CLASS NUMBER FOR PSEUDO-ANOSOVS

FRANÇOIS DAHMANI AND MAHAN MJ

ABSTRACT. Given two automorphisms of a group G, one is interested in knowing whether they are conjugate in the automorphism group of G, or in the abstract commensurator of G, and how these two properties may differ. When G is the fundamental group of a closed orientable surface, we present a uniform finiteness theorem for the class of pseudo-Anosov automorphisms. We present an explicit example of a commensurably conjugate pair of pseudo-Anosov automorphisms of a genus 3 surface, that are not conjugate in the mapping class group, and we also show that infinitely many pairwise non-commuting pseud-Anosov automorphisms have class number equal to one. In the appendix, we briefly survey the Latimer-MacDuffee theorem that addresses the case of automorphisms of \mathbb{Z}^n , with a point of view that is suited to an analogy with surface group automorphisms.

1. INTRODUCTION: COMMENSURATED CONJUGACY

When G is a group (or any structure), a natural problem is to classify the conjugacy classes in its automorphism group Aut (G). A familiar example is $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$, for which Aut (G) $\simeq \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

The *abstract commensurator* Comm (G) of G is the group of equivalence classes of isomorphisms between two finite index subgroups of G, where two such automorphisms ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are declared to be equivalent if they agree on further finite index subgroups.

There is a natural homomorphism from Aut (G) to Comm (G). It need not be injective, but in many cases of interest it is. In general, Comm (G) is much larger than the image of Aut (G). In our familiar example, Comm $(\mathbb{Z}^n) \simeq \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$. Of course, with linear algebra, conjugation in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is more easily understood than in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. (See [27] for instance for more details on commensurators in solvable Lie groups.)

Both authors warmly thank the Institut Henri Poincaré (IHP) for hosting the program "Groups Acting on Fractals" in Spring 2022, the Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques for the first author's visiting Carmin position, and the Centre de Mathematiques Laurent Schwartz. This work was supported by LabEx CARMIN, ANR-10-LABX-59-01. FD is supported by ANR-22-CE40-0004 GoFR. MM is supported by the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, under project no.12-R&D-TFR-5.01-0500, by an endowment of the Infosys Foundation. and by a DST JC Bose Fellowship.

For a group G, and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, let $[\phi]$ denote the image of ϕ in Comm (G). We define the *commensurated-conjugacy class* of ϕ to be the set of automorphisms of G that are conjugate to $[\phi]$ in Comm (G), and we say that these automorphisms are commensurably conjugate to ϕ . Any commensurated-conjugacy class is a union of Aut (G)-conjugacy classes.

Questions. When are the commensurated-conjugacy classes strictly larger than Aut(G)-conjugacy classes? When do they consist of finitely many Aut(G)-conjugacy classes?

We call the number of Aut (G)-conjugacy classes in the commensurated-conjugacy class of ϕ , the *class number* of ϕ . This terminology is suggested and supported by our familiar example, as we explain now.

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$, so that Aut $(G) = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and Comm $(G) = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$. Choose $\phi \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ such that its characteristic polynomial $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} . All $\psi \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with the same characteristic polynomial as ϕ are conjugate to ϕ in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, hence in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ as well. Thus, they are commensurably conjugate. The Latimer-MacDuffee theorem [17, 28] states that the $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugacy classes of such elements are in correspondence with the ideal classes of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(\chi)$. Their cardinality, which is the order of the ideal class monoid of the ring, is called the class number of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(\chi)$. It is finite for all $\phi \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, larger than the class number of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}[X]/(\chi)}$, and it is greater than 2 if and only if the ring is not a principal ideal domain.

It is worth mentioning that finiteness of class numbers is not true in general. A countable group in which the class number of an automorphism is not finite is the infinite direct product of copies of $\mathbb{Z}/3$: the automorphisms that are identity on finitely many copies, and flips on all the other copies, are all commensurably equal, but not conjugate.

We turn our attention to non-abelian counterparts of the groups \mathbb{Z}^n . There are two celebrated and important such classes: finite rank non-abelian free groups, and fundamental groups of surfaces of higher genus. In this work we will consider the latter.

Consider a closed orientable surface Σ of genus $g \ge 2$. The extended mapping class group of Σ is the homeomorphism group of Σ quotiented by its component of identity Homeo(Σ)/Homeo₀(Σ). By the Dehn-Nielsen-Baer theorem [11, Chapter 8], it is isomorphic to the outer automorphism group of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. However in order to make sense of commensuration, one must work at the level of automorphisms rather than outer automorphisms – in particular one needs a base point p in Σ . We have:

Aut
$$(\pi_1(\Sigma, p)) \rightarrow$$
Out $(\pi_1(\Sigma)) \xrightarrow{\sim} MCG^*(\Sigma)$

The Nielsen-Thurston classification of mapping classes of Σ distinguishes finite order mapping classes, reducible mapping classes, and pseudo-Anosov mapping classes. It is appropriate to regard pseudo-Anosov mapping classes as the correct placeholders for truly irreducible mapping classes.

Definition 1.1. We will say that an automorphism is a *pseudo-Anosov* if its image in the mapping class group under the above surjective homomorphism is a pseudo-Anosov mapping class.

We establish the following uniform finiteness for class numbers of pseudo-Anosov automorphisms.

Theorem 1.2. If ϕ is a pseudo-Anosov automorphism of the fundamental group of a closed orientable surface of genus $g \ge 2$, its class number is finite, bounded above by $((168(g-1))!)^{2g}$.

We think that it is striking that our bound does not depend on ϕ . For comparison, in the case of Aut (\mathbb{Z}^2) \simeq GL₂(\mathbb{Z}), by [17, 28], one encounters class numbers of certain rings of integers of real quadratic fields, which are conjectured to have interesting (but elusive) behavior: Gauss' class number problem conjectures that infinitely many such class numbers are 1, but these numbers are unbounded when the field extension varies among real quadratic extensions, as proved by Montgomery and Weinberger [22] and quantitatively suggested by Cohen and Lenstra's heuristics [9], and its revision by Bartel and Lenstra [3]. We explain in the appendix that the class numbers of elements of GL₂(\mathbb{Z}) are indeed finite but unbounded, an argument explained to us by Sara Checcoli.

Finiteness of the class number of a pseudo-Anosov is not a surprise. Here is a simple argument. Given ϕ a pseudo-Anosov automorphism on a surface Σ , the stretch factor, or entropy, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \log |\phi^n(\gamma)|/n$ does not depend on $\gamma \neq 1$ in the fundamental group, nor on the metric up to quasi-isometry. Hence it is an invariant of the commensurated-conjugacy class of ϕ . It also equals the translation length of ϕ in the Teichmüller space of the associated surface, and there are finitely many conjugacy classes of a pseudo-Anosov that can have such translation length. (See [10] for much finer results on counting closed geodesics in moduli space.) Hence there can only be finitely many conjugacy classes of ϕ in the commensurated-conjugacy class of ϕ . This argument does not, however, provide any uniform bound.

Our upper bound is very likely non-optimal. It seems that an optimal bound would be given in terms of the value of a subgroup-growth function of certain orbifolds. These are difficult to estimate sharply, see [19].

