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#### Abstract

The solution of systems of non-autonomous linear ordinary differential equations is crucial in a variety of applications, such us nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. A new method with spectral accuracy has been recently introduced in the scalar case. The method is based on a product that generalizes the convolution. In this work, we show that it is possible to extend the method to solve systems of non-autonomous linear ordinary differential equations (ODEs). In this new approach, the ODE solution can be expressed through a linear system that can be equivalently rewritten as a matrix equation. Numerical examples illustrate the method's efficacy and the low-rank property of the matrix equation solution.


## 1 Introduction

Systems of non-autonomous linear ordinary differential equations (ODEs) appear in a variety of applications, and its numerical computation is often challenging, particularly for large-to-huge size systems. For instance, in nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) [1], the system solution describes the dynamics of the nuclear spins of a sample in a time-varying magnetic field. The size of such systems is $2^{k} \times 2^{k}$ for a sample with $k$ spins and is usually sparse. In [2], we proposed a new method with spectral accuracy for solving scalar nonautonomous ordinary differential equations. In the present work, we extend this method to the case of systems of non-autonomous ODEs.

Consider a matrix $\tilde{A}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ composed of elements from $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$, i.e., the set of functions infinitely differentiable (smooth) over $\mathcal{I}$, with $\mathcal{I}$ a closed and bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$. The system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} U_{s}(t)=\tilde{A}(t) U_{s}(t), \quad U_{s}(s)=I_{N}, \quad \text { for } t \geq s, \quad t, s \in \mathcal{I} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution $U_{s}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} ; I_{N}$ stands for the $N \times N$ identity matrix. Note that the condition $U_{s}(s)=$ $I_{N}$ is not restrictive, since, given a matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, the matrix-valued function $V_{s}(t):=U_{s}(t) B$ solves the ODE

$$
\frac{d}{d t} V_{s}(t)=\tilde{A}(t) V_{s}(t), \quad V_{s}(s)=B \quad \text { for } t \geq s, \quad t, s \in \mathcal{I}
$$

At the heart of the new method for solving (1) is a non-commutative convolution-like product, denoted by $\star$, defined between certain distributions [3]. Thanks to this product, the solution of (11) can be expressed through the *-product inverse and its formulation as a sequence of integrals and differential equations; see [4-8]. In [2], we illustrated that, by discretizing the $\star$-product with orthogonal functions, the solution of a scalar ODE is accessible by solving a linear system. In this work, we extend the results in [2], showing that, following the same principles, we can solve (1) through a linear system. Moreover, we show that the linear system solution can be expressed as the solution of a matrix equation with a rank one right-hand side. Numerical experiments illustrate that the solution of the matrix equation can also be low-rank.

In Section 2, we recall the $\star$-product definition and the related expression for the solution of an ODE. The expression is then discretized and approximated by the solution of a linear system. Section 3 shows how to transform the linear system into a matrix equation, and Section 4 concludes the paper.
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## 2 Solution of an ODE by the $\star$-product

We use the Heaviside theta function

$$
\Theta(t-s)= \begin{cases}1, & t \geq s \\ 0, & t<s\end{cases}
$$

to rewrite (1) in the following equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} U(t, s)=\tilde{A}(t) \Theta(t-s) U(t, s), \quad U(s, s)=I_{N}, \quad \text { for } t, s \in \mathcal{I} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\Theta(t-s)$ endows the condition $t \geq s$ in equation (2) and that $U(t, s)$ is the bivariate function expressing the solutions of (1) for every initial time $s \in \mathcal{I}$, with $U(t, s)=0$ for $t<s$. From now on, we will denote with a tilde all the bivariate functions that are infinitely differentiable in both $t$ and $s$ over $\mathcal{I}$, i.e., $\tilde{f} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I})$. Moreover, we define the following class of functions

$$
C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I}):=\left\{f: f(t, s)=\tilde{f}(t, s) \Theta(t-s), \quad \tilde{f} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I})\right\}
$$

Consider now the $N \times N$ matrices $A_{1}(t, s), A_{2}(t, s) \in\left(C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})\right)^{N \times N}$, i.e., matrices composed of elements from $C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$. Then, the $\star$-product is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{2} \star A_{1}\right)(t, s):=\int_{\mathcal{I}} A_{2}(t, \tau) A_{1}(\tau, s) \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\star$-product can be extended to a larger class of matrices composed of elements from the class $D(\mathcal{I}) \supset C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$, that is, the class of the superpositions of $\Theta(t-s)$, Dirac delta distribution $\delta(t-s)$, and Dirac delta derivatives described in [6]. In such a class, $\delta(t-s) I_{N}$ is the $\star$-product identity, i.e., $A(t, s) \star \delta(t-s) I_{N}=\delta(t-s) I_{N} \star$ $A(t, s)=A(t, s)$. Moreover, in the larger class $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$, the $\star$-product admits inverses under certain conditions [6], i.e., for certain $f(t, s) \in C_{\Theta}^{\infty}$, there exists $f(t, s)^{-\star}$ such that $f(t, s) \star f(t, s)^{-\star}=f(t, s)^{-\star} \star f(t, s)=\delta(t-s)$.

