

# The power of noisy quantum states and the advantage of resource dilution

Marek Miller, Manfredi Scalici, and Alexander Streltsov\*  
Centre for Quantum Optical Technologies, Centre of New Technologies,  
University of Warsaw, Banacha 2c, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

Entanglement distillation allows to convert noisy quantum states into singlets, which can in turn be used for various quantum technological tasks, such as quantum teleportation and quantum key distribution. Entanglement dilution is the inverse process: singlets are converted into quantum states with less entanglement. While the usefulness of distillation is apparent, practical applications of entanglement dilution are less obvious. Here, we show that entanglement dilution can increase the resilience of shared quantum states to local noise. The increased resilience is observed even if diluting singlets into states with arbitrarily little entanglement. We extend our analysis to other quantum resource theories, such as quantum coherence, quantum thermodynamics, and purity. For these resource theories, we demonstrate that diluting pure quantum states into noisy ones can be advantageous for protecting the system from noise. Our results demonstrate the usefulness of quantum resource dilution, and provide a rare example for an advantage of noisy quantum states over pure states in quantum information processing.

As has been realized in the early days of quantum information theory, two remote parties sharing a pair of entangled particles can perform information processing tasks which are not possible in classical physics [1]. An important example of that is quantum key distribution [2], allowing the parties to establish a provably secure key. Typically, these tasks employ singlets, highly entangled states of two quantum bits. If the quantum states shared by the remote parties are noisy, it is still possible to perform tasks based on singlets by applying entanglement distillation [3, 4]. This procedure allows us to extract singlets from a large number of copies of a noisy state, additionally making use of local operations and classical communication (LOCC) between the remote parties. Quantum states which can be converted into singlets in this way are called *distillable*. Since most quantum information processing tasks are based on singlets, this makes all distillable states also useful for these tasks. However, not all entangled states are distillable, a phenomenon known as bound entanglement [5].

Conversely, it is possible to dilute singlets into quantum states with less entanglement [4]. For pure entangled states, optimal distillation and dilution procedures are known in the limit where a large number of copies of the state is available [4]. Two remote parties, Alice and Bob, sharing a large number of copies of a pure entangled state  $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$  can distill them into singlets with the maximal rate  $S(\psi^A)$ , where  $\psi^A = \text{Tr}_B[|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|^{AB}]$  is the reduced state of Alice,  $S(\rho) = -\text{Tr}[\rho \log_2 \rho]$  is the von Neumann entropy, and  $\psi^{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|^{AB}$ . The maximal rate for diluting singlets into  $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$  is given by  $1/S(\psi^A)$ . For pure entangled states the distillation and dilution procedures are reversible, which means that in the asymptotic limit it is possible to distill  $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$  into singlets and dilute them back into  $|\psi\rangle^{AB}$  in a lossless way [4].

While the dilution procedure is possible in principle, it is reasonable to believe that in practice it is never advantageous to degrade singlets into weakly entangled states. As we will see in this article, this intuition is not correct: there exist quantum information processing tasks where entanglement dilution is essential, even if the diluted states contain arbitrarily little entanglement. Distillation and dilution is not limited to

entanglement, and has also been considered in general quantum resource theories [6]. The basis of any quantum resource theory is the definition of free states and free operations, corresponding to states and transformations which can be created or performed at no cost within reasonable physical constraints. Important examples are the resource theories of quantum coherence [7], thermodynamics [8], and purity [9]. As we will see, resource dilution provides an advantage in these quantum resource theories as well.

**Reducing entanglement loss under local noise.** Consider two remote parties, Alice and Bob, who share  $n$  singlets  $|\psi^-\rangle = (|01\rangle - |10\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ . We assume that Bob's quantum memory is not perfect, each qubit undergoing local noise  $\Lambda$ . After the action of the noise, Alice and Bob end up with  $n$  copies of the noisy state  $\rho = \mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[|\psi^-\rangle]$ . For large  $n$ , they can distill the states  $\rho$  into  $nE_d(\rho)$  singlets, where  $E_d$  is the distillable entanglement [1, 10]. Since Alice and Bob started with  $n$  singlets,  $n[1 - E_d(\rho)]$  is the number of singlets lost due to the imperfections of Bob's quantum memory.

Can Alice and Bob reduce the loss of entanglement by pre-processing their quantum systems accordingly? As we will see, this is indeed possible if Alice and Bob dilute their singlets into states with little entanglement, see Fig. 1. By using LOCC, Alice and Bob can dilute their  $n$  singlets into  $n/S(\psi^A)$  copies of a weakly entangled state  $|\psi\rangle$ . We assume that this dilution procedure can be achieved before the action of the noise. Note that the number of diluted states  $|\psi\rangle$  is larger than the number of singlets  $n$ , and each of the additional qubits of Bob is also subject to the same noise  $\Lambda$ , see Fig. 1. After the action of the noise, Alice and Bob end up sharing  $n/S(\psi^A)$  copies of the state  $\sigma = \mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[|\psi\rangle]$ , which they can distill into singlets at rate  $E_d(\sigma)$ . Overall, in the limit of large  $n$ , Alice and Bob can obtain  $nE_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[|\psi\rangle])/S(\psi^A)$  singlets using the dilution procedure.

From the above discussion, it is clear that the dilution provides an advantage whenever the inequality

$$\frac{E_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[|\psi\rangle])}{S(\psi^A)} > E_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[|\psi^-\rangle]) \quad (1)$$




Figure 1. Applying entanglement dilution to reduce the loss of entanglement under local noise. Figure **a**) shows the setup without dilution: a singlet  $|\psi^-\rangle$  is subject to local noise on Bob's side, resulting in the state  $\rho = \mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi^-]$ . In our example, Alice and Bob can distill  $\rho$  into singlets at rate  $1/3$ . In figure **b**), Alice and Bob first dilute their singlets into weakly entangled states  $|\psi\rangle$ . Each of these states undergoes the same local noise as in figure **a**), resulting in the states  $\sigma = \mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi]$ , which can then again be distilled into singlets. The overall singlet rate is  $2/3$ , showing an improvement over the setup in figure **a**).

holds for some state  $|\psi\rangle$ . As we will now see, the dilution procedure can indeed provide an advantage, even if the diluted states  $|\psi\rangle$  exhibit arbitrarily little entanglement. We assume that Bob's qubits are subject to phase damping described by  $\Lambda[\rho] = K_0\rho K_0^\dagger + K_1\rho K_1^\dagger$ , with Kraus operators

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . We consider a situation when Alice and Bob dilute their singlets into pure states  $|\psi\rangle = \cos\alpha|00\rangle + \sin\alpha|11\rangle$ . In Fig. 2, we show both sides of the inequality (1) for  $\lambda = 1/2$  as a function of  $\alpha$ , see Supplemental Material for a more detailed analysis. We see that diluting the singlets provides an advantage for all  $\alpha$  in the range  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/4$ . Moreover, the performance of dilution increases with decreasing  $\alpha$ , reaching its maximal value for  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . This behavior is surprising, as for  $\alpha = 0$  the state is not entangled.

