

On the multipole mixing ratio of the 1066 keV transition from the 0.52 μ s isomer of ^{180}Hf

A. Chalil^{1a,*}, T. J. Mertzimekis^a, A. Zyriliou^a, P. Vasileiou^a, N. Florea^b, C. Mihai^b, R. Mihai^b, C. Nita^b,
C. Sotty^b, A. Mitu^b, L. Stan^b, A. Turturica^b, R. Mărginean^b, N. Mărginean^b

^aNational and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Department of Physics, GR-15784, Athens, Greece

^bNational Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Magurele, Romania

Abstract

The nucleus ^{180}Hf is one of the most primary of examples of an axially symmetric prolate rotor. Combined with the presence of high- K isomers, spectroscopic studies can provide important information on the nature of its single-particle levels. Precise measurements are essential for constraining nuclear models and interpreting the nature of such isomeric states. In this work, the nucleus ^{180}Hf was populated using the proton pick-up reaction $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{11}\text{B},^{12}\text{C})^{180}\text{Hf}$ at beam energy of 47 MeV at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Nuclear Physics and Engineering (IFIN-HH). The spin of the 1374 keV state and the mixing ratio of the 1066 keV transition have been measured, the latter with an increased precision compared to the previous value from literature. The presently measured spin of the 1374 keV state, currently assigned a tentative value of (4_1^-) , favors one of the two different values reported in the literature. The particular state constitutes the band-head of a rotational band in ^{180}Hf . The measured multipolarity mixing ratio of the inter-band transition $1374 \rightarrow 309$ keV can provide important information for the testing and constraining of theoretical nuclear models used for the study of the intrinsic properties of ^{180}Hf as well as its neighboring isotopes.

Keywords:

γ -directional correlations, γ spectroscopy, mixing ratio

1. Introduction

The nucleus ^{180}Hf is located in a region where both protons and neutrons typically occupy high- Ω orbitals located near the Fermi surface [1]. This results in the presence of high- K isomers [2, 3], which along with the associated rotational bands can provide important information about the underlying single-particle orbitals. The hafnium isotopes are some of the best examples of rigid and axially symmetric prolate rotors, making the projection K a good quantum number in their description. The low-lying $K^\pi = 8^-$ isomer has been firstly studied in [5] using activation experiments at Argonne National Laboratory. In [6], pulsed ^{238}U and ^{208}Pb beams have been used to populate high- K isomers in Hf isotopes, reporting (10^+) , 12^+ , 14^+ and (18^-) K -isomers. In [1], the number of K -isomers is extended, reporting the 4^- , 6^+ K -isomers, using centroid-shift and decay measurements. A recent experimental campaign at IFIN-HH [7] resulted in measurements of the lifetimes of the ground-state band up to the 6_1^+ , employing the fast-timing technique.

Still however, there is a significant amount of certain states and transitions with uncertain fundamental structure properties, either in terms of their spins, parities and mixing ratios or their lifetimes and transition probabilities. Concerning spin assignments and multipolarity mixing ratios, of which the present work is focused, existing measurements on mixing ratios for the nucleus ^{180}Hf feature quite large uncertainties, with

¹Present Address: Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IP2I Lyon, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France

*Corresponding author

Email address: a.chalil@ip2i.in2p3.fr (A. Chalil)




Figure 1: Partial level scheme of ^{180}Hf [4], showing the ground-state band and the $K^\pi = (4^-)$ bands. Red arrows indicate the measured two- γ cascade in the present work. The mixing ratio of the transition $1374 \rightarrow 309$ keV is studied in this work with the angular correlation method. Energy units are in keV.

very few exceptions, as seen in [4], while in a lot of cases this mixing remains unknown. For the case of the 1374 keV state (Fig. 1), which is a K -isomer with a lifetime of $0.57 \mu\text{s}$ [1, 8], is currently assigned a tentative spin value of (4^-) [4]. Two measurements have been reported [8, 9] with different values for its spin. Data obtained from the GAMMASPHERE array [10] assume a definite spin-parity of 4^- for the particular state, although there is no DCO (Directional Correlations from Oriented states [11]) ratio in the table given in [12], probably because of the unreliability of extracting DCO values from transitions which depopulate states with very long half-lives. The multipolarity mixing ratio of the depopulating inter-band transition $1374 \rightarrow 309$ keV measured in [8] features a quite large relative uncertainty of 250%. There is thus sufficient motivation for new measurement, in an attempt to establish the spin value of the 1374 keV state and provide a more precise measurement of its depopulating transition to the 4_1^+ state of the ground-state band. It has to be stated also that the 1374 keV state is a band-head of the currently assigned $K^\pi = (4^-)$ rotational band, thus its structure properties are affecting all levels that belong to this particular band.