Examples of commensurably conjugate automorphisms that are not conjugate are not immediate. Our result and methods however suggest a 'recipe' for producing examples of non-conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms that are commensurably conjugate. We look at the induced action on the abelianization: elements that are already conjugate in Aut (G) would necessarily be conjugate in Aut (G/[G, G]), and in the latter group, linear algebra tools may be applied to disprove the existence of such a conjugacy.

Theorem 1.3. Let Σ be a closed orientable surface of genus 3, with a base point p, and $G = \pi_1(\Sigma, p)$. There exist ϕ and ψ pseudo-Anosov automorphisms of G that are commensurably conjugate but whose images in Aut (G/[G,G]) are not commensurably conjugate. In particular ϕ and ψ are not conjugate in Aut (G).

Gauss famously conjectures (in his 'class number problem') that infinitely many real quadratic extensions of \mathbb{Q} have class number equal to one [26]. We observe that in our non-abelian analogue, the corresponding question can be settled.

Theorem 1.4. There are infinitely many commensurated classes of automorphisms of hyperbolic 2-orbifolds, that have class number one.

Summary of the paper. After introducing the mapping tori involved and their relation to commensurated conjugacy (in Section 2), we discuss in Section 3 their representations as lattices in $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. We show that, for different commensurably conjugate automorphisms, the groups of the mapping tori have representations that commensurate the image of the surface group in $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. We then use a result of Leininger, Long and Reid [18] on the discreteness of the commensurator in $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of such an image, to obtain that all such representations land in a single lattice, and we obtain Proposition 3.6. After finishing this work, we learned of the related, but more restrictive notion of fibered commensurability investigated by Calegari, Sun and Wang [7, Section 2]. We then prove our main theorem at the end of Section 3. In Section 4 we provide an explicit example, and a recipe for general examples. In Section 5 we address the class number one situation, proving Theorem 1.4. We thought it worthwhile to attach an appendix, in which the algebraic number theory relevant to the situation of \mathbb{Z}^n is briefly presented, in a way that allows for an analogy with geometry and the case of surface group automorphisms. We briefly survey orders and ideal classes, provide an argument, explained to us by Sara Checcoli, as to why the class numbers of matrices in $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are unbounded. We also explain a variant of Latimer-MacDuffee's proof that allows for a geometric perspective on the situation, and is suited to an analogy with surface group automorphisms. Finally, we explain this analogy and its limitations.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee for valuable comments, and suggestions. The first author would like to thank Edgar Bering and Sara Checcoli for discussions.

2. Fundamental groups of mapping tori

The aim of this section is to prove Lemma 2.3 which converts the problem of understanding commensurated-conjugacy classes of automorphisms of a group G to commensurability of the associated semi-direct product by \mathbb{Z} . The one extra criterion that is vital in Lemma 2.3 is that the commensuration of the associated semi-direct product also commensurates G.

Lemma 2.1. If ϕ, ψ are automorphisms of G in the same commensurated-conjugacy class, there exists H, H' of finite index in G, and an isomorphism $\alpha : H \to H'$ such that, $\psi(H) = H, \phi(H') = H', \psi|_H = \alpha^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \alpha|_H$, where $|_H$ denotes restriction to H.

Proof. Let $[\alpha] \in \text{Comm}(G)$ such that in Comm(G), $[\psi] = [\alpha]^{-1} [\phi] [\alpha]$. Realize $[\alpha]$ by an isomorphism $\alpha : T_1 \to T_2$ for T_1, T_2 finite index subgroups of G.

Since $[\psi] = [\alpha]^{-1} [\phi] [\alpha]$, there is a further finite index subgroup Y_1 of T_1 on which $\alpha^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \alpha = \psi$. Let $Y_2 = \alpha(Y_1)$. Observe that $\psi(Y_1)$ must be in T_1 but is perhaps not Y_1 .

Let H denote the intersection of all subgroups in the Aut (G)-orbit of Y_1 , and H'its image under α . Then H, H' are also of finite index. By construction, ψ preserves H (as well as every automorphism). We still have $\alpha^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \alpha = \psi$ after to restriction H. It follows that $\phi(H') = H'$.

Given $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, set $\langle t_{\phi} \rangle$ to be an abstract infinite cyclic group, and consider $\Gamma_{\phi} = G \rtimes_{\phi} \langle t_{\phi} \rangle$. In this semi-direct product, we say that G is the *fiber*.

Remark 2.2. This terminology is inspired by the motivating example in this paper, where $G = \pi_1(S)$ with S a closed surface. Suppose that $\Phi : S \to S$ is a homeomorphism, so that ϕ equals the automorphism of G induced by Φ after choosing a base-point appropriately. Let M denote the 3-manifold given by the mapping torus of Φ . Then Γ_{ϕ} is the fundamental group of M. Thus, from a topological point of view, the relevant object to be considered is the mapping torus M. But for the purposes of this section, it suffices to consider only the fundamental group of M.

Lemma 2.3. If ϕ, ψ are automorphisms of G in the same commensurated-conjugacy class, then, Γ_{ϕ} and Γ_{ψ} are commensurable by a homomorphism commensurating the fiber.

Proof. Let H, H' be the subgroups obtained in the previous Lemma. We make semidirect products $H \rtimes_{\psi} \langle s \rangle$ and $H' \rtimes_{\phi} \langle q \rangle$. These two groups are isomorphic by an isomorphism restricting to α on H and sending s to q. Moreover these two groups embed as finite index subgroups of Γ_{ϕ} and Γ_{ψ} sending s and q respectively to t_{ψ} and t_{ϕ} .

FRANÇOIS DAHMANI AND MAHAN MJ

3. Surface groups and pseudo-Anosov automorphisms

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2. We provide a quick roadmap through the section for the benefit of the reader. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 allow us to upgrade the study of an abstract commensurated-conjugacy class of pseudoanosov automorphisms to the study of hyperbolic 3-manifolds arising as mapping tori. This makes use of Lemma 2.3. Lemma 3.3 then further upgrades the abstract commensurated-conjugacy class to the same conjugacy class in the automorphism group (and not just the outer automorphism group) of $G = \pi_1(S)$.

Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 ensure that the images of G under all the representations into $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ arising from Lemma 3.2 together generate a *finite index* supergroup F_0 of G, and hence the fundamental group of a hyperbolic 2-orbifold (Lemma 3.7).

Corollary 3.8, Lemma 3.9 and Corollary 3.10 furnish effective estimates on the number of subgroups of F_0 of a fixed index.

These ingredients are finally assembled together to prove Theorem 1.2 at the end of the Section.

Recall that in a group A, an element a is in the A-commensurator of a subgroup B if $aBa^{-1} \cap B$ has finite index in B.

Lemma 3.1. Let G be the fundamental group of a closed orientable surface of genus $g \ge 2$. If ϕ and ψ are automorphisms of G in the same commensurated-conjugacy class, and if ϕ determines a pseudo-Anosov mapping class, then ψ does as well.

Proof. By Thurston's hyperbolization of mapping tori [29, Section 5] (see case (iii) of [29, Theorem 0.1]), the group Γ_{ϕ} is isomorphic to a lattice in $\text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, hence it is word-hyperbolic. Therefore Γ_{ψ} is as well, by the quasi-isometry induced by the commensuration. Hence ψ determines a pseudo-Anosov mapping class.

Lemma 3.2. There are faithful representations ρ_{ϕ} , ρ_{ψ} of Γ_{ϕ} and Γ_{ψ} into $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$, such that for all $h \in H$, $\rho_{\psi}(h) = \rho_{\phi}(\alpha(h))$ and $\rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi}) = \rho_{\psi}(t_{\psi})$.

