

A VERSATILE DIFFUSION-BASED GENERATIVE REFINER FOR SPEECH ENHANCEMENT

Ryosuke Sawata Naoki Murata Yuhta Takida Toshimitsu Uesaka
Takashi Shibuya Shusuke Takahashi Yuki Mitsufuji

Sony Group Corporation, Tokyo, Japan

ABSTRACT

Although deep neural network (DNN)-based speech enhancement (SE) methods outperform the previous non-DNN-based ones, they often degrade the perceptual quality of generated outputs. To tackle this problem, We introduce a DNN-based generative refiner aiming to improve perceptual speech quality pre-processed by an SE method. As the refiner, we train a diffusion-based generative model by utilizing a dataset consisting of clean speech only. Then, the model replaces the degraded and distorted parts caused by a preceding SE method with newly generated clean parts by denoising diffusion restoration. Once our refiner is trained on a set of clean speech, it can be applied to various SE methods without additional training specialized for each SE module. Therefore, our refiner can be a versatile post-processing module w.r.t. SE methods and has high potential in terms of modularity. Experimental results show that our method improved perceptual speech quality regardless of the preceding SE methods used.

Index Terms— speech enhancement (SE), deep generative model, diffusion-based generative model

1. INTRODUCTION

In the field of speech enhancement (SE), DNN-based methods have drastically improved the performance of conventional ones in terms of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) [1–3]. However, in some cases they tend to degrade qualities of speech such as naturalness and perceptual quality for human listening [4]. Because the inputs for downstream applications (e.g., ASR and telecommunication system) should ideally be clean and high-quality speech, it has been reported that the speech processed by the aforementioned DNN-based methods often degrade their performances [5–7].

There are two main approaches to solving this problem: a) a DNN learning strategy that aims to improve both SNR and perceptual speech quality, and b) optimizing a preceding SE model in terms of the downstream application’s criterion. Regarding approach a), for example, Fu *et al.* proposed a DNN-based SE method that can be optimized by utilizing a metric related to the perceptual quality for human listening [8], e.g., perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ) [9] and short-time objective intelligibility (STOI) [10]. However, although PESQ and STOI are correlated with perceptual speech quality, optimizing the target SE model on the basis of these metrics does not always improve the actual quality perceived by humans because the mechanisms of PESQ and STOI are not perfectly equal to human listening. Shi *et al.* and Liu *et al.* hypothesized that synthesizing conditioned speech would improve perceptual quality and proposed using a vocoder for the SE task to generate clean speech [11, 12]. However, training the vocoder with noisy speech tends to be more laborious than in the case of the SE model only,

and it often degrades the final perceptual quality. Meanwhile, in terms of approach b), some studies have attempted to optimize SE models so that a criterion of the following application is maximized. For instance, the joint training connects the DNNs of the SE and ASR models and trains the connected model as one DNN in terms of the ASR’s criteria [13, 14]. However, because this approach requires training the SE model for each following model, the learning requires much effort. Therefore, a new scheme is desired that can improve the perceptual speech quality without the laborious data collection and training.

To remove the distortions from SE outputs, we focus on the utilization of deep generative models built on only clean speech. To be more specific, we consider the task of SE as a generative task, where generative models are expected to detect degraded parts and replace them with generated clean parts. Recently, many types of generative models such as the generative adversarial network (GAN) [15], variational auto-encoder (VAE) [16, 17], flow-based models [18], and denoising diffusion-based models [19] have been proposed. Especially, denoising diffusion-based generative models in particular have been extensively studied [19–21]. Kawar *et al.* devised Denoising Diffusion Restoration Models (DDRM) as an effective way to use the pre-trained denoising diffusion-based generative model for general linear inverse problems [22]. DDRM can be applied to various tasks (e.g., image super-resolution, inpainting, and colorization) without any specialized retraining for each task.

