Nonlinear charged black hole solution in Rastall gravity

G.G.L. Nashed*

Centre for Theoretical Physics, The British University, P.O. Box 43, El Sherouk City, Cairo 11837, Egypt (Dated: October 18, 2022)

We show that the spherically symmetric black hole (BH) solution of a charged (linear case) field equation of Rastall gravitational theory is not affected by the Rastall parameter and this is consistent with the results presented in the literature. However, when we apply the field equation of Rastall's theory to a special form of nonlinear electrodynamics (NED) source, we derive a novel spherically symmetric BH solution that involves the Rastall parameter. The main source of the appearance of this parameter is the trace part of the NED source, which has a non-vanishing value, unlike the linear charged field equation. We show that the new BH solution is just Anti-de-Sitter Reissner-Nordström spacetime in which the Rastall parameter is absorbed into the cosmological constant. This solution coincides with Reissner-Nordström solution in the GR limit, i.e. when Rastall's parameter vanishing. To gain more insight into this BH, we study the stability using the deviation of geodesic equations to derive the stability condition. Moreover, we explain the thermodynamical properties of this BH and show that it is stable, unlike the linear charged case that has a second-order phase transition. Finally, we prove the validity of the first law of thermodynamics.

^{*} nashed@bue.edu.eg

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the construction of Einstein's general relativity (GR), the coupling between a scalar field and the gravitational action in a geometric frame has been intensively studied. A scalar theory formulation was made in [1], and Jordan-Brans-Dicke later built a gravitational theory as an expansion of GR to investigate the variable of gravitational coupling [2–4]. Afterward, a general combination between a scalar field and its derivative, which yields second-order differential equations, is known as the Horndeski theory [5] that gained much attention. Recently, many modifications of Einstein GR have been established. Among these theories is the f(R) gravitational theory, which is regarded as a natural generalization of Einstein's Hilbert action [6–8]. This theory could be rewritten as a GR and scalar field [9, 10]. The above is a very brief summary related to the scalar fields in the frame of a gravitational context. However, there is a huge literature on this subject.

The above discussions show one way of modification of GR. However, there is another possibility that has been used to generalize the kinetic term of the scalar field that is minimally coupled to the Einstein-Hilbert action. This possibility is called the k-essence theory [11]. This theory is used as an option to the usual inflationary models that use a self-interacting scalar field [11–16]. Recently, vacuum static spherically symmetric solutions have been derived for the k-essence theories [16]. Some novel patterns have been derived that involves a study of the event horizon. Nevertheless, interpolating such solutions as black holes was difficult because it is impossible to define a distant region from the horizon. Using the no-go theorem, it has been affirmed that solutions with a regular horizon can exist but only of the type of cold black hole [17, 18].

Another generalization of GR is to abound the restriction of the conservation law encoded in the zero divergence of the energy-momentum tensor. Among the theories that follow this direction is the one given by Rastall (1972), which is known as Rastall's theory [19]. In the frame of Rastall theory, the covariant divergence of the stress-energy momentum tensor is proportional to the covariant divergence of the curvature scalar, i.e. $T^{\alpha}{}_{\beta;\alpha} \propto R_{;\beta}$. Thus, any solution that has a zero or constant Ricci scalar Rastall theory will be identical to Einstein GR. Explaining the behavior of the new source of Rastall's theory is not an easy task. We can consider, phenomenologically, this new source as an appearance of quantum effects in the classical frame [20]. It is interesting to mention that the topic of non-conservation of $T^{\alpha\beta}$ is a feature that exists in diffusion models [21–25]. Also, the non-conservation of the energy-momentum tensor and its link to modified gravitational theories has been analyzed in [26, 27]. The variational principle in the frame of Riemannian geometry is not held due to the non-conservation of $T^{\alpha\beta}$. Nevertheless, some features like Rastall's theory can also be discovered in the frame of Weyl geometry [28]. Moreover, external fields in the Lagrangian could give essentially the same behavior as Rastall's theory (for discussion of the external field see, for example [29]). An investigation of Rastall gravity, for an anisotropic star with a static spherical symmetry, has been discussed in [30]. The study of shadow and energy emission rates for a spherically symmetric non-commutative black hole in Rastall gravity has been carried out [31]. The quasinormal modes of black holes in Rastall gravity in the presence of non-linear electrodynamic sources have been studied [32]. Moreover, the quasinormal modes of the massless Dirac field for charged black holes in Rastall gravity have been discussed [33]. In the framework of Rastall gravity, a new black hole solution of the Ayón-Beato-García type, surrounded by a cloud of strings, is derived [34]. A solution of a static spherically symmetric black hole surrounded by a cloud of strings in the frame of Rastall gravity is derived [35]. Also two classes of black hole (BH) solutions, conformally flat and non-singular BHs, are presented in [36]. A spherically symmetric gravitational collapse of a homogeneous perfect fluid in Rastall gravity has been done in [37]. Oliveira also presented static and spherically symmetric solutions for the Rastall modification of gravity to describe neutron stars [38].

In the frame of cosmology, Rastall's theory could degenerate into the Λ cosmological dark matter, Λ CDM, at the background and at first order levels which means that a viable model can be constructed in the frame of this theory. However, a few applications in the domain of astrophysics have been done [39]. Also, a study of the generalized Chaplygin gas model to fit observations has been carried out in Rastall theory [40]. The quantum thermodynamics of the Schwarzschild-like black hole found in the bumblebee gravity model has been discussed in [41]. In recent years, various BH solutions, and in particular, BH solutions of the Rastall field equations, have been investigated in many scientific research papers. Among these are charged static spherically symmetric BH solutions [42, 43], Gaussian BH solutions [44, 45], rotating BH solutions [46, 47], Abelian-Higgs strings [48], Gödel-type BH solutions [49], black branes [50], wormholes [51], BH solutions surrounded by fluid, electromagnetic field [52] or quintessence fluid [53], BH thermodynamics [54], among other theoretical efforts [55–58]. It is the aim of the present study to show the effect of the Rastall parameter in the domain of spherically symmetric spacetime using a special form of NED coupled with Einstein's GR.

