

# Axion dark matter from first-order phase transition, and very high energy photons from GRB 221009A

Shota Nakagawa,<sup>1,\*</sup> Fuminobu Takahashi,<sup>1,†</sup> Masaki Yamada,<sup>1,2,‡</sup> and Wen Yin<sup>1,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan*

<sup>2</sup>*FRIS, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan*

(Dated: November 1, 2022)

We study an axion-like particle (ALP) that experiences the first-order phase transition with respect to its mass or potential minimum. This can be realized if the ALP obtains a potential from non-perturbative effects of  $SU(N)$  gauge theory that is confined via the first-order phase transition, or if the ALP is trapped in a false vacuum at high temperatures until it starts to oscillate about the true minimum. The resulting ALP abundance is significantly enhanced compared to the standard misalignment mechanism, explaining dark matter in a broader parameter space that is accessible to experiments e.g. IAXO, ALPS-II, and DM-radio. Furthermore, the viable parameter space includes a region of the mass  $m_a \simeq 10^{-7} - 10^{-8}$  eV and the ALP-photon coupling  $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \simeq 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}$  that can explain the recent observation of very high energy photons from GRB221009A via axion-photon oscillations. The parameter region suggests that the FOPT can generate the gravitational wave that explains the NANOGrav hint. If the ALP in this region explains dark matter, then the ALP has likely experienced a first-order phase transition.

**Introduction.**— The Universe has experienced phase transitions in its thermal history as the temperature decreases by many orders of magnitude since the big bang. The physics of the phase transition can be universally understood by the behavior of the order parameter. For example, the second order phase transition (SOPT) is characterized by a critical exponent which specifies the temperature dependence of the order parameter. The QCD phase transition occurring at a temperature around 100MeV is of this type. The electroweak phase transition proceeds via the crossover in the Standard Model (SM), where the order parameter changes smoothly. On the contrary, the order parameter changes discontinuously in the first-order phase transition (FOPT), which proceeds via the nucleation of true-vacuum bubbles. This is realized in many models for physics beyond the SM. The dynamics of the thermal Universe drastically changes if one considers a different order of phase transition. For example, the FOPT results in the production of gravitational waves (GWs) from the bubble collisions and the subsequent stochastic dynamics of the plasma. The production of topological defects, associated with the spontaneous symmetry breaking, is also modified qualitatively.

The QCD axion [1–4] and axion-like particles (ALPs) have been extensively studied in the literature as candidates for dark matter (DM) [5–7], and their dynamics is strongly affected by the order of phase transitions. (See for review [8–14]) The QCD axion acquires a potential from the non-perturbative effects of QCD. It is temperature-dependent during the QCD phase transition because the QCD phase transition is the SOPT. While these axions are expected to have constant mass from, for example, gravitational instanton effects, they may also acquire a temperature-dependent effective potential arising from instanton effects of thermalized hidden  $SU(N)$  gauge sectors. Then, depending on the order parameter of the confinement phase transition of  $SU(N)$ , the ALP may have a temperature-dependent effective

mass during the phase transition. In Ref. [10], the authors considered the case in which the phase transition is the second order or a crossover, like the QCD. They derived the upper bound on the ALP abundance, which can be represented as a lower bound on the ALP decay constant to explain the DM density.

In this letter, we consider the case in which the ALP experiences the first-order phase transition. One of the examples is the first-order phase transition of  $SU(N)$  confinement. The phase transition proceeds via the nucleation of true-vacuum bubbles. As the bubble goes through, the ALP potential suddenly grows within a very short time scale, and the ALP field value does not change much during the phase transition. Another example is the so-called trapped misalignment mechanism [15–17], where the axion is trapped in a false vacuum at high temperature and suddenly starts oscillating around the true vacuum when the potential barrier disappears. In the FOPT case, the resulting ALP abundance is significantly enhanced compared with the SOPT [10] or the standard misalignment mechanism [5–7]. This is because, in the case of FOPT, it is possible for the ALP to start oscillating with a large amplitude after its mass becomes much larger than the Hubble parameter. Thus, the ALP produced in the FOPT can explain DM for a broader parameter space which is more accessible to experiments such as IAXO, ALPS-II, and DM-radio. We also discuss cosmological aspects of the dark sector that triggers the FOPT. In particular, we propose a possible solution to the cooling problem of dark glueballs.

Interestingly, the viable parameter space includes a region in which the ALP-photon conversion can explain the observations of very high energy photons from the extremely bright gamma-ray burst GRB 221009A by the Large High Altitude Air Shower Observatory (LHAASO) and Carpet-2. The GRB 221009A was detected by Fermi GBM and Swift [18, 19], and it was accompanied by  $O(1000)$  gamma-rays observed by LHAASO with energy up

to 18 TeV [20] and a photon-like airshower of 251 TeV by Carpet-2 [21]. Such very high energy photons could not have reached us from the reported redshift  $z \simeq 0.151$  [22–24] because of the efficient electron-positron pair creation within the extragalactic background radiation. If the observed very high energy gamma rays are not due to the Galactic source, this is a hint of the beyond SM physics. It was discussed that this apparent contradiction can be resolved if there exists an ALP with mass of order  $10^{-7-8}$  eV and the ALP-photon-photon coupling  $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$  of order  $10^{-11}$  GeV $^{-1}$  [25–27]. In this parameter region, the abundance of ALP produced by the ordinary misalignment mechanism is far below the observed DM abundance.<sup>1</sup> We will see that, if the ALP is produced from the FOPT, it can naturally explain DM in this parameter region suggested by GRB 221009A. See Refs. [37, 38] for other phenomenological implications.

