

Flavour-changing neutral scalar interactions of the top quark

N. F. Castro¹ and K. Skovpen²

¹Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas (LIP),
Departamento de Física, Escola de Ciências, Universidade do Minho, 4710-057 Braga,
Portugal

²Ghent University, Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 33, 9000 Gent, Belgium

Abstract

A study of the top quark interactions via flavour-changing neutral current (FCNC) processes provides an intriguing connection between the heaviest elementary particle of the standard model (SM) of particle physics and the new scalar bosons that are predicted in several notable SM extensions. The production cross sections of the processes with top-scalar FCNC interactions can be significantly enhanced to the observable level at the CERN Large Hadron Collider. The present review summarizes the latest experimental results on the study of the top quark interactions with the Higgs boson via FCNC and describes several promising directions to look for new scalar particles.

1 Introduction

Conservation laws and flavour-symmetry structures represent the core element of any theoretical model that provides a description of interactions involving elementary particles. An experimental study of fundamental interactions is an excellent probe of higher-order symmetries, potentially leading to a construction of a more complete model of nature with resolving the remaining unanswered questions of the remarkably successful Standard Model (SM). The SM theory of weak interactions allows flavour-violating processes in the quark sector through the charged weak currents. Such flavour-changing transitions proceed via an exchange of a W boson between the two fermionic states. The weak eigenstates are treated as left-handed doublets, allowing transitions between the up- and down-type quarks, while the mass eigenstates are represented by a superposition of weak eigenstates connected via an unitary matrix. The rotation from one type of state to another is then expressed as the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix which governs the flavour-mixing processes through the flavour-changing charged weak transitions [1]. The processes, where a fermion changes its flavour via an exchange of a neutral boson, are therefore absent at tree level in the SM due to the unitarity of rotational matrices and are called flavour-changing neutral currents (FCNC) [2].

The effect of flavour mixing in the quark sector was first introduced using a three-quark model that only included the u, d, and s quarks [3]. Experimental studies of the $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays and neutral kaon mixing processes however indicated important difficulties in satisfying theoretical predictions for FCNC transitions [4]. The problem was theoretically solved in 1970s by introducing the fourth type of quark, the charm (c) quark, in order to restore the quark-lepton symmetry of the weak interaction. It was shown that an additional contribution associated with an exchange of a c quark at one-loop level almost completely cancels the respective contributions connected to the lighter quarks. This effect leads to a significant suppression of FCNC transitions at higher orders — the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism. The discovery of the c quark, just a few years later, confirmed these theoretical speculations [5]. The four-quark model was later extended to include five quark flavours, after the discovery of the bottom (b) quark [6]. It took a bit longer for the top (t) quark to be experimentally observed in 1995, completing the SM to contain six quark flavours [7, 8]. In a full representation of the quark sector, the tree-level transitions between different quark flavours are only allowed through the weak flavour-changing charged interaction, while the tree-level FCNC transitions are completely missing in the SM and are only possible as loop corrections.

The FCNC effects are predicted in the leptonic sector as lepton flavour violating transitions. However, the probability to observe such processes is expected at the level of $\simeq 10^{-54}$, in the case of the $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ decay, due to an extreme suppression from the neutrino mass difference to the power of four and is experimentally inaccessible [9–11]. The FCNC transitions in the decays of hadronic states with s, c, or b quarks are observed experimentally [12–17]. The studies of these processes are however affected by the large uncertainties in theoretical calculations of branching ratios of hadron decays, mainly driven by the non-perturbative long-distance strong interaction contributions.

The lifetime of the top quark ($\tau_t \simeq 5 \times 10^{-25}$ s), that is shorter than the typical formation time of the bound states ($\tau_{\text{had}} = 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \simeq 10^{-24}$ s), makes the processes with the top quark production an excellent probe to search for FCNC effects. The absence of hadronic activity leading to the formation of bound states involving top quarks makes the study of the FCNC

processes less affected by radiative QCD corrections. The FCNC effects can be probed in the top quark production processes, as well as in the decays of the top quarks. The amplitude of an FCNC transition is proportional to the squared mass of the quark involved in the loop diagram. A remarkable suppression of the top quark FCNC decays is explained by the fact that the only possible one-loop contributions are associated with the lighter quarks, leading to the branching fractions of $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow cX) \simeq 10^{-15} - 10^{-12}$ [18], where X represents either a gluon (g), photon (γ), Z or a Higgs boson (h). Theoretical predictions for the top quark FCNC effects are available with the next-to-leading order (NLO) precision [19, 20], as well as the approximate next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order calculations for some of these processes [21, 22].

The study of the flavour structure of the SM is one of the strongest probes of the beyond the SM (BSM) theories. A strong suppression of the top quark FCNC transitions is a perfect condition to search for various possible deviations from the SM predictions. Several experimental studies of the properties of FCNC decays of b hadrons have sparked a series of intriguing anomalies in the measured probabilities of the rare $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ FCNC transitions, as well as in the measurements of the ratios $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+\mu^+\mu^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+e^+e^-)$ [23], $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}e^+e^-)$ [24], as well as the branching fractions [25–27]. A common analysis of these results reveals a potential tension with respect to the SM [28–32]. Experimental searches for FCNC effects in the top quark sector represent therefore an important channel to probe the anomalous interactions of the third-generation quarks.

2 Experimental studies of the top quark FCNC processes

The top quark FCNC effects can be probed directly in the production of a single top quark, as well as in the top quark decays. Studies of the top quark FCNC decays are typically associated with similar sensitivities to the top quark FCNC couplings with an up and a charm quark. Experimental sensitivities to these couplings mainly differ in terms of the performance of various reconstruction methods used for identification of hadronic jets originating from quarks of different flavour. At hadron colliders, the single top quark FCNC production process is mostly sensitive to the top quark FCNC coupling with an up quark (or an up antiquark) due to an enhanced sensitivity due to the proton distribution function of the colliding protons (or antiprotons). The importance of these two production channels depends on a specific type of the top FCNC coupling that is probed in an experiment.

Before the LHC, the top FCNC couplings were studied in electron-positron collisions at LEP2 [33–36], in deep inelastic scattering processes at HERA [37–41], and in proton-antiproton collisions at Tevatron [42–45]. The electron-positron colliders allow for a study of the top- γ and top-Z couplings in the processes with the production of a single top quark, $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{c}(\bar{u})$. The study of deep inelastic scattering of electrons on protons has an enhanced sensitivity to the same type of couplings in the processes of $ep \rightarrow et + X$, as well as to the top-gluon FCNC couplings in the $ep \rightarrow etq(g) + X$ processes. The obtained experimental constraints were recently improved by almost one order of magnitude after the analysis of the LHC proton-proton collision data [46–55].

The top-Higgs FCNC transitions receive the largest suppression in the SM with respect to the other top quark FCNC processes because of the large mass of the Higgs boson. These transitions are among the rarest processes predicted in the SM in the quark sector, and therefore, the study of these processes is associated with a generally enhanced sensitivity to

potential new physics effects. The discovery of the Higgs boson at the LHC paved a way to a comprehensive study of the top-Higgs FCNC processes at the ATLAS and CMS experiments, which resulted in the first experimental constraints on these anomalous couplings [56–64]. The direct searches for the top-Higgs FCNC effects are performed in top quark decays, as well as in the associated production of single top quarks with a Higgs boson. Many of the performed studies were targeting the top quark FCNC decays in $t\bar{t}$ events. In recent studies of the 13 TeV data, the analysis of the single top quark associated production with a Higgs boson was also included [61–64].

