

Random Group Actions on CAT(0) Square Complexes

Zachary Munro

Abstract

Generalizing ideas in [Jah11], we introduce the notion of progression in CAT(0) square complexes. Using progression, we are able to build on the proof strategy of [DGP11] to show any action of a random group with seven or more generators on a CAT(0) square complex has a global fixed point.

1 Introduction

In this article, we begin the inquiry into the cubical dimension of random groups in the Gromov density model, first introduced in [Gro91]. Letting $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be a set of generators, a *random group at density $d \in (0, 1)$ and length L* is given by the presentation $\langle S \mid R \rangle$, where R is a set of $\lfloor (2n - 1)^{dL} \rfloor$ -many words chosen uniformly at random from the set of reduced words of length ℓ with alphabet S . One says that a random group has some property Q *with overwhelming probability (w.o.p.)* if the probability that $\langle S \mid R \rangle$ satisfies Q approaches 1 as $L \rightarrow \infty$. A number of results in the theory of random groups describe “phase transitions” for particular properties Q with respect to the density d . It is proven in [Oll05], [Gro91] that for $d > 1/2$ a random group is either trivial or \mathbb{Z}_2 w.o.p., and for $d < 1/2$ a random group is infinite, hyperbolic, and torsion-free w.o.p. Thus most of the interesting theory occurs in the range $d \in (0, 1/2)$.

There have been various results regarding phase transitions associated with actions of a random group on a CAT(0) cube complex. In the positive direction, in which one produces actions on CAT(0) cube complexes, Ollivier and Wise [OW11] showed that random groups with density $d < \frac{1}{5}$ act without global fixed point on CAT(0) cube complexes of finite dimension w.o.p. This result has been strengthened by MacKay and Przytycki [MP15], who established the result for $d < \frac{5}{24}$, and Montee [Mon20], who improved the bound to $d < \frac{3}{14}$. Recently, Ashcroft [Ash22] has proven that random groups at densities $d < 1/4$ act without global fixed point on CAT(0) cube complexes of finite dimension w.o.p. In the negative direction, it was shown by Żuk [Ż03] and Kotowski-Kotowski [KK13] that for $d > 1/3$ a random group has Kazhdan’s property (T) w.o.p. Groups with property (T) act always with global fixed point on CAT(0) cube complexes, following from an observation by Niblo and Reeves [NR97]. The following conjecture was communicated to the author by Piotr Przytycki ¹.

¹Note that $\frac{1}{5}$ is $\frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{5}{24}$ is $\frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{4}$, and $\frac{3}{14}$ is $\frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{1}{4}$.

Conjecture. *Random groups act without global fixed point on finite dimensional CAT(0) cube complexes at densities $d < \frac{1}{4}$ and have property (T) at densities $d > \frac{1}{4}$ w.o.p.*

Note that Ashcroft's work in [Ash22] completes the first half of the conjecture.

For the purposes of this article, we concern ourselves with the dimension of those cube complexes on which random groups act without global fixed point. In [DGP11], it was shown that random groups at any density act always with global fixed point on 1-dimensional CAT(0) cube complexes. We extend this result by proving the following.

Main Theorem. *Random groups $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ with $|S| \geq 7$ act always with global fixed point on 2-dimensional CAT(0) cube complexes w.o.p.*

A natural way of proving that a random group $\langle S \mid R \rangle$ does not exhibit a particular property Q is to show there exists a large collection of words \mathcal{L} in the alphabet S which must be nontrivial if $\langle S \mid R \rangle$ were to have property P . Because \mathcal{L} is large and R consists of randomly sampled words, the probability that the intersection $\mathcal{L} \cap R$ is nonempty approaches 1 as $L \rightarrow \infty$. And thus we conclude that w.o.p. $\langle S \mid R \rangle$ does not have property P . This approach was applied fruitfully in each of [DGP11], [Orl17], and [Jah11] to prove random groups do not have particular properties. We are especially inspired by [Jah11], where it is proved random groups act always with global fixed point on \mathbb{R} -trees.

In Section 2 we establish our notation regarding CAT(0) cube complexes and graphs. In Section 3 we define checkpoint automata, a central tool in the paper. We prove a lemma ensuring that the accepted language of a checkpoint automaton is large. Given a group action $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ on some set X , an element $g \in G$ acts nontrivially if its image in $\text{Aut}(X)$ is nontrivial. The lemmas proven in Section 4 are used to ensure that many elements of a group action are nontrivial. It is here that we prove the central technical theorem. We are immediately able to deduce a weakened form of our main theorem (Corollary 3.6), where the number of generators depends on the density d . Section 5 is dedicated to removing the dependency on d , using a modified version of a construction found in [DGP11]. Given a random group $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ at some density d , one finds a finite index subgroup $H < G$ which is a quotient of a random group at density d with exponentially more generators than G . Property FC_2 is inherited by quotients by Lemma 2.1 and FC_2 is a commensurability invariant by Lemma 2.2, and thus we deduce G has property FC_2 .

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my immense gratitude to my advisor Piotr Przytycki, without whom none of this work would be possible. Thank you for posing this problem to me. Thank you for our regular meetings. And thank you for encouraging me when the math seemed hopeless. Thank you Adrien Abgrall for revising early drafts of this paper.

2 Cube complexes and Graphs

We introduce our notation concerning CAT(0) square complexes and graphs below. For a more thorough introduction to CAT(0) cube complexes, see [Sag14].

