

Terrain-like Graphs and the Median Genocchi Numbers

Vincent Froese¹ and Malte Renken^{*1}

¹Algorithmics and Computational Complexity, Faculty IV, TU Berlin, Berlin, Germany,
 {vincent.froese, m.renken}@tu-berlin.de

October 31, 2022

Abstract

A graph with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is *terrain-like* if, for any edge pair $\{a, c\}, \{b, d\}$ with $a < b < c < d$, the edge $\{a, d\}$ also exists. Terrain-like graphs frequently appear in geometry in the context of visibility graphs. We show that terrain-like graphs are counted by the median Genocchi numbers. To this end, we prove a bijection between terrain-like graphs and Dumont derangements of the second kind.

1 Introduction

The Genocchi numbers appear in various areas with several different combinatorial interpretations [5, 11, 4, 12] The *Genocchi numbers of the first kind* [13] are

$$G_1 = 1, G_2 = 1, G_3 = 3, G_4 = 17, G_5 = 155, \dots$$

and the *Genocchi numbers of the second kind* (also called *median Genocchi numbers*) [14] are

$$H_1 = 1, H_2 = 2, H_3 = 8, H_4 = 56, H_5 = 608, \dots$$

Both kinds of Genocchi numbers are closely tied to the following set of permutations. (Here \mathcal{S}_{2n} denotes the symmetric group on $[2n] = \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$).

Definition 1.1. A permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_{2n}$ is a Dumont permutation of the second kind if $\pi(2i - 1) \geq 2i - 1$ and $\pi(2i) < 2i$ for all $i \in [n]$.

The set of all Dumont permutations of the second kind is denoted by \mathcal{D}_{2n}^2 .

It is known that $|\mathcal{D}_{2n}^2| = G_{n+1}$ [5]. For the subset $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2 \subset \mathcal{D}_{2n}^2$ of all *derangements*, that is, permutations $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_{2n}^2$ with $\pi(2i - 1) > 2i - 1$ for all $i \in [n - 1]$, it holds $|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2| = H_n$ [6]. Figure 1 shows all permutations in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$ for $n = 3$.

Let now \mathcal{G}_n denote the set of all undirected simple graphs with vertex set $[n]$.

*Supported by the DFG project NI 369/17-1.




Figure 1: The $H_3 = 8$ permutations in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_6^2$ with the corresponding graphs from \mathcal{T}_3 .

Definition 1.2. A graph $G = ([n], E) \in \mathcal{G}_n$ is terrain-like if it satisfies the X-property, that is, if

$$\{\{a, c\}, \{b, d\}\} \subseteq E \implies \{a, d\} \in E$$

holds for all $a < b < c < d$.

The set of all terrain-like graphs in \mathcal{G}_n is denoted \mathcal{T}_n .

Terrain-like graphs [2, 8] often appear in geometry in the context of visibility graphs and contain the class of *persistent graphs* which one-to-one correspond to triangulations of the three-dimensional cyclic polytope [10] and are a superset of the so-called *terrain-visibility graphs* [1, 9, 7].

We show that $|\mathcal{T}_n| = H_n$ by proving the following.

Theorem 1.3. For every $n \geq 1$, there is a bijection between \mathcal{T}_n and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$.

Figure 1 explicitly gives that bijection for $n = 3$. (Note that \mathcal{T}_3 contains simply all 3-vertex graphs.)

It is not hard to see that $(2i, 2i + 1)\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$ for every $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$ and $i \in [n - 1]$, which implies that H_n is divisible by 2^{n-1} (the numbers $h_n = H_n/2^{n-1}$ are called *normalized median Genocchi numbers* [15, 11, 4]). This can also easily be derived from \mathcal{T}_n by observing that in any graph $G \in \mathcal{T}_n$ insertion or deletion of the edge $\{i, i + 1\}$ for $i \in [n - 1]$ preserves the X-property. We remark that in our bijection the *normalized Genocchi permutations* in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$ as defined by Han and Zeng [11] correspond to the terrain-like graphs which contain all the edges $\{i, i + 1\}$, $i \in [n - 1]$.

