Measurement of the transverse asymmetry of γ -rays in the $^{117}\mathrm{Sn}(\mathbf{n},\gamma)^{118}\mathrm{Sn}$ reaction

S. Endo,^{1,2,*} T. Okudaira,^{2,1} R. Abe,² H. Fujioka,³ K. Hirota,^{2,†} A. Kimura,¹ M. Kitaguchi,² T. Oku,^{1,4} K. Sakai,¹ T. Shima,⁵ H. M. Shimizu,² S. Takada,^{6,1,‡} S. Takahashi,⁴ T. Yamamoto,² H. Yoshikawa,⁵ and T. Yoshioka⁶

¹ Japan Atomic Energy Agency, 2-4 Shirakata, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

² Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8062, Japan

³ Tokyo Institute of Techonology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8511, Japan

⁴ Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki 310-8512, Japan

⁵ Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan

⁶ Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

(Dated: October 31, 2022)

Largely enhanced parity-violating effects observed in compound resonances induced by epithermal neutrons are currently attributed to the mixing of parity-unfavored partial amplitudes in the entrance channel of the compound states. Furthermore, it is proposed that the same mechanism that enhances the parity-violation also enhances the breaking of time-reversal-invariance in the compound nucleus. The entrance-channel mixing induces energy-dependent spin-angular correlations of individual γ -rays emitted from the compound nuclear state. For a detailed study of the mixing model, a γ -ray yield in the reaction of $^{117}\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{n},\gamma)^{118}\mathrm{Sn}$ was measured using the pulsed beam of polarized epithermal neutrons and Ge detectors. An angular dependence of asymmetric γ -ray yields for the orientation of the neutron polarization was observed.

I. INTRODUCTION

In several p-wave resonances of neutron-induced compound nuclear states with the targets of medium-heavy nuclei such as ¹³⁹La, ¹¹⁷Sn, ¹³¹Xe, and others, an extremely large parity-violation is observed, compared with the small parity-violation due to the weak interaction in nucleon-nucleon scattering [1]. The enhancement is currently explained as the result of the mixing between s- and p-wave amplitudes in the entrance channel of the compound nuclear states called the s-p mixing model [2]. It is suggested that the breaking of the time-reversal-invariance (T-violation) is also enhanced in the compound nucleus, same as the enhancement of parity-violation [3]. A detailed study of the enhancement mechanism is necessary to quantify the applicability in the order-of-magnitude enhancement of T-violation in nucleon-nucleon interactions beyond the standard model of elementary particles.

The enhanced T-violating effects are expected to be accessible in the neutron spin behavior during transmission through a spin-polarized nuclear target. The nuclei listed above are candidates for the nuclear targets in T-violation search experiments because they show an extremely large enhancement of parity-violation in the neutron energy range around eV, expecting the T-violation enhancement is comparable with the parity-violating enhancement. Among them, $^{117}\mathrm{Sn}$ is a good candidate because of spin of 1/2, which is advantageous for the nuclear polarization required for the T-violation search.

The differential cross-section of (n,γ) reactions can be written in the form of an expression by Legendre polynomials:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{n\gamma}}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{k}_n \cdot \mathbf{k}_\gamma + a_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \cdot (\mathbf{k}_n \times \mathbf{k}_\gamma) + a_3 \left(\mathbf{k}_n \cdot \mathbf{k}_\gamma - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right\}, \tag{1}$$

where \mathbf{k}_{n} , \mathbf{k}_{γ} , and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are unit vectors parallel to the incident neutron momentum, the emitted γ -rays momentum, and the incident neutron spin, respectively. Higher-order expansion terms are ignored. The measurements of the coefficients of each correlation term, a_i , lead to determining the enhancement of the T-violation and to studying the s-p mixing model.

The correlation terms of (n,γ) reactions have been measured for several nuclei. The neutron energy-dependent angular distribution of γ -rays, which relates to a_1 term, was measured at the 0.74-eV resonance of 139 La by using an intense pulsed neutron beam and a germanium (Ge) detector assembly at beamline 04 of the Materials and Life Science Experimental Facility (MLF) in the J-PARC [4, 5]. Furthermore, the energy-dependent γ -ray asymmetry of the 0.74-eV resonance with respect to the transverse polarization of incident neutrons, transverse asymmetry, has been measured by installing a neutron polarization device using polarized 3 He, known as 3 He spin filter, on the beamline 04 [6]. This transverse asymmetry is expressed by a_2 term.