2.1 Actions on cube complexes

In our article, \tilde{X} will denote a CAT(0) square complex, and S will be a set of formal letters for which there exists a homomorphism $F_S \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{X})$, where F_S is the free group on S . For a hyperplane H of \tilde{X} , we let $N(H)$ denote the carrier of H . We let $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the combinatorial metric on \tilde{X}^1 . For 0-cells $x, y \in \tilde{X}^0$, we let $[x, y]$ denote a combinatorial geodesic from x to y . Note that (\tilde{X}^1, d) is not uniquely geodesic. Thus, if we ever assert that $[x, y]$ has some property, then it is implicit that the property holds independent of the choice of geodesic. The intersection of a hyperplane with \tilde{X}^1 is a set of midpoints of 1-cells in \tilde{X}^1 . The complement $\tilde{X} - H$ of a hyperplane has two components, called *halfspaces*. A hyperplane H *separates* subsets $A, B \subset \tilde{X}$ if A and B are contained in distinct components of $\tilde{X} - H$. If H is a hyperplane and $x \in \tilde{X}^0$, we let $d(x, H) := \inf\{d(x, h) \mid h \in H\}$ denote the smallest distance from x to H . For $x \in \tilde{X}^0$ recall that $d(x, H) > 1$ if and only if $x \notin N(H)$ if and only if x is separated from H by some other hyperplane. Also, for $x, y \in \tilde{X}^0$ the distance $d(x, y)$ is equal to the number of hyperplanes which separate x, y . Two distinct hyperplanes $H \neq W$ *cross*, denoted by $H \pitchfork W$, when $H \cap W \neq \emptyset$. If we write $H \cap W \neq \emptyset$, we leave open the possibility that $H = W$. Two distinct hyperplanes $H \neq W$ are *parallel* if $H \cap W = \emptyset$. This is denoted $H \parallel W$.

An isometry s of a CAT(0) cube complex is a *hyperplane inversion* if it stabilizes some hyperplane H and interchanges its halfspaces. In this case, we say s *inverts* H . Any group action is without hyperplane inversions after a subdivision of the cube complex.

We will make use of 2-dimensionality in a couple of ways. For one, no more than two hyperplanes can pairwise intersect. Secondly, if $H \pitchfork W$, then $N(H) \cap N(W) = C$ where C is a single square of \tilde{X} . In particular, for any 0-cell $x \in N(H) - C$ there is a hyperplane separating x and W .

A group G has *property FC_n* if every action of G by cubical isometries on an n -dimensional CAT(0) cube complex has a global fixed point. G has *property FC_∞* if G has FC_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $FC_{n+1} \subset FC_n$ and that FC_1 is equivalent to Serre's property FA. Similar to property FA, the property FC_n passes to quotients since group actions can always be pulled back along group homomorphisms. Consequently, we have the following.

Lemma 2.1. *If G is a quotient of some \hat{G} with property FC_n , then G has property FC_n .*

The commensurability invariance of property FA also generalizes to FC_n . A finite set of points in a CAT(0) cube complex has a unique barycentre, i.e. a point which minimizes the sum of distances to all points in the set. The existence of barycentres immediately leads to the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *If a finite index subgroup $H < G$ has property FC_n , then G has property FC_n .*

Note the converse of the above lemma does not hold in general.

2.2 Graphs

A *graph* Γ is a 1-complex whose 0-cells $V(\Gamma)$ are called *vertices* and 1-cells $E(\Gamma)$ are called *edges*. A map between complexes is *combinatorial* if it sends n -balls homeomorphically to n -balls. An *immersion of graphs* $\Gamma \looparrowright \Gamma'$ is a locally injective combinatorial map. If P is a subdivided interval $[0, n]$ with vertices at integer points, then a combinatorial map $P \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a *path of length n* . We think of paths as being oriented from 0 to n . The *initial vertex* is the first vertex in the image of P and the *terminal vertex* is the last vertex in the image of P . An *initial segment* of P is the restriction of $P \rightarrow \Gamma$ to some $[0, i]$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Given a combinatorial map $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ one can iteratively identify incident edges of Γ with the same image. This procedure allows one to factor $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ as a sequence $\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma'$ of combinatorial maps where $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$, and $\Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma_{i+1}$ is π_1 -surjective for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $\Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is an immersion. The graph Γ_n is uniquely determined as the coarsest quotient of Γ such that the map $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ factors as $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_n \looparrowright \Gamma'$. We say that one obtains Γ_n by *folding* Γ .

A connected graph T is a *tree* if $\pi_1 T = \{1\}$. Note that a tree is uniquely geodesic. A *leaf* v of a tree is a vertex which lies on the boundary of a single edge. A *rooted tree* (T, x) is a tree with a distinguished vertex $x \in V(T)$. A vertex v in a rooted tree (T, x) has *depth* m if the distance from v to x is m . The *depth* of a rooted tree is the maximal depth across all vertices. In a rooted tree (T, x) , a vertex u is an *ancestor* of v if u lies on the geodesic from x to v . The vertex v is a *descendant* of u if u is its ancestor. A *child* of u is a descendent which shares an edge with u . A rooted tree is a *k -child tree* if each vertex has exactly k children or is a leaf.

3 Large languages and checkpoint automata

3.1 Growth rate

Definition 3.1. Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be a set of formal letters and F_S the free group generated by S . For an integer $L > 0$, let R_L be the set of all reduced words of length L over S . A *random set of relators at density d and length L* is a $\lfloor (2n-1)^{dL} \rfloor$ -tuple elements from R_L selected uniformly at random. A *random group at density d and length L* is defined by a presentation $\langle S \mid R \rangle$ where R is a random set of relators at density d and length L . Let P be a property for groups (or a set of relators), let $d \in (0, 1)$ be a density, and let $\mathbb{P}_L(P)$ be the probability that P holds for a random group (or set of relators) at density d and length L . Property P holds *with overwhelming probability* (w.o.p.) if $\mathbb{P}_L(P) \rightarrow 1$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$.

The following definition quantitatively captures what it means for a language to be large.

Definition 3.2. Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be a set of letters and \mathcal{L} a language of reduced words over S . The language \mathcal{L} is said to have *growth rate at least k* if $\mathcal{L} \cap R_L > ck^L$ for some $c > 0$ and all but finitely many $L > 0$.

The following lemma first appears in Gromov's article [Gro91]. A detailed proof can be found in [Orl17].

Lemma 3.3. *A language \mathcal{L} of reduced words with growth rate $k > (2n - 1)^{1-d}$ intersects a random set of relators R at density d w.o.p.*

The following corollary about the intersection of a large language and a set of random relators was proven in [DGP11].

