Spherical and Planar Ball Bearings – a Study of Integrable Cases

Vladimir Dragović, Borislav Gajić, Božidar Jovanović

ABSTRACT. We consider the nonholonomic systems of n homogeneous balls $\mathbf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_n$ with the same radius r that are rolling without slipping about a fixed sphere \mathbf{S}_0 with center O and radius R. In addition, it is assumed that a dynamically nonsymmetric sphere \mathbf{S} with the center that coincides with the center O of the fixed sphere \mathbf{S}_0 rolls without slipping in contact to the moving balls $\mathbf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_n$. The problem is considered in four different configurations. We derive the equations of motion and prove that these systems possess an invariant measure. As the main result, for n = 1 we found two cases that are integrable in quadratures according to the Euler-Jacobi theorem. The obtained integrable nonholonomic models are natural extensions of the well-known Chaplygin ball integrable problems. Further, we explicitly integrate the planar problem consisting of n homogeneous balls of the same radius, but with different masses, that roll without slipping over a fixed plane Σ_0 with a plane Σ that moves without slipping over these balls.

1. Introduction

We continue our study the spherical and the planar ball bearing problems, which we introduced in [11]. Here we focus on four different configurations of the spherical ball bearing problem. In [11] we dealt with the first configuration: n homogeneous balls $\mathbf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_n$ with centers O_1, \ldots, O_n and the same radius r roll without slipping around a fixed sphere \mathbf{S}_0 with center O and radius R. A dynamically nonsymmetric sphere \mathbf{S} of radius $\rho = R + 2r$ with the center that coincides with the center O of the fixed sphere \mathbf{S}_0 rolls without slipping over the moving balls $\mathbf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_n$ (case I, Figure 1).

As the second configuration (case II), we consider homogeneous balls of radius r within a fixed sphere \mathbf{S}_0 of radius R. The balls support a moving, dynamically nonsymmetric sphere \mathbf{S} of radius $\rho = R - 2r$ (see Figure 1).

Proposition 2.1 implies that the centers $O_1, ..., O_n$ of the balls are in rest in relation to each other. Thus, there are no collisions of the balls $\mathbf{B}_1, ..., \mathbf{B}_n$. For $n \ge 4$ there are initial positions of the balls $\mathbf{B}_1, ..., \mathbf{B}_n$. For $n \ge 4$ there are initial positions of the balls $\mathbf{B}_1, ..., \mathbf{B}_n$ that imply the condition that the centre of the moving sphere \mathbf{S} coincides with the centre O of the fixed sphere \mathbf{S}_0 . In order to include all possible initial positions for arbitrary n, the condition that O coincides with the centre of the sphere \mathbf{S} is assumed to be a holonomic constraint.

For n = 1 we introduce two additional configurations assuming that \mathbf{B}_1 is not a homogeneous ball but a sphere (spherical shell). The first one is when the sphere \mathbf{B}_1 is within the moving sphere \mathbf{S} and the fixed sphere \mathbf{S}_0 is within \mathbf{B}_1 (case III, $\rho = 2r - R$, $\rho > R$, Figure 2). The second one is when the sphere \mathbf{B}_1 is within the fixed sphere \mathbf{S}_0 and the moving sphere \mathbf{S}_0 is within \mathbf{B}_1 (case IV, $\rho = 2r - R$, $\rho < R$, Figure 2).

In Section 2 we present the equations of motion of the spherical ball bearing systems for all four configurations. The kinetic energy and the distribution are invariant with respect to an appropriate action of the Lie group $SO(3)^{n+1}$, and the system can be reduced to $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}/SO(3)^{n+1}$ and to $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times (S^2)^n$ (the second reduced space) (Theorem 2.1), where $\mathcal{D} \subset TQ$ is the nonholonomic distribution and $Q = SO(3)^{n+1} \times S^n$ is the configuration space of the problem. The system also has an invariant measure (Theorem 3.1, Section 3). The proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 are similar to the proofs of the corresponding statements given for the configuration I in [11] and they are omitted.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37J60, 37J35, 70E40, 70F25.

Key words and phrases. Nonholonimic dynamics; rolling without slipping, invariant measure; integrability.

In this paper we consider the integrability of the spherical balls bearing problem in the case of n = 1. The system can be reduced to $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{R}^3{\{\vec{\Omega}\}} \times S^2{\{\vec{\Gamma}\}}$ and takes the form (see Section 3)

(1.1)
$$\vec{\mathbf{M}} = \vec{\mathbf{M}} \times \vec{\Omega}, \qquad \vec{\Gamma} = \varepsilon \vec{\Gamma} \times \vec{\Omega}.$$

where $\vec{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{I}\vec{\Omega} + d\vec{\Gamma}$ and

 $\mathbf{I} = \mathbb{I} + D\mathbf{E} - D\vec{\Gamma} \otimes \vec{\Gamma}, \qquad \mathbf{E} = \operatorname{diag}(1, 1, 1).$

Here $\vec{\Omega}$ is the angular velocity of the sphere \mathbf{S} , $\mathbb{I} = \text{diag}(A, B, C)$ is its inertial tensor, $\vec{\Gamma}$ is the unit vector determining the position of the homogeneous ball \mathbf{B}_1 and ε , d, D are parameters of the problem that are described in Sections 2 and 3.



FIGURE 1. Spherical ball bearings for n = 3, case I (left, $\rho = R + 2r$) and case II (right, $\rho = R - 2r$)

According to Theorem 3.1, the flow of (1.1) in variables $\{\vec{\Omega},\vec{\Gamma}\}$ preserves the measure with density $\sqrt{\det(\mathbf{I})}$. Also, it always has the first integrals $F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{I} \vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Omega} \rangle$ and $F_2 = \langle \vec{\mathbf{M}}, \vec{\mathbf{M}} \rangle$ (see Proposition 3.1). Thus, for the integrability, according to the Euler-Jacobi theorem, we need one additional first integral.



FIGURE 2. Spherical ball bearing, case III (left, $\rho = 2r - R$) and case IV (right, $\rho = 2r - R$)

As the main results of the paper, in Section 3 we prove

MAIN RESULT 1. The spherical ball bearings problem (1.1) in the configuration III, when 2r = 3R, i.e., $\varepsilon = -1$, is integrable. The third first integral is

 $F_3 = (B + C - A + D)\mathbf{M}_1\Gamma_1 + (A + C - B + D)\mathbf{M}_2\Gamma_2 + (A + B - C + D)\mathbf{M}_3\Gamma_3.$

MAIN RESULT 2. The spherical ball bearings problem (1.1) for B = C is integrable for any ε in all configurations. Along with F_1 and F_2 , the system has a nonalgebraic first integral¹

$$F_3^{\pm} = \left(\pm\sqrt{D(A-C)}F + DG - dC\right)\exp(\pm(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{D(A-C)}\Phi).$$

For d = 0, the equations (1.1) coincide with the equations of motion of a Chaplygin ball with the inertia tensor I on a sphere ($\varepsilon \neq 1$) and the plane ($\varepsilon = 1$) with slightly different definitions of parameters ε and D. Thus, the above results can be seen as natural extensions of well-known integrable Chaplygin ball problems.

In [11] we also considered the associated planar problem. This is the case I in the notation of the present paper, when the radius of the fixed sphere S_0 tends to infinity. We found an invariant measure and proved the integrability by means of the Euler–Jacobi theorem [11]. Here, in Section 4, we perform an explicit integration of the reduced problem.