Inspired by the successful adaptation of diffusion-based restoration models, we propose a diffusion-based generative refiner for pre-processed speech. Specifically, we first train a diffusion-based generative model by utilizing a dataset consisting of clean speech only. Then, the model is used to replace the degraded and distorted parts caused by a preceding SE method with newly generated clean ones in the manner of DDRM. Our DDRM-based method refines the input speech by monitoring the preceding result, so refiner should be able to adaptively replace the parts containing newly occurred distortions and remaining noises with the generated clean ones. Hence, our refiner can boost the perceptual speech quality even if the preceding SE method was not trained by using an adequate dataset that covers a variety of noise types. Furthermore, once our model is trained only on a set of clean speech, it can be applied to various SE methods without additional training specialized for each SE module. In summary, even though our model is built on only clean speech and does not require retraining the preceding SE model, the perceptual speech quality can be refined. Therefore, our method is versatile w.r.t. SE methods and has high potential in terms of modularity. In our experiments, we show that our method effectively improves speech quality regardless of the SE method used for pre-processing.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we revisit diffusion-based generative models and a related diffusion-based method for general linear inverse problems.

2.1. Diffusion-based generative models

Denosing diffusion probabilistic models [19, 23], which we refer to as diffusion-based generative models in this paper, are latent variable models with the latents $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(T)}$, where T is the number of time steps. The latents have the same dimensionality as the data $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \sim p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)})$. Their joint distribution is defined as a Markov chain, and the transitions starting at $p(\mathbf{x}^{(T)})$, which is a Gaussian distribution, follow the Gaussian transition, as

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(0:T)}) = p(\mathbf{x}^{(T)}) \prod_{t=1}^T p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)} | \mathbf{x}^{(t)}), \quad (1)$$

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)} | \mathbf{x}^{(t)}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})). \quad (2)$$

The parameter of the model θ is learned to generate the data distribution following such a Markov chain so that it is consistent with the following inference distribution q :

$$\begin{aligned} q(\mathbf{x}^{(1:T)} | \mathbf{x}^{(0)}) &= \prod_{t=1}^T q(\mathbf{x}^{(t)} | \mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}), \\ q(\mathbf{x}^{(t)} | \mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}, \beta_t \mathbf{I}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The former process is called the *reverse process*, whereas the latter is called the *forward process*. The frequently used parameterization of the mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}$ is

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}) \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha_t := 1 - \beta_t$ and $\bar{\alpha}_t := \prod_{s=1}^t \alpha_s$. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}^{(t)}$ is a function whose input and output sizes are the same as that of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}$. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})$ is often set to be $\beta_t \mathbf{I}$ or $\tilde{\beta}_t \mathbf{I} = \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t - 1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \beta_t \mathbf{I}$. With this parameterization, the training objective is reduced to

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}), t} \left[\left\| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}^{(t)}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \right\|_2^2 \right]. \quad (5)$$

Note that with the parameterization of (4), the function $f_{\theta}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}) := (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})) / \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}$ can be viewed as a denoiser at each time step t , which can predict the clean signals $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ given $\mathbf{x}^{(t)}$ [19].

2.2. Denoising diffusion restoration models

Kawar *et al.* proposed DDRM [22], which is an unsupervised method for solving general linear inverse problems. The goal of a linear inverse problem is to restore the signal $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from the observation $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ obtained by the following linear equation:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\mathbf{z}}^2 \mathbf{I})$ is an *i.i.d.* additive Gaussian noise with known variance. Here, $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a degradation linear operator and is assumed to be known.




Fig. 1: Overview of proposed diffusion-based speech refiner

For any linear inverse problem, the DDRM is defined as

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(0:T)} | \mathbf{y}) = p_{\theta}^{(T)}(\mathbf{x}^{(T)} | \mathbf{y}) \prod_{t=0}^{T-1} p_{\theta}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)} | \mathbf{x}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{y}), \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ is the estimate of \mathbf{x} in this model. Given the singular value decomposition (SVD) of \mathbf{H} , DDRM can take how much information from \mathbf{y} is available into account in the domain induced by the SVD (for denoising). For instance, components corresponding to larger singular values are less-noisy in the spectral space, so much information from the observation is used to restore the signal. Meanwhile, components corresponding to smaller singular values are hard to observe because of lower SNR, so much information from the generative model is used.

The point of DDRM is that once an unconditional diffusion-based generative model is trained on clean data, it can be utilized for various types of linear inverse problems because the knowledge of the linear operator is required only at an inference time, as discussed in the original paper [22].

3. DIFFUSION-BASED SPEECH REFINER

In this section, we propose our diffusion-based refiner for SE outputs. First, we train a diffusion-based generative model on clean speech data. After obtaining results from an arbitrary pre-trained preceding SE module, the variance of the noise included in noisy input at each time-frequency bin is estimated. With the estimate, the proposed refiner generates clean speech on the basis of the DDRM framework, which utilizes the pre-trained diffusion-based model.

