

# An inter-galactic magnetic field strength of $\sim 4 \times 10^{-17}$ G inferred with GRB 221009A

Zi-Qing Xia,<sup>1</sup> Yun Wang,<sup>1,2</sup> Qiang Yuan,<sup>1,2</sup> and Yi-Zhong Fan<sup>\*1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Dark Matter and Space Astronomy,

Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210023, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Astronomy and Space Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

The delayed GeV–TeV cascade emission from extragalactic TeV–PeV sources are regarded as an ideal probe of the inter-galactic magnetic fields. Recently, LHAASO has detected  $\sim 10$  TeV emission of the extraordinary powerful GRB 221009A within  $\sim 2000$  s after the burst. Here we report the detection of a  $\sim 400$  GeV photon, without accompanying prominent low-energy emission, by Fermi-LAT in the direction of GRB 221009A with the time delay of 0.4 days. Such a hard spectrum can be generated from electromagnetic cascades initiated by early primary  $\sim 10$  TeV photons in the intergalactic space. An inter-galactic magnetic field strength of  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17}$  G, comparable to limits from TeV blazars, can naturally account for the arrival time of the  $\sim 400$  GeV photon as well as the HAWC non-detection. Such a strength will be stringently tested by the upcoming CTA.

PACS numbers:

Keywords: magnetic field: inter-galactic–gamma rays: general–radiation mechanisms: non-thermal

## I. INTRODUCTION

The measurement of the inter-galactic magnetic field strength ( $B_{\text{IGMF}}$ ), one of the fundamental parameters of the astrophysics that may be related to how the Universe starts/evolves and carries the information of the primordial magnetic fields [1, 2], is rather challenging. So far, it has not been reliably measured, yet [3–5]. One promising method, initially proposed by Plaga [6], is to explore the arrival times of  $\gamma$  rays from extra-galactic TeV transients such as Gamma-ray Bursts (GRBs, [7–10]) and blazars [11, 12]. The basic idea is that, before reaching the observer, the primary TeV  $\gamma$  rays will be absorbed by the diffuse infrared background and then generate ultra-relativistic  $e^\pm$  pairs with Lorentz factors of  $\approx 9.8 \times 10^5 (1+z)(\epsilon_\gamma/1 \text{ TeV})$ , where  $z$  is the redshift of the source and  $\epsilon_\gamma$  is the observed energy of the primary  $\gamma$  rays. These pairs will subsequently scatter off the ambient cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons, and boost them to an average energy of  $\epsilon_{\gamma,2\text{nd}} \approx 0.8 (1+z)^2 (\epsilon_\gamma/1 \text{ TeV})^2 \text{ GeV}$ . Unless  $B_{\text{IGMF}}$  is very low (say,  $\leq 10^{-20}$  G), the presence of inter-galactic magnetic field will play the dominant role in delaying the arrival of the secondary  $\gamma$  rays. Hence the observation of delayed  $\gamma$ -ray emission can in turn impose a tight constraint or give a direct measurement of  $B_{\text{IGMF}}$  [6, 13, 14]. Such an idea has been firstly applied to the long-lasting MeV–GeV afterglow emission of GRB 940217 [6, 15, 16] and then to other GRBs, including for instance GRB 130427A and GRB 190114C [17, 18], two bursts with powerful very high energy  $\gamma$ -ray radiation [19, 20]. In these studies, the limits of  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \geq 10^{-21} - 10^{-17}$  G have been set.

In this work we show that GRB 221009A, which is characterized by its huge power (the isotropic equivalent  $\gamma$ -ray radiation energy is  $\sim$  quite a few  $\times 10^{54}$  erg), low redshift ( $z = 0.151$ ), and very strong TeV  $\gamma$ -ray emission [21–27], provides the community an unprecedented opportunity to probe the inter-galactic magnetic field. Using the Fermi-LAT detection of a  $\sim 400$  GeV photon at  $\sim 0.4$  days after the trigger, a  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17}$  G is inferred.