2. Rolling of a dynamically nonsymmetric sphere over n moving homogeneous balls and a fixed sphere

2.1. Kinematics. Let $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1^0, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2^0, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3^0, O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3, O_i\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1^i, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2^i, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3^i$ be positively oriented reference frames rigidly attached to the spheres \mathbf{S}_0 , \mathbf{S} , and the balls \mathbf{B}_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n$, respectively. By $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}_i \in SO(3)$ we denote the matrices that map the moving frames $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3$ and $O_i\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1^i, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2^i, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3^i$ to the fixed frame $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1^0, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2^0, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3^0$. Using the standard isomorphism between the Lie algebras $(so(3), [\cdot, \cdot])$ and (\mathbb{R}^3, \times) given by

(2.1)
$$a_{ij} = -\varepsilon_{ijk}a_k, \qquad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3,$$

the skew-symmetric matrices $\omega = \dot{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{g}^{-1}$, $\omega_i = \dot{\mathbf{g}}_i\mathbf{g}_i^{-1}$ correspond to the angular velocities $\vec{\omega}$, $\vec{\omega}_i$ of the sphere **S** and the *i*-th ball **B**_{*i*} in the fixed reference frame $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1^0, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2^0, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3^0$ attached to the sphere **S**₀.

The matrices $\Omega = \mathbf{g}^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{g}^{-1}\omega\mathbf{g}$, $W_i = \mathbf{g}_i^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{g}}_i = \mathbf{g}_i^{-1}\omega_i\mathbf{g}_i$ correspond to the angular velocities $\vec{\Omega}$, \vec{W}_i of \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{B}_i in the frames $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3$ and $O_i\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1^i, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2^i, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3^i$ attached to the sphere \mathbf{S} and the balls \mathbf{B}_i , respectively. We have $\vec{\omega} = \mathbf{g}\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\omega}_i = \mathbf{g}_i\vec{W}_i$.

$$Q = SO(3)^{n+1} \times (S^2)^n \{ \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_n, \vec{\gamma}_1, \dots, \vec{\gamma}_n \},\$$

where $\vec{\gamma}_i$ is the unit vector

$$\vec{\gamma_i} = \frac{\overrightarrow{OO_i}}{|\overrightarrow{OO_i}|}$$

determining the position of the centre of *i*-th ball \mathbf{B}_i , i = 1, ..., n. In the cases I and II, the velocity of the centre of the *i*-th ball is $\vec{v}_{O_i} = (R \pm r)\dot{\vec{\gamma}_i}$, while for the cases III and IV (n = 1) we have $\vec{v}_{O_1} = \pm (r - R)\dot{\vec{\gamma}_1}$. We will show in Proposition 2.1 that if the initial conditions are chosen such that the distances between O_i and O_j are all greater than 2r, $1 \le i < j \le n$, then the balls will not have collisions along the course of motion. This is the reason why we do not assume additional one-side constraints

(2.2)
$$|\vec{\gamma}_i - \vec{\gamma}_j| \ge \frac{2r}{R \pm r}, \qquad 1 \le i < j \le n \qquad \text{(cases I and II, } n \ge 2\text{)}.$$

Let $A_1, ..., A_n$ and $B_1, B_2, ..., B_n$ be the contact points of the balls $\mathbf{B}_1, ..., \mathbf{B}_n$ with the spheres \mathbf{S}_0 and \mathbf{S} , respectively. The condition that the rolling of the balls $\mathbf{B}_1, ..., \mathbf{B}_n$ and the sphere \mathbf{S} are without slipping leads to the nonholonomic constraints:

$$\vec{v}_{O_i} + \vec{\omega}_i \times \overrightarrow{O_i A_i} = 0, \qquad \vec{v}_{O_i} + \vec{\omega}_i \times \overrightarrow{O_i B_i} = \vec{\omega} \times \overrightarrow{OB_i}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n,$$

that is,

(2.3) $\vec{v}_{O_i} = \pm r \vec{\omega}_i \times \vec{\gamma}_i, \quad \vec{v}_{O_i} = (R \pm 2r) \vec{\omega} \times \vec{\gamma}_i \pm r \vec{\gamma}_i \times \vec{\omega}_i \quad (\text{cases I and II})$ and

and

(2.4)
$$\vec{v}_{O_1} = \pm r \vec{\omega}_1 \times \vec{\gamma}_1, \qquad \vec{v}_{O_1} = \pm (2r - R) \vec{\omega} \times \vec{\gamma}_1 \pm r \vec{\gamma}_1 \times \vec{\omega}_1 \qquad \text{(cases III and IV)}.$$

The dimension of the configuration space Q is 5n + 3. There are 4n independent constraints in (2.3), defining a nonintegrable distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset TQ$ of rank n + 3. The phase space of the system, \mathcal{D} considered as a submanifold of TQ, has the dimension 6n + 6.

¹Through the paper, the sign \pm denotes + for cases I and III, and - for the cases II and IV.

Note that there are two nonholomic systems which are close to the spherical ball bearings. One is the so-called spherical support system, introduced by Fedorov in [12]. It describes the rolling without slipping of a dynamically nonsymmetric sphere **S** over *n* homogeneous balls $\mathbf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_n$ of possibly different radii, but with fixed centers. The second one is the rolling of a homogeneous ball **B** over a dynamically asymmetric sphere **S**, introduced by Borisov, Kilin, and Mamaev in [5].

2.2. Symmetries. Let \mathbb{I} be the inertia operator of the sphere **S**. We choose the moving frame $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3$, such that $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_3$ are the principal axes of inertia: $\mathbb{I} = \text{diag}(A, B, C)$. Let $\mathbb{I}_i = \text{diag}(I_i, I_i, I_i)$ and m_i be the inertia operator and the mass of the *i*-th ball \mathbf{B}_i . Then $\langle \mathbb{I}_i \vec{W}_i, \vec{W}_i \rangle = I_i \langle \vec{W}_i, \vec{W}_i \rangle = I_i \langle \vec{\omega}_i, \vec{\omega}_i \rangle$ and the kinetic energy, which plays the role of the Lagrangian, is given by:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbb{I}\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Omega} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i \langle \vec{\omega}_i, \vec{\omega}_i \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \langle \vec{v}_{O_i}, \vec{v}_{O_i} \rangle$$

The equations of motion of the problem are given by the Lagrange-d'Alembert equations [1,2,7]

(2.5)
$$\delta T = \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}}, \delta q\right) = 0, \quad \text{for all virtual displacement} \quad \delta q \in \mathcal{D}_q$$

The kinetic energy and the constraints are invariant with respect to the $SO(3)^{n+1}$ -action defined by

(2.6)
$$(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_n, \vec{\gamma}_1, \dots, \vec{\gamma}_n) \longmapsto (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a}\mathbf{g}_1\mathbf{a}_1^{-1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}\mathbf{g}_n\mathbf{a}_n^{-1}, \mathbf{a}\vec{\gamma}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}\vec{\gamma}_n),$$

 $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \in SO(3)$, representing a freedom in the choice of the reference frames $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1^0, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2^0, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3^0, O_i\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1^i, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2^i, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3^i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Also, note that (2.6) does not change the vectors

$$\vec{\Omega}_i = \mathbf{g}^{-1} \vec{\omega}_i, \qquad \vec{\Gamma}_i = \mathbf{g}^{-1} \vec{\gamma}_i, \qquad \vec{V}_{O_i} = \mathbf{g}^{-1} \vec{v}_{O_i}$$

of the moving frame $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3$.