3.1. Diffusion-based generative model on clean speech data

We assume that the noisy time-domain signal y is decomposed as $y = x + n$, where x and n are the target signals (to be enhanced) and noise signals, respectively. Then we can obtain their short-time Fourier transformation (STFT) coefficients, denoted as $y_{k,l}, x_{k,l}$, and $n_{k,l} \in \mathbb{C}$, respectively. k and l denote the frequency bin and time frame indexes. Note that the existing diffusion-based models can be extended to complex-valued data, such as STFT coefficients [24, 25].

First, a diffusion-based generative model for the STFT coefficients is trained on clean speech data, which gives the pre-trained diffusion-based generative model $x_{k,l} \sim p_{\theta}(x_{k,l})$. Here, the forward process for the Markov chain is defined as the process that injects circular-symmetric complex Gaussian noise as follows,

$$q^{(t)}(x_{k,l}^{(t)} | x_{k,l}^{(0)}) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_{k,l}^{(0)}, \sigma_t^2), \quad (8)$$

with different noise levels $0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \dots < \sigma_T$. As in general diffusion-based generative models, although this forward process is independently defined for each time-frequency bin, the reverse process is learned by considering correlations between the bins. Again, note that with the trained model $p_{\theta}(x_{k,l})$, the denoiser $f_{\theta}^{(t)}(\cdot)$ can

predict the denoised signals, which is denoted here as $\bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)}$, given noisy signals $x_{k,l}^{(t)}$.

3.2. Diffusion-based refiner for SE outputs

In this subsection, we introduce our DDRM-based refiner. After obtaining the clean speech estimation with the existing SE module, which we denote as $\hat{x}_{k,l}$, we can also compute the estimated noise signal $\hat{n}_{k,l}$ by subtracting $\hat{x}_{k,l}$ from $y_{k,l}$. Then we model the estimated variance of STFT coefficients $\hat{n}_{k,l}$, as

$$\hat{\sigma}_{k,l}^2 = \min(\max(\alpha|\hat{n}_{k,l}|^2, \delta), R) \quad (9)$$

where $\alpha > 0$, and δ and R are the minimum and maximum thresholds of the estimated variance, respectively. We leave α as a tunable hyperparameter. This variance estimate leads to the following approximated linear inverse problem:

$$y_{k,l} = x_{k,l} + n_{k,l}, \quad n_{k,l} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \hat{\sigma}_{k,l}^2), \quad (10)$$

and it can be written in the form of a general linear inverse problem:

$$\tilde{y}_{k,l} = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{k,l}} x_{k,l} + z_{k,l}, \quad z_{k,l} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1), \quad (11)$$

where $\tilde{y}_{k,l} = y_{k,l}/\hat{\sigma}_{k,l}$. Here, we assume $z_{k,l}$ follows an *i.i.d.* complex Gaussian distribution for tractability. Based on the inverse problem in (11), the proposed method is able to generate refined signals with DDRM, which is summarized in Algorithm 1. We can interpret this to mean that the algorithm generates speech by appropriately combining the estimated clean speech $\bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)}$ with the observed noisy speech $y_{k,l}$ in accordance with the estimated noise variance at each time-frequency bin.

In practical cases, the estimated variance $\hat{\sigma}_{k,l}^2$ may include estimation errors, and additive noise $z_{k,l}$ may have correlations among different time-frequency bins. Thus, the term $(y_{k,l} - \bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)})$ in the update procedure, which uses the information from the observation, does not necessarily follow the complex Gaussian with the estimated variance. To tackle these issues, we add a modification to the original DDRM framework (“**Refiner**”) as shown in Algorithm 1. We use the term $(x_{k,l}^{(t+1)} - \bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)})$ rather than $(y_{k,l} - \bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)})$ if $\sigma_t < \hat{\sigma}_{k,l}$ because the observational information is no longer helpful in this case. The modified update rule (“**Refiner+**”) can be interpreted as using more information from the generative model in less noisy steps.

4. EXPERIMENTS

We evaluated our method by conducting speech enhancement experiments.

4.1. Setup

4.1.1. Dataset

To train and evaluate our proposed model, we utilized an open and available dataset, Voice Bank Corpus (VBC) [26], consisting of only clean speech as our diffusion-based refiner does not require noisy or noise signals as we discussed in Sec. 1.