Moreover, given ρ_{ϕ} , the representation ρ_{ψ} satisfying the above is unique.

Proof. Consider the two uniform lattice representations ρ_{ϕ} , ρ_{ψ} of Γ_{ϕ} and Γ_{ψ} , respectively, into $\text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.

The images contain isomorphic uniform sublattices, images of $H \rtimes \langle s \rangle$ and $H' \rtimes \langle q \rangle$ (following the notation of Lemma 2.3). Through the isomorphism between these two groups, one may see them as lattice representations of the same group $H \rtimes \langle s \rangle$. Therefore, by Mostow rigidity [21, Theorem 12.1], one may conjugate ρ_{ψ} so that for all $h \in H, \rho_{\psi}(h) = \rho_{\phi}(\alpha(h))$ and also $\rho_{\psi}(q) = \rho_{\phi}(s)$.

Uniqueness follows also from Mostow rigidity [21, Theorem 12.1]: two such representations $\rho_{\psi}, \rho'_{\psi}$ must be conjugate by a conjugator centralizing $\rho_{\psi}(H)$, but this latter group is Zariski dense in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, hence its centralizer is the center of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ which is trivial.

Lemma 3.3. Assume ψ_1, ψ_2 are both automorphisms of G, in the commensuratedconjugacy class of ϕ .

If $\rho_{\psi_1}(G) = \rho_{\psi_2}(G)$, then ψ_1, ψ_2 are in the same Aut(G)-conjugacy class.

Proof. Since $\rho_{\psi_1}(t_{\psi_1}) = \rho_{\psi_2}(t_{\psi_2})$, therefore $\rho_{\psi_1}^{-1} \circ \rho_{\psi_2}$ is an automorphism of G that tautologically conjugates the automorphism of G given by $\rho_{\psi_1}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{ad}_{\rho_{\psi_1}(t_{\psi_1})} \circ \rho_{\psi_1}$ to $\rho_{\psi_2}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{ad}_{\rho_{\psi_1}(t_{\psi_1})} \circ \rho_{\psi_2}$.

The following lemma is not crucial, but we record it.

Lemma 3.4. Assume ψ_1, ψ_2 are both automorphisms of G, in the commensuratedconjugacy class of ϕ . If ψ_1, ψ_2 are in the same Aut(G)-conjugacy class of ϕ , then $\rho_{\psi_1}(G) = \rho_{\psi_2}(G)$.

In particular, if ψ is conjugate to ϕ by $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$, on the entire G, then $\rho_{\psi} = \rho_{\phi} \circ \alpha$ on G, while in comparison with the previous case, the equality held only after restriction to H.

Proof. The groups Γ_{ψ_1} and Γ_{ψ_2} are isomorphic by an isomorphism that sends the fiber to the fiber and t_{ψ_1} to t_{ψ_2} . Therefore, there is a representation ρ'_{ψ_2} of Γ_{ψ_2} that has the same image as ρ_{ψ_1} . Further, the fibers have same image, as do t_{ψ_1}, t_{ψ_2} . Since $\rho_{\psi_1}(t_{\psi_1}) = \rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi})$, this representation satisfies the properties required for ρ_{ψ_2} (as defined in Lemma 3.2). By uniqueness of ρ_{ψ_2} from Lemma 3.2, $\rho_{\psi_2} = \rho'_{\psi_2}$ and we have the result.

Let ψ be in the commensurated-conjugacy class of ϕ . The representation ρ_{ψ} sends G to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ that is normalized by $\rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi})$ and intersects $\rho_{\phi}(G)$ in a common finite index subgroup. After passing to a further common finite index subgroup Y, we may assume that Y is normal in the group generated by $\rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G)$, hence the quotient $\langle \rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G) \rangle / Y$ is isomorphic to a quotient of the abstract free product $\rho_{\phi}(G) / Y * \rho_{\psi}(G) / Y$. In principle this could still be infinite. However, this is not the case, as we argue below in Proposition 3.5 and the first claim of Proposition 3.6.

Observe that the limit set of $\rho_{\phi}(G)$ in the sphere at infinity is the whole sphere $\partial \mathbb{H}^3$, since it is a normal subgroup in a lattice. Since it has infinite index in the said lattice, it has infinite co-volume in its action on \mathbb{H}^3 . We may apply [18, Theorem 1.1], or equivalently [23, Theorem 3.5], to obtain that the $(\mathrm{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C})$ -commensurator of $\rho_{\phi}(G)$ is a uniform lattice Λ_{ϕ} containing $\rho_{\phi}(\Gamma_{\phi})$.

Proposition 3.5. For all ψ in the commensurated-conjugacy class of ϕ , the group $\langle \rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G) \cup \{\rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi})\} \rangle$ is a uniform lattice that surjects onto \mathbb{Z} with kernel $\langle \rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G) \rangle$.

In particular, $\langle \rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G) \rangle$ is virtually a hyperbolic surface group.

Proof. Every element of $\rho_{\psi}(G)$ is in the $(\text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C})$ -commensurator of $\rho_{\phi}(G)$ since the latter has a finite index subgroup which is a normal finite index subgroup of $\rho_{\psi}(G)$. Therefore, $\rho_{\psi}(G) \subset \Lambda_{\phi}$, and $\langle \rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G) \cup \{\rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi})\} \rangle \subset \Lambda_{\phi}$. Since it contains the uniform lattice $\rho_{\phi}(\Gamma_{\phi})$, it is also a uniform lattice.

The obvious map sending $\rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi})$ to 1 and $\rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G)$ to 0 extends to a homomorphism h to \mathbb{Z} .

By Selberg's lemma, there is a torsion free finite index subgroup F of $\langle \rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G) \rangle$. Observe, though it is not directly useful, that the finite index of F is uniformly bounded, if Selberg's lemma is applied to Λ_{ϕ} . Considering the quotient of \mathbb{H}^3 by F, the Tameness Theorem [6, 1] and Canary's Covering Theorem [8] imply that F is a closed surface group. Observe that the conclusion can be also drawn from Stallings' Theorem [25] applied to a torsion-free finite index subgroup of $\langle \rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G) \cup \{\rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi})\}\rangle$, which projects onto \mathbb{Z} with finitely generated kernel.

It follows that $\langle \rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G) \rangle$ is virtually the fundamental group of a hyperbolic surface. Further, $\langle \rho_{\phi}(G) \cup \rho_{\psi}(G) \rangle$ is contained in a finite index supergroup N, the kernel of the homomorphism h constructed above. Hence the automorphism $\mathrm{ad}_{\rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi})}$ of N extends both ϕ and ψ .

Proposition 3.6. Given ρ_{ϕ} , there is a subgroup F_0 of Λ_{ϕ} that contains $\rho_{\phi}(G)$ as a finite index subgroup that is normalized by $\rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi})$ and that contains all images $\rho_{\psi}(G)$ for ψ in the commensurated conjugacy class of ϕ .

The subgroup F_0 is virtually a hyperbolic surface group, and it contains finitely many finite index subgroups isomorphic to G.

This number is an upper bound for the class number of ϕ .