2.1 $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

Search channels that are relevant to the top-Higgs FCNC couplings are usually defined based on the Higgs boson decay channels. The Higgs boson decays to pairs of photons provide a clean experimental environment to look for the top-Higgs FCNC effects. In addition to the two photons, these final states consist of up to one isolated lepton with additional hadronic jets. The analysis strategy is primarily based on the reconstruction of the Higgs boson diphotonic invariant mass. The contributions from various background processes are fitted in the mass sidebands in data, followed by its extrapolation to the signal region. In these fits, the background contributions that are associated with the SM Higgs boson production must be accounted for, representing one of the dominant resonant backgrounds in the search region. The uncertainty associated with the choice of the fit function, the statistical uncertainty in data, as well as the background contributions from the SM processes involving the Higgs boson, represent the main uncertainties in the study of these final states.

The searches for top-Higgs FCNC processes in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel were carried out by ATLAS [58] and CMS [62] in the single-lepton and hadronic final states, including a pair of photons. The integrated luminosity of recorded 13 TeV data corresponds to 36 fb^{-1} and 137 fb^{-1} , respectively. The identification of isolated photon objects and the common vertex of the photon pair is the core part of the analysis. The photon and the common vertex identification algorithms are based on the multivariate analysis (MVA) approaches. The obtained mass resolution allows to observe a resonance structure in the diphoton invariant mass spectra in simulated signal events corresponding to the Higgs boson decay. The contributing nonresonant background processes include the diphoton production with jets, as well as the top quark pair and the vector boson production processes with additional photons. The SM production of the Higgs boson represents the dominant resonant background. The nonresonant backgrounds are estimated directly from data by performing a fit to the reconstructed diphoton invariant mass spectrum. The fitted function represents the sum of a double-sided Crystal Ball function that corresponds to the signal prediction, the resonant background from the SM Higgs production, and a parameterized function describing the nonresonant background obtained in a data control region. The main uncertainties include the b tagging and jet energy corrections, as well as photon identification systematic uncertainties. The uncertainty in the limited number of events in data also represents an important limiting factor in the final sensitivity in these searches. An additional contribution to the total systematic uncertainty is associated with theoretical uncertainties in the prediction of the resonant background processes with the SM Higgs boson production. The unbinned likelihood fit to data using the described signal and background diphoton mass spectra is performed, and the constraints are set on the top quark FCNC decay branching fractions. The observed (expected) limits obtained by ATLAS are $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hc) < 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ (1.6×10^{-3}) and $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hu) < 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ (1.7×10^{-3}). The

observed (expected) constraints obtained in the CMS analysis are $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hc) < 7.3 \times 10^{-4}$ (5.1×10^{-4}) and $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hu) < 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (3.1×10^{-4}). An enhanced sensitivity obtained in the CMS analysis is explained by a larger data sample used in the study, as well as due to the inclusion of the top-Higgs FCNC process with an associated production of a single top quark and a Higgs boson. The latter has led to an improved sensitivity to $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hu)$.




Figure 1: Distributions with the invariant diphoton mass showing the results of the fit to data in the top-Higgs FCNC study of the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel at (left) ATLAS [58] and (right) CMS [62]. The ATLAS results are presented for hadronic final states, while the CMS results include a combination of all considered channels with events weighted by the associated significance of each event category.

2.2 $h \rightarrow WW/ZZ/\tau\tau$

Multilepton final states arise from the Higgs boson decays to a pair of W or Z bosons, as well as to τ leptons. Event categories in these studies are associated with the final states including two same-sign and three leptons. The same-sign lepton channel has the dominant background contributions originating from the processes with nonprompt and misidentified leptons, while the three-lepton channel is mainly affected by the presence of diboson events as well as nonprompt leptons. These backgrounds are estimated from data. The search channels involving one hadronic τ lepton identified in the Higgs boson decay receive dominant background contributions from the processes with misidentified τ lepton decays, as well as from events with the SM production of top quarks. In the case when the decays of both τ leptons result in hadronic final states, a significant background contribution is also associated with the Z boson decays to the pairs of τ leptons.

The searches for top-Higgs FCNC couplings in the multilepton channels were performed at ATLAS [57] and CMS [60] using 36 fb^{-1} of 13 TeV and 20 fb^{-1} of 8 TeV data, respectively. Events are split into the final states with two same-sign (2lSS) and three (3l) leptons. The dominant backgrounds are associated with the nonprompt and misidentified leptons, as well as with the leptons originating from photon conversions. The prompt-lepton backgrounds correspond to events with an associated production of top quark pairs and a W, a Z, or a

Higgs boson, with additional contributions arising from the processes with diboson production. The baseline selection criteria require the presence of two or three leptons and at least two jets, with one or two b-tagged jets. The prompt lepton identification plays an important role in these studies in suppressing the dominant nonprompt lepton backgrounds. The statistical and systematic uncertainties associated with the prediction of the backgrounds with nonprompt leptons are among of the dominant uncertainties in these searches. Two separate boosted decision tree (BDT) discriminants involving various reconstructed kinematic variables are trained in the 2 ℓ SS and 3 ℓ channels to further suppress various background. The BDT distributions that are presented in Fig. 2 are used in a binned maximum-likelihood fit to extract the constraints on the top-Higgs FCNC processes. The observed (expected) 95% CL limits on the top quark FCNC branching fractions in the multilepton final states $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hc) < 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ (1.5×10^{-3}) and $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hu) < 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ (1.5×10^{-3}) are obtained. Multilepton searches provide an excellent sensitivity to the top-Higgs FCNC couplings, however, the existing results use only a partial data set, and further updates on these studies are anticipated in the future.




Figure 2: Distributions of the BDT discriminants using the top-Higgs FCNC signal selection in multilepton search channels in the same-sign dilepton (left) and trilepton (right) final states [57]. The presented BDT discriminant was optimized for the case of the $t \rightarrow hc$ FCNC decays.

A dedicated study of the top-Higgs FCNC effects in final states with one or two hadronically decaying τ leptons was recently done by ATLAS with 139 fb^{-1} of 13 TeV data [64]. The analysis strategy is similar to the one used in the previous analysis [56], with an increased number of kinematic regions sensitive to the signal production, in order to account for the single-top production channel for top-Higgs FCNC. The dominant background in this search is associated with the presence of nonprompt τ leptons, estimated from data. Other backgrounds are predicted by simulation. The obtained constraints on the branching fractions are $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hc) < 9.4 \times 10^{-4}$ (4.8×10^{-4}) and $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hu) < 6.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (3.5×10^{-4}).

2.3 $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$

The Higgs boson decays to a pair of b quarks with the largest branching fraction of $\simeq 58\%$ [65]. A considerable amount of background events is associated with the $t\bar{t}$ production with additional hadronic jets. The analysis of this channel is systematically limited with the dominant contributions to the total uncertainty arising from the application of the heavy flavour jet identification techniques, as well as the modelling uncertainties relevant to the predictions of the top quark production processes with additional jets. One of the important handles in suppressing background processes is the kinematic event reconstruction involving top quarks and additional jets. The assignment of reconstructed final-state objects to the initial hard-process particles is done using the MVA methods.