Corollary 3.4 ([DGP11], Lemma 2.4). *Suppose $\lceil \lambda 2n \rceil - 1 > 0$ and $(2n - 1)^d \geq \frac{2}{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. If a language \mathcal{L} of reduced words has growth rate at least $(\lceil \lambda 2n \rceil - 1)$, then a random set of relators R at density d intersects \mathcal{L} w.o.p.*

Let S and F_S be as in Definition 3.1. Suppose we are given an action $F_S \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{X})$ on a CAT(0) cube complex. Then reduced words $w \in S^*$ denote an element of F_S , can be identified with an automorphism of \tilde{X} via the map $F_S \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{X})$. The key technical theorem in this article is the following.

Theorem 3.5. *There exists a finite set of languages $\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma^1}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{\Sigma^k}$ with $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth such that the following holds: For any CAT(0) square complex and any action $F_S \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{X})$ without global fixed point, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ so that every $w \in \mathcal{L}_{\Sigma^j}$ acts nontrivially on \tilde{X} .*

Combining Corollary 3.4 with the above theorem we can immediately deduce FC_2 for certain random groups. Taking $\lambda = \frac{1}{12}$, we get that at each density d , random groups with sufficiently large generating set have property FC_2 .

Corollary 3.6. *Fix $0 < d < 1$ and $n \geq 7$. Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be a set of formal letters such that $(2n - 1)^d \geq 24$. Then a random group $\langle S \mid R \rangle$ at density d does not act without global fixed point on a CAT(0) square complex w.o.p.*

3.2 Checkpoint automata

Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ freely generate F_S . Let B_S be a bouquet of directed circles labeled with elements of S so that $\pi_1 B_S = F_S$. If the edges of a graph Γ are directed and labeled by elements of S , then there is a combinatorial map $\Gamma \rightarrow B_S$ induced by the directions and labels.

Definition 3.7. A *checkpoint automaton* (*c-automaton*) Σ is a directed graph with an immersion $\Sigma \looparrowright B_S$ constructed as follows.

1. Begin with a discrete set of *checkpoint vertices* \mathcal{V} with a distinguished *start vertex* $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$.
2. To each vertex $u \in \mathcal{V}$ we associate a directed, S -labeled, finite rooted tree T_u with root u and $E(T_u) \neq \emptyset$.
3. Let $l(T_u)$ denote the set of leaves of T_u . For each $u \in \mathcal{V}$, we label $l(T_u)$ with elements of $\mathcal{V}' := \mathcal{V} - \{v_0\}$.

The c-automaton Σ is the quotient of $\sqcup_{u \in \mathcal{V}} T_u$ induced by the labels on $\{l(T_u)\}_{u \in \mathcal{V}}$. See Figure 1a. We require that the natural map $\Sigma \rightarrow B_S$ is an immersion. The *accepted language* of Σ is the set of words \mathcal{L}_Σ which label immersed paths $P \looparrowright \Sigma$ beginning at v_0 such that for each $u \in \mathcal{V}$ any subpath in $P \cap T_u$ is directed away from the root $u \in T_u$. See Figure 1b.

An *automaton* Σ is a c-automaton with $\mathcal{V} = V(\Sigma)$ and T_u has depth one for each $u \in \mathcal{V}$. Note that any c-automaton can be easily converted to an automaton by enlarging the set of checkpoint vertices. This operation does not affect the accepted language. Thus, there is a natural equivalence automata and c-automata. The structure of c-automata is merely a formalism enabling us to more easily express certain ideas.




Figure 1

We will make use of a local largeness criterion, depending only on the trees T_u , to guarantee that the accepted language \mathcal{L}_Σ is large.

Definition 3.8. A vertex $u \in \mathcal{V}$ has *k-growth* if either $u = v_0$ and T_{v_0} has depth one, or for every $v \in V(T_u)$ either v is a leaf or has at least k children.

The following lemmas follow from definitions.

Lemma 3.9. *If all vertices in a c-automaton Σ have k-growth, then \mathcal{L}_Σ has growth rate at least k.*

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose Σ is a c-automaton whose vertices all have k-growth. For each $u \in \mathcal{V}$, remove up to $k' < k$ children from each $v \in V(T_u)$. Let Σ'_S be the resulting c-automaton. Then each vertex of Σ'_S has $(k - k')$ -growth.*

4 Progressing in Square complexes

Let S be a set of formal letters, F_S the free group over S , and $F_S \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{X})$ a homomorphism to the automorphism group of a CAT(0) square complex. We define an automaton Σ over S as follows.

Definition 4.1. Let x be a 0-cell of \tilde{X} . For each $s \in S$, let \mathcal{H}_s be the set of hyperplanes H such that $H \cap [x, sx] \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in N(H)$. Equivalently, those H intersecting $[x, sx]$ such that there is no hyperplane separating x and H . Elements of \mathcal{H}_s pairwise cross, and thus there can be at most two hyperplanes in each \mathcal{H}_s .

The set of such hyperplanes $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}_s$ along with a special start vertex v_0 will be the checkpoint vertices $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{H} \cup \{v_0\}$ of our automaton Σ_S .

Definition 4.2. Let x , \mathcal{H}_s , and \mathcal{V} be as above. Any path $P = e_1 \cdots e_n \looparrowright B_S$ immersing into the bouquet of circles B_S corresponds to a reduced word $\hat{P} = \hat{e}_1 \cdots \hat{e}_n \in S^*$. Suppose P joins vertices H and H' in \mathcal{V} . We say P is *progressing with respect to x* if the following hold

1. If $H = v_0$, then $\hat{P}H'$ separates x and $\hat{e}_1 \cdots \hat{e}_i x$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.
2. If $H \neq v_0$, then we have that
 - 2.1 H does not separate x and $\hat{e}_1 \cdots \hat{e}_i x$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, and
 - 2.2 Either $\hat{P}H' \parallel H$ and $\hat{P}H'$ separates x and $\hat{P}x$, or $\hat{P}H' = H$.




Figure 2

For $W \in \mathcal{H}$, a rooted tree T_W as in Definition 3.7.2 is *progressing with respect to x* if each path $P \looparrowright T_W$ joining W to a leaf is progressing. A c-automaton Σ is *progressing with respect to x* if for T_u is progressing with respect to x for each $u \in \mathcal{V}$. By the definition of c-automata, there is a natural map $P \looparrowright T_u \looparrowright B_S$.