A more general strategy to reduce the loss of entanglement is to dilute singlets into copies of a noisy state  $\rho$ . Using the same arguments as above, the overall singlet rate in this procedure is given by  $E_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\rho])/E_c(\rho)$ , where  $E_c$  is the entanglement cost. An interesting question which is left open in this article is whether the dilution into noisy states can provide an advantage when compared to the dilution into pure states. As we will see below, in other quantum resource theories, diluting into noisy states can indeed improve the performance of the procedure.

The results presented above are highly counterintuitive, especially if we compare them to other results investigating the role of weakly entangled states for entanglement distribution. As has been noticed in [11, 12], for some noisy qubit channels  $\Lambda$  there exist non-maximally entangled states  $|\psi\rangle$  such that  $E(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi]) > E(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi^-])$ , where  $E$  is a suitably chosen quantifier of entanglement. As has been further shown in [12], this effect never appears for Pauli channels, i.e., a quantum channels of the type  $\Lambda[\rho] = \sum_{i=0}^3 p_i \sigma_i \rho \sigma_i$  with  $\sigma_0 = \mathbb{1}$ . In

this situation, it is true that  $E(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi^-]) \geq E(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\rho])$  for any bipartite state  $\rho$  and any entanglement quantifier  $E$  [12]. Noting that the noise defined in Eq. (2) also corresponds to a Pauli channel, we see that the problem considered in our article is significantly different from entanglement distribution via noisy channels. While maximally entangled states are optimal resource for entanglement distribution via a noisy channel of the form (2), they are not necessarily an optimal choice to reduce the entanglement loss under the same type of noise.

**Reducing the loss of coherence.** We will now investigate the usefulness of resource dilution for preserving quantum coherence. Here, we assume that an initial collection of  $n$  qubits in a maximally coherent state  $|+\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$  undergoes




Figure 2. Reducing entanglement loss under local phase damping by diluting into pure states  $|\psi\rangle = \cos\alpha|00\rangle + \sin\alpha|11\rangle$ . Solid curve shows  $R = E_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi])/S(\psi^A)$  as a function of  $\alpha$  for noise parameter  $\lambda = 1/2$ . This corresponds to the singlet rate achievable via dilution into  $|\psi\rangle$ . Dashed line shows the corresponding singlet rate  $E_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi^-])$  if no dilution is applied. Maximal performance is achieved in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

a local decoherence process  $\Lambda$  (which we will specify later), leading to  $n$  copies of a final state  $\rho = \Lambda[|+\rangle\langle +|]$ . Similar to entanglement, we will now see that the loss of coherence can be reduced by diluting the maximally coherent states into weakly coherent ones.

In the following, we will focus on the resource theory of coherence based on maximally incoherent operations [7, 13]. We take the free states to be diagonal in the reference basis  $\{|i\rangle\}$ , and the free operations to be all operations which do not create coherence in the reference basis. This is the largest set of operations which is compatible with any reasonable resource theory of quantum coherence, we refer to the Supplemental Material for more details.

After each of the qubits undergoes the decoherence process, in the limit of large  $n$  it is possible to distill the resulting states  $\rho$  into maximally coherent states at rate  $C(\rho) = S(\Delta[\rho]) - S(\rho)$  [14] with  $\Delta[\rho] = \sum_i \langle i|\rho|i\rangle |i\rangle\langle i|$ . Similar to entanglement dilution, it is possible to perform coherence dilution, converting the maximally coherent states into weakly coherent states  $\mu$  at rate  $1/C(\mu)$ . Letting these states undergo the decoherence process  $\Lambda$ , the overall rate of maximally coherent states obtainable after the action of the noise is given by  $C(\Lambda[\mu])/C(\mu)$ . As we will see in the following, dilution is useful for protecting a system from a decoherence process. Moreover, we will see that for some types of noise it is advantageous to dilute the states  $|+\rangle$  into mixed states with little coherence.

We will demonstrate this effect for the single-qubit amplitude damping, which is represented by the Kraus operators

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\gamma} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

In Fig. 3, we show the final rate of maximally coherent states achievable without dilution, with dilution into pure qubit states of the form  $|\psi\rangle = \cos \alpha |0\rangle + \sin \alpha |1\rangle$ , and into mixed states of the form  $\mu = \sin^2 \alpha |+\rangle\langle +| + \cos^2 \alpha \mathbb{1}/2$  for  $\gamma = 0.9$ . As we see from Fig. 3, by diluting into pure qubit states it is possible to extract maximally coherent states at an overall rate of 0.15, which is achieved for  $\alpha \approx 0.34$ . This is also the maximal possible rate achievable by dilution into pure qubit states, see Supplemental Materials for more details. In contrast to this, diluting into the mixed state  $\mu$  achieves maximal performance in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , leading to an overall coherence rate  $\log(19)/18 \approx 0.16$ . Noting that the state  $\mu$  is maximally mixed in this limit, this result is highly counterintuitive, as it means that the best performance is obtained by creating a large number of states which are almost maximally mixed.

