

Joint photon-electron Lorentz violation parameter plane from LHAASO data

Ping He^a, Bo-Qiang Ma^{a,b,c}

^a*School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China*

^b*Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China*

^c*Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing, China*

Abstract

The Large High Altitude Air Shower Observatory (LHAASO) is one of the most sensitive gamma-ray detector arrays, whose ultrahigh-energy (UHE) work bands not only help to study the origin and acceleration mechanism of UHE cosmic rays, but also provide the opportunity to test fundamental physics concepts such as Lorentz symmetry. LHAASO directly observes the 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon. By adopting the synchrotron self-Compton model, LHAASO also suggests that the 1.12 PeV high-energy photon from Crab Nebula corresponds to a 2.3 PeV high-energy electron. We study the 1.42 PeV photon decay and the 2.3 PeV electron decay to perform a joint analysis on photon and electron two-dimensional Lorentz violation (LV) parameter plane. Our analysis is systematic and comprehensive, and we naturally get the strictest constraints from merely considering photon LV effect in photon decay and electron LV effect in electron decay. Our result also permits the parameter space for new physics beyond relativity.

Keywords: ultrahigh-energy cosmic photon, Lorentz violation, photon decay, electron decay, photon and electron Lorentz violation parameter plane

The Large High Altitude Air Shower Observatory (LHAASO) is a new-generation mountain observatory with unprecedented ultrahigh-energy (UHE) photon detection capability [1, 2]. Recently, LHAASO reported more than 530 UHE photons with energies larger than 100 TeV from twelve astrophysical gamma-ray sources within the Milky Way, including the highest-energy photon detected at about 1.42 PeV [1]. LHAASO also reported the detection of gamma-ray spectrum from 5×10^{-4} PeV to 1.12 PeV from Crab Nebula, and these UHE photons exhibit the presence of a PeV electron accelerator [2]. These high-energy photons not only help to study the origin and acceleration mechanism of UHE cosmic rays, but also provide the opportunity to test fundamental physics concepts such as Lorentz symmetries of photons [3, 4] and electrons [5]. By analysing the LHAASO 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon [1], Ref. [3] got a photon superluminal linear Lorentz violation LV constraint — $E_{LV}^{(p,sup)} \geq 2.74 \times 10^{33}$ eV from photon decay research. By analysing two gamma-ray sources LHAASO J2032+4102 and J0534+2202, LHAASO collaboration got a photon superluminal linear LV constraint $E_{LV}^{(p,sup)} \geq 1.42 \times 10^{33}$ eV from photon decay research [4]. By analysing the Crab Nebula 1.12 PeV highest-energy photon, Ref. [5] obtained the most strict constraint on electron superluminal linear modified parameter $E_{LV}^{(e,sup)} \geq 9.4 \times 10^{25}$ GeV from the electron decay research.

In conventional case of relativity, there are no photon decay and electron decay phenomena in vacuum, but in LV case, things might be different. If photon or electron does decay, it is a sign for photon or electron LV effect. On the other hand, the UHE photon and electron data set very strict constraints on photon and electron LV effects. In previous photon decay analyses [3, 4], only initial photon LV effect is considered, with LV effect for the out-going electron-positron pair neglected. But since the out-going particles obtain

Email address: mabq@pku.edu.cn (Bo-Qiang Ma)

the whole energy-momentum of initial photon, it is necessary to consider the LV effect of out-going electron-positron pair. In previous electron decay analysis [5], there is a supposition that the emitted photon is soft enough that its LV effect can be neglected. But if we check the electron decay reaction we can find that: in some case, the out-going photon can obtain the half energy-momentum of the initial electron, so it is also necessary to consider the out-going photon LV effect in electron decay.

To get a systematic and comprehensive analysis on photon and electron LV parameter plane from LHAASO results, we restudy photon decay and electron decay. As the LV effects are very tiny, we introduce tiny LV modifications on the photon and electron dispersion relations:

$$\begin{cases} \omega^2 = k^2[1 + \xi_n(\frac{k}{E_{P1}})^n] & \text{photon;} \\ E^2 = m^2 + p^2[1 + \eta_n(\frac{p}{E_{P1}})^n] & \text{electron/positron,} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where E_{P1} is the Plank scale, and ξ_n, η_n are the n th-order LV parameters of photon and electron respectively. For a threshold reaction, when the final particle momenta are parallel and the initial momenta are antiparallel, the threshold occurs [6], so we only consider the modulus of the momentum $k = |\vec{k}|$, and $p = |\vec{p}|$, to obtain the threshold. If we only consider the linear modification ($n = 1$), we simplify the notation: $\xi_1 := \xi$ and $\eta_1 := \eta$.