The top FCNC search in the $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ channel is performed in the final states with one isolated lepton and additional jets. The total integrated luminosity used in the ATLAS analysis corresponds to 36 fb^{-1} of 13 TeV data [56]. The CMS results use 101 fb^{-1} of data [63], additionally combined with the previously published result from the analysis of 36 fb^{-1} data [61]. The ATLAS analysis focuses on the study of the event topology with at least four jets in the final state, mainly relevant to the top quark FCNC decays. The corresponding CMS analysis additionally includes the signal top quark production mode of signal events, and therefore, the requirement on the minimum number of reconstructed jets is set to a lower value. At least three b-tagged jets are required to be present in event. In both analyses the selected events are classified based on the number of jets and b-tagged jets. The dominant background contributions correspond to the top quark pair production in association with light-flavour jets in the event categories with two b-tagged jets, while the associated production of top quark pairs with heavy-flavour jets ($t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$, $t\bar{t}c\bar{c}$) represents the dominant background in the case of the higher number of b-tagged jets. Theoretical predictions for these processes are subject to relatively large uncertainties due to the renormalization and the factorization scale variations arising from the different energy scales of the top quark mass and the jet transverse momentum involved in the generation process, as well as the inclusion of heavy quark masses in the calculations [66]. The experimental uncertainties in the measurement of the production cross sections of these processes reach $\simeq 10\text{--}20\%$ [67–70]. The background processes are further suppressed by using the discriminants that exploit kinematic information of the selected reconstructed objects, defining the probability of an event to correspond to the signal process hypothesis. As shown in Fig. 3, in the ATLAS analysis this is done by constructing the likelihood (LH) discriminant, while the BDT approach is used in the case of the CMS search. The binned maximum-likelihood fits are performed to data based on the described discriminants to extract the limits on the FCNC contributions, resulting in the observed (expected) 95% CL constraints on the top quark FCNC branching fractions of $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hc) < 4.2 \times 10^{-3}$ (4.0×10^{-3}) and $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hu) < 5.2 \times 10^{-3}$ (4.9×10^{-3}). The resultant constraints in the CMS analysis are $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hc) < 9.4 \times 10^{-4}$ (8.6×10^{-4}) and $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hu) < 7.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (1.1×10^{-3}). The differences in the sensitivities in the published results by the two experiments are mainly due to the different size of the analyzed data sample, as well as to the inclusion of the single top quark production mode for the top-Higgs FCNC process in the case of the CMS analysis. A combination of the results obtained from the analyses of different Higgs boson decay channels, $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $h \rightarrow WW/ZZ$, $h \rightarrow \tau\tau$ and $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, was performed at ATLAS using 36 fb^{-1} of data, corresponding to the limits of $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hc) < 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ (8.3×10^{-4}) and $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow hu) < 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ (8.3×10^{-4}) [56]. The ATLAS constraints on the top-Higgs FCNC interactions are competitive to the ones obtained in the analysis of the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel at

CMS [62], which already uses all available recorded data at 13 TeV.




Figure 3: Distributions of the discriminants used in the analyses of the $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ channel at (left) ATLAS [56] and (right) CMS [63]. Selected events correspond to the final states with four reconstructed jets, three of which are identified as associated with heavy-flavour hadron decays. The pre-fit and post-fit results are shown for ATLAS and CMS, respectively.

2.4 Indirect searches

The top-Higgs FCNC interactions can be indirectly constrained from the studies of the SM processes that can potentially include FCNC loop-level contributions involving top quarks. The relevant processes include the hadron electric dipole moments [71, 72], $Z \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ decays [73] and $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing [74]. The indirect limits are competitive with the current direct constraints obtained at the LHC [75].

3 Global approach to the FCNC searches

A broad range of experimental searches for new physics phenomena have been using the κ -framework to parameterize the potential deviations from the SM predictions [76]. This framework defines a set of scaling factors for production cross sections and decay widths as a function of the new physics model parameters. While the κ -framework has proved to be very successful in theoretical interpretation of a large number of experimental results, it does not represent an ultimate approach to provide a complete systematic description of various new physics effects.

Given the absence of any strong evidence of new physics, the natural assumption is that new particles are much heavier than the SM particles, and its direct production at present is not achievable within the LHC energy range. The potentially induced new physics effects at the electroweak scale can be parameterized with a general effective field theory (EFT) ap-

proach that includes additional high energy dimension operators in the extended Lagrangian of the SM (SMEFT). The rich phenomenology of the SMEFT includes 59 independent operators, assuming baryon number conservation [77, 78]. A full categorization of EFT operators relevant to the top quark sector and its interplay with other SM processes is summarized in Refs. [79–81]. Several of these operators are relevant to the FCNC processes with top quarks. The Wilson coefficients (WCs) of the respective EFT operators can be constrained from the measured production cross sections, as well as from the study of the shapes of various kinematic variables. An EFT analysis of experimental observables represents a general approach to study potential deviations from the SM predictions that can be used to set constraints on various BSM models. The top quark FCNC EFT couplings comprise several dimension-6 operators, which are discussed in Ref. [80–83]. The FCNC EFT contributions can also interfere at higher orders [82–84].

Potential FCNC EFT effects with top quarks were probed in experimental studies of top-gluon and top-photon FCNC processes at the LHC [55, 85]. Additionally, several of the obtained experimental constraints on the FCNC top quark decay branching fractions and strength κ -modifiers, which were described in Section 2, can be directly translated into the corresponding limits on the relevant WCs. The first direct measurement of the constraints on the EFT WCs relevant to the top-Higgs FCNC interactions was recently performed in Ref. [64]. Re-interpretations of various experimental results that are sensitive to the top-Higgs EFT operators are also available [79, 83].

4 New scalar bosons

Several extensions of the SM can induce sizable FCNC effects that can be experimentally probed at the LHC. There are two possible ways to introduce top-Higgs FCNC in a BSM model. The first possibility is to increase the number of fermions, modifying the CKM matrix structure, escaping the GIM suppression. This approach is usually referred to as the minimal flavour violation (MFV). The second option is to involve new heavy particles in the loops of the higher order diagrams, increasing the probability of FCNC transitions. The study of top-Higgs FCNC effects appears to be rather promising in various simplest extensions of the SM, where the additional neutral scalar particles can potentially mix with the SM Higgs boson.

A dedicated estimate of the BSM-enhanced branching fractions of $t \rightarrow u(c)h$ decays shows the maximal values reaching $10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ in some of the BSM models [18, 86]. Such high event rates are being probed at the LHC using recorded data with the typical constraints set at the level of $\simeq 10^{-3}$. However, the maximal branching fractions are not necessarily associated with the most favorable parameter space of a BSM model and can potentially involve additional model-tuning.

The SM Higgs boson can have its additional partners in various BSM scenarios. The Two-Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM) is one of the simplest extensions of the SM that introduces two Higgs doublets with five scalar particles: h^0 , CP-odd A^0 , CP-even H^0 ($m_H > m_h$), and H^\pm , where h^0 is the lightest CP-even SM-like Higgs boson [87–89]. The 2HDM contains seven parameters, with only two of them relevant at leading order (LO), usually defined as $\cos(\beta - \alpha)$ and $\tan(\beta)$. The former parameter is related to the couplings of a scalar particle to vector bosons, while the latter represents the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the heavy and the SM Higgs bosons.

There are four (I, II, III, and IV) types of 2HDM. The 2HDM-I and 2HDM-II do not

include FCNC processes at tree level due to the requirement of flavour conservation via the presence of a Z_2 -symmetry. In these two types of 2HDM all fermions couple to the same Higgs boson. In the 2HDM-III without an imposed discrete symmetry the fermions can couple to both Higgs doublets, and the tree-level FCNC transitions involving the top-charm FCNC couplings with the Higgs boson can be significantly enhanced [90]. A combined fit of various results from the direct and indirect experimental searches favors the alignment limit $\cos(\beta - \alpha) \rightarrow 0$ with $\cos(\beta - \alpha) < 0.1 - 0.4$, with some additional dependence on $\tan(\beta)$, the mass of the scalar boson, as well as the type of the model [91–103]. The alignment scenario corresponds to the case, when h^0 and the SM Higgs boson share the same couplings.