**Reducing the loss of energy and coherence in quantum thermodynamics.** As we will now see, the ideas presented above are also applicable to the resource theory of quantum thermodynamics. Here, we consider a quantum system  $S$  with Hamiltonian  $H_S$ , and the corresponding Gibbs state  $\gamma^S = e^{-\beta H_S} / \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H_S}]$  at the inverse temperature  $\beta = 1/kT$ . The Gibbs state is the free state of the resource theory of quantum thermodynamics, and the free transformations are known




Figure 3. Reducing the loss of coherence by dilution for single-qubit amplitude damping noise. We show the rate  $R = C(\Lambda[\mu])/C(\mu)$  by dilution into pure states  $\cos \alpha |0\rangle + \sin \alpha |1\rangle$  (solid curve) and mixed states  $\sin^2 \alpha |+\rangle\langle +| + \cos^2 \alpha \mathbb{1}/2$  (dashed curve) for  $\gamma = 0.9$  as a function of  $\alpha$ . Using dilution into pure qubit states, best performance is achieved for  $\alpha \approx 0.34$ , with coherence rate  $R \approx 0.15$ . By diluting into mixed states, it is possible to obtain coherence at rate  $R = \log(19)/18 \approx 0.16$ , which is achieved in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . Dotted line shows the coherence rate  $R = C(\Lambda[|+\rangle\langle +|]) \approx 0.13$  achievable without dilution.

as thermal operations [15]. These are transformation of the system which can be implemented by coupling the system to a thermal bath with Hamiltonian  $H_B$  and applying an energy preserving unitary:  $\Lambda[\rho^S] = \text{Tr}_B[U(\rho^S \otimes \gamma^B)U^\dagger]$ , where  $[U, H_S + H_B] = 0$ . Thermal operations preserve the Gibbs state and do not increase the Helmholtz free energy of the system [16, 17].

If  $n$  copies of a quantum state  $\rho$  are available, then in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by using thermal operations it is possible to convert  $\rho$  into a state  $\sigma$  which is diagonal in the eigenbasis of  $H_S$  at rate [17]

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) = \frac{S(\rho||\gamma)}{S(\sigma||\gamma)} \quad (4)$$

with the quantum relative entropy  $S(\rho||\gamma) = \text{Tr}[\rho \log_2 \rho] - \text{Tr}[\rho \log_2 \gamma]$ . If  $\sigma$  is not diagonal in the energy eigenbasis, the conversion is possible at the same rate by using thermal operations together with a sublinear number of qubits with coherence [17]. It is possible to relax the set of free transformation to be Gibbs-preserving, i.e., making the only requirement that they leave the Gibbs state invariant [18]. In this case, asymptotic transformations are also characterized by Eq. (4), as follows from results in [19]. In contrast to thermal operations, no additional coherence is required in this setup.

In the following, we will focus on qubit systems with Hamiltonian  $H = E_0 |E_0\rangle\langle E_0| + E_1 |E_1\rangle\langle E_1|$  at temperature  $T$ , where  $|E_i\rangle$  are the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian with eigenvalues  $E_i$ , and  $E_0$  is the ground state energy. Consider now  $n$  qubits, initialized in the excited state  $|E_1\rangle$ . We assume that each of the qubits is subject to a *thermal noise*  $\Lambda$ , i.e., noise which does not create coherence in the eigenbasis of  $H_S$  and

does not increase the Helmholtz free energy of the system. As we will now see, diluting the excited state  $|E_1\rangle$  into noisy states can provide an advantage, making the overall  $n$  qubit system more robust against the action of thermal noise.

In analogy to our previous results for entanglement and coherence, by diluting  $n$  copies of the excited states into  $\mu$  before the action of the noise it is possible to obtain excited states at the overall rate  $S(\Lambda[\mu]||\gamma)/S(\mu||\gamma)$ . Dilution of the excited state into  $\mu$  provides an advantage whenever

$$\frac{S(\Lambda[\mu]||\gamma)}{S(\mu||\gamma)} > \frac{S(\Lambda[|E_1\rangle\langle E_1|]||\gamma)}{S(|E_1\rangle\langle E_1|||\gamma)}. \quad (5)$$

Consider now noise of the form

$$\Lambda[\rho] = p\gamma + (1-p)\Delta[\rho], \quad (6)$$

with  $\Delta[\rho] = \sum_i \langle E_i|\rho|E_i\rangle |E_i\rangle\langle E_i|$  and  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ . It is clear that the noise  $\Lambda$  is thermal, as it destroys all coherence eventually available in the state  $\rho$  and does not increase the Helmholtz free energy of the system. For this type of noise, optimal performance can be achieved by diluting the excited state into a diagonal state:

$$\mu = (1-q)|E_0\rangle\langle E_0| + q|E_1\rangle\langle E_1|, \quad (7)$$

we refer to the Supplemental Material for more details.

Our previous results for the resource theories of entanglement and coherence suggest that dilution procedure shows optimal performance in the limit of the resource-free states. We will see that this is no longer the case in quantum thermodynamics, where the optimal states can be far away from the Gibbs state. This can be seen from Fig. 4, where we show the overall rate  $S(\Lambda[\mu]||\gamma)/S(\mu||\gamma)$  for diagonal states  $\mu$  as a function of  $q$  for  $T = 0.3$  and  $p = 0.9$ . The optimal value of  $q$  in this case is given by 0.85, whereas the weight of the excited state for the Gibbs state is 0.03. Thus, the optimal state  $\mu$  in this case is far away from the resource-free state.

We further note that any state of the form (7) is optimal for some thermal noise, i.e., for any value  $0 < q < 1$  there exists a thermal noise such that diluting into  $\mu$  achieves optimal performance. Numerical evidence for this is provided in the Supplemental Material.

So far we have focused on transformations between states which are diagonal in the energy eigenbasis. We will now go one step further, assuming that the initial state has coherence, i.e.,  $|\psi\rangle = \cos\alpha|E_0\rangle + \sin\alpha|E_1\rangle$ . Our goal now is to protect this state from decoherence in the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian, i.e., from thermal noise with Kraus operators as given in Eq. (2). Protecting  $|\psi\rangle$  from decoherence can be achieved by transforming the state into some diagonal state  $\mu$  of the form (7). Note that any diagonal state is invariant under decoherence. For large number of copies of the initial state, the conversion into  $\mu$  is possible via thermal operations at rate  $S(\psi||\gamma)/S(\mu||\gamma)$  [17], where we assume that  $\mu \neq \gamma$ . Moreover, it is possible to convert  $\mu$  back into  $|\psi\rangle$  via Gibbs-preserving operations at rate  $S(\mu||\gamma)/S(\psi||\gamma)$  [19]. For transformations via thermal operations, additionally a sublinear number of




Figure 4. Reducing the loss of free energy of the excited states under thermal noise given in Eq. (6) by diluting them into diagonal states of the form (7). The curve shows the final rate of excited states  $R = S(\Lambda[\mu]||\gamma)/S(\mu||\gamma)$  as a function of  $q$  for  $T = 0.3$  and  $p = 0.9$ . Maximal performance is achieved for  $q \approx 0.85$ .

qubits with coherence is required [17]. The overall procedure is reversible in the asymptotic limit. Thus, it is possible to completely protect a system from decoherence in the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian using Gibbs-preserving operations. The same is true for thermal operations, if we have access to a sublinear number of qubits with coherence.