We also discuss the formation of quasi-stable non-topological solitons called oscillons after the phase transition. The formation of oscillon requires an  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  density perturbations at the phase transition for the case of the SOPT, including the case for QCD axion. This is because the amplitude of ALP changes adiabatically and its perturbations cannot grow much during the SOPT. This is in contrast to the case of FOPT, where the amplitude of ALP oscillation is as large as its decay constant and the duration of the instability is long enough for its perturbations to grow exponentially after the phase transition. In other words, the scenario with the FOPT inevitably results in the formation of oscillons.

**ALPs from sudden change of potential.** — In this letter, we denote the ALP mass as  $m_a(T)$  and its present value as  $m_0$ . In the standard scenario, it is usually assumed that the ALP has a constant mass ( $m_a(T) = m_0$ ) from, e.g., a gravitational instanton effect or other explicit symmetry breaking. The ALP abundance in this case can be calculated as<sup>2</sup>

$$\frac{\rho_a^{(0)}}{s} \simeq \frac{45c^{3/2}m_0^{1/2}a_i^2}{4\pi^2g_{*s}^{(0)}M_{\text{Pl}}^{3/2}} \left( \frac{\pi^2g_*^{(0)}}{90} \right)^{3/4}, \quad (1)$$

where  $a_i$  is the initial ALP field value,  $g_*$  ( $g_{*s}$ ) is the effective relativistic degrees of freedom for energy (entropy) density, and  $M_{\text{Pl}} \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{18}$  GeV is the reduced Planck mass. The most natural value of  $a_i$  is of order the decay constant,  $f_a$ .

Here  $g_*^{(0)}$  and  $g_{*s}^{(0)}$  are evaluated at  $T = T_{\text{osc}}^{(0)}$ , where the oscillation temperature  $T_{\text{osc}}^{(0)}$  is determined by  $cH(T_{\text{osc}}^{(0)}) = m_0$  with  $c \simeq 3$  being a numerical constant. This gives

$$T_{\text{osc}}^{(0)} \simeq \left( \frac{m_0 M_{\text{Pl}}}{c} \sqrt{\frac{90}{\pi^2 g_*^{(0)}}} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2)$$

The ALP can have a Chern-Simons coupling to a hidden  $SU(N)$  gauge field, in which case it acquires a potential via the instanton effect. If the confinement phase transition of  $SU(N)$  is of the second order, the ALP has the temperature-dependent potential such as

$$m_a(T) = m_0 \left( \frac{T}{T_c} \right)^{-n/2}, \quad (3)$$

where  $T_c$  is a temperature at which the ALP mass becomes as large as the present value and  $n$  is a critical exponent of the phase transition. According to the dilute instanton gas approximation, the exponent is given by  $n = 11N/3 + N_f/3 - 4$  for  $SU(N)$  with  $N_f$  flavors, which is consistent with lattice calculations in several examples. We assume that the ALP mass becomes constant at  $T = T_c$  for simplicity, though this does not affect our conclusions. In this case, the ALP starts to oscillate at  $cH(T_{\text{osc}}^{(2)}) = m(T_{\text{osc}}^{(2)})$ . Subsequently, the ALP mass changes adiabatically,  $|\dot{m}/m^2| \simeq (n/4)H(T)/m(T) \ll 1$  for  $T \ll T_{\text{osc}}^{(2)}$ , and its number density per entropy density becomes almost constant. Its abundance at present is then given by

$$\frac{\rho_a^{(2)}}{s} \simeq \left( \frac{m_0}{m_a(T_{\text{osc}}^{(2)})} \right)^{1/2} \times \frac{\rho_a^{(0)}}{s} \Big|_{g_{*(s)}^{(0)} \rightarrow g_{*(s)}^{(2)}} \quad (4)$$

$$\simeq \frac{45a_i^2}{4\pi^2g_{*s}^{(2)}} \frac{m_0^{(n+2)/(n+4)}}{T_c^{n/(n+4)}} \left( \frac{c'}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2g_*^{(2)}}{90}} \right)^{(n+6)/(n+4)}, \quad (5)$$

where  $g_{*(s)}^{(2)} = g_{*(s)}(T_{\text{osc}}^{(2)})$ . For example, the QCD phase transition is the second order and its exponent is  $n \simeq 7.84$  with  $T_c \simeq 147$  MeV for the QCD axion, which is obtained by fitting the results of lattice simulations [39] up to higher temperature [40].

Now let us consider the case in which the ALP mass (or its potential minimum) changes instantaneously via the FOPT. For definiteness, we consider a simplified case with the sudden change from negligibly small axion mass to a constant mass  $m_0$ . In this case, the result is similar to the case with the constant mass but with independent parameters  $m_0$  and  $T_{\text{osc}}^{(1)}$ .

$$\frac{\rho_a^{(1)}}{s} \simeq \frac{45m_0^2 a_i^2}{4\pi^2g_{*s}^{(1)}(T_{\text{osc}}^{(1)})^3}, \quad (6)$$

where  $T_{\text{osc}}^{(1)}$  is now determined by the temperature at the FOPT. Here we have assumed  $cH(T_{\text{osc}}^{(1)}) < m_0$ . If this is not satisfied, the ALP does not start to oscillate at the FOPT. The

<sup>1</sup> The ALP abundance is enhanced by the anharmonic effect if the ALP initially sits near the hilltop by some dynamics [28–32]. However, this direction does not work since the axionic isocurvature perturbation is significantly enhanced [33, 34]. One exception is when the potential is very flat near the hilltop. In this case the ALP slow-roll solution is an attractor, which suppresses the isocurvature perturbations [35]. Another possibility is to make use of the clockwork mechanism to enhance the ALP coupling to photons [15, 36], while keeping its oscillation amplitude large.

<sup>2</sup> Here and in what follows, the upper indices (0), (1), (2) mean that the variables are for cases with the standard constant mass, FOPT and SOPT, respectively.




