

Integrability in $[d + 1]$ dimensions: combined local equations and commutativity of the transfer matrices

Shahane A. Khachatryan¹

A. I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (YerPhI),
Alikhanian Br. str. 2, Yerevan 36, Armenia

Abstract

We propose new inhomogeneous local integrability equations - combined equations, for statistical vertex models of general dimensions in the framework of the Algebraic Bethe Ansatz (ABA). For the low dimensional cases the efficiency of the step by step consideration of the transfer matrices' commutation is demonstrated. We construct some simple 3D solutions with the three-state R -matrices of certain 20-vertex structure; the connection with the quantum three-qubit gates is discussed. New, restricted versions of 3D local integrability equations with four-state R -matrices are defined, too. Then we construct a new 3D analogue of the two-dimensional star-triangle equations.

Key words: Integrable models; Algebraic Bethe Ansatz; Yang-Baxter equations; Zamolodchikov's Tetrahedron equations; Star-triangle relations; Interaction-round-cube equations; Cube equations; Quantum multi-qubit gates

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The transfer matrices' commutation conditions	5
2.1	Non-homogeneous local integrability equations	8
2.2	The stepwise consideration of the transfer matrixes' commutation equations	11

¹e-mail:shah@mail.yerphi.am

3	The case of 3D: 20-vertex models	12
3.1	Matrices with permutation operators: constant solutions.	13
3.2	Some simple 3D integrable models R -matrices	15
3.3	Perspectives: multi-qubit states, braiding transformations	18
4	The combined 3D equations in IRC formulation	20
5	The combined cube equations in semi-check formalism: non-local 2D quantum integrable models	21
6	New extension of the star-triangle relations	23
7	Summary	26
A	Appendix	28

1 Introduction

The Algebraic Bethe Ansatz (ABA) is a powerful technique developed for investigating integrable models in $[1 + 1]$ D quantum theory or 2D classical statistical mechanics, [1]-[23]. The models discussed by means of ABA are specified using local weights or R -matrices that satisfy spectral-dependent Yang-Baxter Equations (YBE), the key ABA relations. The YBEs ensure the commutativity of the transfer matrices constructed by R -matrices with different spectral parameters. The ABA offers an algebraic way for constructing the ground state wave function, energy spectra and self-functions of the Hamiltonian ([4], [5], [30]). There are several generalizations of YBE proposed for 3D case. The first and most famous equations are Zamolodchikov's Tetrahedron Equations (ZTE) imposed on the scattering operators of a $[1 + 1]$ -dimensional object - a string [7]. In papers [8, 27, 31, 32, 34] the interaction-round-cube (IRC) and vertex versions of ZTE were formulated with solutions equivalent to Zamolodchikov's trigonometric two-state solution or being its generalizations for the N -state case. For the modified ZTE, elliptic generalizations for two-layer transfer matrices were constructed, see [35]-[39]. The paper [43] suggested simplified or semi-tetrahedron equations. In a recent work [47], another set of local equations was proposed for three-dimensional lattice models - the cube equations, which can also provide commutative transfer matrices, with a solution corresponding to the quantum integrable 2D model of Kitaev [40]. However, it is not an easy task to obtain solutions that could produce local and Hermitian quantum two-dimensional Hamiltonian operators. For all cases, the main problem is that there are a huge number of equations and undefined functions of various spectral variables, and only a limited number of solutions are obtained that satisfy the conditions of three-dimensional local integrability. ZTEs are the most analyzed and productive equations [7, 15]. A big step forward in the study of integrability in 2D appeared to be the exploration of the symmetry properties of models (conformal symmetry, quantum group), [14]-[26], [48]-[50]. For the three-dimensional case also, there are some investigations of models with quantum group symmetry (for example [41]). The Ansatz technique can be extended to higher dimensions, too. Taking into account the established connection between $[d + 1]$ space-time quantum

theories and $\mathbb{D} \equiv (d+1)$ dimensional classical statistical physics [11], the higher-dimensional statistical weights $R_{\mathbb{D}}$, being the solutions to the homogeneous generalizations of tetrahedral equations, known in the literature as \mathbb{D} -simplex equations, can be used for constructing quantum integrable models' Hamiltonian operators on d dimensional regular lattices [7, 15, 28].

In this manuscript we analyze integrability questions in the context of ABA from two different points of view, generally described in the Subsection 2.1 and Subsection 2.2. We propose new local integrability equations (non-homogeneous and simplified) - Subection 2.1, Sections 4,5,6, alternative to the existing ones, and together with it, we discuss the possibility to explore the transfer matrices' commutativity, avoiding direct consideration of the local YBE-type equations - Subection 2.2, Section 3. In Section 2, we define and discuss non-homogeneous local compatibility equations for the general case of $[d+1]$. In Subsection 2.1, we suggest a realization of the YBE-type local equations for higher dimensional vertex models - combined equations or restricted \mathbb{D} -simplex equations, with a possible variety of the intertwiner matrices. In contrast to homogeneous \mathbb{D} -simplex equations, where all vertices and, hence, matrices are considered equal, in the discussed inhomogeneous equations the intertwiner matrices can be of smaller dimension than the quantum R -matrices. In the simplest case, all the intetwiner matrices can be taken as R -matrices of minimal dimension two (i.e., acting on the tensor product of two states). As it is noted already, such simplified equations were first proposed for 3D in [43]. In the works [44, 45] the plain version of three-state R_3 -matrices was discussed, where irreducible 3D matrices were used in the construction of integrable one-dimensional quantum chain spin models.

In Subsection 2.2 we demonstrate how one can construct integrable models via deducing the matrix elements of R -matrices just from the integrability conditions followed from the commutations of the transfer matrices with a small number n of lattice sites, without fixing local integrability relations. We see that step-by-step consideration of such equations can completely solve the problem by analyzing only a limited number of n -s. The value of n is conditioned by different factors, for example, the number of state characteristics (e.g.,

dimensions), the number of non-zero elements of R -matrices. An apparent advantage and hence the purpose of the considered point of view is that we do not fix the kind of the local equations, which is essential for $\mathbb{D} > 2$. Then such equations are homogeneous in respect to the matrix-element functions depending on the spectral variables $\{u\}$ and $\{v\}$ (these can be compound variables in general, see e.g. [51]). Meanwhile, the Yang-Baxter equations in 2D, and their analogs for general $[d + 1]$ space-time [9] contain also the matrix element-functions of the intertwiner matrices depending from the variables $\{u, v\}$ (and more). As an example, by the described scheme we easily reveal the most general non-homogeneous eight-vertex model's R -matrices (see the Appendix), which exactly coincide with the previously obtained results in [11, 46, 51]. In Section 3 we consider some simple R -matrices for the 3D 20-vertex models, represented by the projection operators. We verify the existence of the solutions by the consideration of the vertex-like ZTE and their simplified versions. Some simple new 3D statistical integrable models are presented in Section 3. The connection of the investigated 3D matrices with the three-qubit quantum gates [55]-[60] is discussed in the following section. In the next two sections we construct the combined versions of the IRC or 12-hedron equations [8, 27] (an analogue of 2D face type YBE, Section 4) and the combined cube equations [47] (Section 5). Here the cube equations are modified and presented in the so-called “semi-check” formulation, with slight adaptations of the indexing and with the change of the “time” direction.

As another version of the local integrability equations in 3D, we propose in Section 6 a new generalization of the star-triangle equations, which can be referred as “connected” star-triangle relations. The presented simple solutions are inherited from the two-dimensional solutions in quite a natural way.

2 The transfer matrices' commutation conditions

In the context of the Algebraic Bethe Ansatz ([1]-[30]) integrable $[1 + 1]$ -lattice quantum models can be described by means of the monodromy matrix $T(u)$ constructed by the product

of local $R_{ai}(u)$ -matrices, depending on spectral parameters, $R_{ai} : V_a \otimes V_i$,


$$T(u)_{\{a\};i_1\dots i_N} = R_{a i_1}(u)R_{a i_2}(u)\dots R_{a i_N}(u), \quad \tau(u) = \text{tr}_{\{a\}}[T(u)_{\{a\};\{i\}}]. \quad (2.1)$$

The operator $T(u)$ acts on the tensor product of the auxiliary space V_a and the quantum spaces V_{i_1} defined on a chain with N sites - $V_a \otimes V_{i_1} \otimes V_{i_2} \dots \otimes V_{i_N}$. The transfer matrix $\tau(u)$ in some sense plays a role of discrete evolution operator in $(1+1)$ space-time. The key integrability condition is the commutativity of the transfer matrices with different spectral parameters

$$[\tau(u), \tau(v)] = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

This gives an opportunity to construct the full spectra (sufficient for integrability of the problem) of the conserved charges, generated by the transfer matrix. These mutually commutative operators emerge in the spectral parameter expansion of the transfer matrix. Particularly the Hamiltonian operator is expressed by the logarithmic derivative $H \simeq \frac{\partial_u \tau(u)}{\tau(u)}|_{u=u_0}$. For ensuring the locality of the models, here the point u_0 is chosen so, that $R(u_0) \equiv P$ is a permutation operator. The spectral parameter u plays a role of the time evolution parameter, and it is attached to the auxiliary space V_a . Also one can attach to each quantum space V_i a local spectral parameter w , $R_{ai}(u, w)$. The main part of the discussed R -matrices behaves a difference property: $R(u, w) = R(u - w)$, in terms of the additive parameters u, w .