In the case of 117 Sn, the angular distribution, a_1 term, of the 1.3-eV resonance has been measured using the same experimental and analysis method of 139 La [7]. Skoy et al. measured the transverse asymmetry of the 1.3-eV resonance for only one γ -ray emitted angle with a NaI detector in the IBR-30 reactor and a neutron polarization device using a polarized proton target, which tends to generate neutron backgrounds scattered with

 $^{^{*}}$ endo.shunsuke@jaea.go.jp

[†] Present address:High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan.

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Present address: Tohoku University, 41 Kawauchi, A
oba-ku, Sendai, 980-8576 Japan.

proton nuclei [8]. They defined and analyzed the asymmetry, which including the s-wave component and backgrounds for each direction of the neutron polarization. The asymmetry is, therefore, dependent on the backgrounds. Since they used a NaI detector with poor energy resolution, they could not identify the photo-peak derived from background γ -rays, including the backgroundorigin. The asymmetry is underestimated due to background γ -rays emitted from other nuclei.

In this paper, a more precise measurement of the transverse asymmetry of the 1.3-eV resonance in the $^{117}\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{n},\gamma)^{118}\mathrm{Sn}$ reaction at the J-PARC is reported. The neutron source at the J-PARC has better energy resolution than that of a reactor like IBR-30, making them suitable for precision measurements. The transverse asymmetry without backgrounds was defined, and its angular dependence was measured using Ge detectors with high energy resolution and the $^3\mathrm{He}$ spin filter with low neutron backgrounds. In particular, precise evaluation of a_2 term can be performed by measuring the angular dependence of the transverse asymmetry.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. Experimental setup

The experiment was carried out at beamline 04 of the MLF in the J-PARC. The transverse asymmetry for the 1.3-eV resonance of ¹¹⁷Sn was measured with the same setup as the past measurement for the 0.74-eV resonance of ¹³⁹La [6]. In the MLF, the pulsed proton beams accelerated by the 3-GeV Rapid-Cycling Synchrotron of the J-PARC produce the pulsed neutrons by a spallation reaction in a mercury target. The proton beams with a double-bunch structure and an average of 615 kW power were incident upon to the spallation target with a specific repetition ratio of 25 Hz. Therefore, the neutron energy can be determined from the neutron time-of-flight, TOF.

The Ge γ -ray detector assembly was installed at 21.5m flight length. There were two types of Ge detectors, cluster and coaxial types. Seven coaxial detectors were arranged to surround the sample on the same plane as the neutron beam. The cluster detectors, bundled with seven Ge detectors, were located at the up-side and down-side of the sample. More details of the beamline are given in Ref. [9, 10]. Figure 1 depicts the detector number definitions. The angles, θ_{γ} and φ , were defined as shown in Fig. 2, and the angles of each cluster type detector are listed in Table. I. The angles of all coaxial type detectors were $\varphi=0$.

The differential cross-section in Eq. (1) can be written in the laboratory system as shown below:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{n\gamma}(\theta_{\gamma},\phi)}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ a_0 + a_1 \cos \theta_{\gamma} - a_2 P_n \sin \theta_{\gamma} \sin \varphi \right. (2)$$

$$+ a_3 \left(\cos^2 \theta_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right\}.$$




FIG. 1. Ge detector arrangement and detector numbers. A hexagon and rectangle indicate Ge crystals, and the number in the hexagon or rectangle is the detector number.




FIG. 2. Definition of the angles and axes. \vec{k}_{γ} and $\vec{k}_{\rm n}$ represent the directions of the emitted γ -ray and incident neutron. The angle θ_{γ} is defined as the angle between the γ -ray and neutron directions in the perpendicular plane to the direction of neutron polarization $(0 < \theta_{\gamma} < \pi)$. φ is the angle between the x-axis and γ -ray direction $(0 < \varphi < 2\pi)$.