This paper has the following structure: in the next section, we present a summary of Rastall's theory. In Subsection II A we give the NED field equations of Rastall's gravity, then we apply them to a spherically symmetric spacetime with two unequal metric potentials and derive the NED differential equations. We solve this system and derive a new BH solution that involves Rastall's parameter. In Subsection II B, we extract the physical properties of the BH

II. SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC BH SOLUTION

Rastall's assumptions [19, 60], for a spacetime with a Ricci scalar R filled by an energy-momentum $T_{\mu\nu}$, we have

$$T^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha} = \epsilon \mathcal{R}{}_{;\beta}{}^{\beta}, \tag{1}$$

where ϵ is the Rastall parameter, which is responsible for the deviation from the standard GR conservation law. Equation (1) returns to Einstein's GR when the Ricci scalar is vanishing or has a constant value.

Using the above data, we can write Rastall field equations in the form [19, 60]:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \left[\frac{1}{2} + \lambda\right] g_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{R} = \chi T_{\alpha\beta} \,, \tag{2}$$

where $\lambda = \chi \epsilon$ and χ is the Newtonian gravitational constant and the units are used so that the speed of light c = 1. Here $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Ricci tensor, \mathcal{R} is the Ricci scalar, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric tensor, and $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is the energy-momentum tensor describing the material content. The constant ϵ is the Rastall parameter that is responsible for the deviation from GR and when ($\epsilon = 0$) we get GR theory.

The modification in the spacetime geometry given by the L.H.S. of Eq. (2) links to two modifications of different material content of the right hand side of Eq. (2):

(i) Firstly, Eq. (2) is mathematically equivalent to adding new material of the actual material sources to the right-hand side of the standard GR field equations, which can be seen as an effective source accompanying the actual material sources considered in the model. For this reason, we can rewrite Eq. (2) in a mathematical equivalent form as [19, 60]:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{R} = \chi T_{1\alpha\beta}, \quad \text{where} \quad T_{1\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\chi\epsilon}{1+4\chi\epsilon}g_{\alpha\beta}T.$$
 (3)

The term $-\frac{\epsilon}{1+4\epsilon}g_{\alpha\beta}T$ is the energy-momentum tensor that represents the effective source that arises from the actual material and T is the trace of $T_{\alpha\beta}$, i.e., $T = g_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta} = -(1+4\epsilon)R$. Now rewrite Eq. (3) in the form:¹

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{R}\left[\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon\right] \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\alpha\beta}T\left[\frac{1+2\epsilon}{2(1+4\epsilon)}\right] = T_{\alpha\beta}.$$
(4)

In this study, we will use Eq. (4) but we will assume the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ to be combined with electromagnetic field and takes the following form:

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = E_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \text{where} \quad E_{\alpha\beta} = F^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}F_{\mu\beta} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\alpha\beta}F, \qquad (5)$$

with $F_{\mu\beta}$ being the antisymmetric Faraday tensor and $F = F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = d\xi$ and $\xi = \xi_{\alpha}dx^{\alpha}$ is the electromagnetic gauge potential Maxwell field [61]. The tensor $F_{\mu\beta}$ satisfies the vacuum Maxwell equations

$$F^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha} = 0, \qquad \qquad F_{\alpha\beta;\sigma} + F_{\beta\sigma;\alpha} + F_{\sigma\alpha;\beta} = 0.$$
(6)

ii) - Secondly, this modification implies a violation of the local conservation of the tensor $T_{1\alpha\beta}$ of an actual material source because its divergence is not necessarily to be vanishing.

¹ In this study we assume the relativistic units, i.e., $\chi = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} = 1$.

It is important to stress that Eq. (4) with the energy-momentum tensor given by Eq. (6) has a contradiction since the LHS of Eq. (4) has a non-vanishing covariant derivative, $\left\{\mathcal{R}^{\alpha\beta} - g^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{R}\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+4\epsilon}\right]\right\}_{;\beta} \neq 0$ while the RHS has a vanishing value, $T^{\alpha\beta}_{;\beta} = 0$. Thus, the only way to overcome this issue is the fact that the solution of these field equations must have a zero Ricci scalar² which ensures the well-known results in the literature that the Rastall parameter has no effect in the linear Maxwell field.

A. Nonlinear charged spherically symmetric BH solution in Rastall's theory

In this subsection, we are going to present a special form of NED theory coupled with GR. For this aim, we are going to take into account a dual representation, i.e., imposing the auxiliary field $S_{\alpha\beta}$, which is convenient to couple with GR [62, 63]. Especially, we involve the Legendre transformation

$$\mathbb{H} = 2FL_F - L,\tag{7}$$

where \mathbb{H} is an arbitrary function, $L_F \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial F}$ and L(F) is an arbitrary function of F. if L(F) = F we return to the linear case. Assuming

$$S_{\mu\nu} = L_F F_{\mu\nu}, \qquad S = \frac{1}{4} S_{\alpha\beta} S^{\alpha\beta} = L_F^2 F, \qquad \text{with} \qquad F_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{H}_S S_{\mu\nu},$$
(8)

where $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{S}} = \frac{\partial \mathbb{H}}{\partial \mathcal{S}}$. The field equation of nonlinear electrodynamics yields the form [62]:

NED

$$\partial_{\nu} \left(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where the energy-momentum tensor of the NED is defined as:

$$T^{\nu}{}_{\mu}^{NED} \equiv 2(\mathbb{H}_S S_{\mu\alpha} S^{\nu\alpha} - \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} [2S\mathbb{H}_S - \mathbb{H}]).$$
(10)

We mention that in general Eq. (10) has a non-vanishing trace³

$$T^{NED} = 8(\mathbb{H} - \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S}) \neq 0, \qquad (11)$$

and has a vanishing value in the linear theory, i.e., when $\mathbb{H} = F$ and S = F. Finally, the electric and magnetic fields in the NED case take the form [62, 63]:

$$E = \int F_{tr} dr = \int \mathbb{H}_S S_{tr} dr, \qquad B_r = \int F_{r\phi} d\phi = \int \mathbb{H}_S S_{r\phi} d\phi,$$

$$B_{\theta} = \int F_{\theta r} dr = \int \mathbb{H}_S S_{\theta r} dr, \qquad B_{\phi} = \int F_{\phi r} dr = \int \mathbb{H}_S S_{\phi r} dr, \qquad (12)$$

where E and B are the components of the electric and magnetic fields respectively. Now we are going to use the field equation (4) with the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$, that is combined with the NED, and get:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{NED} \equiv \mathbb{E}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mu}^{\nu} = 2(\mathbb{H}_{S}S_{\mu\alpha}S^{\nu\alpha} - \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}[2S\mathbb{H}_{S} - \mathbb{H}]).$$
(13)