The higher dimensional generalization of ABA can be realised on the homogeneous $N \times N \times \dots \times N$ regular lattices with toric configurations, i.e. for the quantum models defined on the lattice with $\mathbf{N} = N^d$ sites, and having periodic boundary conditions [15, 9]. For the vertex-type models, for which the R -matrices are attached to the vertexes, and the states - to the links, the generalization is obvious. The quantum states for the vertex models are disposed on the links along the time axe (e.g., the links indexed by i_z, j_z on the Fig. 1), and the auxiliary states are attached to the links directed along the space axes (e.g., the links indexed by $i_{x,y}, j_{x,y}$ in Fig. 1). Now at each vertex, described by d -dimensional vector \vec{k} , there are defined auxiliary states of number d : $V_{\mathbf{a}} = \bigotimes_j^d V_{aj}$, and the auxiliary index \mathbf{a}



$$i_x = -a + c, \quad j_x = -f + h,$$

$$i_y = e - f, \quad j_y = -b + c,$$

$$i_z = a - b, \quad j_z = e - h.$$

$$W(a|efg|bcd|h) \rightarrow R_{i_x i_y i_z}^{j_x j_y j_z}.$$

Figure 1: Vertex and IRC weight functions (an example of correspondence). In the cube equations this weight figures as R_{afgb}^{edch} -matrix.

in $R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}} i_{\vec{k}}}$ must be understood as a compound index $\mathbf{a} = a^1, \dots, a^d$, and correspondingly, the spectral parameter u now can be consider as d -dimensional parameter $\mathbf{u} = \{\mathbf{u}^1, \dots, \mathbf{u}^d\}$. The vector-index \vec{k} is described by means of the coordinates $\{k^1, \dots, k^d\}$. Each vertex has $[d + 1]$ incoming and $[d + 1]$ outgoing links, corresponding to the down and upper indexes of the R -matrices: $[R_{\mathbf{a} i_{\vec{k}}}^{j_{\vec{k}}}]_{a_k^1 \dots a_k^d i_{\vec{k}}^1 \dots i_{\vec{k}}^d}^{b_k^1 \dots b_k^d j_{\vec{k}}^1 \dots j_{\vec{k}}^d}$. Then the transfer matrix must be defined over the product of the all \mathbf{N} quantum spaces. The trace in (2.1) is taken over all the auxiliary spaces of the number

$$\mathbf{N}_e = d \times N^{d-1}, \quad (2.3)$$

which is the number of the boundary states. So, in the monodromy matrix now $\{a\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}_e}\} = \{a_{\vec{k}_e}^1, \dots, a_{\vec{k}_e}^{\mathbf{N}_e}\}$, where the boundary states are described by the boundary vector indexes \vec{k}_e , for which at least one of the coordinates equals to 1 (or N); $\{k_e^1, \dots, k_e^{\mathbf{N}_e}, \dots, k_e^d\} = \{r^1, \dots, 1, \dots, r^d\}$, $r^k \in 1, \dots, N$. Below for simplicity we shall omit in \vec{k}_e the index e , denoting the boundary.

Note, that in the described formulation, the indexing of 3D vertex matrix appears in the following way: $R_{a_k^1 a_k^2 i_{\vec{k}}}^{b_k^1 b_k^2 j_{\vec{k}}}$, $\vec{k} = \{k_x, k_y\}$, instead of the usual formula $R_{i_x i_y i_z}^{j_x j_y j_z}$ brought in the Fig. 1.

2.1 Non-homogeneous local integrability equations

The existence of the intertwiner matrix $\bar{R}_{\mathbf{ab}}(u, v)$, which is an invertible matrix in general case, satisfying to the following relations,

$$\bar{R}_{\{\mathbf{ab}\}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})T_{\{\mathbf{a}\}\{\mathbf{i}\}}(\mathbf{u})T_{\{\mathbf{b}\}\{\mathbf{i}\}}(\mathbf{v}) = T_{\{\mathbf{b}\}\{\mathbf{i}\}}(\mathbf{v})T_{\{\mathbf{a}\}\{\mathbf{i}\}}(\mathbf{u})\bar{R}_{\{\mathbf{ab}\}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \quad (2.4)$$

will ensure the commutativity of the transfer matrices (2.2). These can be considered as global integrability conditions. They automatically take place, if $R(u)$ -matrices satisfy the following local relations - spectral parameter dependent YBE-type equations

$$\bar{R}_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u})R_{\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{v}) = R_{\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{v})R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u})\bar{R}_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}). \quad (2.5)$$

The forms of the equations (2.4, 2.5) are usual for $(1+1)$ -D case, however in principle they are valid also for higher $[d+1]$ dimensions, indicating in the formulas by d -dimensional vector indexes \vec{k} . For three dimensional case the detailed proof is brought in [43]. In such cases the matrix $\bar{R}_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ must be factorized into d interwiner matrices (2.6), and hence it will lead to the factorization of the global intertwiner matrix in (2.4)

$$\bar{R}_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \prod_{p=1}^d \bar{R}_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}^p \mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}^p}(u^p, v^p), \quad \bar{R}_{\{\mathbf{ab}\}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \prod_{\{\vec{k}, p\}}^{\mathbf{N}^e} \bar{R}_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}^p \mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}^p}(u^p, v^p). \quad (2.6)$$

For checking the commutativity one must place the unity operator $I = \bar{R}[\bar{R}]^{-1}$ in the trace of the product of the transfer matrices and repeatedly use the local equations (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} tr_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} \left[\prod_{\{\vec{k}\}}^{\mathbf{N}} R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}) tr_{\{\mathbf{b}\}} \left[\prod_{\vec{k}}^{\mathbf{N}} R_{\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}j_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{v}) \right] \right] &= tr_{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}} \left[\prod_{\{\vec{k}\}}^{\mathbf{N}} (R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}) R_{\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}j_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{v})) \right] = \\ tr_{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}} \left[[\bar{R}]_{\{\mathbf{ab}\}}^{-1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \bar{R}_{\{\mathbf{ab}\}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \prod_{\vec{k}}^{\mathbf{N}} (R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}) R_{\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}j_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{v})) \right] &= \quad (2.7) \\ tr_{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}} \left[[\bar{R}]_{\{\mathbf{ab}\}}^{-1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \prod_{\{\vec{k}\}}^{\mathbf{N}^e} R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{v}) R_{\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}) \bar{R}_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \prod'_{\{\vec{k}'\}} (R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}'}i_{\vec{k}'}}(\mathbf{u}) R_{\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}'}i_{\vec{k}'}}(\mathbf{v})) \right] &= \dots = \\ tr_{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}} \left[[\bar{R}]_{\{\mathbf{ab}\}}^{-1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \prod_{\{\vec{k}\}}^{\mathbf{N}} (R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{v}) R_{\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u})) \bar{R}_{\{\mathbf{ab}\}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \right] &= tr_{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}} \left[\prod_{\{\vec{k}\}}^{\mathbf{N}} R_{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{v}) R_{\mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}i_{\vec{k}}}(\mathbf{u}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The product \prod' is defined as $\prod'_{\{\vec{k}'\}} \prod_{\{\vec{k}\}}^{\mathbf{N}^e} = \prod_{\{\vec{k}\}}^{\mathbf{N}}$. Here we present this detailed derivation, as this is a general principle for all types of the local integrable relations and for general dimensions. We have used the relations (2.5) for all the edge indexes $\{\mathbf{a}_{\vec{k}}, \mathbf{b}_{\vec{k}}\}^{\mathbf{N}^e}$ simultaneously. In principle the intertwiners of number d can differ one from another by their

structure, and one can emphasise this by an additional index $\bar{R}_{a^p b^p}^p(u^p, v^p)$. The detailed local equations now read as

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{R}_{a^1 b^1}^1(u^1, v^1) \cdots \bar{R}_{a^d b^d}^d(u^d, v^d) R_{a^1 \dots a^d i}(u^1, \dots, u^d, w) R_{b^1 \dots b^d i}(v^1, \dots, v^d, w) = \\ & R_{b^1 \dots b^d i}(v^1, \dots, v^d, w) R_{a^1 \dots a^d i}(u^1, \dots, u^d, w) \bar{R}_{a^d b^d}^d(u^d, v^d) \cdots \bar{R}_{a^1 b^1}^1(u^1, v^1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Here, and in the most equations below, we are omitting the notation \vec{k} for the coordinates of the vertices. Such YBE-type local equations, where the intertwiner matrices are defined on the tensor product of two states $V_{a^p} \otimes V_{b^p}$, and the quantum matrices are defined on the product of $[d + 1]$ states: $V_{a^1} \otimes V_{a^2} \dots \otimes V_{a^d} \otimes V_i$, we can refer as *combined* local integrability equations - combination of the matrices of different dimensions (or the combination of 2D YBEs of number d). Another formulation for these equations can be the *simplified* \mathbb{D} -simplex equations, in the same way, as we have used for three dimensional case in [43], defining the simplified (or semi-) tetrahedron equations (STE).

In (2.8), for completeness, in the set of the spectral parameters of the quantum matrices we involve an additional spectral parameter w , attached to the links of the quantum states V_i , $R_{\{a\},i}(\{u\}, w)$.

Also additional auxiliary states could be included in the definition of the intertwiner operators. For homogeneous integrability equations, which reproduce the \mathbb{D} -simplex geometry (as triangle and tetrahedral equations at $\mathbb{D} = 2, 3$), the quantum and the intertwiner matrices, $R_{\mathbf{a},i}$ and $\bar{R}_{a^p, b^p}(u, v)$, must have the same number of the indexes and the same number of the spectral parameters. And to achieve this, we must make the following generalization

$$\bar{R}_{a^p, b^p}(u, v) \rightarrow \bar{R}_{a^p, b^p, c^{p_1}, \dots, c^{p_{d-1}}}(u, v, w^{p_1}, \dots, w^{p_{d-1}}), \quad p = 1, \dots, d. \quad (2.9)$$

The addition of new auxiliary indexes $\{c^i\}_{i=1}^{d'}$ and new spectral parameters w^i , $i = 1, \dots, d'$, corresponds to the introducing of the auxiliary spaces V_{c_i} , ($i = 1, \dots, d'$), of number $d' = \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$, so that each two \bar{R} -matrices have only one coinciding index. And d' is the minimal number satisfying to this condition. Such consideration also coincides with the interpretation of the local integrability equations as the factorability conditions of the scattering of spatial

$[d - 1]$ -“strings” (the generalizations of the one-dimensional objects in the Zamolodhikov’s tetrahedral equations). At $d = 2$ this corresponds to the vertex version of the system of ZTEs [1, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15, 27]. Of course, one can also define the equations with the intertwiner matrices of intermediate dimensions $\in (2, \dots, d+1)$, and such relations we can call “combined” equations as well. In the case, when the intertwiner matrices with less dimensions are themselves the solutions to YBE-type local equations, it can be used for obtaining the higher dimensional integrable models (the corresponding quantum R -matrices) [43]. The used parametrization is quite general. Note, that in the literature for the significant part of obtained solutions there is a natural parametrization of the R -matrices, formulated by means of the independent angles corresponding to the geometry of the local equations (two angles of the triangles - YBE, five angles of the tetrahedron - ZTE, e.g., [7, 9, 11, 16, 15, 27, 31, 32]).