FIG. 1. Upper bounds on  $|g_{a\gamma\gamma}| = \alpha/(2\pi f_a)$  for the case with the constant mass (magenta), SOPT (cyan), and FOPT (green) to explain the DM abundance. The blue line represents the  $|g_{a\gamma\gamma}| - m_0$  relation for a typical QCD axion. The thin lines (cyan) represent the upper bound for a fixed  $n$  ( $= 1, 3, 5, 7, 9$  from bottom to top). The GRB221008A hint can be explained in the red shaded region [26, 27]. Gray, blue, red, and purple shaded regions show the existing bounds from Globular clusters, ADMX, CAPP, and HAYSTAC. Purple, blue, red, and green dotted lines denote future sensitivities by IAXO, DM-radio, ADMX, and MADMAX, respectively.

scenario in this case is similar to the case with the constant mass and the abundance is given by Eq. (1). Note that the numerator in Eq. (6) is determined by the potential height at the onset of oscillations, and it is independent of  $f_a$  in the case of the QCD axion, which enables the QCD axion near the lower end of the axion window to explain DM [15, 17].

We are interested in the case in which the ALP is DM. Then it should start to oscillate well before the matter-radiation equality. According to Ref. [41] (see also Ref. [42]), the lower bound on the oscillation temperature is

$$T_{\text{osc}}^{(i)} \gtrsim (1 + z_{\text{DM}}) T_0, \quad (7)$$

with  $z_{\text{DM}} \sim 10^6$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , where  $T_0$  ( $\simeq 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$  eV) is the temperature at present. This gives an upper bound on the ALP abundance for a given  $m_0$  and  $a_i$ . In the case of SOPT, the ALP mass should also be constant by that time,  $T_c \gtrsim (1 + z_{\text{DM}}) T_0$ , because the equation of state for DM should not largely deviate from 0 after the redshift of  $z_{\text{DM}}$  [41].

The observed DM density is given by  $\rho_{\text{DM}}/s \simeq 0.44$  eV. Figure 1 shows the upper bound on  $|g_{a\gamma\gamma}|$  below which the ALP can explain all DM for the cases with the constant mass (magenta line, Eq. (1)), SOPT (cyan, Eq. (5)), and FOPT (green line, Eq. (6)), where  $g_{a\gamma\gamma} = \alpha/(2\pi f_a)$  with  $\alpha = 1/137$  being the fine-structure constant. We take  $a_i = f_a$  and neglect the anharmonic effect for simplicity. The upper bound for the case with FOPT is calculated by using Eq. (7). The blue line represents the mass-decay-constant relation for the QCD axion, where  $m_{\text{QCD},a} \simeq 5.7 \mu\text{eV} (f_a/10^{12} \text{ GeV})^{-1}$  [43, 44].

We also plot the upper bounds for the case with SOPT with a fixed value of  $n = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9$  as the thin lines (cyan)

from bottom to top [10], where we used  $T_c \gtrsim (1 + z_{\text{DM}}) T_0$ . The cyan line corresponds to the case with  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which however is not consistent with the adiabatic condition. The region above the cyan line and below the green line is a new parameter space where the ALP can explain DM only in the case of FOPT.

The red shaded region is the favored region to explain the high energy photons from GRB 221009A from axion-photon conversion [26, 27]. Gray, blue, red, and purple shaded regions show the constraints from Globular clusters [45] (see also Refs [46–52]), ADMX [53], CAPP [54, 55], and HAYSTAC [56]. Purple, blue, red, and green dotted lines denote the future sensitivities by IAXO [57–60] (see also ALPS II reach [61]), DM-radio [62], ADMX [63], and MADMAX [64], respectively. More complete bounds can be found in Refs. [65, 66], from which we adopted typical bounds and reaches. We also note some of the astrophysics bounds, such as the polarization measurement of magnetic white dwarfs [67], is in mild tension with the GRB hint.

In the following two sections, we provide a couple of models that realize the sudden change of the ALP potential.

**Model with a trapped potential.** — We first consider a model in which the potential minimum of ALP changes via the FOPT. Suppose that the ALP potential receives two different contributions, one of which has a temperature dependence:

$$V(a) = V_0(a) + V_T(a, T), \quad (8)$$

where  $V_0$  and  $V_T$  represent the sine-Gordon functions with a constant or temperature-pendent potential height, respectively. The periodicity of both terms are expected to be of the order of  $2\pi f_a$  in a simple model.

Two possibilities have been studied in the literature, among others. The first case is that the ALP is initially trapped by  $V_T(a, T)$  that becomes weaker at lower temperatures and the potential becomes eventually dominated by the constant potential  $V_0$ . That is,  $V_T \gg V_0$  at high  $T$  whereas  $V_T \ll V_0$  at low  $T$ . Such a time-dependent potential can be realized by the Witten effect [68, 69] in the presence of (hidden) monopoles in the plasma [40, 70–72]. The second case is that the ALP is trapped by the constant potential  $V_0$  at high temperatures and then the potential becomes dominated by the temperature-dependent potential  $V_T$  at low temperatures. That is,  $V_T \ll V_0$  at high  $T$  whereas  $V_T \gg V_0$  at low  $T$ . This is the case, e.g., an ALP has a constant potential as well as a potential from non-perturbative effects of the gauge interactions. Such a possibility was considered in Refs. [15–17] in the context of QCD axion or some extension.

In both cases, one can consider the case in which the ALP is trapped at a false vacuum at high temperatures and then tunnels to the true vacuum at a certain temperature. Let us define the critical temperature as the temperature when the false vacuum becomes unstable. We also denote the inflection point of the potential at the critical temperature (or the

field value at the false vacuum just before the critical temperature) as the critical value  $a_*$ .