Thus, the effect of the a_2 term vanishes in coaxial type detectors due to $\varphi=0$. V1724 (14 bits, 100 MHz) modules and the CoMPASS software supported by Costruzionl Apparecchiature Elettroniche Nucleari SpA (CAEN) were used to acquire the difference between proton incident timing and the γ -ray detection timing, t^m , corresponding to TOF, and the pulse height corresponding to the deposited γ -ray energy, E_{γ} , in a list-mode.

TABLE I. Angles of each detector

up-	side detect	tor	down-side detector			
detector	$\theta_{\gamma} \text{ (deg)}$	φ (deg)	detector	θ_{γ} (deg)	φ (deg)	
1	70.9	101.8	8	70.9	258.2	
2	90	113.7	9	90	246.3	
3	109.1	101.8	10	109.1	258.2	
4	109.1	78.2	11	109.1	281.8	
5	90	66.3	12	90	293.7	
6	70.9	78.2	13	70.9	281.8	
7	90	90	14	90	270	

The ³He neutron spin filter was used to produce polarized neutrons. The neutrons are polarized by passing through the ³He spin filter because the neutron capture cross-section of ³He strongly depends on the spin direction. The ³He nuclei were polarized using the Spin Exchange Optical Pumping (SEOP) method with rubidium and potassium [11]. The details of the ³He spin filter at the J-PARC are described in Ref. [12].

The transmitted neutrons were monitored by two types of Li-glass detectors installed at 28.8-m flight length to determine the neutron polarization ratio. One consisted of a ⁶Li enriched ($\geq 95\%$) Li-glass scintillator, GS20, from Saint-Gobain S.A. and PMT H7195 from Hamamatsu Photonics K.K. This detector can detect neutrons via the ⁶Li(n, α)t reaction. The other was a ⁷Li enriched ($\geq 99.9\%$) Li-glass scintillator, GS30, with slight sensitivity to neutrons because of the small neutron cross-section of ⁷Li. The γ -ray backgrounds were thus subtracted using neutron spectrum obtained by the ⁷Li enriched detector. A V1720 (12 bits, 250 MHz) module and the CoMPASS software were used for data acquisition.

B. Measurement

The natural Sn metal with $40 \text{ mm} \times 40 \text{ mm} \times 6 \text{ mm}$ was used as the sample. The measurement times for the up- and down-polarized neutrons were 20.5 h and 20.8 h, respectively. The transmission for unpolarized ³He was also measured for 2.0 h to determine the polarization ratio of ³He.

III. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

A. Definition of transverse asymmetry

The neutron polarization ratio can be obtained from the transmission measurement. Figure 3 shows the ratio of the neutron TOF spectrum transmitted through the polarized 3 He cell divided by that through the unpolarized one. The polarization ratio of 3 He, defined as $P_{\rm He}$, was determined by fitting the ratio with the following function:

$$T_{\rm pol}/T_{\rm unpol} = \cosh(P_{\rm He}n_{\rm He}\sigma_{\rm th}v_{\rm th}/v),$$
 (3)

where n_{He} is the areal density of ³He; v is the neutron velocity; v_{th} and σ_{th} are the velocity and the capture cross-section of ³He at the thermal-neutron energy, respectively. The solid line in Fig. 3 shows the fitting results, and the average ³He polarization ratio was determined as 75% for the first 1.5 h. The ³He polarization relaxes as time proceeds because of the nonuniformity of external magnetic fields or collisions between ³He atoms and so on. Figure 4 presents the ³He polarization ratio against the time, and the solid line represents the fitting results by the following function:

$$P_{\rm He}(t)n_{\rm He}\sigma_{\rm th} = n_{\rm He}\sigma_{\rm th}P_{\rm He,0}\exp(-t/\tau), \qquad (4)$$




FIG. 3. Ratio obtained by dividing the transmission through the polarized $^3{\rm He}$ cell by that through the unpolarized one.

where t is time; and τ is the relaxation time. The relax-




FIG. 4. Time dependence of the ³He polarization ratio.

ation time of the $^3{\rm He}$ was $82.65\pm0.07~{\rm h}$. The neutron polarization ratio during the up-polarization measurement was obtained as follows:

$$\overline{P}_{\rm n}^{\rm up} = \int_{T_{\rm up}} P_{\rm n}(t)dt/T_{\rm up}$$

$$= \int_{T_{\rm up}} \tanh(n_{\rm He}\sigma_{\rm th}P_{\rm He,0}\exp(-t/\tau)v_{\rm th}/v)dt/T_{\rm up}(5)$$