Thus, for the coordinates in the space $(TQ)/SO(3)^{n+1}$ we can take the angular velocities and the unit position vectors in the reference frame attached to the sphere **S**:

$$(TQ)/SO(3)^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3(n+1)} \times (TS^2)^n \{\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Omega}_1, \dots, \vec{\Omega}_n, \vec{\Gamma}_1, \dots, \vec{\Gamma}_n, \vec{\Gamma}_1, \dots, \vec{\Gamma}_n\}.$$

In the moving reference frame $O\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3$, the constraints become:

(2.7)
$$\vec{V}_{O_i} = (R \pm 2r)\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{\Gamma}_i \pm r\vec{\Gamma}_i \times \vec{\Omega}_i,$$
 (cases I and II)

(2.8)
$$\vec{V}_{O_1} = \pm (2r - R)\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{\Gamma}_1 \pm r\vec{\Gamma}_1 \times \vec{\Omega}_1, \quad \text{(cases III and IV)}$$

(2.9)
$$\vec{V}_{O_i} = \pm r \vec{\Omega}_i \times \vec{\Gamma}_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

defining the reduced phase space $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}/SO(3)^{n+1} \subset (TQ)/SO(3)^{n+1}$ of dimension 3n+3.

Since both the kinetic energy and the constraints are invariant with respect to the $SO(3)^{n+1}$ -action (2.6), the equations of motion (2.5) are also $SO(3)^{n+1}$ -invariant. Thus, they induce a well defined system on the reduced phase space \mathcal{M} .

To simplify the constraints and the equations below, we introduce parameters

(2.10)
$$\varepsilon = \frac{R}{2R \pm 2r}$$
 and $\delta = \pm \frac{R \pm 2r}{2r}$ (cases I and II),

(2.11)
$$\varepsilon = \frac{R}{2R - 2r}$$
 and $\delta = \frac{2r - R}{2r}$ (cases III and IV).

In particular, the constraints (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) are equivalent to

(2.12)
$$\vec{V}_{O_i} = \pm r \vec{\Omega}_i \times \vec{\Gamma}_i, \qquad \vec{\Omega}_i \times \vec{\Gamma}_i = \delta \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{\Gamma}_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

2.3. Equations of motion. The statements below are derived in [11] for the configuration I. The inclusion of configurations II, III, and IV can be obtain similarly and we will omit the proofs.

Let $\vec{\mathbf{F}}_{B_i}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{F}}_{A_i}$ be the reaction forces that act on the ball \mathbf{B}_i at the points B_i and A_i , respectively. The reaction force at the point B_i on the sphere \mathbf{S} is then $-\vec{\mathbf{F}}_{B_i}$. By using the laws of

change of angular momentum and momentum of a rigid body in the moving reference frame for the balls \mathbf{B}_i and the sphere \mathbf{S} we get:

(2.13)
$$I_i \vec{\Omega}_i = I_i \vec{\Omega}_i \times \vec{\Omega} \pm r \vec{\Gamma}_i \times (\vec{\mathbf{F}}_{B_i} - \vec{\mathbf{F}}_{A_i}),$$

(2.14)
$$m_i \vec{V}_{O_i} = m_i \vec{V}_{O_i} \times \vec{\Omega} + \vec{F}_{B_i} + \vec{F}_{A_i}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n$$

(2.15)
$$\vec{\Pi} \vec{\Omega} = \Pi \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{\Omega} \mp 2r \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta \vec{\Gamma}_{i} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}_{B_{i}}.$$

On the other hand, from the constraint, we obtain the following kinematic equations for the unit position vectors $\vec{\Gamma}_i$:

(2.16)
$$\vec{\Gamma}_i = \varepsilon \vec{\Gamma}_i \times \vec{\Omega}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n$$

As a direct consequence of the equations (2.13) and (2.16), we get

PROPOSITION 2.1. The following functions are the first integrals of the equations of motion (2.13), (2.15), and (2.16):

$$\langle \vec{\Gamma}_i, \vec{\Gamma}_j \rangle = \gamma_{ij} = const, \qquad 1 \le i < j \le n,$$

$$\langle \vec{\Omega}_i, \vec{\Gamma}_i \rangle = c_i = const, \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$

In other words, the centers O_i of the homogeneous balls \mathbf{B}_i are in rest in relation to each other. In particular, since $\langle \vec{\gamma}_i, \vec{\gamma}_j \rangle = \langle \vec{\Gamma}_i, \vec{\Gamma}_j \rangle$, the interior of the region (2.2) is invariant under the flow of the system.

From Proposition 2.1 we also get that the reduced phase space $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}/SO(3)^{n+1}$ is foliated on 2n + 3-dimensional invariant varieties

$$\mathcal{M}_c: \quad \langle \vec{\Omega}_i, \vec{\Gamma}_i \rangle = c_i = const, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

By using the constraints, the vector-functions $\vec{\Omega}_i$ can be uniquely expressed as functions of $\vec{\Omega}_i$, $\vec{\Gamma}_i$ on the invariant variety \mathcal{M}_c :

$$\vec{\Omega}_i = c_i \vec{\Gamma}_i + \delta \vec{\Omega} - \delta \langle \vec{\Gamma}_i, \vec{\Omega} \rangle \vec{\Gamma}_i$$

Whence, $\vec{\Omega}$ determines all velocities of the system on \mathcal{M}_c and \mathcal{M}_c is diffeomorphic to the second reduced phase space

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \left(S^2\right)^n \{\Omega, \vec{\Gamma}_1, \dots, \vec{\Gamma}_n\}.$$

This can be seen as follows. Consider the natural projection

(2.17)

$$\pi\colon (TQ)/SO(3)^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3(n+1)} \times (TS^2)^n \to \mathcal{N},$$

$$\pi(\vec{\Omega},\vec{\Omega}_1,\ldots,\vec{\Omega}_n,\dot{\vec{\Gamma}}_1,\ldots,\dot{\vec{\Gamma}}_n,\vec{\Gamma}_1,\ldots,\vec{\Gamma}_n) = (\vec{\Omega},\vec{\Gamma}_1,\ldots,\vec{\Gamma}_n),$$

and let π_c be the restriction to $\mathcal{M}_c \subset \mathcal{M} \subset (TQ)/SO(3)^{n+1}$ of π . Then the projection

$$\pi_c\colon \mathcal{M}_c\longmapsto \mathcal{N}$$

is a bijection. Further, since $\langle \vec{\omega}_i, \vec{\gamma}_i \rangle = \langle \vec{\Omega}_i, \vec{\Gamma}_i \rangle$, we have that \mathcal{D} is foliated on invariant varieties \mathcal{D}_c : $\langle \vec{\omega}_i, \vec{\gamma}_i \rangle = c_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \dim \mathcal{D}_c = 5n + 6$

and $\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{D}_c / SO(3)^{n+1}$. As a result we obtain the following diagram

$$\mathcal{D}_{c} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{T}Q = (TSO(3))^{n+1} \times (TS^{2})^{n}$$

$$\downarrow /SO(3)^{n+1} \qquad \downarrow /SO(3)^{n+1} \qquad \downarrow /SO(3)^{n+1}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{c} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longleftrightarrow (TQ) / SO(3)^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3(n+1)} \times (TS^{2})^{n}$$

$$\overset{\pi_{c}}{\underset{\cong}{}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\pi}$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{R}^{3} \times (S^{2})^{n}$$

which implies that \mathcal{D}_c and $\mathbb{R}^3 \times SO(3)^{n+1} \times (S^2)^n \{\Omega, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_n, \vec{\Gamma}_1, \dots, \vec{\Gamma}_n\}$ are diffeomorphic: $\mathcal{D}_c \cong \mathbb{R}^3 \times SO(3)^{n+1} \times (S^2)^n \{\Omega, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_n, \vec{\Gamma}_1, \dots, \vec{\Gamma}_n\}.$ We define the modified operator of inertia ${\bf I}$ as