## II. FERMI-LAT DATA ANALYSIS

GRB 221009A triggered the Fermi Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor (GBM) on 2022-10-09 13:16:59 UT ( $T_0$ , MET 687014224), about 1 hour earlier than the Swift trigger [21, 22]. After 200 s of the Fermi-GBM trigger, the Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT, [28]) detected strong high-energy emission from GRB 221009A and the photon flux averaged in the time interval of  $T_0 + 200 - T_0 + 800$  s is  $\sim 10^{-2} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  [25]. Though GRB 221009A is about 90 deg from the boresight at  $T_0$ , DAMPE also observed the significantly increasing unbiased-Trigger counts within 227–233 s after the trigger [29].

Here we focus on the long term of Fermi-LAT gamma-ray observations above 500 MeV in the direction of GRB 221009A (see also the Ref. [30]). We select nearly one day ( $T_0 + 0.05 - T_0 + 1$  days, MET 687018224 – 687100624) of Fermi-LAT Pass 8 R3 data [31] after the Fermi-GBM trigger in the energy range of (500 MeV – 1 TeV) within 15 degrees from the Swift/UVOT localization (RA = 288.265°, DEC = 19.774°, [21]) of GRB 221009A. The FRONT + BACK conversion-type data with the SOURCE event class are adopted in our work. We exclude LAT events coming from zenith angles larger than 90 degrees

\* Corresponding Author: yzfan@pmo.ac.cn




FIG. 1: The spectral energy distributions of the gamma-ray emission in two time intervals (marked in different colors) in the direction of GRB 221009A with the Fermi-LAT observation. In the first time interval, the GeV emission is well detected in a wide energy range. While in the second time interval, the emission is dominated by a  $\sim 400$  GeV photon arriving at  $\sim 33554$  s after the GBM trigger.

to reduce the contamination from the Earth’s limb and extract good time intervals with the recommended quality-filter cuts (`DATA_QUAL==1 && LAT_CONFIG==1`). To perform the analysis, we use the `FermiTools` package<sup>1</sup> and the instrument response function `P8R3_SOURCE_V3`<sup>2</sup> provided by the Fermi-LAT Collaboration. We use the `make4FGLxml.py` script to generate the initial model, which includes the galactic diffuse emission template (`gll_iem_v07.fits`), the isotropic diffuse spectral model (`iso_P8R3_SOURCE_V3_v1.txt`) and all the incremental Fourth Fermi-LAT source catalog [32] (`gll_psc_v30.fit`) sources within 25 degrees. We model the  $\gamma$ -ray emission from GRB 221009A as a point source at the Swift/UVOT localization and set its spectral shape as the `PowerLaw` model.

We divide the data set into two time intervals of 0.05 – 0.3 days and 0.3 – 1 days after the Fermi-GBM trigger and carry out the unbinned likelihood analysis to optimize the model in each time interval, respectively. Because of the limited data, we only thaw the normalization and spectral index of GRB 221009A and normalizations of the Galactic diffuse and isotropic diffuse components in the fitting process. In the time interval of 0.05 – 0.3 days, GRB 221009A was well detected by Fermi-LAT with the photon flux of  $(1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$  ph/cm<sup>2</sup>/s and the spectral index of  $2.08 \pm 0.19$ . This spectral index is anticipated in the synchrotron-self-Compton radiation model [9, 10]. While in the 0.3 – 1 days time interval, the data is dominated by a  $\sim 400$  GeV photon arriving at 0.39 days after the Fermi-GBM trigger and there is just marginal evidence for the presence of emission around  $\sim 1$  GeV. The photon flux is estimated to be  $(3.3 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-8}$  ph/cm<sup>2</sup>/s with a spectral index of  $1.32 \pm 0.49$ , suggesting a significant flux decay and the emergence of a hard component. Then we calculate the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) for each time interval by separately fitting observations in 10 evenly spaced logarithmic energy bins from 500 MeV to 1 TeV. Here we fix the spectral index of GRB 221009A to 2 in each energy bin. The `UpperLimits` tool is adopted to calculate the 95% upper limit of the flux in the energy bin with a TS value  $< 9$ . The SEDs measured in these two time intervals and TS values for each energy bin are reported in Fig. 1.