The polarization ratios during measurements for each polarization direction were $\overline{P}_{\rm n}^{\rm up}=0.291\pm0.001$ and $\overline{P}_{\rm n}^{\rm down}=0.296\pm0.001$ at the 1.3-eV resonance region. The asymmetry is defined as:

$$\varepsilon_{\gamma,d} = \frac{n_d^{\text{up}} - n_d^{\text{down}}}{n_J^{\text{up}} + n_J^{\text{down}}},\tag{6}$$

where n_d^{up} and n_d^{down} are the numbers of events at detector d in each neutron polarization direction. The transverse asymmetry of each detector d is defined as:

$$A'_{LR,d} = \frac{2\varepsilon_{\gamma,d}}{(P_n^{up} + P_n^{down}) - \varepsilon_{\gamma,d}(P_n^{up} - P_n^{down})}.$$
 (7)

Since the capture events after scattering in the Sn sample are not dependent on the polarization direction, the corrected asymmetry $A_{LR,d}$ can be written [6] as:

$$A_{\rm LR,d} = A'_{\rm LR,d} \left(1 + \frac{n_{\rm sct}}{n_{\rm res}} \right), \tag{8}$$

where n_{sct} is the capture events after scattering, and $n_{\text{res}} = (n^{\text{up}} + n^{\text{down}})/2$ is the number of capture reaction events at the resonance.

The correction factor $n_{\rm sct}/n_{\rm res}$ was calculated using the Monte Carlo simulation code, PHITS [13]. The resolution function of beamline 04 obtained by Kino et al. [14] and Doppler broadening were considered in the correction factor, $n_{\rm sct}/n_{\rm res}$, as shown in Fig. 5 with the capture reaction rate, $n_{\rm res}$, which corresponds to the capture cross-section considering effects of self-shielding and multiple scattering. Notably, the correction factor depends




FIG. 5. Capture reaction rate $n_{\rm res}$ (top) and correction factor $n_{\rm sct}/n_{\rm res}$ (bottom) obtained by PHITS simulation. The reaction rate corresponds to the capture cross-section considering effects of self-shielding and multiple scattering in the sample.

on the neutron energy because neutrons with higher energies than resonance are captured by the decrease in energy due to scattering. Thus, the correction factor was increased at higher energy, or lower TOF, than the resonance.

B. Transverse asymmetry at the 1.3-eV resonance of $^{117}\mathrm{Sn}$

Figure 6 depicts the obtained γ -ray deposit energy spectrum of detector 1 in Sn measurement. The vertical solid line indicates the 9327.5 keV transition to the ground-state of 118 Sn from the compound state of 117 Sn+n, and the dotted lines represent its single and double escape peaks. The neutron capture reaction of




FIG. 6. γ -ray deposit energy spectrum of detector 1 in Sn measurement.

¹¹⁵Sn is responsible for the 9563.1 keV peak. The other peaks are derived from the scattered neutron capture reaction of iron contained in the guide magnet and shield of the Ge detector assembly. Since the transition to the first excited state of ¹¹⁸Sn from the compound-state emits 8069.8 keV γ-ray, it is considered that γ-rays above the double escape peak, 8305.5 keV photo-peak, contain the only transition to the ground-state of ¹¹⁸Sn, including backgrounds derived from other nuclei. Furthermore, backgrounds by iron and ¹¹⁵Sn do not affect the transverse asymmetry because their cross-sections have no resonance or unique structure around 1.3 eV. Therefore, the γ-ray gate region was set from 8250 keV to 9400 keV, and Fig. 7 shows the gated histogram of γ-ray counts as a function of t^m with the open circle. To remove the




FIG. 7. Gated histogram of γ -ray counts as a function of t^m . The open circle represents the histogram of detector 14 in Sn measurement using down-polarized neutron, and the closed circle represents that after background subtraction. The solid line is the fitting result of the background.


s-wave component of ¹¹⁷Sn and the backgrounds from other nuclei, the histogram was fitted using the following

function:

$$f(t^m) = c_0 + c_1 t^m + c_2 / t^m, (9)$$

with 700 $\mu s \leq t^m \leq 1200~\mu s$ and 1700 $\mu s \leq t^m \leq 2500~\mu s$. Figure 7 presents the fitting result with the solid line. The histogram after subtracting the fitting function is also shown in Fig. 7 with the closed circle.