(2.18)
$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbb{I} + \delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (I_i + m_i r^2) \operatorname{pr}_i,$$

where $\operatorname{pr}_i \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \vec{\Gamma}_i^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection to the plane orthogonal to $\vec{\Gamma}_i$, and set

(2.19)
$$\vec{M} = \mathbf{I}\vec{\Omega} = \mathbb{I}\vec{\Omega} + \delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (I_i + m_i r^2)\vec{\Omega} - \delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (I_i + m_i r^2) \langle \vec{\Gamma}_i, \vec{\Omega} \rangle \vec{\Gamma}_i$$

(2.20)
$$\vec{N} = \delta \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i c_i \vec{\Gamma}_i.$$

THEOREM 2.1. (i) The complete equations of motion of the sphere **S** and the balls $\mathbf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_n$ of the spherical ball bearings problem on the invariant manifold \mathcal{D}_c are given by

(2.21)
$$\vec{M} = \vec{M} \times \vec{\Omega} + (1 - \varepsilon)\vec{N} \times \vec{\Omega},$$

- (2.22) $\dot{\vec{\Gamma}}_i = \varepsilon \vec{\Gamma}_i \times \vec{\Omega}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n,$
- $(2.23) \qquad \dot{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{g}\Omega,$

(2.24)
$$\dot{\mathbf{g}}_i = \mathbf{g}\Omega_i(\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Gamma}_i, c_i)\mathbf{g}_i$$

where \vec{M} , \vec{N} , are given by (2.19) and (2.20). Here Ω and $\Omega_i(\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Gamma}_i, c_i)$ are skew-symmetric matrices related to $\vec{\Omega}$ and $\vec{\Omega}_i$ after the identification (2.1); $\vec{\Omega}_i = \vec{\Omega}_i(\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Gamma}_i, c_i)$ as in the equation (2.17). (ii) The reduced system on $\mathcal{M}_c \cong \mathcal{N}$ is described by the closed system (2.21), (2.22).

 $P_{C}(x) = \frac{1}{1000} + \frac{1}{$

REMARK 2.1. If we formally set $\varepsilon = 1$ in the system (2.21), (2.22) we obtain the equation of the spherical support system introduced by Fedorov in [12]. The system describes the rolling without slipping of a dynamically nonsymmetric sphere **S** over *n* homogeneous balls $\mathbf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_n$ of possibly different radii, but with fixed centers. It is an example of a class of nonhamiltonian L+R systems on Lie groups with an invariant measure (see [14, 15, 21]). On the other hand, if we set $\vec{N} = 0$, we obtain an example ε -modified L+R system studied in [22].

3. Invariant measure and integrable cases

3.1. Invariant measure. The modified inertia operator I(2.18) can be rewritten as:

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbb{I} - \Pi, \qquad \Pi = \delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (I_i + m_i r^2) \left(\vec{\Gamma}_i \otimes \vec{\Gamma}_i - \mathbf{E} \right)$$

Along the flow of the system, Π satisfies the matrix equation

(3.1)
$$\frac{d}{dt}\Pi = \varepsilon[\Pi,\Omega].$$

where Ω is the skew-symmetric matrix that corresponds to the angular velocity $\overline{\Omega}$ via isomorphism (2.1).

THEOREM 3.1. For arbitrary values of parameters c_i , the reduced system (2.21), (2.22) has the invariant measure

(3.2)
$$\mu(\vec{\Gamma}_1,\ldots,\vec{\Gamma}_n)d\Omega\wedge\sigma_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\sigma_n,\qquad \mu=\sqrt{\det(\mathbf{I})}=\sqrt{\det(\mathbf{I}-\Pi)},$$

where $d\Omega$ and σ_i are the standard measures on $\mathbb{R}^3\{\vec{\Omega}\}$ and $S^2\{\vec{\Gamma}_i\}, i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Note that the existence of an invariant measure for nonholomic problems is well studied in many classical problems [4, 6]. After Kozlov's theorem on obstruction to the existence of an invariant measure for the variant of the classical Suslov problem (e.g., see [8, 15]) on Lie algebras [24], general existence statements for nonholonomic systems with symmetries are obtained in [27] and [16].

A closely related problem is the integrability of nonholonomic systems [1].

Note that the kinetic energy of the system takes the form

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \langle \vec{M}, \vec{\Omega} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i c_i^2.$$

Also, since

$$\frac{d}{dt}\vec{N} = \varepsilon\vec{N}\times\vec{\Omega},$$

the equation (2.21) is equivalent to

(3.3)
$$\frac{d}{dt}(\vec{M}+\vec{N}) = (\vec{M}+\vec{N}) \times \vec{\Omega}$$

From the above considerations we get:

PROPOSITION 3.1. The system (2.21), (2.22) always has the following first integrals

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \langle \vec{M}, \vec{\Omega} \rangle, \quad F_2 = \langle \vec{M} + \vec{N}, \vec{M} + \vec{N} \rangle, \quad F_{ij} = \langle \vec{\Gamma}_i, \vec{\Gamma}_j \rangle, \quad 1 \le i < j \le n.$$

Thus, in the special case n = 1, we have the 5-dimensional phase space $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2\{\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Gamma}_1\}$, and the system has two first integrals F_1 , F_2 and an invariant measure. For the integrability, one needs to find a third independent first integral.

3.2. System with one homogeneous ball. We proceed with the case n = 1. To simplify notation, we denote $\vec{\Gamma}_1$ by $\vec{\Gamma}$ and set

$$D = \delta^2 (I_1 + m_1 r^2), \qquad d = \delta I_1 c_1, \qquad L = \langle \vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Gamma} \rangle,$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{M}} = \vec{M} + \vec{N} = \vec{M} + d\vec{\Gamma} = I\vec{\Omega} + D\vec{\Omega} + (d - DL)\vec{\Gamma}.$$

The system (3.3), (2.22), the operator **I**, and its determinate now read

(3.4)

$$\vec{\mathbf{M}} = \vec{\mathbf{M}} \times \vec{\Omega}, \qquad \vec{\Gamma} = \varepsilon \vec{\Gamma} \times \vec{\Omega},$$

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbb{I} + D\mathbf{E} - D\vec{\Gamma} \otimes \vec{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} A + D - D\Gamma_1^2 & -D\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 & -D\Gamma_1\Gamma_3 \\ -D\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 & B + D - D\Gamma_2^2 & -D\Gamma_2\Gamma_3 \\ -D\Gamma_1\Gamma_3 & -D\Gamma_2\Gamma_3 & C + D - D\Gamma_3^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\det(\mathbf{I}) = (A + D)(B + D)(C + D)\left(1 - D\left(\frac{\Gamma_1^2}{A + D} + \frac{\Gamma_2^2}{B + D} + \frac{\Gamma_3^2}{C + D}\right)\right).$$