Following [4], the solution of (2) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t, s)=\Theta(t-s) \star R_{\star}(A)(t, s) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(t, s)=\tilde{A}(t) \Theta(t-s)$ and $R_{\star}(A)$ is the $\star$-resolvent of $A$, i.e.,

$$
R_{\star}(A)(t, s)=\delta(t-s) I_{N}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A(t, s)^{k \star}
$$

with $A(t, s)^{k \star}=A \star \cdots \star A$, the $k$ th power of the $\star$-product. Note that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A(t, s)^{\star k}$ converges for every $A \in\left(C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})\right)^{N \times N}$. Expression (4) hides an infinite series of nested integrals. However, as shown in [2], it is possible to approximate the $\star$-product by the usual matrix-matrix product in the scalar case. This approximation allows us to compute (4) more simply and cheaply. We recall its basics below.

Without loss of generality, we set $\mathcal{I}=[0,1]$. Moreover, we consider the family of orthonormal shifted Legendre polynomials $\left\{p_{k}\right\}_{k}$. Then, any $f(t, s) \in C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$ can be expanded into the following series (e.g., [9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, s)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} f_{k, \ell} p_{k}(t) p_{\ell}(s), t \neq s, t, s \in \mathcal{I}, \quad f_{k, \ell}=\int_{\mathcal{I}} \int_{\mathcal{I}} f(\tau, \rho) p_{k}(\tau) p_{\ell}(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho \mathrm{~d} \tau \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By defining the coefficient matrix $F_{M}$ and the vector $\phi_{M}(t)$ as

$$
F_{M}:=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
f_{0,0} & f_{0,1} & \ldots & f_{0, M-1}  \tag{6}\\
f_{1,0} & f_{1,1} & \ldots & f_{1, M-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
f_{M-1,0} & f_{M-1,1} & \ldots & f_{M-1, M-1}
\end{array}\right], \quad \phi_{M}(t):=\left[\begin{array}{c}
p_{0}(s) \\
p_{1}(s) \\
\vdots \\
p_{M-1}(s)
\end{array}\right]
$$

the truncated expansion series can be written in the matrix form:

$$
f_{M}(t, s):=\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} f_{k, \ell} p_{k}(t) p_{\ell}(s)=\phi_{M}(t)^{T} F_{M} \phi_{M}(s) .
$$

Let us consider the functions $f, g, h \in C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$ so that $h=f \star g$, and the related coefficient matrices (6), respectively, $F_{M}, G_{M}, H_{M}$. Following [6], $H_{M}$ can be approximated by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{M} \approx \hat{H}_{m}:=F_{M} G_{M} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, there is a connection between the $\star$-algebra over $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ and the usual matrix algebra. The elements and operations which form the $\star$-algebra and the related elements and operations forming the usual matrix algebra are given in Table 1(in the first two columns for the scalar case); for more details, we refer to [6].

The approximation in the scalar case can be easily extended to the matrix one. Indeed, if $A(t, s)=\left[a_{i j}(t, s)\right]_{i, j=1}^{N}$ is an $N \times N$ matrix with elements $a_{i j}(t) \in C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$, then for each $a_{i j}$, we can compute the related coefficient matrices $F_{M}^{(i, j)}$ obtaining the block matrix

$$
\mathcal{A}_{M}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{|c|}
F_{M}^{(1,1)} \\
\end{array} \cdots & F_{M}^{(1, N)}  \tag{8}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
F_{M}^{(N, 1)} & \cdots & F_{M}^{(N, N)}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{M N \times M N} .
$$

Let us define the $N \times N$ matrices $A(t, s), B(t, s), C(t, s) \in\left(C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})\right)^{N \times N}$ so that $C(t, s)=A(t, s) \star B(t, s)$ and let their coefficient matrices (8) be, respectively, $\mathcal{A}_{M}, \mathcal{B}_{M}, \mathcal{C}_{M}$. Then, analogously to the scalar case, $\mathcal{C}_{M}$ is approximated by

$$
\mathcal{C}_{M} \approx \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{M}:=\mathcal{A}_{M} \mathcal{B}_{M}
$$

As a consequence, also in the matrix case, the $\star$-algebra can be approximated by the usual matrix algebra, as summarized in the last two columns of Table 1 .