The tunneling is described by an  $O(4)$ -symmetric instanton  $a(r)$  obeying

$$\frac{d^2 a}{dr^2} + \frac{3}{r} \frac{da}{dr} = \frac{dV}{da}, \quad (9)$$

where  $r$  represents the radius in  $O(4)$  symmetric spacetime. The tunneling rate is determined by the Euclidean instanton action  $S_E$  such as  $P \propto e^{-S_E}$ , where

$$S_E = \int_0^\infty 2\pi^2 r^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{da}{dr} \right)^2 + V(a(r)) - V_{\text{FV}} \right), \quad (10)$$

where  $V_{\text{FV}}$  is the potential height at the false vacuum. If we rescale  $a$ ,  $V$ , and  $r$  by  $f_a$ ,  $m_0^2 f_a^2$ , and  $m_0^{-1}$ , respectively, the Euclidean instanton action scales such as  $S_E \propto f_a^2 / m_0^2$  ( $\gg 1$ ). This implies that the tunneling point must be extremely close to the false vacuum to have a reasonably small  $S_E$  or a sufficiently large tunneling rate. This is possible just before the critical temperature when the false vacuum becomes unstable. Therefore we can approximate the amplitude of the axion oscillation after the tunneling as  $a_*$ . Then the ALP starts to oscillate around the true vacuum after the tunneling. The resulting abundance of ALP is given by Eq. (6) with  $T_{\text{osc}}^{(1)}$  given by the temperature of the phase transition and  $a_i$  by  $a_*$ . We expect that  $a_* \sim f_a$  without fine-tuning. On the other hand, the isocurvature perturbation of the ALP tends to be suppressed in the trapped scenario [17, 70, 71, 73].

**Model with a first-order phase transition.** — Next, we provide a model in which the ALP obtains a potential via the FOPT in a gauge sector. Suppose that an ALP has a Chern-Simons coupling to the dark  $SU(N)$  gauge sector. We consider the potential from the non-perturbative effect of the dark  $SU(N)$  at IR. When the flavor number  $N_F$  for the  $SU(N)$  gauge theory is small enough, we expect that the deconfinement/confinement phase transition of  $SU(N)$  gauge interaction proceeds via the FOPT [74]. The ALP obtains the effective potential via the instanton effect, which becomes strong at the  $SU(N)$  confinement phase transition. This model thus realizes the scenario in which the ALP mass (or potential) experiences the FOPT (see e.g. [75]). As the ALP mass changes instantaneously via the FOPT, its field value does not change during the phase transition. Then we can use Eq. (6) for the ALP abundance given the relation between  $T$  and the temperature of the  $SU(N)$  sector,  $T_{\text{dark}}$ . This temperature depends on the energy density of dark gauge bosons produced in the UV theory,  $\rho_{\text{dark}}$ , via e.g. the inflaton coupling and/or higher dimensional couplings between the  $SU(N)$  and the SM sector. We have  $T_{\text{dark}} \sim \rho_{\text{dark}}^{1/4}$  due to the fast thermalization of the  $SU(N)$  gauge interaction.<sup>3</sup> Instead of specifying the UV setup for the production of the dark sector, we simply use the temperature ratio,

$\eta = T_{\text{dark}}/T|_{T=T_{\text{osc}}}$ , to parameterize its abundance. Then, we obtain the correct ALP abundance for DM, if

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma} \sim 8 \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1} c_\gamma \left( \frac{m_0}{10^{-8} \text{eV}} \right) \left( \frac{\eta}{0.05} \right)^6 \left( \frac{a_i}{f_a} \right)^4. \quad (11)$$

Here we used that the dark sector confines at  $T_{\text{dark}} \sim \Lambda \sim \sqrt{m_0 f_a}$  and we used Eq. (6) for the axion abundance. Here we recovered the factor  $c_\gamma$ , which was taken to be 1 in the previous analysis, as  $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \equiv c_\gamma \alpha / (2\pi f_a)$ .

**Cooling of the dark sector.** — In the second model, the dark sector glueballs remain to contribute to the abundance of DM, whose temperature remains hot and marginally relativistic unless a further entropy is released. This is well known in the strongly interacting dark matter scenario [76] (see also [77, 78]). The energy density of glueballs can be approximated as the confinement scale  $\Lambda^4$  at the confinement, and it approximately scales as matter (with a logarithmic correction) due to the cosmic expansion. The contribution of the glueball density may be comparable to or slightly larger than the ALP abundance depending on  $a_i$  and the  $N$  if there were no extra entropy release.

This problem, which also exists in the SOPT case, can be solved if  $f_a$  is small enough because the dark sector entropy is released to the ALP radiation via, e.g., two CP-even glueballs  $\rightarrow$  CP-odd glueball  $+ a$  (see the spectrum of the glueball Ref. [79]) followed by the CP-odd glueball  $\rightarrow a +$  CP-even glueball in the case of pure  $SU(N)$  theory. These reactions cool down the dark sector and suppress the glueball abundance. The interaction rate is  $\Gamma_{\text{cool}} \sim C_{\text{cool}} \frac{n_{\text{gb}}}{f_a^2}$  at the confinement according to the naive dimensional analysis with  $C_{\text{cool}}$  being the numerical factor representing the theoretical uncertainty, and  $n_{\text{gb}}$  the number density of the glueballs. If  $\Gamma_{\text{cool}} \gg H$  at the phase transition, the dark sector efficiently loses its energy until  $n_{\text{gb}}$  decreases to satisfy

$$\Gamma_{\text{cool}} \sim H \quad (12)$$

like the freeze out of WIMP. By requiring the final glueball abundance to be below the ALP abundance, we obtain  $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{GeV}^{-1} \frac{c_\gamma}{C_{\text{cool}}^{6/11} m_0^{1/11} (a_i/f_a)^{4/11}}$ . Interestingly, the lower bound is close to the regime that explains the very high energy photons from the GRB 221009A via the axion-photon oscillation and can be probed in future experiments for the solar axion and dark matter detection depending on the numerical factors. Even if  $C_{\text{cool}} \lesssim 1$  is not very large, we can have the allowed region by taking  $c_\gamma \lesssim 0.01$ . This mechanism predicts dark radiation of the ALP as a remnant of the cooling of dark sector. When the ALP initial misalignment angle is small, which is the case for the low scale inflation [80–82],  $\eta$  can be relatively large, inducing the sizable