Another resource theory which is closely related to quantum thermodynamics is the resource theory of purity [9, 20]. Here, the free state is the maximally mixed state  $\mathbb{1}/d$  and the free operations are unital, i.e.,  $\Lambda[\mathbb{1}/d] = \mathbb{1}/d$ . Asymptotic transformation rates in this theory are given by Eq. (4) if we set  $\gamma = \mathbb{1}/d$  [9]. By the same arguments as above, we see that the rate for preserving a pure state from unital noise  $\Lambda$  by diluting it into a noisy state  $\mu$  is given by  $S(\Lambda[\mu]||\mathbb{1}/d)/S(\mu||\mathbb{1}/d)$ .

We will now focus on single-qubit settings. Consider single-qubit depolarizing noise  $\Lambda[\rho] = p\mathbb{1}/2 + (1-p)\rho$ . If we want to protect the system from this noise by diluting it into a state  $\mu$ , it is enough to consider diagonal states of the form  $\mu = (1-q)|0\rangle\langle 0| + q|1\rangle\langle 1|$ . In Fig. 5 we show the behavior of  $S(\Lambda[\mu]||\mathbb{1}/2)/S(\mu||\mathbb{1}/2)$  as a function of  $q$  for  $p = 1/2$ . As we prove in the Supplemental Material, for any  $0 < p < 1$  the rate is maximal in the limit  $q \rightarrow 1/2$ . Note that the state  $\mu$  is maximally mixed in this limit, thus the performance increases with increased level of dilution. As we discuss in the Supplemental Material, similar statement can be made for general depolarizing noise of a  $d$ -dimensional systems.

Our discussion on the resource theory of purity has so far focused on single-qubit systems. Recalling that in our procedure unital transformations are performed onto a large number of qubits, it is reasonable to ask if establishing correlations between the qubits can enhance the performance of the protocol. In particular, instead of diluting  $n$  pure qubit states into noisy states of a single qubit, one could transform them into some correlated  $k$ -qubit states  $\mu_k$ . Analogously to the previous discussion, in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the overall




Figure 5. Reducing the loss of purity under depolarization  $\Lambda[\rho] = p\mathbb{1}/2 + (1-p)\rho$  by diluting pure states into noisy states  $\mu = (1-q)|0\rangle\langle 0| + q|1\rangle\langle 1|$  via unital operations. The curve shows the rate  $R = S(\Lambda[\mu]||\mathbb{1}/2)/S(\mu||\mathbb{1}/2)$  as a function of  $q$  for  $p = 1/2$ . Maximal performance is achieved in the limit  $q \rightarrow 1/2$ .

rate of pure states obtainable in this procedure is given by  $S(\Lambda^{\otimes k}[\mu_k]||\mathbb{1}_{2^k}/2^k)/S(\mu_k||\mathbb{1}_{2^k}/2^k)$ . Can correlations in the diluted state  $\mu_k$  increase the performance of the procedure? As we discuss in the Supplemental Material, correlations are not useful when the state  $\mu_k$  is pure. This result shows that diluting into noisy states provides an advantage even if correlations are taken into account.

**General quantum resource theories and strategies beyond dilution.** In a general quantum resource theory, asymptotic conversion between states is determined by conversion rates  $R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma)$ , we refer to the Supplemental Material for a formal definition. In general, our goal is to preserve a noisy quantum system in the pure state  $|\psi\rangle$ , where the noise is described by  $\Lambda$ , and we assume that  $\Lambda$  does not generate the resource under consideration. If  $n$  copies of the state  $|\psi\rangle$  are subject to noise, then in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the resulting states  $\Lambda[\psi]$  can be converted back into the original state  $|\psi\rangle$  at rate  $R(\Lambda[\psi] \rightarrow \psi)$ .

In analogy to our discussion for entanglement, coherence, and thermodynamics, we assume that it is possible to dilute  $n$  copies of the initial state  $|\psi\rangle$  into another state  $\mu$  before the action of the noise. For large  $n$ , this is possible at the rate  $R(\psi \rightarrow \mu)$ . The resulting state  $\mu$  is then subject to noise  $\Lambda$ . Since our goal is to preserve the system in the initial state  $|\psi\rangle$ , the state  $\Lambda[\mu]$  is converted back into  $|\psi\rangle$ . The overall rate of the conversion procedure (i.e. the number of final states  $|\psi\rangle$  per copy of the initial state) is given by  $R(\psi \rightarrow \mu) \times R(\Lambda[\mu] \rightarrow \psi)$ . Diluting into the state  $\mu$  provides an advantage whenever

$$R(\psi \rightarrow \mu) \times R(\Lambda[\mu] \rightarrow \psi) > R(\Lambda[\psi] \rightarrow \psi). \quad (8)$$

If the resource theory is reversible, i.e.,  $R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \times R(\sigma \rightarrow \rho) = 1$  for any two resource states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ , then the overall rate  $R(\psi \rightarrow \mu) \times R(\Lambda[\mu] \rightarrow \psi)$  is the same for all resource states  $|\psi\rangle$ , we refer to the Supplemental Material for more details.

For the resource theories of entanglement, coherence, quantum thermodynamics, and purity we have seen that resource

dilution provides an advantage for protecting a quantum system from noise. However, dilution is not the most general strategy. For simplicity, we will focus on the resource theory of entanglement, but the presented ideas are also applicable to other resource theories. In particular, we assume that Alice and Bob share  $n$  singlets initially, aiming to protect them from local qubit noise on Bob's side. The most general strategy is to first convert the  $n$  singlets into  $2m$ -qubit state  $\rho_{2m}$ , where Alice and Bob each hold  $m$  of the qubits. After the action of noise, Alice and Bob end up sharing the state  $\mathbb{1}^{\otimes m} \otimes \Lambda^{\otimes m}[\rho_{2m}]$ . In the final step, they perform local operations and classical communication, aiming to convert the state  $\mathbb{1}^{\otimes m} \otimes \Lambda^{\otimes m}[\rho_{2m}]$  into  $k$  singlets. The maximal possible singlet rate  $k/n$  achievable in this process can be seen as a figure of merit in this task, which we term *entanglement protection rate*.