Directly diagonalizable transfer matrices. One separate point is the case of the directly diagonalizable transfer matrices, i.e when it is possible by a direct operation to solve the eigen-problem of the transfer matrix: $\tau(u) = U_u \tau(u)^d U_u^{-1}$, so that $\tau(u)^d$ is a diagonal operator, and U is an unitary operator. This happens, e.g., when the model can be described by free particles (the periodic quadratic action is easy to diagonalize in the Fourier transformation basis). Does this necessarily mean, that the transfer matrices with different spectral parameters must commute for such integrable models? Symbolically, let us write the relation

$$[\tau(u), \tau(v)] = [U_u \tau(u)^d U_u^{-1}, U_v \tau(v)^d U_v^{-1}] \Rightarrow [\tau(u)^d, \tau(v)^d] = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad U_u = U_{(u,v)}^d U_v. \quad (2.10)$$

here the operator $U_{(u,v)}^d$ is a spectral-parameter dependent diagonal operator. This also can be read as

$$U_u = U_u^d U_0, \quad (2.11)$$

where U_0 is a constant unitary matrix, $U_u^d = U_{(u,0)}^d$. It will be a sufficient condition for the commutation of the transfer matrices with different parameters.

2.2 The stepwise consideration of the transfer matrixes' commutation equations

If we do not apply the concrete local commutativity conditions (supposing existence of an intertwiner matrix), which are sufficient (but not necessary) conditions, ensuring the global commutativity, we can step by step apply the commutativity principle. For example, at $d = 1$, on the lattice with N sites, one can define incomplete transfer matrices $\tau_n(u)$ on the sub-chains of n neighbouring sites with sub-monodromy matrices $T_{i_1 \dots i_n}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, $\tau_N(u) \equiv \tau(u)$. It means

$$[\tau_n(u), \tau_n(v)] = 0, \quad \tau_n(u) = \text{tr}_a [T_{a; i_1 \dots i_n}] = \text{tr}_a \prod_{k=1}^n R_{ai_k}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (2.12)$$

This also preserves the locality principle, as we start from the low n cases ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

The equations (2.12) contain the functions depending only on the variables u or v . Although the n -th equations in (2.12) contain homogeneous equations of n -th order in respect to the functions with the spectral parameters u and v , however the complexity in some sense is compensated by the step by step consideration of the equations, starting from $n = 2, 3, \dots$. The case of $n = 1$ is satisfied automatically. The equations at $n = 2$ give constraints on the matrix elements, solving of which simplifies the next set of the equations at $n = 3$, and so on. And one can find some interesting facts. As example, for the most investigated eight-vertex model [11] it is enough to consider only the equations up to $n = 4$ (see Appendix), which will fix all the constraints on R -matrix elements necessary for the integrability, and hence will reproduce the known solutions. For some particular cases it is enough to analyse only the equations (2.12) with $n = 2, 3$. And this also can be checked for the general 15-vertex model [51]. And all the solutions to (2.12) in that cases admit existence of the corresponding intertwiner matrices as solutions to non-homogeneous YBE.

For $[d + 1]$ -dimensional cases, the indexes i_k , a and n , k in (2.12) must be replaced with the corresponding d -dimensional numbers: $i_k \rightarrow \{\mathbf{i}_k\} = \{i_k^1, \dots, i_k^d\}$, in the same way - $a \rightarrow \mathbf{a} = \{a^1, a^2, \dots, a^d\}$, and the compound numbers $k \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ and $n \rightarrow \mathbf{n} = \{n^1, \dots, n^d\}$, now describe the corresponding fragments of d -dimensional lattices.

In the next section we examine the case for $d = 2$ with a definite (20-vertex) structure of the matrices. For some cases we obtain the exact answers by direct stepwise consideration, and for other cases we use also combine equations as an additional sufficient equipment for final proving the existence of the solutions (obtained for smallest sub-lattices) for all n -s.

3 The case of 3D: 20-vertex models

Let us consider $N_x \times N_y \times N_z$ regular cubic lattice with the extension of 2D six-vertex model. The R -matrix or the statistical weight of 3D statistical vertex model, can be defined for each vertex of the cubic lattice as follows

$$R = R_{i_x i_y i_z}^{j_x j_y j_z} e_{i_x}^{j_x} \otimes e_{i_y}^{j_y} \otimes e_{i_z}^{j_z}, \quad i_x + i_y + i_z = j_x + j_y + j_z \pmod{2} \quad (3.1)$$

Here by $e_{i_a}^{j_a}$, $a = x, y, z$, we denote the basic two-dimensional operators defined on the spaces situated on the links (with the indexes \vec{i}, \vec{j}), connecting to the vertexes $\{x, y, z\}$ (Fig. 1). The relations in (3.1), put on the indexes i_a, j_b of the non-zero elements of the matrices (3.1), define the so-called 20-vertex model. The three-state R -matrix now can be presented as

$$R_{ijk} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_0^0 & \mathbf{R}_0^1 \\ \mathbf{R}_1^0 & \mathbf{R}_1^1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{R}_a^a = \begin{pmatrix} R_{a00}^{a00} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{a01}^{a01} & R_{a10}^{a01} & 0 \\ 0 & R_{a01}^{a10} & R_{a10}^{a10} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{a11}^{a11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_0^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ R_{001}^{100} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ R_{010}^{100} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{011}^{101} & R_{011}^{110} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{R}_1^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_{100}^{001} & R_{100}^{010} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{101}^{011} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{110}^{011} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.3)$$

The transfer matrix reads as $\tau(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{N_x, N_y} \prod_k R_{ijk}(\mathbf{u})$, where the product is taken over 2D square lattice on the flat surface, and taking the trace over the states with i, j indexes of 2D $N_x \times N_y$ lattice implies that the periodic boundary conditions are imposed: $|V_{i+N_x, j+N_y}\rangle =$

$|V_{i,j}\rangle$. The spectral parameter here consists of three components $\mathbf{u} = \{u_x, u_y, u_z\}$ (the “velocity” in the context of theory of scattering), and as for two-dimensional case, the property of the additivity of the spectral parameter allows to reduce their number by one: $\{u_x, u_y, u_z\} \rightarrow \{u_x - u_z, u_y - u_z\}$. As it is analysed in [51], all the additional colored parameters of the R -matrix in general can be expressed in terms of the arbitrary functions arisen in the solutions of the equations.

Step by step consideration of the transfer matrices commutativity here means that the following relations take place:

$$[\tau_{n_x, n_y}(\mathbf{u}), \tau_{n_x, n_y}(\mathbf{v})] = 0, \quad \tau_{n_x, n_y}(\mathbf{u}) \equiv \text{tr}_{i=1, j=1}^{n_x, n_y} \prod_k R_{ijk}(\mathbf{u}), \quad n_x/n_y = 1, \dots, N_x/N_y. \quad (3.4)$$

At $n_x = 1$ or $n_y = 1$, taking the trace over the variables attached at the one of the auxiliary axes, brings to the effectively 2D case, with the following two-state matrices

$$\mathcal{R}_{jk} = \text{tr}_i R_{ijk} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{R}_{ik} = \text{tr}_j R_{ijk}. \quad (3.5)$$

It means, that the relations (3.4) at $n_y = 1$ or $n_x = 1$ ensure the integrability of the corresponding 2D models with the matrices \mathcal{R}_{ik} or \mathcal{R}_{jk} .

3.1 Matrices with permutation operators: constant solutions.

For 2D case we usually fix the initial condition, which exists for the most investigated models and has physical background: $R_{ij}(0) = P_{ij}$. Here $P_{ij} : V_i \otimes V_j \rightarrow V_j \otimes V_i$, is the permutation operator, and this means that $\tau(0)$ is expressed by a shift operator, which ensures the locality of the spin model corresponding to the given R -matrix. Also we would like to recall that always it is possible to perform some symmetry transformations and re-normalization over the R -matrices which will let the relation (2.2) unchanged. Particularly, $R(u) \rightarrow f(u)R(u)$, $R(u) \rightarrow R(a_0 u)$ transformations are permissible with arbitrary constant a_0 and arbitrary function $f(u)$.