For photon decay $\gamma \rightarrow e^- + e^+$, considering a high-energy photon with momentum k that decays into an electron with momentum xk ($x \in [0, 1]$) and a positron with momentum $(1-x)k$, using photon and electron dispersion relations Eq. (1) and the energy-momentum conservation relation, only considering the linear ($n = 1$) modification, and expanding it to the leading-order of the LV parameters and the leading-order of $(m/k)^2$, we get [7]:

$$k[1 + \frac{\xi}{2} \frac{k}{E_{P1}}] = xk[1 + \frac{m^2}{2(xk)^2} + \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{xk}{E_{P1}}] + \{x \leftrightarrow 1-x\}. \quad (2)$$

After simple algebraic operations, Eq. (2) becomes [7]:

$$\frac{m^2 E_{P1}}{k^3} = x(1-x)[\xi - ((1-x)^2 + x^2)\eta]. \quad (3)$$

Finding the threshold of the photon decay is equivalent to finding the minimum value of k on the left side of the Eq. (3). When $\xi \rightarrow 0$ and $\eta \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow +\infty$, and it is the classic case where photon cannot decay, and that is to be expected, since any case must go back to classical case when the LV effects do not at work. Then we get the thresholds in different LV parameter configurations [7, 8, 9]:

$$k_{\text{th}}^{\text{P}} = \begin{cases} +\infty & (a) \quad \xi - \eta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\xi - \eta < 0; \\ (\frac{8m^2 E_{P1}}{2\xi - \eta})^{1/3} & (b) \quad \xi > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\xi - \eta > 0; \\ (\frac{-8\eta m^2 E_{P1}}{(\xi - \eta)^2})^{1/3} & (c) \quad \eta < \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$

In case (a), $k_{\text{th}}^{\text{P}} = +\infty$ means that there is no photon decay. In case (b), the momenta of the out-going particles are equally distributed, that is to say, the out-going electron/positron gains half momentum from photon, so it is necessary to consider the out-going electron/positron LV effect. In case (c), the momentum distribution is not equal, and it is also necessary to consider the out-going particle LV effects since they get the whole momentum from photon.

When the photon energy exceeds the threshold, the photon decay can occur and result a rapid drop in photon energy. On the other hand, observing a photon, whose energy is E_p , means that E_p does not reach the decay threshold $k_{\text{th}}^{\text{P}}: k_{\text{th}}^{\text{P}} > E_p$. In different LV parameter configurations, it is same as [7]:

$$\begin{cases} \text{no extra constraints} & (a) \quad \xi - \eta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\xi - \eta < 0; \\ 0 < 2\xi - \eta < \frac{8m^2 E_{P1}}{E_p^3} & (b) \quad \xi > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\xi - \eta > 0; \\ 0 < \xi - \eta < \sqrt{\frac{-8m^2 E_{P1}\eta}{E_p^3}} & (c) \quad \eta < \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$




Figure 1: Photon decay constraint on photon-electron LV parameter plane from highest-energy photon ($a := m^2 E_{P1}/E_p^3$).

From Fig. 1, we clearly see that:

- In photon decay research, the highest-energy photon sets very strict constraint on $\xi > 0$ range, while the $\xi < 0$ range still has possible parameter space. The LHAASO 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon sets very strict constraint on photon-electron LV parameter plane:

$$\begin{cases} \text{no extra constraints} & (a) \quad \xi - \eta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\xi - \eta < 0; \\ 0 < 2\xi - \eta < 8.90 \times 10^{-6} & (b) \quad \xi > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\xi - \eta > 0; \\ 0 < \xi - \eta < \sqrt{-8.90 \times 10^{-6}\eta} & (c) \quad \eta < \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$

- If we presuppose that there is no electron LV effect ($\eta = 0$), this assumption is what we usually use when we study photon decay, the constraint on photon LV parameter is $\xi < 4m^2 E_{P1}/E_p^3$, and it is a strict constraint on photon superluminal linear LV modification. Considering the LHAASO 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon, we get $\xi < 4.45 \times 10^{-6}$. With the experimental errors considered, the constraint given by the $1.42_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$ PeV highest-energy photon is $\xi < 4.45_{-1.03}^{+1.49} \times 10^{-6}$.
- If we presuppose that there is no photon LV effect ($\xi = 0$), the constraint on electron LV parameter is $\eta > -8m^2 E_{P1}/E_p^3$, and it is a strict constraint on electron subluminal linear modification. Considering the LHAASO 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon, we get $\eta > -8.90 \times 10^{-6}$. With the experimental

errors taken into account, the constraint given by the $1.42_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$ PeV highest-energy photon is $\eta > -8.90_{-2.97}^{+2.06} \times 10^{-6}$.

