

Charge order and emergent symmetries in cuprate superconductors

C. Pépin

Institut de Physique Théorique, Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

H. Freire

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Goiás, 74.001-970, Goiânia-GO, Brazil

In this paper, we present our studies of the phase diagram of the cuprate superconductors performed in recent years. We describe how a few field-theoretical concepts can be used to account for the puzzling properties of these compounds. Starting with a short exposition of recent experimental developments, we then introduce the concept of an emergent SU(2) symmetry, which rotates between the superconducting and charge order parameters. We describe a solvable model for which this symmetry turns out to be exact and derive the corresponding effective field theory in terms of a non-linear σ model. We then turn to the experimental consequences of our model with the presence of skyrmions inside the vortex core and an elegant account of the B - T phase diagram. Next, we move on to the second concept, which is the one of an emergent U(1) gauge field in the theory. Within this more general framework, the pseudogap transition can be viewed as a confining/deconfining transition. Experimental consequences for a few spectroscopic probes like inelastic neutron scattering, Raman spectroscopy, phonon line in x-ray scattering and angle-resolved photoemission experiments are studied. This theory suggests the presence of incoherent bosons at moderate temperatures in the phase diagram of the cuprates, which would undergo a jamming transition at optimal doping [1]. Consequences of the presence of such bosons on the transport properties of these materials are examined.

I. INTRODUCTION

The field of cuprate superconductivity has been considered for more than forty years now as the Rosetta stone for condensed matter physics [2–9]. It is the subject in which the highest number of papers have been published in the research area of strongly correlated systems. The reason for this intense activity might elude a physicist outside the field. When giving a first look at the phase diagram of the cuprates as depicted in Fig. 1, however, we start to see very unusual features of this material. This family of copper oxides has the highest superconducting (SC) temperature T_c (without external pressure applied) and, although the phenomenon of superconductivity expels magnetic flux, the SC phase is situated in the vicinity of an antiferromagnetic (AF) phase with a transition temperature three times higher than the SC one. More surprisingly, it is also situated in the vicinity of a Mott insulating phase.

However, these are not the only mysteries hidden in this phase diagram. A pseudogap (PG) phase also exists below a temperature T^* , where a gap is seen to open at the Fermi surface in the antinodal (AN) region of the Brillouin zone, leaving Fermi arcs in the nodal region (see, e.g., [3]). This phase, which was first evidenced in the underdoped region of the hole-doped compounds by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments [10, 11], remains a mystery eluding understanding for forty years. On the right-hand side of the phase diagram, a quasi-metallic phase exists which obeys the standard laws of the Fermi liquid theory for electric transport in metals [12]. But again around optimal doping, in between the PG and

Fermi liquid phases, we find what resembles one of the most strongly entangled fixed point of condensed matter theory [13]. Here, experiments show very unusual electric and thermal transport properties, which defy the standard theories of transport valid within the Fermi liquid paradigm (see, e.g., [14–16]). Indeed, this phase denoted by “strange metal phase” shows T -linear resistivity up to a thousand of Kelvins, whereas the Wiedemann-Franz law is satisfied (see, e.g., [17] and references therein).

Recently, the field has been revived by the experimental discovery of charge order (CO) in the underdoped regime of cuprates. It started with the observation by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) that modulations exist inside vortices when a magnetic field is applied [18]. These modulations were soon enough seen by NMR experiment [19–21], which also was able to confirm that the signal is non-magnetic, suggesting CO as the main source. Meanwhile, a very important quantum oscillation (QO) experiment showed that the Fermi surface is reconstructed in the underdoped region of the phase diagram, and that small electron pockets are present [22–24]. The origin of the reconstruction was soon linked to the presence of CO, which enables the creation of small electron pockets detectable by QO. X-ray experiments and resonant x-rays on the copper site confirmed the presence of CO in this system [25–36] and also explored, concomitantly with ultrasound experiments [37, 38], the B - T phase diagram when a magnetic field is applied (see Fig. 6 in this paper). Here, we will also focus on a few other experimental probes prominent in the study of the underdoped region, like Raman scattering [39–41], angle-resolved photoemission [42–46], neutron and muon scattering (elastic and inelastic) [47–58], ultrasound and

x-ray observation of phonons [59, 60], and probes of the electric and thermal transport [14, 61–83]. We will describe broadly these experiments, but keeping focused on the observation of charge order in the underdoped regime.

This very mysterious phase diagram (Fig. 1) resisted theoretical approaches for a very long time, but also led experimentalists and theoreticians to propose new ideas and unconventional scenarios [2–5, 8, 9, 13, 63, 68, 75, 84–128]. We would like to highlight here three main types of ideas that have been influential over the years for the study of the cuprate phase diagram. First of all, when we look at the phase diagram of the cuprates, we see that we are close to a Mott transition, which occurs at zero doping in Fig. 1. This metal-insulator transition implies a large Coulomb repulsion on each site, which is of the order of 1 eV. At half filling, the electrons are totally localized on the different sites of the lattice, forming an insulator. This formation of an insulator is accompanied by an antiferromagnetic order that persists until a temperature of about 700 K. The presence of strong Coulomb energy, which is the largest energy scale of the system, induces strong correlations on the electrons, to the point of giving the name of strongly correlated electron system to this part of solid state physics. In this first approach, the formation of the PG is due to strong Coulomb interactions, which typically induce a “fractionalization” of the electron into spinon and holon [2, 118]. In typical theories, the process is described by an emergent gauge symmetry, typically U(1) or SU(2), and the PG is attributed to spinon pairing above T_c . This type of theories invoking a form of spin liquid or spinon pairing in the PG phase of the cuprates was first introduced intuitively by P. W. Anderson under the name of “resonating valence bond” state [5, 7, 8, 98, 110, 117, 118, 122, 129–133], which involves entangled pairs of spins.