2 Two maps between \mathcal{T}_n and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$

We start with a map Π that maps graphs to Dumont derangements of the second kind (notably, this will work for arbitrary graphs). The underlying idea is to start with a specific Dumont permutation and then apply a sequence of transpositions, with each transposition corresponding to an edge of the graph. To this end, we define a partial order on vertex pairs (“from inner to outer”).

Definition 2.1. For $a < b \in [n]$ and $c < d \in [n]$, we define

$$\{a, b\} \preceq \{c, d\} \iff c \leq a < b \leq d.$$




Figure 2: A terrain-like graph G . The corresponding Dumont derangement of the second kind is $\Pi(G) = 4, 1, 11, 3, 9, 2, 8, 7, 10, 5, 12, 6$. Observe that the isolated vertex corresponds to the pair $(7, 8)$ with $\Pi(G)(7) = \pi_0(7) = 8$ and $\Pi(G)(8) = \pi_0(8) = 7$.

We call any ordering \leq of a subset $E \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2}$ *valid* if $e \preceq e' \implies e \leq e'$ for all $e, e' \in E$. For a pair $\{a, b\} \in \binom{[n]}{2}$ with $a < b$, let $\tau(\{a, b\})$ denote the transposition $(2a, 2b - 1)$. We now define the map Π as follows.

Definition 2.2. Let $G = ([n], \{e_1 > \dots > e_m\})$ be a graph with a valid edge ordering. We define $\Pi: \mathcal{G}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{2n}$ by

$$\Pi(G) = \tau(e_m)\tau(e_{m-1}) \dots \tau(e_1)\pi_0,$$

where $\pi_0 := (1, 2)(3, 4) \dots (2n - 1, 2n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$.

Figure 2 depicts an example.

Lemma 2.3. Π is well-defined.

Proof. We have to show that $\Pi(G)$ does not depend on the chosen edge ordering. To this end, let $e_i = \{a, b\}$ and $e_j = \{c, d\}$ with $a < b$ and $c < d$ be incomparable with respect to \preceq . Then, $a \neq c$ and $b \neq d$. Therefore, $2a, 2b - 1, 2c$, and $2d - 1$ are pairwise distinct, and thus, $\tau(e_i) = (2a, 2b - 1)$ and $\tau(e_j) = (2c, 2d - 1)$ commute. Repeated application of this argument proves the claim. \square

Lemma 2.4. For every graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$, it holds $\Pi(G) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$.

Proof. Let $G = ([n], E = \{e_1 > \dots > e_m\})$ be a graph with a valid edge ordering and let $\pi := \Pi(G)$. We will show that $\pi(i) < i$ holds for each even $i \in [2n]$ (the argument for odd i is analogous).

Let $i = 2v$, $v \in [n]$, and consider the sequence of transpositions $\tau(e_1), \dots, \tau(e_m)$. Note that $\pi_0(i) = i - 1$. Hence, if the number $i - 1$ is never swapped, then $\pi(i) = \pi_0(i) < i$. Otherwise, let $\tau(e_j)$ be the first swap involving $i - 1$. Since $i - 1$ is odd, by definition of f , we have $\tau(e_j) = (2u, i - 1)$ for some $u < v$. Now, if $2u$ is never swapped again after the j -th swap, then clearly $\pi(i) = 2u < i$. Hence, let $\tau(e_{j'})$, $j' > j$, be the next swap involving $2u$. Since $2u$ is even and $e_j > e_{j'}$, we have $\tau(e_{j'}) = (2u, 2w - 1)$ for some $u < w < v$. Since the number of swaps is finite, the above arguments can now be repeated to prove the claim. \square

We now continue with the inverse map Γ which maps permutations to graphs. We first define in which case a pair of an even and an odd number corresponds to an edge.

Definition 2.5. Let $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$ and let $2 \leq i < j \leq 2n - 1$, where i is even and j is odd. Then, i and j are in edge configuration if the following is true:

$$\pi^{-1}(i) < \pi^{-1}(j) \iff \pi^{-1}(i) \equiv \pi^{-1}(j) \pmod{2}.$$

Otherwise, i and j are in non-edge configuration.