In our analysis, the most important new finding is the detection of a 397.7 GeV photon, arriving at  $T_0 + 33554$  s. As shown in Fig. 2, the location of this amazing event is  $RA = 288.252^\circ$  and  $Dec = 19.763^\circ$  given by the red circle, which is nicely in agreement with the Swift/UVOT localization (the blue triangle,  $RA = 288.265^\circ$  and  $DEC = 19.774^\circ$ , [21]) as well as that of LHAASO (the gold star,  $RA = 288.3^\circ$  and  $Dec = 19.7^\circ$ , [27]) and Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA, the green square,  $RA = 288.264^\circ$  and  $Dec = 19.773^\circ$ , [33]). Pre-GRB 221009A, just two photon events larger than 100 GeV had been found in 14 years of Fermi-LAT observations within 0.5 degree of GRB 221009A, suggesting a rather low background level at energies above 100 GeV (One was observed with the energy of 268.1 GeV at the location of  $RA = 288.51^\circ$  and  $Dec = 20.08^\circ$ . The other 107.1 GeV photon was located at  $RA = 288.47^\circ$  and  $Dec = 19.54^\circ$ ). Giving its spatial and temporal coincidence with

<sup>1</sup> <https://github.com/fermi-lat/FermiTools-conda/>

<sup>2</sup> [https://www.slac.stanford.edu/exp/glast/groups/canda/lat\\_Performance.htm](https://www.slac.stanford.edu/exp/glast/groups/canda/lat_Performance.htm)




FIG. 2: The  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  Fermi-LAT counts map centered at the Swift/UVOT localization (i.e., the blue triangle) for the observations in the time interval of  $T_0 + 0.05 - T_0 + 1$  days. The filled dots represent the Fermi-LAT events. The gold star marks the LHAASO localization reported in the Ref. [27] and the green square represents the VLBA localization reported in the Ref. [33]. The 68% and 95% containment angles for Fermi-LAT at  $\sim 400$  GeV [31] are also shown as red dashed and dot-dashed circular lines, respectively.

GRB 221009A, we conclude that this  $\sim 400$  GeV photon is indeed physically associated with this monster. Then we calculate the probability that the LAT event belongs to GRB 221009A with the optimized model for the 0.3 – 1 days time interval with the `gtsrcprob` tool. It turns out to be 0.9999937, corresponding to a significance level of  $4.4 \sigma$ . Note that this  $\sim 400$  GeV photon is among the ULTRACLEAN class events and the possibility for being a mis-identification of a cosmic ray is extremely low. Therefore, we have identified the most energetic GRB photon detected by Fermi-LAT so far. The previous records are a 95 GeV photon from GRB 130427A [19] and then a 99.3 GeV photon from GRB 221009A at an early time [23].

Because of the lack of strong accompanying GeV emission in the time interval of 0.3 – 1 days after the Fermi-GBM trigger, the detection of the single  $\sim 400$  GeV photon likely points towards a hard low-energy spectrum. Indeed, the analysis of the Fermi-LAT data in the time intervals of 0.05 – 0.3 days and 0.3 – 1 days do reveal different spectral behaviors, indicating different physical origins (see Fig. 1). Though various inverse Compton radiation processes can generate GeV-TeV emission in the late GRB afterglow phase [34], the cascade emission of the prominent TeV transients is distinguished by its hard low energy spectrum as well as the soft high energy spectrum, as demonstrated both analytically [13] and numerically [14]. Such a feature is strongly favored by the current observations.

### III. ESTIMATING THE INTER-GALACTIC MAGNETIC FIELD

According to the LHAASO collaboration, the gamma-rays have been detected with the energy of  $\sim 10$  TeV [27]. At a redshift of 0.151, the optical depth ( $\tau$ ) of the Universe to such energetic gamma-rays from interactions with photons of the intergalactic background light is quite high. Though the actual value is still not uniquely determined, it is widely believed that  $\tau(z = 0.151, \epsilon_\gamma = 10 \text{ TeV}) > 5$  [35]. Consequently, most of the primary TeV gamma-rays should have been absorbed and newly generated  $e^\pm$  have a Lorentz factor of  $\gamma_e \approx 9.8 \times 10^6 (1+z)(\epsilon_\gamma/10 \text{ TeV})$ . The created ultra-relativistic  $e^\pm$  particles would Compton scatter on ambient cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons to produce high-energy secondary gamma-rays with the most probable energy of

$$\epsilon_{\gamma, 2nd} \approx 265 \left( \frac{\epsilon_\gamma}{10 \text{ TeV}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1+z}{1.151} \right)^2 \text{ GeV}, \quad (1)$$

as long as the scattering is within the Thomson regime. Its arrival time is estimated to be [6, 13, 14]

$$t_{\text{arr}} \approx \max\{\Delta t_{\text{TeV}}, \Delta t_A, \Delta t_{\text{IC}}, \Delta t_B\}, \quad (2)$$