From (2.21) we get

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I} \dot{\vec{\Omega}} &= (\mathbb{I} \vec{\Omega} + D \vec{\Omega} - DL \vec{\Gamma}) \times \vec{\Omega} + (1 - \varepsilon) d\vec{\Gamma} \times \vec{\Omega} + D \frac{d}{dt} (\vec{\Gamma} \otimes \vec{\Gamma}) \vec{\Omega} \\ &= (\mathbb{I} \vec{\Omega} - DL \vec{\Gamma}) \times \vec{\Omega} + (1 - \varepsilon) d\vec{\Gamma} \times \vec{\Omega} + \varepsilon DL \vec{\Gamma} \times \vec{\Omega} \\ &= \mathbb{I} \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{\Omega} + (\varepsilon - 1) (DL - d) \vec{\Gamma} \times \vec{\Omega}, \end{split}$$

implying the explicit from of the equations (3.4)

(3.5)
$$\vec{\Omega} = \mathbf{I}^{-1} \left(I \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{\Omega} + (\varepsilon - 1) (DL - d) \vec{\Gamma} \times \vec{\Omega} \right), \qquad \vec{\Gamma} = \varepsilon \vec{\Gamma} \times \vec{\Omega}.$$

Thus, according to Theorem 3.1, the flow of (3.5) preserves the measure $\sqrt{\det(\mathbf{I})}d\Omega \wedge d\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2\{\Omega,\Gamma\}$.

For d = 0, the equations coincide with the equations of a Chaplygin ball with inertia tensor I on a sphere ($\varepsilon \neq 1$) and the plane ($\varepsilon = 1$) with slightly different definitions of parameters ε and D. The equations have a similar structure as the Euler-Poisson equations of Euler case of the rigid body motion about a fixed point.

3.3. The first integrable case (generic \mathbb{I} , $\varepsilon = -1$). It is well known that the rolling of a Chaplygin ball over a plane ($\varepsilon = 1$), for an arbitrary inertia operator \mathbb{I} , has the third integral $\langle \vec{\mathbf{M}}, \vec{\Gamma} \rangle$. That is why, for $\varepsilon \neq 1$ we are looking for the integral of the form

$$F_3 = x_1 \mathbf{M}_1 \Gamma_1 + x_2 \mathbf{M}_2 \Gamma_2 + x_3 \mathbf{M}_3 \Gamma_3.$$

Along the flow of the system (3.4) we have

$$\dot{F}_{3} = \Gamma_{1}\Omega_{2}\Omega_{3} \left((B-C)x_{1} - \varepsilon(B+D)x_{2} + \varepsilon(C+D)x_{3} \right) + \Omega_{1}\Gamma_{2}\Omega_{3} \left(\varepsilon(A+D)x_{1} + (C-A)x_{2} - \varepsilon(C+D)x_{3} \right) + \Omega_{1}\Omega_{2}\Gamma_{3} \left(-\varepsilon(A+D)x_{1} + \varepsilon(B+D)x_{2} + (A-B)x_{3} \right) + \Gamma_{1}\Gamma_{2}\Omega_{3} \left((d+\varepsilon d)x_{1} + (-d-\varepsilon d)x_{2} \right) + \Gamma_{1}\Omega_{2}\Gamma_{3} \left((-d-\varepsilon d)x_{1} + (d+\varepsilon d)x_{3} \right) + \Omega_{1}\Gamma_{2}\Gamma_{3} \left((d+\varepsilon d)x_{2} + (-d-\varepsilon d)x_{3} \right) + L\Gamma_{1}\Gamma_{2}\Omega_{3} \left((-D-\varepsilon D)x_{1} + (D+\varepsilon D)x_{2} \right) + L\Gamma_{1}\Omega_{2}\Gamma_{3} \left((D+\varepsilon D)x_{1} + (-D-\varepsilon D)x_{3} \right) + L\Omega_{1}\Gamma_{2}\Gamma_{3} \left((-D-\varepsilon D)x_{2} + (D+\varepsilon D)x_{3} \right).$$

Therefore, $F_3 = 0$ if and only if the parameters x_1, x_2, x_3 satisfy the system of 9 homogeneous linear equations corresponding to the 9 terms given above. Since $D \neq 0$, if $\varepsilon \neq -1$, from the last three equations we get $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x$. We can take x = 1. The 4th, 5th and the 6th equation is then also satisfied, while from the first 3 equations we obtain the conditions on the parameters A, B, C:

$$(1 - \varepsilon)B + (\varepsilon - 1)C = 0$$

(\varepsilon - 1)A + (1 - \varepsilon)C = 0
(1 - \varepsilon)A + (\varepsilon - 1)B = 0.

Thus, if $\varepsilon = 1$, the function $\langle \vec{\mathbf{M}}, \vec{\Gamma} \rangle$ is the integral of the equation (3.4) (for any $d \in \mathbb{R}$), while for $\varepsilon \neq 1$, we get that $F_3 = \langle \vec{\mathbf{M}}, \vec{\Gamma} \rangle$ is the integral in the totally symmetric case A = B = C. However, then F_1 , F_2 , F_3 are functionally dependent.

On the other hand, for $\varepsilon = -1$, the last 6 equations become trivial, while the first 3 have a nontrivial solution

$$x_1 = B + C - A + D$$
, $x_2 = A + C - B + D$, $x_3 = A + B - C + D$.

As a result, since $\varepsilon = -1$ in the configuration III for 2r = 3R, we get the following statement.

THEOREM 3.2. The spherical ball bearings problem (3.4) in the configuration III, when 2r = 3R, *i.e.*, the radius of the moving sphere S is twice the radius of the fixed sphere S_0 , is integrable. The third integral is

$$F_3 = (B + C - A + D)\mathbf{M}_1\Gamma_1 + (A + C - B + D)\mathbf{M}_2\Gamma_2 + (A + B - C + D)\mathbf{M}_3\Gamma_3.$$

Thus, for d = 0, the integral F_3 reduces to the one found by Borisov and Fedorov for the rolling of a Chaplygin ball over a sphere [3].

3.4. The second integrable case (B = C, generic ε). Further, note that for B = C, the density of the measure becomes the function of Γ_1 only:

$$\rho = \rho(\Gamma_1) = \frac{\sqrt{\det(\mathbf{I})}}{\sqrt{C+D}} = \sqrt{(A+D)(C+D)\left(1 - \frac{D\Gamma_1^2}{A+D} - \frac{D-D\Gamma_1^2}{C+D}\right)}$$
$$= \sqrt{C(A+D) + D(A-C)\Gamma_1^2}.$$

Also, for the motion of a symmetric Chaplygin ball (B = C) over a plane, we have an integral of the form (up to multiplication by a constant, see [4, 9, 25])

$$f = \rho^2(\Gamma_1)\Omega_1^2 = C(A+D)\Omega_1^2 + D(A-C)\Omega_1^2\Gamma_1^2$$

It appears that in the study of the rolling of the symmetric Chaplygin ball over a sphere, it is convenient to use variables F and G defined by (see [4])

$$F = \rho(\Gamma_1)\Omega_1, \qquad (f = F^2)$$

$$G = A\Omega_1\Gamma_1 + C(\Omega_2\Gamma_2 + \Omega_3\Gamma_3) = (A - C)\Omega_1\Gamma_1 + CL \qquad \left(L = \frac{G + (C - A)\Omega_1\Gamma_1}{C}\right).$$

We are going to determine the time derivatives of F and G along the flow (3.4), i.e., (3.5).