Observe that i and j are in edge configuration in π if and only if they are in non-edge configuration in $(i, j)\pi$ and vice versa.

Definition 2.6. We define a map $\Gamma: \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n$ as follows: Given $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$, and starting with an edgeless graph, we iterate over all pairs $\{u, v\} \in \binom{[n]}{2}$, $u < v$, ascendingly with respect to some valid order \leq . If $2u$ and $2v - 1$ are in edge configuration in π , then we insert the edge $\{u, v\}$ and continue with $(2u, 2v - 1)\pi$ instead of π .

Lemma 2.7. Γ is well-defined.

Proof. We need to show that $\Gamma(\pi)$ does not depend on the chosen order \leq . Let $\{a, b\}$ and $\{c, d\}$ be incomparable with respect to \leq . Then, $2a$, $2b - 1$, $2c$, and $2d - 1$ are pairwise distinct. Thus, the two corresponding transpositions $(2a, 2b - 1)$ and $(2c, 2d - 1)$ commute and do not influence whether the respective other pair is in edge configuration. Therefore, $\Gamma(\pi)$ is not affected by changing the relative order of $\{a, b\}$ and $\{c, d\}$. \square

In order to prove that Γ always yields a terrain-like graph, we need the following helpful lemma.

Lemma 2.8. Let π' be the permutation obtained after iterating over $\{u, v\}$ in the definition of Γ . Then, it holds that $\pi' \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$ and $2x$ and $2y - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in π' for all $x < y$ with $\{x, y\} \leq \{u, v\}$.

Proof. Proof by induction. The claim trivially holds before the first iteration.

Let $i := 2u$, $j := 2v - 1$, $p_i := \pi^{-1}(i)$, and $p_j := \pi^{-1}(j)$. Assume that i and j are in edge configuration in π (otherwise we are done) and let $\pi' := (i, j)\pi$. We do a case distinction:

Case 1: If $p_i < p_j$ and both are even (the case when both are odd is symmetric), then $i < p_i$ (that is, $p_i \geq i + 2$) and $j < p_j$.

We first show that $\pi' \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$. Assume for contradiction that $p_i < j$, then we have $i < p_i - 1 < j$. Since $\{u, p_i/2\} \prec \{u, v\}$, it follows by assumption that i and $p_i - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in π . Thus, $\pi^{-1}(p_i - 1)$ is odd if and only if it is larger than p_i , which is a contradiction to $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$. Therefore $j < p_i$, so we have $i < j < p_i < p_j$ and $\pi' \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$.

It remains to check that after swapping i and j , all previously processed pairs remain in non-edge configuration. Clearly, we only need to check pairs involving i or j since other pairs are not affected by this swap. By assumption, each odd j' with $i < j' < j$ was in non-edge configuration with i in π , and thus $\pi^{-1}(j') < p_i$ since otherwise we obtain a contradiction. Similarly, for each even i' with $i < i' < j$, we obtain that $\pi^{-1}(i') < p_i$ or $\pi^{-1}(i') > p_j$. Hence, all considered pairs are still in non-edge configuration in π' .

Case 2: If $p_i > p_j$, then let p_j be odd and p_i be even (the reverse case is symmetric), that is, $j > p_j$ and $i < p_i$. To show that $\pi' \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$, first assume for contradiction

that $i < p_j$, that is, we have $i < p_j + 1 < j$ and $\{(p_j + 1)/2, v\} \prec \{u, v\}$. Hence, $p_j + 1$ and j are in non-edge configuration in π by assumption. Thus, $\pi^{-1}(p_j + 1)$ is odd if and only if it is larger than p_j , which contradicts $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$. Therefore, $i > p_j$ holds. Next, assume for contradiction that $j > p_i$. Similarly, since $\{u, p_i/2\} \prec \{u, v\}$, it follows that i and $p_i - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in π , which yields a contradiction. Hence, we obtain that $p_j < i < j < p_i$ and thus $\pi' \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$.

