The flow method for the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula: Exact results

Federico Zadra ^{*1}, Alessandro Bravetti ^{†2}, Angel Alejandro García-Chung ^{‡3,4}, and Marcello Seri ^{§1}

¹Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics, Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

²Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas (IIMAS–UNAM), Mexico City, Mexico

³Tecnológico de Monterrey, Escuela de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Carr. al Lago de Guadalupe Km. 3.5, Estado de Mexico 52926, Mexico.

⁴Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences Inselstraße 22, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

Leveraging techniques from the literature on geometric numerical integration, we propose a new general method to compute exact expressions for the BCH formula. In its utmost generality, the method consists in embedding the Lie algebra of interest into a subalgebra of the algebra of vector fields on some manifold by means of an isomorphism, so that the BCH formula for two elements of the original algebra can be recovered from the composition of the flows of the corresponding vector fields. For this reason we call our method the *flow method*. Clearly, this method has great advantage in cases where the flows can be computed analytically. We illustrate its usefulness on some benchmark examples where it can be applied directly, and discuss some possible extensions for cases where an exact expression cannot be obtained.

CONTENTS

1	Contact geometry		
	1.1 (Contact hamiltonian flows and Jacobi structures	4
2	The	flow method	6

^{*}f.zadra@rug.nl 0000-0001-7565-3792

[†]alessandro.bravetti@iimas.unam.mx 0000-0001-5348-9215

[‡]alechung@tec.mx 0000-0002-8982-3569

[§]m.seri@rug.nl 0000-0002-8563-5894

3	Clos	sed-form expressions for the BCH formula for various algebras	11	
	3.1	The Heisenberg algebra	11	
	3.2	The contact Heisenberg algebra	12	
	3.3	The quadratic symplectic algebra	13	
	3.4	The quadratic contact algebra	15	
	3.5	The complexified $su(2)$	17	
	3.6	Splitting numerical integrators	18	
4	Conclusions			
Α	Matrix representations			
	A.1	Matrix representation of the CHA	22	
	A.2	Matrix representation of QCA	23	

INTRODUCTION

The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff (BCH) formula is a well known result in the theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras [1, 19, 26] that found wide application in both mathematics and physics: from Lie theory [26] to numerical integration [14, 37], quantum mechanics [5, 36] and statistical mechanics [39]. Roughly speaking, it links the composition between two elements of a Lie group in a connected neighbourhood of the identity to an element of the Lie algebra whose exponential map is the group element which results from the composition. In other words, it provides a means to compute the map $Z : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$Z(A,B) := \log(e^A e^B), \qquad (1)$$

where $e^A, e^B \in G$ are the corresponding elements in the associated Lie group given by the exponential map. When the Lie algebra is commutative, the BCH formula is simply

$$Z(A,B) = A + B.$$

However, Lie algebras are in general not commutative and finding closed-form expressions or even accurate estimates for Z can become a daunting task. The general *BCH formula* can be expressed (formally) in terms of the integral formulation

$$Z(A,B) = A + B - \int_0^1 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(\mathbb{I} - e^{ad_A} e^{t \, ad_B})^n}{n(n+1)} \, dt \,, \tag{2}$$

where ad_A is the adjoint representation of A and I is the identity matrix. To approximate (2), the most common method is to employ the series expansion

$$Z(A,B) = A + B + [A,B] + \frac{1}{12} \left([A, [A, B]] + [B, [B, A]] \right) + \cdots$$

For a detailed treatment of the topic, we refer the reader to [19, 26, 42].

The problem of computing a closed form for (2) for a given particular algebra has already been addressed in various settings, employing a number of different algebraic and analytic techniques [6, 12, 19, 31, 32, 40, 45]. In this paper, we present a dynamic approach to such problem: we compute closed forms of the BCH formula by exploiting an isomorphism between the given Lie algebra and an appropriate subalgebra of the infinitesimal contactomorphisms on a contact manifold. The latter in turn is also isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the Hamiltonian functions endowed with the Jacobi bracket that naturally arises from the contact structure [29]. This helps us to simplify the calculations. The mapping between functions and vector fields is always an isomorphism for contact Hamiltonians [29], differently from the symplectic case [18]. Therefore, even in the case of some algebras which arise naturally in the symplectic setting (e.g. the Heisenberg group), the use of the contact structure is more natural, as it guarantees a one-to-one correspondence between contact Hamiltonian functions and contact Hamiltonian vector fields.

We prove the usefulness of our method using some interesting examples arising from the recent literature in contact geometry and Hamiltonian systems, namely, the Heisenberg algebra [26], the contact Heisenberg algebra [12], the quadratic symplectic and the quadratic contact algebras, and the complexification of su(2). Furthermore, we also include an analysis of contact splitting numerical integrators [14, 48] for the damped harmonic oscillator [11]. More precisely, in this example our method will be used in order to obtain a priori estimates of the numerical errors for different splitting integrators.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 1 we briefly review some concepts in contact geometry and contact Hamiltonian mechanics. In Section 2 we describe the flow method. Section 3 is devoted to the aforementioned examples, presented in detail, while Section 4 presents the conclusions and some additional directions for further research. Additional results, including a matrix representation of the algebras treated in the paper, are presented at the end of the paper in Appendix A.

1 CONTACT GEOMETRY

We summarize here the essential concepts of contact geometry that are needed for this work and refer the reader to [4, 7, 10, 11, 13, 23, 24, 29, 33] for additional details, including the relevant proofs. The main defining object for us is a special differential 1-form, called the *contact form*, which induces both a volume form on the manifold and a maximally non-integrable distribution on its tangent bundle, called the *contact structure*.

DEFINITION 1.1. A (exact) contact manifold is a couple (M, η) , where M is 2n + 1dimensional manifold and η is a 1-form such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

In this case η is called a *contact form* and its kernel at each point defines a maximally non-integrable distribution of hyperplanes \mathfrak{D} , called the *contact structure*.

If, on the same manifold M, the contact form η is replaced by $\eta' = f\eta$, for some nowhere-vanishing real function f, the new 1-form is again a contact form and defines the same contact structure. Indeed, the induced volume form is simply

$$\eta' \wedge (d\eta')^n = f^{n+1}\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

At each $p \in M$, the contact 1-form η_p and its differential $d\eta_p$ split the tangent space T_pM into the so-called vertical and the horizontal distributions:

$$\mathfrak{D}_p = \operatorname{Hor}_p(\eta) = \ker(\eta_p), \quad \operatorname{Vert}_p(\eta) = \ker(d\eta_p),$$

where ker $(d\eta_p)$ is the subspace of T_pM of all those vectors that annihilate $d\eta_p$. Thus the tangent bundle TM can be written as a Whitney sum $TM = \mathfrak{D}_p \bigoplus \operatorname{Vert}_p(\eta)$. It is important to stress that the definition of the horizontal distribution is invariant under the transformation $\eta \mapsto f\eta$, since ker $(f\eta) = \text{ker}(\eta)$, while the vertical distribution is not [33]. The vertical distribution is 1-dimensional and is described in terms of the so-called Reeb vector field, which is unique once a contact form is fixed.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Given a contact manifold (M, η) there exists a unique vector field \mathcal{R} , the *Reeb vector field*, such that

 $\eta(\mathfrak{R}) = 1$ and $d\eta(\mathfrak{R}, \cdot) = 0$.

Diffeomorphisms that preserve the contact structure are of particular interest. We have seen that two contact forms define the same contact structure if they are equal up to multiplication by a non-vanishing function: this allows to classify contactomorphisms into two classes.

DEFINITION 1.2. Denote (M, η) and (M', η') two contact manifolds which are diffeomorphic via $\phi : M \to M'$. We call the map ϕ a

- contactmorphism, if there exists a non-vanishing $\lambda \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi^* \eta' = \lambda \eta$,
- strict contactomorphism, if $\phi^* \eta' = \eta$.

Similarly, we can also classify the corresponding infinitesimal transformations.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let (M, η) be a contact manifold. A vector field X on M is called

- infinitesimal contactomorphism, if $L_X \eta = \tau \eta$ for some $\tau \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$,
- strict infinitesimal contactomorphism, if $L_X \eta = 0$.

Finally, we want to give a coordinate description of a contact manifold. In Definition 1.1 we have seen that the distribution is described by a 1-form. The following theorem provides local canonical coordinates.

THEOREM 1.1 (Darboux's theorem). Consider a contact manifold (M, η) and a point $x \in M$. Then there exist local coordinates (q_i, p_i, s) in a neighbourhood of x such that the contact form is written as

$$\eta = ds - p_i dq_i,$$

where here and in the following Einstein's summation convention over repeated indices will be always assumed.

The coordinates in Darboux's theorem are called *canonical coordinates*. Note that in these coordinates we have $d\eta = dq_i \wedge dp_i$ and $\mathcal{R} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$.