Figure 8 shows the histograms for each polarization direction after background subtraction and calculated transverse asymmetry $A_{\rm LR}$. Table II lists $A_{\rm LR}$ in a






FIG. 8. γ -ray counts for each polarization direction after background subtraction and $A_{\rm LR}$. The closed and open circles indicate for the up- and down-polarization measurements in the upper side figure. The sign of $A_{\rm LR}$ is reversed for the up-side detector (detector 1) and down-side detector (detector 14).

neutron energy region $E_{\rm p}-2\Gamma_{\rm p}\leq E_{\rm n}\leq E_{\rm p}+2\Gamma_{\rm p}$ for each detector. Here, the resonance parameters were used, as shown in Table III. The $A_{{\rm LR},d}^{90^\circ}$ is the trans-

verse asymmetry converted to 90°, calculated by $A_{\text{LR},d}^{90^\circ} = A_{\text{LR},d}/(\sin\theta_\gamma\sin\varphi)$. It is found that the average of $A_{\text{LR},d}^{90^\circ}$ is consistent between up- and down-side detectors. The transverse asymmetry averaged over up- and down-side detectors was obtained as $A_{\text{LR}}^{90^\circ} = -1.07 \pm 0.23$. Furthermore, the average of $A_{\text{LR},d}$ of the coaxial detector was consistent with 0 due to $\sin\varphi = 0$.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Comparison to the previous study

In the previous study of the left-right asymmetry measurements of ¹¹⁷Sn, the asymmetry ε_{LR} was reported in Fig. 13 in Ref. [8]. Notably, the asymmetry ε_{LR} was defined, including the s-wave components around the pwave resonance. Conversely, the aforementioned analysis removed the s-wave components with backgrounds in the present definition of A_{LR} . To compare the present result with the previous study, $\varepsilon_{\rm LR}$ was also calculated and plotted in Fig. 9 with the previous results. In the present analysis, the $\varepsilon_{\rm LR}$ was calculated for all detectors and divided by $\sin \theta_{\gamma} \sin \varphi$, and average values of all detectors were plotted in Fig. 9, consistent with the previous study, which implies that the background conditions for our and Skoy's cases were comparable. The result of γ -ray identification suggested that the asymmetry was influenced by backgrounds such as iron isotopes. Therefore, it was necessary to evaluate the asymmetry without backgrounds, $A_{\rm LR}$.




FIG. 9. Left-right asymmetry defined same as in the previous study. The closed and open circles represent the present and previous results [8], respectively.

B. Angular dependence of the transverse asymmetry

To discuss the angular dependence of a_2 term, A_{LR} is plotted for $\sin \theta_{\gamma} \sin \varphi$ in Fig. 10. The weighted average

TABLE II. $A_{\rm LR}$ for each detector in a neutron energy region $E_{\rm p}-2\Gamma_{\rm p} \leq E_{\rm n} \leq E_{\rm p}+2\Gamma_{\rm p}$. Detectors 7 and 16 were not used because the γ -ray energy resolution was poor due to electrical noises. $A_{{\rm LR},d}^{90^{\circ}}=A_{{\rm LR},d}/(\sin\theta_{\gamma}\sin\varphi)$ is the asymmetry converted to 90° .