The second striking aspect when looking at the phase diagram of the cuprates is that, starting from large enough oxygen doping, we find the phenomenology of the Fermi liquid, which describes conventional metals at low temperature [12]. This has given rise to the idea that a quantum critical point (QCP), or a zero-temperature phase transition, is hidden under the superconducting dome and that the PG phase is related to a “broken” symmetry of the system corresponding to this QCP [77, 134]. This situation where a QCP is under the superconducting dome is quite common in the physics of strongly correlated quantum materials [84, 127, 128, 135–140]. To name just one example out of many, it can be found, e.g., in heavy fermion compounds (see, e.g., [141]).

The last angle of approach to describe the cuprates is to consider that the intermediate oxygen doping phase is a phase in which many fluctuations are present [142]. There are several physical reasons for this. On the one hand, the system is very anisotropic and quasi-bidimensional, which induces strong quantum fluctuations. On the other hand, the PG phase is close to




FIG. 1. Experimental phase diagram of the cuprate superconductors from Ref. [134]. We note the Mott insulator on the left-hand side, the Fermi liquid region on the right-hand side and the PG phase is in the underdoped part of the phase diagram.

a metal-insulator transition (the Mott transition) and, during such a localization transition, the corresponding phases of the different particles fluctuate. This line of thought has been pursued, e.g., by considering the fluctuations of the phase of the superconducting pairs [68, 104, 105, 115, 142–151]. While it gives a solid phenomenology, in particular, for the closure of the PG observed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy [152–156], it is now consensual to think that the phase of the superconducting pairs alone does not extend to the PG temperature T^* , but rather to a few tens of degrees above T_c [157].

This paper gives an alternative view on the PG phase and the strange metal phase of the cuprates through a set of original ideas with the potential to explain a large body of experimental data. It is based on the consideration that charge order in the underdoped region is central to explain the formation of the PG phase. Most of these ideas were originally discussed in collaborations with K. B. Efetov, where the concepts of emergent symmetry rotating from the charge order to the SC state were developed.

The paper starts with the concept of an emergent SU(2) symmetry in Section II. Applications to the observation of modulations in the vortex core, description of the $B - T$ phase diagram, Raman spectroscopy and inelastic neutron scattering (INS) experiments are given. We then turn to the concept of fractionalization of a pair density wave (PDW) in Section III. Applications to angle-resolved photoemission experiment (ARPES), phase locking of the charge density wave (CDW), phonon softening below T_c and the description of the possibility

of loop currents are given in this section. In Section IV, we turn to a description of the strange metal phase within this scenario based on the possibility for charged bosons to be present in a large part of the phase diagram. We end with a discussion of our results and a comparison of our scenario with other attempts to account for the effect of the charge order in the underdoped phase of the cuprates in Section V. We conceived this paper as a small tribute and review of the work done in collaboration with K. B. Efetov. It does not have the vocation of full novelty since most of the ideas presented here are already published, but the section about the strange metal has new concepts and some new results. It does not claim to be exhaustive either, nor to be the final solution of the problem, but simply to offer an alternative scenario for the interpretation of the phase diagram of those compounds, compared to the various ones presented over the years.

II. EMERGENT SU(2) SYMMETRY

A. Effective field theory

In order to account for the experimental observations, and the presence of CO inside the PG phase, we introduced the concept of emergent SU(2) symmetry. This concept is not new: It amounts to considering rotations from the SC pairing state to another state with different symmetry. For example, one can rotate the SC state into the AF state within the SO(5) symmetry group [104, 105, 149, 150]. This was an obvious choice at the time, since the AF phases and the SC phases are the most visible physical states in the phase diagram of these compounds. This led the authors of this theory to produce an original explanation for the magnetic resonance seen in inelastic neutron scattering (INS) around the phase diagram [105]. Indeed it has been shown experimentally that such a resonance is critical inside the AF phase, and progressively gets massive when the hole doping is increased [105, 158]. A criticism for this idea came from the observation that the resonance of the SO(5) theory forms an antibonding state and thus is situated at an energy too high to account for the experimental data [159]. The deep reason why this idea although brilliant seem to be failing to account for the data is that in the AF phase and close to the SC phase of the phase diagram there is of course a Mott insulating phase. Namely, the two states of AF and SC are not close enough in energy to allow for the rotation between these two phases to be really possible [159].

Another symmetry known to be present in the phase diagram of the cuprates is the SU(2) symmetry, which rotates between the particle-particle wave function and particle-hole wave function [118, 160]. This symmetry has been extensively studied close to half-filling where it




FIG. 2. η -modes rotating from the SC state to the CDW state and representing the SU(2) emergent symmetry. From [107]. QDW in this figure stands for quadrupolar density wave, which highlights the additional quadrupolar symmetry of the CDW in the context of the model of Ref. [107].

is exact, especially within strong coupling techniques that include slave-bosons methods. Our idea was to build on this exact symmetry and consider a rotation from the SC state to the observed CO [151]. In real space, the rotation involves two η -operators schematically represented in Fig. 2, $\eta^\dagger = 1/2 \sum_{\sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i-\sigma}^\dagger + c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i-\sigma}^\dagger) \exp(i\mathbf{Q}_0 \cdot \mathbf{R}_{ij})$ going from

$$|\text{SC}\rangle = \sum_{\sigma} c_{i\sigma} c_{j-\sigma} |0\rangle \quad (1)$$

$$\text{to } |\text{CO}\rangle = 1/2 \sum_{\sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}) \exp(i\mathbf{Q}_0 \cdot \mathbf{R}_{ij}),$$

where the indices i and j refer typically to first nearest neighbors, \mathbf{Q}_0 is the modulation wave vector, and $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = (r_i + r_j)/2$. We then have $\eta^\dagger |\text{SC}\rangle = |\text{CO}\rangle$. The rotation operators between the two states form a SU(2) group with a triplet representation. At half filling for the Hubbard model, this symmetry is exact with $\mathbf{Q}_0 = (\pi, \pi)$ [151]. Our idea was to generalize this emergent symmetry concept away from half filling with \mathbf{Q}_0 being the ordering wave vector observed in the underdoped region of the phase diagram (see, e.g., [161–163]).