1.1 CONTACT HAMILTONIAN FLOWS AND JACOBI STRUCTURES

The contact form allows to define an isomorphism between functions and vector fields. We call these vector fields contact gradients or contact Hamiltonian vector fields [33].

DEFINITION 1.4. Given a contact manifold (M, η) and a smooth function $\mathcal{H} : M \to \mathbb{R}$, the contact Hamiltonian vector field associated with \mathcal{H} is the vector field $X_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that

 $\eta(X_{\mathcal{H}}) = -\mathcal{H}, \qquad d\eta(X_{\mathcal{H}}, \cdot) = d\mathcal{H} - d\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R})\eta.$ (3)

We denote the map that assigns to a function \mathcal{H} its associated contact Hamiltonian vector field as a_{η} , so that $X_{\mathcal{H}} = a_{\eta}(\mathcal{H})$ and the function \mathcal{H} is called *contact Hamiltonian function*.

Any contact Hamiltonian vector field is an infinitesimal contactomorphism, as shown by a direct application of Cartan's magic formula:

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_{\mathscr{H}}}\eta = d(\eta(X_{\mathscr{H}})) + d\eta(X_{\mathscr{H}}, \cdot) = -\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{H})\eta.$$

In particular, it is strict if and only if $\Re(\mathcal{H}) = 0$. Remarkably, one can prove also the opposite, i.e. any infinitesimal contactomorphism is a contact Hamiltonian vector field, with the corresponding (contact) Hamiltonian function being recovered by using the first condition in (3).

In canonical coordinates (q_i, p_i, s) , the contact Hamiltonian vector field is given by [10, 11]

$$X_{\mathcal{H}} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} + \left(-\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_j} - p_j \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial s}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + \left(p_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \mathcal{H}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$$

and its integral curves satisfy the contact Hamiltonian equations of motion

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}_j = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_j} \\ \dot{p}_j = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_j} - p_j \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial s} \\ \dot{s} = p_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \mathcal{H}. \end{cases}$$
(4)

In what follows we will denote the contact Hamiltonian flow of $X_{\mathcal{H}}$, or equivalently the solution of the above system, by

$$\phi_{x_0}^{\mathscr{H}}(t) := e^{tX_{\mathscr{H}}}(x_0),$$

where $x_0 \in M$ is the initial condition.

Differently from its symplectic counterpart, a contact Hamiltonian function is not conserved along the flow of $X_{\mathcal{H}}$. Instead, its dynamics depends on $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ as can be noted in the following

PROPOSITION 1.2. The time derivative of \mathcal{H} along the flow of $X_{\mathcal{H}}$ is

$$\mathcal{H} = -\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})\mathcal{H}$$

This means, in particular, that the surface defined by $\mathcal{H} = 0$ is invariant under the contact Hamiltonian evolution and that this is generally not true for the other level sets [10, 11, 30].

Classical Hamiltonian systems can be studied in terms of the algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields on a symplectic manifold and in terms of the algebra of Hamiltonian functions with the Poisson bracket. This duality persists also in contact Hamiltonian mechanics. The set of contact Hamiltonian functions on a contact manifold (M, η) has a structure of a (local) Lie algebra in the sense of Kirillov [27]: the bracket can be defined by [14]

$${f,g}_{\eta} := -\eta ([X_f, X_g]),$$

which, in local canonical coordinates, has the form

$$\{f,g\}_{\eta} = \left(f\frac{\partial g}{\partial s} - g\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\right) + p_j\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial s}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_j}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_j}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j}\right)$$

This bracket is bilinear, antisymmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity, but not the Leibniz rule. Instead, one has

$$\{f,gh\}_{\eta} = g\{f,h\}_{\eta} + h\{f,g\}_{\eta} + gh\{f,1\}_{\eta}.$$

For our purposes, a fundamental difference between the symplectic and the contact settings is the fact that in the contact case the map a_{η} , which assigns to each function the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field (see Definition 1.4), is an isomorphism of Lie algebras, while in the symplectic case it is known to be just a homomorphism, as constant functions all belong to the kernel [29]. This is the content of the next result [2, 29].

THEOREM 1.2. Given a contact manifold (M, η) , the map

$$a_{\eta}: (\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R}), \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\eta}) \to (\mathfrak{X}^{C}(M), [\cdot,\cdot]),$$

is an isomorphism between the algebra of functions on M with the Jacobi bracket and the algebra $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ of infinitesimal contactomorphisms with the standard Lie bracket. Its inverse is provided by the contraction with the contact form: $\eta : (\mathfrak{X}^{\mathbb{C}}(M), [\cdot, \cdot]) \to (\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R}), \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\eta})$.

Remark 1.1. We can compare this with the usual Hamiltonian structures on symplectic manifolds. A symplectic manifold is a pair (M, ω) , where M is an even-dimensional manifold and ω is a closed, non-degenerate, 2-form on M called the symplectic form [18, 33]. The symplectic form allows to associate to each function $\mathcal{H} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a vector field $X_{\mathcal{H}}$, called the Hamiltonian vector field or the symplectic gradient, by

$$\omega(X_{\mathcal{H}}, \cdot) = -d\mathcal{H}.$$

In canonical coordinates (q_i, p_i) , the symplectic form is $\omega = dp_i \wedge dq_i$ and thus the integral curves of $X_{\mathcal{H}}$ give Hamilton's equations in their standard form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p} \\ \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q} \end{cases}.$$
(5)

Finally, the *Poisson bracket* [33] of two functions is defined as

$$\{f, g\}_{PB} := \omega(X_g, X_f).$$

The side-to-side comparison of the symplectic and contact structures is summarized in Table 1.

2 The flow method

In this section we present the main idea of this work, proceeding in order of decreasing generality and increasing applicability.

Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and two elements $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$, in the following definition we outline a method to compute (1) without recurring to the general BCH formula (2).

	Symplectic Hamiltonian Systems	Contact Hamiltonian Systems
Space	$(M, \omega), \dim M = 2n,$ ω closed 2-form s.t. $\omega^n \neq 0$	$(M,\eta), \dim M = 2n + 1,$ η 1-form s.t. $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$
Hamiltonian vector fields	$\omega(X_{\mathcal{H}},\cdot)=-d\mathcal{H}$	$\eta(X_{\mathcal{H}}) = -\mathcal{H}, d\eta(X_{\mathcal{H}}, \cdot) = d\mathcal{H} - d\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R})\eta$
Algebra on $C^{\infty}(M)$	$\{f, g\}_{PB} := \omega(X_g, X_f)$	$\{f,g\}_{\eta} := -\eta\left([X_f,X_g]\right)$
Relation	$(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(M), \{,\}_{\mathrm{PB}}) \to \bigl(\mathfrak{X}^{H}(M), [,]\bigr)$ homomorphism	$(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(M), \{,\}_{\eta}) \to (\mathfrak{X}^{C}(M), [,])$ isomorphism
Canonical coordinates	$(q,p), \omega = dp_i \wedge dq_i$	$(q, p, s), \eta = ds - p_i dq_i, \mathcal{R} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$
Equations of motion	$\dot{q} = rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p}, \dot{p} = -rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q}$	$\begin{split} \dot{q} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p}, \ \dot{p} &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q} - p \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial s}, \\ \dot{s} &= p_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \mathcal{H} \end{split}$

Table 1: Comparison of symplectic and contact Hamiltonian structures.

DEFINITION 2.1. Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the general *flow method* consists of the following:

- 1. Find a Lie algebra isomorphism φ between \mathfrak{g} and some subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_M of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ for some manifold M;
- 2. Given $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$, replace the product $e^A e^B$ by the composition of the flows $e^{tX_A} \circ e^{tX_B}$, where $X_A = \varphi(A)$ and $X_B = \varphi(B)$;
- 3. Find the infinitesimal generator $Z(X_A, X_B)$ of $e^{tX_A} \circ e^{tX_B}$ at t = 1;
- 4. Use the inverse isomorphism φ^{-1} to find $Z(A, B) = \varphi^{-1}(Z(X_A, X_B))$.

A graphical representation of the idea behind steps 2. and 3. of the flow method is depicted in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Graphical representation of steps 2. and 3. of the flow method.

Remark 2.1. Clearly the flow method, whenever applicable, is a way to compute (1) without recurring to the BCH formula. An advantage of the flow method is that in some cases computing the composition of the flows $e^{tX_A} \circ e^{tX_B}$ can be very easy, whereas computing the corresponding product $e^A e^B$ can be rather cumbersome. More importantly, once we have the composed flow $e^{tX_A} \circ e^{tX_B}$, then it is a standard calculation to recover the infinitesimal generator $Z(X_A, X_B)$. Therefore, step 3. yields a substantial simplification. However, the main difficulty in the process is to find M and \mathfrak{g}_M such that (i) there exists

an isomorphism between \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}_M and (ii) the flows e^{tX_A} and e^{tX_B} can be explicitly found. In general this is not even possible. However, as we show below, in some special cases one can work out the flow method and thus obtain closed-form expressions for the corresponding BCH formula.