Lemma 4.3. *If Σ is progressing with respect to x , then $wx \neq x$ for each nontrivial accepted word $w \in \mathcal{L}_\Sigma$. In particular, the nontrivial accepted words act nontrivially on \tilde{X} .*

Proof. We first prove the lemma for words labeling paths that end on checkpoint vertices. Suppose $w \in \mathcal{L}_\Sigma$ labels a path $P \looparrowright \Sigma$ beginning at v_0 . Let $P = P^1 \cdots P^n$ be the unique decomposition of P into minimal subpaths joining two checkpoint vertices. Note minimality is equivalent to the existence of some T_u for each P^j such that $P^j \looparrowright T_u$ and joins the root to a leaf. Suppose these checkpoint vertices, in order, are H_0, \dots, H_n . Let $e_1^i, \dots, e_{n_i}^i$ be the

edges which P^i traverses in order. We prove by induction on n that $\widehat{P}H_n$ separates x and $\widehat{P}x$.

Suppose $n = 1$. Then $H_0 = v_0$ and by definition $\widehat{P}H_1$ separates x and $\widehat{P}x$.

Let $P' := P^1 \cdots P^{n-1}$ and suppose $\widehat{P}'H_{n-1}$ separates x and $\widehat{P}'x$. Since $\widehat{P} = \widehat{P}'\widehat{P}^n$ and P^n is progressing, $\widehat{P}x$ lies on the same side of $\widehat{P}'H_{n-1}$ as $\widehat{P}'x$. Thus $\widehat{P}'H_{n-1}$ separates x and $\widehat{P}x$. Moreover, $\widehat{P}H_n$ is either parallel to $\widehat{P}'H_{n-1}$ and separates $\widehat{P}x$ and $\widehat{P}'x$, or $\widehat{P}H_n = \widehat{P}'H_{n-1}$. In either case, we have that $\widehat{P}H_n$ separates x and $\widehat{P}x$.

The conclusion of the lemma is an immediate consequence of the above. Since $\widehat{P}^1 \cdots \widehat{P}^i H_i$ separates x and $\widehat{P}^1 \cdots \widehat{P}^i x$, then by definition of progressing $\widehat{P}^1 \cdots \widehat{P}^i H_i$ also separates x and $\widehat{P}^1 \cdots \widehat{P}^i \widehat{e}_1^{i+1} \cdots \widehat{e}_j^{i+1} x$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n_{i+1}$ and $i > 1$. If $i = 1$, then the definition of progressing ensures $\widehat{e}_1^1 \cdots \widehat{e}_j^1 x$ and x are separated by $\widehat{P}^1 H_1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n_1$.

Observe we have proven that for any initial subpath $P' \subset P$, the points $\widehat{P}'x$ and x are separated by a hyperplane. Since any accepted word can be extended to an accepted word that labels a path ending on a checkpoint vertex, we are done. \square

Definition 4.4. Fix $x \in \widetilde{X}^0$ and let \mathcal{H} be as above. For each $W \in \mathcal{H}$ we define a partition of the letters and their inverses $S \cup S^{-1} = A_W \sqcup B_W \sqcup P_W^{\downarrow} \sqcup P_W^{\uparrow} \sqcup P_W^{\parallel}$ as follows. See Figure 3.

1. $s \in B_W$ if $[x, sx]$ crosses W
2. $s \in A_W$ if $[x, sx] \cap W = \emptyset$ and $sx \notin N(W)$
3. $s \in P_W^{\downarrow}$ if $[x, sx] \subset N(W) - W$ and $[sx, stx] \cap W \neq \emptyset$ for some $t \in S \cup S^{-1}$
4. $s \in P_W^{\uparrow}$ if $[x, sx] \subset N(W) - W$ and $[sx, stx] \cap W = \emptyset$ for all $t \in S \cup S^{-1}$ and $sW \uparrow W$
5. $s \in P_W^{\parallel}$ if $[x, sx] \subset N(W) - W$ and $[sx, stx] \cap W = \emptyset$ for all $t \in S \cup S^{-1}$ and $sW \parallel W$

We set $P_W := P_W^{\downarrow} \cup P_W^{\uparrow} \cup P_W^{\parallel}$, which can be characterized as those $s \in S \cup S^{-1}$ such that $[x, sx] \subset N(W) - W$. Note that the stabilizer of x is partitioned across the various sets above. In particular, $s \in \text{Stab}(x)$ can belong to any of P_W^{\downarrow} , P_W^{\uparrow} , or P_W^{\parallel} . Consequently, $P_W - \text{Stab}(x)$ are exactly the $s \in S \cup S^{-1}$ such that $sx \neq x$ and $[x, sx] \subset N(W) - W$.

Lemma 4.5. Consider a path $P \rightsquigarrow B_S$ with initial vertex $W \neq v_0$ and traversing the edges e_1, \dots, e_n in order. We aim to choose a terminal vertex H which makes P progressing.

1. If $n = 1$ and $\widehat{e}_1 \in A_W$, then there exists a choice of $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{e}_1^{-1}}$ which makes P progressing.
2. If $n = 1$ and $\widehat{e}_1 \in P_W^{\downarrow}$ and $t \in S \cup S^{-1}$ is such that $[\widehat{e}_1 x, \widehat{e}_1 t x] \cap W \neq \emptyset$, then there is a choice of $H \in \mathcal{H}_t$ which makes P progressing.
3. If $n = 2$ and $\widehat{e}_1 \in P_W^{\uparrow}$ and $\widehat{e}_2 \in P_W - \text{Stab}(x)$, then there is a choice of $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{e}_2^{-1}}$ which makes P progressing.




Figure 3: The partition from Definition 4.4

4. If $n = 2$ and $\hat{e}_1 \in P_W^{\parallel}$ and $\hat{e}_2 \in B_W$, then there is a choice $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\hat{e}_2^{-1}}$ which makes P progressing.

Proof.