Meanwhile, to train and evaluate DNN-based SE models that are used before our refiner, we utilized VoiceBank-DEMAND (VBD) [27], which is openly available and frequently used in DNN-based speech enhancement [28, 29]. The train and test sets consist of 28 and two speakers (11572 and 824 utterances), respectively.

Algorithm 1 Proposed diffusion-based refiner for SE outputs

Input: noisy input $y_{k,l}$, estimated noise variance $\hat{\sigma}_{k,l}^2$

Output: refined signal $x_{k,l}$

initialize $x_{k,l}^{(T)} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \sigma_T^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{k,l}^2)$

for $t = T - 1$ **to** 0 **do**

Predict denoised signal $\bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)}$ using $f_{\theta}^{(t)}(\cdot)$

Sample $z_{k,l} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$

if $\sigma_t < \hat{\sigma}_{k,l}$ **then**

Pattern 1: Original DDRM update rule (“**Refiner**”)

$$x_{k,l}^{(t)} = \bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)} + \eta_a \sigma_t \frac{y_{k,l} - \bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)}}{\hat{\sigma}_{k,l}} + \sqrt{1 - \eta_a^2} \sigma_t z_{k,l}$$

Pattern 2: Modified update rule (“**Refiner+**”, proposed)

$$x_{k,l}^{(t)} = \bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)} + \eta_c \sigma_t \frac{x_{k,l}^{(t+1)} - \bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)}}{\sigma_{t+1}} + \sqrt{1 - \eta_c^2} \sigma_t z_{k,l}$$

else // $\sigma_t \geq \hat{\sigma}_{k,l}$

$$x_{k,l}^{(t)} = (1 - \eta_b) \bar{x}_{k,l}^{(t)} + \eta_b y_{k,l} + \sqrt{\sigma_t^2 - \eta_b^2 \hat{\sigma}_{k,l}^2} z_{k,l}$$

end if

end for

Refined signals $x_{k,l} = x_{k,l}^{(0)}$

4.1.2. Preceding SE methods

We used four SE methods as preceding modules to evaluate our refiner: Wiener filter [30], Deep complex U-net (DCU-net) [28], Wave-U-net [31], and speech enhancement GAN (SEGAN) [29]. Note that all of them except the Wiener filter were trained on the VBD dataset.

4.1.3. Proposed diffusion-based generative refiner

For the proposed refiner, we trained a diffusion-based generative model on STFT spectrograms of the clean speech obtained from the VoiceBank corpus. As parameters for STFT, the window size, hop size, and number of time frames were set to 512, 256, and 256, respectively. A Hann window was used as the analysis window. By truncating the direct current (DC) component, we treated the spectrograms as 256×256 tensors with two channels, which correspond to the real part and the imaginary part of a complex value. We modified the diffusion-based model with U-Net-based architecture¹ so that the number of input/output channels is two.

We trained the model on a single NVIDIA A100 GPU (40 GB memory) for 7.5×10^5 steps, which took about three days. We used the Adam optimizer [33] with a learning rate of 0.001 and batch size of 8. Following [34], an exponential moving average of the model weight was taken with a decay of 0.9999 to be used for inference.

For the inference, we first conducted a grid search for the parameters required in both of the deep generative “**Refiner**” and “**Refiner+**”: η_a , η_b , and η_c in Algorithm 1. Specifically, we searched the parameter space [0.0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0] for η_a , η_b , and η_c , respectively. Next, we ran our refiners with $T = 200$ as written in Algorithm 1.

4.2. Results

To evaluate the performance, we used ten metrics²: CSIG, CBAK, COVL, SDR, SIR, SAR, SegSNR, PESQ, STOI, and non-intrusive speech quality assessment (NISQA) [9, 10, 35–37]. Note that all

¹<https://github.com/openai/guided-diffusion>

²To compute these metrics, we utilized the following public codes:

· <https://github.com/usimarit/semetrics>

· <https://github.com/sigsep/sigsep-mus-eval>

· <https://github.com/gabrielmittag/NISQA>

Table 1: Experimental results. Note that DCUnet and UNIVERSE were implemented by ourselves because the codes are not publicly available, and the networks were trained on VBD. Regarding UNIVERSE, we only considered Mel bands for feature NLLs during training. “Refiner” denotes the conventional DDRM-based speech refiner, and “Refiner+” represents its improved version proposed in this paper. See Sec. 3.2 and Algorithm 1 for details.