	up-side detecto			down-side detec	etor	coaxi	al detector
detector	$A_{\mathrm{LR},d}$	$A_{\mathrm{LR},d}^{90^{\circ}}$	detector	$A_{\mathrm{LR},d}$	$A_{\mathrm{LR},d}^{90^{\circ}}$	detector	$A_{\mathrm{LR},d}$
1	-0.46 ± 0.96	-0.50 ± 1.04	8	0.62 ± 0.50	-0.67 ± 0.54	15	-0.04 ± 3.18
2	-1.73 ± 0.92	-1.89 ± 1.00	9	1.06 ± 0.74	-1.16 ± 0.81	16	Not used
3	-2.93 ± 1.60	-3.16 ± 1.73	10	1.51 ± 0.77	-1.63 ± 0.83	17	0.49 ± 0.68
4	-0.05 ± 0.67	-0.05 ± 0.73	11	1.40 ± 0.78	-1.52 ± 0.84	18	-0.04 ± 0.80
5	-0.18 ± 0.93	-0.20 ± 1.02	12	-0.19 ± 0.86	0.20 ± 0.94	19	1.59 ± 1.27
6	-1.02 ± 0.71	-1.11 ± 0.76	13	2.18 ± 0.67	-2.35 ± 0.73	20	0.57 ± 0.68
7	Not used	-	14	1.44 ± 0.85	-1.44 ± 0.85	21	-0.58 ± 0.65
Average	-	-0.82 ± 0.38	Average	-	-1.21 ± 0.29	Average	0.21 ± 0.33

TABLE III. Resonance parameters of $^{117}\mathrm{Sn}$

$E_{\rm r} \ [{\rm eV}]$	J/l	$\Gamma_{\gamma} \text{ [meV]}$	$g\Gamma_{\rm n} \ [{\rm meV}]$
1.331 ± 0.002^{a}	$1/1^{\rm b}$	$133 \pm 5^{\rm a}$	1.38×10^{-4} b

^a taken from Ref. [7]

of A_{LR} was calculated and plotted for each angle. According to Eq. (2), the transverse asymmetry could be written as:

$$A_{\rm LR} = \frac{-A_2 \sin \theta_\gamma \sin \varphi}{1 + A_3 (\cos^2 \theta_\gamma - 1/3)},\tag{10}$$

where A_i is

$$A_{i} = \int_{E_{p}-2\Gamma_{p}}^{E_{p}+2\Gamma_{p}} a_{i} dE_{n} / \int_{E_{p}-2\Gamma_{p}}^{E_{p}+2\Gamma_{p}} a_{0,p} dE_{n}.$$
 (11)

Here, $a_{0,p}$ is the a_0 term only considering the 1.4-eV p-wave resonance. Furthermore, a_1 term can be ignored by integral in Eq. (11) because its energy-dependence is odd function of energy centered at the p-wave resonance [4, 7]. In this study, the angular dependence of the transverse asymmetry was little sensitive to A_3 because the Ge detectors were placed around $\cos^2\theta_{\gamma}\sim 0$. If the angular dependence of the a_3 term was ignored, the angular dependence of the transverse asymmetry can be written as:

$$A_{\rm LR} = -\tilde{A}_{\rm LR}\sin\theta_{\gamma}\sin\varphi,\tag{12}$$

where $\tilde{A}_{LR} = \frac{A_2}{1 - A_3/3}$. The solid line in Fig. 10 shows the fitting results by Eq. (12), and $\tilde{A}_{LR} = 1.07 \pm 0.23$ was obtained. The transverse asymmetry depending on $\sin \theta_{\gamma} \sin \varphi$ was evaluated, and it is caused by the a_2 term.

V. CONCLUSION

In this study, the transverse asymmetry, independent of backgrounds, for $^{117}{\rm Sn}({\rm n},\gamma)^{118}{\rm Sn}$ was measured using




FIG. 10. Angular dependence of the transverse asymmetry. The solid line represents the fitting results. Each point plots the weighted average of $A_{\rm LR}$ for detector 14 for $\sin\theta_{\gamma}\sin\varphi=-1$, detectors 8, 10, 11, and 13 for -0.925, detectors 9 and 12 for -0.916, detectors 15 through 21 for 0, detectors 2 and 5 for 0.916, and detectors 1, 3, 4, and 6 for 0.925, respectively.

Ge detectors installed at several angles in the J-PARC beamline 04, and a non-zero asymmetry was obtained. The transverse asymmetry corresponding to a_2 term was also evaluated on its angular dependence. In the future, the validation of the s-p mixing model will be performed by global analysis using present result and other terms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the staff of beamline 04 for the maintenance of the germanium detectors, and the MLF and J-PARC for operating the accelerators and the neutron production target. The neutron experiments at the MLF of J-PARC were performed under the user program (Proposals No. 2019A0185). This work was supported by the Neutron Science Division of KEK as an S-type research project with program number 2018S12. This work was partially supported by MEXT KAKENHI Grant No. JP19GS0210 and JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP17H02889.