1. By definition of A_W , there exists a hyperplane $H' \parallel W$ separating x and $\hat{e}_1 x$. If we pick H' to be a closest such hyperplane to $\hat{e}_1 x$, then $\hat{e}_1^{-1} H' \in \mathcal{H}_{\hat{e}_1^{-1}}$. Setting $H := \hat{e}_1^{-1} H'$ makes P progressing at x .
2. Since $\hat{e}_1 \in P_W^{\parallel}$ the 0-cell $\hat{e}_1 x$ lies in $N(W)$. Then since W separates $\hat{e}_1 x$ and $\hat{e}_1 t x$, the hyperplane $\hat{e}_1^{-1} W$ lies in \mathcal{H}_t . Setting $H := \hat{e}_1^{-1} W$ makes P progressing at x .
3. By definition of P_W^{\parallel} , we have $\hat{e}_1 x \in N(W) \cap N(\hat{e}_1 W)$, and $[\hat{e}_1 x, \hat{e}_1 t x]$ does not cross W for any choice of $t \in S \cup S^{-1}$. For $\hat{e}_2 \in (P_W^{\parallel} \cup P_W^{\perp} \cup P_W^{\parallel}) - \text{Stab}(x)$, any geodesic $[x, \hat{e}_2 x] \subset N(W)$ has length greater than zero. Thus $[\hat{e}_1 x, \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 x] \subset N(\hat{e}_1 W)$ is cut by some hyperplane $H' \parallel W$. Here we are using the fact that $N(W) \cap N(\hat{e}_1 W)$ is a single square, since \tilde{X} is 2-dimensional. Picking such an H' closest to $\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 x$ we have $(\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2)^{-1} H' \in \mathcal{H}_{\hat{e}_2^{-1}}$. Setting $H := (\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2)^{-1} H'$ makes P progressing at x .
4. Since $[x, \hat{e}_2]$ crosses W and $\hat{e}_1 W \parallel W$, the hyperplane $\hat{e}_1 W$ separates $\hat{e}_1 x$ and $\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 x$. If we pick a closest hyperplane $H' \parallel W$ to $\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 x$ separating it from $\hat{e}_1 x$, then $(\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2)^{-1} H' \in \mathcal{H}_{\hat{e}_2^{-1}}$. Setting $H := (\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2)^{-1} H'$ makes P progressing at x .

□

Corollary 4.6. *Let $W \in \mathcal{H}$ be a checkpoint vertex. Let $\{P_i \rightarrow B_S\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of labeled paths of forms 1, 2, 3, or 4 in Lemma 4.5. Each P_i has initial vertex W , so we can identify all paths at their initial vertex $T' = \sqcup_i P_i / \sim$. Fold $T' \rightarrow B_S$ to get $T_W \rightarrow B_S$. Then T_W is progressing.*

As noted before, our strategy to prove Theorem 3.5 is to generate a set of c-automata with large growth whose accepted languages consist of words acting nontrivially on \tilde{X} . The notion of progression will allow us to ensure the accepted languages act nontrivially. The difficulty now becomes to find trees T_W such that each maximal $P \looparrowright T_W$ is progressing and such that T_W has enough leaves to guarantee large growth. Lemma 4.5 demonstrates there are many options for $P \looparrowright T_W$ when any of A_W , P_W^{\uparrow} , or P_W^{\downarrow} are large. Carefully choosing the basepoint will allow us to have more control when we are not in one of these cases.

Lemma 4.7. *Fix $x \in \tilde{X}^0$ minimizing $\sum_{s \in S \cup S^{-1}} d(x, sx)$, and partition $S \cup S^{-1}$ as in Definition 4.4. Let $n = |S|$. If S acts on \tilde{X} without hyperplane inversions, then $|B_W| \leq n$ for any $W \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists $W \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $|B_W| > |S \cup S^{-1}|/2$. Let $y \in \tilde{X}^0$ be the other endpoint of the edge e dual to W at x . For each isometry and its inverse $s, s^{-1} \in S \cup S^{-1}$, we have the following three possibilities:

1. Both $s, s^{-1} \in B_W$. We show that $d(y, sy) = d(x, sx) - 2$. Let x_0, \dots, x_n be the 0-cells of a geodesic $P \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ joining $s^{-1}x = x_0$ to $x = x_n$. Since W separates $s^{-1}x$ and x , we can take $x_{n-1} = y$. If $d(s^{-1}x, x) > 2$, then we can pick x_1 so that $sx_1 = y$. Thus $d(y, sy) = d(s, sx) - 2$. If $d(s^{-1}x, x) = 1$, then W is dual to the edge with endpoints $s^{-1}x, x$ and thus s inverts W , a contradiction. If $d(s^{-1}x, x) = 2$, then x, sy, sx are the vertices of a geodesic. If $sy \neq y$, then s inverts W , a contradiction. If $sy = y$, then $d(sy, y) = d(sx, x) - 2$.
2. Exactly one of $s \in B_W$ or $s^{-1} \in B_W$. Suppose $s \in B_W$. Consider the 0-cells x_0, \dots, x_n of a geodesic $P \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ joining $x = x_0$ to $sx = x_n$ with $x_1 = y$. Then $s^{-1}P$ is a geodesic connecting $s^{-1}x$ to x . The hyperplane W does not intersect $s^{-1}P$, otherwise W would separate $s^{-1}x$ and x . Thus the path formed by removing the last edge of the concatenation $e \cdot s^{-1}P$ is a geodesic joining y to $s^{-1}y$. Since this geodesic is the same length as P , we have $d(y, sy) = d(x, sx)$. This equality is also true when $s^{-1}x \in B_W$ by a symmetric argument.
3. Both $s, s^{-1} \notin B_W$. Since $d(x, y) = 1$, we have that $d(y, sy) \leq d(x, sx) + 2$.

Let the partition $S \cup S^{-1} = D_1 \sqcup D_2 \sqcup D_3$ correspond to the three cases above. Since $|B_W| > |S \cup S^{-1}|/2$, we have that $|D_1| > |D_3|$. This yields

$$\sum_{s \in S \cup S^{-1}} d(x, sx) \geq \sum_{s \in D_1} (d(y, sy) + 2) + \sum_{s \in D_2} d(y, sy) + \sum_{s \in D_3} (d(y, sy) - 2) > \sum_{s \in S \cup S^{-1}} d(s, sy),$$

contradicting the minimality of $\sum_{s \in S \cup S^{-1}} d(x, sx)$. \square

We are now ready to prove our main theorem. Let $n := |S|$ so that $2n = |S \cup S^{-1}|$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let $x \in \tilde{X}^0$ be a point minimizing $\sum_{s \in S \cup S^{-1}} d(x, sx)$, and let \mathcal{H} be as in Definition 4.1.