With K. B. Efetov, we found a model where the emergent SU(2) symmetry is exact [107]. It consists of eight hot spots situated at the AF zone boundary of the Brillouin zone, as depicted in Fig. 3 [164, 165], where we see that such a model corresponds to a drastically simplified version of the Fermi surface, allowing additional symmetries to be present. Within this restriction of the Fermi surface, we could work out the model exactly, and found that the two kinds of pairings $\Delta_{pp} = \langle (i\sigma_2)_{\alpha\beta} c_{\mathbf{p}\alpha}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{p}\beta}^\dagger \rangle$ and $\Delta_{ph} = \langle c_{\mathbf{p}\alpha}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{p}\beta} \rangle$ in the particle-particle and particle-hole channels, respectively, are fully degenerate. The effective field theory is a non-




FIG. 3. (a) Real space CuO_2 plane. (b) and (c) Fermi surface with AF wave vectors showing the location of the eight hot-spots. From Ref. [107].

linear σ model with the effective action

$$F = \lambda \int d^2r \text{Tr} [\partial u^\dagger \partial u + \kappa^2 u^\dagger \tau_3 u \tau_3], \quad (2)$$

$$\text{with } u = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{ph} & \Delta_{pp} \\ \Delta_{pp}^* & \Delta_{ph} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } u^\dagger u = 1,$$

where Δ_{ph} is the field order parameter for the particle-hole pair forming charge density wave, and Δ_{pp} is the field order parameter for the particle-particle pairs forming the SC state. The model in Eq. (2) has properties of chirality: the constraint has to be understood as a local constraint. A study of the eight hot spots model within a two loop renormalization group has given the same conclusion of an emergent $\text{SU}(2)$ symmetry of the order parameters [111]. This has several experimental consequences: First, the constraint $u^\dagger u = 1$, when coupled to fermions, opens a PG in the antinodal region of the Brillouin zone (see, e.g., [166]). This situation will be treated in detail later in this paper, when we study the special case of Bi2201 [166, 167]. Additionally, typically chiral models like Eq. (2) lead to phase separation. We have treated this idea in a set of works where the concept of “droplets” was introduced to account for the fluctuations below the PG temperature T^* [168–171].

WMontiel:2017gfe now turn to the interpretation of the modulations in the vortex core within the context of the emergent $\text{SU}(2)$ symmetry.




FIG. 4. Observation of the charge modulation inside the vortex core through scanning tunneling microscopy experiment [97]. The data in (a) are taken at $B = 0$ T, whereas in (b) the data from $B = 0$ T and $B = 8$ T are subtracted, showing the presence of the vortex lattice at 8 T and the modulations in the core.

B. Modulations in the vortex core

STM was probably the first experiment that showed unambiguously the presence of charge order in the underdoped regime of the cuprates [18]. Measurements in Bi2212 around 12% of oxygen doping, using an applied field of 8 T and also at zero field [172, 173], are shown in Fig. 4. When the two sets of data are subtracted from each other, the vortex lattice becomes visible, but also inside the vortex core some modulations are observed with a period around 3 to 4 lattice sites. The presence of modulations inside the vortex is a very striking feature which is not necessarily present for systems with coexistence of CDW and SC states. In the example of NiSe₂, where a charge order with ordering temperature five times as big as the SC temperature is observed, no modulations are observed inside the vortices [174]. The theory of Eq. (2) gives a very elegant explanation for this feature, which identifies the charge modulations inside the vortex core to a skyrmion. In order to understand this, we notice that within the framework of the non-linear σ model, a space of pseudospins is created which mimics the spin operators.

The correspondence goes as

$$\begin{aligned} S_x &\rightarrow \Delta, \\ S_y &\rightarrow \Delta^*, \\ S_z &\rightarrow \chi, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and vector rotations operate between the three components, which are depicted in Fig. 5. Away from the vortex core, the vector is aligned with the SC state, say, in the x -direction. Going inside the vortex core, the vector turns progressively toward the z -direction, reaching precisely the z -direction inside the core. The result is a skyrmion in the space of pseudospins [162, 169, 171, 175, 176]. Note that this feature that a chiral model produces skyrmions is general was one of the predictions of the theory of




FIG. 5. Depiction of a skyrmion in the pseudospin space. Within the framework of emergent symmetry, the skyrmion agrees with the experimental observation of a modulation inside the vortex core. We see that the pseudospin goes from a position aligned with the SC order parameter to a position aligned with the CDW inside the vortex.

emergent symmetries in the past. For example, within the $SO(5)$ theory, the competitor state being AF order, it was predicted that AF order would be seen inside the vortex core. Similarly, within the $SU(2)$ gauge theory of Ref. [2], a state with loop currents was predicted inside the vortex core. The $SU(2)$ theory which rotates from a SC state to a CO state elegantly satisfies the prediction that charge modulations would be seen inside the vortex core. So the theory passes this experimental test. However, we note that the test is not decisive, since it has been argued that a simple, but strong enough Ginzburg-Landau (GL) interaction between the two modes is enough to create charge modulations inside the vortex core (see, e.g., [6]).