<sup>3</sup> The axion radiation produced from the SM particles could produce the

$SU(N)$  gauge bosons. This contribution is not sizable unless the temperature is close to the decay constant, because the production of the dark gauge bosons in the high energy is either through the ALP decay, whose rate is suppressed by the small axion mass and boost factor, or scattering between/mediated by ALP whose rate is suppressed by  $1/f_a^4$ .



dark radiation that can be probed in the future. In this case, we have sizable dark sector energy for the phase transition leading to sufficient GWs from the FOPT.

Alternatively, we can introduce other particles/interactions to solve this problem. For instance, we can introduce dark quarks with dark photon couplings. It does not suppress the ALP mass much if the dark quark mass is not extremely small compared to  $\Lambda$ , but the dark pion can decay or annihilate into the dark photons to cool the dark sector. Detecting those light particles can also probe our scenario. The prediction of dark radiation and GWs is universal for the FOPT if  $T_{\text{dark}} \sim T$  before the phase transition. In the light quark scenario we can have the natural  $a_i \approx f_a$  while suppressing  $m_0 < \Lambda^2/f_a$ . The ALP abundance suggests  $T_{\text{osc}}^{(1)}$  around MeV for  $m_0 = 10^{-(7-8)} \text{ eV}$  and  $f_a = 10^{8-9} \text{ GeV}$ . The parameter region, therefore, can simultaneously explain the NANOGrav hint for the GWs (see e.g. Ref. [83]).

A similar cooling problem may arise in the first model with the trapping potential. We expect that it can also be resolved by a similar mechanism.

**Growth of perturbations and oscillon formation.** — Finally, we comment on the growth of perturbations for the ALPs and discuss the formation of oscillons using the linear perturbation theory.

For simplicity, we approximate the ALP potential by the polynomial potential at the onset of oscillation such as

$$V(a) = \Lambda^4 \left( 1 - \cos \frac{a}{f_a} \right) \quad (13)$$

$$\simeq \Lambda^4 \left( \frac{a^2}{2f_a^2} - \frac{a^4}{24f_a^4} + \frac{a^6}{720f_a^6} \right), \quad (14)$$

where  $m_0 \equiv \Lambda^2/f_a$ . We decompose the ALP as a homogeneous part and a perturbation from it:  $a = a(t) + \delta a(x, t)$ . Assuming that  $\delta a(x, t)$  is much smaller than the time-averaged value of  $a(t)$ , we can use the linear perturbation theory to calculate its growth rate  $\mu(k)$ , where  $\delta a(k, t) \propto e^{\mu(k)t}$  after the Fourier transformation. The result is given by

$$\mu(k) \simeq \frac{k}{2} \sqrt{\left( \frac{a}{2f_a} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{a^2}{12f_a^2} \right) - \frac{k^2}{m_0^2}}, \quad (15)$$

for  $k/m_0 \ll 1$  (see, e.g., Refs. [84, 85]). This means that the growth rate is  $\mathcal{O}(1)m_0$  for  $a/f_a = \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Then, if the amplitude of ALP decreases during the phase transition,  $\mu(k)$  soon becomes to be complex and the perturbations cannot grow. This is the case for the SOPT. For the case with a constant ALP mass, the amplitude decreases due to the cosmic expansion for the time scale of  $1/H$ . Since  $H_{\text{osc}} \sim m_0$ , there is no enough time for perturbations to grow by many orders of magnitude in this case. On the contrary, for the case of FOPT, the amplitude does not change much during the FOPT, and the time scale of growth,  $1/m_0$ , is much shorter

than the Hubble expansion rate,  $1/H$ . Therefore the perturbations exponentially grow after the onset of the oscillation of ALP. This results in the formation of oscillons, which are the quasi-stable non-topological solitons in real scalar field theories. This is a characteristic consequence of our scenario compared with the other two scenarios.

Below the green line and above the cyan line in Fig. 1, the ALP must be produced via our mechanism. If one detects an ALP in this parameter space, one can conclude that the oscillons form at the phase transition. The oscillons are quasi-stable but decay in the cosmological time scale after the formation.

**Discussion.** — In this letter, we have provided a new scenario for the production of coherent oscillation of ALP DM, motivated by the time-dependent ALP mass or potential minimum. Contrary to the standard scenario, in which the ALP mass and potential minimum are constant or experience a SOPT, we have considered the case with a FOPT (or a sudden change) in terms of the ALP mass or potential minimum. Possible models for realizing such FOPT have also been proposed. Our scenario provides a broader parameter space in which the ALP can explain all DM. Interestingly, the recently reported hint of ALP by gamma-ray burst from GRB 221009A can be DM only if it is produced by our mechanism.

Our scenario is testable because we predict that the ALP is DM, namely, the ALP exists in the present Universe. In particular, the DM-radio can search for the ALP DM in some parameter of interest, particularly in the parameter space that can explain the GRB hint. If it observes ALP DM in the parameter space between the cyan and green lines in Fig. 1, it must be produced by our mechanism.