Now, let us assume that the spherically symmetric spacetime has the form:

$$ds^{2} = -\mu(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{\nu(r)} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \, d\phi^{2}), \qquad (14)$$

where $\mu(r)$ and $\nu(r)$ are unknown functions of the radial coordinate r. For the spacetime (14), the symmetric affine connection takes the form:

$$\Gamma_{tt}{}^{r} = \frac{1}{2}\nu\mu', \qquad \Gamma_{tr}{}^{t} = \frac{\mu'}{2\mu}, \qquad \Gamma_{rr}{}^{r} = \frac{\nu'}{2\nu}, \qquad \Gamma_{r\theta}{}^{\theta} = \Gamma_{r\phi}{}^{\phi} = \frac{1}{r}, \Gamma_{\theta\theta}{}^{r} = -r\nu, \qquad \Gamma_{\theta\phi}{}^{\phi} = \cot\theta, \qquad \Gamma_{\phi\phi}{}^{r} = -r\nu\sin^{2}\theta, \qquad \Gamma_{\phi\phi}{}^{\theta} = -\sin\theta\cos\theta.$$
(15)

 $^{^{2}}$ In the frame of Rastall theory, Reissner-Nordström is a solution since its Ricci scalar has a vanishing value.

³ The non-vanishing of the trace is an important property in the frame of Rastall's theory so that the effect of Rastall parameter maybe appear unlike Maxwell field theory.

The Ricci scalar of the spacetime (14) has the form:

$$R(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{r^2 \nu \mu'^2 - r^2 \mu \mu' \nu' - 2r^2 \mu \nu \mu'' - 4r \mu [\nu \mu' - \mu \nu'] + 4\mu^2 (1 - \nu)}{2r^2 \mu^2} \,. \tag{16}$$

Here, $\mu \equiv \mu(r)$, $\nu \equiv \nu(r)$, $\mu' \equiv \frac{d\mu}{dr}$, $\mu'' \equiv \frac{d^2\mu}{dr^2}$ and $\nu' \equiv \frac{d\nu}{dr}$.

Using Eq. (14) in Eq. (4), where the energy-momentum tensor is given by Eq. (13), we get:

The *tt*- component of Rastall field equation is:

$$\frac{1}{2r^{2}\mu^{2}[2\mu\nu\xi''+\xi'(\mu\nu'-\nu\mu')]} \left\{ 2\mu\nu\xi''\left\{2\mu\nu\epsilon r^{2}\mu''-r^{2}\nu\epsilon\mu'^{2}+r\mu\mu'\epsilon[4\nu+r\nu']+2\mu^{2}[(1+2\epsilon)[r\nu'+\nu]+1+r^{2}\mathbb{H}+2\epsilon]\right\} +\xi'\left(2r^{2}\mu\nu\mu''\epsilon[\mu\nu'-\nu\mu']+r^{2}\nu^{2}\epsilon\mu'^{3}-2r\mu\nu\mu'^{2}[r\nu'+2\nu]\epsilon+\mu^{2}\mu'\left[r^{2}\epsilon\nu'^{2}-2r\nu\nu'-2\nu(\{1+2\epsilon\}(\nu-1)-r^{2}\mathbb{H})\right] +\mu^{3}\left\{2\nu'[(1+2\epsilon)\{r\nu'+\nu-1\}-r^{2}\mathbb{H}]+r^{2}\nu\mathbb{H}'\}\right)\right\} = 0,$$
The rr - component of Bastall field equation is:

$$\frac{1}{2r^{2}\mu^{2}[2\mu\nu\xi''+\xi'(\mu\nu'-\nu\mu')]} \left\{ 2\mu\nu\xi''\left\{ 2\mu\nu\epsilon r^{2}\mu''-r^{2}\nu\epsilon\mu'^{2}+r\mu\mu'[2(1+2\epsilon)\nu+\epsilon r\nu']+2\mu^{2}[(1+2\epsilon)[\nu-1]+2\epsilon r\nu'-r^{2}\mathbb{H}]\right\} +\xi'\left(2r^{2}\mu\nu\mu''\epsilon[\mu\nu'-\nu\mu']+r^{2}\nu^{2}\epsilon\mu'^{3}-2r\mu\nu\mu'^{2}[r\nu'\epsilon+(1+2\epsilon)\nu]+\mu^{2}\mu'\left[r^{2}\epsilon\nu'^{2}+2r\nu\nu'-2\nu(\{1+2\epsilon\}(\nu-1)-r^{2}\mathbb{H})\right] +2\mu^{3}\left\{2\epsilon r\nu'^{2}+\nu'[(1+2\epsilon)\{\nu-1\}-r^{2}\mathbb{H}]+r^{2}\nu\mathbb{H}'\right\}\right)\right\} = 0,$$
The $\theta \theta = \phi \phi$ - component of Rastall field equation is:

 $\phi \phi$ -

$$\frac{1}{4r^{2}\mu^{2}[2\mu\nu\xi'' + \xi'(\mu\nu' - \nu\mu')]} \left\{ 2\mu\nu\xi'' \left\{ 2\mu\nu(1+2\epsilon)r^{2}\mu'' - r^{2}\nu(1+2\epsilon)\mu'^{2} + r\mu\mu'[2(1+4\epsilon)\nu + (1+2\epsilon)r\nu'] + 2\mu^{2}[4\epsilon[\nu-1] + (1+4\epsilon)r\nu' - 2r^{2}\mathbb{H}] \right\} + \xi' \left(2r^{2}\mu\nu\mu''(1+2\epsilon)[\mu\nu' - \nu\mu'] + r^{2}\nu^{2}(1+2\epsilon)\mu'^{3} - 2r\mu\nu\mu'^{2}[r\nu'(1+2\epsilon) + (1+4\epsilon)\nu] + \mu^{2}\mu'\left[r^{2}(1+2\epsilon)\nu'^{2} - 4\nu(2\epsilon(\nu-1) - r^{2}\mathbb{H})\right] + 2\mu^{3}\left\{ (1+4\epsilon)r\nu'^{2} + 2\nu'[2\epsilon\{\nu-1\} - r^{2}\mathbb{H}] + 4r^{2}\nu\mathbb{H}' \right\} \right\} = 0,$$
(17)

where \mathbb{H} is an arbitrary function and ξ is the field of electric charge. Equations (17) reduce to the linear charged Einstein's field equations when $\epsilon = 0$ and $\mathbb{H} = F$ [64, 65]. The exact solution of Eq. (17) for the electric field takes the form:⁴:

$$\mu(r) = \frac{c_2(c_3r^4 + (1+4\epsilon)[12r^2 + 12rc_4 - 3c_5])}{r^2}, \qquad \nu(r) = \frac{c_3r^4 + (1+4\epsilon)[12r^2 + 12rc_4 - 3c_5]}{12r^2(1+4\epsilon)}, \qquad \xi(r) = \frac{c_1}{r}, \qquad \mathbb{H} = c_3 + \frac{c_5}{r^4} \equiv c_3 + F.$$
(18)