To check that all previously considered pairs are still in non-edge configuration in π' , let j' be odd with $i < j' < j$ and note that $\pi^{-1}(j') > p_i$ is not possible since this would imply that $\pi^{-1}(j')$ is odd, yielding a contradiction. Thus, $\pi^{-1}(j')$ is even and $p_j < \pi^{-1}(j') < p_i$. Analogously, for each even i' with $i < i' < j$, we obtain that $\pi^{-1}(i')$ must be odd and $p_j < \pi^{-1}(i') < p_i$. Hence, all considered pairs are in non-edge configuration in π' . \square

Lemma 2.9. *For every permutation $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$, it holds $\Gamma(\pi) \in \mathcal{T}_n$.*

Proof. Let E be the set of edges of $\Gamma(\pi)$. We need to show that $\Gamma(\pi)$ satisfies the X-property, that is, if $\{a, b\}, \{c, d\} \in E$ with $a < c < b < d$, then also $\{a, d\} \in E$. Let $\pi_{a,d}$ denote the permutation considered by Γ in the iteration processing $\{a, d\}$ (Definition 2.6). Then, we need to show that, $2a$ and $2d - 1$ are in edge configuration in $\pi_{a,d}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b = \max\{i \mid i < d, \{a, i\} \in E\}$ and $c = \min\{i \mid i > a, \{i, d\} \in E\}$. Then, $\{a, b\} \prec \{a, d\}$ and $\{c, d\} \prec \{a, d\}$ and both of these are *covering relations* (that is, there is no $e \in E$ with $\{a, b\} \prec e \prec \{a, d\}$ or $\{c, d\} \prec e \prec \{a, d\}$). Moreover, we may choose the valid order used in the definition of Γ such that $\{a, b\} < \{c, d\} < \{a, d\}$ with no other elements which are incomparable to $\{a, d\}$ with respect to \prec in between. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{a,d}^{-1}(2a) &= \pi_{c,d}^{-1}(2a) = \pi_{a,b}^{-1}(2b - 1) \text{ and} \\ \pi_{a,d}^{-1}(2d - 1) &= \pi_{c,d}^{-1}(2c) = \pi_{a,b}^{-1}(2c).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{c, b\} \prec \{a, b\}$, we know by Lemma 2.8 that $(2c, 2b - 1)$ is in non-edge configuration in $\pi_{a,b}$. Thus, $(2a, 2d - 1)$ is in edge configuration in $\pi_{a,d}$. \square

For a bijection, it remains to show that Π and Γ are inverse of each other.

3 Proof that Π and Γ are mutually inverse

In the following, for vertices $1 \leq v < w \leq n$, we say that v is *left of* w (and w *right of* v).

3.1 $\Gamma \circ \Pi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}_n}$

We start with the following simple observation.

Observation 3.1. *Let $G = ([n], E) \in \mathcal{T}_n$ be a terrain-like graph and let $\{a, b\} \in E$ with $a < b$ be a \preceq -minimal edge. Then $G' := G - \{\{a, b\}\}$ is terrain-like and $\Pi(G) = (2a, 2b - 1)\Pi(G')$.*

Proof. Clearly, deleting the edge $\{a, b\}$ cannot violate the X-property since $\{a, b\}$ is \preceq -minimal. The second claim follows from choosing a valid edge ordering \leq where $\{a, b\}$ is minimal. \square

The following lemma will be crucial for showing that Γ yields the correct set of edges.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $G = ([n], E) \in \mathcal{T}_n$ be a terrain-like graph and $1 \leq x < y \leq n$. If there is no edge $\{u, v\} \in E$ with $\{u, v\} \preceq \{x, y\}$, then $(2x, 2y - 1)$ is in non-edge configuration in $\pi := \Pi(G)$.*

Proof. We use induction over $|E|$. Note that the claim holds when $E = \emptyset$, as all pairs are in non-edge configuration in $\pi_0 = \Pi([n], \emptyset)$. Furthermore, if $\{u, v\} \in E$ is any \preceq -minimal edge with $u < v$ and $\{2u, 2v - 1\} \cap \{2x, 2y - 1\} = \emptyset$, then, by Observation 3.1, we may delete the edge $\{u, v\}$ without changing $\pi^{-1}(2x)$ or $\pi^{-1}(2y - 1)$. Therefore, we assume that G does not contain any such edges.