GWs can be generated during the formation of oscillons because the density perturbations for the ALP become as large as  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . Unfortunately, the amplitude of GWs is too small to be observed by GW experiments in the near future. GWs are produced also from the bubble collisions and the stochastic dynamics of ambient plasma after the FOPT. The peak frequency of this GW spectrum is expected to be  $\mathcal{O}(1)H_{\text{form}}^{-1}$  with the redshift factor. The resulting peak frequency at present is too small to be detected by any observations in the parameters of interest. Note, however, that the GW from the FOPT of  $SU(N)$  can be sizable and explain the NANOGrav hint and be probed in the future, if the dark sector is cooled by the ALP production or other extended dark sectors.

If there are both the QCD axion and the ALP, the level crossing could take place during the QCD phase transition [86–90]. Then, the ALP produced before the QCD phase transition will be converted to the QCD axion with a heavier mass. Therefore, if this is combined by the FOPT or SOPT scenarios, the total abundance of the QCD axion and ALP can be further enhanced.

**Acknowledgments.** — The present work is supported by the Graduate Program on Physics for the Universe of To-

hoku University (S.N.), JST SPRING, Grant Number JP-MJSP2114 (S.N.), JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 20H01894 (F.T.) 20H05851 (F.T., W.Y., and M.Y.), 21K13910 (M.Y.), 21K20364 (W.Y.), 22K14029 (W.Y.), and 22H01215 (W.Y.). MY was supported by MEXT Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers.

\* [shota.nakagawa.r7@dc.tohoku.ac.jp](mailto:shota.nakagawa.r7@dc.tohoku.ac.jp)

† [fumi@tohoku.ac.jp](mailto:fumi@tohoku.ac.jp)

‡ [m.yamada@tohoku.ac.jp](mailto:m.yamada@tohoku.ac.jp)

§ [yin.wen.b3@tohoku.ac.jp](mailto:yin.wen.b3@tohoku.ac.jp)

- [1] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, *CP Conservation in the Presence of Instantons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** (1977) 1440.
- [2] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, *Constraints Imposed by CP Conservation in the Presence of Instantons*, *Phys. Rev. D* **16** (1977) 1791.
- [3] S. Weinberg, *A New Light Boson?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** (1978) 223.
- [4] F. Wilczek, *Problem of Strong P and T Invariance in the Presence of Instantons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** (1978) 279.
- [5] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise and F. Wilczek, *Cosmology of the Invisible Axion*, *Phys. Lett. B* **120** (1983) 127.
- [6] L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, *A Cosmological Bound on the Invisible Axion*, *Phys. Lett. B* **120** (1983) 133.
- [7] M. Dine and W. Fischler, *The Not So Harmless Axion*, *Phys. Lett. B* **120** (1983) 137.
- [8] J. Jaeckel and A. Ringwald, *The Low-Energy Frontier of Particle Physics*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **60** (2010) 405 [1002.0329].
- [9] A. Ringwald, *Exploring the Role of Axions and Other WISPs in the Dark Universe*, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **1** (2012) 116 [1210.5081].
- [10] P. Arias, D. Cadamuro, M. Goodsell, J. Jaeckel, J. Redondo and A. Ringwald, *WISPy Cold Dark Matter*, *JCAP* **06** (2012) 013 [1201.5902].
- [11] P. W. Graham, I. G. Irastorza, S. K. Lamoreaux, A. Lindner and K. A. van Bibber, *Experimental Searches for the Axion and Axion-Like Particles*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **65** (2015) 485 [1602.00039].
- [12] D. J. E. Marsh, *Axion Cosmology*, *Phys. Rept.* **643** (2016) 1 [1510.07633].
- [13] I. G. Irastorza and J. Redondo, *New experimental approaches in the search for axion-like particles*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **102** (2018) 89 [1801.08127].
- [14] L. Di Luzio, M. Giannotti, E. Nardi and L. Visinelli, *The landscape of QCD axion models*, *Phys. Rept.* **870** (2020) 1 [2003.01100].
- [15] T. Higaki, K. S. Jeong, N. Kitajima and F. Takahashi, *Quality of the Peccei-Quinn symmetry in the Aligned QCD Axion and Cosmological Implications*, *JHEP* **06** (2016) 150 [1603.02090].