Here we provide a formal definition of the entanglement protection rate for any type of quantum noise  $\Lambda$  acting on a Hilbert space of dimension  $d$ . Let  $\Phi_1$  be an LOCC protocol which takes  $2n$  qubits as an input and gives  $2m$  quantum systems of dimension  $d$  at the output, and in both cases two halves of the system belong to Alice and Bob, respectively. Similarly,  $\Phi_2$  is an LOCC protocol which acts on  $2m$  quantum systems of dimension  $d$ , producing a quantum state of  $2k$  qubits at the output. Recalling the definition of quantum fidelity  $F(\rho, \sigma) = \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho^{1/2}\sigma\rho^{1/2}}]$ , we are now ready to define the single-shot fidelity for entanglement protection:

$$F(\Lambda, n, k) = \sup_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2} F(\Phi_2[\mathbb{1}^{\otimes m} \otimes \Lambda^{\otimes m}(\Phi_1[|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|^{\otimes n})], |\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|^{\otimes k}). \quad (9)$$

With this, we define the entanglement protection rate of  $\Lambda$  as follows:

$$E_p(\Lambda) = \sup \left\{ r : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\Lambda, n, \lfloor rn \rfloor) = 1 \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Clearly, the entanglement protection rate is lower bounded by the rate achieved via entanglement dilution procedure described above in this article:

$$E_p(\Lambda) \geq \sup_{\rho} \frac{E_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\rho])}{E_c(\rho)}, \quad (11)$$

where the supremum is taken over all bipartite states  $\rho$ . It remains an open question if there exist procedures which are strictly more powerful than dilution. The same question is open for general quantum resource theories, by extending the entanglement protection rate to a resource protection rate in a straightforward way.

**Conclusions.** We have seen that diluting quantum resources has practical applications for protecting a quantum system from noise. This applies for entanglement, where diluting singlets into weakly entangled states can make the system more resilient against the action of local noise. Similar effects were found in the resource theories of coherence, quantum thermodynamics, and purity. Even more, for these resource theories we found that for some types of noise it is



advantageous to dilute the system into a noisy state. This result is highly counterintuitive, as it demonstrates that noisy states can outperform pure states in quantum information processing tasks.

Several intriguing questions are left open in this work. One such open question concerns the role of noisy states in entanglement dilution. While we have seen that diluting a singlet into a weakly entangled pure state is advantageous for some types of local noise, it remains unclear if dilution into a noisy entangled state can perform even better. Moreover, our results suggest that resource dilution might be useful for most quantum resource theories, and for most types of resource non-generating noise. To prove these statements is left open for future research.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Tulja Varun Kondra for discussion. This work was supported by the “Quantum Coherence and Entanglement for Quantum Technology” project, carried out within the First Team programme of the Foundation for Polish Science co-financed by the European Union under the European Regional Development Fund.

---

\* [a.streltsov@cent.uw.edu.pl](mailto:a.streltsov@cent.uw.edu.pl)

- [1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Quantum entanglement, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 865 (2009).
- [2] A. K. Ekert, Quantum cryptography based on Bell’s theorem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 661 (1991).
- [3] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, S. Popescu, B. Schumacher, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, Purification of Noisy Entanglement and Faithful Teleportation via Noisy Channels, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 722 (1996).
- [4] C. H. Bennett, H. J. Bernstein, S. Popescu, and B. Schumacher, Concentrating partial entanglement by local operations, *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 2046 (1996).
- [5] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Mixed-State Entanglement and Distillation: Is there a “Bound” Entanglement in Nature?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5239 (1998).
- [6] E. Chitambar and G. Gour, Quantum resource theories, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 025001 (2019).
- [7] A. Streltsov, G. Adesso, and M. B. Plenio, Colloquium: Quantum coherence as a resource, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 041003 (2017).
- [8] J. Goold, M. Huber, A. Riera, L. del Rio, and P. Skrzypczyk, The role of quantum information in thermodynamics - a topical review, *J. Phys. A* **49**, 143001 (2016).
- [9] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and J. Oppenheim, Reversible transformations from pure to mixed states and the unique measure of information, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 062104 (2003).
- [10] M. B. Plenio and S. Virmani, An introduction to entanglement measures, *Quant. Inf. Comput.* **7**, 1 (2007), [arXiv:quant-ph/0504163](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0504163).
- [11] R. Pal, S. Bandyopadhyay, and S. Ghosh, Entanglement sharing through noisy qubit channels: One-shot optimal singlet fraction, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 052304 (2014).
- [12] A. Streltsov, R. Augusiak, M. Demianowicz, and M. Lewenstein, Progress towards a unified approach to entanglement distribution, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 012335 (2015).
- [13] J. Aberg, Quantifying Superposition, [arXiv:quant-ph/0612146](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0612146) (2006).
- [14] A. Winter and D. Yang, Operational Resource Theory of Coherence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 120404 (2016).
- [15] D. Janzing, P. Wocjan, R. Zeier, R. Geiss, and T. Beth, Thermodynamic Cost of Reliability and Low Temperatures: Tightening Landauer’s Principle and the Second Law, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **39**, 2717 (2000).
- [16] M. Horodecki and J. Oppenheim, Fundamental limitations for quantum and nanoscale thermodynamics, *Nature Communications* **4**, 2059 (2013).
- [17] F. G. S. L. Brandão, M. Horodecki, J. Oppenheim, J. M. Renes, and R. W. Spekkens, Resource Theory of Quantum States Out of Thermal Equilibrium, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 250404 (2013).
- [18] P. Faist, J. Oppenheim, and R. Renner, Gibbs-preserving maps outperform thermal operations in the quantum regime, *New J. Phys.* **17**, 043003 (2015).
- [19] X. Wang and M. M. Wilde, Resource theory of asymmetric distinguishability, *Phys. Rev. Research* **1**, 033170 (2019).
- [20] G. Gour, M. P. Müller, V. Narasimhachar, R. W. Spekkens, and N. Younger Halpern, The resource theory of informational nonequilibrium in thermodynamics, *Physics Reports* **583**, 1 (2015).
- [21] M. Horodecki and J. Oppenheim, (Quantumness in the context of) Resource Theories, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **27**, 1345019 (2013).
- [22] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, Mixed-state entanglement and quantum error correction, *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 3824 (1996).
- [23] R. F. Werner, Quantum states with Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen correlations admitting a hidden-variable model, *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 4277 (1989).
- [24] T. Baumgratz, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Quantifying Coherence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 140401 (2014).
- [25] Throughout this article, we set  $k = 1$ .
- [26] G. Vidal and J. I. Cirac, Irreversibility in Asymptotic Manipulations of Entanglement, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 5803 (2001).
- [27] L. Lami and B. Regula, No second law of entanglement manipulation after all, [arXiv:2111.02438](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.02438) (2021).
- [28] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Limits for Entanglement Measures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2014 (2000).
- [29] I. Devetak and A. Winter, Distillation of secret key and entanglement from quantum states, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **461**, 207 (2005).
- [30] C. King, Additivity for unital qubit channels, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 4641 (2002).

