1, 2n-i_j+1}$ in the expansion of $h_{(c)}$ have opposite sign, so $h_{(c)} \in \mathfrak{g}$ for $c = 2$ or 3 . Now, to see that $h_{(c)} \in \ker(B_{\varphi_{(c)}})$, consider the following:

- (a) $\varphi_{(c)}([h_{(c)}, e_{i,i} - e_{2n-i+1,2n-i+1}]) = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- (b) $\varphi_{(c)}([h_{(c)}, e_{i,j} \pm e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1}]) = 0$, for all i, j such that $i + j < 2n + 1$ and $e_{i,j}^*$ is not a summand of $\varphi_{(c)}$,
- (c) $\varphi_{(c)}([h_{(c)}, e_{i,j}]) = 0$, for all i, j such that $i + j = 2n + 1$ and $e_{i,j}^*$ is not a summand of $\varphi_{(c)}$,
- (d) $\varphi_{(c)}([h_{(c)}, e_{i,j} \pm e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1}]) = \varphi_{(c)}(e_{i,j} \pm e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1} - (e_{i,j} \pm e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1})) = 0$, for all i, j such that $i + j < 2n + 1$ and $e_{i,j}^*$ is a summand of $\varphi_{(c)}$, and
- (e) $\varphi_{(c)}([h_{(c)}, e_{i,j}]) = \varphi_{(c)}(e_{i,j} - e_{i,j}) = 0$, for all i, j such that $i + j = 2n + 1$ and $e_{i,j}^*$ is a summand of $\varphi_{(c)}$.

Equations (a)-(c) follow immediately from $h_{(c)}$ being a semisimple element of \mathfrak{g} , and Equation (d) follows from Lemma 18 in the following way.

Without loss of generality, let $c = 2$. In $\mathfrak{g}|_2$, each peak block consists of exactly four entries. Fix such a peak block and call the entries – from left to right, top to bottom – (s, t) , $(s, t+1)$, $(s+1, t)$, and $(s+1, t+1)$. The entries on the antidiagonal of the peak block, $(s, t+1)$ and $(s+1, t)$, correspond to the edges (v_s, v_{t+1}) and (v_{s+1}, v_t) of the oriented full meander $\vec{M}_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, $e_{s,s}$ and $e_{t+1,t+1}$ have coefficients with opposite sign in the expansion of $h_{(2)}$, and similarly for the summands $e_{s+1,s+1}$ and $e_{t,t}$. Further, the vertices v_t and v_{t+1} of $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ are identified in the construction of the component meander $CM(\mathfrak{g})$, so Lemma 18 implies that $e_{t,t}$ and $e_{t+1,t+1}$ have coefficients with opposite sign in the expansion of $h_{(2)}$. Therefore, the coefficients of $e_{s,s}$ and $e_{t,t}$ in the expansion of $h_{(2)}$ have the same sign, and similarly for $e_{s+1,s+1}$ and $e_{t+1,t+1}$. We then conclude that Equation (d) holds since $e_{s,t}^*$ and $e_{s+1,t+1}^*$ are the summands of $\varphi_{(2)}$ arising from the chosen peak block. Equation (e) follows via similar reasoning. \square

Example 20. Returning to the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{14}^C \frac{7}{1|2}$ from Example 17, note that the cycle in the full meander $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ (see Figure 11) can be written as

$$(v_2, v_6, v_9, v_{13}, v_{12}, v_{10}, v_5, v_3, v_2),$$

so we have that

$$\ker(B_{\varphi_{(2)}}) = \text{span}\{e_{2,2} - e_{6,6} + e_{9,9} - e_{13,13} + e_{12,12} - e_{10,10} + e_{5,5} - e_{3,3}\}.$$




Figure 11: The full meander of $\mathfrak{p}_{14}^C \frac{7}{1|2}$

3.3.2 $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 2)$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1 | \dots | a_m}{b_1 | \dots | b_t}$ have homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 2)$, and denote by $\varphi_{(2)}$ the regular one-form on \mathfrak{g} constructed as in Section 3.3. See Example 21.

Example 21. Consider the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{16}^C \frac{2|3}{1|6}$, which has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 1, 2)$. The regular one-form $\varphi_{(2)}$ has summands determined by the locations of black dots in Figure 12.