The study of the de-exciting γ -rays of excited nuclei can offer valuable information about the structure of the depopulated states. Using the angular correlation method, the multipolarity mixing ratio, δ , can be determined experimentally and thus establish the degree of mixing between the participating multipoles of the transition [13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

The mixing ratio is defined as the ratio of the transition strength of the two lowest multipoles L, L' allowed for γ -decay [18]:

$$\delta = \frac{\langle ||L'|| \rangle}{\langle ||L|| \rangle} = \frac{\langle ||L+1|| \rangle}{\langle ||L|| \rangle}. \quad (1)$$

Precise mixing ratio values are highly important, as they can determine the degree of partitioning of the multipolarity of γ radiation [18]. This level of partitioning is an important input for the calculation of





Figure 2: Projection of the $\gamma\gamma$ matrix gated at the 215 keV g.s. band transition. The relative angle between the detector pairs is 40 deg. The ground-state band transitions up to the level 8_1^+ are shown, as well as the studied inter-band transition $(4_1^-) \rightarrow 4_1^+$. Contaminant peaks coming from fusion-evaporation reactions (^{188}Pt) and Coulomb excitation on the target (^{181}Ta) are not overlapping with the photopeaks of interest.

reduced transition probabilities of γ transitions [19]. In the present work, the proton-pickup reaction



has been employed to populate several states from the ground-state and side bands. A more precise measurement of the mixing ratio of the transition $1374 \rightarrow 309$ keV, or in terms of their adopted spins values $(4_1^-) \rightarrow 4_1^+$, is presented in this work and compared with previous spectroscopic studies [8, 9]. The lifetime of the depopulated initial state is long enough ($\gg 1$ ns) to allow for the loss of the initial spin alignment coming from the reaction [20]. Thus, the state can be safely considered randomly oriented and the usual formalism of γ -directional correlations can be applied [11, 13, 14, 21, 22, 23], as long as the intermediate state's lifetime is short enough, in order to measure the mixing ratio of the depopulating transition.

2. Experimental details

The experiment was performed at the 9MV Tandem accelerator of the Horia Hulubei National Institute of Nuclear Physics and Engineering (IFIN-HH). The nucleus ^{180}Hf was populated using the proton pick-up reaction $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{C})^{180}\text{Hf}$ at a beam energy of 47 MeV. A $5 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ metallic Ta target was used in this work, with a natural abundance of 99.99% in ^{181}Ta [4]. The γ rays emitted during the decay of the reaction products were measured by the ROSPHERE array [24], consisting of 25 HPGe detectors for the reported measurement. A total of 7×10^8 events, with the trigger set to record an event when at least two HPGe detectors fire were collected during the three-day run time of the experiment. The two-fold events were chosen for further analysis, as they have exhibited reduced background from fusion-evaporation reactions. These events were then sorted into three-dimensional cubes with the relative angle as the index number, i.e. $\gamma - \gamma - \theta_{rel}$ cubes. All possible pairs of HPGe detectors with a specific relative angle are then grouped together in 5 relative angles below 90° : 21° , 40° , 60° , 72° and 81° . In Fig. 2, a projection of a $\gamma\gamma$ matrix is shown, after gating on the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ ($E_\gamma = 215$ keV) transition, where the detector pairs' relative angle is 40 deg. The transitions between the low-lying states are shown clearly, and contaminations from photopeaks coming isotopes produced from fusion-evaporation reaction (e.g. ^{188}Pt) do not overlap with the transitions of interest.




Figure 3: Typical behavior of the geometrical attenuation coefficients for two (2) out of twenty five (25) HPGe detectors of ROSPHERE as a function of γ energy. The target-to-detector distance is shown on the top of the figures.