- [16] L. Di Luzio, B. Gavela, P. Quilez and A. Ringwald, *Dark matter from an even lighter QCD axion: trapped misalignment*, *JCAP* **10** (2021) 001 [2102.01082].
- [17] K. S. Jeong, K. Matsukawa, S. Nakagawa and F. Takahashi, *Cosmological effects of Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking on QCD axion dark matter*, *JCAP* **03** (2022) 026 [2201.00681].
- [18] P. Veres et al., *GRB 221009A: Fermi GBM detection of an extraordinarily bright GRB*, *GCN Circular* **32636** (2022).
- [19] S. Dichiara et al., *Swift J1913.1+1946 a new bright hard X-ray and optical transient*, *GCN Circular* **32632** (2022).
- [20] Y. Huang et al., *LHAASO observed GRB 221009A with more than 5000 VHE photons up to around 18 TeV*, *GCN Circular* **32677** (2022).
- [21] D. Dhappuev et al., *Swift J1913.1+1946/GRB 221009A: detection of a 250-TeV photon-like air shower by Carpet-2*, *The Astronomer's Telegram* **15669** (2022).
- [22] A. de Ugarte Postigo et al., *GRB 221009A: Redshift from X-shooter/VLT*, *GCN Circular* **32648** (2022).
- [23] A. J. Castro-Tirado et al., *GRB 221009A: 10.4m GTC spectroscopic redshift confirmation*, *GCN Circular* **32686** (2022).
- [24] L. Izzo et al., *GRB 221009A: VLT spectroscopic detection of the host galaxy*, *GCN Circular* **32765** (2022).
- [25] G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli and F. Tavecchio, *Explanation of the very-high-energy emission from GRB221009A*, **2210.05659**.
- [26] A. Baktash, D. Horns and M. Meyer, *Interpretation of multi-TeV photons from GRB221009A*, **2210.07172**.
- [27] S. V. Troitsky, *Parameters of axion-like particles required to explain high-energy photons from GRB 221009A*, **2210.09250**.
- [28] R. Daido, F. Takahashi and W. Yin, *The ALP miracle: unified inflaton and dark matter*, *JCAP* **05** (2017) 044 [1702.03284].
- [29] F. Takahashi and W. Yin, *QCD axion on hilltop by a phase shift of  $\tilde{\theta}_{\text{eff}}$* , *JHEP* **10** (2019) 120 [1908.06071].
- [30] R. T. Co, E. Gonzalez and K. Harigaya, *Axion Misalignment Driven to the Hilltop*, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 163 [1812.11192].
- [31] F. Takahashi and W. Yin, *ALP inflation and Big Bang on Earth*, *JHEP* **07** (2019) 095 [1903.00462].
- [32] T. Kobayashi and L. Ubaldi, *Inflation Dark Matter*, *JHEP* **08** (2019) 147 [1907.00984].
- [33] D. H. Lyth, *Axions and inflation: Sitting in the vacuum*, *Phys. Rev. D* **45** (1992) 3394.
- [34] T. Kobayashi, R. Kurematsu and F. Takahashi, *Isocurvature Constraints and Anharmonic Effects on QCD Axion Dark Matter*, *JCAP* **09** (2013) 032 [1304.0922].
- [35] S. Nakagawa, F. Takahashi and W. Yin, *Stochastic Axion Dark Matter in Axion Landscape*, *JCAP* **05** (2020) 004 [2002.12195].
- [36] M. Farina, D. Pappadopulo, F. Rompineve and A. Tesi, *The photo-philic QCD axion*, *JHEP* **01** (2017) 095 [1611.09855].
- [37] H. Li and B.-Q. Ma, *Lorentz invariance violation induced threshold anomaly versus very-high energy cosmic photon emission from GRB 221009A*, **2210.06338**.
- [38] W. Lin and T. T. Yanagida, *Electroweak axion in light of GRB221009A*, **2210.08841**.
- [39] S. Borsanyi et al., *Calculation of the axion mass based on high-temperature lattice quantum chromodynamics*, *Nature* **539** (2016) 69 [1606.07494].
- [40] S. Nakagawa, F. Takahashi and M. Yamada, *Trapping Effect for QCD Axion Dark Matter*, *JCAP* **05** (2021) 062 [2012.13592].
- [41] A. Sarkar, S. Das and S. K. Sethi, *How Late can the Dark Matter form in our universe?*, *JCAP* **03** (2015) 004 [1410.7129].
- [42] S. Das and E. O. Nadler, *Constraints on the epoch of dark matter formation from Milky Way satellites*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 043517 [2010.01137].
- [43] G. Grilli di Cortona, E. Hardy, J. Pardo Vega and G. Villadoro, *The QCD axion, precisely*, *JHEP* **01** (2016) 034 [1511.02867].
- [44] M. Gorghetto and G. Villadoro, *Topological Susceptibility and QCD Axion Mass: QED and NNLO corrections*, *JHEP* **03** (2019) 033 [1812.01008].
- [45] M. J. Dolan, F. J. Hiskens and R. R. Volkas, *Advancing Globular Cluster Constraints on the Axion-Photon Coupling*, **2207.03102**.