Note that $G = \langle \vec{\mathbf{M}}, \vec{\Gamma} \rangle - d$. Therefore, from the equation (3.6), where we set $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 1$, B = C, we get

$$\dot{G} = (\varepsilon - 1)(A - C)\Omega_1\Gamma_2\Omega_3 - (\varepsilon - 1)(A - C)\Omega_1\Omega_2\Gamma_3$$
$$= (\varepsilon - 1)(A - C)\Omega_1(\Gamma_2\Omega_3 - \Omega_2\Gamma_3)$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon}(A - C)\Omega_1\dot{\Gamma}_1 = (\varepsilon - 1)(A - C)F\frac{\dot{\Gamma}_1}{\varepsilon\rho}.$$

In order to find \dot{F} we need to use equations (3.5). We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Omega_1 \\ \dot{\Omega}_2 \\ \dot{\Omega}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{I})} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 & (C+D)D\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 & (C+D)D\Gamma_1\Gamma_3 \\ (C+D)D\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 & \Delta_2 & (A+D)D\Gamma_2\Gamma_3 \\ (C+D)D\Gamma_1\Gamma_3 & (A+D)D\Gamma_2\Gamma_3 & \Delta_3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \\ & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (\varepsilon-1)(DL-d)(\Gamma_2\Omega_3 - \Gamma_3\Omega_2) \\ (\varepsilon-1)(DL-d)(\Gamma_3\Omega_1 - \Gamma_1\Omega_3) + (C-A)\Omega_1\Omega_3 \\ (\varepsilon-1)(DL-d)(\Gamma_1\Omega_2 - \Gamma_2\Omega_1) + (A-C)\Omega_1\Omega_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Delta_1 &= (C+D)^2 - (C+D)D(\Gamma_2^2 + \Gamma_3^2), \\ \Delta_2 &= (A+D)(C+D) - (C+D)D\Gamma_1^2 - (A+D)D\Gamma_3^2, \\ \Delta_3 &= (A+D)(C+D) - (C+D)D\Gamma_1^2 - (A+D)D\Gamma_2^2. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \dot{\Omega}_1 &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} ((C+D) - D(1-\Gamma_1^2))(\varepsilon - 1)(DL - d)(\Gamma_2\Omega_3 - \Gamma_3\Omega_2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\rho^2} D\Gamma_1\Gamma_2((\varepsilon - 1)(DL - d)(\Gamma_3\Omega_1 - \Gamma_1\Omega_3) + (C-A)\Omega_1\Omega_3) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\rho^2} D\Gamma_1\Gamma_3((\varepsilon - 1)(DL - d)(\Gamma_1\Omega_2 - \Gamma_2\Omega_1) + (A - C)\Omega_1\Omega_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} (C(\varepsilon - 1)(DL - d)(\Gamma_2\Omega_3 - \Gamma_3\Omega_2) + D\Gamma_1\Omega_1(C - A)(\Gamma_2\Omega_3 - \Gamma_3\Omega_2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon\rho^2} (C(\varepsilon - 1)(DL - d) + D\Gamma_1\Omega_1(C - A))\dot{\Gamma}_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon\rho^2} (D(\varepsilon - 1)(G + (C - A)\Omega_1\Gamma_1) + D\Gamma_1\Omega_1(C - A) - Cd(\varepsilon - 1))\dot{\Gamma}_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon\rho^2} (D(\varepsilon - 1)G + \varepsilon D\Gamma_1\Omega_1(C - A) - Cd(\varepsilon - 1))\dot{\Gamma}_1 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \dot{F} &= \dot{\rho}\Omega_1 + \rho\dot{\Omega}_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho}D(A-C)\Gamma_1\dot{\Gamma}_1\Omega_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\rho}\big(D(\varepsilon-1)G + \varepsilon D\Gamma_1\Omega_1(C-A) - Cd(\varepsilon-1)\big)\dot{\Gamma}_1 \\ &= \big(D(\varepsilon-1)G - Cd(\varepsilon-1)\big)\frac{\dot{\Gamma}_1}{\varepsilon\rho} \end{split}$$

Let $\Phi(\Gamma_1)$ be a primitive function of $1/\varepsilon \rho$:

$$\frac{d\Phi}{d\Gamma_1} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon\rho} \qquad \bigl(\dot{\Phi} = \frac{\dot{\Gamma}_1}{\varepsilon\rho} \bigr).$$

Due to the structure of the expressions for \dot{F} and \dot{G} , we are looking for a third first integral in the form

$$F_3 = (y_1F + y_2G + y_3) \exp(y_4\Phi).$$

We have

$$\dot{F}_{3} = (y_{1}\dot{F} + y_{2}\dot{G})\exp(y_{4}\Phi) + y_{4}(y_{1}F + y_{2}G + y_{3})\dot{\Phi}\exp(y_{4}\Phi)$$

= $(y_{1}(D(\varepsilon - 1)G - Cd(\varepsilon - 1)) + y_{2}(\varepsilon - 1)(A - C)F)\dot{\Phi}\exp(y_{4}\Phi)$
+ $y_{4}(y_{1}F + y_{2}G + y_{3})\dot{\Phi}\exp(y_{4}\Phi)$

Therefore, if y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 are solutions of the system

(3.7)
$$(\varepsilon - 1)(A - C)y_2 + y_1y_4 = 0$$

(3.8)
$$D(\varepsilon - 1)y_1 + y_2y_4 = 0,$$

(3.9)
$$-Cd(\varepsilon - 1)y_1 + y_3y_4 = 0,$$

the function F_3 is a first integral of the system (3.4) for B = C. By dividing equations (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$\frac{A-C}{D}\frac{y_2}{y_1} = \frac{y_1}{y_2}$$

Whence

$$y_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{A-C}{D}} y_2$$
 and $y_4 = \pm (1-\varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{A-C}{D}} y_2$.

On the other hand, by dividing equations (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain

$$y_3 = -y_2 \frac{Cd}{D}.$$

Here $y_2 \neq 0$ is arbitrary. By taking $y_2 = D$ we get

THEOREM 3.3. The spherical ball bearings problem (3.4) for B = C is integrable for all ε . Along with F_1 and F_2 , the system has an additional, nonalgebraic first integral:

$$F_3^{\pm} = \left(\pm\sqrt{D(A-C)}F + DG - dC\right)\exp(\pm(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{D(A-C)}\Phi)$$

Note that the product

$$F_3^+F_3^- = (DG - dC)^2 - D(A - C)F^2$$

= $D^2G^2 - 2dCDG + d^2C^2 - D(A - C)(C(A + D)\Omega_1^2 + D(A - C)\Omega_1^2\Gamma_1^2)$

is an affine combination of F_1 and F_2 :

$$F_3^+F_3^- = CD(C+D)\langle \vec{M}, \vec{\Omega} \rangle - CD\langle \vec{\mathbf{M}}, \vec{\mathbf{M}} \rangle - C(C+D)d^2.$$

4. Integration of the planar ball bearings

In [11] the planar ball bearings problem is introduced. It is proved that this system is integrable in quadratures. We are going to present the process of its integration.