The Rastall parameter has an effect in the NED case, as shown by Eq. (18). We return to the linear charged case when $\mathbb{H} = F \equiv \frac{c_5}{r^4}$ [66]. We stress the fact that if we repeat the same above calculations taking into account the electric and magnetic fields, given by Eq. (12), we can easily verify the same conclusion of the above case, i.e., Rastall's parameter has an effect and its behavior will be similar to the form given by Eq. (18). If we like to derive a solution that is different from Einstein's GR, we must generalize Rastall's theory to f(R)-Rastall's theory [67]

 $^{^4}$ Solution (18) has been checked using Maple software 19.

B. The physical properties of the BH solutions (18)

Now we are going to explain the physics of the BH solution (18). For such purposes, we rewrite the components of the metric potential of the BH (18) as:

$$\mu(r) = \nu(r) = r^2 \Lambda_{eff} + 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2}, \qquad \xi = -\frac{q}{r}, \qquad \mathbb{H} = 12\Lambda_{eff.}(1+4\epsilon) - \frac{4q^2}{r^4}.$$
(19)

where we have put

$$c_1 = -q$$
, $c_2 = \frac{1}{12(1+4\epsilon)}$, $\Lambda_{eff.} = c_3 c_2$, $c_4 = -2M$, and $-4q^2 = c_5$, $c_5 = -4\sqrt{c_1}$. (20)

Equation (20) shows that we have got an effective cosmological constant in the solution of the NED charged case while their field equations have no cosmological constant. This means that the Rastall parameter acts as an effective cosmological constant in the NED charged case with the fact that the Rastall parameter $\epsilon \neq -\frac{1}{4}$. From Eq. (19) and Eq. (14) we get ⁵:

$$ds^{2} = -\left\{r^{2}\Lambda_{eff} + 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{q^{2}}{r^{2}}\right\}dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2}\Lambda_{eff} + 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{q^{2}}{r^{2}}} + d\Omega^{2},$$
(21)

where $d\Omega^2 = r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi^2)$ is a 2-dimensional unit sphere.

Eq. (21) shows that solution (18) asymptotes as (A)dS and does not equal to Reissner-Nordström spacetime due to Rastall parameter. Equation (21) investigates clearly that the Rastall parameter acts as a cosmological constant. Equation (21) coincides with GR when $\mathbb{H} = F$ which means $c_3 = 0$ and this gives Rissner-Nordström BH solution because $\Lambda_{eff} = 0$ [68, 69]. From Eq. (19) and Eq. (16) we get:

$$R(r) = -12\Lambda_{\text{eff}} \,. \tag{22}$$

Equation (22), shows in a clear way that the Rastall parameter acts as a cosmological constant and the conservation law of both sides of Eq. (2) are satisfied.

Using Eq. (19) we get the invariants as:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = -24\Lambda_{\rm eff} + \frac{48m^2}{r^6} - \frac{96mq^2}{r^7} + \frac{56q^4}{r^8}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} = 36\Lambda_{\rm eff} + \frac{4q^4}{r^8}, \qquad \mathcal{R} = -12\Lambda_{\rm eff}.$$
(23)

Here $(\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{R})$ are the Kretschmann scalar, the Ricci tensor square, and the Ricci scalar, respectively. The Kretschmann scalar and the Ricci tensor square have a true singularity when r = 0. All the above invariants are identical with the invariant of (A)dS-Reissner–Nordström BH solution of GR. The discussion of the invariant of (A)dS-Reissner–Nordström by Eq. (23) with the exclusion of the value $\epsilon = -\frac{1}{4}$.

Before we close this subsection we are going to calculate the trace of the NED given by Eq. (11) using solution (18) and get:

$$T^{NED} = c_3 \neq 0.$$
⁽²⁴⁾

Equation (24) shows in a clear way that if $c_3 = 0$ we will get a vanishing trace and in that case, Rastall's parameter will have no effect which supports the above discussion.

C. Stability of geodesic motion of BH given by Eq. (19)

The equations of geodesic are given by [70]:

$$\frac{d^2 x^{\gamma}}{d\varepsilon^2} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \gamma\\ \beta\rho \end{array} \right\} \frac{dx^{\beta}}{d\varepsilon} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{d\varepsilon} = 0, \qquad (25)$$

 $^{^{5}}$ This result is consistent with what have done in [59] where the author has shown that the Rastall theory is equivalent to Einstein's general relativity or equivalent to Einstein's field equation plus an arbitrary cosmological constant

where ε is a canonical parameter. Moreover, the equations of geodesic deviation are given as [71, 72]:

$$\frac{d^2\varrho^{\sigma}}{d\varepsilon^2} + 2\left\{\begin{array}{c}\sigma\\\mu\nu\end{array}\right\}\frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\varepsilon}\frac{d\varrho^{\nu}}{d\varepsilon} + \left\{\begin{array}{c}\sigma\\\mu\nu\end{array}\right\}_{,\,\rho}\frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\varepsilon}\frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\varepsilon}\varrho^{\rho} = 0\,,\tag{26}$$

where ρ^{α} is the deviation of the 4-vector.

Following the procedure done in [73, 74] one can get the stability condition as:

$$\frac{3\mu\nu\mu' - \sigma^2\mu\mu' - 2r\nu\mu'^2 + r\mu\nu\mu''}{\mu\nu'} > 0, \qquad (27)$$

where μ and ν are given by Eq. (19). Using Eq. (27) one can get the following form of σ^2 as:

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{3\mu\nu\mu'' - 2r\nu\mu'^{2} + r\mu\nu\mu''}{\mu^{2}\nu'^{2}} > 0.$$
⁽²⁸⁾

Equation (28) is plotted in Fig. 1 using specific values of the model. In this figure we study $\Lambda_{eff} = 0$, Reissner-Nordström GR spacetime and $\Lambda_{eff} \neq 0$ of the BH solution (19). The two cases display the regions where BH solution is stable/unstable by unshaded and shaded regions, respectively.