FIG. 3: The measured gamma-ray spectral energy distributions in the direction of GRB 221009A and the simulated spectra of cascades in different time intervals. The left panel is for the IGMF strength  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ G}$ . The right panel is for  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ G}, 1 \times 10^{-17} \text{ G}, 1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ G}$ , respectively.

where  $\Delta t_{\text{TeV}}$  is the observed duration of the prominent TeV emission of the source,  $\Delta t_{\text{A}} \approx 10 (1+z)^{-1} (\epsilon_{\gamma}/10 \text{ TeV})^{-2} (n_{\text{IR}}/0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3})^{-1} \text{ s}$  is the angular spreading time delay (where  $n_{\text{IR}}$  is the number density of the diffuse infrared background photons that governs the typical pair-production distance),  $\Delta t_{\text{IC}} \approx 0.038 (1+z)^{-6} (\epsilon_{\gamma}/10 \text{ TeV})^{-3} \text{ s}$  is the Inverse Compton (IC) cooling time delay, and the IGMF-induced pair deflection time is estimated as

$$\Delta t_{\text{B}} \sim 7 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\gamma}}{10 \text{ TeV}} \right)^{-5} \left( \frac{B_{\text{IGMF}}}{10^{-16} \text{ G}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1+z}{1.151} \right)^{-16} \text{ s}, \quad (3)$$

where  $B_{\text{IGMF}}$  is the strength of IGMF and the correlation length of the magnetic field is assumed to be larger than the IC cooling radius of the pairs ( $R_{\text{IC}} \approx 2\gamma_e^2 c \Delta t_{\text{IC}} / (1+z) \approx 0.1 \text{ Mpc} (1+z)^{-5} (\epsilon_{\gamma}/10 \text{ TeV})$ ).

In our re-analysis of the Fermi-LAT data, it is found out that at 33554 s after the Fermi-GBM trigger there came a gamma-ray with an energy of  $\sim 400 \text{ GeV}$ . Interpreting this event as the secondary inverse Compton photon discussed above, we would have

$$\epsilon_{\gamma} \approx 12 \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\gamma,2\text{nd}}}{400 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1+z}{1.151} \right)^{-1} \text{ TeV}. \quad (4)$$

For the cascade emission of such energetic primary photons, both  $\Delta t_{\text{A}}$  and  $\Delta t_{\text{IC}}$  are negligible in comparison to  $\Delta t_{\text{B}}$ . Hence we measure the strength of IGMF as

$$B_{\text{IGMF}} \approx 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ G} \times \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\gamma,2\text{nd}}}{400 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{5/4} \left( \frac{\Delta t_{\text{B}}}{0.4 \text{ days}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1+z}{1.151} \right)^{11/2}. \quad (5)$$

Interestingly, this value is comparable with the lower limits set by the statistical investigation with a group of TeV blazars [36, 37]. Note that Eq. (1) is for the most probable energy of the IC photons and the average energy can be  $\sim 2.5$  times smaller than this most probable energy.