C. B - T phase diagram

We now know that SC and CO orders in underdoped cuprates interact strongly with each other, but what is the form of their interaction? They could do it through a simple GL coupling $\lambda |\Delta_i|^2 |\chi_i|^2$, where Δ_i and χ_i are, respectively, the SC and CO order parameters, but they could as well be “entangled” and interact through a local constraint $|\Delta_i|^2 + |\chi_i|^2 = 1$. In both cases, the two orders are in competition, but in the second case, the constraint produces an entangled ground state with the two orders. The issue here is whether the effective model describing the PG phase is chiral or not chiral. In order to examine the situation, we turn to a very powerful set of experiments where the phase diagram in the B - T plane was determined [37, 38, 177], and depicted in Fig. 6. The compound studied through ultrasound and

x-ray spectroscopy is YBCO at 12% of oxygen doping. As shown in Fig. 6, the phase diagram shows a SC phase at $B = 0$ up to a temperature $T_c \sim 45$ K. Above a magnetic field of $B_c \sim 17$ T, the CO sets in abruptly, with a very flat phase transition and a transition temperature of the same magnitude as T_c , with $T_{co} \simeq T_c$. The flatness in temperature of this phase transition is one of the most striking features of the B - T phase diagram. It is reminiscent of a spin-flop transition in magnetic structures when the magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the orientation of the spin: nothing happens for a while when the magnitude of the magnetic field is increased, but suddenly all the spins “flip” to get aligned to the magnetic field, producing a very abrupt and flat phase transition.

We have investigated the B - T phase diagram in two papers [178, 179]. As could be expected from the arguments above, the chiral models describe naturally the spin flop transition whereas the simple GL theory has to be heavily fine-tuned to account for the observed features. At low coupling between the two modes, the GL theory cannot produce a flat transition: the coupling has to be “strong”, typically larger than one in renormalized units to exhibit the flat transition. Now, if the coupling is larger than one, the two orders repel each other fully and it is impossible to get a coexisting phase. This is the difference with the “entanglement” between competitive orders given by chiral models. The constraint of chiral models in Eq. (2) naturally produces a flat “spin-flop” transition, but in the space of pseudospin. In order to account for the coexistence, the exact $SU(2)$ symmetry has to be slightly broken, by adding for example a mass term in Eq. (2), but there are many other ways to break the exact $SU(2)$ symmetry, as we will see a bit later. The conclusion of our study of the B - T phase diagram is that the chiral model accounts for the experimental data one order of magnitude better than the GL theory. Note that a chiral model (the non-linear σ model) has been numerically studied by other authors to describe the interplay of SC and CDW with impurities [180, 181].

D. Raman scattering and charge gap

A question very much debated with the observation of charge order in underdoped cuprates is whether it is a key stone for our understanding of the remaining mysterious phases (as we advocate in this paper), like the PG, or whether it is an epiphenomenon, which comes as a decoration of the phase diagram but is not central to it. A very intriguing experiment from Raman scattering claims to be able to extract the amplitude of the charge gap from the B_{2g} resonance [41]. As a reminder, the Raman spectroscopy is able to scan parts of the Brillouin zone (BZ) by selecting different symmetries as depicted in the upper right panel of Fig. 7. The A_{1g} channel is uniform around the BZ and thus does not se-




FIG. 6. (Upper panel) The experimental B - T phase diagram, where the flatness of the transition with respect to the temperature can be observed [37]. (Lower panel) The simulation with the non-linear σ model [178].

lect any symmetry. The B_{1g} channel scans the antinodal (AN) region of the BZ, whereas the B_{2g} channel scans the nodal region roughly up to the AF zone boundary. When entering the SC phase, one can see in Fig. 7 a peak is seen in Raman scattering at $\omega = 2\Delta$, both in the B_{1g} and B_{2g} channels. In the B_{1g} channel, however, we have an additional feature visible as a “peak-dip-hump” (the same feature is also visible in momentum resolved ARPES around the same part of the BZ (see, e.g., [44] and references therein), which is identified as a signature of the PG line. The novelty of Raman scattering studies is that a “new” peak is observed in the B_{2g} channel, which is separate from the SC peak. This has been identified with the CO gap in the underdoped region. This charge gap has two remarkable features: First, it is of the same order of magnitude as the SC gap, which provides an answer that it cannot be an epiphenomenon. Second,




FIG. 7. Raman B_{1g} and B_{2g} channels scan, respectively, the antinodal and the nodal parts of the Brillouin zone. The charge gap is extracted from the B_{2g} channel. We observe that the charge gap is of the same order of magnitude as the SC gap, and varies like T^* (and not like T_{co}) with doping. From Ref. [41].

the gap varies with doping, but does not follow the CO transition temperature T_{co} . Instead, it follows the PG temperature T^* .

Those two ingredients are part of the SU(2) emergent symmetry scenario, since in this case, the two gaps (the charge gap χ and the SC gap Δ) behave essentially in the same way, i.e., they have the same order of magnitude and the same variation with doping [107]. One consequence of the Raman experiment is that at low doping the charge sector has a “preformed gap” in the same manner as the SC sector. Namely, the bare value of the gap, both in the charge and SC sectors, is larger than the ordering temperature T_{co} . There is a fluctuation regime where the gap is “pre-formed”, but not condensed in both the charge and the SC sectors [182]. This last remark adds further support to the idea that strong fluctuation regime in the underdoped region is an important key to understand the PG phenomenon.