Figure 1. Plot (a) shows the behavior of Eq. (28) viz r for BH (19). The behavior of the metric potential $\mu(r)$ which characterizes the horizons by putting $\mu(r) = 0$: (b) for linear Maxwell Rastall gravity theory; (c) for the nonlinear electrodynamics Rastall's theory. The values of m for the linear case are 1.3; 0.99; 0.8 and q=1 while for the nonlinear case m=1.3; 1.1 and 0.9, q=1 and $\Lambda_{eff} = 0.3$

III. THE THERMODYNAMICAL PROPERTIES OF THE OF BH GIVEN BY EQ. (19)

The thermodynamics of BH is considered an interesting topic in physics because it enables us to understand the physics of the solution. Two main approaches have been proposed to understand the thermodynamical quantities of the BHs: The first approach, delivered by Gibbons and Hawking [75, 76] constructed to understand the thermal properties of the Schwarzschild BH through the use of Euclidean continuation. In the second approach, one has to define the gravitational surface from which we can define the Hawking temperature. Then one can be able to study the stability of the BH [77–81]. Here, we are going to follow the second approach to investigate the thermodynamics of the (A)dS BH obtained in Eq. (19) and then analyzed its stability. The physical quantities characterized by the BH (19) are the mass, m, the charge, and the effective cosmological constant Λ_{eff} .

The horizons of Eq. (19), are calculated by deriving the roots of $\mu(r) = 0$ which we plot in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) using specific values. Plots of Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) indicate the roots of $\mu(r)$ that fix the horizons of BH (19), i.e., r_1 and r_h . We should emphasize that in the linear case, for m > 0, q > 0 and $\Lambda_{eff} = 0$, we can show that the two roots can be formed when $m > m_{min} > q$. However, when $m = m_{min}$, we fix the degenerate horizons, i.e., r_{dg} , at which $r_1 = r_h$, which is the Nariai BH whose thermodynamics is studied [82–84]. However, when $m < m_{min} < q$, there is no BH formed which means that we have a naked singularity as shown in Fig. 1(b). The same discussion can be used for the NED case, where the degenerate horizon is shown in Fig. 1(c) [83–92]. In this study, we use

positive values of the effective cosmological constant because this gives two horizons. Nevertheless, it is important to mention that negative values of the effective cosmological constant create the same pattern, which is characterized by two horizons [93, 94]. The stability of the BH depends on the sign of the heat capacity H_c . Now, we are going to discuss the thermal stability of the BHs through their behavior of heat capacities [12, 95–97]:

$$H_c = \frac{dE_h}{dT_h} = \frac{\partial m}{\partial r_h} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r_h}\right)^{-1},\tag{29}$$

where E_h is the energy. If $H_c > 0$ or ($H_c < 0$), the BH will thermodynamically stable or unstable, respectively. To understand this process, we suppose that at some point the BH absorbs more radiation than it emits, which yields positive heat capacity, which means that the mass is indefinitely increased. In contrast, when the BH emits more radiation than it absorbs, this yields a negative heat capacity, which means the BH mass is indefinitely decreasing until it disappears. Therefore, BH that has negative heat capacity is unstable thermally.

To calculate Eq. (29), we need the analytical forms of $m_h \equiv m(r_h)$ and $T_h \equiv T(r_h)$. Therefore, let us calculate the mass of the BH in an event horizon r_h . Thus, we put $\mu(r_h) = 0$, given by Eq. (19) and get:

$$m_{h_{Eq.(19)}} = \frac{\Lambda_{eff}r_{h}^{4} + r_{h}^{2} + q^{2}}{2r_{h}}.$$
(30)

Equation (30) shows that the total mass of BH is function of r_h , the charge and Λ_{eff} . For specific value of the charge we plot the relation of the horizon mass-radius in Fig. 2(a) which shows:

$$m(r_h \to 0) \to \infty, \qquad m(r_h \to \infty) \to \infty.$$
 (31)

The temperature of BH is calculated at the outer event horizon $r = r_h$ as [98]:

$$T = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \,. \tag{32}$$

Here κ is the surface gravity defined as $\kappa = \frac{\mu'(r_h)}{2}$. The temperatures of the BH (18) is given by:

$$T_{h_{Eq.(19)}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\Lambda_{eff} r_h + \frac{1}{r_h^2} \left[m - \frac{q^2}{r_h} \right] \right) , \qquad (33)$$

with T_h being the temperature at r_h . For our two cases, linear and nonlinear electrodynamics, we depict the temperatures in Fig. 2(b) for specific values. Figure 2(b) shows that the horizon temperature T_h has a zero value at $r_h = r_{dg}$. However, when $r_h < r_{dg}$, the horizon temperature becomes negative and forms an ultracold black hole. This result was discussed by Davies [99] who said that there are no obvious reasons from the thermodynamical viewpoint that prevent a BH temperature from becoming negative and linked this to a naked singularity. This is exactly what happened in Fig. 2(b) when $r_h < r_{min}$ region. The case of ultracold BH is explained by the existence of a phantom energy field [100], which investigates the decrease of the mass behavior in Fig. 2(a). When $r_h > r_{dg}$, the temperature becomes positive. When r_h becomes larger, the temperatures of both linear and nonlinear cases change in a similar manner.

Now we are going to evaluate the heat capacity, H_c . Using Eqs. (29), (30) and (33) we get:

$$H_{c_{Eq.(19)}} = \frac{2\pi r_{h}^{2} \left[3\Lambda_{eff}r_{h}^{4} + r_{h}^{2} - q^{2} \right]}{2(\Lambda_{eff}r_{h}^{4} + 3q^{2} - 2mr_{h})} \,.$$
(34)

The above equation is not easy to get from it any information, thus we depicted it in Fig. 2(c) with specific values of the parameters. As shown in Fig. 2(c) that both cases of linear and nonlinear charged BH solutions, H_c vanishes at r_{dg} and also their temperatures. In GR limit, the linear case, H_c has positive values when $r_h > r_{dg}$, however, when $r_h < r_{dg}$ it has negative values. In the NED case, the heat capacity is always positive unless $r_h < r_{dg}$.