Let e now be a \preceq -minimal edge. By the above assumption and due to symmetry, we may assume that $e = \{x, z\}$ with $x < y < z$. Let $G' := G - \{e\}$ and $\pi' := \Pi(G')$, thus $\pi = (2x, 2z - 1)\pi'$ by Observation 3.1.

We first consider the case that y has no left neighbors. Then $\pi^{-1}(2y - 1) = \pi_0^{-1}(2y - 1) = 2y$. If also z has no neighbors left of x , then $\pi^{-1}(2x) = \pi'^{-1}(2z - 1) = \pi_0^{-1}(2z - 1) = 2z$. Thus, $2x$ and $2y - 1$ are in non-edge configuration. In the case where z does have some neighbor $x' < x$ (chosen rightmost), we note that $\{x', z\}$ is \preceq -minimal in G' by our assumption above. Thus, by induction on $G'' := G' - \{\{x', z\}\} = G - \{\{x, z\}, \{x', z\}\}$, we obtain that $2x'$ and $2y - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in $\Pi(G'')$. Since $\pi^{-1}(2x) = \pi'^{-1}(2z - 1) = \Pi(G'')^{-1}(2x')$, this yields that $2x$ and $2y - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in π .

It remains to consider the case that y has a left neighbor $w < x$ (chosen rightmost). Note that, by our assumption, the edge $\{w, y\}$ is \preceq -minimal. Due to the X-property, we have $\{w, z\} \in E$. Let x' be the rightmost neighbor of z left of x and y' the leftmost neighbor of w right of y . Observe that $w \leq x'$ and $y' \leq z$.

If $w < x'$, then $\{x', z\}$ is \preceq -minimal in G' by our assumption above and by the choice of w . Thus, by induction on $G'' := G - \{\{x, z\}, \{x', z\}\}$, we obtain that $2x'$ and $2y - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in $\Pi(G'')$. Since $\pi^{-1}(2x) = \pi'^{-1}(2z - 1) = \Pi(G'')^{-1}(2x')$ and $\pi^{-1}(2y - 1) = \Pi(G'')^{-1}(2y - 1)$, this yields that $2x$ and $2y - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in π .

If $y' < z$, then the situation is symmetric to the case $w < x'$ above and the claim follows by induction on $G - \{\{w, y\}, \{w, y'\}\}$.

Otherwise, if $w = x'$ and $y' = z$, then $\{w, y\}$ is \preceq -minimal in G' and $\{w, z\}$ is \preceq -minimal in $G'' := G - \{\{x, z\}, \{w, y\}\}$ by our assumption and choice of w . Thus, by induction on $G''' := G - \{\{x, z\}, \{w, y\}, \{w, z\}\}$, we obtain that $2w$ and $2z - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in $\Pi(G''')$. Since $\pi^{-1}(2x) = \pi'^{-1}(2z - 1) = \Pi(G''')^{-1}(2w)$ and $\pi^{-1}(2y - 1) = \Pi(G''')^{-1}(2w) = \Pi(G''')^{-1}(2z - 1)$, it follows that $2x$ and $2y - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in π . \square

For example, one easily verifies that (4, 5) and (8, 11) are in non-edge configuration in Figure 2.

Before finally proving that Γ is the inverse of Π , we also need the following.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $G = ([n], E) \in \mathcal{T}_n$ be a terrain-like graph and $\{x, y\} \in E$ a \preceq -minimal edge with $x < y$. Then $(2x, 2y - 1)$ is in edge configuration in $\pi := \Pi(G)$.*

Proof. Let $G' := G - \{\{x, y\}\}$. By Observation 3.1, $(2x, 2y - 1)\pi = \Pi(G')$. By applying Lemma 3.2 to G' , we see that $(2x, 2y - 1)$ is in non-edge configuration in $(2x, 2y - 1)\pi$ and thus in edge configuration in π . \square

Lemma 3.4. *For every $G \in \mathcal{T}_n$, it holds $\Gamma(\Pi(G)) = G$.*

Proof. Let $G = ([n], E) \in \mathcal{T}_n$ be arbitrary and $\pi := \Pi(G)$. We use induction on $|E|$. If $E = \emptyset$, then the claim holds because all pairs $(2x, 2y - 1)$ with $1 \leq x < y \leq n$ are in non-edge configuration in $\pi_0 = \pi$.