Case 1: $|\text{Stab}(x)| \geq \frac{n}{6}$

If $|\text{Stab}(x)| \geq \frac{n}{6}$, then it is possible to construct a c-automaton Σ with $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth. We set $\mathcal{V} = \{v_0\}$ and add a directed loop at v_0 with label s for each $s \in \text{Stab}(x)$. It is assumed in the remaining cases that $|\text{Stab}(x)| < \frac{n}{6}$.

Case 2: For each $W \in \mathcal{H}$ one of the following hold:

1. $|A_W| \geq \frac{n}{6}$
2. $|P_W^{\downarrow}| \geq \frac{5n}{18}$
3. $|P_W^{\uparrow}| \geq \frac{5n}{18}$
4. $|B_W| \geq \frac{n}{6}$ and $|P_W^{\parallel}| \geq \frac{5n}{18}$

For each of the cases 1, 2, 3, and 4, we construct a progressing tree T_W with root W such that T_W has $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth. Since each W satisfies one of 1, 2, 3, and 4, our various T_W for $W \in \mathcal{H}$ form a c-automaton with $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth.

Suppose that $|A_W| \geq \frac{n}{6}$. Then by Lemma 4.5.1, it is possible to construct T_W with $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth.

If $|P_W^{\downarrow}| > \frac{5n}{18} > \frac{n}{6}$, then by Lemma 4.5.2 we can construct a progressing T_W with $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth.

We have $|P_W^{\downarrow}| > \frac{5n}{18}$ because $|B_W| \leq n$ by Lemma 4.7. If $|P_W^{\uparrow}| > \frac{5n}{18}$, then since $|P_W - \text{Stab}(x)| > \frac{5n}{18} - \frac{n}{6} = \frac{2n}{3}$ we can construct a progressing T_W with $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth by Lemma 4.5.3.

By Corollary 4.6, T_W can be constructed to have $|P_W^{\parallel}| \cdot |B_W|$ -many leaves of depth two. Thus we achieve $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth if both $|P_W^{\parallel}| > \frac{5n}{18}$ and $|B_W| \geq \frac{n}{6}$.

Case 3: There exists a $W \in \mathcal{H}$ such that all of the following hold:

1. $|A_W| < \frac{n}{6}$
2. $|P_W^{\downarrow}| < \frac{5n}{18}$
3. $|P_W^{\uparrow}| < \frac{5n}{18}$
4. $|B_W| < \frac{n}{6}$ and $|P_W^{\parallel}| > \frac{5n}{18}$

Suppose that $|A_W| < \frac{n}{6}$ and $|\text{Stab}(x)| < \frac{n}{6}$. Thus one of $|P_W^{\downarrow}| > \frac{5n}{18}$, $|P_W^{\uparrow}| > \frac{5n}{18}$, or $|P_W^{\parallel}| > \frac{5n}{18}$ must hold. Thus the above three cases are exhaustive.

Since $|A_W| < \frac{n}{6}$, we have $|P_W - \text{Stab}(x)| > \frac{3n}{2}$. The P_W translates of x lie in the same component of $N(W) - W$. This implies that $|\mathcal{H}_s| = 1$ for each $s \in P_W - \text{Stab}(x)$. Indeed, any $H \in \mathcal{H}_s$ crosses W and hyperplanes in \mathcal{H}_s pairwise cross. No three hyperplanes can pairwise cross since $\dim(\tilde{X}) = 2$ and thus $|\mathcal{H}_s| = 1$. We hereby identify \mathcal{H}_s with the single hyperplane it contains for each $s \in P_W - \text{Stab}(x)$.

If $\mathcal{H}_s \neq \mathcal{H}_t$ for $s, t \in P_W - \text{Stab}(x)$, then $\mathcal{H}_s \parallel \mathcal{H}_t$ since both hyperplanes cross W . This implies $t \in A_{\mathcal{H}_s}$ and $s \in A_{\mathcal{H}_t}$. See Figure 4. Fixing $s \in P_W - \text{Stab}(x)$, we have $|A_{\mathcal{H}_s} \cap P_W| = |(P_W - \text{Stab}(x)) - B_{\mathcal{H}_s}| \geq \frac{3n}{2} - n = \frac{n}{2}$. The set of generators $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_s} := \{t \in A_{\mathcal{H}_s} \cap P_W : t^{-1} \in (P_W - \text{Stab}(x))\}$ contains at least $\frac{n}{6}$ -many elements since $|A_W|, |B_W| \leq \frac{n}{6}$.




Figure 4: $t \in A_{\mathcal{H}_s}$ and $s \in A_{\mathcal{H}_t}$

We construct a progressing c -automaton Σ with $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth in this final case. We let $V(\Sigma) = \{v_0\} \cup \{\mathcal{H}_s : s \in P_W - \text{Stab}(x)\}$. For each $s \in P_W - \text{Stab}(x)$ we add edges labeled by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_s}$ of the form in Lemma 4.5.1. Picking an arbitrary $s \in P_W - \text{Stab}(x)$, we add an edge with initial vertex v_0 and terminal vertex $\mathcal{H}_{s^{-1}}$. Since $|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_s}| \geq \frac{n}{6}$ for each $s \in P_W - \text{Stab}(x)$, the c -automaton Σ has $\frac{n}{6}$ -growth. By Lemma 4.5.1, Σ is progressing. \square

Note that $|\mathcal{H}_s| \leq 2$ for each $s \in S \cup S^{-1}$, so that $|\mathcal{H}| \leq 4n$. The construction in the proof of Theorem 3.5 thus produces a finite set of automata since there are at most $4n + 1$ vertices in $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{H} \cup \{v_0\}$ and each tree T_u for $u \in \mathcal{V}$ has depth at most two.