The detector efficiencies have been measured for all 25 HPGe detectors of the current setup of ROSPHERE. The efficiency correction has been determined using two ^{152}Eu sources before and after the experiment and was implemented after the sorting of the data, using the formula [25]:

$$\epsilon(E_1^\gamma, E_2^\gamma, \theta_{rel}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} [\epsilon_i(E_1^\gamma) \epsilon_j(E_2^\gamma) + \epsilon_i(E_2^\gamma) \epsilon_j(E_1^\gamma)], \quad (2)$$

where ϵ_i, ϵ_j are the efficiencies of the detectors with relative angle θ_{rel} and E_1^γ, E_2^γ are the energies of the γ transitions involved in the cascade. The $1/2$ factor is used to prevent the double counting between the same pairs of efficiencies.

The finite size of the detectors can have an important effect on angular correlation measurements [26, 27, 28]. The effect of the detectors' dimensions should be incorporated in the angular correlation function in order to determine spins and mixing ratios with significant accuracy. A Python [29] program has been developed based on Krane's formalism [30] in order to incorporate appropriate corrections for the ROSPHERE detectors [24] featuring coaxial geometry. In Fig. 3, the energy dependence of 2 (out of 25) detectors of the ROSPHERE is shown as a representative example. These corrections are implemented in the theoretical angular correlation functions, which are then compared to the experimental data. When analysing angular correlation measurements for double cascades, the products of the geometrical attenuation coefficients corresponding to the two detectors that register the first and the second γ ray must be used, that is $Q_{22} = Q_2(\gamma_1) \times Q_2(\gamma_2)$ and $Q_{44} = Q_4(\gamma_1) \times Q_4(\gamma_2)$. The subsequent analysis procedure is described thoroughly in the next section.

3. Analysis method

The angular correlation of two γ rays involved in a cascade:

$$J_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_0, \delta_0} J_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1, \delta_1} J_2 \quad (3)$$

is analysed by performing a least-squares minimization on the experimental data points. If the spins J_1, J_2 and the mixing ratio δ_1 are known, then the values of J_0 and δ_0 can be determined from the best-fitting theoretical calculation to the experimental data. As discussed in detail in [31], the correct procedure for the analysis of the angular correlations requires the following function to be formed:

$$S^2 = \sum_i \left[\frac{W_i(\theta_{rel}^i) - W_{th}(\delta, \theta_{rel}^i)}{\sigma_{W_i}} \right]^2, \quad (4)$$

where $W_i(\theta_{rel}^i)$ are the experimental data points for each relative angle θ_{rel} , σ_{W_i} are the uncertainties of the data and W_{th} are the values of the theoretical angular correlation function at the same relative angles and corrected for the finite size of the detectors:

$$W_{th} = A_0 [1 + Q_{22}a_2^{th}P_2(\cos\theta_{rel}) + Q_{44}a_4^{th}P_4(\cos\theta_{rel})]. \quad (5)$$

Here, A_0 is a normalization factor which is determined by the experimental data, after a least-squares fit with the same angular correlation function. The factors Q_{22} and Q_{44} are the solid-angle correction factors and they account for the correction due to the finite size of the detectors. The coefficients a_2^{th} , a_4^{th} are the theoretically calculated coefficients which correspond to the values of the spins of the de-excited levels and the mixing ratios of the corresponding transitions. They are given by the following relations, for $\lambda = 2, 4$ [14]:

$$a_\lambda^{th} = B_\lambda(L, L', J_2, J_3, \delta_0)A_\lambda(L, L', J_2, J_1, \delta_1), \quad (6)$$

where $B_\lambda(L, L', J_2, J_3, \delta_0)$ is the orientation parameter of the first transition, $A_\lambda(L, L', J_2, J_1, \delta_1)$ is the angular distribution coefficient referring to the second transition. Both are functions of the mixing ratios δ_0, δ_1 of the two lowest multiplicities L, L' of each γ transition. The explicit expressions for the orientation parameters and angular correlation coefficients can be found in [14, 32], and are given also below for completeness:

$$\begin{aligned} B_\lambda(L, L', J_1, J_0, \delta_0) &= \frac{1}{1 + \delta_0^2} [F_\lambda(L, L, J_1, J_0) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{L+L'} 2\delta_0 F_\lambda(L, L', J_1, J_0) \\ &\quad + \delta_0^2 F_\lambda(L', L', J_1, J_0)] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_\lambda(L, L', J_1, J_2, \delta_1) &= \frac{1}{1 + \delta_1^2} [F_\lambda(L, L, J_1, J_2) \\ &\quad + 2\delta_1 F_\lambda(L, L', J_1, J_2) \\ &\quad + \delta_1^2 F_\lambda(L', L', J_1, J_2)], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where the F_λ coefficients can be theoretically calculated from explicit expressions found in [14, 33, 23] and tabulated in [34]. If the second transition is a pure transition of one multipolarity L then $\delta_1 = 0$ and Eq. 8 is simplified:

$$A_\lambda(L, J_1, J_2) = F_\lambda(L, J_1, J_2). \quad (9)$$

The function of Eq. 4 is then calculated for every possible value of the mixing ratio δ_0 of the two lowest multiplicities L, L' . The mixing ratio δ_0 for the transition γ_0 can then be obtained by varying the S^2 function over the mixing ratio δ_0 for all possible initial spin values J_0 . For each value of the initial spin J_0 , a curve S^2 vs. $\tan^{-1}(\delta)$ is constructed. The minimum value of the S^2 function with respect to all possible spin values of the initial spin J_0 and the mixing ratio δ_0 of the first transition will then determine their most probable values. The procedure of assigning the standard error on the mixing ratio is discussed in [31, 35] and is given by the relation:

$$S_{lim}^2 = S_{min}^2 + 1, \quad (10)$$

which is used to determine the values of the mixing ratio located at the intersections between the S^2 curve and S_{lim}^2 .

It is important to note that the sign of δ , is a matter of convention, as discussed in [19]. Choosing a convention, however, is a non-trivial problem because the sign of the theoretical δ depends on the sign conventions employed for defining the electromagnetic operators and the reduced matrix elements. On the other hand, the sign of the experimental δ depends on the sign conventions employed for defining the axis of alignment with respect to which the γ -ray angular distribution is measured, and geometrical factors, such as Clebsch–Gordan and Racah coefficients that enter the expression employed for the expansion of the angular distribution probability in terms of various polynomials. The convention used for the measurement in this work is that of Krane and Steffen in [33].




Figure 4: The S^2 function with respect to the arctangent of the mixing ratio δ is shown in (a). In (b), the experimental angular correlation function and the best-fit result, using Eq. 5, is shown for the cascade $1374 \rightarrow 309 \rightarrow 93$ keV. The transition energies of the two γ rays are shown at the top of the figures.

4. Results and Discussion

The 1374 keV level, which is the bandhead of the $K^\pi = (4^-)$ two-quasiparticle band (configuration: $9/2[624]-1/2[510]$) [4] was assigned a tentative spin of (4^-) [4], which was the value measured in [8]. This result is inconsistent with the value of 3^- assigned in [9]. The 1374 keV level decays to the 308.6 keV 4_1^+ of the rotational ground-state band. The mixing ratio of the transition $1374 \rightarrow 309$ keV has been measured in this work and is compared with the previous value of $\delta(M2/E1) = -0.12(30)$ reported in [8].

The results of the angular correlation analysis for the cascade $1374 \rightarrow 309 \rightarrow 93$ keV of ^{180}Hf is shown in Fig. 4. The two most probable values for the spin of the initial level are $J_0 = 3, 4$ and are tested for their goodness of fit, after correcting for the efficiency of the detectors and for the finite size of the detectors' dimensions. The geometrical attenuation factors are included in the theoretical calculations when the function S^2 is formed in Eq. 4. The reason for the inclusion of these factors in the theoretical values $W_{th}(\theta)$ is that these corrections cannot be assigned specifically to an experimental point. Since the data are directly compared with theoretical angular distributions, it is more practical to include these factors when calculating the theoretical values for each relative angle θ . The correct implementation of the geometrical attenuation coefficients is important for the final result, as their values can be relatively large depending on the distances and the power of the fold of the coincident data.