In the aligned two-Higgs-doublet model (A2HDM) it is assumed that both Yukawa matrices are aligned in flavour space to avoid FCNC at tree level [94]. The enhanced one-loop-induced $t \rightarrow ch$ decays can occur in such models [95]. Special extensions of the 2HDM models can incorporate FCNC at tree level, such as the top quark 2HDM (T2HDM) [96]. In this model it is assumed that the top quark is the only elementary fermion that couples to the non-SM Higgs doublet to generate its large mass in a natural way, therefore allowing the top-Higgs FCNC due to a small $\cos(\beta - \alpha)$ admixture of the exotic neutral Higgs boson. The study of the $t \rightarrow ch$ decays represents a promising channel to probe the T2HDM and 2HDM-III at the LHC [97–100]. There are also the so-called BGL modifications of the 2HDM, where the tree-level top-Higgs FCNC transitions can be associated with either up- or down-type quarks, preserving the structure of the CKM matrix [101–103].

Additional Higgs doublets can naturally appear in the context of supersymmetric (SUSY) theories. The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) is the simplest extension of the SM representing the 2HDM-II with an additional supersymmetric particle content [104–106]. At tree level, this model contains two non-SM parameters: the mass of the CP-odd Higgs boson, m_A , and $\tan(\beta)$. An effective MSSM model with the lightest CP-even SM Higgs boson is referred to as hMSSM, where the properties of the SM Higgs boson define the remaining masses and couplings of the MSSM [89, 107, 108]. This approximation of MSSM is only completely valid at the moderate values of $\tan(\beta)$. The recent LHC experimental searches generally disfavor small values of m_A below $\simeq 600$ GeV within the hMSSM [91–103]. The predicted top-Higgs FCNC rates in a general MSSM can reach 10^{-7} [109], while in case of the R-parity violation (RPV) in a general SUSY model these transitions can be enhanced to 10^{-5} [110].

An extended MSSM with baryon (B) and lepton (L) numbers as local symmetries, broken near the electroweak scale, is known as BLMSSM [111–114]. This model can incorporate an enhancement of $t \rightarrow ch$ rates at one-loop [115]. The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (NMSSM) represents an extension of MSSM that naturally generates the mass parameter μ in the Higgs superpotential at the electroweak scale and resolves the so-called μ -problem [116, 117]. The new neutral scalars considered in the MSSM theories can potentially mix with the SM Higgs boson and therefore generate top-Higgs FCNC at tree level.

The addition of exotic vector-like quark to the CKM matrix allows to escape the GIM mechanism. The top-Higgs FCNC transitions can be enhanced to 10^{-5} in the Quark Singlet (QS) [118] and Alternative Left-Right Models (ALRM) [119]. Similar enhancements can be achieved in the Littlest Higgs Model with T-parity (LHT) induced by interactions with the new T-odd gauge bosons and fermions [120]. The presence of Kaluza-Klein fermion states in the Randall-Sundrum (RS) models with warped extra dimensions can produce sizable FCNC effects of the same order [121, 122].

The new light neutral scalar singlets (S) are present in various supersymmetric extensions of the SM, including NMSSM and the Composite Higgs Models (CHM) [123–127], with $t \rightarrow cS$ tree-level FCNC decays [128]. In such extensions these scalars are considered as Nambu-Goldstone bosons (pNGBs) with the Higgs boson, representing a bound state of a new strongly-interacting dynamics. The large mass of the top quark can be generated through the mixing of elementary fermions with a composite operator of a high scaling dimension [129]. In CHM, the SM elementary particles can be seen as composite states that mix with its heavy partners. This model provides a promising explanation of the mass hierarchy of the SM by introducing a new physics scale and the idea of compositeness of the SM particles. The $t \rightarrow cS$ decays, with $m_S < m_t - m_c$, are expected to strongly dominate over the $t \rightarrow ch$ transitions in CHM, providing a new window to constrain the new physics models via top quark FCNC searches with neutral light scalars. These processes are not yet studied experimentally. The predicted rates of the $t \rightarrow cS$ decays can be probed down to 10^{-5} with the existing LHC data [126, 127].

In addition to the top quark FCNC decays with a Higgs boson, one can also search for FCNC decays of the heavy neutral scalars (H) predicted in many BSM models. In a general 2HDM model, as well as in its extensions, such as T2HDM, the probability of the $H \rightarrow t\bar{c}$ decay is proportional to $\sin(\beta - \alpha)$, while the probabilities of the $t \rightarrow ch$ decays are proportional to $\cos(\beta - \alpha)$ [130]. This represents an important complementarity of the top FCNC searches in the top quark and heavy neutral scalar decays. At high energies, one of the dominant decays of the heavy scalars is the production of two top quarks, if the mass of the scalar particle exceeds the doubled mass of the top quark. However, in the heavy scalar mass range of 175 and 350 GeV, the $H \rightarrow t\bar{c}$ decays are associated with the largest branching fraction in the model parameter space, favored by the current experimental constraints [131]. A study of the $H \rightarrow t\bar{c}$ decays is a promising way to search for heavy neutral scalar particles at the LHC. The dominant production mode for heavy scalars is expected to be the gluon-gluon fusion process, however, in the context of the “flavourful” 2HDM (F2HDM), that removes the 2HDM-intrinsic Z_2 discrete symmetry and additionally modifies the structure of Yukawa matrices [132–134], the dominant channel is the single top associated production with a heavy neutral scalar ($pp \rightarrow tH \rightarrow tt\bar{c}$), resulting in the presence of two same-sign top quarks in the final state [135]. The searches for the heavy scalar FCNC decays with top quarks are also proposed within the Froggatt-Nelsen Singlet Model (FNSM), mostly relevant for the HL-LHC data analysis [136].

5 Future perspectives

The LHC has accumulated about 25 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at 7 and 8 TeV, as well as nearly 140 fb^{-1} of data at 13 TeV. The latest studies from the LHC on the top quark FCNC processes therefore focus on the analysis of the 13 TeV data. The next round of the data taking at the LHC is planned for 2022, where it is expected that the total accumulated statistics will be doubled, reaching 300 fb^{-1} by the end of the LHC project. The future experiments at the High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) are planned to bring almost an order of magnitude larger data set of 3 ab^{-1} due to a significant increase in the instantaneous luminosity of colliding proton beams up to $10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, representing a 5 to 7 times higher luminosity with respect to its nominal value. The projected sensitivities of the top quark FCNC searches, following the preliminary estimates of the expected performances of the

upgraded ATLAS and CMS detectors at the HL-LHC, indicate a significant improvement in the constraints on the branching fractions of the top quark FCNC decays after the analysis of the full LHC statistics reaching an order of magnitude [137].

There is a number of major international projects under consideration in the high-energy physics domain, defining an evolving strategy for this field for many years to come. The Large Hadron electron Collider (LHeC) is proposed as an extension of the LHC project, re-using the existing proton accelerator complex and combining it with a new electron accelerator for production of 60 GeV electron beams for the study of the deep inelastic scattering at high energies [138–141]. The planned experiments at the LHeC are mostly sensitive to the top- γ /Z FCNC couplings, and the projected limits are expected to be comparable to the corresponding sensitivities at the HL-LHC [142–144]. The study of the top-Higgs FCNC interactions appears to be less promising at the LHeC, the expected sensitivity of which has been already surpassed by the latest LHC results [145].