Remark 2.2. The flow method was already used in a similar fashion in [16] in the context of finding the modified Hamiltonian for different symplectic numerical methods for the harmonic oscillator, as we will see in Example 1. However, the usefulness of this method has not been established before, perhaps because, as we will show shortly, the symplectic context is not the most useful one for the general purpose at hand.

To implement the flow method, it turns out to be particularly convenient to choose M to be either a symplectic or a contact manifold and \mathfrak{g}_M to be a subalgebra of the Hamiltonian vector fields on M, which we will denote by \mathfrak{h}_M . To avoid restating this all the time, we will denote the flow method with this particular choice of manifold and subalgebra the Hamiltonian flow method, overloading the word Hamiltonian to denote both the contact and symplectic choices.

Remark 2.3. An obvious advantage of the Hamiltonian flow method is the fact that in this case we are provided with an additional Lie algebra homomorphism ψ between \mathfrak{h}_M and the corresponding Hamiltonian functions. It is much easier to specify the desired Lie algebras in terms of Hamiltonian functions rather than in terms of vector fields directly. For instance, the Heisenberg Lie algebra is often written as the algebra of linear functions on a symplectic manifold together with their Poisson bracket, but rarely expressed as the corresponding Lie algebra of vector fields (and there is a reason for it, since the two in this case are not isomorphic, see below).

Now, let $\{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}$ denote a basis for $\psi(\mathfrak{h}_M)$, where ψ is the Lie algebra homomorphism mentioned in Remark 2.3 above. Then a general element in $\psi(\mathfrak{h}_M)$ takes the form

$$H := \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i H_i$$
, with $h_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

This means that, given 2 elements $H_A = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i H_i$ and $H_B = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i H_i$, in order to find $H_{Z(A,B)} = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i H_i$, we need to find the coefficients z_i as functions of a_i and b_i .

At this point, we need to add the following assumption.

Assumption 2.1. Assume that

- i. $\psi : \mathfrak{h}_M \to \psi(\mathfrak{h}_M)$ is an isomorphism, and
- ii. the flow e^{tX_H} of the general H (with unspecified coefficients h_i) can be obtained by quadratures.

If (and only if) Assumption 2.1 is satisfied, then points 3. and 4. in the general flow method (Definition 2.1) can be replaced by

- $\tilde{3}a.$ equate $e^{tX_{Z(A,B)}} = e^{tX_A} \circ e^{tX_B}$ to obtain a system of algebraic equations relating the known coefficients a_i and b_i to the unknown coefficients z_i
- 3b. solve the above system to find the coefficients z_i as functions of a_i and b_i , say $z_i(a, b)$, thus obtaining $H_{Z(A,B)} = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i(a, b)H_i$

4. then $Z(A, B) = \varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(H_{Z(A,B)})$, where ψ is the isomorphism in Assumption 2.1 and φ the one from step 1. of the flow method.

DEFINITION 2.2. We call the method given by the steps 1., 2., 3a., 3b., 4. the *algebraic Hamiltonian flow method*, or AHFM. The symplectic approach to the AHFM will be specified by SAHFM, while the contact approach by CAHFM.

Let us see how the AHFM works in the example, taken from [16], of finding the modified Hamiltonian for a 1st order splitting integrator for a classical harmonic oscillator. The purpose of this example is to develop the intuition on the flow method. At the same time, it also provides a first practical application (in discriminating among different numerical integrators).

EXAMPLE 1 (Splitting integrators for the harmonic oscillator). Let us consider the 1dimensional harmonic oscillator, with $M = \mathbb{R}^2$, $\omega = dp \wedge dq$, and Hamiltonian function

$$H := T(p) + V(q) \,,$$

where

$$T(p) = \frac{p^2}{2}, \quad V(q) = \frac{q^2}{2}.$$

The symplectic Hamiltonian vector fields corresponding to these functions are

$$X_H = X_T + X_V, \quad X_T = p \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \quad X_V = -q \frac{\partial}{\partial p}.$$

From the theory of geometric numerical integration (see Section 3.6 or [14, 16, 46]), we know that

$$S_1(\tau) := \exp\left\{\tau X_T\right\} \exp\left\{\tau X_V\right\},\tag{6}$$

is a (symplectic) numerical integrator of 1st order for the flow of H, meaning that, for small values of τ , the timestep, one has $S_1(\tau)(x_0) = \exp\{\tau X_H\}(x_0) + \mathfrak{O}(\tau)$ for any initial condition x_0 . We also know that, being symplectic, $S_1(\tau)$ is Hamiltonian with respect to some other Hamiltonian function $\tilde{H}(q, p; \tau)$, called the *modified Hamiltonian* (see also e.g. [25, 28] for more details). Finally, to find $\tilde{H}(q, p; \tau)$ we need to use the BCH formula (2) with $A = X_T$ and $B = X_V$. Instead, in the following we will apply the flow method.

We begin by observing that the commutation relations of our functions of interest with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{PB}$, see Remark 1.1, are

$$\{ T(p) , V(q) \}_{PB} = -q p, \quad \{ qp , V(q) \}_{PB} = -2V(q), \quad \{ qp , T(p) \}_{PB} = 2T(p), \quad \{$$

so that $\mathscr{F} = \{V(q), T(p), qp\}$ provides a set of generators of a Lie subalgebra of $(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R}), \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{PB})$. Thus we can argue that the modified Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(q, p; \tau)$ must be (for any τ) a linear combination of the elements of the basis of this Lie subalgebra, that is,

$$\tilde{H}(q, p; \tau) = a(\tau)q^2 + b(\tau)p^2 + c(\tau)q\,p.$$
(7)

Now, to compute the unknown coefficients $a(\tau)$, $b(\tau)$, $c(\tau)$ we observe that, for a fixed timestep τ , we can solve the differential equation

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = X_{\tilde{H}(q,p;\tau)}(x(t)), \qquad x(t) := (q(t), p(t)),$$

that is,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}(t) = 2b(\tau)p(t) + c(\tau)q(t) \\ \dot{p}(t) = -2a(\tau)q(t) - c(\tau)p(t) \end{cases}$$

The solution, evaluated at $t = \tau$, is

$$\begin{cases} q(\tau) = q_0 \cos(\omega\tau) + \frac{(2bp_0 + cq_0)\sin(\omega\tau)}{\omega} \\ p(\tau) = p_0 \cos(\omega\tau) - \frac{(2aq_0 + cp_0)\sin(\omega\tau)}{\omega} \end{cases}$$

The map $x_0 = x(0) \mapsto x_1 = x(\tau)$ is $S_1(\tau)$, the numerical integrator map. Here we have used $\omega = -c^2 + 4ab$. We know from (6) that the same function is given by the composition of the flows of X_T and X_V at $t = \tau$, i.e. the maps

$$\exp\{\tau X_V\} = \begin{cases} q_1 = q_0\\ p_1 = -q_0\tau + p_0 \end{cases} \text{ and } \exp\{\tau X_T\} = \begin{cases} q_1 = p_0\tau + q_0\\ p_1 = p_0 \end{cases}$$

We can now compute $a(\tau), b(\tau), c(\tau)$ by comparing

$$\begin{cases} q(\tau) = q_0 \cos(\omega\tau) + \frac{(2bp_0 + cq_0)\sin(\omega\tau)}{\omega} = (1 - \tau^2)q_0 + p_0\tau \\ p(\tau) = p_0 \cos(\omega\tau) - \frac{(2aq_0 + cp_0)\sin(\omega\tau)}{\omega} = p_0 - q_0\tau , \end{cases}$$
(8)

which should hold for any arbitrary initial condition (q_0, p_0) . Solving the algebraic equations (8), we obtain

$$a(\tau) = b(\tau) = \Omega(\tau), \quad c(\tau) = \frac{\tau \ \Omega(\tau)}{2}, \qquad \Omega(\tau) := \frac{2 \arccos\left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{2}\right)}{\tau \sqrt{4 - \tau^2}}$$

which in turn give the modified Hamiltonian (7). We notice that knowledge of the modified Hamiltonians for different integrators can help identify the most suitable one for the particular problem, as we will explain in more detail in Section 3.6.

The purpose of the next example is to show that the flow method really relies on the contact algebra and cannot, in general, be used just by employing symplectic Hamiltonians.