In the described formulation of the 3D vertex models, where each R -matrix has one quantum state (attached to the vertical axe z) and two auxiliary states (on two horizontal

axes x, y), the permutation operators can be chosen in different ways. The requirement of the locality of the underlying 2D quantum spin models means that there must be a point \mathbf{u}_0 at which R -matrix is a permutation operator in the following sense $R_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{j_1 j_2 j_3}(0) = P_{123}^{312} \equiv \delta_{i_1}^{j_3} \delta_{i_2}^{j_1} \delta_{i_3}^{j_2}$, or $R_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{j_1 j_2 j_3}(0) = P_{123}^{231} \equiv \delta_{i_1}^{j_2} \delta_{i_2}^{j_3} \delta_{i_3}^{j_1}$. Note that there are 3D solutions induced from 2D solutions $R^s(u)$ of YBEs, e.g., $R_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{j_1 j_2 j_3}(u) = \delta_{i_1}^{j_2} R_{i_2 i_3}^{s j_1 j_3}(u)$. These matrices are the solutions to STE, i.e. the combined 3D equations (2.8), with 2D intertwiner matrices $\bar{R}^s(u, w)$ and 2D permutation operators, P_{12} . The corresponding spin models are described by 1D quantum spin models situated on the parallel chains of $\{x, y\}$ -plane. Another factorized solution is the following matrix - $R_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{j_1 j_2 j_3}(u) = R_{i_1 i_2}^{s j_1 j_2} \delta_{i_3}^{j_3}$, with the intertwiner operators equal to permutation matrices. We see, that this solution is not effective for finding 3D solutions at all, as here R^s acts only on the auxiliary spaces, by which the trace must be taken in the definition of the transfer matrix. Moreover, when trying to find the spectral parameter solutions, with natural symmetries $R_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{j_1 j_2 j_3} = R_{j_1 j_2 j_3}^{i_1 i_2 i_3}$, $R_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{j_1 j_2 j_3} = R_{i'_1 i'_2 i'_3}^{j'_1 j'_2 j'_3}$, $i' = i + 1 \pmod{2}$, we find that the only non-trivial solutions can be formulated by the mentioned effectively 2D solutions.

The general 20-vertex model has the non-vanishing elements in the same positions of the matrix elements, as the matrix constructed only by means of the permutation operators and identity. It is the pure analogue of 2D case, as the six-vertex model's (1D XXZ spin-model relating to the quantum $SU_q(2)$ symmetry) trigonometric solution is the generalization of the rational solution $R = I + (1/u)P$ (1D XXX-model, with non-deformed $SU(2)$ symmetry). So, the simplest extension of the soluble 2D spin-models to 3D case could be in the form of the linear superposition:

$$R^p(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=1}^6 f_n(\mathbf{u}) P^n, \quad (3.6)$$

where we denote by P^n the permutation operators $P_{123}^{\{r_1 r_2 r_3\}}$ with the matrix elements $\delta_{i_1}^{j_{r_1}} \delta_{i_2}^{j_{r_2}} \delta_{i_3}^{j_{r_3}}$. Here the indexes $\{r_1 r_2 r_3\}$ are the possible permutations of the sequence $\{1, 2, 3\}$, including the identity (corresponding to the unity operator). Note that $P_{123}^{231} = (P_{123}^{312})^2$, $(P_{123}^{312})^3 = P_{123}^{312} P_{123}^{231} = I$. Besides of full permutations, the next three permutations operators P_{123}^{132} , P_{123}^{213} , P_{123}^{321} , have the property $P^2 = I$. Here also, if we look for the solutions, which at the

fixed point equal to the full permutations P_{123}^{312} or P_{123}^{231} (locality principle), we shall find only rigid solutions. Next we demonstrate this for some examples.

Let us particularly consider the matrix with full permutation

$$R(u) = f_i(u)I + f_p(u)P_{123}^{231}, \quad (3.7)$$

by means of the stepwise consideration of the commutation relations (3.4). We find that at $n_x = 1$ or $n_y = 1$ the relations are satisfied automatically. However the first non-trivial case at $n_x = 2, n_y = 2$:

$$[\tau_{2,2}(u), \tau_{2,2}(v)] = 8f_p^2(u)f_p^2(v)f_i(u)f_i(v)(f_p(u)f_i(v) - f_p(v)f_i(u))\Theta_{const}, \quad (3.8)$$

where Θ_{const} is a constant $2^4 \times 2^4$ matrix, brings to the relation $f_p(u)/f_i(u) = const$, i.e. to the rigid solution. Another considering examples are the following choices of the matrices: $R(u) = f_i(u)I + f_p(u)P_{123}^{231} + f_r(u)P_{123}^{312}$ or $R(u) = f_i(u)I + f_p(u)P_{123}^{213} + f_r(u)P_{123}^{321}$. At $n_x = 2, n_y = 2$ one can find only one quadratic relation between the functions $f_{i,p,r}$, but the next steps at $n_x = 2, n_y = 3$ and $n_y = 2, n_x = 3$ impose new additional constraints on the coefficient functions, and the solutions become constant ones. One can verify that these rigid matrices satisfy local ZTE or STE with appropriate constant intertwiners.

3.2 Some simple 3D integrable models R -matrices

We construct at first a rather trivial model, as an extension of the following 2D three-parametric matrix:

$$R(f_1, f_2, f_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & f_1 & & \\ & f_2 & & \\ & & & f_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$

This is obviously solution to YBE $\bar{R}_{12}(f_i, g_i)R_{13}(f_i)R_{23}(g_i) = R_{23}(g_i)R_{13}(f_i)\bar{R}_{12}(f_i, g_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Here one can fix $f_i = e^{u_i}$, if the system of YBE is homogeneous (intertwiner matrices \bar{R} coincide with the quantum R -matrices), and the spectral parameters are additive: $R_{12}(u_i - v_i)R_{13}(u_i)R_{23}(v_i) = R_{23}(v_i)R_{13}(u_i)R_{12}(u_i - v_i)$. The corresponding Hamiltonian

operator, constructed in the ABA framework, reads in terms of the Pauli spin-1/2 operators as follows: $H = \sum_i(\alpha_1 S_z(i) \otimes I(i+1)) + \alpha_2 I(i) \otimes S_z(i+1) + \alpha_3 S_z(i) \otimes S_z(i+1)$. Here we take $u_i = \alpha'_i u$, and the constants α_i are connecting with α'_i by linear relations. If $u_3 = u_1 + u_2$, then this Hamiltonian describes a free-fermionic model (as the Ising, XX or XZ models). In general, in terms of scalar fermions obtained by means of Jordan-Wigner transformation [42], this is a Hamiltonian of this form $H = \sum_i(J_1 n_i + J_2 n_{i+1} + J_0 n_i n_{i+1}) = (J_1 + J_2) \sum_i(n_i) + J_0 \sum_i n_i n_{i+1}$. Here $n = (1 - 2s_z)/2$. For 3D model, we can present an analogous solution, taking one of two R-matrices R^f and PR^f , with P being a full permutation operator, and with the following matrix $R^f(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)$ which has eight non-zero elements and four arbitrary functions (spectral or colored parameters) f_i .

$$R^f(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & \\ & f_2 & & & & & & \\ & & & f_1 & & & & \\ & & & & & f_3 & & \\ & & f_4 & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \alpha_{14} f_1 f_4 & \\ & & & \alpha_{24} f_2 f_4 & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \alpha_{34} f_3 f_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

with constants α . The corresponding transfer matrices, constructed by means of the quantum R^f -matrices, which have different sets of the functions f_i (or spectral parameters), are commuting - $[tr[\prod R^f], tr[\prod R^g]] = 0$. The quantum R^f -matrices satisfy ZTE-kind non-homogeneous relations,

$$\bar{R}^e \bar{R}^h R^f R^g = R^g R^f \bar{R}^h \bar{R}^e \quad (3.11)$$

where the intertwiner matrices \bar{R} are invertible operators and have a bit different structure, also having eight non-zero elements (in the positions of the non-zero matrix elements of $\approx P_{13} R^f$), which are the rational functions over the functions f_i/g_j . The combined equations with appropriate two dimensional intertwiner operators (i.e. STE) also take place. And the intertwiner matrices, satisfying STE with the mentioned R^f quantum matrices, are the

followings:

$$R^e(f_i, g_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \frac{f_1}{g_1} & & \\ & \frac{f_2 f_4}{g_2 g_4} & & \\ & & \frac{f_3 f_4}{g_3 g_4} & \end{pmatrix}, \quad R^h(f_i, g_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \frac{f_1 f_4}{g_1 g_4} & & \\ & \frac{f_2}{g_2} & & \\ & & & \frac{f_3 f_4}{g_3 g_4} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.12)$$

However, if to demand, that there is a point \mathbf{u}_0 , such that $R^f(\mathbf{u}_0) = P$, i.e. $a_{ij} = 1$, $f_i = e^{a_i u}$, $\{\mathbf{u}_0\} = \{0, 0, 0, 0\}$, then the cell Hamiltonian of the corresponding 2D quantum chain model will have such elements $H_{i,j} \approx n_{i,j} + n_{i,j} n_{i+1,j+1}$. This means effectively interactions only in one direction. However we can try to find the solutions with richer structure:

$$\bar{R}^f(f_1, f_2, f_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ & f_1 & a_1 & & & & & & & \\ & f_2 & & & & & & & & \\ & & & a_2 f_1 & a_2 f_2 f_3 & & & & & \\ & & & \frac{a_4 f_1}{f_2 f_3} & a_5 & & & & & \\ & & & a_6 f_1 & \frac{a_7 f_1}{f_3} & & & & & \\ & & & \frac{a_8 f_1}{f_2} & & a_9 & & & & \\ & & & & & & a_{10} f_1 & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.13)$$

Here there are ten constants a_i and three arbitrary functions. If to check the local equations, we can find the appropriate non-trivial two-dimensional intertwiner matrices:

$$\bar{R}^e(f_i, g_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \frac{f_2}{g_2} & & \\ & \frac{f_1 g_2}{f_2 g_1} & & \\ & & \frac{f_1}{g_1} & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{R}^h(f_i, g_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \frac{f_1 g_3}{g_1 f_3} & & \\ & \frac{f_2}{g_2} & & \\ & & & \frac{f_1}{g_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.14)$$