Below we use the ELMAG 3.03 package<sup>3</sup> to perform the Monte Carlo simulation of intergalactic electromagnetic cascades from  $\gamma$  rays and electrons interacting with the extragalactic background light in the IGMF [38, 39]. The IGMF is considered as a turbulent magnetic field with the root-mean-square strength of  $4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ G}$  and the correlation length of 1 Mpc. The model of

<sup>3</sup> <https://elmag.sourceforge.net>




FIG. 4: The simulated spectra (solid lines) of cascade emission of GRB 221009A for long time intervals with the IGMF strength  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{G}$  and the 50-hour sensitivities (dash-dot lines) of H.E.S.S., MAGIC and CTA. The upcoming CTA can measure such emission within a few hundred days after the burst, with which the  $B_{\text{IGMF}}$  can be well determined.

the extragalactic background light is taken from Ref. [40]. The intrinsic energy spectrum of GRB 221009A is assumed to be a power-law with an index of 2.0 and an exponential cutoff at 10 TeV:

$$\frac{dN}{d\epsilon_\gamma} \propto \epsilon_\gamma^{-2} \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_\gamma}{10 \text{ TeV}}\right). \quad (6)$$

In this simulation, we inject 5,000,000 primary  $\gamma$  rays at the redshift of  $z = 0.151$  with the minimal (maximal) injection energy of 100 GeV (100 TeV). For primary photons with energies below 100 GeV, the time delay of cascade photons is larger than  $10^{15}$  s according to Eq. (3), which is significantly longer than current observation time. All other parameters for the simulation are set as default values of the ELMAG 3.03 package, which are recorded in a series of input files.

Assuming the intrinsic fluence of  $\mathcal{S} \sim 10^{-4} \text{ TeV cm}^{-2}$  at 10 TeV, we obtain the spectra of simulated cascades at arrival time intervals of 0.3–1 days (red), 1–5 days (green), 1–50 days (blue) after  $T_0$ , as shown in the left panel of Fig. 3. Following the method in Sec. II, we also reconstruct the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) from the Fermi-LAT observations of corresponding time intervals. Starting to observe this burst 8 hours after the trigger, HAWC obtained an upper limit of  $4.16 \times 10^{-12} \text{ TeV cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 1 TeV by assuming a power-law spectrum with an index of 2.0 [41], which is marked in black down arrow in Fig. 3. The black line in Fig. 3 represents the simulated spectrum corresponding to the HAWC observation time. It is shown that for the IGMF strength of  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ G}$ , the simulated energy spectra of the cascade emission peak at several hundred GeV and are consistent with all the upper limits by Fermi-LAT and HAWC. The probability that to observe one photon beyond 100 GeV by the Fermi-LAT in the time interval of  $(T_0 + 0.3 - T_0 + 1 \text{ days})$  is estimated to be  $\sim 1\%$  for  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ G}$ . Hence, we suggest that the detection of the  $\sim 400 \text{ GeV}$  photon is by chance (i.e., it is a small probability event). The smaller  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 10^{-18} - 10^{-17} \text{ G}$  could significantly enhance the  $\sim 100 \text{ GeV}$  cascade photon radiation luminosity, but such possibilities have been ruled out by the HAWC limit and the late-time Fermi-LAT observations of GRB 221009A (see the right panel of Fig. 3). For  $B_{\text{IGMF}} > 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ G}$ , the  $> 100 \text{ GeV}$  cascade radiation flux will be even lower (see the right panel of Fig. 3), which makes the interpretation of the 400 GeV photon event more challenging. Therefore, we suggest that an IGMF strength of  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ G}$  is preferred.

Observations with larger telescopes are needed to robustly pin down the  $B_{\text{IGMF}}$  with the measured cascade emission from bright TeV sources. In Fig. 4 we compare the sensitivities (50 hours) of H.E.S.S. [42], MAGIC [43], and the Cherenkov Telescopes Array (CTA, [44]) with simulated spectra for long-time cascade radiation of GRB 221009A in the case of  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ G}$ . Such a signal could be well detected by H.E.S.S. and MAGIC in tens of days, and by the upcoming CTA in a few hundred days. We therefore expect that CTA will provide a crucial test on our current evaluation of  $B_{\text{IGMF}}$ . The Very Large Gamma-ray Space Telescope (VLAST), one of the few proposed next generation space-based GeV-TeV gamma-ray detectors with a detection area of  $\sim 10^5 \text{ cm}^2$ , will also be helpful in probing the IGMF in future [45].