| $f(t, s) \in C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$ | $F_{M} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ | $A(t, s) \in\left(C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})\right)^{N \times N}$ | $\mathcal{A}_{M} \in \mathbb{C}^{M N \times M N}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\star$-operation/elements | matrix operation/elements | $\star$-operation/elements | matrix operation/elements |
| $q=f \star g$ | $Q_{M}=F_{M} G_{M}$ | $C=A \star B$ | $\mathcal{C}_{M}=\mathcal{A}_{M} \mathcal{B}_{M}$ |
| $f+g$ | $F_{M}+G_{M}$ | $A+B$ | $\mathcal{A}_{M}+\mathcal{B}_{M}$ |
| $1_{\star}:=\delta(t-s)$ | $I_{M}$, identity matrix | $1_{\star}:=\delta(t-s) I_{N}$ | $I_{M N}$, identity matrix |
| $f^{\star-1}$ | $F_{M}^{-1}$ | $A^{\star-1}$ | $\mathcal{A}_{M}^{-1}$ |
| $R_{\star}(f):=\left(1_{\star}-f\right)^{\star-1}$ | $R\left(F_{M}\right):=\left(I_{M}-F_{M}\right)^{-1}$ | $R_{\star}(f):=\left(1_{\star}-A\right)^{\star-1}$ | $R\left(\mathcal{A}_{M}\right):=\left(I_{M N}-\mathcal{A}_{M}\right)^{-1}$ |

Table 1: The $\star$-algebra operations and the corresponding matrix algebra operation after discretization, scalar case (first two columns), matrix case (last two columns).

The matrix-valued function $U(t, s)$ in (2) is composed of elements from $C_{\Theta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$. Therefore, we can define the related coefficient matrix $\mathcal{U}_{M}$ as in (8). Then, expression (4) can be approximated by

$$
\mathcal{U}_{M} \approx\left(I_{N} \otimes T_{M}\right)\left(I_{M N}-\mathcal{A}_{M}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\otimes$ is the Kronecker product, $T_{M}$ is the coefficient matrix of $\Theta(t-s)$, and $\mathcal{A}_{M}$ is the coefficient matrix of $\tilde{A}(t) \Theta(t-s)$, with $\tilde{A}(t)$ from (2). Moreover, we can approximate the solution of (2) for $s=0$ by the formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(t, 0) \approx \phi_{M}(t)^{T} \mathcal{U}_{M} \phi_{M}(0) & =\left(I_{N} \otimes \phi_{M}(t)^{T}\right)\left(I_{N} \otimes T_{M}\right)\left(I_{M N}-\mathcal{A}_{M}\right)^{-1}\left(I_{N} \otimes \phi_{M}(0)\right) \\
& =\left(I_{N} \otimes \phi_{M}(t)^{T} T_{M}\right)\left(I_{M N}-\mathcal{A}_{M}\right)^{-1}\left(I_{N} \otimes \phi_{M}(0)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, as explained in [2], the approximation converges quickly enough to the solution only when $s$ is the left endpoint of the interval $\mathcal{I}$, i.e., $s=0$.

In practical situations, the initial time $s$ of the evolution is fixed $(s=0)$, and the initial condition is given as a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$. Then, we get the simpler problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} u(t)=\tilde{A}(t) \Theta(t-s) u(t), \quad u(0)=v, \quad \text { for } t, s \in \mathcal{I} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the solution $u(t)$ is an $N$-size vector. Thus, $u(t)$ is approximated by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t) & \approx\left(I_{N} \otimes \phi_{M}(t)^{T} T_{M}\right)\left(I_{M N}-\mathcal{A}_{M}\right)^{-1}\left(I_{N} \otimes \phi_{M}(0)\right) v \\
& \approx\left(I_{N} \otimes \phi_{M}(t)^{T} T_{M}\right)\left(I_{M N}-\mathcal{A}_{M}\right)^{-1}\left(v \otimes \phi_{M}(0)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, solving the linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{M N}-\mathcal{A}_{M}\right) x=v \otimes \phi_{M}(0) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can approximate the solution of (9) in terms of its expansion coefficients $u_{M}:=\left(I_{N} \otimes T_{M}\right) x$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \approx \hat{u}(t):=\left(I_{N} \otimes \phi_{M}(t)^{T}\right) u_{M} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1 Numerical examples

Given a random vector $v$ with elements in $[0,1]$, we aim to compute the bilinear form $v^{T} u(t)$ obtained by solving the following ODE system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} u(t)=-2 \sqrt{-1} \pi \tilde{H}(t) u(t), \quad u(0)=v, \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This system of ODEs comes from Experiment 2 (Strong coupling) in [10], and $v^{T} u(t)$ represents an NMR experiment with a magic angle spinning (MAS) for $k$ spins; see, e.g., [1]. The so-called Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(t)$ is a $2^{k} \times 2^{k}$ matrix-valued function and has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}(t)=D+B(\cos (2 \pi \nu t)+\cos (4 \pi \nu t)), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $D, B$ sparse matrices described in [10]. In our experiments, we set $T=10^{-3}, \nu=10^{4}$, and $k=4,7,10$, so obtaining three systems with exponentially increasing sizes.