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

### Asymptotic state conversion in general quantum resource theories

A general quantum resource theory is defined via a set of free states  $\mathcal{F}$  and the set of free operations  $\{\Lambda_f\}$ . Any state which is not element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is called resource state. Typically,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a convex subset of all quantum states. Moreover, the free operations  $\Lambda_f$  cannot convert free states into resource states. For a general resource theory, asymptotic conversion rate between two resource states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  can be defined as [21]

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) = \sup \left\{ r : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf_{\{\Lambda_f\}} \left\| \Lambda_f[\rho^{\otimes n}] - \sigma^{\otimes \lfloor rn \rfloor} \right\|_1 \right) = 0 \right\} \quad (12)$$

with the trace norm  $\|M\|_1 = \text{Tr} \sqrt{M^\dagger M}$ .

In the resource theory of entanglement, free operations are typically assumed to be local operations and classical communication (LOCC), corresponding to a setting where the remote parties can apply general local measurements, and communicate the outcomes of the measurements via a classical channel [22]. The free states of this theory are separable states  $\rho_{\text{sep}} = \sum_i p_i \rho_i^A \otimes \rho_i^B$  [23]. In this theory, asymptotic conversion rates are known for transformations between bipartite pure states [4]:

$$R(\psi^{AB} \rightarrow \phi^{AB}) = \frac{S(\psi^A)}{S(\phi^A)}. \quad (13)$$

The general conversion rates are related to the distillable entanglement and entanglement cost [10] of a state  $\rho$  as follows:

$$E_d(\rho) = R(\rho \rightarrow \psi^-) \quad (14)$$

$$E_c(\rho) = \frac{1}{R(\psi^- \rightarrow \rho)} \quad (15)$$

In the resource theory of coherence, the free states are diagonal in a reference basis  $\{|i\rangle\}$  [7, 13, 24]. The set of all states diagonal in the reference basis will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I}$ . While different sets of free operations have been defined in the literature [7], we focus on maximally incoherent operations in this article. These are all operations which cannot create coherence from diagonal states, i.e.,  $\Lambda[\sigma] \in \mathcal{I}$  for any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$  [13]. Asymptotic conversion rates under maximally incoherent operations are known for transition between all quantum states [14]:

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) = \frac{C(\rho)}{C(\sigma)} \quad (16)$$

with the relative entropy of coherence [24]

$$C(\rho) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{I}} S(\rho \|\sigma) = S(\Delta[\rho]) - S(\rho). \quad (17)$$

In the resource theory of quantum thermodynamics, we consider a quantum system  $S$  with Hamiltonian  $H_S$ . The free

state is the Gibbs state

$$\gamma^S = \frac{e^{-\beta H_S}}{\text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H_S}]} \quad (18)$$

with the inverse temperature  $\beta = 1/kT$  [25]. The free operations of this theory can be implemented by coupling the system to a thermal bath with Hamiltonian  $H_B$  and applying an energy preserving unitary [15]:

$$\Lambda[\rho^S] = \text{Tr}_B[U(\rho^S \otimes \gamma^B)U^\dagger], \quad (19)$$

where  $\gamma^B$  is the Gibbs state of the bath, and the unitary  $U$  fulfills  $[U, H_S + H_B] = 0$ . Transformations of the form (19) are known as thermal operations. Asymptotic conversion rates in this theory are given by [17]

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) = \frac{S(\rho \|\gamma)}{S(\sigma \|\gamma)}, \quad (20)$$

where the final state  $\sigma$  is diagonal in the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian. For a general state  $\sigma$  conversion is possible at the same rate if a sublinear number of qubits with coherence is provided [17].

A resource theory is called reversible if asymptotic transitions between any resource states are possible in a lossless way, i.e., if for any pair of resource states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  the following equality holds [21]

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \times R(\sigma \rightarrow \rho) = 1. \quad (21)$$

From the above discussion it is clear that the resource theory of purity and the resource theory of coherence based on maximally incoherent operations are reversible. While the resource theory of entanglement is reversible for bipartite pure states, it is not reversible in general [26], even if all non-entangling operations are taken into account [27]. In the following, we assume that asymptotic transformations between any two resource states are possible with non-zero rate, as is the case in most quantum resource theories.

Note that for any reversible theory, the following equality holds for any three resource states  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $\tau$ :

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \times R(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) = R(\rho \rightarrow \tau). \quad (22)$$

This can be seen by contradiction, assuming that the equality does not hold for some resource states. Let us first assume that

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \times R(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) < R(\rho \rightarrow \tau), \quad (23)$$

which implies that

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) < \frac{R(\rho \rightarrow \tau)}{R(\sigma \rightarrow \tau)} = R(\rho \rightarrow \tau) \times R(\tau \rightarrow \sigma), \quad (24)$$

where the last equality was obtained by using Eq. (21). This is a contradiction, since it is always possible to convert  $\rho$  into  $\sigma$  via the state  $\tau$ , i.e.,  $R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \geq R(\rho \rightarrow \tau) \times R(\tau \rightarrow \sigma)$ . The remaining case

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \times R(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) > R(\rho \rightarrow \tau) \quad (25)$$

is treated similarly. Also in this case we obtain a contradiction, as it is always possible to convert  $\rho$  into  $\tau$  via the state  $\sigma$ .