EXAMPLE 2 (The Heisenberg algebra: SAHFM vs CAHFM). Consider the Heisenberg algebra \mathfrak{H} . It is well-known [38] that it can be represented as the Lie algebra of linear functions on the 2-dimensional symplectic manifold ($\mathbb{R}^2, \omega = dq \wedge dp$), that is,

$$\mathfrak{H} := \left(\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ q, p, 1 \right\}, \left\{ \cdot, \cdot \right\}_{PB} \right),$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{PB}$ denotes the standard Poisson bracket. The only non-vanishing commutator is $\{p, q\}_{PB} = 1$, as it should be. However, in this case there is no isomorphism between \mathfrak{H} and a subalgebra of \mathfrak{h}_M of symplectic Hamiltonian vector fields, as required by Definition 2.1. This is because the symplectic gradient has a non-trivial kernel, consisting of all the constant functions [18]. A direct way to see this is by looking at Hamilton's equations in the symplectic case (equations (5) in Remark 1.1). Clearly, two Hamiltonians differing by an additive constant generate the same system of equations, and thus the same vector field. Therefore, in this example (and in the general case), step $\tilde{4}$. of the SAHFM is problematic. Notice that this problem does not appear if we use the CAHFM. This is because in general the contact gradient defines an isomorphism, as we have seen in Theorem 1.2. Also in this case, looking back at the contact Hamiltonian equations can help clarify this statement. Indeed, one can verify from equations (4) that in this case two Hamiltonians differing by an additive constant generate different vector fields.

3 CLOSED-FORM EXPRESSIONS FOR THE BCH FORMULA FOR VARIOUS ALGEBRAS

In this section we show how the flow method can provide explicit closed forms of the BCH formula in a number of examples, namely, the classical Heisenberg algebra, the contact Heisenberg algebra introduced in [12], the quadratic symplectic algebra, and the quadratic contact algebra. Finally, we use the latter to compute the modified Hamiltonians of several possible 1st order contact splitting integrators for the damped harmonic oscillator [14].

3.1 The Heisenberg Algebra

Consider the classical Heisenberg algebra introduced in Example 2. This algebra can be identified with

$$(\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{q, p, 1\}, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\eta}),$$

on the contact manifold (\mathbb{R}^3 , $\eta = ds - pdq$). This new identification allows us to use the CAHFM, as discussed in Example 2. More precisely, a general contact Hamiltonian function in the classical Heisenberg algebra has the form

$$h = aq + bp + z1, \quad a, b, z \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that its associated contact Hamiltonian vector field is

$$X_h = b\frac{\partial}{\partial q} - a\frac{\partial}{\partial p} + (-aq - z)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

The flow of this vector field for an initial condition (q_0, p_0, s_0) is explicitly computed as

$$\begin{cases} q(t) = bt + q_0 \\ p(t) = -at + p_0 \\ s(t) = -\frac{1}{2}abt^2 - (aq_0 + z)t + s_0 \end{cases}$$

Our aim is to compose the flows of two different contact Hamiltonians h = aq+bp+z1and $\bar{h} = \bar{a}q + \bar{b}p + \bar{z}1$ and exploit the fact that such flow is a contact flow of some contact Hamiltonian \hbar belonging to the same algebra. Ultimately, this means that we are left to compute the explicit composition of the flows of h and \bar{h} and equate the coefficients with those of the flow of a general $\hbar = \alpha q + \beta p + \zeta 1$ in order to find the appropriate \hbar . In practice, this amounts to solving a system of algebraic equations obtained as follows: the composition of the flows of h and \bar{h} at some time t, namely $e^{tX_{\bar{h}}} \circ e^{tX_{\bar{h}}}$, is computed explicitly as

$$\begin{cases} q(t) = (b+b)t + q_0\\ p(t) = -(a+\bar{a})t + p_0\\ s(t) = -\frac{1}{2}t^2(ab+\bar{a}(2b+\bar{b})) - t(q_0(a+\bar{a})+z+\bar{z}) + s_0 \,. \end{cases}$$

By equating this with the flow of \hbar , namely

$$\begin{cases} q(t) = \beta t + q_0 \\ p(t) = -\alpha t + p_0 \\ s(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha\beta t^2 - (\alpha q_0 + z)t + s_0 \,, \end{cases}$$

at t = 1, we obtain the following defining relations for the coefficients

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = a + \bar{a}, \\ \beta = b + \bar{b}, \\ \zeta = \frac{1}{2}(-a\bar{b} + \bar{a}b + 2z + 2\bar{z}) \end{cases}$$

which indeed is the standard closed-form expression for the BCH formula for the Heisenberg algebra. In Figure 2 we give a graphical representation of the method.



Figure 2: Graphical representation of the flow method applied to the Heisenberg algebra. The blue arrow is the flow of the first Hamiltonian h, the grey arrow is the flow of \bar{h} , and the red arrow is the flow of the Hamiltonian \hbar .

3.2 The contact Heisenberg Algebra

The contact Heisenberg algebra (CHA) is a natural extension of the classical Heisenberg algebra [12] in the contact phase space (\mathbb{R}^3 , $\eta = ds - pdq$), being defined as

$$(\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{q, p, s, 1\}, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\eta})$$

Therefore, any contact Hamiltonian function in this algebra has the following form:

$$f = aq + bp + cs + z1, \quad a, b, c, z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and the corresponding contact Hamiltonian vector field is

$$X_f = b\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + (-a - pc)\frac{\partial}{\partial p} + (-aq - cs - z)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

Again, we can compute the flow explicitly as

.

$$\begin{cases} q(t) = bt + q_0 \\ p(t) = -\frac{a}{c} (1 - e^{-ct}) + e^{-ct} p_0 \\ s(t) = s_0 e^{-ct} - q_0 \frac{a}{c} (1 - e^{-ct}) + \frac{ab}{c^2} - \frac{ab}{c} t - \frac{c}{z} + e^{-ct} \left(\frac{z}{c} - \frac{ab}{c^2}\right) , \end{cases}$$

and thus consider the composition of the flows of two contact Hamiltonians

$$f = aq + bp + cs + z1$$
 and $\bar{f} = \bar{a}q + \bar{b}p + \bar{c}s + \bar{z}1;$

and compare it with the flow generated by

$$\boldsymbol{\ell} = \alpha q + \beta p + \gamma s + \zeta \mathbf{1}$$

at t = 1. This leads to the following solution

$$\begin{cases} \gamma = c + \bar{c} \\ \zeta = \left(\frac{\gamma e^{\gamma}}{e^{\gamma} - 1}\right) \left(\left(e^{-c} - 1\right) \left(\frac{e^{\bar{c}}(ab - cz)}{c^2} + \frac{(\bar{a}\bar{b} - \bar{c}\bar{z})}{\bar{c}^2}\right) + \frac{e^{-\bar{c}}ab\bar{c} + \bar{a}bc(1 - e^{-\bar{c}}) + \bar{a}\bar{b}c}{c\bar{c}} - \frac{\beta\alpha(e^{-\gamma} + \gamma + 1)}{\gamma^2} \right) \\ \alpha = \frac{\gamma(a\bar{c}(e^c - 1) + \bar{a}ce^c(e^{\bar{c}} - 1))}{c\bar{c}(e^{\gamma} - 1)} \\ \beta = b + \bar{b} \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

which again is the correct closed-form expression for the BCH formula for this algebra (cf. [12]). The method is represented graphically in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Graphical representation of the flow method applied to the contact Heisenberg algebra. The blue arrow is the flow of the first Hamiltonian f, the grey arrow is the flow of \bar{f} , and the red arrow is the flow of the Hamiltonian f.

3.3 The quadratic symplectic algebra

The quadratic symplectic algebra is the algebra in the two-dimensional symplectic manifold (\mathbb{R}^2 , $\omega = dp \wedge dq$) generated by the three quadratic functions, that is,

$$\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{p^{2},q^{2},qp\right\},\left\{\cdot,\cdot\right\}_{(PB)}\right)$$
.

Notice that this case includes Example 1 and that here we do not have constant functions as generators of the algebra, differently from Example 2. Therefore, there is an isomorphism between this Lie algebra and the algebra of the corresponding symplectic Hamiltonian vector fields. Indeed, to each $f(q, p) = aq^2 + bp^2 + cqp$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, we can associate uniquely

$$X_f = (cq + 2bp)\frac{\partial}{\partial p} + (-cp - 2aq)\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$$

Hamilton's equations for X_f are given by the linear ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & 2b \\ -2a & -c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

whose general solution at t = 1 for an initial condition $x(0) = (q_0, p_0)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} q(1) \\ p(1) \end{pmatrix} = \left(\cosh(\det(A))\mathbb{I} + \frac{\sinh(\det(A))}{\sqrt{\det(A)}} A \right) \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ p_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we want to compose two of these flows, generated respectively by $H_A = a_1q^2 + b_1p^2 + c_1qp$ and $H_B = a_2q^2 + b_2p^2 + c_2qp$, which correspond to the flows given by (10) with the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 2b_1 \\ -2a_1 & -c_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} c_2 & 2b_2 \\ -2a_2 & -c_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To keep the following expressions compact, it is convenient to define the notations

$$rd(A) := \sqrt{\det(A)}$$
, $sd(A) := \sinh(\det A)$ and $cd(A) := \cosh(\det A)$.