^b taken from Ref. [15]

- G. Mitchell, J. D. Bowman, S. I. Pentilla, and E. I. Sharapov, Phys. Rep. 354, 157 (2001).
- [2] V. V. Flambaum and O. P. Sushkov, Nucl. Phys. A 435, 352 (1985).
- [3] V. P. Gudkov, Phys. Rep. 212, 77 (1992).
- [4] T. Okudaira, S. Takada, K. Hirota, A. Kimura, M. Kitaguchi, J. Koga, K. Nagamoto, T. Nakao, A. Okada, K. Sakai, H. M. Shimizu, T. Yamamoto, and T. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. C 97, 034622 (2018).
- [5] T. Okudaira, S. Endo, H. Fujioka, K. Hirota, K. Ishizaki, A. Kimura, M. Kitaguchi, J. Koga, Y. Niinomi, K. Sakai, T. Shima, H. M. Shimizu, S. Takada, Y. Tani, T. Yamamoto, H. Yoshikawa, and T. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. C 104, 014601 (2021).
- [6] T. Yamamoto, T. Okudaira, S. Endo, H. Fujioka, K. Hirota, T. Ino, K. Ishizaki, A. Kimura, M. Kitaguchi, J. Koga, S. Makise, Y. Niinomi, T. Oku, K. Sakai, T. Shima, H.M. Shimizu, S. Takada, Y. Tani, H. Yoshikawa, T. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. C 101, 064624 (2020).
- [7] J. Koga, S. Takada, S. Endo, H. Fujioka, K. Hirota, K. Ishizaki, A. Kimura, M. Kitaguchi, Y. Niinomi, T. Okudaira, K. Sakai, T. Shima, H. M. Shimizu, Y. Tani, T. Yamamoto, H. Yoshikawa, and T. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. C 105, 054615 (2022).
- [8] V. R. Skoy and É. I. Sharapov, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. 22, 681-697 (1991).
- [9] M. Igashira, Y. Kiyanagi, and M. Oshima. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 600, 332-334 (2009).
- [10] S. Takada, T. Okudaira, F. Goto, K. Hirota, A. Kimura, M. Kitaguchi, J. Koga, T. Nakao, K. Sakai, H. M.

- Shimizu, T. Yamamoto, and T. Yoshioka, JINST 13, P02018 (2018).
- [11] E. Babcock, I. Nelson, S. Kadlecek, B. Driehuys, L.W. Anderson, F.W. Hersman, T.G. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 123003 (2003).
- [12] T. Okudaira, T. Oku, T. Ino, H. Hayashida, H. Kira, K. Sakai, K. Hiroi, S. Takahashi, K. Aizawa, H. Endo, S. Endo, M. Hino, K. Hirota, T. Honda, K. Ikeda, K. Kakurai, W. Kambara, M. Kitaguchi, T. Oda, H. Ohshita, T. Otomo, H.M. Shimizu, T. Shinohara, J. Suzuki, T. Yamamoto, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.. Sect. A 977, 164301 (2020).
- [13] T. Sato, Y. Iwamoto, S. Hashimoto, T. Ogawa, T. Furuta, S. Abe, T. Kai, P. Tsai, N. Matsuda, H. Iwase, N. Shigyo, L. Sihver, and K. Niita, J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 55, 684-690 (2018).
- [14] K. Kino, M. Furusaka, F. Hiraga, T. Kamiyama, Y. Kiyanagi, K. Furutaka, S. Goko, H. Harada, M. Harada, T. Kai, A. Kimura, T. Kin, F. Kitatani, M. Koizumi, F. Maekawa, S. Meigo, S. Nakamura, M. Ooi, M. Ohta, M. Oshima et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 58, 626-627 (2011).
- [15] D.A. Smith, J.D. Bowman, B.E. Crawford, C.A. Grossmann, T. Haseyama, M.B. Johnson, A. Masaike, Y. Matsuda, G.E. Mitchell, V.A. Nazarenko, S.I. Penttila, N.R. Roberson, S.J. Seestrom, E.I. Sharapov, L.M. Smotritsky, S.L. Stephenson, and V. Yuan, Phys. Rev. C 59, 2836 (1999).