Method	Reference-based Metrics									Reference-free Metric
	CSIG	CBAK	COVL	SDR	SIR	SAR	SegSNR	PESQ	STOI	NISQA
Clean	5.000	5.000	5.000	-	-	-	35.00	4.500	1.000	4.546
Input (noisy)	2.044	1.729	1.513	5.825	5.825	148.4	-1.236	1.880	0.804	3.040
Wiener filter [30]	3.513	2.979	2.928	21.53	26.62	23.80	7.247	3.206	0.910	3.544
w/ Refiner	3.403	3.132	2.922	22.72	28.19	24.77	8.411	3.206	0.937	4.472
w/ Refiner+	3.258	3.034	2.799	18.99	34.99	19.37	7.755	3.113	0.923	4.621
DCUnet [28]	4.317	3.498	3.666	24.15	28.67	26.33	9.266	3.572	0.945	4.287
w/ Refiner	3.961	3.479	3.470	24.74	30.73	26.22	9.477	3.548	0.957	4.752
w/ Refiner+	3.733	3.372	3.263	22.59	37.83	22.82	9.327	3.444	0.945	4.827
Wave-U-net [31]	3.931	3.338	3.290	23.92	31.03	25.06	9.725	3.406	0.936	3.968
w/ Refiner	3.685	3.332	3.181	24.41	31.70	25.49	9.375	3.385	0.952	4.663
w/ Refiner+	3.513	3.237	3.049	20.93	39.56	21.04	8.756	3.334	0.941	4.773
SEGAN [29]	3.496	2.942	2.811	20.81	27.71	22.29	7.733	3.148	0.928	3.527
w/ Refiner	3.741	3.257	3.140	23.24	29.52	24.72	9.265	3.274	0.949	4.372
w/ Refiner+	3.631	3.173	3.061	20.09	35.97	20.32	8.425	3.269	0.941	4.609
UNIVERSE [32]	4.033	3.105	3.457	10.69	44.17	10.71	4.447	3.521	0.936	4.606




Fig. 2: Spectrograms of noisy input, clean target, DCUnet, and refined by diffusion-based generative refiner.

metrics except NISQA are functions that require the pair of a target signal and the corresponding reference, i.e., a clean signal. In contrast, NISQA can predict the mean opinion score (MOS) of a target signal without the corresponding reference. Because SEGAN, UNIVERSE, and our refiner are generative model-based methods, their outputs contain generated parts that do not completely match the corresponding references. Hence, we used a reference-free metric, i.e., NISQA, to assess perceptual speech quality itself.

The evaluation results are summarized in Table 1. Note that we added one of the diffusion-based state-of-the-art methods, universal speech enhancement with score-based diffusion (UNIVERSE) [32], as a reference. As shown in the table, “Refiner” and “Refiner+” on the metrics except SIR, STOI, and NISQA are less effective than the original methods without our refiner. This is because the refiner outputs partially include the generated components and thus are not consistent with the reference speech. Meanwhile, our refiners improve SIR, STOI, and NISQA scores. SIR first predicts the interference components remaining in the target evaluation signal and then calculates the score on the basis of the estimated one. Because the results of the generative models aiming to generate only clean speech have almost no noisy components, the SIR scores tend to be high. In addition, STOI first calculates the 1/3 octave band

and then computes the score based on it. STOI does not require a perfect match on full band spectrograms, so the scores of STOI also tend to be high even if there are partially generated parts. Furthermore, all of the NISQA scores of the refined results were drastically higher than the original methods without refiners. In particular, all of the scores obtained by applying our “Refiner+” were even higher than the score of clean speech (see the yellow cells in Table 1). This indicates that our “Refiner+” could effectively replace the degraded spectrograms with its generated results, and the refined signals are overall higher quality than even clean ones of VBD, i.e., the actual recordings. All results refined by our “Refiner+” also scored higher than that of UNIVERSE, which is a state-of-the-art diffusion-based SE method.

An example of the refined results is shown in Fig. 2. Even though the details of the refined parts were not always consistent with the corresponding parts of the clean spectrogram (see Figs. 2(b) and (d)), our refiner could generate natural-looking parts and replace the distorted parts with them (see Figs. 2(c) and (d)).