E. Inelastic Neutron Spectroscopy (INS) and η mode

The idea of emergent SU(2) symmetry has been criticized from a few standpoints. The most important criticism is whether the symmetry survives the full Fermi surface (see, e.g., the discussion in Ref. [6]). Indeed, so far the SU(2) symmetry was found to be exact on the eight-hot-spot model which consists of eight points situated at intersection of the AF zone boundary and the Fermi surface. But what happens when the whole Fermi surface is involved? Will the symmetry survive, even for a point of the Fermi surface not far away from the eight hot spots? In order to address this criticism, we first considered a model of “droplets” made with charge modulations involving a series of wave vectors around the original CO one \mathbf{Q}_0 [162, 170, 171]. This idea pushed us to consider “hot regions” of the Fermi surface, situated in the AN part of the BZ. The INS experiment tells us that there is a “butterfly shape” of the neutron scattering resonance situated at the vector $\mathbf{Q} = (\pi, \pi)$, but at a finite energy inside the SC phase. The same resonance changes to a “Y” shape between T_c and T^* , as depicted in the purple region of the phase diagram in Fig. 8 [56, 57]. At lower oxygen doping below 12%, the “Y” shape is seen ubiquitously below and above T_c , as depicted in the green region in Fig. 8. The standard interpretation of the butterfly resonance below T_c is that the upper part is the dispersion of AF spin density waves. It is gapless at zero doping and gradually becomes gapped at finite doping [108]. The origin of the lower part has led to many controversial ideas, with the most credibility given to the scenario of magnetic excitons showing below T_c [120, 159, 183]. Within the exciton scenario, the shape of the lower part of the “butterfly” is driven by the shape of the d -wave dispersion of the gap around the node, a fact that is well-reproduced experimentally. Although the “butterfly” shape is rather well-understood theoretically, the change into a “Y” shape above T_c resists interpretation. Within our scenario of “hot regions” of the Fermi surface, we interpret this result with the idea of a loss of coherence around the AN region of the Fermi surface when the T_c line is crossed. With this idea, we were able to reproduce the entire phase diagram for the INS resonance [184], as depicted in Fig. 8.

Another important ingredient of emergent symmetries is that they are accompanied by collective modes. In the case for SO(5) group described earlier for a rotation between AF and SC ground states, the collective mode was considered as the explanation for the neutron scattering resonance [105]. For the SU(2) symmetry, the collective mode η has been studied in order to explain the mode seen in the A_{1g} channel in Raman scattering spectroscopy [?] in a paper where the dependence on doping is contrasted with the dependence on doping of the INS resonance [185]. The collective mode has also been thought




FIG. 8. Schematic phase diagram showing the various type of neutron resonances in the SC phase and PG phases of the cuprate superconductors. From Ref. [184].

for explaining the incongruous dispersive feature [36] observed by x-ray scattering around the charge ordering wave vector \mathbf{Q}_0 [186].

III. FRACTIONALIZED PAIR DENSITY WAVE

A. The concept

We then turned to a more drastic solution to the criticism, with a new chiral model that encompasses the same constraint as in Eq. (2), but which sees an emergent U(1) gauge field be responsible for the formation of the PG. The main context is the formation of hard core bosons at high energy (of the order of 0.5 eV) in the phase diagram of the cuprates. This is our starting hypothesis. These bosons may have different symmetries (singlet, triplet, charge zero or charge two) and, due to their hopping from site to site, a continuum of wave vectors is explored. Of course, this idea is difficult to prove theoretically, but we will see later that some experimental evidence inclines towards it.

Out of this boson “soup” which interacts with the fermions, some bosons will condense at low energy (forming the various orderings seen in the underdoped regime), but also at some places in the overdoped regime in the phase diagram it could be the result of such a condensation [97, 182]. On the other hand, charge two particle-bosons with finite center-of-mass momentum, also called pair-density waves (PDW), might be unstable when the temperature is lowered and “fractionalize”

into their elementary symmetry components $\hat{\Delta}_{PDW} = [\hat{\Delta}, \hat{\chi}]$, where $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \sum_{\sigma} c_{i\sigma} c_{j-\sigma} \rangle$ is a charge-two, $\mathbf{Q} = 0$ particle-particle pair and $\hat{\chi} = \langle \sum_{\sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{ij}} \rangle$ is the particle-particle order breaking the translation symmetry, with $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = (\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_j)/2$. The system has the same local constraint as Eq. (2) with

$$|\Delta_R|^2 + |\chi_R|^2 = (E^*)^2, \quad (4)$$

where E^* is a constant (note that both Δ_R and χ_R have dimension of energy). The effective model is now another chiral model (i.e., a model controlled by a local constraint), which can be written in the form of a quantum rotor model (see section 3 in Ref. [187]) :

$$S = \int d^2x \sum_{a,b=1}^2 |\omega_{ab}^{\mu}|^2, \\ \text{with } \omega_{ab}^{\mu} = z_a \partial_{\mu} z_b - z_b \partial_{\mu} z_a, \\ \text{and } \sum_{a=1}^2 |z_a|^2 = 1, \quad (5)$$

with $z_1 = \Delta_R/E^*$, $z_2 = \chi_R/E^*$, $z_1^* = \Delta_R^*/E^*$, $z_2^* = \chi_R^*/E^*$. The model has a natural U(1) gauge symmetry with $z_a \rightarrow z_a e^{i\theta}$ ($z_a^* \rightarrow z_a^* e^{-i\theta}$). The model in Eq. (5) is formally equivalent to the CP¹ model

$$S = \int d^2x |D_{\mu} \psi|^2, \quad (6) \\ \text{with } D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - i\alpha_{\mu}, \\ \text{and } \alpha_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a z_a^* \partial_{\mu} z_a - z_a \partial_{\mu} z_a^*,$$

with $\psi = (z_1, z_2)^T$. The model in Eq. (6) is in turn “almost” the same as the non-linear σ model of Eq. (2), but with an additional gauge field α taking care of the intrinsic U(1) gauge symmetry.