- [46] G. G. Raffelt, *ASTROPHYSICAL AXION BOUNDS DIMINISHED BY SCREENING EFFECTS*, *Phys. Rev. D* **33** (1986) 897.
- [47] G. G. Raffelt and D. S. P. Dearborn, *Bounds on Hadronic Axions From Stellar Evolution*, *Phys. Rev. D* **36** (1987) 2211.
- [48] G. G. Raffelt, *Stars as laboratories for fundamental physics: The astrophysics of neutrinos, axions, and other weakly interacting particles*. 5, 1996.
- [49] A. Ayala, I. Domínguez, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi and O. Straniero, *Revisiting the bound on axion-photon coupling from Globular Clusters*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 191302 [1406.6053].
- [50] O. Straniero, A. Ayala, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi and I. Dominguez, *Axion-Photon Coupling: Astrophysical Constraints*, in *11th Patras Workshop on Axions, WIMPs and WISPs*, pp. 77–81, 2015, DOI.
- [51] M. Giannotti, I. Irastorza, J. Redondo and A. Ringwald, *Cool WISPs for stellar cooling excesses*, *JCAP* **05** (2016) 057 [1512.08108].
- [52] P. Carena, O. Straniero, B. Döbrich, M. Giannotti, G. Lucente and A. Mirizzi, *Constraints on the coupling with photons of heavy axion-like-particles from Globular Clusters*, *Phys. Lett. B* **809** (2020) 135709 [2004.08399].
- [53] ADMX collaboration, *Results from a high sensitivity search for cosmic axions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 2043 [astro-ph/9801286].
- [54] Y. K. Semertzidis et al., *Axion Dark Matter Research with IBS/CAPP*, 1910.11591.
- [55] S. Lee, S. Ahn, J. Choi, B. R. Ko and Y. K. Semertzidis, *Axion Dark Matter Search around 6.7  $\mu$ eV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 101802 [2001.05102].
- [56] B. M. Brubaker et al., *First results from a microwave cavity axion search at 24  $\mu$ eV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017) 061302 [1610.02580].
- [57] I. G. Irastorza et al., *Towards a new generation axion helioscope*, *JCAP* **06** (2011) 013 [1103.5334].
- [58] E. Armengaud et al., *Conceptual Design of the International Axion Observatory (IAXO)*, *JINST* **9** (2014) T05002 [1401.3233].
- [59] IAXO collaboration, *Physics potential of the International Axion Observatory (IAXO)*, *JCAP* **06** (2019) 047 [1904.09155].
- [60] IAXO collaboration, *Conceptual design of BabyIAXO, the intermediate stage towards the International Axion Observatory*, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 137 [2010.12076].
- [61] M. D. Ortiz et al., *Design of the ALPS II optical system*, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **35** (2022) 100968 [2009.14294].
- [62] DMRADIO collaboration, *DMRadio- $m^3$ : A Search for the QCD Axion Below 1  $\mu$ eV*, 2204.13781.
- [63] I. Stern, *ADMX Status*, *PoS ICHEP2016* (2016) 198 [1612.08296].
- [64] S. Beurthey et al., *MADMAX Status Report*, 2003.10894.
- [65] C. O'Hare, "cajohare/axionlimits: Axionlimits." <https://cajohare.github.io/AxionLimits/>, July, 2020. 10.5281/zenodo.3932430.
- [66] Y. K. Semertzidis and S. Youn, *Axion dark matter: How to see it?*, *Sci. Adv.* **8** (2022) abm9928 [2104.14831].
- [67] C. Dessert, D. Dunsy and B. R. Safdi, *Upper limit on the axion-photon coupling from magnetic white dwarf polarization*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 103034 [2203.04319].
- [68] E. Witten, *Dyons of Charge  $e\theta/2\pi$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **86** (1979) 283.
- [69] W. Fischler and J. Preskill, *DYON - AXION DYNAMICS*, *Phys. Lett. B* **125** (1983) 165.
- [70] M. Kawasaki, F. Takahashi and M. Yamada, *Suppressing the QCD Axion Abundance by Hidden Monopoles*, *Phys. Lett. B* **753** (2016) 677 [1511.05030].
- [71] Y. Nomura, S. Rajendran and F. Sanches, *Axion Isocurvature and Magnetic Monopoles*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 141803 [1511.06347].
- [72] R. Sato, F. Takahashi and M. Yamada, *Unified Origin of Axion and Monopole Dark Matter, and Solution to the Domain-wall Problem*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 043535 [1805.10533].
- [73] M. Kawasaki, F. Takahashi and M. Yamada, *Adiabatic suppression of the axion abundance and isocurvature due to coupling to hidden monopoles*, *JHEP* **01** (2018) 053 [1708.06047].
- [74] B. Lucini, M. Teper and U. Wenger, *Properties of the deconfining phase transition in SU(N) gauge theories*, *JHEP* **02** (2005) 033 [hep-lat/0502003].
- [75] C.-X. Cui, M. Kawaguchi, J.-Y. Li, S. Matsuzaki and A. Tomiya, *New aspect of chiral and axial breaking in QCD*, 2205.12479.
- [76] E. D. Carlson, M. E. Machacek and L. J. Hall, *Self-interacting dark matter*, *Astrophys. J.* **398** (1992) 43.
- [77] A. D. Dolgov, *ON CONCENTRATION OF RELICT THETA PARTICLES. (IN RUSSIAN)*, *Yad. Fiz.* **31** (1980) 1522.
- [78] A. D. Dolgov, *New Old Mechanism of Dark Matter Burning*, 1705.03689.
- [79] L. Forestell, D. E. Morrissey and K. Sigurdson, *Non-Abelian Dark Forces and the Relic Densities of Dark Glueballs*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 015032 [1605.08048].
- [80] P. W. Graham and A. Scherlis, *Stochastic axion scenario*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 035017 [1805.07362].
- [81] F. Takahashi, W. Yin and A. H. Guth, *QCD axion window and low-scale inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 015042 [1805.08763].
- [82] S.-Y. Ho, F. Takahashi and W. Yin, *Relaxing the Cosmological Moduli Problem by Low-scale Inflation*, *JHEP* **04** (2019) 149 [1901.01240].
- [83] Y. Nakai, M. Suzuki, F. Takahashi and M. Yamada, *Gravitational Waves and Dark Radiation from Dark Phase Transition: Connecting NANOGRAV Pulsar Timing Data and Hubble Tension*, *Phys. Lett. B* **816** (2021) 136238 [2009.09754].
- [84] A. Kusenko and M. E. Shaposhnikov, *Supersymmetric Q balls as dark matter*, *Phys. Lett. B* **418** (1998) 46 [hep-ph/9709492].
- [85] F. Doddato and J. McDonald, *Affleck-Dine Baryogenesis, Condensate Fragmentation and Gravitino Dark Matter in Gauge-Mediation with a Large Messenger Mass*, *JCAP* **06** (2011) 008 [1101.5328].
- [86] C. T. Hill and G. G. Ross, *Models and New Phenomenological Implications of a Class of Pseudogoldstone Bosons*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **311** (1988) 253.
- [87] N. Kitajima and F. Takahashi, *Resonant conversions of QCD axions into hidden axions and suppressed isocurvature perturbations*, *JCAP* **01** (2015) 032 [1411.2011].
- [88] R. Daido, N. Kitajima and F. Takahashi, *Domain Wall Formation from Level Crossing in the Axiverse*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 063512 [1505.07670].
- [89] R. Daido, N. Kitajima and F. Takahashi, *Level crossing between the QCD axion and an axionlike particle*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 075027 [1510.06675].
- [90] S.-Y. Ho, K. Saikawa and F. Takahashi, *Enhanced photon coupling of ALP dark matter adiabatically converted from the QCD axion*, *JCAP* **10** (2018) 042 [1806.09551].