Proof. Take the collection of all $\rho_{\psi}(G)$ for all possible representation ψ as above commensurating $\rho_{\phi}(G)$. Index them over the integers, let $G_0 = \rho_{\phi}(G)$ and for each *i* let $G_i = \langle G_{i-1} \cup \rho_{\psi_i}(G) \rangle$. This sequence of subgroups of Λ_{ϕ} is a sequence of groups containing $\rho_{\phi}(G)$ as a finite index subgroup, and normalized by $\rho_{\phi}(t_{\phi})$. The previous argument shows that, up to bounded finite index, this corresponds to a sequence of decreasing finite covers of hyperbolic surfaces, and thus must terminate, by decrease of the genus. Since the index is uniformly bounded, the sequence is stationary. Let F_0 be the union of all G_i , thus the first part of the lemma holds. Considering Euler characteristic, all finite index subgroups of F_0 that are isomorphic to G have the same index, and the second part is just counting subgroups with a given finite index. The third part is a consequence of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.7. The group F_0 is a hyperbolic 2-orbifold group.

More generally, anytime a group F_0 is a finitely generated kernel of a homomorphism to \mathbb{Z} of a uniform lattice in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, it is a hyperbolic 2-orbifold group.

$$\square$$

Proof. As already mentioned, such a group F_0 contains as finite index subgroup, the fundamental group of a closed orientable surface of genus ≥ 2 .

Therefore there exists a finite index normal subgroup K of F_0 that is a hyperbolic surface group. One has an exact sequence

$$1 \to K \to F_0 \to Q \to 1$$

where Q is finite. Choosing a set-theoretic section $\xi : Q \to F_0$, we obtain a map $Q \to \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ by realizing each $\xi(q)$ by $\operatorname{ad}_{\xi(q)}$. This is not necessarily a homomorphism (since $\xi(q)$ could be changed by any element of $\xi(q)K$), but it descends to a homomorphism $\varphi : Q \to \operatorname{Out}(K)$.

We first argue that this homomorphism is injective. If $q \in Q \setminus \{1\}$ is sent to the identity, then $\operatorname{ad}_{\xi(q)}$ equals conjugation by some $k \in K$, hence qk^{-1} is in the centralizer of K. However, as K is Zariski dense in $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (which has trivial center), it has trivial centralizer.

By the Dehn-Nielsen-Baer theorem, $\operatorname{Out}(K)$ is isomorphic to the extended mapping class group of the surface Σ of which K is the fundamental group. By the Nielsen realization theorem [14], there is a hyperbolic metric on Σ , a subgroup S of the finite group of (metric) symmetries of S, an identification $K \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_1(\Sigma, v)$ (for a base point $v \in \Sigma$ with trivial S-stabilizer), and an isomorphism $\varphi(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} S$ in a way that the action of S by outer-automorphisms on $\pi_1(\Sigma, v)$ is the equivariant image of the action of $\varphi(Q)$ by outer-automorphisms on K.

Consider now the orbifold quotient $\overline{\Sigma}$ of Σ under the action of S. We claim that its orbifold fundamental group (with base point \overline{v}) is isomorphic to the extension F_0 . Indeed, it is also a group extension of the form

$$1 \to \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\overline{\Sigma}) \to S \to 1$$

with the same representation $S \to \text{Out}(\pi_1(\Sigma))$ as φ for F_0 . Since the center of K is trivial, the classification of extensions (see for instance [5, Coro. IV(6.8)]) indicates that there is only one extension of K by Q, realizing φ , up to equivalence. Therefore $\pi_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \overline{v}) \simeq F_0$, and F_0 is a 2-orbifold group.

Being commensurable with a hyperbolic surface group, the orbifold of which F_0 is the fundamental group is an orbifold that carries a hyperbolic metric. It must be of area greater than that of the smallest orbifold (the quotient of \mathbb{H}^2 by the the Coxeter triangle group (2, 3, 7)), which is $\pi/42$. On the other hand, if $\chi(G)$ is the Euler characteristic of G, it is the fundamental group of a hyperbolic surface of area $-2\pi\chi(G)$. We record this in the following.

Corollary 3.8. The index of subgroups of F_0 that are of finite index and isomorphic to G is bounded above by $-84\chi(G)$, or 168(g-1). Here g is the genus of a surface Σ with fundamental group G.

Lemma 3.9. If g is the genus of a surface Σ with fundamental group G, then the rank of F_0 is at most 2g.

Proof. The group F_0 is fundamental group of an orbifold \mathcal{O} of which the surface Σ is a ramified cover. Let \mathcal{O}_{top} be the underlying topological surface of \mathcal{O} , and \mathcal{O}_{sing} the collection of singularities of \mathcal{O} on \mathcal{O}_{top} . For p a singularity let e_p denote the order of its isotropy group. Classical presentations of 2-orbifolds show that the rank of F_0 is at most the rank of the fundamental group of \mathcal{O}_{top} plus the cardinality of \mathcal{O}_{sing} . The surface Σ is a branched cover of \mathcal{O}_{top} . Let N be the degree of the cover. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula for branched covers of (topological) surfaces gives $\chi(\Sigma) =$ $N\chi(\mathcal{O}_{top}) - \sum_{\mathcal{O}_{sing}} (e_p - 1)$. Writing the rank of the (classical) fundamental group of \mathcal{O}_{top} as r_t , one has $\chi(\Sigma) = N(2 - r_t) - \sum (e_p - 1)$. The rank r of the orbifold group F_0 hence satisfies $Nr \leq 2N - \chi(\Sigma) - N \sum (e_p - 1) + N |\mathcal{O}_{sing}| \leq 2N - \chi(\Sigma)$. Finally $r \leq 2 + 2(g - 1)/N = \frac{2g}{N} + 2 - \frac{2}{N}$. This in turn is less than 2g for $g \geq 2, N \geq 1$.

Corollary 3.10. The number of subgroups of index k in F_0 is at most $(k!)^{2g}$.

Proof. For any index k subgroup, F_0 acts by permutation on its k cosets. Therefore, the map $Hom(F_0, \mathfrak{S}_k) \to \{H, H < F_0\}$ that assigns to every $\pi : F_0 \to \mathfrak{S}_k$ the stabilizer of $\{1\}$ of the action, surjects $Hom(F_0, \mathfrak{S}_k)$ onto the set of index $\leq k$ subgroups of F_0 . There are at most $(k!)^{rank(F_0)}$ such homomorphisms. By the previous Lemma 3.9, we obtain the desired bound.

A slightly better formula for surfaces was actually given by A.D. Mednykh, [19, Thm. 14.4.1].

We thus obtain a proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider Σ_g a genus $g \ge 2$ surface, and ϕ a pseudo-Anosov automorphism of $G = \pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. Let ρ_{ϕ} a discrete faithful representation of $\Gamma_{\phi} = \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ in $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let F_0 given by Proposition 3.6. By Corollary 3.8, the index of F_0 in all the images of G by the representations ρ_{ψ} defined before Proposition 3.6, for $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ in the commensurated conjugacy class of ϕ is bounded by 168(g-1). By Corollary 3.8, the number of images of G by the maps ρ_{ψ} is bounded by $((168(g-1))!)^{2g}$. Finally, by Lemma 3.3, this number is an upper bound for the number of Aut (G)-conjugacy classes in the set of all $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ that are commensurably conjugate to ϕ .