Then the composition of the two different flows given by these two Hamiltonian matrices [18, Chapter X] can be expressed as

$$\left(cd(A)\mathbb{I} + \frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)}A\right)\left(cd(B)\mathbb{I} + \frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)}B\right) =$$

$$= cd(A)cd(B)\mathbb{I} + cd(A)\frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)}B + cd(B)\frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)}A + \frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)}\frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)}AB.$$
(11)

Moreover, since A and B are Hamiltonian matrices, their product can be decomposed as follows

$$AB = JS + \lambda \mathbb{I},$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda = -2a_1b_2 - 2a_2b_1 + c_1c_2$, and S is the symmetric matrix $S = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(4a_1c_2 - 4a_2c_1) & \frac{1}{2}(4a_1b_2 - 4a_2b_1) \\ \frac{1}{2}(4a_1b_2 - 4a_2b_1) & \frac{1}{2}(4b_2c_1 - 4b_1c_2) \end{pmatrix}.$

Substituting, and exploiting
$$J^{-1} = -J$$
, we can rewrite the right-hand side of (11) as

$$\left(cd(A)cd(B) + \frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)}\frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)}\lambda\right)\mathbb{I} + \frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)}\frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)}JS + cd(A)\frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)}B + cd(B)\frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)}A.$$

Comparing this result with the flow generated by a third matrix C of the same type, we obtain the two relations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)} \frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)} S - cd(A) \frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)} JB - cd(B) \frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)} JA = -\frac{sd(C)}{rd(C)} JC \\ cd(A)cd(B) + \frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)} \frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)} \lambda = cd(C) . \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{12}$$

Form the second equation we can obtain immediately the determinant of C. Moreover, using the inverse function of the hyperbolic cosine, we can also obtain the corresponding value of the hyperbolic sine by

$$\sqrt{\det(C)} = \ln\left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right) \Rightarrow sd(C) = \sqrt{x^2 + 1},$$

where

$$x = cd(A)cd(B) + \frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)}\frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)}\lambda.$$
(13)

Now, using the second equation in (12), we obtain the final result

$$C = \frac{\ln(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} \left(\frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)} \frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)} S - cd(A) \frac{sd(B)}{rd(B)} JB - cd(B) \frac{sd(A)}{rd(A)} JA \right), \quad (14)$$

which is the closed-form expression for the BCH formula for the quadratic symplectic algebra (in matrix form). To our knowledge this result has not been reported elsewhere previously and therefore it is interesting in itself.

The graphical result of this procedure is plotted in Figure 4. Since we have been



Figure 4: Graphical representation of the flow method applied to the quadratic symplectic algebra. The blue arrow is the flow of the first Hamiltonian H_A , the grey arrow is the flow of H_B , and the red arrow is the flow of the Hamiltonian H_C .

working on the two-dimensional manifold $(\mathbb{R}^2, \omega = dp \wedge dq)$, one may be surprised by the appearance of the (q, s) and (p, s) planes in Figure 4. Indeed, we are describing there the flow method applied to the extension of the same algebra to the contact manifold $(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \eta = ds - pdq)$. In practice, the (p, q)-projection (leftmost plot) is exactly what we have computed here, and for the rest of the pictures we only need to extend (10) with the additional differential equation $\dot{s} = bp^2 - aq^2$.

The relevance of this example will be clear in the next section on the quadratic contact algebra. There we will need to use a combination of the ideas presented here and in the previous examples.

3.4 The quadratic contact algebra

Another interesting subalgebra of the contact Hamiltonian algebra is the quadratic contact algebra (QCA), defined on the three dimensional contact manifold $(\mathbb{R}^3, \eta = ds - pdq)$ as

$$(\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p^2, q^2, qp, s, 1\}, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\eta}).$$
 (15)

This algebra is interesting since it contains the quadratic symplectic algebra, the conformal symplectic one (generated by $\{q^2, p^2, qp, s\}$), and the Hamiltonian function of the harmonic oscillator (with and without dissipation). We will come back to the latter in the next subsection, where we will rely on the results of this section to compute the modified Hamiltonian for different choices of splitting numerical integrators for such system.

Hamiltonian functions in the algebra (15) have the form

$$f = aq^{2} + bp^{2} + cs + dqp + z1, \quad a, b, c, d, z \in \mathbb{R},$$
(16)

and their corresponding contact gradients are

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = 2bp + dq \\ \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} - p\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = -2aq - (d+c)p \\ \dot{s} = p\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} - f = bp^2 - aq^2 - cs - z \,. \end{cases}$$

This system of differential equations is integrable by quadratures, since the first two equations are linear and the third one is linear in s once the first two are solved. In the same way as in the previous sections, we compose the flows of two contact Hamiltonians in the algebra,

$$f = aq^2 + bp^2 + ds + cqp + z1$$
 and $\bar{f} = \bar{a}q^2 + \bar{b}p^2 + \bar{d}s + \bar{c}qp + \bar{z}1$,

and compare the result with the flow of a third Hamiltonian

$$\boldsymbol{f} = \alpha q^2 + \beta p^2 + \gamma q p + \delta s + \zeta 1.$$

The flow method immediately provides an expression for the unknowns δ and ζ from the solution s(t), reading

$$\begin{cases} \delta = d + \bar{d} \\ \zeta = \frac{\delta(-\bar{d}z + \bar{d}e^d z - de^d \bar{z} + de^\delta \bar{z})}{d\bar{d}(-1 + e^\delta)} \,. \end{cases}$$
(17)

To obtain the remaining parameters α , β and γ we repeat the same computation as in the previous section: observe that the solution in the plane (q, p) is independent of the dynamics in s, so we only need to solve the dynamical system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} c & 2b \\ -2a & -c - d \end{pmatrix}}_{A} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (18)

The solution of equation (18) at t = 1 can be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} q(1) \\ p(1) \end{pmatrix} = e^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(cd(\tilde{A})\mathbb{I} + \frac{sd(\tilde{A})}{\det(\tilde{A})}\tilde{A} \right) \begin{pmatrix} q(0) \\ p(0) \end{pmatrix},$$
(19)

where \tilde{A} is the matrix

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} c+d & 2b \\ -2a & -c-d \end{pmatrix},$$
(20)

which is a Hamiltonian matrix, as in the previous section.

When we compose the flows generated by the matrix A in (18) and the analogous matrix $B = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{c} & 2\bar{b} \\ -2\bar{a} & -\bar{c} - \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}$ at t = 1, we obtain $e^{-\frac{d+\bar{d}}{2}} \left(cd(\tilde{A})\mathbb{I} + \frac{sd(\tilde{A})}{\det(\tilde{A})}\tilde{A} \right) \left(cd(\tilde{B})\mathbb{I} + \frac{sd(\tilde{B})}{\det(\tilde{B})}\tilde{B} \right).$

This has to be compared with the flow generated by

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 2\beta \\ -2\alpha & -\gamma - \delta \end{pmatrix},$$

which, at t = 1, has the same form as equation (19). Since we already know the equation for the parameter δ from (17), we can reduce this condition to (12) from the symplectic case: the exponential term $\exp(d/2 + \bar{d}/2)$ simplifies and the remaining terms are the flows generated by Hamiltonian matrices of the form (20). This leads to the solution for \tilde{C} being given by

$$\tilde{C} = \frac{\ln(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} \left(\frac{sd(\tilde{A})}{rd(\tilde{A})} \frac{sd(\tilde{B})}{rd(\tilde{B})} \tilde{S} - cd(\tilde{A}) \frac{sd(\tilde{B})}{rd(\tilde{B})} J\tilde{B} - cd(\tilde{B}) \frac{sd(\tilde{A})}{rd(\tilde{A})} J\tilde{A} \right)$$
(21)

where x is defined as in (13) using A and B. Once again this equation gives the closedform expression for the BCH formula for this algebra. Note that for $z = \overline{z} = 0$ we recover a closed-form expression for the conformal symplectic algebra. To our knowledge this result has not been reported elsewhere previously and therefore it is interesting in itself. A graphical test of the results is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Graphical representation of the flow method applied to the quadratic contact algebra.

3.5 The complexified su(2)

In this section we will show how to map the complexification of su(2), $su(2)_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \simeq su(2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, to a complexified version of the quadratic symplectic Lie algebra of Section 3.3. To keep the presentation short we will omit the details of the complexification procedure, for which we refer the readers to [26, Chapters 3.6 and 4.6].