The approximated solution $\hat{u}(t)$ (11) is computed by solving the linear system with $M=1000$. The numerical experiments were performed using MatLab R2022a, and the linear systems were solved by the MatLab GMRES method implementation, gmres, with tolerance set to $1 e-15$. In Figure 1 we compare the approximated bilinear form $v^{T} \hat{u}(t)$ with the solution obtained by the MatLab function ode 45 with relative and absolute tolerance set to $3 e-14$. Figure 2 reports the corresponding relative and absolute errors over the interval $[0, T]$ (the reference for the error is again the ode 45 solution). In all the experiments, GMRES stopped after a maximum of 27 iterations (for the cases $k=7,10$ due to residual stagnation). The numerical results show that the method is able to compute the solution with accuracy comparable with a well-established method.


Figure 1: Real and imaginary parts of $v^{T} u(t)$ approximations, with $u(t)$ the solution of (12). The red circles represent approximation $v^{T} \hat{u}(t)$ from (11), while the blue line represents the ode 45 approximation. From left to right, $k=4,7,10$.

## 3 Matrix equation formulation

The matrix-valued function $\tilde{A}(t)$ in (1) can always be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{d} A_{k} \tilde{f}_{k}(t) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure 2: Absolute (blue circles) and relative (red crosses) errors of approximation $v^{T} \hat{u}(t)$, with $\hat{u}(t)$ from (11). From left to right, $k=4,7,10$.
with $\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{d}$ distinct scalar functions and $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d}$ constant matrices. In many applications, $d$ is small. For instance, in the examples from Section 2.1, we have $d=2$. Then, exploiting expression (14), the (block) coefficient matrix (8) of $A(t, s)=\tilde{A}(t) \Theta(t-s)$ becomes

$$
\mathcal{A}_{M}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} A_{k} \otimes F_{M}^{(k)}
$$

with $F_{M}^{(k)}$ the coefficient matrix (6) of $\tilde{f}_{k}(t)$. The solution $x$ of the linear system (10) can, hence, be rewritten in terms of the solution $X$ of the following matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X-\sum_{k=1}^{d} F_{M}^{(k)} X A_{k}^{T}=\phi_{M}(0) b^{T}, \quad x=\operatorname{vec}(X) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{vec}(X)$ denotes the vectorization of $X$, i.e., the vector obtained by stacking the columns of $X$ into a single vector. The matrix equation (15) has a rank 1 right-hand side $\phi_{M}(0) b^{T}$. This suggests that the solution $X$ may have a low numerical rank. Figure 3 reports the computed singular values of $X$, where $x=\operatorname{vec}(X)$ is the linear system solution of each of the experiments performed in Section2.1 For $k=4$, the solution $X$ is full rank, while for $k=7,10$, the numerical rank of $X$ is, respectively, 12,72 (we consider as numerical rank the index of the last singular value before the stagnation visible in the plots). Clearly, this preliminary study shows that the numerical rank of $X$ increases slowly with the size of $X$.


Figure 3: Singular values of the matrix $X$, with $x=\operatorname{vec}(X)$ the solution of (10) for the examples in Section 2.1 From left to right, $k=4,7,10$.

## 4 Discussions and conclusion

In this work, we present a new method for solving systems of non-autonomous linear ODEs. The method is based on the solution of a linear system that can be rewritten as a matrix equation. Several examples illustrate that the method is able to compute the solution with accuracy comparable to the well-established Runge-Kutta method implemented by the MatLab function ode 45. Moreover, the experiments show that the solution of the matrix equation is a numerical low-rank matrix when the ODE system is large enough. This may be exploited
using projection methods with low-rank techniques (see, e.g., [11, 12]). In [10], we also show that matrix $\mathcal{A}_{M}$ in (8) can be compressed by the Tensor Train decomposition (note that [10] uses a different family of orthogonal functions instead of the Legendre polynomials). A Tensor Train approach may further reduce the memory and computational cost of the method. Another possible approach could be extrapolation methods able to exploit the dependence of equation (10) on $s$; see, e.g., [13, 14].

Overall, the results suggest that the presented method may be an effective solver for large-to-huge systems of ODEs once we are able to exploit the solution's low-rank structure and the other mentioned properties. We are currently investigating these possible approaches.
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