Figure 12: The summands of $\varphi_{(2)}$ identified within \mathfrak{g}

As Figure 12 displays, $\varphi_{(2)}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{(2)} = e_{2,1}^* + e_{2,7}^* + e_{3,6}^* + e_{4,5}^* + e_{5,3}^* + e_{8,8}^* + e_{10,7}^* + e_{11,6}^*.$$

Remark 22. The summands of the regular one-form $\varphi_{(2)}$ can be visualized in the full meander of the associated seaweed \mathfrak{g} . Note that v_n is the lone aftertail vertex when \mathfrak{g} has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 2)$. The regular one-form $\varphi_{(2)}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{(2)} = e_{n,n}^* + \sum_{(v_i, v_j)} e_{i,j}^*, \quad (5)$$

where the sum is over all edges (v_i, v_j) in the oriented full meander $\overrightarrow{M}_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ such that either $i \leq n-1$ or $j \leq n-1$.

Theorem 23. If $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 2)$ with $\varphi_{(2)}$ constructed as in Section 3.3, then

$$\ker(B_{\varphi_{(2)}}) = \text{span}\{h_{(2)}\},$$

where

$$h_{(2)} = e_{n,n} - e_{n+1,n+1}.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_{(2)}$ is regular on \mathfrak{g} , we need only show that $h_{(2)} \in \ker(B_{\varphi})$. Consider the following:

- $\varphi_{(2)}([h_{(2)}, e_{i,i} - e_{2n-i+1, 2n-i+1}]) = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- $\varphi_{(2)}([h_{(2)}, e_{i,j} \pm e_{2n-j+1, 2n-i+1}]) = 0$, for all i, j such that $e_{i,j}^*$ is not a summand of $\varphi_{(2)}$,
- $\varphi_{(2)}([h_{(2)}, e_{i,j} - e_{2n-j+1, 2n-i+1}]) = 0$, for all summands $e_{i,j}^*$ of $\varphi_{(2)}$ such that $i, j \leq n-1$, and
- $\varphi_{(2)}(h_{(2)}, e_{i,j}) = 0$, for all summands $e_{i,j}^*$ of $\varphi_{(2)}$ such that either $i \leq n-1$ and $j > n$, or $j \leq n-1$ and $i > n$.

The result follows. □

Example 24. Returning to the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{16}^C \frac{2|3}{1|6}$ from Example 21, we have that

$$\ker(B_{\varphi_{(2)}}) = \text{span}\{e_{8,8} - e_{9,9}\}.$$

3.3.3 $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 1, \dots, 1)$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{a_1 | \dots | a_m}{b_1 | \dots | b_t}$ have homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and denote by $\varphi_{(1)}$ the regular one-form on \mathfrak{g} constructed as in Section 3.3. See Example 25.

Example 25. Consider the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{12}^C \frac{3|2|1}{1|3}$, which has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 1, 1)$. The regular one-form $\varphi_{(1)}$ has summands determined by the locations of black dots in Figure 13.




Figure 13: The summands of $\varphi_{(1)}$ identified within \mathfrak{g}

As Figure 13 displays, $\varphi_{(1)}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{(1)} = e_{1,1}^* + e_{2,4}^* + e_{3,1}^* + e_{3,3}^* + e_{5,4}^* + e_{5,8}^* + e_{6,7}^*.$$

Remark 26. The summands of the regular one-form $\varphi_{(1)}$ can be visualized in the meanders of the associated seaweed \mathfrak{g} . Since \mathfrak{g} has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 1, \dots, 1)$, there is a unique path P in $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ with no endpoints in $T_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$. If $V(P)$ is the vertex set of P , then $\varphi_{(1)}$ can be written as

$$\varphi_{(1)} = \sum_{v_i \in V(P)} e_{i,i}^* + \sum_{(v_i, v_j)} e_{i,j}^*,$$

where the second sum is over all edges (v_i, v_j) in the oriented full meander $\vec{M}_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$ such that either $i \leq n$ or $j \leq n$.

Utilizing Remark 26, we arrive at a combinatorial description of the kernel of $B_{\varphi_{(1)}}$ in Theorem 27 below.

Theorem 27. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ have homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. If P is the unique path in the meander $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ with zero endpoints in the tail and $\varphi_{(1)}$ is constructed as in Section 3.3, then

$$\ker(B_{\varphi_{(1)}}) = \text{span}\{h_{(1)}\},$$

where

$$h_{(1)} = \sum_{v_i \in V(P)} e_{i,i} - e_{2n-i+1, 2n-i+1}.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_{(1)}$ is regular on \mathfrak{g} , we need only show that $h_{(1)} \in \ker(B_{\varphi_{(1)}})$. Consider the following:

- $\varphi_{(1)}([h_{(1)}, e_{i,i} - e_{2n-i+1,2n-i+1}]) = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- $\varphi_{(1)}([h_{(1)}, e_{i,j} \pm e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1}]) = 0$, for all i, j such that $e_{i,j}^*$ is not a summand of $\varphi_{(1)}$,
- $\varphi_{(1)}([h_{(1)}, e_{i,j} - e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1}]) = \varphi_{(1)}(e_{i,j} - e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1} - (e_{i,j} - e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1})) = 0$, for all i, j such that $e_{i,j}^*$ is a summand of $\varphi_{(1)}$ and $v_i, v_j \in V(P)$,
- $\varphi_{(1)}([h_{(1)}, e_{i,j} - e_{2n-j+1,2n-i+1}]) = 0$, for all i, j such that $e_{i,j}^*$ is a summand of $\varphi_{(1)}$, $i, j \leq n$, and $v_i, v_j \notin V(P)$, and
- $\varphi_{(1)}([h_{(1)}, e_{i,j}]) = 0$, for all i, j such that $e_{i,j}^*$ is a summand of $\varphi_{(1)}$ and $i + j = 2n + 1$.

The result follows. □

Example 28. Returning to the seaweed $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{12}^C \frac{3|2|1}{1|3}$ from Example 25, note that $P = \{v_1, v_3\}$ is the unique path in $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ with zero endpoints in the tail (see Figure 14). Therefore, we have that

$$\ker(B_{\varphi_{(1)}}) = \text{span}\{e_{1,1} - e_{12,12} + e_{3,3} - e_{10,10}\}.$$




Figure 14: The meander of $\mathfrak{p}_{14}^C \frac{3|2|1}{1|3}$

4 Main results

In this section, we establish the main result of this article. In particular, we prove that all type-C seaweeds of index one are contact by showing that each of the one-forms discussed in Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3 are contact. We accomplish this by utilizing the following lemma, which is a classical characterization of contact Lie algebras in terms of an associated regular one-form φ and the kernel of the associated B_φ .

Lemma 29. *Let \mathfrak{f} be an arbitrary Lie algebra. If $\text{ind } \mathfrak{f} = 1$, then a regular one-form $\varphi \in \mathfrak{f}^*$ with $\ker(B_\varphi) = \text{span}\{x\}$ is contact if and only if $\varphi(x) \neq 0$.*

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem of this article.

Theorem 30. *If \mathfrak{g} is a type-A or type-C seaweed, then \mathfrak{g} is contact if and only if $\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = 1$.*

Proof. If \mathfrak{g} is a type-A seaweed, then by Theorem 24 of [6], \mathfrak{g} is contact if and only if $\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = 1$. So let \mathfrak{g} be an index-one seaweed of type C. Recall from Corollary 12 that this means \mathfrak{g} has one of the following homotopy types:

- 1a. $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$,
- 1b. $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 3, 1, \dots, 1)$,
2. $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 1, \dots, 1)$, or
3. $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 2)$.

We proceed by treating each homotopy type as its own case, using the constructions of Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3, and then applying Lemma 29.

Case 1: The seaweed \mathfrak{g} has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, c, 1, \dots, 1)$, where $c = 2$ or 3 . We claim that $\varphi_{(c)}$ is a contact form on \mathfrak{g} , for $c = 2$ or 3 , respectively. Recall from Theorem 19 that if $\mathcal{C} = (v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}, v_{i_1})$ is the unique cycle in the full meander $M_{2n}^C(\mathfrak{g})$, then

$$\ker(B_{\varphi_{(c)}}) = \text{span}\{h_{(c)}\} = \text{span}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} e_{i_j, i_j}\right\}.$$

By Lemma 18 and the fact that $\varphi_{(c)}$ only contains summands $e_{i,j}^*$ such that $i + j \leq 2n + 1$, we have that $\varphi_{(c)}(h_{(c)}) = \frac{k}{4} \neq 0$, for $c = 2$ or 3 . An application of Lemma 29 establishes the claim.

Case 2: The seaweed \mathfrak{g} has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, \dots, 1, 2)$. We claim that $\varphi_{(2)}$ is a contact form on \mathfrak{g} . Recall from Theorem 23 that

$$\ker(B_{\varphi_{(2)}}) = \text{span}\{h_{(2)}\} = \text{span}\{e_{n,n} - e_{n+1,n+1}\},$$

and notice that $\varphi_{(2)}(h_{(2)}) = 1 \neq 0$. An application of Lemma 29 establishes the claim.