#### IV. SUMMARY

GRB 221009A is almost the most powerful gamma-ray bursts detected so far. Thanks to its rather low redshift  $z = 0.151$ , the emission has been detected up to the energy of  $\sim 10$  TeV. Because of the high optical depth of the universe to such energetic gamma-rays, the intrinsic spectrum likely extends to an even higher energy range and most of these primary photons have been absorbed by the far-infrared background before reaching us. The resulting ultra-relativistic  $e^\pm$  pairs will up-scatter and then boost the cosmic microwave background photons to GeV-TeV energies. Motivated by such a prospect, we have analyzed the Fermi-LAT gamma-ray observations of GRB 221009A in the afterglow phase. In the time interval of 0.05 – 0.3 days, the high energy emission of GRB 221009A has been well detected in a wide energy range. While in the time interval of 0.3 – 1 days, the high energy emission is dominated by a 397.7 GeV photon arriving at 33554 s after the Fermi-GBM trigger and no other credible photons have been observed. Such facts strongly suggest different physical origins of the high energy emission in these two time intervals. In particular, the 0.3 – 1 days emission has a hard spectrum that is inconsistent with the model of the synchrotron-self-Compton radiation of the forward shock accelerated electrons. Instead, the electromagnetic cascade of  $\sim 10$  TeV intrinsic  $\gamma$ -rays from GRB 221009A is a viable solution. The yielding  $e^\pm$  pairs have a Lorentz factor of  $\sim 10^7$ , and the delay of the arrival time of the secondary GeV-TeV photons is governed by the deflection of the  $e^\pm$  pairs by the inter-galactic magnetic field. To account for a delay time of  $\sim 0.4$  days, we need an inter-galactic magnetic field strength of  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17}$  G (assuming a coherence scale of 1 Mpc), which is comparable with limits set by Fermi-LAT observations of TeV blazars [36, 37].

We conduct the simulation of cascade with the Elmag 3.03 package and assume that the intrinsic energy spectrum of the GRB is a power-law with an index of 2.0 and an exponential cutoff at 10 TeV. For  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17}$  G and an intrinsic fluence of  $S \sim 10^{-4}$  TeV cm $^{-2}$  at 10 TeV, the probability that the Fermi-LAT observed one photon with energy larger than 100 GeV in the time interval of  $(T_0 + 0.3 - T_0 + 1)$  days is about 1%, which indicates that the detection of the  $\sim 400$  GeV photon is by chance. Though a lower  $B_{\text{IGMF}}$  can efficiently enhance the  $> 100$  GeV gamma-ray radiation, the late time Fermi-LAT data has ruled out such possibilities. A higher  $B_{\text{IGMF}}$ , say  $> 10^{-16}$  G, is also disfavored because of the resulting lower cascade fluxes above  $\sim 100$  GeV within the first day. Therefore, a  $B_{\text{IGMF}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-17}$  G seems to be preferred by the data of GRB 221009A. The ground-based telescopes with a very large effective area, in particular the upcoming CTA, are expected to be able to further test such a  $B_{\text{IGMF}}$  value.

#### Acknowledgments

**Acknowledgments** This research has made use of data from Fermi Large Area Telescope, obtained through the High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEA-SARC) hosted by NASA’s Goddard Space Flight Center. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11921003, 12003069, 12220101003), the Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research of Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. YSBR-061), and the Entrepreneurship and Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province.