The absolute minimum of the S^2 vs. $\tan^{-1} \delta$ curve, as shown in Fig. 4, indicates that the most probable spin value is 4, in agreement with the value measured in [8]. The most probable value for the mixing ratio of quadrupole to dipole has been found in this work equal to $\delta(M2/E1) = -0.06_{-0.15}^{+0.10}$. This value corresponds to the following values for the theoretical angular correlation coefficients: $a_2^{th} = 0.213$ and $a_4^{th} = 0.001$.

The mixing ratio measured in the present work is in agreement with the mixing ratio measured in [8], found equal to $-0.12(30)$, while significantly improving on the relative uncertainty of the previous measurement. The present measurement cannot fully exclude the possible spin value of 3^- [9], as it is below the 95% confidence interval, which is equal to $S_{0.95}^2 = 7.8$ for the present case. However, this value seems much less probable with the present data, as the respective minimum of the $3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2$ curve is much higher than the minimum of $4 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2$ curve, as illustrated in in Fig 4. The 1374 keV level can be assigned a spin and parity of 4^- , as clearly favored from the present data. In terms of the type of radiation, the low value of the mixing ratio favors that the two lowest multiplicities are of $E1 + M2$ character. The negative sign of the mixing ratio also agrees with the previous measurements [8, 9]. As observed in past measurements, negative signs are common for transitions of $E1 + M2$ character [36]. The compatibility of the mixing ratio with zero though, can support also a pure $E1$ transition.

Overall, the present work provides a new measurement for the mixing ratio of the 1374 \rightarrow 309 keV transition, whose improved uncertainty compared to previous works can be used for constraining theoretical models, especially for the case of isomeric states such as the 1374 keV state, which is depopulated by the measured transition. The establishment of a spin-parity value of 4^- constitutes a firm conclusion after the present results, which should resolve any previous ambiguities in the next ENSDF evaluation for ^{180}Hf .

Acknowledgments

AC and AZ acknowledge support by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI) and the General Secretariat for Research and Technology (GSRT) under the HFRI PhD Fellowship grant (GA. No. 74117/2017 and 101742/2019, respectively). Partial support from ENSAR2 (EU/H2020 project number: 654002) is acknowledged. The authors are thankful to the staff of the 9MV Tandem Laboratory at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Nuclear Physics and Engineering for both their scientific and technical support during the experiment.