Case 3: The seaweed \mathfrak{g} has homotopy type $\mathcal{H}_C(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. We claim that $\varphi_{(1)}$ is a contact form on \mathfrak{g} . Recall from Theorem 27 that if P is the unique path in the meander $M_n^C(\mathfrak{g})$ with zero vertices in the tail, then

$$\ker(B_{\varphi_{(1)}}) = \text{span}\{h_{(1)}\} = \text{span}\left\{\sum_{v_i \in V(P)} e_{i,i} - e_{2n-i+1, 2n-i+1}\right\},$$

and notice that $\varphi_{(1)}(h_{(1)}) = |V(P)| \neq 0$. An application of Lemma 29 establishes the claim. \square

5 Examples

For type-C seaweeds, Theorem 30 simplifies the question about the existence of a contact form on a seaweed \mathfrak{g} to one about \mathfrak{g} 's index. But the index may be computed from \mathfrak{g} 's meander (see Theorem 5). This is an elegant reduction, but some burdensome complexity remains, for one must first construct the meander and then count the number and type of connected components. Fortunately, as with type-A, for type-C seaweeds defined by (partial) compositions consisting of a small number of parts, we can quickly determine the index of \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem 31 (Coll et al. [4], 2017). *Let $\alpha + \beta = n$. If $\gamma = n - 1$ or $\gamma = n - 2$, then*

$$\text{ind } \mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{\alpha|\beta}{\gamma} = \gcd(\alpha + \beta, \beta + \gamma) - 1.$$

Making use of Theorem 31, we can construct a spate of contact Lie algebras – we need only ensure that the seaweed $\mathfrak{p}_{2n}^C \frac{\alpha|\beta}{\gamma}$ satisfies $\gamma = n - 1$ or $\gamma = n - 2$ and $\gcd(\alpha + \beta, \beta + \gamma) = 2$. This is easy to do: For $\gamma = n - 2$, consider $\mathfrak{p}_{18}^C \frac{5|3}{7}$, and for $\gamma = n - 1$, consider $\mathfrak{p}_{14}^C \frac{4|2}{6}$, or the much larger $\mathfrak{p}_{100}^C \frac{15|13}{49}$. The reader will have no difficulty in developing others.

References

- [1] M. A. Alvarez, M. C. Rodríguez-Vallarte, and G. Salgado. “Deformation theory of contact Lie algebras as double extensions.” *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 149(5): 1827-1836, 2021.

- [2] A. Cameron, V. E. Coll, Jr., and M. Hyatt. “Combinatorial index formulas for Lie algebras of seaweed type.” *Commun. Algebra*, 48(12): 5430-5454, 2020.
- [3] V. E. Coll, Jr. and A.L. Dougherty. “Regular functionals on seaweed Lie algebras.” arXiv:2004.04784, 2020.
- [4] V. E. Coll, Jr., M. Hyatt, and C. Magnant. “Symplectic Meanders.” *Commun. Algebra*, 45(11): 4717–4729, 2017.
- [5] V. E. Coll, Jr., N. Mayers, and N. Russoniello. “Contact Lie poset algebras.” *Electron. J. Comb.*, 29(3): #P3.35, 2022.
- [6] V. E. Coll, Jr., N. Mayers, N. Russoniello, and G. Salgado. “Contact seaweeds.” *Pac. J. Math.*, 320(1): 45-60, 2022.
- [7] V. E. Coll, Jr. and N. Russoniello. “Classification of contact seaweeds.” arXiv:2303.13260v2, 2023.
- [8] V. Dergachev and A. Kirillov. “Index of Lie algebras of seaweed type.” *J. Lie Theory*, 10(2): 331–343, 2000.
- [9] A. Diatta. “Left invariant contact structures.” *Diff. Geom. Appl.*, 26(5): 544-552, 2008.
- [10] A. Dougherty. “Regular functionals on seaweed Lie algebras.” Doctoral Dissertation, Lehigh University. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing, 2019.
- [11] M. Goze and E. Remm. “Contact and Frobeniusian forms on Lie groups.” *Diff. Geom. Appl.*, 35: 74-94, 2014.
- [12] A. Joseph. “On semi-invariants and index for biparabolic (seaweed) algebras, I.” *J. Algebra*. 305(1): 487-515, 2006.
- [13] N. Mayers and N. Russoniello. “On toral posets and contact Lie algebras.” *J. Geom. Phys.*, 190: 104861, 2023.
- [14] D. Panyushev. “An extension of Raïs’ theorem and seaweed subalgebras of simple Lie algebras.” *Ann. de l’Institut Fourier*, 55(3): 693-715, 2005.
- [15] D. Panyushev. “Inductive formulas for the index of seaweed Lie algebras.” *Mosc. Math. J.*, 1(2): 221-241, 2001.