However huge, this bound is nonetheless independent of ϕ , which was perhaps unexpected. Hence, the bound obtained in Proposition 3.6 is actually uniform over the elements of Aut (G) that define pseudo-Anosov mapping classes of the surface of which G is fundamental group.

CLASS NUMBER FOR PSEUDO-ANOSOVS

4. Recipe for commensurably-conjugate mapping classes

In this Section we propose a recipe to construct examples, as suggested by the structure obtained in Proposition 3.6. We will also produce an explicit example in Subsection 4.1, thus proving Theorem 1.3.

Start with a hyperbolic surface, or 2-orbifold Σ with a base point v. Consider a single pseudo-Anosov mapping class $[\Phi]$, and realize it as an automorphism Φ of $\pi_1(\Sigma, v)$.

Consider two finite sheeted characteristic covers that are of the same degree but do not correspond to subgroups in the same orbit under the automorphism group of $\pi_1(\Sigma, v)$. For instance, one can be an abelian cover while the other is not. In fact, it is not necessary that the covers are characteristic, they only need to have fundamental groups preserved by Φ . It could thus be any cover of degree k, up to replacing Φ by $\Phi^{k!}$ (which preserves all subgroups of index k).

Let G_1, G_2 be the subgroups of $\pi_1(\Sigma, v)$ that are fundamental groups of the respective covers (Σ_1, v_1) and (Σ_2, v_2) .

The automorphism Φ induces ϕ and ϕ' , automorphisms of subgroups G_1, G_2 respectively. Moving up to a common cover of Σ_1, Σ_2 , corresponding to the intersection of the subgroups G_1, G_2 , (which is preserved by Φ), we obtain a subgroup on which ϕ, ϕ' coincide. Turn ϕ' into an automorphism ψ of G_1 by picking an isomorphism $\alpha : G_1 \to G_2$.

Claim 4.1. The automorphisms ϕ and ψ of the group G_1 are in the same commensuratedconjugacy class in Comm (G_1) .

Write $H = G_1 \cap G_2$. On H, the restrictions of ϕ and ϕ' coincide. Since $\alpha \circ \psi \circ \alpha^{-1} = \phi'$ on G_2 , we have that $\phi|_H = \alpha \circ \psi \circ \alpha^{-1}|_H$. We thus have ϕ, ψ commensurably conjugated by α^{-1} on H. It is not clear what conditions would ensure that they are not conjugate in Aut (G_1) , even though one might suspect that this happens somewhat generically: any such conjugation would be a hidden symmetry for the mapping class of ϕ on its cover Σ_1 , because it can then descend as $[\Phi]$ to Σ through two different covering maps.

4.1. Explicit example. While it appears likely that a construction as above would give examples of non-conjugated automorphisms, an actual explicit example is necessary to settle the issue.

Definition 4.2. Let $A \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. If (A - I) as an endomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n has rank r < n, we say that A is the identity plus a rank r endomorphism.

Our example below will be a construction of two mapping classes on a surface of genus three.

Proposition 4.3. Let S be a closed orientable surface of genus three, and $p \in S$. There exist two pseudo-Anosov mapping classes of S that are realized by automorphisms of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S, p)$ that are commensurably conjugate, but are not conjugate in MCG(S).

In particular they are not conjugate in $Aut(\pi_1(S, p))$.

Proof. Consider Σ a genus 2 surface, with base point v, and two curves that fill Σ , μ, λ , with μ separating. Writing $\pi_1(\Sigma, v) = \langle \alpha, \eta, \gamma, \delta | \alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \delta \gamma \delta^{-1} \rangle$, we specify the curve μ to be the commutator and for the sake of being explicit, $\lambda = \gamma \beta \delta \gamma \beta \alpha^{-1} \delta \gamma \beta$, see Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. The curve $\lambda = \gamma \beta \delta \gamma \beta \alpha^{-1} \delta \gamma \beta$, in green, used for twisting. The curves α and β are pink on the left, γ, δ are pink on the right, while μ s in black in the middle β and γ are the "horizontal" curves among the pink ones.

Consider the mapping classes obtained by the product of Dehn twists $\phi = \tau_{\gamma_1}^n \tau_{\lambda}^{2m}$. The construction of Penner-Thurston [24] ensures that, for $n, m \gg 1$, this defines a pseudo-Anosov mapping class on Σ .

Consider the two 2-sheeted covers Σ_1, Σ_2 of Σ whose fundamental groups are the kernels of the two homomorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma, v) \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ given by

 $\alpha, \delta \mapsto 0, \quad \beta, \gamma \mapsto 1$

on the one hand, and

$$\alpha, \gamma, \delta \mapsto 0, \quad \beta \mapsto 1$$

on the other. These are two surfaces of genus 3, pictured in Figure 2.

In both covers, the twist τ_{μ} lifts as a mapping class that is trivial in homology. Observe that in Σ_1 the curve λ lifts as two disjoint simple closed curves, but on Σ_2 it lifts to two arcs, that when concatenated make a simple closed curve. In other words, the squared twist τ_{λ}^2 lifts to both covers, as a mapping class.



FIGURE 2. The two homeomorphic surfaces Σ_1 (top left), Σ_2 (top right), and their (different) covering maps of Σ (bottom). The base point (black point) in Σ has two lifts in each covers, in black and grey. The curve μ in Σ (in blue) has two lifts that are curves in each covers (in blue). The curve λ in Σ (in green) has two lifts that are *arcs* in each covers. For readability, in each cover, only pieces of them are drawn, in green for one of the arcs (the one starting at the black point), and in light green for the other arc (the one starting at the grey point). The green lift (that starts at the black point), ends either at the black or the grey point. In the rightmost cover, it ends at the grey point, while in the leftmost cover, it ends at the black point, thus defining a curve. In particular, the whole preimage of green curve of Σ is a single curve in Σ_2 on the right, but is the union of two curves in Σ_1 on the left.

In Σ_2 , it lifts as a single Dehn twist over a simple (non-separating) curve. It follows that in this cover, the mapping class induced by ϕ induces, on the homology of Σ_2 , the identity plus a rank one endomorphism.

In Σ_1 , however, λ lifts as a pair of simple closed curves, and τ_{λ}^2 lifts as a product of two Dehn twists over the two disjoint simple closed curves. It is not automatic that this product would be different in homology as a single Dehn twist, but it turns out that, by a slightly tedious but simple computation, the action on the homology of this mapping class is indeed the identity plus a rank 2 endomorphism.

Indeed, in homology of the cover Σ_1 , one can form an explicit basis, as

$$(\alpha, \beta^2, \gamma^{-1}\beta^{-1}, \delta, \gamma^2, \beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha),$$

and the matrix of the homology representation of τ_{λ} is the following matrix M in this basis.

On the right, $M - I_6$ is of rank 2.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -2 & -1 & -3 & 4 & 0 \\ 9 & 4 & 0 & 9 & -6 & 9 \\ 9 & 0 & -2 & 9 & 0 & 18 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 & 7 & -8 & 0 \\ 9 & 3 & 0 & 9 & -5 & 9 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M - I_6 = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 & -1 & -3 & 4 & 0 \\ 9 & 3 & 0 & 9 & -6 & 9 \\ 9 & 0 & -3 & 9 & 0 & 18 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 & 6 & -8 & 0 \\ 9 & 3 & 0 & 9 & -6 & 9 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the two lifts of ϕ to the two surfaces of genus three are not conjugate by any isomorphism between the surfaces groups.