Using the above results, we can show that for any reversible resource theory the performance of the dilution procedure does not depend on the initial state, i.e.,

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \mu) \times R(\Lambda[\mu] \rightarrow \rho) = R(\sigma \rightarrow \mu) \times R(\Lambda[\mu] \rightarrow \sigma) \quad (26)$$

for any two resource states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ . This can be seen directly using Eq. (22):

$$\begin{aligned} R(\rho \rightarrow \mu) \times R(\Lambda[\mu] \rightarrow \rho) &= R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \times R(\sigma \rightarrow \mu) \\ &\quad \times R(\Lambda[\mu] \rightarrow \sigma) \times R(\sigma \rightarrow \rho) \\ &= R(\sigma \rightarrow \mu) \times R(\Lambda[\mu] \rightarrow \sigma). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Under few minimal assumptions, it was shown in [21] that for any reversible resource theory the asymptotic conversion rates are given by

$$R(\rho \rightarrow \sigma) = \frac{E_r^\infty(\rho)}{E_r^\infty(\sigma)}, \quad (28)$$

where  $E_r^\infty(\rho) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_r(\rho^{\otimes n})/n$ , and  $E_r(\rho)$  denotes the minimal relative entropy between  $\rho$  and the set of free states  $\mathcal{F}$ :  $E_r(\rho) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} S(\rho \parallel \sigma)$ . This applies to the resource theory of purity and the resource theory of coherence based on maximally incoherent operations. Also, in the resource theory of quantum thermodynamics the conversion rates are given by expressions of the form (28).

### Advantage of entanglement dilution

We consider single-qubit phase damping on Bob's side with Kraus operators as given in Eq. (2). If no dilution is applied, after the action of the noise Alice and Bob can obtain singlets at rate  $E_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi^-])$ . Since the state  $\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi^-]$  is maximally correlated, we can evaluate its distillable entanglement [28, 29]:

$$E_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi^-]) = 1 - h\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda}}{2}\right) \quad (29)$$

with the binary entropy  $h(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1 - x) \log_2 (1 - x)$ .

We will now show that a better performance can be achieved if Alice and Bob first dilute their singlets into states of the form  $|\psi\rangle = \cos \alpha |00\rangle + \sin \alpha |11\rangle$ . The state  $\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi]$  is also maximally correlated, and its distillable entanglement is given as [28, 29]

$$E_d(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda[\psi]) = h(\cos^2 \alpha) - h\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda \cos(4\alpha) - 2\lambda + 4}}{4}\right). \quad (30)$$

With these results, we can evaluate both sides of the inequality (1), the result is shown in Fig. 2.

### Minimal loss of coherence for pure states and single-qubit amplitude damping noise

In Fig. 3 of the main text we show the overall coherence rate  $C(\Lambda[\psi])/C(\psi)$  for single-qubit amplitude damping noise and pure states of the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \cos \alpha |0\rangle + \sin \alpha |1\rangle \quad (31)$$

as a function of  $\alpha$ , where the maximal rate  $R \approx 0.15$  was attained for  $\alpha \approx 0.34$ . We will now show that this is indeed the maximal possible rate achievable by coherence dilution into pure qubit states. For this, it is enough to notice that any other qubit state can be obtained from a state of the form (31) by applying a diagonal unitary. Note that the amplitude damping noise commutes with any such unitary, and moreover the relative entropy of coherence  $C$  is invariant under diagonal unitaries. We thus obtain

$$\frac{C(\Lambda[U\psi U^\dagger])}{C(U\psi U^\dagger)} = \frac{C(\Lambda[\psi])}{C(\psi)}, \quad (32)$$

which proves the claim.

### Quantum thermodynamics

#### Optimal states for thermal noise in Eq. (6)

Here we will prove that for noise of the form (6) the optimal strategy is to dilute the excited state into a diagonal state of the form (7). For proving this, note that  $\Lambda$  has the following property:  $\Lambda(\Delta[\rho]) = \Lambda[\rho]$ . This implies that

$$S(\Lambda(\Delta[\mu]) \parallel \gamma) = S(\Lambda[\mu] \parallel \gamma). \quad (33)$$

for any state  $\mu$ . In the next step, we express the quantum relative entropy as follows:

$$S(\rho \parallel \gamma) = -S(\rho) - \text{Tr}[\Delta(\rho) \log_2 \gamma]. \quad (34)$$

Recall that the von Neumann entropy does not decrease under dephasing:  $S(\Delta[\mu]) \geq S(\mu)$ , with equality if and only if  $\Delta[\mu] = \mu$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Delta[\mu] \parallel \gamma) &= -S(\Delta[\mu]) - \text{Tr}[\Delta(\mu) \log_2 \gamma] \\ &\leq -S(\mu) - \text{Tr}[\Delta(\mu) \log_2 \gamma] = S(\mu \parallel \gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

with equality if and only if  $\Delta[\mu] = \mu$ . Combining the above results, we see that

$$\frac{S(\Lambda[\mu] \parallel \gamma)}{S(\mu \parallel \gamma)} \leq \frac{S(\Lambda[\Delta[\mu]] \parallel \gamma)}{S(\Delta[\mu] \parallel \gamma)}. \quad (36)$$

For  $p < 1$  equality is attained if and only if  $\mu$  is diagonal in the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian. This proves that optimal performance is achieved on diagonal states of the form (7).






Figure 6. Optimal states for thermal noise given in Eq. (6). The curves show optimal parameter  $q_{\max}$  [see Eq. (7)] as a function of temperature  $T$  for  $p = 0.5$  (solid curve),  $p = 0.9$  (dashed curve) and  $p = 0.99$  (dotted curve).

#### Every qubit state is optimal for some thermal noise

Here we will present numerical evidence that for every noisy qubit state  $\mu$  there exists some thermal noise  $\Lambda$  such that diluting the excited state into  $\mu$  provides optimal performance. For this, we consider noise of the form (6), which depends on the parameter  $p$  and temperature  $T$ . Recalling that the optimal state  $\mu$  is diagonal in the energy eigenbasis [see Eq. (7)], we numerically estimate the optimal parameter  $q$  for different values of  $p$  and  $T$ . The result is shown in Fig. 6, where we display the maximal value of  $q$  as a function of temperature  $T$  for  $p = 0.5$ ,  $p = 0.9$ , and  $p = 0.99$ . As is visible from the figure, any value of  $q$  is optimal for some  $p$  and  $T$ .

#### Noise in Eq. (6) is a thermal operations

We will now show that the noise in Eq. (6) is a thermal operation, which implies that it preserves the Gibbs state and cannot increase the Helmholtz free energy. In particular, we will show that the transformation in Eq. (6) can be seen as a successive application of two thermal operations. For the first thermal operation we choose the bath to be a qubit with the Hamiltonian to be  $H_B = \mathbb{1}_2$ , and the overall unitary is given by

$$U_1 = \mathbb{1}_S \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|^B + \sigma_z^S \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|^B \quad (37)$$

where  $\sigma_z^S$  is the Pauli  $z$  matrix acting on the system Hilbert space. The thermal operation defined in this way completely dephases the system state in the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian  $H_S$ .