References

- [1] S. K. Tandel, P. Chowdhury, F. G. Kondev, R. V. F. Janssens, T. L. Khoo, M. P. Carpenter, T. Lauritsen, C. J. Lister, D. Seweryniak, S. Zhu, A. Deacon, S. J. Freeman, N. J. Hammond, G. D. Jones, E. F. Moore, J. F. Smith, Configurations and decay hindrances of high- k states in ^{180}Hf , *Phys. Rev. C* 94 (2016) 064304. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.94.064304.
- [2] G. D. Dracoulis, P. M. Walker, F. G. Kondev, *Review of metastable states in heavy nuclei*, Reports on Progress in Physics 79 (7) (2016) 076301. doi:10.1088/0034-4885/79/7/076301. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/2F0034-4885/2F79%2F7%2F076301>
- [3] P. M. Walker, F. R. Xu, High K-isomerism in rotational nuclei, *Physica Scripta* 91 (013010) (2015). doi:10.1088/0031-8949/91/1/013010.
- [4] The Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF), <http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/> (accessed 2022). [link] URL <http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/>
- [5] S. B. Burson, K. W. Blair, H. B. Keller, S. Wexler, The radiations from Hafnium, *Phys. Rev.* 83 (1951) 62–68. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.83.62.
- [6] R. D'Alarcao, P. Chowdhury, E. H. Seabury, P. M. Walker, C. Wheldon, I. Ahmad, M. P. Carpenter, G. Hackman, R. V. F. Janssens, T. L. Khoo, C. J. Lister, D. Nisius, P. Reiter, D. Seweryniak, I. Wiedenhoever, High- K isomers in neutron-rich hafnium nuclei at and beyond the stability line, *Phys. Rev. C* 59 (1999) R1227–R1231. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.59.R1227.
- [7] J. Wiederhold, V. Werner, R. Kern, N. Pietralla, D. Bucurescu, R. Carroll, N. Cooper, T. Daniel, D. Filipescu, N. Florea, R.-B. Gerst, D. Ghita, L. Gurgi, J. Jolie, R. S. Ilieva, R. Lica, N. Marginean, R. Marginean, C. Mihai, I. O. Mitu, F. Naqvi, C. Nita, M. Rudigier, S. Stegemann, S. Pascu, P. H. Regan, Evolution of $e2$ strength in the rare-earth isotopes $^{174,176,178,180}\text{Hf}$, *Phys. Rev. C* 99 (2019) 024316. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.99.024316.
- [8] E. Grigorev, V. Bodarenko, K. Nam, Angular distributions of γ rays from the $^{180}\text{Hf}(n,n'\gamma)$ reaction and new bands of ^{180}Hf , *Sov. J. Nuc. Phys.* 54 (1991) 914.
- [9] S. Boneva, E. Vasileva, V. Kulik, L. Kkhem, Y. Popov, A. Sukhovi, V. Khitrov, Y. Kholnov, Two-quantum cascades and decay scheme of the compound state of ^{180}Hf excited in the reaction $^{179}\text{Hf}(n, 2\gamma)$, *Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR, Ser.Fiz.* 54 (1991) 1787.
- [10] M. Simon, D. Cline, C. Wu, R. Gray, R. Teng, C. Long, *Chico, a heavy ion detector for gammasphere*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 452 (1) (2000) 205–222. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(00)00429-0. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900200004290>
- [11] K. Krane, R. Steffen, R. Wheeler, Directional correlations of gamma radiations emitted from nuclear states oriented by nuclear reactions or cryogenic methods, *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables* 11 (5) (1973) 351–406. doi:10.1016/S0092-640X(73)80016-6.
- [12] E. Ngijoi-Yogo, S. K. Tandel, G. Mukherjee, I. Shestakova, P. Chowdhury, C. Y. Wu, D. Cline, A. B. Hayes, R. Teng, R. M. Clark, P. Fallon, A. O. Macchiavelli, K. Vetter, F. G. Kondev, S. Langdown, P. M. Walker, C. Wheldon, D. M. Cullen, Collective rotation and vibration in neutron-rich $^{180,182}\text{Hf}$ nuclei, *Phys. Rev. C* 75 (2007) 034305. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.75.034305.
- [13] H. J. Rose, D. M. Brink, Angular distributions of gamma rays in terms of phase-defined reduced matrix elements, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 39 (1967) 306–347. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.39.306.
- [14] R. Steffen, K. Adler, W. Hamilton (Ed.), *The electromagnetic interaction in nuclear spectroscopy*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [15] J. Smith, A. MacLean, W. Ashfield, A. Chester, A. Garnsworthy, C. Svensson, Gamma–gamma angular correlation analysis techniques with the griffin spectrometer, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 922 (2019) 47–63. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2018.10.097.