To conclude, our explicit example of a pair of pseudo-Anosov automorphisms on the fundamental group of a surface of genus 3, that are commensurably conjugate, but not conjugate, are these two lifts of ϕ . See Figure 2. These are the mapping classes obtained, in each picture of genus 3 surface, by twisting k times over the pair of blue curves, and then twisting 2m times along the pair of green and orange curves, in Σ_1 , and only m times along the single green-and-orange curve in Σ_2 .

5. Infinitely many class number one pseudo-Anosovs

We start this Section by a lemma which is probably well known to specialists. Since we could not find an explicit reference, we include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 5.1. There exists $V_0 > 0$, and infinitely many conjugacy classes of maximal non-arithmetic uniform lattices in $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$, with co-volume $\leq V_0$.

Proof. Take M_0 a non-compact, finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifold with one cusp (a complement of a hyperbolic knot for instance), and consider a sequence M_n of compact hyperbolic manifolds obtained by deeper and deeper hyperbolic Dehn filling on M_0 . Their volumes V_n are bounded above by the volume V_0 of the initial manifold, and accumulate on V_0 . It follows from a theorem of Borel [4, Theorem 8.2] that, after passing to a subsequence, we can assume that they have non-arithmetic fundamental group Γ_n in PSL₂(\mathbb{C}). By another theorem of Borel, on commensurability [4, Main Theorem], for all these n, there exists a unique biggest uniform lattice Γ_n^+ containing Γ_n , necessarily as a finite index subgroup. For each n, the co-volume of Γ_n^+ in \mathbb{H}^3 divides V_n , and is larger than a positive constant [12, 13]. Therefore, since V_n accumulates on V_0 , the Γ_n^+ are eventually all of different co-volume, hence nonconjugate.

We finally prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof. Let us denote by $\Lambda_i^0, i \in \mathbb{N}$ a sequence of representatives of different conjugacy classes of maximal non-arithmetic uniform lattices in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. In each Λ_i^0 , by Agol's virtual fibration theorem [2, Theorem 9.2], there is a finite index subgroup Λ_i^1 that maps onto \mathbb{Z} with finitely generated kernel. Since the index of Λ_i^1 in Λ_i^0 is finite, the chains of strict inclusion of subgroups from Λ_i^0 to Λ_i^1 are finite. Therefore, there exists a maximal subgroup Λ_i of Λ_i^0 , containing Λ_i^1 , and surjecting on \mathbb{Z} (under a homomorphism $\pi_i : \Lambda_i \to \mathbb{Z}$). The index of Λ_i^1 in Λ_i is necessarily finite, less than $[\Lambda_i^1, \Lambda_i^0]$, and the kernel of π_i has therefore finite index in the fiber of Λ_i^1 . In particular it is finitely generated. By Lemma 3.7, it is therefore the fundamental group of a hyperbolic 2-orbifold. Let G_i be this subgroup, and $t_i \in \Lambda_i$ such that $\Lambda_i = G_i \rtimes \langle t_i \rangle$.

Denote by ϕ_i the automorphism of G_i given by conjugation by t_i . Let us compute the class number of ϕ_i . Let ψ_i be a commensurably conjugated automorphism. We want to prove that it is conjugate to ϕ_i in Aut (G_i) . By Proposition 3.5, the lattice Λ_i lives in a larger lattice containing a copy M_i of the mapping torus of ψ_i and surjecting onto \mathbb{Z} with the fibers of M_i and Λ_i in the kernel. By Borel's Theorem on commensurability [4], since Λ_i is non-arithmetic, there is a unique biggest lattice containing Λ_i , and it is therefore Λ_i^0 . Therefore, by maximality of Λ_i , $\langle M_i \cup \Lambda_i \rangle = \Lambda_i$, and it follows from Lemma 3.3 that ϕ_i and ψ_i are conjugated in Aut (G_i) . Therefore, the class number of ϕ_i is one.

The same argument also reveals that for $i \neq j$, ϕ_i and ϕ_j do not have any nontrivial power that are commensurably conjugate: if they did, the mapping tori would be represented in $PLS_2(\mathbb{C})$ in a common lattice that fibers with finitely generated kernel, and Borel's Theorem again ensures that the maximal lattice containing them is unique, and therefore i = j.

6. APPENDIX: A DISCUSSION OF THE ALGEBRAIC NUMBER THEORY FOR CLASS NUMBER OF MATRICES, AND ITS ANALOGOUS CONCEPTS

6.1. Orders and ideal classes. We briefly discuss the algebraic number theory behind the class numbers of matrices in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with irreducible characteristic polynomial. Recall that an order is a ring that is finitely generated as \mathbb{Z} -module. In an algebraic number field K (a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}), there is a maximal order which is the ring \mathcal{O}_K of algebraic integers. Let χ be a unitary irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Z} , and ξ a root in $K = \mathbb{Q}[X]/(\chi)$. We are interested in the order $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ in K.

If $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are ideals in this ring, they are said to be equivalent if there exist x, y such that $x\mathfrak{a} = y\mathfrak{b}$. The ideal \mathfrak{a} is invertible if there is \mathfrak{b} such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ is principal, hence equivalent to (1). The monoid of equivalence classes of ideals is the ideal class monoid (or semigroup), and restricting to the invertible ideals, this constitutes the Picard group of the order $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$.

FRANÇOIS DAHMANI AND MAHAN MJ

The Picard group of an order in a number field is finite (see discussion in [15]). The Ideal Class Monoid of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ is finite [30, Thm. 8]. It is a subset of a finite union of Picard groups of orders containing $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ (those orders are contained in the maximal order, the ring of algebraic integers). When n = 2, it is equal to this finite union of Picard groups (see [20, Prop. 3.7]). The Latimer-MacDuffee theorem says the following.

Theorem 6.1. [17, 28] Let χ be a unitary irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Z} , and ξ a root in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let \mathcal{C}_{χ} be the set of $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugacy classes of matrices in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ whose characteristic polynomial is χ . Then \mathcal{C}_{χ} is in bijection with the Ideal Class Monoid of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$.

6.2. Arbitrarily large class numbers. We owe to Sara Checcoli the following argument for showing that the class number is not bounded among elements of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 6.2. The class numbers of elements in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with irreducible characteristic polynomials are finite but unbounded.

Proof. We already know that they are finite by Theorem 6.1. A theorem of Montgomery and Weinberger [22] ensures that there are infinitely many square-free integers d > 0 of the form $d = 4n^2 + 1$ such that the class number of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ is larger than $\sqrt{d}(\log \log d)/(\log d)$.

For each such d, consider Pell's equation $a^2 - db^2 = 4$, which has integer solutions by Lagrange's theorem [16]. Let a, b be a solution, and $\chi_a(X) = X^2 + aX + 1$. Since $a^2 - 4 = db^2$ is not a square, χ_a is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} , and it is obviously the characteristic polynomial of $\begin{pmatrix} -a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Its splitting field is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, and since $d = 4n^2 + 1 \equiv 1 \mod 4$, the ring of integers is $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{d})/2]$. Its class number is larger than $\sqrt{d}(\log \log d)/(\log d)$, and therefore, the class number of the order $\mathbb{Z}[(a+b\sqrt{d})/2]$ also. By the theorem of Latimer and MacDuffee, for our integer a, the class number of $\begin{pmatrix} -a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is larger than $\sqrt{d}(\log \log d)/(\log d)$.