The electron-proton collisions are considered as part of the Future Circular Collider (FCC) project, involving several experiments targeting different types of high-energy collisions. The FCC-eh machine will collide 60 GeV electron with 50 TeV proton beams, produced by the FCC accelerator [146, 147]. Due to the increased energy of the proton beams, relatively similar sensitivities for the top-Higgs FCNC couplings are expected to the ones of the HL-LHC. Most of the improvements are anticipated for the top- γ /Z FCNC couplings [137, 148]. The planned experiments at the high precision electron-positron collider (FCC-ee) will also be very sensitive to the top- γ /Z FCNC couplings [149]. The dominant sensitivity to the top-Higgs FCNC processes at the FCC-ee is mainly associated with the top quark decay channels. The FCC-hh machine with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV will allow increased sensitivity to all relevant top quark FCNC couplings, probing the $t \rightarrow ch$ decay branching fractions down to $\simeq 10^{-5}$ [150–154]. The High Energy LHC (HE-LHC) project will adopt the FCC-hh technology to use proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 27$ TeV. The HE-LHC is viewed as capable to improve the HL-LHC limits on the top quark FCNC couplings by an order of magnitude [155, 156]. The linear electron-positron colliders, such as ILC and CLIC, are also associated with good prospects for the top quark FCNC studies [157–161]. However the projected ILC/CLIC sensitivities for the top-Higgs FCNC interactions are not expected to reach the sensitivity level of the corresponding studies at the HL-LHC.

A summary of the described experimental results and future projections is presented in Fig. 4. The analysis of the $\simeq 140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of the LHC 13 TeV data allows to reach the 95% confidence level limits of the order of 10^{-4} and 10^{-3} for the $t \rightarrow uh$ and $t \rightarrow ch$ decay branching fractions, respectively. These experimental limits are obtained from the analysis of the the Higgs boson decays to photon pairs, and therefore, the presented results are expected to be further improved when combined with the results obtained in the analysis of other Higgs boson’s decay modes. The illustrated sensitivities for future colliders are also obtained in the analyses of specific channels. The comparison with the ultimate sensitivities is expected to be more complete, once the LHC and the future projection results become available for all relevant decay channels of the Higgs boson. Based on the considered projections, the best expected sensitivity of $\simeq 10^{-5}$ is associated with the experiments at the FCC-hh.

The described sensitivities of the future experiments mainly correspond to the studies of the top-Higgs FCNC couplings, with only a few projections available for some of the top quark flavour-changing neutral scalar processes. While the searches for new scalars via top quark FCNC appear to be highly relevant for the HL-LHC, as well as its successors, these processes are not yet explored at full extent with the existing LHC data.




Figure 4: Summary of the best experimental constraints to date on the top-Higgs FCNC processes at the LHC [62, 64], including sensitivity projections for future colliders. The results are also compared to various BSM predictions that correspond to the maximal expected branching fractions in a given model. Adapted from Ref. [137].

6 Summary

The sensitivity of the LHC experiments has been defying the predictions of various BSM models for the maximal top quark FCNC couplings. The study of the top quark FCNC processes involving the neutral scalar bosons is an excellent probe of the new physics effects in a number of most promising BSM scenarios, including additional Higgs doublets and scalar singlets through partial compositeness. In some models the production of new scalars can be significantly enhanced by the flavour-changing neutral scalar couplings, and therefore, these studies represent a very promising direction to look for additional heavy and light partners of the discovered Higgs boson. Beyond the LHC, the upcoming experiments at the HL-LHC and FCC are expected to come with even better sensitivities to probe the top quark anomalous couplings with the new scalars. The analysis of the LHC and the future collider data will remain the only way to directly probe the top quark flavour-changing neutral scalar interactions in the next decades.

Acknowledgements

NC is supported by FCT - Fundação para a Ciências e a Tecnologia, Portugal, under project CERN/FIS-PAR/0032/2021.

References

- [1] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, “*CP violation in the renormalizable theory of weak interaction*”, Prog. Of Theor. Phys. 49 (1973) 652,
DOI: 10.1143/PTP.49.652.
- [2] S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, and L. Maiani, “*Weak interactions with lepton-hadron symmetry*”, Phys. Rev. D 2 (1970) 1285,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.2.1285.
- [3] N. Cabibbo, “*Unitary symmetry and leptonic decays*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1963) 531,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.531.
- [4] L. Maiani, “*The GIM Mechanism: origin, predictions and recent uses*”, Proc. Suppl. C 13-03-02 (2013) 3.
- [5] J. J. Aubert et al. (E598 Collaboration), “*Experimental observation of a heavy particle J* ”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33 (1974) 1404,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.33.1404.
- [6] S. W. Herb et al. (E288 Collaboration), “*Observation of a dimuon resonance at 9.5 GeV in 400 GeV proton-nucleus collisions*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39 (1977) 252,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.39.252.
- [7] F. Abe et al. (CDF Collaboration), “*Observation of top quark production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 2626,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.2626.
- [8] S. Abachi et al. (D0 Collaboration), “*Search for high mass top quark production in p anti- p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 2422,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.2422.
- [9] A. de Gouvêa and P. Vogel, “*Lepton flavor and number conservation, and physics beyond the standard model*”, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 71 (2013) 75,
DOI: 10.1016/j.ppnp.2013.03.006.
- [10] F. Celi and D. Nicolo, “*Lepton flavour violation experiments*”, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2014 (2014) 282915,
DOI: 10.1155/2014/282915.
- [11] L. Calibbi and G. Signorelli, “*Charged lepton flavour violation: an experimental and theoretical introduction*”, Riv. Nuovo Cimento 41 (2018) 71,
DOI: 10.1393/ncr/i2018-10144-0.
- [12] B. Aubert et al. (BaBar Collaboration), “*Measurements of the $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ branching fraction and photon spectrum from a sum of exclusive final states*”, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 052004,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.72.052004.
- [13] K. Nishimura et al. (Belle Collaboration), “*First measurement of inclusive $B \rightarrow X_s \eta$ decays*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 191803,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.191803.
- [14] D. Mohapatra et al. (Belle Collaboration), “*Observation of $b \rightarrow d \gamma$ and determination of V_{td}/V_{ts}* ”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 221601,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.221601.

- [15] S.-W. Lin et al. (Belle Collaboration), “*Observation of B decays to two kaons*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 181804, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.181804.
- [16] J. P. Lees et al. (BaBar Collaboration), “*Searches for rare or forbidden semileptonic charm decays*”, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 072006, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.84.072006.
- [17] J. R. Batley et al. (NA48/2 Collaboration), “*Precise measurement of the $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm e^+ e^-$ decay*”, Phys. Lett. B 677 (2009) 246, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2009.05.040.
- [18] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, “*Top flavour-changing neutral interactions: theoretical expectations and experimental detection*”, Acta Phys. Polon. B 35 (2004) 2695.
- [19] J. J. Zhang et al., “*Next-to-leading-order QCD Corrections to the top-quark decay via model-independent flavor-changing neutral-current couplings*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 072001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.072001.
- [20] C. Zhang and F. Maltoni, “*Top-quark decay into Higgs boson and a light quark at next-to-leading order in QCD*”, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 054005, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.054005.
- [21] M. Forsslund and N. Kidonakis, “*Soft-gluon corrections for single top quark production in association with electroweak bosons*”, arXiv: 1909.02619.
- [22] M. Guzzi and N. Kidonakis, “ *tZ' production at hadron colliders*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 467, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8028-1.
- [23] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), “*Search for lepton-universality violation in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 191801, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.191801.
- [24] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), “*Test of lepton universality with $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays*”, JHEP 08 (2017) 055, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP08(2017)055.
- [25] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), “*Differential branching fractions and isospin asymmetries of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays*”, JHEP 06 (2014) 133, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2014)133.
- [26] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), “*Measurements of the S-wave fraction in $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \mu^-$ decays and the $B^0 \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ differential branching fraction*”, JHEP 11 (2016) 047, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2016)047, Erratum: JHEP 04 (2017) 142.
- [27] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), “*Angular analysis and differential branching fraction of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$* ”, JHEP 09 (2015) 179, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP09(2015)179.
- [28] G. D’Amico, M. Nardecchia, P. Panci, et al., “*Flavour anomalies after the R_{K^*} measurement*”, JHEP 09 (2017) 010, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP09(2017)010.