An advantage of this new formulation is that the constraint in Eq. (4) is now very robust because it is protected by an emergent gauge field. Within this new formalism, the PG of the phase diagram of the cuprates can be interpreted in the following way. At high temperature, PDW bosons are present in the “boson soup”. When the temperature is decreased, as depicted in Fig. 14, we observe a deconfining transition at T^* followed by a reconfining transition at lower temperature when each of the elementary field (Δ and χ) is condensed. Note that this model is not the only one with a fractionalization of a composite “boson”. An attempt at describing the phase diagram was made through “stripe fractionalization”, using a discrete Z_2 emergent gauge symmetry [188]. The present idea has similarities with the concept of emergent gauge theories at optimal doping [124], or those of a fractionalized Fermi liquid [189, 190], but differs in the sense that the fractionalization of a PDW or a stripe

does not affect the elementary particles. It is closer to the concept of entanglement of two elementary fields (here, χ and Δ) subject to a local constraint, which makes the whole system equivalent to a “pseudo-spin” space.

B. Angle-Resolved Photoemission Spectroscopy (ARPES)

Now that our idea is generalized to the whole Fermi surface (and not only restricted to the eight-hot-spot model) we can study the ARPES experiment in order to determine, in particular, how the Fermi surface is affected by CO and SC gaps, away from the eight hot-spots [166, 167]. The first check that we have done is to see that the constraint in Eq. (4), when coupled back to the fermions in a mean-field theory model, opens a gap in the AN region of the Fermi surface, precisely in the same region that was identified by ARPES as the PG region (see, e.g., [43]). This is shown in the upper part of Fig. 9, where the gap regions are shown in panel (a) and the spectral density is shown in panel (b). This leads to the formation of the so-called “Fermi arcs” around the nodal region of the Fermi surface. The particularity of the opening of the gap on part of the Fermi surface is due to the wave vector of the CO gap, which affects only part of the conduction electrons, typically in the AN region of the Fermi surface. Moreover, this scenario belongs to the category of “fluctuation” theories, which were intensively studied in the past in the context of the ARPES data, considering the fluctuations of the phase of the pairing order parameter Δ [191]. In our scenario, both the phase and the amplitude of the two order parameters Δ and χ vary, only related by the constraint in Eq. (4). One very nice feature of the fluctuation scenarios compared to the other ones is that the PG gap is naturally closing with temperature at T^* , and the Fermi “arcs” are getting smaller and smaller as the temperature is increased (finally vanishing at T^*), which lead to a large Fermi surface observed in experiments. It should be mentioned that, among the various scenarios for the formation of the PG, the fluctuation scenario is the only one which reproduces the very gradual closing of the Fermi surface with the temperature. It is also important to notice that so far only theories based on a fluctuation scenario account for the closing of the Fermi arcs at T^* observed experimentally [191].

We now turn to the case study of Bi2201, from which extensive ARPES experiments have been performed [192]. The study of this compound has got some visibility because of two observations in the opening of the PG. First, the opening of the PG in the AN of the Fermi surface occurs at specific wave vectors \mathbf{k}_G , which are different from the Fermi wave vector \mathbf{k}_F identified above T^* when the PG closes. Then there is a back-bending of the electronic dispersion precisely at the

point \mathbf{k}_G where the gap opens, as can be seen in Fig. 9. These two observations actually restrict very strongly the realm of possibilities for the PG, since the back-bending indicates that a particle-hole transformation has to be present, whereas the finite wave vector different from \mathbf{k}_F is calling for a breaking of translational invariance. Note that the observed wave vector \mathbf{k}_G corresponds well to a charge ordering of wave vector $\mathbf{Q}_0 \simeq 0.3$. All these observations led to the proposal of the concept of Amperean pairing, with a fluctuating PDW as a candidate for the PG [119]. Recently, an approach with emergent gauge field has also been proposed to account for this experiment [193]. Within the fractionalized PDW scenario, we were able to reproduce the experiments, accounting for both features of the back-bending of the dispersion at \mathbf{k}_G and the closing of the Fermi arc with temperature around the Fermi surface. Our results are depicted in the lower part of Fig. 9 for direct comparison with experiments [167]. To our knowledge, those are the three only attempts to describe this experiment, the three of which require non-trivial field-theoretic ideas. In that sense, this ARPES experiment is important for unveiling the mystery of the pseudogap: it requires a theory to account for a finite momentum scale \mathbf{k}_G (different from the Fermi wave vector \mathbf{k}_F), which is surprisingly related to the charge ordering wave vector $\mathbf{Q}_0 = 2\mathbf{k}_G$. It also requires a particle-hole transformation, or some other idea (as described in Ref. [193]) in order to account for the back-bending of the quasi-particle dispersion.