6.3. On the proof of Latimer-MacDuffee theorem. We reproduce a variation of the proof given in [28], that will help us connect to the case of surfaces. For $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the dual of the $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ -module $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n$ is $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\xi]}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n, \mathbb{Z}[\xi])$, it is the module of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ -linear combinations of the *n* entries of vectors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n$. With the notation of Theorem 6.1, it suffices to establish the following Proposition.

Proposition 6.3. For $M \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ of characteristic polynomial χ , let v_M in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n$ be an eigenvector for ξ , and

$$\mathfrak{a}_{v_M} = \{ \alpha(v_M), \alpha \in Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\xi]}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n, \mathbb{Z}[\xi]) \}.$$

16

Then \mathfrak{a}_{v_M} is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$, the ideal class of \mathfrak{a}_{v_M} depends only on M, every class is realized, and for all M, N, the ideal classes of \mathfrak{a}_{v_M} and \mathfrak{a}_{v_N} are equal if and only if [M] = [N] in \mathcal{C}_{χ} .

Proof. The module \mathfrak{a}_{v_M} is trivially a $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ -module. Therefore it is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$. Any two choices of v_M differ by a scalar in the field of fractions $\mathbb{Q}[\xi]$. Observing that $\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda v} = \lambda \mathfrak{a}_v$, we obtain that M thus determines an ideal class of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$.

Let us show that every ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ is realized in this way. If \mathfrak{b} is a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$, it contains and is contained in two rank n free abelian groups (respectively $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]b$ for $b \in \mathfrak{b} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$), hence it is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank n. Consider $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ a \mathbb{Z} -basis. Being moreover a $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ -module, each $\xi \omega_i$ is a unique integral combination of $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$. Let M be the matrix whose rows are the integer coefficients of these combinations. Thus, M is a square matrix for which ξ is an eigenvalue, and therefore, by Irreducibility, its characteristic polynomial is χ . Since $v_M = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)^{\top}$ is an eigenvector, \mathfrak{a}_{v_M} contains \mathfrak{b} , and is equal to it because the coordinate linear forms generate $Hom(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n, \mathbb{Z}[\xi])$.

Two conjugate matrices M, PMP^{-1} (for $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$) produce the same ideal class: indeed, the eigenvector $v_{PMP^{-1}}$ can be chosen as Pv_M , and since

$$Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\xi]}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n, \mathbb{Z}[\xi]) = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\xi]}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n, \mathbb{Z}[\xi])P,$$

the images \mathfrak{a}_{v_M} and \mathfrak{a}_{Pv_M} are the same. Finally, if two matrices M, N produce the same ideal class, let $v_M = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)^{\top}$ and $v_N = (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n)^{\top}$ be the respective eigenvectors in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n$, scaled so that their entries generate the same ideal. The tuples $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ and (η_1, \ldots, η_n) are two bases of the same \mathbb{Z} -module, therefore there exists $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $Pv_M = v_N$. Both matrices N and PMP^{-1} have v_N as eigenvectors for the eigenvalue ξ . Since the entries of v_N form a basis of the \mathbb{Z} -module they generate, there is a unique matrix Q with integer entries such that $Qv_N = \xi v_N$. So, $Q = N = PMP^{-1}$, which finishes the proof.

6.4. A connection to foliations: the torus. Let $T = \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ be the *n*-dimensional unit torus. Let $\alpha \in Hom(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z})$ be a non-trivial linear form, and $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}} \alpha$ its kernel. Let *p* be the smallest positive value of $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

The group \mathbb{Z}^n splits as ker $\alpha \oplus \langle v_{\alpha} \rangle$ for v_{α} a preimage of p. Consider the extension of α on \mathbb{R}^n , the hyperplane ker_{\mathbb{R}} α in \mathbb{R}^n , and its image in T: this is an embedded codimension 1 subtorus T_{α} , the quotient of ker_{$\mathbb{R}}<math>\alpha$ by the translation group ker_{$\mathbb{Z}}<math>\alpha$.</sub></sub>

Let us say that T_{α} carries a weight of p (the positive generator of $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^n)$). Let \mathcal{H} be the space of weighted codimension 1 subtori that are images of kernels (in \mathbb{R}) of non-trivial forms in $Hom(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let us define the intersection form $\iota : \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{N}$ to be

$$\iota(T_{\alpha}, v) = |\alpha(v)|.$$

First this is well defined: the weighted codimension 1 subtorus T_{α} determines the form α up to the sign. Let us justify the name. If $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ which is not a proper multiple, the image of the segment $[0, v] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in T_{α} is a simple closed curve. If $1 \in Im(\alpha)$, this curve intersects exactly $|\alpha(v)|$ times the codimension 1 subtorus T_{α} , as seen when expressing v in the sum ker $\alpha \oplus \langle v_{\alpha} \rangle$. If now p is the weight of T_{α} , the quantity $|\alpha(v)|$ counts each intersection point p times.

For general $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\iota(T_\alpha, v) = |\alpha(v)|$ counts this geometric weighted intersection number multiplied by the largest k so that $w \in k\mathbb{Z}^n$. If now $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for all m let us choose a vector $v_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $||v_m||_{\infty} < 1$, $mv - v_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Observe that $\iota(T_\alpha, v) = \lim_m \frac{1}{m}\iota(T_\alpha, mv - v_m)$. The intersection form counts an average of intersection numbers for the multiples of v. This justifies the denominator.

Geometrically, we associate, to an arbitrary vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, the image in the torus T of the line $\mathbb{R}v$. The foliation \mathcal{F}_v of the torus is then defined as the partition by the images of the parallel lines to $\mathbb{R}v$. If $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, this is a foliation by closed curves, and if $v \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ each line of the foliation is a geodesic that is infinite in both directions.

We may interpret the intersection form $\iota(\cdot, v)$ as defining a measure μ on each torus T_{α} of weight 1 (i.e. for α achieving 1): for each Borel Ω , $\mu(\Omega)$ is $\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m}n(m)$ for n(m) the number of intersection points of the image of [0, mv] in T_{α} , with Ω (counted with multiplicity if $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$). The intersection form thus defines a transverse measure to the foliation \mathcal{F}_v that endows each codimension 1 subtorus T_{α} (for α achieving 1) of the total mass $\mu(T_{\alpha}) = \iota(T_{\alpha}, v)$. Choosing a multiple of v then multiplies the measure by the factor. The foliation has a well defined projective transverse measure.

Let now M be a matrix in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ as before, and v_M an eigenvector in \mathbb{R}^n for the eigenvalue ξ . We now relate this geometric intersection form to the considerations on the ideal class associated to the conjugacy class of M.

Lemma 6.4. If, for $\gamma \in Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z})$, one denotes by γ' its linear extension to \mathbb{R}^n , one has

$$\{\alpha(v_M), \alpha \in Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\xi]}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n, \mathbb{Z}[\xi])\} = \{\alpha(v_M), \alpha \in Hom_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \alpha(\mathbb{Z}^n) \subset \mathbb{Z}\} \\ = \{\gamma'(v_M), \gamma \in Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z})\}$$

Proof. The equality between the two right hand sides is clear. In the first equality, the reverse inclusion is clear. To show the forward inclusion, let $v_M = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$. It suffices to show that for each i, v_i and ξv_i are in $\{\alpha(v_M), \alpha \in Hom_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \alpha(\mathbb{Z}^n) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$. Of course v_i is the scalar product $v_i = (e_i, v_M)$, and ξv_i is $v_i = (M^{\top}e_i, v_M)$. Both linear forms (e_i, \cdot) and $(M^{\top}e_i, \cdot)$ send \mathbb{Z}^n to \mathbb{Z} .