- 
- [1] M. S. Turner and L. M. Widrow, “Inflation-produced, large-scale magnetic fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **37**, 2743 (1988).
  - [2] G. Sigl, A. V. Olinto, and K. Jedamzik, “Primordial magnetic fields from cosmological first order phase transitions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 4582 (1997), arXiv:astro-ph/9610201.
  - [3] R. Durrer and A. Neronov, “Cosmological magnetic fields: their generation, evolution and observation,” *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **21**, 62 (2013), arXiv:1303.7121.
  - [4] J. L. Han, “Observing Interstellar and Intergalactic Magnetic Fields,” *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **55**, 111 (2017).
  - [5] R. Alves Batista and A. Saveliev, “The Gamma-Ray Window to Intergalactic Magnetism,” *Universe* **7**, 223 (2021), arXiv:2105.12020.
  - [6] R. Plaga, “Detecting intergalactic magnetic fields using time delays in pulses of  $\gamma$ -rays,” *Nature* **374**, 430 (1995).
  - [7] G. J. Fishman and C. A. Meegan, “Gamma-Ray Bursts,” *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **33**, 415 (1995).
  - [8] P. Meszaros, “Theories of gamma-ray bursts,” *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **40**, 137 (2002), arXiv:astro-ph/0111170.
  - [9] T. Piran, “The physics of gamma-ray bursts,” *Reviews of Modern Physics* **76**, 1143 (2004), arXiv:astro-ph/0405503.
  - [10] P. Kumar and B. Zhang, “The physics of gamma-ray bursts & relativistic jets,” *Phys. Rep.* **561**, 1 (2015), arXiv:1410.0679.
  - [11] M. Punch *et al.*, “Detection of TeV photons from the active galaxy Markarian 421,” *Nature* **358**, 477 (1992).
  - [12] G. G. Madejski and M. Sikora, “Gamma-Ray Observations of Active Galactic Nuclei,” *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **54**, 725 (2016).
  - [13] Z. G. Dai and T. Lu, “Spectrum and Duration of Delayed MeV-GeV Emission of Gamma-Ray Bursts in Cosmic Background Radiation Fields,” *Astrophys. J.* **580**, 1013 (2002), arXiv:astro-ph/0203084.
  - [14] Y. Z. Fan and *et al.*, “Strong GeV emission accompanying TeV blazar H1426+428,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **415**, 483 (2004), arXiv:astro-ph/0310893.
  - [15] K. Hurley *et al.*, “Detection of a  $\gamma$ -ray burst of very long duration and very high energy,” *Nature* **372**, 652 (1994).
  - [16] L. X. Cheng and K. S. Cheng, “Delayed MeV-GeV Gamma-Ray Photons in Gamma-Ray Bursts: an Effect of Electromagnetic Cascades of Very High Energy Gamma-Rays in the Infrared/Microwave Background,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **459**, L79 (1996).