After the state is completely dephased, we implement an-

other thermal operation with  $H_B = H_S = \sigma_z$  and the unitary

$$U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (38)$$

with  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$ . It is straightforward to see that this unitary commutes with the total Hamiltonian  $H_S + H_B$ . The transformation in Eq. (6) is obtained by setting  $p = \cos^2 \phi$ .

The phase damping operation defined through the Kraus operators (2) is a thermal operation too. It can also be implemented with a unitary in Eq. (37) when  $H_B$  is chosen such that  $\gamma_B = p|0\rangle\langle 0| + (1-p)|1\rangle\langle 1|$ , with  $p = 1 - \lambda/2$ .

#### Reducing purity loss for depolarizing noise

Here, we will show that in the resource theory of purity, the optimal dilution procedure to protect a pure state on a Hilbert space of dimension  $d$  from depolarizing noise

$$\Lambda[\rho] = p \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d} + (1-p)\rho \quad (39)$$

is to dilute the state as close as possible to the maximally mixed state, i.e.,

$$\sup_{\rho} \frac{S(\Lambda[\rho] \| \mathbb{1}/d)}{S(\rho \| \mathbb{1}/d)} = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{1}/d} \frac{S(\Lambda[\rho] \| \mathbb{1}/d)}{S(\rho \| \mathbb{1}/d)} \quad (40)$$

Suppose  $\rho_t$  is the trajectory of an initial state  $\rho_0$  under semigroup  $\Lambda_t$ :

$$\rho_t = \Lambda_t \rho_0 = e^{-\gamma t} \rho_0 + (1 - e^{-\gamma t}) \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}. \quad (41)$$

If  $\{\lambda_i(t)\}_{i=1}^d$  are eigenvalues of  $\rho_t$ , then for  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} S(\rho_t \| \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \dot{\lambda}_i(t) \log \lambda_i(t) = \\ &= -\gamma e^{-\gamma t} \sum_{i=1}^d (\lambda_i(0) - \frac{1}{d}) \log \lambda_i(t) = \\ &= -\gamma \sum_{i=1}^d (\lambda_i(t) - \frac{1}{d}) \log \lambda_i(t) = \gamma S(\rho_t) + \frac{\gamma}{d} \log \det \rho_t = \\ &= -\gamma S(\rho_t \| \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}) + \gamma \log d + \frac{\gamma}{d} \log \det \rho_t. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Because, for  $t > 0$ , the function  $\log \det \rho_t$  increases strictly as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , whereas at the same time the function  $S(\rho_t \| \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d})$  strictly decreases, we conclude from the above calculation that for  $t_1 > t_0 > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\left. \frac{d}{dt} S(\rho_t \| \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}) \right|_{t=t_1}}{S(\rho_{t_1} \| \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d})} > \frac{\left. \frac{d}{dt} S(\rho_t \| \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d}) \right|_{t=t_0}}{S(\rho_{t_0} \| \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d})}. \quad (43)$$

This in turn implies that the function

$$f(\delta) = \frac{S(\rho_{t_1+\delta} \parallel \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1})}{S(\rho_{t_0+\delta} \parallel \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1})} \quad (44)$$

is increasing for small  $\delta > 0$ . Indeed, the fact follows directly from (43), by computing the derivative  $\left. \frac{d}{d\delta} f(\delta) \right|_{\delta=0}$ , and from the semigroup property of the evolution  $\rho_t$ .

Setting  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{t_1-t_0}$ , i.e.  $\Lambda\rho_{t_0} = \rho_{t_1}$ , we see that

$$\frac{S(\Lambda(\rho_{t_0+\delta}) \parallel \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1})}{S(\rho_{t_0+\delta} \parallel \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1})} > \frac{S(\Lambda(\rho_{t_0}) \parallel \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1})}{S(\rho_{t_0} \parallel \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1})} \quad (45)$$

for any  $t_0 > 0$ , small  $\delta > 0$ , and any initial state  $\rho_0$ . Hence the supremum

$$\sup_{\rho} \frac{S(\Lambda(\rho) \parallel \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1})}{S(\rho \parallel \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1})} \quad (46)$$

is attained for  $\rho \rightarrow \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1}$ .

#### *Correlations in the resource theory of purity*

We will now consider unital single-qubit noise. As discussed above in this article, it is possible to reduce the loss of purity under unital noise by diluting the single-qubit pure

states into noisy states of a single qubit. However, it remained unclear if the procedure can be improved by establishing correlations between the qubits, i.e. whether creating a correlated pure  $k$ -qubit state  $|\psi_k\rangle$  leads to a better performance. Here, we will prove that this is not the case: correlations are not useful in this procedure when considering pure states of  $k$  qubits. For this, note that the figure of merit in this case is given by

$$\frac{S(\Lambda^{\otimes k}[\psi_k] \parallel \mathbb{1}_{2^k}/2^k)}{S(\psi_k \parallel \mathbb{1}_{2^k}/2^k)} = 1 - \frac{S(\Lambda^{\otimes k}[\psi_k])}{k}. \quad (47)$$

We are interested in the maximum of this quantity, maximized over all pure  $k$ -qubit states  $|\psi_k\rangle$ . Note that maximizing the right-hand side of Eq. (47) corresponds to minimizing the output entropy of  $\Lambda^{\otimes k}$ . Recall that the minimal output entropy of  $\Lambda_1 \otimes \Lambda_2$  is additive if  $\Lambda_1$  is a unital qubit channel [30]. Thus, we conclude that for any  $k$ -qubit pure state  $|\psi_k\rangle$  there exists a pure qubit state  $|\phi_1\rangle$  and a pure  $k-1$ -qubit state  $|\phi_{k-1}\rangle$  such that

$$S(\Lambda^{\otimes k}[\psi_k]) \geq S(\Lambda[\phi_1]) + S(\Lambda^{\otimes k-1}[\phi_{k-1}]) = S(\Lambda^{\otimes k}[\phi_1 \otimes \phi_{k-1}]). \quad (48)$$

Iterating this procedure, we see that for any  $|\psi_k\rangle$  there exists a pure single-qubit state  $|\phi\rangle$  such that

$$S(\Lambda^{\otimes k}[\psi_k]) \geq kS(\Lambda[\phi]). \quad (49)$$

This proves that the maximum of Eq. (47) (when maximized over pure  $k$ -qubit states) is achieved on product states.