A. First law of thermodynamics of the BH solution (18)

Using Eq. (30) we get:

$$M = m_h = \frac{\Lambda_{eff} r_h^3}{2} + \frac{r_h}{2} + \frac{q^2}{2r_h}.$$
(35)



Figure 2. Plots of thermodynamical quantities of BHs: (a) The mass-radius relation which determines the minimal mass; (b) The hawking temperature which vanishes at r_h ; (c) The heat capacity. Moreover, the linear case investigates a second-order phase transition. All the figures are plotted for $m_h = q = 1$.

Moreover, from the definition of entropy:

$$S = \frac{A}{4} = \pi r_h^2 \,, \tag{36}$$

we can show that the effective cosmological constant and pressure are given as [101]:

$$P = \frac{3\Lambda_{eff}}{8\pi} \,. \tag{37}$$

Eq. (35) can be rewritten in terms of pressure and entropy as:

$$M(S,q,P) = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{\pi S}} \left(3\pi q^2 + 3S + 8P S^2\right) \,. \tag{38}$$

Therefore, the parameters related to S, q and P are calculated as:

$$T = \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial S}\right)_{P,q} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_h} \left(1 - \frac{q^2}{r_h^2} + 3\pi r_h^2 \Lambda_{eff.}\right),$$

$$\xi = \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial q}\right)_{S,P} = \frac{q}{r_h}, \qquad V = \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial P}\right)_{S,q} = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_h^3,$$
(39)

with ξ , T, and V are the electric potential, temperature, and thermodynamic volume, respectively. Using the above equations we can get the following Smarr relation

$$M = 2TS + \xi q - 2VP, \tag{40}$$

from which it is easy to prove the first law of thermodynamics as:

$$dM = TdS + \xi \, dq + VdP \,. \tag{41}$$

Equation (40) ensures the validity of the first law of the BH (19).

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this research, we have considered spherically symmetric BH in Rastall's theory of gravity. We study the NED spherically symmetric spacetime and derive an exact solution that is affected by the Rastall parameter. This is the first time we derive a NED BH solution from the field equation of Rastall's gravitational theory. The main contribution of Rastall's parameter in this study comes from the contribution of the trace of the NED which has a non-vanishing

value in contrast to the linear Maxwell theory. We show that the effect of the Rastall parameter acts as a cosmological constant and the BH behaves asymptotically as (A)dS Reissner-Nordström spacetime. When the Rastall parameter vanishes, we get spacetime which asymptotes as flat Reissner-Nordström spacetime.

We have used the geodesic deviation to obtain the stability of the geodesic motion of the NED case. Furthermore, we investigated the horizons and demonstrated that the BHs presented in this study could have two horizons: the event horizon r_1 , and the effective cosmological one r_h . Also, we fixed the minimum value of the BH mass that occurred at the degenerate horizon. We have also studied the thermal phase transitions and showed, in the linear electrodynamics case, i.e., $\epsilon = 0$, the temperature became negative when $r_h < r_d$ and therefore, heat capacity became negative and thus we have unstable BH [102–105]. The same conclusions can be applied to the NED case. However, at $r_h > r_d$, we have a positive value of the H_c which yields a stable BH. Finally, we proved the validity of the first law of thermodynamics. It is worth noting that the result of thermodynamics presented in this study agrees with the study of thermodynamics presented in [106] when the rotation parameter a is vanishing.

In this study, we have discussed Rastall's theory using a special form of non-linear electrodynamics. This special form of non-linear electrodynamics reduces in our model to a linear form plus a cosmological constant. However, a deeper analysis is necessary, possibly regarding quantum effects in the universe. Meanwhile, the effects of Rastall's cosmology on the formation and properties of non-linear structures is a very promising research program. Furthermore, the study of f(R)-Rastall's theory will be extremely rich in the context of astrophysics [67]. Within the frame of f(R), a BH which is similar to Reissner-Nordström BH is presented [107] for a specific form of f(R). Is it possible to derive a similar solution within Rastall's f(R)? This study will be carried out elsewhere.