In the same way, we can present other series of the solutions, which have non-zero matrix elements in the same positions as the R -matrix constructed by the permutation operators and unit operator. The part of such matrices it is possible to present as factorizable operator, with corresponding 2D quantum models, which contain interactions only along one direction, i.e. these are actually one-dimensional interacting quantum models and all these matrices may have their analogs in the variety of the YBE solutions. However it is possible to construct integrable even rather simple and essentially two-dimensional quantum models by the three-dimensional R -matrices satisfying to transfer matrix commutativity, if we do not require the locality of the 2D Hamiltonian operators or do not apply strong requirements on the form of R . In that cases there is a rich variety of the solutions. One can present numerous rational

solutions from the transfer matrices commutativity $tr[t(f_i), t(g_i)] = 0$, which will have non-local nature. An example of the simple solution, which is non-factorizable and describes a non-local model with interaction in terms of the JW fermions, is the following two-spectral parametric 8×8 matrix $r(f_1, f_2)$, with non-zero matrix elements r_{ab} , $a, b = 1, \dots, 8$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ii} &= \rho_i, \quad \{i = 1, \dots, 8\}, & r_{25} &= f_1, & r_{32} &= f_2, \\ r_{16} &= \varepsilon_1 f_1, & r_{38} &= \varepsilon_2 f_1, & r_{46} &= \varepsilon_3 f_1 f_2, \\ r_{53} &= \frac{\varepsilon_4}{f_1 f_2}, & r_{67} &= \frac{\varepsilon_5}{f_2}, & r_{74} &= \frac{\varepsilon_6}{f_1}, & r_{83} &= \frac{\varepsilon_7}{f_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Here the parameters ρ_i , ε_i are constants, f_i - the spectral parameters. One can check the commutativity of the transfer matrices using the 3D intertwiner matrices with similar structure. As well, the STEs are satisfied, with the following 2D intertwiners \bar{r}^e , \bar{r}^h , $\bar{r}^e(f_i, g_i)\bar{r}^h(f_i, g_i)r(f_1, f_2)r(g_1, g_2) = r(g_1, g_2)r(f_1, f_2)\bar{r}^h(f_i, g_i)\bar{r}^e(f_i, g_i)$, having non-trivial elements $\bar{r}_{23}^{e,h}$ and $\bar{r}_{32}^{e,h}$:

$$\bar{r}^e(f_i, g_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \frac{f_1}{g_1} & & \\ & \frac{g_1}{f_1} & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{r}^h(f_i, g_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \frac{g_2}{f_2} & & \\ & \frac{f_2}{g_2} & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.16)$$

3.3 Perspectives: multi-qubit states, braiding transformations

In quantum information theory the unitary solutions to YBE attract an interest as candidates of the universal quantum gates, [55, 56]. The unitary braiding operation can entangle the full unentangled states, and as well, the unitary solutions to YBE, related with the braiding groups, can produce quantum gates. The constant solutions (as the simplest constant YBE solutions - the general inhomogeneous permutation operators), along with the Yang-Baxterized solutions have been used to construct quantum gates. The spectral parameter defines the degree of the entanglement. In this context, we can consider also the solutions to 3D integrability equations. We see, that in the construction of the multi-qubit cluster states (see as example [57, 58, 59]), one use the products of YBE-solutions. Acting on the purely separable N -qubit state by the operators $R_{12}R_{23}\dots R_{N-1N}$ one can obtain N -qubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeiliger (GHZ) states. Particularly, for three-qubit cluster states the

used operators $R_{12}R_{23}$ -operators (or $R_{12}R_{23}R_{13}$) themselves can be the solutions to ZTE or STE (factorised solutions, which we have called as inherited from the YBE-solutions). And one can try to involve in the construction of the full or mixed entangled multi-qubit states, the constant or spectral-parameter dependent solutions to integrability equations for higher dimensions. As example, the action of the simple three-state R operator (3.10), with the elements $\alpha_{ij} = e^{i\xi_{ij}}$, $f_i = e^{i\phi_i u}$ (ξ_{ij} , ϕ_i - constants), on the initial separable state

$$\frac{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}$$

brings to the following entangled state:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + e^{i\phi_1 u} |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + e^{i\phi_2 u} |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle}{2^{3/2}} + \\ & \frac{e^{i\phi_3 u} |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + e^{i\phi_4 u} |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + e^{i\xi_{14} + i(\phi_1 + \phi_4)u} |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle}{2^{3/2}} + \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\frac{+ e^{i\xi_{24} + i(\phi_2 + \phi_4)u} |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + e^{i\xi_{34} + i(\phi_3 + \phi_4)u} |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle}{2^{3/2}}. \quad (3.18)$$

The quantities $|e^{i\xi_{24}} - 1|$, $|e^{i\xi_{34}} - e^{i\xi_{14}}|$ and $|e^{i\xi_{14}} - 1|$ measure the degree of the entanglement of the resulting state. If they all vanish, then the state factorises into the unentangled product of the separate states.

In this work we have investigated the spectral-parameter dependent 20-vertex models, which can induce 2D integrable lattice models, and we do not give much attention to the constant solutions. However, as it was stated above, there are many constant (unitary) solutions to ZTE or STE. And the solutions with appropriate braiding properties can be as candidates for three-qubit quantum gates [60]. By means of the Schrödinger equation from the evolution operator R the corresponding Hamiltonian operators for the multi-qubit states (or linear clusters) are constructed [55, 56, 57]. The R -matrices' dependence from the time parameter in the quantum information theory may differ from the usual formulation in the ABA. And now the usual spectral parameters can be considered as time-independent parameters (contributing to the entanglement), meanwhile the constants can be time-dependent, thus ensuring the entangled eigen-states for the resulting Hamiltonian operators [57]. As an

extension, the solutions to higher dimensional restricted equations can be considered in the context of multi-qubit quantum gates.

4 The combined 3D equations in IRC formulation

We also can propose the combined versions of the equations for the interaction-round-cube version [28] of ZTE (the analogue of the face-type YBE [17, 20]), formulating as follows (4.1), see Fig. 2.




Figure 2: IRC or 12-hedron / rhombic dodecahedron / equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_d W(a_4|c_2, c_1, c_3|b_1, b_3, b_2|d) W'(c_1|b_2, a_3, b_1|c_4, d, c_6|b_4) W''(b_1|d, c_4, c_3|a_2, b_3, b_4|c_5) \\
& W'''(d|b_2, b_4, b_3|c_5, c_2, c_6|a_1) = \sum_d W'''(b_1|c_1, c_4, c_3|a_2, a_4, a_3|d) \times \\
& W''(c_1|b_2, a_3, a_4|d, c_2, c_6|a_1) W'(a_4|c_1, d, c_3|a_2, b_3, a_1|c_5) W(d|a_1, a_3, a_2|c_4, c_5, c_6|b_4), \quad (4.1) \\
& \sum_d W(a|b_1, b_2, b_3|c_1, c_2, c_3|d) W^{-1}(d|b'_1, b'_2, b'_3|c'_1, c'_2, c'_3|a') = \delta_a^{a'} \delta_{b_1}^{b'_1} \delta_{b_2}^{b'_2} \delta_{b_3}^{b'_3} \delta_{c_1}^{c'_1} \delta_{c_2}^{c'_2} \delta_{c_3}^{c'_3},
\end{aligned}$$

We suggest a version of the combined IRC in the following form, where the intertwiner

matrices W , \bar{W} and W' now are 2D face matrices, with four indexes,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_d W(a_4|c_2|c_3|b_3)\bar{W}(c_1|b_2|b_1|d)W'(a_3|c_6|c_4|b_4) \\
& W''(b_1|d, c_4, c_3|a_2, b_3, b_4|c_5)W'''(d|b_2, b_4, b_3|c_5, c_2, c_6|a_1) = \\
& \sum_d W'''(b_1|c_1, c_4, c_3|a_2, a_4, a_3|d)W''(c_1|b_2, a_3, a_4|d, c_2, c_6|a_1) \\
& W'(a_4|c_2|c_3|b_3)\bar{W}(d|a_1|a_2|c_5)W(a_3|c_6|c_4|b_4). \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

These equations also are sufficient for the transfer matrix commutativity in the same foot as the ordinary 12-hedron equations (the detailed proof for vertex version see in [43]).

As for the ordinary vertex models, here also one can find out some immediate solutions - at least the factorised solutions constructed by means of 2D face-YBE solutions. They can be the analogs of the corresponding STE solutions, taking into account the vertex-face correspondence brought in Fig. 1 (which is not universal correspondence, however).

We here propose also another combined IRC 3D equations, which can be projected onto the face-YBEs in 2D space of the vertexes $\{c_1|a_3, a_4|d|b_3, b_4|c_5\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_d W(a_4|c_1|b_3|d)W'(c_1|a_3|d|b_4)W''(b_1|d, c_4, c_3|a_2, b_3, b_4|c_5) \times \\
& W'''(d|b_2, b_4, b_3|c_5, c_2, c_6|a_1) = \sum_d W'''(b_1|c_1, c_4, c_3|a_2, a_4, a_3|d) \times \\
& W''(c_1|b_2, a_3, a_4|d, c_2, c_6|a_1)W'(a_4|d|b_3|c_5)W(d|a_3|c_5|b_4). \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

The graphical representations of these two versions of the combined IRC equations (4.2) and (4.3) can be obtained from Fig. 2 easily, following to the indexes of the operators in the equations.