The Lie algebra su(2) can be represented as the vector space

$$\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \Sigma_1 := \imath \sigma_1, \Sigma_2 := -\imath \sigma_2, \Sigma_3 := \imath \sigma_3 \right\},\,$$

spanned by the Pauli matrices $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$. The structure coefficients of the algebra are well known, and with our notation they correspond to

$$[\Sigma_k, \Sigma_l] = 2\epsilon_{k\,l\,m}\Sigma_m, \quad k, l, m = 1, \dots, 3.$$

The complexification of su(2) leads to the Lie algebra $su(2)_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq su(2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ spanned by

$$H = -i\Sigma_3, \quad X = -\frac{i}{2}(\Sigma_1 - i\Sigma_2), \quad Y = -\frac{i}{2}(\Sigma_1 + i\Sigma_2),$$

These new generators satisfy the commutation relations [26, Chapter 4.6]:

$$[H, X] = 2X, \quad [H, Y] = -2Y, \quad [X, Y] = H.$$

To find a mapping between the su(2) and the symplectic algebra, we start from the commutation relation

$$\{q^2, p^2\}_{PB} = 4qp, \quad \{p^2, qp\}_{PB} = -2p^2, \quad \{qp, q^2\}_{PB} = -2q^2.$$

It is a matter of explicit computation to find the mapping

$$\{q^2, p^2, qp\} \mapsto \{i\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2, -i\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2, -i\Sigma_3\},\$$

and its inverse

$$\{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3\} \mapsto \left\{\frac{i}{2}(p^2 - q^2), -\frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2), iqp\right\}.$$

With this mapping, an element of the su(2) algebra is mapped to an element of the complexified symplectic quadratic algebra by:

$$\chi = \sum_{k=1}^{k=3} \mu_k \Sigma_k \quad \mapsto \quad \bar{\chi} = \underbrace{\left(-\frac{i}{2}\mu_1 - \frac{\mu_2}{2}\right)}_{a} q^2 + \underbrace{\left(\frac{i}{2}\mu_1 - \frac{\mu_2}{2}\right)}_{b} p^2 + \underbrace{(i\mu_3)}_{c} qp. \tag{22}$$

To obtain the corresponding BCH formula, is then enough to consider the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} c & 2b \\ -2a & -c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \imath \mu_3 & \imath \mu_1 - \mu_2 \\ \imath \mu_1 + \mu_2 & -\imath \mu_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the respective matrix **B**, in equation (14) and then invert the mapping (22). This also provides an alternative derivation of the well-known parameterization of the composition law of the group SU(2) in terms of Pauli matrices.

Now, using the second equation in (12), we obtain the final result

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{\ln(\mathbf{x} + \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2 - 1})}{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2 - 1}} \left(\frac{sd(\mathbf{A})}{rd(\mathbf{A})} \frac{sd(\mathbf{B})}{rd(\mathbf{B})} \mathbf{S} - cd(\mathbf{A}) \frac{sd(\mathbf{B})}{rd(\mathbf{B})} J\mathbf{B} - cd(\mathbf{B}) \frac{sd(\mathbf{A})}{rd(\mathbf{A})} J\mathbf{A} \right)$$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = cd(\mathbf{A})cd(\mathbf{B}) + \frac{sd(\mathbf{A})}{rd(\mathbf{A})}\frac{sd(\mathbf{B})}{rd(\mathbf{B})}\Lambda,$$

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1\nu_3 - \mu_3\nu_1 + (\mu_3\nu_2 - \mu_2\nu_3)\imath & (\mu_1\nu_2 - \mu_2\nu_1)\imath \\ (\mu_1\nu_2 - \mu_2\nu_1)\imath & \mu_1\nu_3 - \mu_3\nu_1 + (\mu_2\nu_3 - \mu_3\nu_2)\imath \end{pmatrix}.$$

and $\Lambda = -\mu_1 \nu_1 - \mu_2 \nu_2 - \mu_3 \nu_3$.

3.6 Splitting numerical integrators

The flow method can immediately be put to use in the computation of the modified Hamiltonian of certain splitting integrators. Contact splitting integrators are a family of numerical algorithms to integrate flows of Hamiltonian functions that can be written as sums of separate terms, i.e.,

$${\mathcal H} = \sum_{i=1}^n {\hbar}_i$$

It is convenient to assume that each \hbar_i is a Hamiltonian function whose flow can be explicitly integrated. Then, for a small timestep $0 < \tau \ll 1$, the flow $\phi_x^{\mathscr{H}}(\tau)$ of \mathscr{H} can be approximated to first order in τ by the map

$$\phi_x^{\mathscr{H}}(\tau) = \left(\bigcirc_{i=1}^n \exp\{\tau X_{\hbar_i}\}\right)(x) + \mathfrak{O}(\tau),$$

where $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \psi_i := \psi_1 \circ \psi_2 \circ \cdots \circ \psi_n$, and to second order by

$$\phi_x^{\mathscr{H}}(\tau) = \left(\bigcirc_{i=1}^{n-1} \exp\left\{\frac{\tau}{2} X_{\hbar_i}\right\} \circ \exp\{\tau X_{\hbar_n}\} \circ \bigcirc_{i=n-1}^1 \exp\left\{\frac{\tau}{2} X_{\hbar_i}\right\}\right)(x) + \mathfrak{O}(\tau^2).$$

The modified Hamiltonian of the numerical integrator is the Hamiltonian function that generates the integrator, that is, the Hamiltonian of the approximate flow (see e.g. [14] for more details).

As previously mentioned, the 1-dimensional damped harmonic oscillator belongs to the quadratic contact algebra $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p^2, q^2, q \ p, s, 1\}$ presented in the previous section. Indeed, its Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p^2}{2m} + k\frac{q^2}{2} + \gamma s. \tag{23}$$

In the rest of this section we will focus on the six possible 1st order integrators for the Hamiltonian (23), that is,

$$S_{TVC}^{\tau}(x) = \exp\{\tau X_{p^{2}/2}\} \exp\{\tau X_{q^{2}/2}\} \exp\{\tau X_{\gamma s}\}$$

$$S_{TCV}^{\tau}(x) = \exp\{\tau X_{p^{2}/2}\} \exp\{\tau X_{\gamma s}\} \exp\{\tau X_{q^{2}/2}\}$$

$$S_{VCT}^{\tau}(x) = \exp\{\tau X_{q^{2}/2}\} \exp\{\tau X_{\gamma s}\} \exp\{\tau X_{p^{2}/2}\}$$

$$S_{VTC}^{\tau}(x) = \exp\{\tau X_{q^{2}/2}\} \exp\{\tau X_{p^{2}/2}\} \exp\{\tau X_{\gamma s}\}$$

$$S_{CTV}^{\tau}(x) = \exp\{\tau X_{\gamma s}\} \exp\{\tau X_{p^{2}/2}\} \exp\{\tau X_{q^{2}/2}\}$$

$$S_{CVT}^{\tau}(x) = \exp\{\tau X_{\gamma s}\} \exp\{\tau X_{q^{2}/2}\} \exp\{\tau X_{p^{2}/2}\},$$
(24)

given by all the possible permutations of the three terms that form the Hamiltonian: the kinetic energy, the quadratic potential and the dissipation term. One can immediately check that their modified Hamiltonians must have the form

$$\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{mod}}(q, p, s; \tau) = a(\tau)q^2 + b(\tau)p^2 + c(\tau)qp + d(\tau)s,$$

and equation (17) implies that $d(\tau) = \gamma$. The remaining three parameters $a(\tau)$, $b(\tau)$ and $c(\tau)$ can be found from (21). They are plotted in Figure 6 as functions of the timestep τ .