Different from highest-energy photon, it is hard to ascertain the highest-energy electron from LHAASO data. The Crab Nebula UHE photon spectrum from LHAASO observation means that the Crab Nebula operates as an electron PeVatron [2]. According to the synchrotron self-Compton model [10, 11]¹, the Crab Nebula gamma-ray above ~ 1 GeV is produced via inverse-Compton process by UHE electron, so the Crab Nebula 1.12 PeV highest-energy photon means the energy of the parent electron can naturally be considered as > 1 PeV [5]. Through systematic analysis, LHAASO got a simple relation between the upscattered photon E^γ and the parent electron E^e : $E^e = 2.15(E^\gamma/1 \text{ PeV})^{0.77}$ PeV [2]. Thus, for the Crab Nebula 1.12 PeV highest-energy photon, the energy of the parent electron is 2.3 PeV [2]. Under the assumption of the synchrotron self-Compton model, 2.3 PeV electron is the highest-energy electron that we can get from LHAASO data.

For electron decay $e^- \rightarrow e^- + \gamma$, considering a high-energy electron with momentum k that decays into an electron with momentum yk ($y \in [0, 1]$) and a photon with momentum $(1-y)k$, similarly to photon decay, we get:

$$k\left[1 + \frac{m^2}{2k^2} + \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{k}{E_{\text{P1}}}\right] = yk\left[1 + \frac{m^2}{2(yk)^2} + \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{yk}{E_{\text{P1}}}\right] + (1-y)k\left[1 + \frac{\xi}{2} \frac{(1-y)k}{E_{\text{P1}}}\right], \quad (4)$$

and [7]:

$$\frac{m^2 E_{\text{P1}}}{k^3} = y(y+1)\eta - y(1-y)\xi. \quad (5)$$

When $\xi \rightarrow 0$ and $\eta \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow +\infty$, and it is the classic case where electron cannot decay. We get the thresholds in different LV parameter configurations [7, 8, 9]:

$$k_{\text{th}}^e = \begin{cases} +\infty & (i) \quad \eta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi - \eta > 0; \\ \left(\frac{m^2 E_{\text{P1}}}{2\eta}\right)^{1/3} & (j) \quad \eta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi + 3\eta > 0; \\ \left(\frac{-4(\xi+\eta)m^2 E_{\text{P1}}}{(\xi-\eta)^2}\right)^{1/3} & (k) \quad \xi - \eta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi + 3\eta < 0. \end{cases}$$

In case (i), $k_{\text{th}}^e = +\infty$ means that there is no electron decay. In case (j), the out-going electron gains almost all of momentum. In case (k), the out-going photon gets a part of momentum from initial electron, so it is necessary to consider the photon LV effect in electron decay.

When the electron energy exceeds the threshold, the electron decay can occur and result a rapid drop in electron energy. On the other hand, observing an electron, whose energy is E_e , means that E_e does not reach the decay threshold k_{th}^e : $k_{\text{th}}^e > E_e$. In different LV parameter configurations, it is same as [7]:

$$\begin{cases} \text{no extra constraints} & (i) \quad \eta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi - \eta > 0; \\ \eta < \frac{m^2 E_{\text{P1}}}{2E_e^3} & (j) \quad \eta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi + 3\eta > 0; \\ -\sqrt{-\frac{4m^2 E_{\text{P1}}(\xi+\eta)}{E_e^3}} < \xi - \eta < 0 & (k) \quad \xi - \eta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi + 3\eta < 0. \end{cases}$$

¹The synchrotron self-Compton model is a likely mechanism to produce the observed 1.12 PeV photon, and there are also possibilities that the photon might be produced in some other mechanisms: for example, hadronic interaction of high-energy protons (or nuclei) via neutral pion decay.




Figure 2: Electron decay constraint on photon-electron LV parameter plane from highest-energy electron ($b \equiv m^2 E_{P1}/E_e^3$).