- [1] G. Nordström, Physikalische Zeitschrift **13**, 1126 (1912).
- [2] E. L. Schucking, in On Einstein's Path (Springer, 1999) pp. 1–14.
- [3] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 124, 925 (1961).
- [4] P. A. Dirac, Nature **139**, 323 (1937).
- [5] C. Deffayet and D. A. Steer, Class. Quant. Grav. 30, 214006 (2013), arXiv:1307.2450 [hep-th].
- [6] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rept. 505, 59-144 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2011.04.001 [arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc]].
- [7] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, Phys. Rept. 692, 1-104 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2017.06.001
 [arXiv:1705.11098 [gr-qc]].
- [8] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Rel. 13, 3 (2010), arXiv:1002.4928 [gr-qc].
- [9] E. Elizalde, G. G. L. Nashed, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Eur. Phys. J. C80, 109 (2020), arXiv:2001.11357 [gr-qc].
- [10] G. G. L. Nashed, W. El Hanafy, S. D. Odintsov, and V. K. Oikonomou, (2019), arXiv:1912.03897 [gr-qc].
- [11] C. Armendariz-Picon, V. F. Mukhanov, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. D 63, 103510 (2001), arXiv:astro-ph/0006373.
- [12] G. G. L. Nashed, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 27, 1850074 (2018).
- [13] G. G. L. Nashed and E. N. Saridakis, Class. Quant. Grav. 36, 135005 (2019), arXiv:1811.03658 [gr-qc].
- [14] G. G. L. Nashed and S. Capozziello, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 969 (2020), arXiv:2010.06355 [gr-qc].
- [15] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour, and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B 458, 209 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9904075.
- [16] C. Armendariz-Picon, V. F. Mukhanov, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4438 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/0004134.
- [17] K. Bronnikov, G. Clement, C. Constantinidis, and J. Fabris, Phys. Lett. A 243, 121 (1998), arXiv:gr-qc/9801050.
- [18] K. Bronnikov, G. Clement, C. Constantinidis, and J. Fabris, Grav. Cosmol. 4, 128 (1998), arXiv:gr-qc/9804064.
- [19] P. Rastall, Phys. Rev. D 6, 3357 (1972).
- [20] J. C. Fabris, O. F. Piattella, D. C. Rodrigues, and M. H. Daouda, AIP Conf. Proc. 1647, 50 (2015), arXiv:1403.5669 [gr-qc].
- [21] S. Calogero, JCAP **11**, 016 (2011), arXiv:1107.4973 [gr-qc].
- [22] G. G. L. Nashed, Annalen Phys. 523, 450 (2011), arXiv:1105.0328 [gr-qc].
- [23] G. G. L. Nashed and W. El Hanafy, Eur. Phys. J., 90 (2017), arXiv:1612.05106 [gr-qc].
- [24] S. Calogero and H. Velten, JCAP 11, 025 (2013), arXiv:1308.3393 [astro-ph.CO].
- [25] H. Velten and S. Calogero, in 2nd Argentinian-Brazilian Meeting on Gravitation, Astrophysics, and Cosmology (2015) pp. 171–176, arXiv:1407.4306 [astro-ph.CO].
- [26] T. Koivisto, Class. Quant. Grav. 23, 4289 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0505128.
- [27] O. Minazzoli, Phys. Rev. D 88, 027506 (2013), arXiv:1307.1590 [gr-qc].
- [28] T. S. Almeida, M. L. Pucheu, C. Romero, and J. B. Formiga, Phys. Rev. D 89, 064047 (2014), arXiv:1311.5459 [gr-qc].
- [29] B. Chauvineau, D. C. Rodrigues, and J. C. Fabris, Gen. Rel. Grav. 48, 80 (2016), arXiv:1503.07581 [gr-qc].
- [30] G. G. L. Nashed and W. El Hanafy, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 679 (2022), arXiv:2208.13814 [gr-qc].
- [31] A. Övgün, I. Sakallı, J. Saavedra, and C. Leiva, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 35, 2050163 (2020), arXiv:1906.05954 [hep-th].
- [32] D. J. Gogoi and U. D. Goswami, Phys. Dark Univ. 33, 100860 (2021), arXiv:2104.13115 [gr-qc].
- [33] C.-Y. Shao, Y. Hu, Y.-J. Tan, C.-G. Shao, K. Lin, and W.-L. Qian, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **35**, 2050193 (2020), arXiv:2005.00674 [gr-qc].
- [34] D. J. Gogoi, R. Karmakar, and U. D. Goswami, (2021), arXiv:2111.00854 [gr-qc].

- [35] X.-C. Cai and Y.-G. Miao, Phys. Rev. D 101, 104023 (2020), arXiv:1911.09832 [hep-th].
- [36] H. Moradpour, Y. Heydarzade, C. Corda, A. H. Ziaie, and S. Ghaffari, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 34, 1950304 (2019), arXiv:1910.07878 [physics.gen-ph].
- [37] A. H. Ziaie, H. Moradpour, and S. Ghaffari, Phys. Lett. B 793, 276 (2019), arXiv:1901.03055 [gr-qc].
- [38] A. M. Oliveira, H. E. S. Velten, J. C. Fabris, and L. Casarini, Phys. Rev. D 92, 044020 (2015), arXiv:1506.00567 [gr-qc].
- [39] C. E. Batista, M. H. Daouda, J. C. Fabris, O. F. Piattella, and D. C. Rodrigues, Phys. Rev. D 85, 084008 (2012), arXiv:1112.4141 [astro-ph.CO].
- [40] J. C. Fabris, O. F. Piattella, D. C. Rodrigues, C. E. M. Batista, and M. H. Daouda, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. 18, 67 (2012), arXiv:1205.1198 [astro-ph.CO].
- [41] S. Kanzi and I. Sakallı, Nucl. Phys. B 946, 114703 (2019), arXiv:1905.00477 [hep-th].
- [42] K. Bronnikov, J. Fabris, O. Piattella, and E. Santos, Gen. Rel. Grav. 48, 162 (2016), arXiv:1606.06242 [gr-qc].
- [43] Y. Heydarzade, H. Moradpour, and F. Darabi, Can. J. Phys. 95, 1253 (2017), arXiv:1610.03881 [gr-qc].
- [44] E. Spallucci and A. Smailagic, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 27, 1850003 (2017), arXiv:1709.05795 [gr-qc].
- [45] M.-S. Ma and R. Zhao, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 629 (2017), arXiv:1706.08054 [hep-th].
- [46] R. Kumar and S. G. Ghosh, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 750 (2018), arXiv:1711.08256 [gr-qc].
- [47] Z. Xu, X. Hou, X. Gong, and J. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 513 (2018), arXiv:1711.04542 [gr-qc].
- [48] E. R. Bezerra de Mello, J. C. Fabris, and B. Hartmann, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, 085009 (2015), arXiv:1407.3849 [gr-qc].
- [49] A. Santos and S. Ulhoa, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **30**, 1550039 (2015), arXiv:1407.4322 [gr-qc].
- [50] M. Sadeghi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **33**, 1850220 (2018), arXiv:1809.08698 [hep-th].
- [51] H. Moradpour, N. Sadeghnezhad, and S. Hendi, Can. J. Phys. 95, 1257 (2017), arXiv:1606.00846 [gr-qc].
- [52] Y. Heydarzade and F. Darabi, Phys. Lett. B **771**, 365 (2017), arXiv:1702.07766 [gr-qc].
- [53] J. Morais Graça and I. P. Lobo, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 101 (2018), arXiv:1711.08714 [gr-qc].
- [54] I. P. Lobo, H. Moradpour, J. P. Morais Graça, and I. Salako, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 27, 1850069 (2018), arXiv:1710.04612 [gr-qc].
- [55] I. Licata, H. Moradpour, and C. Corda, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. 14, 1730003 (2017), arXiv:1706.06863 [gr-qc].
- [56] F. Darabi, H. Moradpour, I. Licata, Y. Heydarzade, and C. Corda, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 25 (2018), arXiv:1712.09307 [gr-qc].
- [57] T. Caramês, J. C. Fabris, O. F. Piattella, V. Strokov, M. H. Daouda, and A. M. Oliveira, in 2nd Argentinian-Brazilian Meeting on Gravitation, Astrophysics, and Cosmology (2015) pp. 81–86, arXiv:1503.04882 [gr-qc].
- [58] I. G. Salako, M. Houndjo, and A. Jawad, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 25, 1650076 (2016), arXiv:1605.07611 [gr-qc].
- [59] M. Visser, Phys. Lett. B 782, 83 (2018), arXiv:1711.11500 [gr-qc].
- [60] P. Rastall, Can. J. Phys. 54, 66 (1976).
- [61] S. Capozziello, P. A. Gonzalez, E. N. Saridakis, and Y. Vasquez, JHEP 02, 039 (2013), arXiv:1210.1098 [hep-th].
- [62] E. Ayon-Beato, Physics Letters B 464, 25 (1999), hep-th/9911174.
- [63] H. Salazar I., A. García D., and J. Plebański, Journal of Mathematical Physics 28, 2171 (1987).
- [64] S. Guo, K.-J. He, G.-R. Li, and G.-P. Li, Class. Quant. Grav. 38, 165013 (2021), arXiv:2205.07242 [gr-qc].
- [65] G. G. L. Nashed and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D 102, 124072 (2020), arXiv:2010.10422 [gr-qc].
- [66] H. L. Prihadi, M. F. A. R. Sakti, G. Hikmawan, and F. P. Zen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 29, 2050021 (2020), arXiv:1908.09629 [gr-qc].
- [67] S. Shahidi, Phys. Rev. D 104, 084033 (2021), arXiv:2108.00423 [gr-qc].
- [68] M. Bravo-Gaete, L. Guajardo and J. Oliva, Phys. Rev. D 106, no.2, 024017 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.024017 [arXiv:2205.09282 [hep-th]].
- [69] A. Álvarez, M. Bravo-Gaete, M. M. Juárez-Aubry and G. V. Rodríguez, Phys. Rev. D 105, no.8, 084032 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.084032 [arXiv:2202.11252 [gr-qc]].
- [70] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).
- [71] R. A. D'Inverno, Internationale Elektronische Rundschau (1992).
- [72] G. G. L. Nashed, Chaos Solitons Fractals 15, 841 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0301008 [gr-qc].
- [73] G. G. L. Nashed and E. N. Saridakis, JCAP 05, 017 (2022), arXiv:2111.06359 [gr-qc].
- [74] G. G. L. Nashed and S. Nojiri, JCAP 05, 011 (2022), arXiv:2110.08560 [gr-qc].
- [75] C. J. Hunter, Phys. Rev., 024009 (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9807010 [gr-qc].
- [76] S. W. Hawking, C. J. Hunter, and D. N. Page, Phys. Rev., 044033 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9809035 [hep-th].
- [77] J. D. Bekenstein, Lett. Nuovo Cim. 4, 737 (1972).
- [78] G. G. L. Nashed, Astrophys. Space Sci. 330, 173 (2010), arXiv:1503.01379 [gr-qc].
- [79] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev., 2333 (1973).
- [80] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev., 2738 (1977).
- [81] A. Álvarez, M. Bravo-Gaete, M. M. Juárez-Aubry and G. V. Rodríguez, Phys. Rev. D 105, no.8, 084032 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.084032 [arXiv:2202.11252 [gr-qc]].
- [82] Y. S. Myung, Y.-W. Kim, and Y.-J. Park, Phys. Lett., 221 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0702145 [GR-QC].
- [83] W. Kim, H. Shin, and M. Yoon, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 53, 1791 (2008), arXiv:0803.3849 [gr-qc].
- [84] Y. S. Myung, Y.-W. Kim, and Y.-J. Park, Gen. Rel. Grav. 41, 1051 (2009), arXiv:0708.3145 [gr-qc].
- [85] I. G. Dymnikova, International Journal of Modern Physics D 5, 529 (1996).
- [86] I. Dymnikova, Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 725 (2002), arXiv:gr-qc/0112052 [gr-qc].
- [87] G. G. L. Nashed, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **21**, 2241 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0401041.