C. CDW Phase locking from STM experiment

A very striking experiment, as already mentioned in the introduction, is the study of the phase slips inside the CO and SC phases. Recent STM experiments were carried out and were able to extract the phase θ in the modulations amplitudes $\chi = |\chi_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r} + \theta_r)|$ [97]. As can be seen in Fig. 10, at zero field, the histogram distribution of θ_r is totally random, spreading on all values. The surprise comes when the values at $B = 8.5$ T is subtracted from the values at $B = 0$ T. One sees clearly the vortices with the modulations inside, but then the phase slip θ_r of the modulations is locked at a unique value, say, $\theta_r = 0$ (see the second panel of Fig. 10). The phase locking is long-ranged, of the size of the sample, whereas the charge order itself is short-ranged, spreading typically about four to five lattice sites. We are thus in a situation where the phase locking in the charge sector is much longer than the correlations of the amplitude of the order parameter. This is unique to the cuprates. Within the PDW fractionalization scenario, we address this situation by noticing that the phase of the CDW and the one of the SC order parameters are related by a gauge phase, and lock together below T_c . This has striking consequences, both in terms of the symmetry of the




FIG. 9. The opening of the gap in the AN region of the Brillouin zone is shown above. The experimental data on Bi2201 from Ref. [192] and the simulation of the same data within our model [166, 167].

charge order, which behaves typically like a PDW below T_c , and in particular reacts to an electromagnetic field (note that a reconfining transition occurs below T_c). A second consequence is that the phase θ_r of the charge order is locked to the SC phase and acquires long-range correlations across the whole sample.

This experiment is so difficult to interpret that, to our knowledge, the interpretation with the “phase locking” of the emergent gauge field is to date the only one in the literature. Note that a more in-depth study of the effect of the locking of the phases proposed by this approach within a setting of Josephson junctions has been recently proposed. For the latter study, we refer the reader to [194].

D. Phonon softening

In the same line of ideas, we considered the experiment of softening of the phonon line due to the presence of a CDW order [59, 60]. This experimental result is depicted in Fig. 11. The standard Peierls theory of CO stipulates that below the CO transition temperature T_{co} , and at the charge ordering modulation wave vector \mathbf{Q}_0 , the phonon line is softened, namely, the phonon dispersion $\varepsilon_q \rightarrow 0$




FIG. 10. The STM experiment at $B = 0$ T showing a completely random dispersion of the charge order phase θ , with $\chi = \chi_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \theta)$. In the lower panels, the same study inside the vortex core, when the data for $B = 8.5$ T and for $B = 0$ T have been subtracted. We see that now θ is “frozen” around the whole sample, whereas the correlations of the amplitude of the CDW are only running over a few lattice sites. From Ref. [97].

at $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{Q}_0$ [195]. As depicted in the second panel of Fig. 11, the theory actually works for one dimensional systems. For 2D and 3D systems, a softening is still observed but does not go exactly to zero. For the cuprates superconductors, with doping around 12%, a softening of the phonon dispersion line is clearly observed, but, surprisingly, instead of taking place below T_{co} , it occurs below T_c . It is very unusual since there is no specific reason why the CDW modulations should be sensitive to the superconducting ordering temperature T_c , rather than T_{co} . In this theoretical scenario, we account for the sensitivity of the phonon line to T_c , by considering that the phase freezing of the CDW and SC modes both occur below the minimum of the two temperatures T_c and T_{co} , which here is T_c . Above T_c , the phases of the particle-particle and particle-hole order parameters unlock and fluctuate, which wash out the softening of the line [196].

E. Cascade of phase transitions, loop currents

One of our early discoveries was that a cascade of phase transitions could be produced around an AF quantum critical point (QCP), thus masking the QCP itself [168].





FIG. 11. (Upper panel) Experimental observation of the phonon softening below T_c , from Ref [60]. (Lower panel) The schematic depiction of the phenomenon [59].

This can be one interpretation of the experimental phase diagram of the cuprates, where spin-glass, charge ordering, time-reversal (TR) symmetry breaking, inversion-symmetry (IS) breaking, and loop currents have been reported in the vicinity of the AF QCP. Out of this complexity, one can already notice a body of phase transitions involving discrete symmetries and not breaking translational symmetries. Since they do not break Galilean invariance, they cannot be directly responsible for the opening of the PG in the antinodal (AN) region, but experiments show that in the underdoped region they “accompany” the formation of the PG.

We focus here on the formation of the loop currents (LC) and see how they can integrate into our theoretical framework [197–199]. As depicted in Fig. 12, loop currents are a structure observed by elastic neutron scat-

tering, namely, at $\mathbf{Q} = 0$ wave vector. They do not break translational invariance and thus are notoriously difficult to measure. They break both TR and IS, but not the product of the two. There are two approaches to the issue of LC order in the cuprates. In the first approach, the LC order comes directly from the three-band Hubbard model as an exotic, but very important primary order. The second way is to consider that the LC order is a “vestigial” order, namely, a discrete order that comes as a precursor of a continuous phase transition. One then needs to check if the discrete order is compatible with the main continuous order as far as symmetries are concerned. A notable candidate for the precursor order is the pair density wave (PDW) order, which allows for the formation of particle-particle pairs with finite center-of-mass momentum [199]. In Ref. [200], we discuss the idea of LC as a precursor order in the context of a fractionalized PDW scenario. Our conclusion is that the symmetry of fractionalized PDW allows for LC as a precursor order.

Due to the fact that the LC order is a $\mathbf{Q} = 0$ order, the experimental evidence for its presence through elastic neutron scattering is difficult and has been controversial since a lot of subtractions have to be made to the signal to finally extract the response corresponding to the LC [47, 54, 201]. Recent developments, nevertheless, reveal the presence of a signal at finite wave vectors $(0, \pm\pi)$ and $(\pm\pi, 0)$. The presence of LC at a finite wave vector being a clear signature of the signal gives hope for a resolution of the experimental controversy in the near future.