- [17] P. Veres, C. D. Dermer, and K. S. Dhuga, “Properties of the Intergalactic Magnetic Field Constrained by Gamma-Ray Observations of Gamma-Ray Bursts,” *Astrophys. J.* **847**, 39 (2017), arXiv:1705.08531.
- [18] Z.-R. Wang, S.-Q. Xi, R.-Y. Liu, R. Xue, and X.-Y. Wang, “Constraints on the intergalactic magnetic field from  $\gamma$ -ray observations of GRB 190114C,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 083004 (2020), arXiv:2001.01186.
- [19] M. Ackermann, M. Ajello, K. Asano, and et al., “Fermi-LAT Observations of the Gamma-Ray Burst GRB 130427A,” *Science* **343**, 42 (2014), arXiv:1311.5623.
- [20] H. Abdalla, R. Adam, Aharonian, and et al., “A very-high-energy component deep in the  $\gamma$ -ray burst afterglow,” *Nature* **575**, 464 (2019), arXiv:1911.08961.
- [21] S. Dichiara, J. D. Gropp, J. A. Kennea, and et al., “Swift J1913.1+1946 a new bright hard X-ray and optical transient,” *GCN Circular No. 32632* (2022).
- [22] P. Veres, E. Burns, E. Bissaldi, and O. Robert, “GRB 221009A: Fermi GBM detection of an extraordinarily bright GRB,” *GCN Circular No. 32636* (2022).
- [23] E. Bissaldi, N. Omodei, and M. Kerr, “GRB 221009A or Swift J1913.1+1946: Fermi-LAT detection,” *GCN Circular No. 32637* (2022).
- [24] A. de Ugarte Postigo *et al.*, “GRB 221009A: Redshift from X-shooter/VLT,” *GCN Circular No.32648* (2022).
- [25] R. Pillera, E. Bissaldi, N. Omodei, G. La Mura, and F. Longo, “GRB 221009A: Fermi-LAT refined analysis,” *GCN Circular No.32658* (2022).
- [26] D. Frederiks, A. Lysenko, A. Ridnaia, D. Svinkin, A. Tsvetkova, M. Ulanov, and T. Cline, “Konus-Wind detection of GRB 221009A,” *GCN Circular No.32668* (2022).
- [27] Y. Huang, S. C. Hu, S. Z. Chen, M. Zha, C. Liu, Z. G. Yao, and Z. Cao, “LHAASO observed GRB 221009A with more than 5000 VHE photons up to around 18 TeV,” *GCN Circular No.32677* (2022).
- [28] W. B. Atwood *et al.*, “The Large Area Telescope on the Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope Mission,” *Astrophys. J.* **697**, 1071 (2009), arXiv:0902.1089.
- [29] K. K. Duan, Z. L. Xu, Z. Q. Shen, and et al., “GRB 221009A:DAMPE observed a 34.7 GeV photon at 1.36 hour after the GBM trigger,” *GCN Circular No. 32973* (2022).
- [30] Z. Q. Xia, Y. Wang, Q. Yuan, and Y. Z. Fan, “GRB 221009A: a 397.7 GeV photon observed by Fermi-LAT at 0.4 day after the GBM trigger,” *GCN Circular No. 32748* (2022).
- [31] W. Atwood *et al.*, “Pass 8: Toward the Full Realization of the Fermi-LAT Scientific Potential,” arXiv e-prints , arXiv:1303.3514 (2013), arXiv:1303.3514.
- [32] S. Abdollahi, F. Acero, L. Baldini, and et al., “Incremental Fermi Large Area Telescope Fourth Source Catalog,” *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **260**, 53 (2022), arXiv:2201.11184.
- [33] P. Atri, T. An, M. Giroletti, Y. K. Zhang, and et al., “High-precision position of the compact radio counterpart to GRB221009A,” *GCN Circular No. 32907* (2022).
- [34] Y.-Z. Fan, T. Piran, R. Narayan, and D.-M. Wei, “High-energy afterglow emission from gamma-ray bursts,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **384**, 1483 (2008), arXiv:0704.2063.
- [35] J. D. Finke, S. Razzaque, and C. D. Dermer, “Modeling the Extragalactic Background Light from Stars and Dust,” *Astrophys. J.* **712**, 238 (2010), arXiv:0905.1115.
- [36] A. Neronov and I. Vovk, “Evidence for Strong Extragalactic Magnetic Fields from Fermi Observations of TeV Blazars,” *Science* **328**, 73 (2010), arXiv:1006.3504.
- [37] E. I. Podlesnyi, T. A. Dzhatdov, and V. I. Galkin, “Constraints on the extragalactic magnetic field strength from blazar spectra based on 145 months of Fermi-LAT observations,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **516**, 5379 (2022), arXiv:2204.11110.
- [38] M. Kachelriess, S. Ostapchenko, and R. Tomas, “ELMAG: A Monte Carlo simulation of electromagnetic cascades on the extragalactic background light and in magnetic fields,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **183**, 1036 (2012), arXiv:1106.5508.
- [39] M. Blytt, M. Kachelrieß, and S. Ostapchenko, “ELMAG 3.01: A three-dimensional Monte Carlo simulation of electromagnetic cascades on the extragalactic background light and in magnetic fields,” *Computer Physics Communications* **252**, 107163 (2020), arXiv:1909.09210.
- [40] A. Dominguez *et al.*, “Extragalactic Background Light Inferred from AEGIS Galaxy SED-type Fractions,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **410**, 2556 (2011), arXiv:1007.1459.
- [41] H. Ayala, “GRB 221009A: Upper limits from HAWC 8 hours after trigger,” *GCN Circular No. 32683* (2022).
- [42] M. Holler *et al.* (H.E.S.S. Collaboration), “Observations of the Crab Nebula with H.E.S.S. Phase II,” *PoS ICRC2015*, 847 (2016), arXiv:1509.02902.
- [43] J. Aleksić *et al.* (MAGIC Collaboration), “The major upgrade of the MAGIC telescopes, Part II: A performance study using observations of the Crab Nebula,” *Astropart. Phys.* **72**, 76 (2016), arXiv:1409.5594.
- [44] R. Zanin *et al.* (CTA Observatory, CTA Consortium, LST Collaboration), “CTA – the World’s largest ground-based gamma-ray observatory,” *PoS ICRC2021*, 005 (2022).
- [45] Y. Z. Fan *et al.*, “Very Large Area Gamma-ray Space Telescope (VLAST),” *Acta Astronomica Sinica* **63**, 27 (2022).