- [16] C. Bernards, W. Urban, M. Jentschel, B. Märkisch, J. Jolie, C. Fransen, U. Köster, T. Materna, G. S. Simpson, T. Thomas, $\gamma\gamma$ angular-correlation analysis of ^{200}Hg after cold-neutron capture, Phys. Rev. C 84 (2011) 047304. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.84.047304. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.047304>
- [17] C. Goodin, N. J. Stone, A. V. Ramayya, A. V. Daniel, J. R. Stone, J. H. Hamilton, K. Li, J. K. Hwang, Y. X. Luo, J. O. Rasmussen, A. Gargano, A. Covello, G. M. Ter-Akopian, g factors, spin-parity assignments, and multipole mixing ratios of excited states in $n = 82$ isotones ^{134}Te , ^{135}I , Phys. Rev. C 78 (2008) 044331. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.78.044331. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.044331>
- [18] K. S. Krane, E2,m1 multipole mixing ratios in even-even nuclei, $a \geq 152$, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 16 (4) (1975) 383–408. doi:10.1016/0092-640X(75)90018-2.
- [19] J. Lange, K. Kumar, J. H. Hamilton, E0-E2-M1 multipole admixtures of transitions in even-even nuclei, Rev. Mod. Phys. 54 (1982) 119–194. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.54.119.
- [20] E. Matthias, S. S. Rosenblum, D. A. Shirley, Influence of a randomly oriented magnetic field on angular correlations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14 (1965) 46–48. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.14.46. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.14.46>
- [21] L. C. Biedenharn, M. E. Rose, Theory of angular correlation of nuclear radiations, Rev. Mod. Phys. 25 (1953) 729–777. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.25.729.
- [22] A. E. Stuchbery, M. P. Robinson, Perturbed $\gamma\text{-}\gamma$ correlations from oriented nuclei and static moment measurements i: formalism and principles, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 485 (3) (2002) 753–767. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)02114-3. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900201021143>
- [23] A. Chalil, T. Materna, O. Litaize, A. Chebboubi, F. Gunsing, Monte carlo simulations of γ -directional correlations and their application on fifeelin cascades, The European Physical Journal A 58 (2) (2022) 30. doi:10.1140/epja/s10050-022-00683-0.
- [24] D. Bucurescu, I. Căta-Danil, G. Ciocan, C. Costache, D. Deleanu, R. Dima, D. Filipescu, N. Florea, D. Ghiță, T. Glodariu, M. Ivașcu, R. Liță, N. Mărginean, R. Mărginean, C. Mihai, A. Negret, C. Niță, A. Olăcel, S. Pascu, T. Sava, L. Stroe, A. Șerban, R. Șuvăilă, S. Toma, N. Zamfir, G. Căta-Danil, I. Gheorghe, I. Mitu, G. Suliman, C. Ur, T. Braunroth, A. Dewald, C. Fransen, A. Bruce, Z. Podolyák, P. Regan, O. Roberts, The ROSPHERE γ -ray spectroscopy array, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 837 (2016) 1 – 10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.08.052.
- [25] D. Patel, A. G. Smith, G. S. Simpson, R. M. Wall, J. F. Smith, O. J. Onakanmi, I. Ahmad, J. P. Greene, M. P. Carpenter, T. Lauritsen, C. J. Lister, R. F. Janssens, F. G. Kondev, D. Seweryniak, B. J. P. Gall, O. Dorveaux, B. Roux, A new technique for measuring g factors of excited states in fission fragments using large arrays of g detectors, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics 28 (4) (2002) 649–663. doi:10.1088/0954-3899/28/4/306.
- [26] K. Krane, Solid-angle correction factors for five-sided coaxial $\text{ge}(\text{li})$ detectors, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 109 (1973) 401–402.
- [27] K. Krane, Solid-angle correction factors for coaxial $\text{ge}(\text{li})$ detectors, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 98 (2) (1972) 205–210. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(72)90099-7.
- [28] J. Barrette, G. Lamoureux, S. Monaro, Geometrical correction factors for angular correlation measurements with $\text{ge}(\text{li})$ detectors, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 93 (1) (1971) 1–11. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(71)90131-5.
- [29] Python software foundation. python language reference, version 3.6, <https://www.python.org/>, accessed: 2020.
- [30] K. Krane, Solid-angle correction factors for coaxial $\text{ge}(\text{li})$ detectors, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 98 (2) (1972) 205 – 210. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(72)90099-7.
- [31] S. Robinson, How reliable are spins and δ -values derived from directional correlation experiments?, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 292 (2) (1990) 386 – 400. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(90)90395-M.
- [32] A. E. Stuchbery, γ -ray angular distributions and correlations after projectile-fragmentation reactions, Nuclear Physics A 723 (1) (2003) 69–92. doi:10.1016/S0375-9474(03)01157-6.
- [33] K. Krane, R. Steffen, R. Wheeler, Directional correlations of gamma radiations emitted from nuclear states oriented by nuclear reactions or cryogenic methods, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 11 (5) (1973) 351 – 406. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-640X(73)80016-6.
- [34] M. Ferentz, N. Rosenzweig, Anl-5324, Available from Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Springfield, Va. 22151 (1955).
- [35] D. Cline, P. Lesser, Error estimation in non-linear least squares analysis of data, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 82 (1970) 291 – 293. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(70)90366-6.
- [36] I. Uluer, C. A. Kalfas, W. D. Hamilton, R. A. Fox, D. D. Warner, M. Finger, D. K. Chung, Multipole mixing ratios of transitions in ^{156}Gd , Journal of Physics G: Nuclear Physics 1 (4) (1975) 476–486. doi:10.1088/0305-4616/1/4/013. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4616/1/4/013>