5 The combined cube equations in semi-check formalism: non-local 2D quantum integrable models

The cube equations, suggested in [47], contain four 3D R -matrices defined on the cubes, as IRC equations. However the cube equations by their operator form are more similar to

non-symmetric vertex-like equations, as the four R -matrices have not equivalent roles in the equations. Two quantum R -matrices, by which the transfer matrices are constructed, and as well as two intertwiner matrices, have two common vertexes and one common link, meanwhile each pair of quantum and intertwiner matrices have only one common vertex (see the figures in [47]). The graphical structure of the corresponding operators, acting on the tensor product of four vector spaces, situated on the lattice vertices is presented in Fig. 1 by R_{afgb}^{edch} . The cube equations, (Fig. 3 of the paper [47]), are suitable for the models with the checkerboard-like Hamiltonians, for which transfer matrices are constructed by means of the product of two 2D transfer matrices. And hence, two sets of the cube equations must be considered. Here, in this article, the equations we present in the semi-check formulation, after acting by a permutation operator on the R -matrices, $PR = \check{R}$. And correspondingly, the indexing of the equations are changed in such a way, that only one set of the cube equations is sufficient to ensure the integrability. And the definition of the corresponding 3D statistical models must be slightly changed conditioned by the shift of the neighboring monodromy matrices in the partition function. The semi-check matrices we define in the following way - $\check{R}_{afgb}^{edch} = R_{afgb}^{ched}$, with appropriate chosen permutations. For the quantum matrices the first two pairs of the upper and lower indexes we can refer as the quantum states' indexes, the next two - as the auxiliary states indexes. The direct construction of the cube equations with two kind of quantum states for these matrices, $\check{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{\delta'\gamma'\beta'\alpha'}$ will look like as

$$\begin{aligned} \check{R}_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} (u) \check{R}_{i_5 i_6 j_3 j_4}^{j_5 j_6 k_3 k_4} (v) \check{R}_{j_2 i_6 i_7 i_8}^{k_2 k_6 j_7 j_8} (w) \check{R}_{j_1 j_5 j_7 j_8}^{k_1 k_5 k_7 k_8} (y) = \\ \check{R}_{i_2 i_6 i_7 i_8}^{j_2 j_6 j_7 j_8} (y) \check{R}_{i_1 i_5 j_7 i_8}^{j_1 j_5 k_7 j_8} (w) \check{R}_{j_1 j_2 i_3 i_4}^{k_1 k_2 j_3 j_4} (v) \check{R}_{j_5 j_6 j_3 j_4}^{k_5 k_6 k_3 k_4} (u). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

The corresponding combined equations with the restricted intertwiners are

$$\begin{aligned} \check{R}_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} (u) \check{R}_{i_5 i_6 j_3 j_4}^{j_5 j_6 k_3 k_4} (v) \check{R}_{j_2 i_6}^{k_2 k_6} (w) \check{R}_{j_1 j_5}^{k_1 k_5} (y) = \\ \check{R}_{i_2 i_6}^{j_2 j_6} (y) \check{R}_{i_1 i_5}^{j_1 j_5} (w) \check{R}_{j_1 j_2 i_3 i_4}^{k_1 k_2 j_3 j_4} (v) \check{R}_{j_5 j_6 j_3 j_4}^{k_5 k_6 k_3 k_4} (u). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

It is remarkable that if to merge the first pair of the states of quantum and intertwiner \check{R} -matrices into one state, then for the obtained three-state matrices from the Eqs. (5.1,

5.2) one can recover the vertex version of ZTE and STE as limited cases. Taking the quantum matrices $\check{R}(u)$ and $\check{R}(v)$ as extension of XYZ model's 2D matrix, which in operator form can be presented as $\check{R}_{1,2} = I \otimes I \otimes I \otimes I + \sum_{i=\{x,y,z\}} u_i(v_i) \sigma^i \otimes \sigma^i \otimes \sigma^i \otimes \sigma^i$ (here I is the unity matrix and σ^i -s are Pauli 2×2 matrixes), then the intertwiner operators corresponding to the matrixes $\check{R}(w)$, $\check{R}(y)$ in the equations (5.1) are simply the constant operators $\check{R}_{3,4} = I \otimes I \otimes I \otimes I + \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^z \pm \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x \mp \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y$ or $\check{R}_{3,4} = I \otimes I \otimes I \otimes I - \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^z \otimes \sigma^z \pm \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x \pm \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y$. Also one can find the intertwiner solutions to the combined cube equations (5.2). In contrast to the ordinary cube equations (see [47]), the solutions to these semi-check equations and the corresponding 2D quantum Hamiltonian operators, obtained in the expansion of the transfer matrices at $u = u_0$, supposing $\check{R}_{1,2}(u_0) = I \otimes I \otimes I \otimes I$, do not describe local models with nearest-neighbor interactions. E.g, the obtained solutions describe long range interactions along one of the axes: $H = \sum_{i,i',j} H_{\{i,j\},\{i',j+1\}}$.

6 New extension of the star-triangle relations

In the early works on 2D statistical models the star-triangle relations figurate as local integrability condition [1]. In the cases, when the statistical sums are expressed by the local weights defined on the links (graph models, Potts model), i.e. $Z = \sum_{configurations} \prod_{i,j}^{N_1, N_2} [W^h(i, j; i + 1, j) W^v(i, j; i, j + 1)]$, then the star-triangle relations are defined as

$$\sum_d R_{pqr} \bar{W}_a^d(p, q) W_b^d(p, r) \bar{W}_d^c(q, r) = W_a^b(q, r) \bar{W}_a^c(p, r) W_b^c(p, q), \quad (6.1)$$

$$W_c^a(q, r) \bar{W}_c^b(p, r) W_a^b(p, q) = \sum_d \bar{R}_{pqr} \bar{W}_c^d(p, q) W_d^a(p, r) \bar{W}_d^b(q, r). \quad (6.2)$$

Here $W_a^b(p, q)$ is the horizontal link weight $W^h(i, j; i + 1, j)$, connecting the states denoted by a , b situated at the sites (i, j) and $(i + 1, j)$, and correspondingly, the vertical weights $\bar{W}_a^b(p, q)$ ($W^v(i, j; i, j + 1)$) connect the states denoted by a , b situated at the sites (i, j) and $(i, j + 1)$. A pair of the spectral parameters - rapidities, directed along the links of the dual lattice, is attached to each link (see, e.g., [18, 23, 28]). The factors R_{pqr} do not depend from




Figure 3: Extension of the Star-triangle relations for 3D lattice: connected star-triangle relations - (i) and (ii)

the state variables. In the Fig. 3 one can find the graphical picture of the above equations, considering only the graphs with the vertices denoted by $\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1\}$ or $\{a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2\}$ (belonging to parallel planes).

For the three-dimensional statistical models, defined on the regular cubic lattice, there is an additional weight $\tilde{W}_a^b = W^o(i, j, k; i, j, k + 1)$, attached to the links orthogonal to the horizontal and vertical links. We suggest here to extend the star-triangle relations into the following 3D form (Fig. 3):

$$\begin{aligned}
& R(p, q; \rho_p, \rho_q; r) W_{b_1}^{a_1}(p, q, \rho_q) \bar{W}_{b_1}^{c_1}(p, r, \rho_p) W_{a_1}^{c_1}(q, r, \rho_q) \tilde{W}_{a_1}^{a_2}(\rho_q, r) \times \\
& \tilde{W}_{c_1}^{c_2}(\rho_p, r) W_{b_2}^{a_2}(p, q, \rho_q) \bar{W}_{b_2}^{c_2}(p, r, \rho_p) W_{a_2}^{c_2}(q, r, \rho_q) = \\
& \sum_{d_1} \sum_{d_2} \bar{W}_{b_1}^{d_1}(q, r, \rho_q) W_{a_1}^{d_1}(p, r, \rho_p) \bar{W}_{d_1}^{c_1}(p, q, \rho_q) \tilde{W}_{a_1}^{a_2}(\rho_p, r) \times \\
& \tilde{W}_{d_1}^{d_2}(\rho_q, r) \bar{W}_{b_2}^{d_2}(q, r, \rho_q) W_{a_2}^{d_2}(p, r, \rho_p) \bar{W}_{d_2}^{c_2}(p, q, \rho_q), \\
& \sum_{d_1} \sum_{d_2} \bar{W}_{c_1}^{d_1}(p, q, \rho_q) W_{b_1}^{d_1}(p, r, \rho_p) \bar{W}_{d_1}^{a_1}(q, r, \rho_q) \times \\
& \tilde{W}_{d_1}^{d_2}(\rho_q, r) \tilde{W}_{b_1}^{b_2}(\rho_p, r) \bar{W}_{c_2}^{d_2}(p, q, \rho_q) W_{b_2}^{d_2}(p, r, \rho_p) \bar{W}_{d_2}^{a_2}(q, r, \rho_q) = \\
& \bar{R}(p, q; \rho_p, \rho_q; r) W_{b_1}^{c_1}(q, r, \rho_q) \bar{W}_{c_1}^{a_1}(p, r, \rho_p) W_{b_1}^{a_1}(p, q, \rho_q) \tilde{W}_{c_1}^{c_2}(\rho_p, r) \times \\
& \tilde{W}_{b_1}^{b_2}(\rho_q, r) W_{b_2}^{c_2}(q, r, \rho_q) \bar{W}_{c_2}^{a_2}(p, r, \rho_p) W_{b_2}^{a_2}(p, q, \rho_q).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

$$\tag{6.4}$$

These equations are constructed in such way, that their successive applications in the corresponding monodromy matrices' products, must take into account all the link weights

only once. Formally they contain plaquette weights as products of the surrounding three link weights: say as $W_{a_1}^{c_1}(q, r, \rho_q) \tilde{W}_{a_1}^{a_2}(\rho_q, r) W_{a_2}^{c_2}(q, r, \rho_q)$ or $W_{b_1}^{d_1}(p, r, \rho_p) \tilde{W}_{b_1}^{b_2}(\rho_p, r) W_{b_2}^{d_2}(p, r, \rho_p)$. Such generalization of the star-triangle relations one can refer either as combined (relations which combine together the link and the plaquette weights) or preferably “connected” star-triangle relations, because of their form of two connected 2D star-triangle relations. Meanwhile this form of the equations in a sense can be associated also with the semi-check (5.1) variation of the cube equations, in the same way, as 2D star-triangle equations are related to the face-type Yang-Baxter equations. In Fig. 4 we are presenting the fragments of the products of the transfer matrices and the plaquette weights figured in the “connected” star-triangle relations. Note, that one can modify by different variations the “connected” star-triangle relations, changing the dispositions of the links in the construction of the plaquette. The generalisation to the case of higher dimension $[d + 1]$ also can be realised. In contrast to the the vertex case of the equations, when in the generalisation to combined equations at $d > 2$ we add only additional auxiliary states, here we shall add also the quantum states for having “connected” d star-triangle relations.