- [88] I. Dymnikova, Universe 4, 63 (2018).
- [89] T. Shirafuji, G. G. L. Nashed, and Y. Kobayashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 96, 933 (1996), arXiv:gr-qc/9609060.
- [90] S. A. Hayward, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 031103 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0506126 [gr-qc].
- [91] P. Nicolini, A. Smailagic, and E. Spallucci, Phys. Lett., 547 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0510112 [gr-qc].
- [92] M. Sharif and W. Javed, Can. J. Phys. 89, 1027 (2011), arXiv:1109.6627 [gr-qc].
- [93] K. A. Bronnikov, A. Dobosz, and I. G. Dymnikova, Class. Quant. Grav. 20, 3797 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0302029 [gr-qc].
- [94] K. Bronnikov, I. Dymnikova, and E. Galaktionov, Class. Quant. Grav. 29, 095025 (2012), arXiv:1204.0534 [gr-qc].
- [95] K. Nouicer, Class. Quant. Grav. 24, 5917 (2007), [Erratum: Class. Quant. Grav.24,6435(2007)], arXiv:0706.2749 [gr-qc].
 [96] I. Dymnikova and M. Korpusik, Entropy 13, 1967 (2011).
- [97] A. Chamblin, R. Emparan, C. V. Johnson, and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D60, 064018 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9902170 [hep-th].
- [98] S. W. Hawking, Euclidean quantum gravity, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975), [,167(1975)].
- [99] P. C. W. Davies, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A353, 499 (1977).
- [100] E. O. Babichev, V. I. Dokuchaev, and Y. N. Eroshenko, Phys. Usp. 56, 1155 (2013), [Usp. Fiz. Nauk189,no.12,1257(2013)], arXiv:1406.0841 [gr-qc].
- [101] P. Wang, H. Wu, H. Yang, and F. Yao, JHEP 09, 154 (2020), arXiv:2006.14349 [gr-qc].
- [102] S. R. Chaloshtary, M. Zangeneh, S. Hajkhalili, A. Sheykhi, and S. Zebarjad, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 29, 2050081 (2020), arXiv:1909.12344 [gr-qc].
- [103] S. Sajadi, N. Riazi, and S. Hendi, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 775 (2019), arXiv:2003.13472 [gr-qc].
- [104] S. Yu and C. Gao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 29, 2050032 (2020), arXiv:1907.00515 [gr-qc].
- [105] M. S. Ali and S. G. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. D 99, 024015 (2019).
- [106] M. M. Caldarelli, G. Cognola, and D. Klemm, Class. Quant. Grav. 17, 399 (2000), arXiv:hep-th/9908022.
- [107] G. G. L. Nashed and S. Capozziello, Phys. Rev. D 99, 104018 (2019), arXiv:1902.06783 [gr-qc].