In order to provide a quantitative comparison of the different modified Hamiltonians, we exploit the natural bilinear form induced on a Lie algebra by the *Killing form* [19]:

$$b: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$b(X, Y) := \operatorname{Tr}(ad_X \circ ad_Y),$$

where Tr is the trace operator and ad_X is the adjoint representation of the contact quadratic algebra given in Appendix A. Since b(X, Y) in general fails to be positivedefinite, we use $b(X, Y)^2$ as a (possibly degenerate) pseudo-distance on the space of contact Hamiltonian functions of the form (16). One can think of it as an analogue of the trace distance in quantum information theory and therefore we also refer to it as the trace distance with a slight abuse of terminology. In Figure 7 we plot the trace distance



Figure 6: Plot of the parameters expressing the six possible modified Hamiltonians corresponding to the integrators in (24). The rows are $a(\tau)$, $b(\tau)$ and $c(\tau)$, respectively, while along the columns we vary the parameter $\gamma \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 2, 4\}$.

between the original Hamiltonian and the modified Hamiltonian as a function of the time step τ . Plotting the parameters and the distance from the original Hamiltonian already provides a visual way to select better-performing integrators: in almost all cases the integrator $S_{TCV}^{\tau}(x)$ has the least distance from the original Hamiltonian (23), and as such it is expected to perform better. At the same time, we can observe that for small values of γ , $S_{CVT}^{\tau}(x)$ could become a slightly better choice. Of course, at this stage, this is still a heuristic, but already hints at the potential usefulness of the method in the analysis of the performance of certain numerical integrators.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented a remarkably simple method, the flow method, to compute closed-form expressions for the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula for Lie algebras that are finite-dimensional subalgebras of the Lie algebra of vector fields on an appropriate manifold. In particular, we have focused on Lie algebras that can be expressed as algebras of contact Hamiltonian functions endowed with the Jacobi bracket. Our original motivation for this investigation was to improve the error analysis of contact splitting numerical integrators [14, 48], which we presented in the last section for the special example of the damped harmonic oscillator. Having explicit modified Hamiltonians allows fine estimates on the numerical errors and a precise a priori comparison of the performance of different numerical algorithms.



Figure 7: Plot of the trace distance between the original Hamiltonian and the six possible modified Hamiltonians corresponding to the integrators in (24). Along the columns we vary the parameter $\gamma \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 2, 4\}$.

Even though the flow method in its current form has only limited applicability (due to the fact that one needs to work with algebras of vector fields whose flows are integrable by quadratures), there are several possibilities for future research. We believe that the examples presented in the second part of the paper showcase its promises also in other contexts, for example it allowed us to recover in a remarkably simple way the result from [12] and extend it to a larger class of algebras. An adaptation of the techniques presented here to other Lie algebras is currently under investigation and we expect to present the results in a following publication.

The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula is so pervasive in mathematics and physics [5, 26, 36, 39 that it would not be surprising if more applications will emerge from different fields. For instance, one could use the flow method in the context of the Kepler problem, after rewriting it as a harmonic oscillator [41], or for the numerical integration of timedependent contact Hamiltonians. The latter should appear in a future work in the context of the numerical error analysis in the integration of contact Hamiltonians with timedependent forcing and driving. Moreover, squeezed states in quantum optics are quantum states constructed using the unitary representation of the symplectic group elements acting on the vacuum state of the quantum harmonic oscillator [8, 22]. Our results could thus be used to enhance the analysis and the implementation of quantum gates, which can be considered as the product of two unitary symplectic operators [8, 22]. They could also be extended to explore quantum gates in the context of polymer quantum mechanics once adapted to the polymer representation of the symplectic group [15, 20, 21]. Moreover, the analysis and use of symplectic and contact integrators is a very active and prolific field e.g. in mechanics [3, 14, 17, 25, 47, 48], general relativity [43, 44] and plasma physics [34, 35], and thus we consider that our results can be helpful in these contexts as well.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

FZ would like to thank the IIMAS-UNAM for the kind hospitality during the preparation of this work. This visit was partially supported by NDNS+ project 2022.005. MS and FZ's research is supported by the NWO project 613.009.10. The work of AB was partially supported by DGAPA-UNAM, program PAPIIT, Grant No. IA-102823.

A MATRIX REPRESENTATIONS

An alternative (and equivalent) approach to compute the Baker-Campbell-Haussdorf formula by the flow method is to consider the matrix representation of the Lie algebra. In this Appendix we treat the examples in Section 3 from this point of view, giving particular attention to the adjoint representation.

If we start from a Hamiltonian (either symplectic or contact) representation of a finite dimensional algebra \mathfrak{g} , with generators the Hamiltonian functions $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$, we can represent a general element of \mathfrak{g} as the vector

$$(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n) \sim \sum_i^n \lambda_i f_i.$$

Exploiting the corresponding (Poisson or Jacobi) bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, we can associate to each element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ the operator

$$ad_a := \{a, \cdot\},\$$

which is called the *adjoint operator corresponding to a*. Notice that ad_a is a linear operator from \mathfrak{g} to itself and therefore (for finite-dimensional algebras) it provides a way to associate to every element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ a matrix (once a basis of \mathfrak{g} has been fixed). The adjoint operator is always a morphism of Lie algebras, and thus provides a *matrix representation* of \mathfrak{g} . This representation is particularly useful when \mathfrak{g} is centerless, as shown by the following proposition [19].

PROPOSITION A.1. The adjoint representation is faithful, meaning that it is an injective morphism, if and only if the algebra is centerless.

If the algebra has a non-trivial center, then the adjoint representation is not faithful, since the center is mapped to the zero operator. This is the case of the Heisenberg algebra in the symplectic representation, for which the center is the one-dimensional subspace generated by the Hamiltonian function $\mathcal{H} = 1$. On the contrary, the adjoint representation of the same algebra as a subalgebra of contact Hamiltonian functions is faithful.

A.1 MATRIX REPRESENTATION OF THE CHA

There is a representation of the contact Heisenberg algebra [12] realised in the space of the real 4×4 matrices, provided by the following identifications:

Indeed, the commutators of these matrices fulfill the contact Heisenberg relations

$$[q, p] = 1, \quad [1, s] = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad [p, s] = 1,$$
 (25)

where [a, b] := ab - ba and all the remaining commutators vanish.

Another representation is the *adjoint representation*, which can be found as described in the previous section by exploiting the Jacobi bracket. It leads to the new form of the commutation relations (25)

$$\{(z, a, b, c), \ (\bar{z}, \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})\}_{\eta} = (z_1, a_1, b_1, c_1),$$
(26)

where [12]

$$z_1 = +\bar{c}z - c\bar{z} + \bar{a}b - \bar{b}a,$$

$$a_1 = -\bar{a}c + a\bar{c},$$

$$b_1 = 0,$$

$$c_1 = 0.$$

We can use this to extract the matrix representation of the adjoint since

$$M_f \cdot (\bar{z}, \bar{a}, b, \bar{c}) = (z_1, a_1, 0, 0)$$

that is,

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} -c & b & -a & z \\ 0 & -c & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (27)

For the sake of simplicity we present only the computations using the first representation, but the results are equivalent if one uses the representation (27).

For a contact Hamiltonian in the CHA algebra,

$$f = aq + bp + cs + z1,$$

the matrix exponential e^f is explicitly computed as

$$e^{f} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -b & \frac{-abe^{c} + abc + ab + ce^{c}z - cz}{c^{2}} \\ 0 & e^{-c} & \frac{ae^{-c}(e^{c} - 1)}{c} & \frac{a^{2}e^{-c}(e^{c} - 1)^{2}}{2c^{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{a(e^{c} - 1)}{c} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{c} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We do not need to explicitly compute the matrix logarithm of the product of two such exponentials and instead we can work directly with the group elements: since the aforementioned matrix logarithm is again an element of the algebra, the coefficients α, β, γ and ζ in

$$\boldsymbol{\ell} = \alpha q + \beta p + \gamma s + \zeta 1$$

can be identified by equating term by term, immediately leading to (9).

A.2 MATRIX REPRESENTATION OF QCA

One can in principle repeat the steps presented above to find a representation of the Quadratic Contact Algebra (see Section 3.4). The commutator between two general elements of the algebra (26) can be written in the form

$$\{(z, a, b, c, d), (\bar{z}, \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d})\}_n = (z_1, a_1, b_1, c_1, 0),$$

where

$$z_1 = +\bar{d}z - d\bar{z},$$

$$a_1 = -\bar{a}d + a\bar{d} - 2\bar{a}c + 2a\bar{c},$$

$$b_1 = \bar{b}d - b\bar{d} + 2\bar{b}c - 2b\bar{c},$$

$$c_1 = -4\bar{a}b + 4a\bar{b}$$

$$d_1 = 0.$$

In this case, the matrix representation of the adjoint is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -d & 0 & 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & -2c - d & 0 & 2a & a \\ 0 & 0 & 2c + d & -2b & -b \\ 0 & -4b & 4a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the generators of the algebra are

In this case, however, it is much easier to apply the flow method: the computation of the matrix exponential of a 5×5 matrix is much more cumbersome. One can already foresee how, with larger algebras, this difference will start getting larger and the flow method could become increasingly advantageous.