In the “connected” equations we attached third spectral (“rapidity”) parameter ρ_p to the weight links. This “rapidity” can be directed just along to the links (corresponding to \tilde{W}) being orthogonal to the surface characterized by the “rapidities” $\{p/q, r\}$, which as usual are attached to the dual lattice for 2D [28]. To each link now three spectral parameters $\{p, \rho_p, r\}$ are attached, where the additional new third parameter ρ , in contrast to the situations in two dimensional cases, can be associated with the links parallel to the horizontal ones, connected the vertices e_1, e_2 , ($e = \{a, b, c, d\}$ in Fig. 3), i.e. along the links of the weights \tilde{W} . The parameters p, r are attached to the weights W, \bar{W} in standard way, supposing, that at special values $\rho = \rho_0$, the extended equations can be reduced to the 2D star-triangle relations. As the projections of the suggested 3D equations turn into the 2D star-triangle equations, then it is natural to propose solutions as the generalizations of the known 2D solutions: $W_{3D}^s(p, q, \rho_0) = W_{2D}^s(p, q)$, where the weights W_{2D}^s satisfy the usual 2D star-triangle relations. Thus one can immediately present two rather trivial solutions to

3D “connected” equations as natural extensions of W_{2D}^s , \bar{W}_{2D}^s (being the solutions to the 2D star-triangle relations):

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad & \tilde{W}_{e_1}^{e_2}(p, r, \rho_p) = \text{constant}, \quad W(p, r, \rho_p) = W_{2D}^s(p, r), \quad \bar{W}(p, r, \rho_p) = \bar{W}_{2D}^s(p, r), \quad \rho_p \rho_0, \\ (**) \quad & \tilde{W}_{e_1}^{e_2}(p, r, \rho_p) = \delta_{e_1}^{e_2}, \quad W(p, r, \rho_p) = \sqrt{W_{2D}^s(p, r)}, \quad \bar{W}(p, r, \rho_p) = \sqrt{\bar{W}_{2D}^s(p, r)}, \quad \rho_p = \rho_0. \end{aligned}$$

The presented induced solutions are boundary cases in the following sense: the first one (*) corresponds to the case, when the statistical sum can be factorised into the product of the statistical sums independent one from another defined on the parallel 2D planes; the second solution (**) corresponds to the fully coupled case. The factors $R(p, q; \rho_p, \rho_q; r)$ also can be taken as $R_{2D}^s(p, q, r)$ (solutions to 2D relations). In the work [28] the authors present a solution to the 3D (restricted) star-triangle relations, which are related to the IRC equations [27], referred in the previous section. That solution coincides with the 2D Potts model’s matrix elements ([17, 29]), with appropriate interpretation. They consider two type weights, which are attached to the links (interactions of two-vertexes) and to the triangles (three vertexes). In our case we have proposed another type of generalization of the star-triangle equations, with the weights defined only on the links connecting two vertexes.

7 Summary

The investigation is devoted to the questions on the integrability in the ABA technique appropriate for the statistical models in general high dimensions. At first we constructed the so-called combined integrability equations for general \mathbb{D} -dimensional statistical models (2.6), which also can be considered as restricted or simplified \mathbb{D} -simplex equations, with intertwiner matrices of less dimensions than the quantum R -matrices. Then, we demonstrated a rather general stepwise analysis (3.4), for verifying whether there are commutative transfer matrices with the given fixed form of R -matrices. After definite number of steps, conditioned by the dimensions of the matrices and the symmetry properties, the analysis of the farther commutation relations (3.4) may lead to two possible situation: the absence of the non-trivial

solutions or repeating of the obtained constraints. In the second case one can concretize the solutions for checking the local integrability equations to fix appropriate intertwiners, considering in this stage the inhomogeneous YBE (2.6). The examples are presented: the general non-homogeneous eight vertex model has been revealed easily. For the case $\mathbb{D} = 3$ some simple spectral parameter dependent solutions also are obtained and the corresponding quantum 2D square lattice models are discussed. At the same time it is proved that there is no entirely new spectral parameter dependent solutions constructed by means of the sum of 3D unity operator and the full projection operators. For more general 20-vertex situation there are constant solutions only and the solutions which are factorizing into 2D solutions of YBE. However it is possible to obtain entirely 3D (not factorizing into 2D matrices) solutions with more general structure of the vertex matrices: we constructed some simple examples (without locality property) in the end part of Subsection 3.2. The Subsection 3.3 devotes to the another possible application of the discussed multi-particle matrices. We propose, that the unitary solutions to ZTE (STE) are interesting also in the quantum information theory as candidates for the quantum three-qubit gates. And here the constant unitary solutions, with appropriate braiding properties, have also an important role. The N-qubit gates (or multi-qubit linear cluster states) in the same way can be considered among the solutions to the multi state integrability equations. Particularly, the $2^3 \times 2^3$ three-qubit gate $B_{12}B_{23}$ constructed in [57], which produces three-qubit GHZ states by means of $2^2 \times 2^2$ braiding B -matrices (yielding Bell states), satisfy the set of STE with two-dimensional intertwiner operators being usual permutation operators.

In a sense, the presented stepwise scheme in Subsection 2.2 can be regarded as a test method. For small size lattices with powerful calculating techniques one can entirely solve the problem. In the case having positive results (finding solutions for small n -s) one can then check the existence of intertwiner operators using inhomogeneous multidimensional combined equations. It would be more interesting to realize this concept in such cases, when the direct consideration of the local integrability conditions is not so effective. In the work [61] we have constructed 3D R -matrix for the chiral Potts model on the regular cubic lattice.

And it would be valuable to obtain the integrability's possibility for this case just from the transfer matrices commutativity. And it seems from our preliminary calculations, that for these models there are no other integrability conditions, except of those which are connected to the 2D projections of the problem.

And, the next issues investigated here concern to the 3D analogues of the so-called face-type models, for which the states are attached to the vertexes. We proposed and studied various combined versions of the local compatibility conditions, which include simultaneously 2D two-state and 3D four-state R -matrices. And finally, we developed new analogue of the star-triangle equations for the case of 3D graph-type statistical models. The generalization to $D > 3$ case can be done in the same manner.

Acknowledgments The work was supported by the Science Committee of RA, in the frames of the research projects N 20TTWS-1C035, N 20TTAT-QTa009 and N 21AG-1C024.

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data.

A Appendix

For the well known eight-vertex models [11] with the matrix elements $R_{ij}^{kr}(u)$, $i + j = k + r \pmod 2$, the defining relations for the solutions following from the equations (2.12) start from the low dimensions $n = 2, 3$. At $n = 2$ there are two relations, which appear to be sufficient for commutation of the transfer matrices (with two arbitrary constant parameters d_0, a_0)

$$\frac{R_{00}^{11}(u)R_{01}^{10}(u)}{R_{11}^{00}(u)R_{10}^{01}(u)} = d_0, \quad \frac{([R_{00}^{00}(u)]^2 - [R_{11}^{11}(u)]^2 + [R_{10}^{10}(u)]^2 - [R_{01}^{01}(u)]^2)}{R_{11}^{00}(u)R_{01}^{10}(u)} = a_0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let us now separately discuss the situations with symmetric (*) and non-symmetric matrices (**).

*** Symmetric R -matrices.** The following symmetry relations $R_{00}^{00}(u) = R_{11}^{11}(u)$, $R_{01}^{01}(u) = R_{10}^{10}(u)$ and $R_{01}^{10}(u) = R_{10}^{01}(u)$, $R_{00}^{11}(u) = d_0 R_{11}^{00}(u)$, imply that the equations (A.1) take place automatically. Now $a_0 = 0$, and the equations in (A.1) are identities. One can always take $d_0 = 1$, as all the discussed equations (the commutativity of the transfer matrices, the ordinary

and nonhomogeneous Yang-Baxter equations) are defined up to the transformations: $R_{00}^{11}(u) \rightarrow R_{00}^{11}(u)/\sqrt{d_0}$, $R_{11}^{00}(u) \rightarrow \sqrt{d_0}R_{11}^{00}(u)$.

At the next steps, when $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ there are arisen the following constraints correspondingly

$$\frac{(R_{00}^{00}(u)-R_{01}^{01}(u))^2-R_{01}^{10}(u)^2-d_0R_{11}^{00}(u)^2}{R_{11}^{00}(u)} = \text{const}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{R_{00}^{00}(u)R_{01}^{01}(u)}{R_{11}^{00}(u)} = \text{const}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

**** Non-symmetric matrices.** Here $a_0 \neq 0$ in (A.1) and at the next step $n = 3$ the defining relations are the following ones.

$$\frac{R_{00}^{00}(u)([R_{00}^{00}(u)]^2-[R_{01}^{01}(u)]^2)-R_{10}^{10}(u)([R_{11}^{11}(u)]^2-[R_{10}^{10}(u)]^2)}{(R_{11}^{11}(u)+R_{01}^{01}(u))(R_{01}^{10}(u)R_{10}^{01}(u)+R_{00}^{11}(u)R_{11}^{00}(u))+d_+R_{11}^{00}(u)R_{01}^{10}(u)(R_{00}^{00}(u)+R_{10}^{10}(u))} = 1, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\frac{R_{11}^{11}(u)([R_{11}^{11}(u)]^2-[R_{10}^{10}(u)]^2)-R_{01}^{01}(u)([R_{00}^{00}(u)]^2-[R_{01}^{01}(u)]^2)}{(R_{00}^{00}(u)+R_{10}^{10}(u))(R_{01}^{10}(u)R_{10}^{01}(u)+R_{00}^{11}(u)R_{11}^{00}(u))+d_-R_{00}^{11}(u)R_{10}^{01}(u)(R_{11}^{11}(u)+R_{01}^{01}(u))} = 1.$$