5 Large generating sets and pruning

Let \tilde{S} denote the set of reduced words of length $B > 0$ with letters in $S \cup S^{-1}$. The involution on $S \cup S^{-1}$ defined by $s \mapsto s^{-1}$ extends to an involution on \tilde{S} . Since this involution has no fixed points, it defines a partition $\tilde{S} = \hat{S} \cup \hat{S}^{-1}$. We let $\hat{n} := n(2n - 1)^{B-1}$ denote the cardinality of \hat{S} . Let L denote the length of a random set of relators in the density model. Let I_P be the set of L such that $L = B\hat{L} + P$ for $0 \leq P < B$.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a language of reduced words over a set S . The language \mathcal{L} has I_P -growth rate at least k if $|\mathcal{L} \cap R_L| > ck^L$ for some $c > 0$ and for all but finitely many $L \in I_P$.

Let Q be a property for groups or a set of relators. Property Q holds *with I_P -overwhelming probability* if for $L \in I_P$ we have $\mathbb{P}_L(Q) \rightarrow 1$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 5.2. Suppose $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ is a random group with relators of length L . If $L \in I_0$, then any $r \in R$ can be subdivided into a word of length \hat{L} with letters in \tilde{S} . We let \hat{R} denote the set of all such subdivided words for every $r \in R$. For $L \in I_P$, we let \hat{R} denote those words q_1q_2 such that $q_1v^{-1}, vq_2 \in R$ for some word v of length P over S . Then $\hat{G} = \langle \hat{S} \mid \hat{R} \rangle$ is the *associated group* to G and \hat{R} is the set of *associated relators*.

Lemma 5.3. *If \hat{G} has property FC_n , then so does G .*

Proof. Note that any $\hat{r} \in \hat{R}$ viewed as a word over S is trivial in G , since it either corresponds exactly to a relator or some product of relators with cancellation. Thus there exists a natural map $\hat{G} \rightarrow G$.

The image $H < G$ of $\hat{G} \rightarrow G$ is the subgroup generated by reduced words of length B over S . Since $\hat{G} \rightarrow H$ is surjective, H has property FC_n by Lemma 2.1. And since $H < G$ is finite index, G has property FC_n by Lemma 2.2. \square

A reduced word over \hat{S} is not necessarily reduced when considered as a word over S . However, given a c-automaton over \hat{S} with growth, one can retrieve a c-automaton over S with a slightly reduced growth.

Definition 5.4. Let $\hat{\Sigma}$ be a c-automaton over \hat{S} and $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\Sigma}}$ the accepted language. $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\Sigma}}^{\text{red}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\hat{\Sigma}}$ is the sublanguage whose words correspond to reduced words over S .

Lemma 5.5. *Let S be a set of letters with $|S| = n$. Let $\hat{\Sigma}$ be an automaton with k -growth over \hat{S} . Then there exists an automaton $\hat{\Sigma}^{\text{red}}$ with $k' := (k - \frac{\hat{n}}{n})$ -growth whose accepted language $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\Sigma}^{\text{red}}}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\Sigma}}^{\text{red}}$.*

Proof. We begin by constructing an automaton $\hat{\Sigma}'$ with the same accepted language as $\hat{\Sigma}$. The vertex set $V(\hat{\Sigma}')$ is the set of ordered pairs $\{(v, s) : v \in V(\hat{\Sigma}) - \{v_0\}, s \in S \cup S^{-1}\}$ and a start vertex v'_0 . For each edge $(v_1, v_2) \in E(\hat{\Sigma})$ we add following edges to $E(\hat{\Sigma}')$:

1. If $v_1 = v_0$, then we add an edge $(v'_0, (v_2, s_2))$ where the label of (v_1, v_2) is an element of $\hat{S} \cup \hat{S}^{-1}$ which ends with s_2 .
2. If $v_1 \neq v_0$, then we add an edges $((v_1, s_1), (v_2, s_2))$ where s_2 is the last letter in the label of $(v_1, v - 2)$ and $s_1 \in S \cup S^{-1}$

It is clear from construction that $\hat{\Sigma}'$ and $\hat{\Sigma}$ accept the same language. Additionally, the number of children is preserved, so Σ has k -growth.

To construct $\hat{\Sigma}^{\text{red}}$, we remove from $E(\hat{\Sigma}')$ all edges of the form $((v_2, s_2), (v_3, s_3))$ whose label is a reduced word in S beginning with s_2^{-1} . There are at most $\frac{\hat{n}}{n}$ -many edges of this form. The resulting automaton is Σ , which has $(k - \frac{\hat{n}}{n})$ -growth by Lemma 3.10. By construction, the concatenation of any two labels on edges of the form $((v_1, s_1), (v_2, s_2))$ and $((v_2, s_2), (v_3, s_3))$ is a reduced word. Thus all words accepted by Σ correspond to reduced words over S . \square

Corollary 5.6. *For a c-automaton $\hat{\Sigma}$ with $\lambda 2\hat{n}$ -growth, $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\Sigma}}^{\text{red}}$ has I_0 -growth rate at least $\sqrt[B]{\lambda - \frac{1}{2n}}(2n - 1)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\Sigma}}^{\text{red}}$ has $c[2\hat{n}(\lambda - \frac{1}{2n})]^{\hat{L}-1} \geq c' \sqrt[B]{[2\hat{n}(\lambda - \frac{1}{2n})]^L}$ words of length $L \in I_0$ for some $c, c' > 0$. We have

$$\sqrt[B]{(\lambda - \frac{1}{2n})2\hat{n}} > \sqrt[B]{(\lambda - \frac{1}{2n})(2n - 1)^B} = \sqrt[B]{(\lambda - \frac{1}{2n})(2n - 1)}.$$

\square

Definition 5.7. Fix $1 \leq P < B$ and a c-automaton $\widehat{\Sigma}$. We will consider $L \in I_P$. The *prefix set* $\mathcal{P}_P \subset R_L$ is the set of reduced words whose initial length $L - P$ subword lies in $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\Sigma}}$. Let $u \in V(\widehat{\Sigma}) - \{v_0\}$ be a vertex of $\widehat{\Sigma}$. Let v be a length P reduced word over S and let s be the last letter of v . The *suffix set* $\mathcal{S}_{u,v}$ is the set of words over S of the form vw such that the first letter of w is not s^{-1} and w is an accepted word in $\widehat{\Sigma}_w$, the automaton $\widehat{\Sigma}_w$ with T_{v_0} removed and w set as the start vertex.