Now, let $e = \{x, y\} \in E$ with $x < y$ be the minimal edge with respect to some valid ordering \leq of $\binom{[n]}{2}$. Set $G' := G - \{e\}$ and observe that $\Pi(G') = (2x, 2y - 1)\pi$ by Observation 3.1. Since all pairs $(2u, 2v - 1)$ with $\{u, v\} < \{x, y\}$ are in non-edge configuration in π by Lemma 3.2, and $(2x, 2y - 1)$ is in edge configuration in π by Lemma 3.3, it holds that

$$\Gamma(\pi) = \Gamma((2x, 2y - 1)\pi) + \{e\} = \Gamma(\Pi(G')) + \{e\} = G' + \{e\} = G,$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 2.8 and the third equality holds by induction. \square

3.2 $\Pi \circ \Gamma = \text{id}_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2}$

We start with showing that only π_0 corresponds to the edgeless graph.

Lemma 3.5. *The permutation $\pi_0 = (1, 2)(3, 4) \dots (2n - 1)(2n)$ is the unique element of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$ in which all pairs $i < j$ with i even and j odd are in non-edge configuration.*

Proof. Since $\pi_0^{-1}(i) = i - 1$ and $\pi_0^{-1}(j) = j + 1$, we have $\pi_0^{-1}(i) < \pi_0^{-1}(j)$ and $\pi_0^{-1}(i) \not\equiv \pi_0^{-1}(j) \pmod{2}$, that is, i and j are in non-edge configuration.

It remains to show that no other permutation in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$ has this property. To this end, let $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$ be any permutation with that property. Clearly, $\pi(2n - 1) = 2n$ holds for every permutation in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$. We claim that $\pi(2n) = 2n - 1$.

Suppose for contradiction that $\pi^{-1}(2n - 1) < 2n - 1$. Then $p := \pi^{-1}(2n - 1)$ must be odd as $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$. Consider the set $I := \{i \mid 2 \leq i < 2n - 1, i \text{ even}\}$. Since i and $2n - 1$ are in non-edge configuration in π for all $i \in I$, each $j \in \pi^{-1}(I) := \{\pi^{-1}(i) \mid i \in I\}$ must either be odd or satisfy $j < p$ but not both. Thus, we have

$$\pi^{-1}(I) \subseteq \{j \mid 2 \leq j < p, j \text{ even}\} \cup \{j \mid p < j \leq 2n - 3, j \text{ odd}\}.$$

But the left-hand side has cardinality $|I| = n - 1$, while the right-hand side has cardinality

$$\frac{p - 1}{2} + \frac{2n - 3 - p}{2} = n - 2,$$

which yields a contradiction.

This proves that $\pi(2n) = 2n - 1$. Hence, the restriction of π to $[2n - 2]$ is a permutation in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n-2}^2$ to which we can repeatedly apply the same argument again, ultimately concluding that $\pi = \pi_0$. \square

Lemma 3.6. *For every $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2n}^2$, it holds $\Pi(\Gamma(\pi)) = \pi$.*

Proof. Define $G := ([n], E) := \Gamma(\pi)$. We use induction on $|E|$. If $E = \emptyset$, then all pairs $(2x, 2y - 1)$ with $1 \leq x < y \leq n$ must be in non-edge configuration in π . Thus, $\pi = \pi_0 = \Pi(G)$ by Lemma 3.5.