- [29] B. Capdevila, A. Crivellin, S. Descotes-Genon, et al., “*Patterns of new physics in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions in the light of recent data*”, JHEP 01 (2018) 093, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2018)093.
- [30] A. Arbey et al., “*Hadronic and new physics contributions to $b \rightarrow s$ transitions*”, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 095027, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.98.095027.
- [31] T. J. Kim et al., “*Correlation between $R_{D^{(*)}}$ and top quark FCNC decays in leptoquark models*”, JHEP 07 (2019) 025, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2019)025.
- [32] S. Bansal, R. M. Capdevilla, and C. Kolda, “*On the Minimal Flavor Violating Leptoquark explanation of the $R_{D^{(*)}}$ anomaly*”, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 035047, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.035047.
- [33] P. Achard et al. (L3 Collaboration), “*Search for single top production at LEP*”, Phys. Lett. B 549 (2002) 290, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02933-7.
- [34] G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collaboration), “*Search for single top quark production at LEP2*”, Phys. Lett. B 521 (2001) 181, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01195-9.
- [35] R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collaboration), “*Search for single top production in e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189 \text{ GeV} - 202 \text{ GeV}$* ”, Phys. Lett. B 494 (2000) 33, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01189-8.
- [36] J. Abdallah et al. (DELPHI Collaboration), “*Search for single top production via FCNC at LEP at $\sqrt{s} = 189 \text{ GeV} - 208 \text{ GeV}$* ”, Phys. Lett. B 590 (2005) 21, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2004.03.051.
- [37] A. Aktas et al. (H1 Collaboration), “*Search for single top quark production in ep collisions at HERA*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 33 (2004) 9, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s2003-01588-2.
- [38] S. Chekanov et al. (ZEUS Collaboration), “*Search for single-top production in ep collisions at HERA*”, Phys. Lett. B 559 (2003) 153, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00333-2.
- [39] H. Abramowicz et al. (ZEUS Collaboration), “*Search for single-top production in ep collisions at HERA*”, Phys. Lett. B 708 (2012) 27, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2012.01.025.
- [40] F. D. Aaron et al. (H1 Collaboration), “*Search for single top quark production at HERA*”, Phys. Lett. B 678 (2009) 450, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2009.06.057.
- [41] A. Heister et al. (ALEPH Collaboration), “*Search for single top production in e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} up to 209 GeV* ”, Phys. Lett. B 543 (2002) 173, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02307-9.
- [42] V. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), “*Search for flavor changing neutral currents via quark-gluon couplings in single top quark production using 2.3 fb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collisions*”, Phys. Lett. B 693 (2010) 81, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2010.08.011.

- [43] V. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), “*Search for production of single top quarks via tqg and tqg flavor-changing-neutral-current couplings*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 191802, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.191802.
- [44] T. Aaltonen et al. (CDF Collaboration), “*Search for the flavor-changing neutral-current decay $t \rightarrow Zq$ in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 192002, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.192002.
- [45] T. Aaltonen et al. (CDF Collaboration), “*Search for top-quark production via flavor-changing neutral currents in $W+1$ jet events at CDF*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 151801, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.151801.
- [46] A. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*Search for associated production of a Z boson with a single top quark and for tZ flavour-changing interactions in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*”, JHEP 07 (2017) 003, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2017)003.
- [47] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*Search for anomalous Wtb couplings and flavour-changing neutral currents in t -channel single top quark production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV*”, JHEP 02 (2017) 028, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP02(2017)028.
- [48] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*Search for anomalous single top quark production in association with a photon in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*”, JHEP 04 (2016) 035, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2016)035.
- [49] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*Search for flavor changing neutral currents in top quark decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*”, Phys. Lett. B 718 (2013) 1252, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2012.12.045.
- [50] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*Search for flavor-changing neutral currents in top-quark decays $t \rightarrow Zq$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 171802, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.171802.
- [51] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “*Search for flavour-changing neutral current top-quark decays $t \rightarrow qZ$ in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*”, JHEP 07 (2018) 176, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2018)176.
- [52] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “*Search for single top-quark production via flavour changing neutral currents at 8 TeV with the ATLAS detector*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 55, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3876-4.
- [53] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “*Search for flavour-changing neutral current top-quark decays to qZ in pp collision data collected with the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 12, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3851-5.

- [54] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “A search for flavour changing neutral currents in top-quark decays in pp collision data collected with the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, Eur. Phys. J. C 09 (2012) 139, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP09(2012)139.
- [55] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “Search for flavour-changing neutral currents in processes with one top quark and a photon using 81 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment”, Phys. Lett. B 800 (2019) 135082, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2019.135082.
- [56] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “Search for top-quark decays $t \rightarrow Hq$ with 36 fb^{-1} of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, JHEP 05 (2019) 123, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP05(2019)123.
- [57] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “Search for flavor-changing neutral currents in top quark decays $t \rightarrow Hc$ and $t \rightarrow Hu$ in multilepton final states in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 032002, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.98.032002.
- [58] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “Search for top quark decay $t \rightarrow qH$, with $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions using the ATLAS detector”, JHEP 10 (2017) 129, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2017)129.
- [59] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “Search for flavour-changing neutral current top quark decays $t \rightarrow Hq$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, JHEP 12 (2015) 061, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP12(2015)061.
- [60] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “Search for top quark decays via Higgs-boson-mediated flavor-changing neutral currents in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV”, JHEP 02 (2017) 079, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP02(2017)079.
- [61] A. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “Search for the flavor-changing neutral current interactions of the top quark and the Higgs boson which decays into a pair of b quarks at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, JHEP 06 (2018) 102, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2018)102.
- [62] A. Tumasyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “Search for flavor-changing neutral current interactions of the top quark and Higgs boson in final states with two photons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 032001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.032001.
- [63] A. Tumasyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “Search for flavor-changing neutral current interactions of the top quark and the Higgs boson decaying to a bottom quark-antiquark pair at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, JHEP 02 (2022) 169, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP02(2022)169.
- [64] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “Search for flavour-changing neutral current interactions of the top quark and the Higgs boson in events with a pair of τ -leptons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, arXiv: 2208.11415.

- [65] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, “*Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 4. deciphering the nature of the Higgs sector*” (2016),
DOI: 10.23731/CYRM-2017-002.
- [66] G. Bevilacqua and M. Worek, “*On the ratio of $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ and $t\bar{t}jj$ cross sections at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, journal = JHEP, year = 2014, volume = 07, pages = 135, doi = 10.1007/JHEP07(2014)135*” ().
- [67] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “*Measurements of fiducial and differential cross-sections of $t\bar{t}$ production with additional heavy-flavour jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*”, JHEP 04 (2019) 046,
DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2019)046.
- [68] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*Measurement of the cross section for $t\bar{t}$ production with additional jets and b jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*”, JHEP 07 (2020) 125,
DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2020)125.
- [69] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*Measurement of the $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ production cross section in the all-jet final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*”, Phys. Lett. B 803 (2020) 135285,
DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135285.
- [70] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*First measurement of the cross section for top quark pair production with additional charm jets using dileptonic final states in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*”, Phys. Lett. B 820 (2021) 136565,
DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136565.
- [71] R. Harnik, J. Kopp, and J. Zupan, “*Flavor violating Higgs decays*”, JHEP 03 (2013) 026,
DOI: 10.1007/JHEP03(2013)026.
- [72] M. Gorbahn and U. Haisch, “*Searching for $t \rightarrow c(u)h$ dipole moments*”, JHEP 06 (2014) 033,
DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2014)033.
- [73] F. Larios, R. Martinez, and M. A. Perez, “*Constraints on top quark FCNC from electroweak precision measurements*”, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 057504,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.72.057504.
- [74] A. Fernandez et al., “*Higgs mediated double flavor violating top decays in effective theories*”, J. Phys. G 37 (2010) 085007,
DOI: 10.1088/0954-3899/37/8/085007.
- [75] H. Hesari, H. Khanpour, and M. Najafabadi, “*Direct and indirect searches for top-Higgs FCNC couplings*”, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 113012,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.113012.
- [76] A. David et al. (LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group), “*LHC HXSWG interim recommendations to explore the coupling structure of a Higgs-like particle*”, arXiv: 1209.0040.
- [77] W. Buchmuller and D. Wyler, “*Effective lagrangian analysis of new interactions and flavour conservation*”, Nucl. Phys. B 268 (1986) 621,
DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(86)90262-2.