5. CONCLUSION

We presented a DNN-based generative refiner to improve speech that has already been pre-processed by a preceding SE method. We devised “Refiner+”, an extension of DDRM, that is more suitable for the task of speech enhancement. After our model is trained on a set of clean speech, it can be used as a versatile speech refiner for results processed by preceding SE methods without additional training specialized for each method. Experimental results showed that our method effectively improved the speech quality in terms of NISQA regardless of the SE method used as pre-processing. In future work, we will explore the feasibility of our deep generative refiner for a scaled dataset larger than VBC and application to other types of sound such as music and environmental sounds. Moreover, our refiner can be integrated with various DNN-based SE methods, resulting in a joint generative refiner. Especially, there may be an effective way to integrate our deep generative refiner with other diffusion-based SE methods [32, 38–40] into a single unified model.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Luo and N. Mesgarani, “Conv-TasNet: Surpassing ideal time-frequency magnitude masking for speech separation,” *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1256–1266, 2019.
- [2] X. Hao, X. Su, R. Horaud, and X. Li, “Fullsubnet: A full-band and sub-band fusion model for real-time single-channel speech enhancement,” in *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2021, pp. 6633–6637.
- [3] H.-S. Choi, S. Park, J. H. Lee, H. Heo, D. Jeon, and K. Lee, “Real-time denoising and dereverberation with tiny recurrent U-net,” in *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2021, pp. 5789–5793.
- [4] A. R. Avila, M. J. Alam, D. O’Shaughnessy, and T. Falk, “Investigating Speech Enhancement and Perceptual Quality for Speech Emotion Recognition,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2018, pp. 3663–3667.
- [5] Y.-H. Tu, J. Du, L. Sun, F. Ma, and C.-H. Lee, “On Design of Robust Deep Models for CHiME-4 Multi-Channel Speech Recognition with Multiple Configurations of Array Microphones,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2017, pp. 394–398.
- [6] S.-J. Chen, A. S. Subramanian, H. Xu, and S. Watanabe, “Building State-of-the-art Distant Speech Recognition Using the CHiME-4 Challenge with a Setup of Speech Enhancement Baseline,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2018, pp. 1571–1575.
- [7] M. Fujimoto and H. Kawai, “One-Pass Single-Channel Noisy Speech Recognition Using a Combination of Noisy and Enhanced Features,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2019, pp. 486–490.
- [8] S.-W. Fu, C.-F. Liao, Y. Tsao, and S.-D. Lin, “MetricGAN: Generative adversarial networks based black-box metric scores optimization for speech enhancement,” in *Proc. of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 09–15 Jun 2019, vol. 97, pp. 2031–2041.
- [9] A. Rix, J. Beerends, M. Hollier, and A. Hekstra, “Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ) - A new method for speech quality assessment of telephone networks and codecs,” in *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2001, vol. 2, pp. 749–752.
- [10] C. H. Taal, R. C. Hendriks, R. Heusdens, and J. Jensen, “A short-time objective intelligibility measure for time-frequency weighted noisy speech,” in *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2010, pp. 4214–4217.
- [11] J. Shi, X. Chang, T. Hayashi, Y.-J. Lu, S. Watanabe, and B. Xu, “Discretization and re-synthesis: an alternative method to solve the cocktail party problem,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.09382*, 2021.
- [12] H. Liu, X. Liu, Q. Kong, Q. Tian, Y. Zhao, D. Wang, C. Huang, and Y. Wang, “VoiceFixer: A Unified Framework for High-Fidelity Speech Restoration,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2022, pp. 4232–4236.
- [13] Z.-Q. Wang and D. Wang, “Joint training of speech separation, filter-bank and acoustic model for robust automatic speech recognition,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2015, pp. 2839–2843.
- [14] T. Menne, R. Schlüter, and H. Ney, “Investigation into joint optimization of single channel speech enhancement and acoustic modeling for robust ASR,” in *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2019, pp. 6660–6664.
- [15] I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville, and Y. Bengio, “Generative adversarial nets,” in *Proc. of Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2014, pp. 2672–2680.
- [16] D. P. Kingma and M. Welling, “Auto-encoding variational Bayes,” in *Proc. of International Conference on Learning Representation (ICLR)*, 2014.