From Fig. 2, we clearly see that:

- In electron decay research, the highest-energy electron sets very strict constraint on $\eta > 0$ range, while the $\eta < 0$ range still has possible parameter space. The LHAASO 2.3 PeV highest-energy electron sets very strict constraint on photon-electron LV parameter plane:

$$\begin{cases} \text{no extra constraints} & (i) \quad \eta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi - \eta > 0; \\ \eta < 1.3 \times 10^{-7} & (j) \quad \eta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi + 3\eta > 0; \\ -\sqrt{-1.0 \times 10^{-6}(\xi + \eta)} < \xi - \eta < 0 & (k) \quad \xi - \eta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi + 3\eta < 0. \end{cases}$$

- If we presuppose that there is no electron LV effect ($\eta = 0$), the constraint on photon LV parameter is $\xi > -4m^2 E_{P1}/E_e^3$, and it is a strict constraint on photon subluminal linear LV modification. Considering the LHAASO 2.3 PeV highest-energy electron, we get $\xi > -1.0 \times 10^{-6}$.
- If we presuppose that there is no photon LV effect ($\xi = 0$), this assumption is what we usually use when we study electron decay, the constraint on electron LV parameter is $\eta < m^2 E_{P1}/(2E_e^3)$, and it is a strict constraint on electron superluminal linear LV modification. Considering the LHAASO 2.3 PeV highest-energy electron, we get $\eta < 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$.




Figure 3: Joint constraint on photon-electron LV parameter plane from highest-energy photon and electron ($a \equiv m^2 E_{P1}/E_p^3$ and $b \equiv m^2 E_{P1}/E_e^3$).

Considering the photon decay and electron decay at the same time, we get: (i) the highest-energy photon and electron set very strict constraints on 1st, 2nd and 4th quadrants of photon-electron plane. (ii) The limitation pole is $(\eta, \xi) < (m^2 E_{P1}/(2E_e^3), m^2 E_{P1}/(4E_e^3) + 4m^2 E_{P1}/E_p^3)$. Considering the LHAASO 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon and 2.3 PeV highest-energy electron, we can get $(\eta, \xi) < (1.3 \times 10^{-7}, 4.5 \times 10^{-6})$. (iii) In the 3rd quadrant, the parameter space still has a lot of options.

We check the constraints on photon-electron LV parameter plane by LV scale parametric method:

$$\begin{cases} \omega^2 = k^2(1 - s^p \frac{k}{E_{LV}^p}) & \text{photon;} \\ E^2 = m^2 + p^2(1 - s^e \frac{p}{E_{LV}^e}) & \text{electron/positron,} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where E_{LV}^p and E_{LV}^e are the LV scale of photon and electron at which the LV effects become significant. $s^{p/e} = +1$ means that the higher the energy the slower the speed of particles (subluminal), and $s^{p/e} = -1$ means faster (superluminal). Using the correspondence between these two different parametric methods, we get previous strictest constraints on photon and electron LV parameters from the joint constraint on photon-electron LV parameter plane (Fig. 3):

- There are very strict constraints on 1st, 2nd and 4th quadrants of photon-electron plane, and these strict constraints are same as the strict constraints on superluminal case (one of $s^{p/e}$ is -1). The limitation pole $(\eta, \xi) < (m^2 E_{P1}/(2E_e^3), m^2 E_{P1}/(4E_e^3) + 4m^2 E_{P1}/E_p^3)$ is same as the limitation pole on superluminal LV scale $(E_{LV}^{e,sup}, E_{LV}^{p,sup}) > (2E_e^3/m^2, 4E_p^3 E_e^3/(16m^2 E_e^3 + m^2 E_p^3))$. Using the LHAASO

1.42 PeV highest-energy photon and 2.3 PeV highest-energy electron, we get $(E_{LV}^{e,\text{sup}}, E_{LV}^{p,\text{sup}}) > (9.4 \times 10^{34} \text{eV}, 2.7 \times 10^{33} \text{eV})$. The 3rd quadrant is the subluminal case ($s^p = s^e = +1$), and this parameter space still has a lot of options.