- [78] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak, et al., “*Dimension-six terms in the Standard Model Lagrangian*”, JHEP 10 (2010) 085,
DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2010)085.
- [79] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra et al., “*Interpreting top-quark LHC measurements in the standard-model effective field theory*”, arXiv: 1802.07237.
- [80] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, “*A minimal set of top anomalous couplings*”, Nucl. Phys. B 812 (2009) 181,
DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.12.012.
- [81] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, “*A minimal set of top-Higgs anomalous couplings*”, Nucl. Phys. B 821 (2009) 215,
DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.06.022.
- [82] C. Degrande et al., “*Automatic computations at next-to-leading order in QCD for top-quark flavor-changing neutral processes*”, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 034024,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.034024.
- [83] G. Durieux, F. Maltoni, and C. Zhang, “*Global approach to top-quark flavor-changing interactions*”, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 074017,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.074017.
- [84] J. Erdmann et al. M. Barros N. F. Castro, “*Study of interference effects in the search for flavour-changing neutral current interactions involving the top quark and a photon or a Z boson at the LHC*”, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 135 (2020) 339,
DOI: 10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00346-3.
- [85] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*Search for new physics in top quark production in dilepton final states in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 886,
DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7387-y.
- [86] D. Bardhan et al., “*A detailed analysis of flavour-changing decays of top quarks as a probe of new physics at the LHC*”, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 015026,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.94.015026.
- [87] G. C. Branco et al., “*Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet-models*”, Phys. Rept. 516 (2012) 1,
DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2012.02.002.
- [88] J. F. Gunion and H. E. Haber, “*CP-conserving two-Higgs-doublet-model: The approach of the decoupling limit*”, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 075019,
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.67.075019.
- [89] L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa, and V. Riquer, “*Bounds to the Higgs sector masses in minimal supersymmetry from LHC data*”, Phys. Lett. B 724 (2013) 274,
DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2013.06.026.
- [90] W.-S. Hou, “*Tree level $t \rightarrow ch$ or $h \rightarrow t\bar{c}$ decays*”, Phys. Lett. B 296 (1992) 179,
DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(92)90823-M.
- [91] A. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), “*Combined measurement of Higgs boson couplings in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 421,
DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6909-y.

- [92] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “*Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay using up to 80 fb⁻¹ of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected with the ATLAS experiment*”, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 012002, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.012002.
- [93] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), “*Search for heavy resonances decaying into WW in $e\nu\mu\nu$ final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 24, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5491-4.
- [94] A. Pich and P. Tuzon, “*Yukawa alignment in the two-higgs-doublet model*”, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 091702, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.80.091702.
- [95] G. Abbas et al., “*Flavour-changing top decays in the aligned two-Higgs-doublet model*”, JHEP 06 (2015) 005, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2015)005.
- [96] A. K. Das and C. Kao, “*A two Higgs doublet model for the top quark*”, Phys. Lett. B 372 (1996) 106, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(96)00031-7.
- [97] C. Kao et al., “*Top decays with flavor changing neutral Higgs interactions at the LHC*”, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 225, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.032.
- [98] K.-F. Chen et al., “*When the Higgs meets the Top: Search for $t \rightarrow ch^0$ at the LHC*”, Phys. Lett. B 725 (2013) 378, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2013.07.060.
- [99] R. Jain and C. Kao, “*Charming top decays with flavor changing neutral Higgs boson and WW at hadron colliders*”, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 055036, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.055036.
- [100] I. Baum, G. Eilam, and S. Bar-Shalom, “*Scalar flavor changing neutral currents and rare top quark decays in a two Higgs doublet model ”for the top quark”*”, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 113008, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.77.113008.
- [101] G. Branco, W. Grimus, and L. Lavoura, “*Relating the scalar flavor changing neutral couplings to the CKM matrix*”, Phys. Lett. B 380 (1996) 119, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(96)00494-7.
- [102] F. Botella, G. Branco, and M. Rebelo, “*Minimal flavour violation and multi-Higgs models*”, Phys. Lett. B 687 (2010) 194, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2010.03.014.
- [103] F. Botella et al., “*Flavour-changing Higgs couplings in a class of two Higgs doublet models*”, Eur. Phys. J C 76 (2016) 161, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3993-0.
- [104] A. Djouadi, “*The anatomy of electroweak symmetry breaking Tome II: The Higgs bosons in the Minimal Supersymmetric Model*”, Phys. Rept. 459 (2008) 1, DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2007.10.005.

- [105] P. Fayet, “*Supersymmetry and weak, electromagnetic, and strong interactions*”, Phys. Lett. B 64 (1976) 159, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(76)90319-1.
- [106] P. Fayet, “*Spontaneously broken supersymmetric theories of weak, electromagnetic, and strong interactions*”, Phys. Lett. B 69 (1977) 489, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(77)90852-8.
- [107] A. Djouadi et al., “*The post-Higgs MSSM scenario: Habemus MSSM?*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2650, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2650-0.
- [108] A. Djouadi et al., “*Fully covering the MSSM Higgs sector at the LHC*”, JHEP 06 (2015) 168, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2015)168.
- [109] A. Dedes et al., “*Rare top-quark decays to Higgs boson in MSSM*”, JHEP 11 (2014) 137, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2014)137.
- [110] G. Eilam et al., “*Top-quark rare decay $t \rightarrow ch$ in R -parity-violating SUSY*”, Phys. Lett. B 510 (2001) 227, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00598-6.
- [111] P. F. Perez and M. B. Wise, “*Baryon and lepton number as local gauge symmetries*”, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 011901, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.82.011901, Erratum: Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 079901.
- [112] P. F. Perez and M. B. Wise, “*Low energy supersymmetry with baryon and lepton number gauged*”, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 055015, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.84.055015.
- [113] P. F. Perez and M. B. Wise, “*Breaking local baryon and lepton number at the TeV scale*”, JHEP 08 (2011) 068, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP08(2011)068.
- [114] J. M. Amond et al., “*Higgs boson decays, baryon number violation, and supersymmetry at the LHC*”, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 115024, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.85.115024.
- [115] T.-J. Gao et al., “*Top quark decay to a 125 GeV Higgs in BLMSSM*”, Chin. Phys. C 39 (2015) 073101, DOI: 10.1088/1674-1137/39/7/073101.
- [116] U. Ellwanger, C. Hugonie, and A. M. Teixeira, “*The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model*”, Phys. Rept. 496 (2010) 1, DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2010.07.001.
- [117] J. E. Kim and H. P. Nilles, “*The μ -problem and the strong CP-problem*”, Phys. Lett. B 138 (1984) 150, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(84)91890-2.
- [118] F. del Aguila, J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, and R. Miquel, “*Constraints on top couplings in models with exotic quarks*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 1628, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.1628.