- [17] Y. Takida, T. Shibuya, W. Liao, C.-H. Lai, J. Ohmura, T. Uesaka, N. Murata, S. Takahashi, T. Kumakura, and Y. Mitsufuji, “SQ-VAE: Variational Bayes on discrete representation with self-annealed stochastic quantization,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2022, pp. 20987–21012.
- [18] D. Rezende and S. Mohamed, “Variational inference with normalizing flows,” in *Proc. of International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*. PMLR, 2015, pp. 1530–1538.
- [19] J. Ho, A. Jain, and P. Abbeel, “Denoising diffusion probabilistic models,” in *Proc. of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2020, pp. 6840–6851.
- [20] J. Song, C. Meng, and S. Ermon, “Denoising diffusion implicit models,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.
- [21] P. Dhariwal and A. Nichol, “Diffusion models beat GANs on image synthesis,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 34, pp. 8780–8794, 2021.
- [22] B. Kawar, M. Elad, S. Ermon, and J. Song, “Denoising diffusion restoration models,” in *Proc. of Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2022.
- [23] A. Q. Nichol and P. Dhariwal, “Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 8162–8171.
- [24] S. Welker, J. Richter, and T. Gerkmann, “Speech Enhancement with Score-Based Generative Models in the Complex STFT Domain,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2022, pp. 2928–2932.
- [25] J. Richter, S. Welker, J.-M. Lemerrier, B. Lay, and T. Gerkmann, “Speech enhancement and dereverberation with diffusion-based generative models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.05830*, 2022.
- [26] C. Veaux, J. Yamagishi, and S. King, “The voice bank corpus: Design, collection and data analysis of a large regional accent speech database,” in *Proc. of International Conference Oriental COCODA held jointly with Conference on Asian Spoken Language Research and Evaluation (O-COCOSDA/CASLRE)*, 2013, pp. 1–4.
- [27] C. Valentini-Botinhao, X. Wang, S. Takaki, and J. Yamagishi, “Investigating RNN-based speech enhancement methods for noise-robust text-to-speech,” in *Proc. of International Speech Communication Association (ISCA) Speech Synthesis Workshop*, 2016, pp. 146–152.
- [28] H.-S. Choi, J.-H. Kim, J. Huh, A. Kim, J.-W. Ha, and K. Lee, “Phase-aware speech enhancement with deep complex U-net,” in *Proc. of International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2019.
- [29] S. Pascual, A. Bonafonte, and J. Serrà, “SEGAN: Speech Enhancement Generative Adversarial Network,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2017, pp. 3642–3646.
- [30] N. Wiener, *Extrapolation, Interpolation, and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series: With Engineering Applications*, The MIT Press, 08 1949.
- [31] D. Stoller, S. Ewert, and S. Dixon, “Wave-U-Net: A Multi-Scale Neural Network for End-to-End Audio Source Separation,” in *Proc. of the 19th International Society for Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR) Conference*, 2018, pp. 334–340.
- [32] J. Serrà, S. Pascual, J. Pons, R. O. Araz, and D. Scaini, “Universal speech enhancement with score-based diffusion,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.03065*, 2022.
- [33] D. P. Kingma and J. Ba, “Adam: A method for stochastic optimization,” in *Proc. of 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, Y. Bengio and Y. LeCun, Eds., 2015.
- [34] Y. Song and S. Ermon, “Improved techniques for training score-based generative models,” in *Proc. of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2020, pp. 12438–12448.
- [35] Y. Hu and P. C. Loizou, “Evaluation of objective measures for speech enhancement,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2006, pp. 1447–1450.
- [36] E. Vincent, R. Gribonval, and C. Fevotte, “Performance measurement in blind audio source separation,” *IEEE Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1462–1469, 2006.
- [37] A. R. Avila, H. Gamper, C. Reddy, R. Cutler, I. Tashev, and J. Gehrke, “Non-intrusive speech quality assessment using neural networks,” in *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2019, pp. 631–635.
- [38] Y.-J. Lu, Y. Tsao, and S. Watanabe, “A study on speech enhancement based on diffusion probabilistic model,” in *Proc. of Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC)*, 2021, pp. 659–666.
- [39] J. Zhang, S. Jayasuriya, and V. Berisha, “Restoring Degraded Speech via a Modified Diffusion Model,” in *Proc. of Interspeech*, 2021, pp. 221–225.
- [40] Y.-J. Lu, Z.-Q. Wang, S. Watanabe, A. Richard, C. Yu, and Y. Tsao, “Conditional diffusion probabilistic model for speech enhancement,” in *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2022, pp. 7402–7406.