Let now $e = \{x, y\} \in E$ be the minimal edge with respect to some valid ordering \leq of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ and let $x < y$. By choice of e and by definition of Γ , the pair $(2x, 2y - 1)$ is in edge configuration in π and any pair $(2u, 2v - 1)$ with $\{u, v\} < \{x, y\}$ is in non-edge configuration. Define $G' := G - \{e\}$ and $\pi' := (2x, 2y - 1)\pi$. Thus, $G' = \Gamma(\pi')$ by definition of Γ and by Lemma 2.8. By induction, we have $\Pi(G') = \pi'$, which yields $\Pi(G) = (2x, 2y - 1)\Pi(G') = (2x, 2y - 1)\pi' = \pi$. \square

4 Conclusion

We close with some natural follow-up questions that are left open.

- Which Dumont permutations correspond to the subset of persistent graphs (or even terrain-visibility graphs)? Is there a simple characterization?
- Which graphs do we obtain if we reverse the order of vertex pairs in the definition of Γ (Definition 2.6) from outer to inner? Is there a relation to *non-jumping* graphs [3, 2]?
- Is there an easy bijection between terrain-like graphs and *alternation acyclic tournaments* (which are also counted by the median Genocchi numbers [12])?

References

- [1] Safwa Ameer, Matt Gibson-Lopez, Erik Krohn, Sean Soderman, and Qing Wang. “Terrain Visibility Graphs: Persistence Is Not Enough”. In: *Proceedings of the 36th Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG)*. 2020, 6:1–6:13. DOI: 10.4230/LIPICS.SOCG.2020.6.
- [2] Stav Ashur, Omrit Filtser, Matthew J. Katz, and Rachel Saban. “Terrain-like graphs: PTASs for guarding weakly-visible polygons and terrains”. In: *Computational Geometry* 101 (2022), p. 101832. DOI: 10.1016/J.COMGEO.2021.101832.
- [3] Stav Ashur, Omrit Filtser, and Rachel Sababn. “Terrain-like and non-jumping graphs”. In: *Proceedings of the 35th European Workshop on Computational Geometry (EuroCG)*. 2019. URL: <http://www.eurocg2019.uu.nl/papers/51.pdf>.
- [4] Ange Bigeni. “Combinatorial Study of Dellac Configurations and q -extended Normalized Median Genocchi Numbers”. In: *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 21.2 (2014), P2.32. DOI: 10.37236/4068.
- [5] Dominique Dumont. “Interprétations combinatoires des nombres de Genocchi”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 41.2 (1974), pp. 305–318. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-74-04134-9.
- [6] Dominique Dumont and Arthur Randrianarivony. “Dérangements et nombres de Genocchi”. In: *Discrete Mathematics* 132.1 (1994), pp. 37–49. DOI: 10.1016/0012-365X(94)90230-5.

- [7] William S. Evans and Noushin Saeedi. “On characterizing terrain visibility graphs”. In: *Journal of Computational Geometry* 6.1 (2015), pp. 108–141. DOI: 10.20382/jocg.v6i1a5.
- [8] Vincent Froese and Malte Renken. “A Fast Shortest Path Algorithm on Terrain-like Graphs”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 66.2 (2021), pp. 737–750. DOI: 10.1007/s00454-020-00226-8.
- [9] Vincent Froese and Malte Renken. *Advancing Through Terrains*. 2019. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.1904.08746.
- [10] Vincent Froese and Malte Renken. “Persistent Graphs and Cyclic Polytope Triangulations”. In: *Combinatorica* 41.3 (2021), pp. 407–423. DOI: 10.1007/s00493-020-4369-5. arXiv: 1911.05012.
- [11] Guo-Niu Han and Jiang Zeng. “On a q -sequence that generalizes the median Genocchi numbers”. In: *Annales mathématiques du Québec* 23 (1999), pp. 63–72.
- [12] Gábor Hetyei. “Alternation acyclic tournaments”. In: *European Journal of Combinatorics* 81 (2019), pp. 1–21. DOI: 10.1016/J.EJC.2019.04.007.
- [13] *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. Sequence A110501. 2022. URL: <https://oeis.org/A110501>.
- [14] *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. Sequence A005439. 2022. URL: <https://oeis.org/A005439>.
- [15] *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. Sequence A000366. 2022. URL: <https://oeis.org/A000366>.