- In case of no electron LV effect ($\eta = 0$), the low limitation on photon LV parameter ($\xi > -4m^2 E_{p1}/E_e^3$) is from electron decay, and it is a photon subluminal LV scale limitation: $E_{LV}^{p,\text{sub}} > E_e^3/(4m^2)$. Using the LHAASO 2.3 PeV highest-energy electron, we get $E_{LV}^{p,\text{sub}} > 1.2 \times 10^{34} \text{eV}$. The upper limitation ($\xi < 4m^2 E_{p1}/E_p^3$) is from photon decay, and it is a photon superluminal LV scale limitation: $E_{LV}^{p,\text{sup}} > E_p^3/(4m^2)$. Using the LHAASO 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon, we get $E_{LV}^{p,\text{sup}} > 2.74 \times 10^{33} \text{eV}^2$, that is same as the strictest constraint from Ref. [3]. This sameness is natural as these two parametric methods have no essential difference but in different expressions [12], and this strictest constraint is got under the supposition $\eta = 0$.
- In case of no photon LV effect ($\xi = 0$), the low limitation on electron LV parameter ($\eta > -8m^2 E_{p1}/E_p^3$) is from photon decay, and it is an electron subluminal LV scale limitation: $E_{LV}^{e,\text{sub}} > E_p^3/(8m^2)$. Using the LHAASO 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon, we get $E_{LV}^{e,\text{sub}} > 1.37 \times 10^{33} \text{eV}^3$. The upper limitation ($\eta < m^2 E_{p1}/(2E_e^3)$) is from electron decay, and it is an electron superluminal LV scale limitation: $E_{LV}^{e,\text{sup}} > 2E_e^3/m^2$. Using the LHAASO 2.3 PeV highest-energy electron, we get $E_{LV}^{e,\text{sup}} > 9.4 \times 10^{34} \text{eV}$, that is same as the strictest constraint from Ref. [5]. This sameness is natural as these two parametric methods have no essential difference but in different expressions [12], and this strictest constraint is got under the supposition $\xi = 0$.

Restudying the photon decay and electron decay, we know that it is necessary to consider both photon and electron LV effects. We perform a joint analysis on the photon-electron two-dimensional LV parameter plane. This analysis is based on the 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon directly observed by LHAASO and the 2.3 PeV highest-energy electron that corresponds to the Crab Nebula 1.12 PeV photon observed by LHAASO through the synchrotron self-Compton model. LHAASO 1.42 PeV highest-energy photon and 2.3 PeV highest-energy electron set very strict constraints on 1st, 2nd and 4th quadrants of photon-electron plane, whereas the 3rd quadrant still has permissible parameter space for new physics beyond relativity. This joint analysis of photon-electron LV parameter plane is systematic and comprehensive, and we naturally get the previous results of strictest constraints on photon and electron LV effects.

Acknowledgement This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12075003).

References

- [1] Z. Cao, *et al.*, LHAASO Collaboration, Ultrahigh-energy photons up to 1.4 petaelectronvolts from 12 γ -ray Galactic sources, *Nature* **594** (2021) 33.
- [2] Z. Cao, *et al.*, LHAASO Collaboration, Peta-electron volt gamma-ray emission from the Crab Nebula, *Science* **373** (2021) 425–430.
- [3] C. Li, B.-Q. Ma, Ultrahigh-energy photons from LHAASO as probes of Lorentz symmetry violations, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 063012.
- [4] Z. Cao, *et al.*, LHAASO Collaboration, Exploring Lorentz invariance violation from ultrahigh-energy γ rays observed by LHAASO, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 051102.

²With the experimental errors taken into account, the constraint given by the $1.42_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$ PeV highest-energy photon is $E_{LV}^{p,\text{sup}} > 2.74_{-0.68}^{+0.83} \times 10^{33} \text{eV}$.

³With the experimental errors taken into account, the constraint given by the $1.42_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$ PeV highest-energy photon is $E_{LV}^{e,\text{sub}} > 1.37_{-0.34}^{+0.41} \times 10^{33} \text{eV}$.

- [5] C. Li, B.-Q. Ma, Testing Lorentz invariance of electrons with LHAASO observations of PeV gamma-rays from the Crab Nebula, *Phys. Lett. B* **829** (2022) 137034.
- [6] D. Mattingly, T. Mattingly, S. Liberati, Threshold configurations in the presence of Lorentz violating dispersion relations, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 124012.
- [7] T. Jacobson, S. Liberati, D. Mattingly, Threshold effects and Planck scale Lorentz violation: combined constraints from high energy astrophysics, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 124011.
- [8] T. Jacobson, S. Liberati, D. Mattingly, TeV astrophysics constraints on Planck scale Lorentz violation, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 081302(R).
- [9] T. J. Konopka, S. A. Major, Observational limits on quantum geometry effects, *New J. Phys.* **4** (2002) 57.
- [10] A. M. Atoyan, F. A. Aharonian, On the mechanisms of gamma radiation in the Crab Nebula, *MNRAS* **278** (1996) 525–541.
- [11] M. Meyer, D. Horns, H. S. Zechlin, The Crab Nebula as a standard candle in very high-energy astrophysics, *Astron. Astrophys.* **523** (2010) A2.
- [12] P. He, B.-Q. Ma, Lorentz symmetry violation of cosmic photons, *Universe* **8** (2022) 323.