The planar three balls bearing problem is a system that consists of n homogeneous balls $\mathbf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_n$ of the radius r, that roll without slipping over the fixed plane Σ_0 . In addition it is assumed that the moving plane Σ of the mass m is placed over the balls, such that there is no slipping between the balls and moving plane. Let O_0 be a fixed point of the plane Σ_0 and O, O_1, \ldots, O_n be the centers of masses of the plane Σ and the balls $\mathbf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_n$, respectively. In the fixed reference frame, the positions of the points O, O_i are given by

$$O(x, y, 2r), \qquad O_i(x_i, y_i, r), \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$

Let $A_1, ..., A_n$ and $B_1, B_2, ..., B_n$ be the contact points of the balls $\mathbf{B}_1, ..., \mathbf{B}_n$ with the planes Σ_0 and Σ , respectively. It appears that the moving configuration of the centers $(O_1(t), ..., O_n(t))$, i.e., of the contact points $(B_1(t), ..., B_n(t))$, is congruent to the configuration $(O_1, ..., O_n)$ formed at the initial condition [11]. In other words, as in the spherical case, the centers O_i of the balls \mathbf{B}_i are in rest in relation to each other along the motion.



FIGURE 3. Planar ball bearings for n = 3

We denote $v_{\varphi} = \dot{\varphi}, v_x = \dot{x}, v_y = \dot{y}$ and introduce

(4.1)

$$\vec{N} = (N_1, N_2, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \overrightarrow{OB_i}, \qquad M = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \langle \overrightarrow{OB_i}, \overrightarrow{OB_i} \rangle,$$

$$\delta_i = \frac{m_i r^2 + I_i}{4r^2}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n, \qquad \delta = \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_n,$$

where $diag(I_i, I_i, I_i)$ is the inertia operator and m_i is the mass of the *i*-th ball \mathbf{B}_i .

In [11] it is proved that the equation of motion can be reduced to

(4.2)
$$\mathcal{Q} = \{ (v_x, v_y, v_\varphi, N_1, N_2, M) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \, | \, \delta M > N_1^2 + N_2^2 \}$$

Let I be the moment of inertia of the plane Σ with respect to the line perpendicular to Σ at O. If we introduce

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{v} &= (v_x, v_y, v_{\varphi}), \qquad \mathbf{n} = (N_1, N_2, M), \\ \mathbf{m} &= \frac{1}{2} (N_1 v_{\varphi}^2 - \delta v_{\varphi} v_y, N_2 v_{\varphi}^2 + \delta v_{\varphi} v_x, v_{\varphi} (N_1 v_x + N_2 v_y)), \\ \mathbb{I} &= \begin{pmatrix} m + \delta & 0 & -N_2 \\ 0 & m + \delta & N_1 \\ -N_2 & N_1 & I + M \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbb{J} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta & 0 & N_2 \\ 0 & \delta & -N_1 \\ 2N_1 & 2N_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

then the reduced equations of motion on \mathcal{Q} become

(4.3)
$$\dot{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbb{I}^{-1}\mathbf{m}, \qquad \dot{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbb{J}\mathbf{v}.$$

THEOREM 4.1 ([11]). The equations (4.3) have the following first integrals

(4.4)

$$f_{1} = (m + \delta)v_{x} - v_{\varphi}N_{2},$$

$$f_{2} = (m + \delta)v_{y} + v_{\varphi}N_{1},$$

$$f_{3} = \delta M - (N_{1}^{2} + N_{2}^{2}),$$

$$f_{4} = T = \frac{1}{2}(I + M)v_{\varphi}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(m + \delta)(v_{x}^{2} + v_{y}^{2}) + v_{\varphi}(N_{1}v_{y} - N_{2}v_{x}).$$

and they possess an invariant measure

$$\sqrt{\det(\mathbb{I})} \, dv_x \wedge dv_y \wedge dv_\varphi \wedge dN_1 \wedge dN_2 \wedge dM.$$

where

$$\det(\mathbb{I}) = (m+\delta)((m+\delta)I + mM + (\delta M - (N_1^2 + N_2^2))) > 0|_{\mathcal{Q}},$$

The system (4.3) can be solved by quadratures.

At an invariant level set of the three first integrals

$$\mathcal{Q}_d: \qquad f_1 = d_1, \qquad f_2 = d_2, \qquad f_3 = d_3,$$

where $d = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$ are given constants, we obtain a closed system in the space $\mathbb{R}^3\{v_{\varphi}, N_1, N_2\}$ given by

(4.5)
$$\dot{v}_{\varphi} = \frac{mv_{\varphi}(N_1d_1 + N_2d_2)}{2\det(\mathbb{I})},$$
$$\dot{N}_1 = -\frac{m+2\delta}{2(m+\delta)}N_2v_{\varphi} - \frac{\delta d_1}{2(m+\delta)},$$
$$\dot{N}_2 = \frac{m+2\delta}{2(m+\delta)}N_1v_{\varphi} - \frac{\delta d_2}{2(m+\delta)},$$

where

$$\det(\mathbb{I}) = (m+\delta) \big((m+\delta)I + \frac{m}{\delta} (N_1^2 + N_2^2) + \frac{md_3}{\delta} + d_3 \big).$$

In order to integrate the system (4.5), we introduce the polar coordinates

$$N_1 = A\cos\theta, \qquad N_2 = A\sin\theta.$$

In the new coordinates the equations (4.5) become

(4.6)

$$\dot{v}_{\varphi} = \frac{mv_{\varphi}A}{2(d_5 + \frac{m(m+\delta)}{\delta}A^2)} (d_1\cos\theta + d_2\sin\theta),$$

$$\dot{A} = -\frac{\delta}{2(m+\delta)} (d_1\cos\theta + d_2\sin\theta)$$

$$A\dot{\theta} = \frac{m+2\delta}{2(m+\delta)} Av_{\varphi} + \frac{\delta}{2(m+\delta)} (d_1\sin\theta - d_2\cos\theta)$$

From the first two equations, when $\dot{A} \neq 0$, one gets

$$\frac{dv_{\varphi}}{dA} = -\frac{m(m+\delta)}{\delta} \frac{Av_{\varphi}}{d_5 + \frac{m(m+\delta)}{\delta}A^2}$$

The integration leads to

(4.7)
$$v_{\varphi} = v_{\varphi}(A) = \frac{d_6}{\sqrt{d_5 + \frac{m(m+\delta)}{\delta}A^2}},$$

where d_6 is the constant of integration.

The case when $\dot{A} = 0$ is considered in [11] (see Remark 4 in [11]).

REMARK 4.1. Equation (4.7) gives the first integral

$$F = \frac{m(m+\delta)}{\delta}v_{\varphi}^2 A^2 + \frac{(I\delta + d_3)(m+\delta)^2}{\delta}v_{\varphi}^2 = d_6 = const,$$

a modification of the energy integral that in the new coordinates has the form

$$f_4 = \frac{m}{2\delta(m+\delta)} v_{\varphi}^2 A^2 + \frac{I\delta + d_3}{2\delta} v_{\varphi}^2 + \frac{d_1^2 + d_2^2}{2(m+\delta)}$$

Thus, we have $F = 2(m+\delta)^2 f_4 - 2(m+\delta)(d_1^2 + d_2^2).$

Let us introduce the constant α such that

$$d_1 \cos \theta + d_2 \sin \theta = \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_2^2} \cos(\theta - \alpha),$$

$$d_1 \sin \theta - d_2 \cos \theta = \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_2^2} \sin(\theta - \alpha).$$

By using (4.7), equations (4.6) reduce to

(4.8)
$$\dot{A} = -k\cos(\theta - \alpha),$$
$$A\dot{\theta} = k\sin(\theta - \alpha) + \frac{m + 2\delta}{2(m + \delta)}Av_{\varphi}(A).$$

where $k = \frac{\delta}{2(m+\delta)}\sqrt{d_1^2 + d_2^2}$.

As we mentioned, the original system has an invariant measure with the density $\mu = \sqrt{\det(\mathbb{I})}$. One can check that the density of the invariant measure for the last equations reduces to $\mu = A$. We will use this observation about the invariant measure to finish the integration.