- [119] R. Gaitan, O. Miranda, and L. Gabral-Rosetti, “*Rare top quark and Higgs boson decays in alternative left-right symmetric models*”, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 034018, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.72.034018.
- [120] B. Yang, N. Liu, and J. Han, “*Top quark flavour-changing neutral-current decay to a 125 GeV Higgs boson in the littlest Higgs model with T parity*”, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 034020, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.89.034020.
- [121] A. Azatov, M. Toharia, and L. Zhu, “*Higgs mediated flavor changing neutral currents in warped extra dimensions*”, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 035016, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.80.035016.
- [122] S. Casagrande et al., “*The custodial Randall-Sundrum model: from precision tests to Higgs physics*”, JHEP 09 (2010) 014, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP09(2010)014.
- [123] S. Dimopoulos and J. Preskill, “*Massless composites with massive constituents*”, Nucl. Phys. B 199 (1982) 206, DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(82)90345-5.
- [124] D. B. Kaplan and H. Georgi, “*SU(2) x U(1) breaking by vacuum misalignment*”, Phys. Lett. B 136 (1984) 183, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(84)91177-8.
- [125] D. B. Kaplan, H. Georgi, and S. Dimopoulos, “*Composite Higgs scalars*”, Phys. Lett. B 136 (1984) 187, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(84)91178-X.
- [126] G. Cacciapaglia et al., “*Light scalars in composite Higgs models*”, Front. Phys. 7 (2019) 22, DOI: 10.3389/fphy.2019.00022.
- [127] N. Castro et al., “*Novel flavour-changing neutral currents in the top quark sector*”, JHEP 10 (2020) 038, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2020)038.
- [128] S. Banerjee, M. Chala, and M. Spannowsky, “*Top quark FCNCs in extended Higgs sectors*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 683, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6150-0.
- [129] D. Kaplan, “*Flavor at SSC energies: A new mechanism for dynamically generated fermion masses*”, Nucl. Phys. B 365 (1991) 259, DOI: 10.1016/S0550-3213(05)80021-5.
- [130] B. Altunkaynak et al., “*Flavor changing heavy Higgs interactions at the LHC*”, Phys. Lett. B 751 (2015) 135, DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2015.10.024.
- [131] S. Gori et al., “*Heavy Higgs searches: Flavour matters*”, JHEP 01 (2018) 108, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2018)108.
- [132] S. Knapen and D. J. Robinson, “*Disentangling mass and mixing hierarchies*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 161803, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.161803.

- [133] W. Altmannshofer et al., “*The Flavor-locked Flavorful Two Higgs Doublet Model*”, JHEP 03 (2018) 129, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP03(2018)129.
- [134] W. Altmannshofer et al., “*Collider signatures of flavorful Higgs bosons*”, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 115032, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.94.115032.
- [135] W. Altmannshofer, B. Maddock, and D. Tuckler, “*Rare top decays as probes of flavorful Higgs bosons*”, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 015003, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.100.015003.
- [136] M. A. Arroyo-Urena, A. Fernandez-Tellez, and G. Tavares-Velasco, “*Flavor changing Flavon decay $\phi \rightarrow tc(\phi = H_F, A_F)$ at the high-luminosity large hadron collider*”, arXiv: 1906.07821.
- [137] A. Abada et al., “*FCC Physics Opportunities: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 1*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 474, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6904-3.
- [138] J. L. Abelleira Fernandez et al., “*A Large Hadron Electron Collider at CERN: Report on the physics and design concepts for machine and detector*”, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 39 (2012) 075001, DOI: 10.1088/0954-3899/39/7/075001.
- [139] O. Bruening and M. Klein, “*The Large Hadron Electron Collider*”, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 28 (2013) 1330011, DOI: 10.1142/S0217732313300115.
- [140] M. Klein, “*Future deep inelastic scattering with the LHeC*”, World Scientific Publishing, 2018, ISBN: 978-981-3238-04-6, DOI: 10.1142/9789813238053_0015.
- [141] M. Klein, “*Deep inelastic scattering at the energy frontier*”, Annalen der Physik 528 (2016) 138, DOI: 10.1002/andp.201500252.
- [142] I. Turk Cakir et al., “*Probing the anomalous FCNC couplings at Large Hadron Electron Collider*”, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017 (2017) 1572053, DOI: 10.1155/2017/1572053.
- [143] S. Behera et al., “*Fingerprinting the Top quark FCNC via anomalous Ztq couplings at the LHeC*”, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 015006, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.100.015006.
- [144] O. Cakir et al., “*Probing top quark FCNC $tq\gamma$ and tqZ couplings at future electron-proton colliders*”, Nucl. Phys. B 944 (2019) 114640, DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114640.
- [145] X. Wang, H. Sun, and X. Luo, “*Searches for the anomalous FCNC top-Higgs couplings with polarized electron beam at the LHeC*”, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017 (2017) 4693213, DOI: 10.1155/2017/4693213.
- [146] F. Bordry et al., “*Machine parameters and projected luminosity performance of proposed future colliders at CERN*”, arXiv: 1810.13022.

- [147] O. Bruning et al., “*Future Circular Collider study FCC-eh baseline parameters*”, tech. rep. FCC-ACC-RPT-012, 2017.
- [148] S. Behera and P. Poulose, “*Tracing the anomalous tqg and $tq\gamma$ flavor changing interactions at the FCC-he*” (), arXiv: 2007.02236.
- [149] A. Abada et al., “*FCC-ee : The Lepton Collider : Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 2*”, Eur. Phys. J. ST 228 (2019) 261, DOI: 10.1140/epjst/e2019-900045-4.
- [150] A. Abada et al., “*FCC-hh : The Hadron Collider: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 3*”, Eur. Phys. J. ST 228 (2019) 755, DOI: 10.1140/epjst/e2019-900087-0.
- [151] P. Mandrik (on behalf of the FCC study group), “*Prospect for top quark FCNC searches at the FCC-hh*”, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1390 (2019) 012044, DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/1390/1/012044.
- [152] A. Papaefstathiou and G. Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, “*Rare top quark decays at a 100 TeV proton-proton collider: $t \rightarrow bWZ$ and $t \rightarrow hc$* ”, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 214, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5701-8.
- [153] K. Y. Oyulmaz et al., “*Top quark anomalous FCNC production via tqg couplings at FCC-hh*”, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 115023, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.115023.
- [154] K. Y. Oyulmaz et al., “*Probing anomalous $tq\gamma$ and tqg couplings via single top production in association with photon at FCC-hh*”, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 83, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6593-y.
- [155] A. Abada et al., “*Future Circular Collider Study: Vol. 4 The High-Energy LHC (HE-LHC)*”, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. 228 (2019) 1109, DOI: 10.1140/epjst/e2019-900088-6.
- [156] Y.-B. Liu and S. Moretti, “*Probing the top-Higgs boson FCNC couplings via the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel at the HE-LHC and FCC-hh*”, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 075029, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.075029.
- [157] G. Moortgat-Pick et al., “*The role of polarized positrons and electrons in revealing fundamental interactions at the Linear Collider*”, Phys. Rept. 460 (2008) 131, DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2007.12.003.
- [158] A. Zarnecki, “*Sensitivity of CLIC at 380 GeV to the top FCNC decay $t \rightarrow cH$* ”, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 873 (2017) 012049, DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/873/1/012049.
- [159] A. Zarnecki, “*Top-quark physics at the first CLIC stage*”, arXiv: 1810.05487.
- [160] H. Abramowicz, D. Arominski N. A. Tehrani, et al., “*Top-quark physics at the CLIC electron-positron linear collider*”, JHEP 11 (2019) 003, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2019)003.
- [161] B. Melic and M. Patra, “*Exploring the top-Higgs FCNC couplings at polarized linear colliders with top spin observables*”, JHEP 01 (2017) 048, DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2017)048.