REFERENCES

- Rüdiger Achilles and Andrea Bonfiglioli. "The early proofs of the theorem of Campbell, Baker, Hausdorff, and Dynkin". Archive for History of Exact Sciences 66.3 (2012), pp. 295–358. DOI: 10.1007/s00407-012-0095-8.
- [2] Claude Albert. "Le théorème de réduction de Marsden-Weinstein en géométrie cosymplectique et de contact". Journal of Geometry and Physics 6.4 (1989), pp. 627–649. DOI: 10.1016/0393-0440(89)90029-6. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/0393-0440(89)90029-6.
- [3] Alexandre Anahory Simoes et al. "On the geometry of discrete contact mechanics". Journal of Nonlinear Science 31.3 (2021), pp. 1–30.
- [4] Vladimir Igorevich Arnol'd. Mathematical methods of classical mechanics. Vol. 60. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

- [5] Fabio Bagarello. "Our Way to the BCH Formula". Pseudo-Bosons and Their Coherent States. Springer International Publishing, 2022, pp. 71–88. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-94999-0_4.
- [6] Stefano Biagi and Andrea Bonfiglioli. "On the convergence of the Campbell–Baker– Hausdorff–Dynkin series in infinite-dimensional Banach-Lie algebras". *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 62.12 (Oct. 11, 2013), pp. 1591–1615. DOI: 10.1080/03081087. 2013.839674.
- [7] Charles P. Boyer. "Completely Integrable Contact Hamiltonian Systems and Toric Contact Structures on $S^2 \times S^3$ ". Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (2011). DOI: 10.3842/sigma.2011.058.
- [8] Samuel L Braunstein and Peter Van Loock. "Quantum information with continuous variables". *Reviews of modern physics* 77.2 (2005), p. 513.
- [9] Alessandro Bravetti. "Contact geometry and thermodynamics". International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics 16.supp01 (2019), p. 1940003. DOI: 10.1142/s0219887819400036.
- [10] Alessandro Bravetti. "Contact Hamiltonian Dynamics: The Concept and Its Use". Entropy 19.10 (2017), p. 535. DOI: 10.3390/e19100535.
- [11] Alessandro Bravetti, Hans Cruz, and Diego Tapias. "Contact Hamiltonian mechanics". Annals of Physics 376 (2017), pp. 17–39. DOI: 10.1016/j.aop.2016.11.003.
- [12] Alessandro Bravetti, Angel Garcia-Chung, and Diego Tapias. "Exact Baker–Campbell– Hausdorff formula for the contact Heisenberg algebra". Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 50.10 (2017), p. 105203. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8121/aa59dd.
- [13] Alessandro Bravetti, Connor Jackman, and David Sloan. "Scaling Symmetries, Contact Reduction and Poincaré's dream". arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.09911 (2022).
- [14] Alessandro Bravetti et al. "Numerical integration in Celestial Mechanics: a case for contact geometry". *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy* 132.1 (2020).
 DOI: 10.1007/s10569-019-9946-9.
- [15] Guillermo Chacón-Acosta and Angel Garcia-Chung. "The relation between the symplectic group Sp(4, R) and its Lie algebra: Applications to polymer quantum mechanics". *Physical Review D* 104.12 (2021), p. 126006.
- [16] Denis Donnelly and Edwin Rogers. "Symplectic integrators: An introduction". American Journal of Physics 73.10 (2005), pp. 938–945. DOI: 10.1119/1.2034523.
- [17] Oğul Esen, Cristina Sardón, and Marcin Zajac. "A Discrete Hamilton–Jacobi Theory for Contact Hamiltonian Dynamics". arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.05922 (2022).
- [18] A. Fasano. Analytical mechanics. An introduction. Oxford University Press, 2002. ISBN: 9780198508021.
- [19] William Fulton and Joe Harris. Representation Theory. A First Course (Graduate Texts in Mathematics / Readings in Mathematics). Springer, 1999, p. 551. ISBN: 9780387974958.
- [20] Angel Garcia-Chung. "Symplectic group in polymer quantum mechanics". *Physical Review D* 101.10 (2020), p. 106004.
- [21] Angel Garcia-Chung et al. "Propagation of quantum gravity-modified gravitational waves on a classical FLRW spacetime". *Physical Review D* 103.8 (2021), p. 084053.

- [22] Crispin Gardiner, Peter Zoller, and Peter Zoller. Quantum noise: a handbook of Markovian and non-Markovian quantum stochastic methods with applications to quantum optics. Springer Science & Business Media, 2004.
- [23] Jordi Gaset et al. "New contributions to the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian contact formalisms for dissipative mechanical systems and their symmetries". International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics 17.06 (2020), p. 2050090.
- [24] Katarzyna Grabowska and Janusz Grabowski. "A novel approach to contact Hamiltonians and contact Hamilton-Jacobi theory". *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04484* (2022).
- [25] Ernst Hairer, Gerhard Wanner, and Christian Lubich. Geometric numerical integration. Structure-Preserving Algorithms for Ordinary Differential Equations. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006. ISBN: 978-3-540-30663-4.
- [26] Brian Hall. Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Representations. Springer-Verlag GmbH, May 2015. ISBN: 3319134663.
- [27] A. A. Kirillov. "Local Lie Algebras". Russian Mathematical Surveys 31.4 (1976), pp. 55–75. DOI: 10.1070/rm1976v031n04abeh001556.
- [28] Benedict Leimkuhler and Sebastian Reich. *Simulating Hamiltonian dynamics*. 14. Cambridge university press, 2004.
- [29] Manuel de León and Manuel Lainz Valcázar. "Contact Hamiltonian systems". Journal of Mathematical Physics 60.10 (2019), p. 102902. DOI: 10.1063/1.5096475.
- [30] Qihuai Liu and Pedro J. Torres. "Orbital dynamics on invariant sets of contact Hamiltonian systems". Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - B (2021). DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2021297.
- [31] Marco Matone. "An algorithm for the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula". Journal of High Energy Physics 2015.5 (2015). DOI: 10.1007/jhep05(2015)113.
- [32] Marco Matone. "Classification of commutator algebras leading to the new type of closed Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formulas". Journal of Geometry and Physics 97 (2015), pp. 34–43. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomphys.2015.06.016.
- [33] Charles-Michel Marle P. Libermann. Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics. Springer Netherlands, Mar. 1987. 546 pp. ISBN: 9027724385.
- [34] Hong Qin and Xiaoyin Guan. "Variational symplectic integrator for long-time simulations of the guiding-center motion of charged particles in general magnetic fields". *Physical review letters* 100.3 (2008), p. 035006.
- [35] Hong Qin et al. "Canonical symplectic particle-in-cell method for long-term largescale simulations of the Vlasov–Maxwell equations". Nuclear Fusion 56.1 (2015), p. 014001.
- [36] J. J. Sakurai. Modern quantum mechanics 2. Edition. Addison Wesley, 2011. ISBN: 9780805382914.
- [37] Robert Skeel and David Hardy. "Practical Construction of Modified Hamiltonians". SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 23.4 (Jan. 2001), pp. 1172–1188. DOI: 10. 1137/s106482750138318x.
- [38] Hans Tilgner. "A class of solvable Lie groups and their relation to the canonical formalism". Annales de l'I.H.P. Physique théorique 13.2 (1970), pp. 103–127.

- [39] Mark E. Tuckerman. *Statistical mechanics. theory and molecular simulation*. Oxford University Press, 2010, p. 696. ISBN: 9780198525264.
- [40] Alexander Van-Brunt and Matt Visser. "Explicit Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff Expansions". *Mathematics* 6.8 (2018), p. 135. DOI: 10.3390/math6080135.
- [41] J.C. van der Meer. "The Kepler system as a reduced 4D harmonic oscillator". Journal of Geometry and Physics 92 (2015), pp. 181–193. ISSN: 0393-0440. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomphys.2015.02.016.
- [42] V. S. Varadarajan. *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and their representations*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice-Hall, 1974. ISBN: 9780135357323.
- [43] Ying Wang et al. "Construction of Explicit Symplectic Integrators in General Relativity. I. Schwarzschild Black Holes". The Astrophysical Journal 907.2 (2021), p. 66.
- [44] Ying Wang et al. "Construction of Explicit Symplectic Integrators in General Relativity. II. Reissner–Nordström Black Holes". The Astrophysical Journal 909.1 (2021), p. 22.
- [45] Stefan Weigert. "Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff relation for special unitary groups". *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 30.24 (Dec. 21, 1997), pp. 8739– 8749. DOI: 10.1088/0305-4470/30/24/032.
- [46] Haruo Yoshida. "Construction of higher order symplectic integrators". *Physics Letters A* 150.5-7 (1990), pp. 262–268. DOI: 10.1016/0375-9601(90)90092-3.
- [47] Haruo Yoshida. "Recent progress in the theory and application of symplectic integrators". Qualitative and Quantitative Behaviour of Planetary Systems (1993), pp. 27–43.
- [48] Federico Zadra, Alessandro Bravetti, and Marcello Seri. "Geometric Numerical Integration of Liénard Systems via a Contact Hamiltonian Approach". *Mathematics* 9.16 (2021), p. 1960. DOI: 10.3390/math9161960.