Lemma 5.8. *Let P , u , and v be as above. The sets \mathcal{P}_P and $\mathcal{S}_{u,v}$ have I_P -growth rate at least $\sqrt[B]{\lambda - \frac{1}{2n}(2n-1)}$.*

Proof. Since any word in $\widehat{R}_{\widehat{\Sigma}}$ of length $L - P \in I_0$ can be completed to an element of \mathcal{P}_P , the prefix set has I_P -growth rate at least $\sqrt[B]{\lambda - \frac{1}{2n}(2n-1)}$ by Corollary 5.6.

$\widehat{\Sigma}$ has I_0 -growth rate $\sqrt[B]{\lambda - \frac{1}{2n}(2n-1)}$ by Corollary 5.6. $\mathcal{S}_{u,v}$ contains all words vw where w is an accepted word of $\widehat{\Sigma}$ not beginning with s^{-1} . The collection of such w have I_0 -growth rate $\sqrt[B]{\lambda - \frac{1}{2n}(2n-1)}$. Consequently $\mathcal{S}_{u,v}$ has the desired I_P -growth rate. \square

Proof of Main Theorem. Let S be a set of generators with $n = |S| \geq 7$. Let $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ be a random group at density d and length L and let $\widehat{G} = \langle \widehat{S} \mid \widehat{R} \rangle$ be the associated group. Fix B such that $\sqrt[B]{84} < (2n-1)^d$. Suppose $\widehat{\Sigma}$ is a c-automaton over \widehat{S} with $\frac{\hat{n}}{6}$ -growth.

Suppose that $L \in I_0$. By Corollary 5.6 $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\Sigma}}^{\text{red}}$ has I_0 -growth rate at least $\sqrt[B]{1/84}(2n-1)$. Note $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\Sigma}}^{\text{red}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\Sigma}}$ and $\sqrt[B]{1/84}(2n-1) > (2n-1)^{1-d}$ by choice of B . Thus by Lemma 3.3 $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \cap \widehat{R}$ is nonempty with I_0 -overwhelming probability.

Suppose that $L \in I_P$ where $1 \leq P < B$. By Lemma 5.8, \mathcal{P}_P and each $\mathcal{S}_{u,v}$ have I_P -growth rate at least $\sqrt[B]{1/84}(2n-1)$. Since $\sqrt[B]{1/84}(2n-1) > (2n-1)^{1-d}$, R intersects \mathcal{P}_P and each $\mathcal{S}_{u,v}$ with I_P -overwhelming probability. Suppose that $q_1 v^{-1} \in R \cap \mathcal{P}_P$ and suppose the path labeled by q_1 in $\widehat{\Sigma}$ ends on $u \in V(\widehat{\Sigma})$. Any $w \in R \cap \mathcal{S}_{u,v}$ is of the form $w = vq_2$. Thus word $q_1 q_2$ is accepted by $\widehat{\Sigma}$ and belongs to \widehat{R} . Thus with I_P -overwhelming probability $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \cap \widehat{R}$ is nonempty.

We have shown that with overwhelming probability $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \cap \widehat{R}$ is nonempty for any c-automaton $\widehat{\Sigma}$ with $\frac{\hat{n}}{6}$ -growth. We claim \widehat{G} has FC_2 . By Theorem 3.5 there exists a finite collection of c-automata $\widehat{\Sigma}^1, \dots, \widehat{\Sigma}^k$ with $\frac{\hat{n}}{6}$ -growth such that for any CAT(0) square complex \widetilde{X} and action $F_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\widetilde{X})$ without global fixed point, every $w \in \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\Sigma}^j}$ acts nontrivially on \widetilde{X} for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Suppose for contradiction that some $F_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\widetilde{X})$ induces an action of \widehat{G} on a CAT(0) square complex \widetilde{X} without global fixed point. We get a contradiction since $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \cap \widehat{R}$ is nonempty w.o.p. By Lemma 5.3, G has FC_2 . \square

References

- [Ash22] Calum J Ashcroft, *Random groups do not have Property (T) at densities below $1/4$* , arXiv e-prints (2022), arXiv:2206.14616.
- [DGP11] François Dahmani, Vincent Guirardel, and Piotr Przytycki, *Random groups do not split*, Math. Ann. **349** (2011), no. 3, 657–673.
- [Gro91] Mikhail Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric Group Theory (Sussex), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, 1991, pp. 1–295.
- [Jah11] Giso Jahncke, *Zufallsgruppen und die Eigenschaft FR , (German) Random Groups and the Property FR* , Bachelor’s thesis, University of Kiel, 2011.
- [KK13] Marcin Kotowski and Michał Kotowski, *Random groups and property (T): Żuk’s theorem revisited*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **88** (2013), no. 2, 396–416.
- [Mon20] MurphyKate Montee, *Random Groups at Density $d < 3/14$ Act Non-trivially on a $\text{CAT}(0)$ Cube Complex*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2020, Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Chicago.
- [MP15] John M. Mackay and Piotr Przytycki, *Balanced walls for random groups*, Michigan Math. J. **64** (2015), no. 2, 397–419.
- [NR97] Graham Niblo and Lawrence Reeves, *Groups acting on $\text{CAT}(0)$ cube complexes*, Geometry and Topology Monographs: The Epstein Birthday Schrift **1** (1997), 1–7.
- [Oll05] Yann Ollivier, *A January 2005 invitation to random groups*, Ensaios Matemáticos [Mathematical Surveys], vol. 10, Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática, Rio de Janeiro, 2005.
- [Orl17] Damian Orlef, *Random groups are not left-orderable*, Colloq. Math. **150** (2017), no. 2, 175–185.
- [OW11] Yann Ollivier and Daniel Wise, *Cubulating random groups at density less than $1/6$* , Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **363** (2011), no. 9, 4701–4733.
- [Sag14] Michah Sageev, *$\text{CAT}(0)$ cube complexes and groups*, Geometric group theory, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014, pp. 7–54.
- [Ż03] Andrzej Żuk, *Property (T) and Kazhdan constants for discrete groups*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **13** (2003), no. 3, 643–670.