We can see that two relations above can be obtained one from other by the transformations of the matrix elements - $R_{ij}^{kr} \rightarrow R_{i\bar{j}}^{k\bar{r}}$, with $\bar{i} = (i + 1) \bmod 2$. The constants d_{\pm} also are connected one with other, as one can define ([46])

$$d_+ = \frac{3R_{00}^{00'}(0)-R_{11}^{11'}(0)-R_{01}^{01'}(0)-R_{10}^{10'}(0)-R_{01}^{10'}(0)-R_{10}^{01'}(0)}{R_{11}^{00'}(0)}, \quad d_- = \frac{3R_{11}^{11'}(0)-R_{00}^{00'}(0)-R_{01}^{01'}(0)-R_{10}^{10'}(0)-R_{01}^{10'}(0)-R_{10}^{01'}(0)}{R_{00}^{11'}(0)}.$$

For the cases of next n -s ($n \geq 4$) the commutativity of the transfer matrices τ_n also are ensured by obtained relations (A.1, A.2, A.3), so they entirely define the R -matrices for integrable models. The obtained relations intend that for the general non-homogeneous case there are four independent functions (one of them always can be taken as unity) and three arbitrary constants by means of which all the matrix elements can be expressed, as it was stated already by direct solving the YBE (see the works [46, 51] and the citations therein). As it is discussed therein, the following YBE (2.6) $\bar{R}(u, v)R(u)R(v) = R(v)R(u)\bar{R}(u, v)$, define uniquely the intertwiner matrix $\bar{R}(u, v)$, and there are no additional constraints on $\bar{R}(u, v)$ and $R(u)$, and it appears that $R(u) = \bar{R}(u, 0)$. The familiar elliptic, trigonometric and rational parameterizations can be obtained letting $\bar{R}(u, v) = \bar{R}(u - v)$.

References

- [1] C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967) 1312;
- [2] A. Onsager, Phys.Rev. **65** (1944) 117-49.

- [3] R. J. Baxter, *Solvable eight-vertex model on an arbitrary planar lattice*, Proc. Roy. Soc. **289** **A** (1978) 2526-47; J. Stat. Phys. **28** (1982) 1.
- [4] L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Takhtajan, Usp. Mat. Nauk **34** (1979) 13- 194; L. D. Faddeev, E K. Sklyanin and L. A. Takhtajan, Theor. Math. Phys. **40** (1979) 194;
- [5] A B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Ann. Phys. 120 (1979) 253; A. B. Zamolodchikov, Sov. Sci. Rev. **A2** (1980) 1.
- [6] P. P. Kulish, N. .Yu. Reshetikhin and E. K. Sklyanin, Lett.Math. Phys. **5** (1981) 393.
- [7] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Commun. Math. Phys. **79** (1981) 489-505; A. B. Zamolodchikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **79** (1980) 641; A. B. Zamolodchikov, JETP **52** (1981) 325.
- [8] R.J. Baxter, Commun. Math. Phys. 88 (1983) 185-205.
- [9] V. V. Bazhanov, Yu. G. Stroganov, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **52** (1982) 105-113 [English trans.: Theor. Math. Phys. 52 (1982) 685-691]; Nucl. Phys. **B 230** [FS10], No.4, 435 (1984).
- [10] S. V. Pokrovsky and Yu. A. Bashilov, Commun. Math. Phys. **84** (1982) 103-132.
- [11] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solvable models in Statistical Mechanics*, Academic Press, London (1982).
- [12] M. T. Jaekel, J. M. Maillard, J. Phys. **A 15** (1982) 1309.
- [13] A. A. Belavin, V. G. Drinfeld, Funk. Anal. Pril. **16** (1983) 1.
- [14] V. G. Drinfeld, Proc. ICM Berkeley **798** (1986), Zap. Nauch. Sem. LOMI **155** 18; Sov. Math. Doklady **36** 212-16.
- [15] A. B. Zamolodchikov, LOMI, preprint **E-9-87**, Leningrad (1987).
- [16] H. Au-Yang, B. McCoy, J. H. Perk, Phys. Lett. **A 123** (1987) 219,
- [17] R. Baxter, J. H. Perk and H. Au-Yang, Phys. Lett. **A 128** (1988) 138-142.
- [18] R. Baxter, H. Au-Yang, B. McCoy, J. Perk, Yu. Stroganov, Commun. Math. Phys. **138** (1988) 393-408.

- [19] E. Date, M. Jimbo, A. Kuniba, T. Miva, M. Okado, Nucl. Phys. **B 290** [FS20] (1987) 231-73; Adv. Studies. Pure. Math. **16** 17-122.
- [20] P. Ginsparg, *Some statistical mechanical models and conformal field theories*, HUTP-89/A027, Lectures given at Trieste spring school, Apr. 3-11 (1989).
- [21] M. Jimbo, Commun. Math. Phys. **102** (1986) 537; World Sci. (1990) pp. 111-134.
- [22] M. Jimbo, ed. (1988) *Yang-Baxter equation in integrable systems*, World Scientific (Singapore).
- [23] C. Gomez, M. Ruiz-Altaba G. Sierra, *Quantum groups in two-dimensional physics*, Cambridge University Press, (1990).
- [24] V. Pasquier, H. Saleur, Nucl. Phys. **B 330** (1990) 523.
- [25] J. Cardy, *Scaling and Renormalization in Statistical Physics*, Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [26] A. P. Isaev, Preprint MPIM (Bonn), MPI 2004-132 (2004).
- [27] V. V. Bazhanov and R. J. Baxter, J. Statist. Phys. **69** (1992) 453–585.
- [28] V. V. Bazhanov, R. J. Baxter, J. Statist. Phys. **71** (1993) 839-864; hep-th/9212050.
- [29] V. Bazhanov, Yu. Stroganov, J. Stat. Phys. **59**, (1990) 799–817.
- [30] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, A. G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge University Press, (1993).
- [31] I. G. Korepanov, Comm. Math. Phys. **154** (1993) 85.
- [32] J. Hietarinta, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27** (1994) 5727 – 5748.
- [33] I. Frenkel, G. Moore, Commun. Math. Phys. **138** (1991) 259;
- [34] R. M. Kashaev, V. V. Mangazeev, Yu. G. Stroganov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 8** (1993) 587-601.
- [35] V. V. Mangazeev, Yu. G. Stroganov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 8** (1993) 3475-3482;
- [36] V. V. Mangazeev, S. M. Sergeev, Yu. G. Stroganov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 9** (1994) 5517.

- [37] H. E. Boos, V. V. Mangazeev, S. M. Sergeev, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10** (1995) 4041-4064, ArXiv:hep-th/9407146.
- [38] Z. N. Hu, B. Y. Hou, *J. Stat. Phys.* **79** (1995) 759;
- [39] Z. N. Hu, ArXiv:hep-th/9408138.
- [40] A. Kitaev, *Annals of Physics* **303**, (2003) 2-30; *Annals of Physics* **321(1)** (2006) 2 – 111.
- [41] V. V. Bazhanov, S. M. Sergeev, *J. Phys. A* **39** (2006) 3295-3310; arXiv:hep-th/0509181.
- [42] P. Jordan, E. P. Wigner, *Z. Phys.* **47** (1928) 631-651.
- [43] J. Ambjorn, Sh. Khachatryan, A. Sedrakyan, *Nucl. Phys. B* **808** [FS] (2005) 525-545, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.09.001; ArXiv:cond-mat/0508148.v1.
- [44] Sh. Khachatryan, A. Sedrakyan, *Phys. Lett. A* **37** (2002) 7397-7406;
- [45] J. Ambjorn, Sh. Khachatryan, A. Sedrakyan, *J. Phys. A* **37** (2004) 7397-7406;
- [46] Sh. Khachatryan, A. Sedrakyan, *J. Stat. Phys.* **150**, 130 (2013);
- [47] Sh. Khachatryan, A. Ferraz, A. Klümper, A. Sedrakyan, *Nucl. Phys. B* **899**, 444 (2015).
- [48] D. Karakhanyan, Sh. Khachatryan, *J. Phys. A: Math.Theor.* **42** (2009) 375205, 28 pp., doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/37/375205, ArXiv: math-ph/0602020v2.
- [49] D. Karakhanyan, Sh. Khachatryan, *Nucl. Phys. B* **850** [FS] (2011) 522-552, ArXiv:1012.278.
- [50] D. Karakhanyan, Sh. Khachatryan, *Nucl. Phys. B* **868**, (2013) 328-349.
- [51] Sh. Khachatryan, *Nucl. Phys. B* **883**, (2014) 629-655.
- [52] Sh. Khachatryan, *Nucl. Phys. B* **936** (2018) 215-238.
- [53] P. N. Bibikov, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2018) 043108.
- [54] K. Ueda, Y. Yoshida, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2020**, 157 (2020).
- [55] L. H. Kauffman, and S. J. Lomonaco Jr., *New J. Phys.* **6**, 134 (2004).

- [56] Y. Zhang, L. H. Kauffman, and M. L. Ge, *Int.J.Quantum Inf.* **3**, 669 (2005).
- [57] J. L. Chen, K. Xue, and M. L. Ge, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 042324 (2007).
- [58] R. Raussendorf and H. J. Briegel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 5188 (2001).
- [59] R. Prevedel, P. Walther, F. Tiefenbacher, P. Böhi, R. Kaltenbaek, T. Jennewein, and A. Zeilinger, *Nature (London)* **445**, 65 (2007).
- [60] M. Enríquez, F. Delgado, and K. Zyczkowski, *Entropy* **20**, 745 (2018).
- [61] Sh. Khachatryan, A. Sedrakyan, *Annals of Physics* **936** (2022) 215-238.




Figure 4: “Connected” (or combined) star-triangle relations: *the corresponding transfer matrices and the plaquette weights; (i) product of two transfer matrices - darker stripes shifted by a link belong to two vertically disposed fragments of 2d transfer matrices, (ii) checkerboard arrangement of plaquette weights in a two-dimensional transfer matrix, (iii) the weight plaquettes on a fragment of the product of two transfer matrices - at the junctions between the plaquettes, the edges of horizontal or vertical intertwiner weights can be located.*