With Lemma 6.4 and Proposition 6.3 one recognizes the following geometric interpretation, with notations as above.

Corollary 6.5. The correspondence between C_{χ} and the ideal class monoid of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ is given by taking an eigenvector $v_M \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n$ and the ideal of all intersection numbers of v_M with weighted codimension 1 subtori of T — or in other words, the ideal of all evaluations of the transverse-measured foliation \mathcal{F}_{v_M} on codimension 1 subtori.

6.5. A view to laminations: higher genus surfaces. In the case of a closed orientable surface Σ , of genus $g \ge 2$, and an automorphism of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$, one may define similar objects. For a pseudo-Anosov map ϕ , the eigenvector v_M (and its associated foliation) should be replaced by a geodesic lamination λ on the surface that is preserved by ϕ , with a transverse measure τ . (Recall that τ is a measure on the arcs transverse to λ , such that τ is 0 if the arc does not intersect λ .) Further, τ is multiplied by some characteristic value ξ by the action of ϕ . This transverse measure, when integrated on simple closed curves, provides an intersection number for the measured lamination.

Consider a train track chart \mathcal{T} carrying λ . To describe the transverse measure τ it suffices to assign weights to each of the arcs of the train track, in such a way that at each node, a summation consistency holds. The mapping class ϕ determines a map from the underlying graph of \mathcal{T} to itself, and a matrix $M_{\phi,\mathcal{T}}$ counting, in its columns, the number of occurrences of each arc of \mathcal{T} in the image of each given arc of \mathcal{T} . The vector W of weights of each arc must satisfy $M_{\phi,\mathcal{T}}W = \xi W$. Choosing W in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]^n$ thus allows us to define an ideal $\mathfrak{a}_{M_{\phi,\mathcal{T}}}$, as generated by the image of W under the collection of intersection forms.

Since the intersection forms depend only on λ , and not \mathcal{T} , we defined an ideal class of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ associated to ϕ . If ψ is conjugate to ϕ in the mapping class group of Σ , then its associated lamination is the image of that of ϕ by a conjugating mapping class, and therefore, they define the same ideal class. If ϕ and ψ are commensurably conjugate, the stretch factor ξ is the same for both, as easily seen in a finite cover of Σ .

Completing a picture analogous to the torus case would require understanding which ideal classes are realized, and understanding pseudo-Anosov mapping classes defining the same ideal class, in a given mapping class group.

References

- [1] I. Agol, Tameness of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, arXiv:math/0405568, 2004
- [2] I. Agol, The virtual Haken conjecture (with an appendix by Ian Agol, Daniel Groves and Jason Manning). Doc. Math. 18, 1045-1087 (2013).
- [3] A. Bartel and H. W. Lenstra, Jr., On class groups of random number fields. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 121 (2020), no. 4, 927–953.
- [4] A. Borel, Commensurability classes and volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. (4), 8(1) 1-33 (1981).
- [5] K. S. Brown, Cohomology of groups, Graduate Texts in Math. 87, Springer Verlag (1982).

- [6] D. Calegari and D. Gabai, Shrinkwrapping and the taming of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006), no. 2, 385-446.
- [7] D. Calegari, H. Sun and S. Wang, On fibered commensurability, Pacific J. Math.250(2) (2011) 287-317.
- [8] R. D. Canary. A covering theorem for hyperbolic 3 manifolds. *Topology 35*, pages 751–778, 1996.
- H. Cohen and H. W. Lenstra, Jr., Heuristics on class groups of number fields, Number theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983 (Noordwijkerhout, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1068, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 33–62.
- [10] A. Eskin and M. Mirzakhani. Counting closed geodesics in moduli space. J. Mod. Dyn. 5 (2011), no. 1, 71–105.
- B. Farb and D. Margalit, A primer on mapping class groups. Princeton Mathematical Series, 49. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. xiv+472 pp. ISBN: 978-0-691-14794-9
- [12] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic manifolds according to Thurston and Jørgensen, Séminaire Bourbaki 1979-80, exp. 546, 40-53.
- [13] D. Kazhdan and G. Margulis, A proof of Selberg's hypothesis, Math. Sb. 75 (117), 163-168 (1968).
- [14] S.P. Kerckhoff, The Nielsen realization problem, Ann. Math. (2), 117 (2): 235-265 (1983).
- [15] J. Klüners, S. Pauli, Computing Residue Class Rings and Picard Groups of Orders, J. Algebra 292, No. 1, 47-64 (2005).
- [16] J. L. Lagrange, Solution d'un problème d'arithmétique, Oeuvres de Lagrange, Tome I, Gauthier- Villars, Paris, 1867, 669-731. https://archive.org/details/ oeuvresdelagrang01lagr/page/668/mode/2up
- [17] C. G. Latimer and C. C. MacDuffee, A correspondence between classes of ideals and classes of matrices. Ann. Math. (2) 34, 313-316 (1933)
- [18] C. Leininger, D. Long, and A. Reid, Commensurators of finitely generated nonfree Kleinian groups., Algebr. Geom. Topol. 11 (1), 605-624 (2011).
- [19] A. Lubotzky, and D. Segal, Subgroup growth Progress in Math. Vol. 212, Birkhäuser, 2002.
- [20] S. Marseglia Computing the ideal class monoid of an order. J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 101, No. 3, 984-1007 (2020).
- [21] G. D. Mostow, Quasi-conformal mappings in n-space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 34 (1968), 53–104.
- [22] H. Montgomery, P. Weinberger Real quadratic fields with large class number. Math. Ann. 225, 173-176 (1977).
- [23] *M. Mj*, On discreteness of commensurators. Geom. Topol. 15 (1), 331-350 (2011).
- [24] R. Penner, A Construction of pseudo-Anosov Homeomorphisms Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. 310 (1), 179-197 (1988).
- [25] J. Stallings, On fibering certain 3-manifolds. 1962 Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics (Proc. The Univ. of Georgia Institute, 1961) pp. 95–100 Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- [26] H. M. Stark, The Gauss class-number problems. Analytic number theory, 247–256, Clay Math. Proc., 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [27] D. Studenmund, Abstract commensurators of lattices in Lie groups. Comment. Math. Helv. 90 (2015), no. 2, 287–323.
- [28] O. Taussky, On a theorem of Latimer and MacDuffee. Can. J. Math. 1, 300-302 (1949).
- [29] W. P. Thurston. Hyperbolic Structures on 3-Manifolds, II: Surface Groups and 3-Manifolds which Fiber over the Circle. preprint, arXiv:math.GT/9801045, 1986.

[30] P. Zanardo, U. Zannier, The class semigroup of orders in number fields. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 115 (1994), 379–391.

UNIV. GRENOBLE ALPES, CNRS, IF, 38000 GRENOBLE, FRANCE Email address: francois.dahmani@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr URL: https://www-fourier.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/~dahmani

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai-40005, India

Email address: mahan@math.tifr.res.in, mahan.mj@gmail.com URL: http://www.math.tifr.res.in/~mahan