Equations (4.8) can be rewritten in the form:

$$\frac{dA}{-k\cos(\theta-\alpha)} = \frac{A \ d\theta}{k\sin(\theta-\alpha) + \frac{m+2\delta}{2(m+\delta)}Av_{\varphi}(A)},$$

or equivalently

$$\left(k\sin(\theta-\alpha) + \frac{m+2\delta}{2(m+\delta)}Av_{\varphi}(A)\right)dA + kA\cos(\theta-\alpha)d\theta = 0.$$

We observe that the left-hand side of the last formula presents a total differential. Thus, we finally get

$$kA\sin(\theta - \alpha) + \frac{(m+2\delta)\delta}{2m(m+\delta)^2}\sqrt{d_5 + \frac{m(m+\delta)}{\delta}A^2} = d_7 = const.$$

From the last equation we express θ as a function of A. By plugging it in the system (4.8) and performing one more integration, we calculate A as a function of time. From (4.7) we find also v_{φ} as a function of time.

Finally, we note that it would be interesting to study the above nonholonomic systems with added gyroscopes (e.g., see [7, 10, 28]), and also to study variations of the problems in \mathbb{R}^d with an arbitrary dimension d > 3 (e.g., see [13, 15, 17–20, 23, 26]).

Acknowledgements. This research has been supported by the Project no. 7744592 MEGIC "Integrability and Extremal Problems in Mechanics, Geometry and Combinatorics" of the Science Fund of Serbia, Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts and the Ministry for Education, Science, and Technological Development of Serbia, and the Simons Foundation grant no. 854861.

References

- V. I. Arnold, V. V. Kozlov, A. I. Neishtadt, Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics, Springer 2006.
- [2] A.M. Bloch, Nonholonomic Mechanics and Control, Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, Springer 2015.
- [3] A. V. Borisov, Yu. N. Fedorov, On two modified integrable problems in dynamics Mosc. Univ. Mech. Bull. 50 No.6, 16–18, (1995) (Russian).
- [4] A. V. Borisov, I. S. Mamaev, The rolling motion of a rigid body on a plane and a sphere: hierarchy of dynamics, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. 7 (2002) no. 2, 177–200.
- [5] A. V. Borisov, A. A. Kilin, I. S. Mamaev, Rolling of a Homogeneous Ball over a Dynamically Asymmetric Sphere, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. 16 (2011) no. 5, 466–483.
- [6] A. V. Borisov, I. S. Mamaev, I. A. Bizyaev, The hierarchy of dynamics of a rigid body rolling without slipping and spinning on a plane and a sphere, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 18 (2013) 277-328.
- [7] A.V. Borisov, I.S. Mamaev, *Rigid Body Dynamics*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematical Physics, de Gruyter 2018.
- [8] A. V. Borisov, A. Tsiganov, On rheonomic nonholonomic deformations of the Euler equations proposed by Bilimovich, Theor. Appl. Mech. 47 (2020) 155–168.
- [9] V. Dragović, B. Gajić, B. Jovanović, Generalizations of classical integrable nonholonomic rigid body systems, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 (1998) 9861–9869.
- [10] V. Dragović, B. Gajić, B. Jovanović, Demchenko's nonholonomic case of a gyroscopic ball rolling without sliding over a sphere after his 1923 Belgrade doctoral thesis, Theor. Appl. Mech. 47 (2020), 257–287, arXiv:2011.03866.
- [11] V. Dragović, B. Gajić, B. Jovanović, Spherical and planar ball bearing nonholonomic systems with an invariant measure, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 27 (2022) 424–442, arXiv:2208.03009.
- [12] Yu. N. Fedorov, Motion of a rigid body in a spherical suspension, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. 1. Mat. Mekh., (1988) no. 5, 91–93 (in Russian)
- [13] Yu. N. Fedorov, V. V. Kozlov, Various aspects of n-dimensional rigid body dynamics, Transl., Ser. 2, Am. Math. Soc. 168 (1995), 141–171.
- [14] Yu. N. Fedorov, Dynamical systems with an invariant measure on the Riemannian symmetric pairs (GL(N), SO(N)), Regul. Chaotic Dyn. 1, no. 1, 38–44, (1996) (Russian).
- [15] Yu. N. Fedorov, B. Jovanovic, Integrable nonholonomic geodesic flows on compact Lie groups, In: Topological methods in the theory of integrable systems (Bolsinov A.V., Fomenko A.T., Oshemkov A.A. eds), Cambridge Scientific Publ., (2006), 115–152, arXiv:math-ph/0408037.
- [16] Yu. N. Fedorov, L. C. Garca-Naranjo, J. C. Marrero, Unimodularity and preservation of volumes in nonholonomic mechanics, J. Nonlinear Sci. 25 (2015), 203–246, arXiv:1304.1788.
- [17] B. Gajić, B. Jovanović, Nonholonomic connections, time reparametrizations, and integrability of the rolling ball over a sphere, Nonlinearity 32(5) (2019), 1675–1694, arXiv:1805.10610.

- [18] B. Gajić, B. Jovanović, Two integrable cases of a ball rolling over a sphere in \mathbb{R}^n , Rus. J. Nonlin. Dyn., **15**(4) (2019), 457–475
- [19] L. C. Garcia-Naranjo, Hamiltonisation, measure preservation and first integrals of the multi-dimensional rubber Routh sphere, Theor. Appl. Mech. 46 (2019), 65–88, arXiv:1901.11092.
- [20] L.C. Garcia-Naranjo, Integrability of the n-dimensional axially symmetric Chaplygin sphere, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. 24 (2019), 450–463, arXiv:1906.00261.
- [21] B. Jovanović, LR and L+R systems, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 No 22, (2009), 225202 (18pp), arXiv:0902.1656 [math-ph].
- [22] B. Jovanović, Invariant measures of modified LR and L+R systems, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 20 (2015) 542—552, arXiv:1508.04913.
- [23] B. Jovanović, Note on a ball rolling over a sphere: integrable Chaplygin system with an invariant measure without Chaplygin Hamiltonization, Theor. Appl. Mech. 46 (2019), 97–108.
- [24] V.V. Kozlov, Invariant measures of the Euler-Poincaré equations on Lie algebras, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. 22 (1988), 69–70, (in Russian); English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl. 22(1) (1988), 58–59.
- [25] A.S. Kuleshov, On the Jellett-Chaplygin integral, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. 1. Mat. Mekh., (2000), no. 2, 54–56. (in Russian)
- [26] D. Schneider, Nonholonomic Euler-Poincaré equations and stability in Chaplygin's sphere. Dyn. Syst. Int. J. 17(2002), no. 2, 87—130.
- [27] D. V. Zenkov, A. M. Bloch, Invariant measures of nonholonomic flows with internal degrees of freedom, Nonlinearity 16 (2003), 1793–1807.
- [28] N.E. Zhukovskiy, About the Bobilev gyroscopic ball, Trudy otdela fiz nauk, 1893 (in Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT DALLAS, RICHARDSON, TX, USA, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SERBIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND ARTS, BELGRADE, SERBIA *Email address:* Vladimir.Dragovic@utdallas.edu

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SERBIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND ARTS, BELGRADE, SERBIA *Email address*: gajab@mi.sanu.ac.rs

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SERBIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND ARTS, BELGRADE, SERBIA *Email address:* bozaj@mi.sanu.ac.rs