A question that is often debated with the idea of LC is that of the nature of the transition at T^* . It is important to note that the LC is not a static “order”, but rather a dynamical phenomenon. For one thing, they are not visible by NMR, which shall be the case for a static magnetic order since the typical timescale of NMR is 10^{-6} s. For comparison, the timescale of neutron scattering is 10^{-9} s, while the one for muon scattering resonance is (μ SR) 10^{-12} s. A signal corresponding to LC has been reported in μ SR experiments, supporting the dynamical nature of this phenomenon. The transition at T^* even from the point of view of a discrete order like LC is a dynamic phenomenon which is closer to a crossover rather than a phase transition.

Another concept highly debated around the LC order and discrete phase transitions is whether the phase diagram has a quantum critical point (QCP) around optimal doping, precisely at the point where the LC order and other discrete phase transition line seem to terminate at $T = 0$. Here, the experiments are a bit contradictory with both ARPES spectroscopy and Raman experiments, which seem to show an abrupt end of the PG line around optimal doping [39]. The number of charge carriers was studied by Hall conductivity by one experimental group in YBCO, Nd-LSCO and LSCO [202, 203]. They find a transition around optimal doping for a number of carriers going from p to $1 + p$, where p is the oxygen dop-




FIG. 12. Schematic illustration of the loop currents. From Ref. [199].

ing, suggesting a QCP at a critical doping p^* [204, 205]. Another experimental group studied the same quantity in Tl2201 and Bi2201 and, although they converged in finding that the number of carriers goes from p to $1 + p$, the transition between the two regimes looks more like a wide region around the critical doping where another type of charge carrier seems to coexist with electronic carriers [205, 206]. We will come back to these different viewpoints in our study of transport in the strange metal phase of those compounds.

IV. STRANGE METAL

A. Longitudinal conductivity and Hall conductivity

The strange metal phase of the cuprates is one of the greatest mysteries of condensed matter physics. Around the optimal doping, the longitudinal resistivity is linear in temperature ($\rho_{xx} \sim T$) over several decades of temperature, while the Hall cotangent, which is the ratio of the linear resistivity to the transverse resistivity, varies as the square of the temperature $\cot \theta_H = \sigma_{xx}/\sigma_{xy} \sim T^2$ (see, e.g., [14, 15] and references therein, and Fig. 13). In a theory where only one species of charge carrier is present, these two quantities should be inversely proportional to the transport lifetime of the charge carrier, and thus the same power law should be observed. Moreover, it has been shown that the optical conductivity has a Drude form as a function of energy, with the Drude width being of the order of $k_B T$. This set of observations is notoriously difficult to account for theoretically. Recently, theories have emerged which consider the special case of optimal doping to be one of the most strongly entangled fixed points that nature has ever produced. Models using Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) Hamiltonians are studied to describe such a strongly-correlated fixed point [69].






FIG. 13. Schematic picture of the phase diagram for transport measurements. The strange metal regime shows the linear in T resistivity behavior. In the lower panels, the experimental data for the longitudinal resistivity and the $\cot(\theta_H)$ varying with T^2 . From Ref. [14].

B. The scenario of entangled bosons

In our scenario, we take a new approach based on recent transport experiments that suggest the presence of a new carrier species in a doping region around the optimal doping. This species would participate to the linear resistivity in temperature but would not contribute to the Hall conductivity. Moreover, a scaling relation in H/T , where H is the applied magnetic field has been observed. The picture that emerges (and is depicted in Fig. 14) for the cuprates is that at “high energy” with $0.5 \text{ eV} < \omega < 1 \text{ eV}$, many bosons form due to strong coupling interactions. Many symmetry channels are represented with spin 1, spin 0, zero charge or charge two bosons, and they form at different wave vectors. At strong coupling, these bosons have a “hard core”, with the pairing energy being approximately equal to the Coulomb energy. When the temperature decreases, some bosons are unstable, like the charge-two bosons with finite wave vector (which are remnants of PDW order). These bosons “fractionalize” and some other bosons condense around the optimal doping, like the particle-hole bosons of zero charge and finite wave vector, producing charge density waves. A recent experiment that supports this picture is the MEELS experiment at optimal doping for the cuprates. We see that the compressibility as a function of frequency saturates to a plateau at low frequency, whose width is of the order of 0.7 eV . Scalings relations are also shown experimentally. These data suggest that we are dealing here with a “jamming” transition, which appears naturally if we have interacting bosons near the optimal doping [1].

In two papers [207, 208], we calculated the conductivity and thermal conductivity of the boson-fermion “soup” with charge-two bosons. In this picture, the bosons scatter through the fermions and become Landau-damped described by

$$D_{\mathbf{q},\omega}^{-1} = |\omega| + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mu(T), \quad (7)$$

with $\mu(T)$ being the “bosonic mass”. Besides, $\mu(T) \sim gT + \mu_0$, where the term proportional to the temperature is due to the bosons interacting together and $\mu_0 = 0$ when the bosons are critical. Since this theory is particle-hole symmetric, bosons with propagators described by Eq. (7) do not contribute to the transverse conductivity and thus do not affect the Hall conductivity. We are thus oriented by a global picture where two types of carriers are present. Bosons contribute to the temperature linear resistivity but not to the Hall conductivity. On the other hand, fermions will contribute to the Hall conductivity and, in a model with “hot” and “cold” regions, a phenomenological study has shown that fermions contribute to the Hall conductivity as $\sigma_{xy} \sim T^{-3}$ [209]. Assuming that the bosons short-circuit the fermions and contribute to the longitudinal conductivity as $\sigma_{xx} \sim T^{-1}$, one gets the correct scaling for the cotangent Hall $\cot\theta_H \sim T^2$.




FIG. 14. Phase diagram of the cuprates within the fractionalized scenario. At high energy, due to strong coupling, incoherent bosons are formed. At T^* , some bosons like the PDW do not “survive” and fractionalize. At lower temperatures, particle-hole pairs can condense, forming the charge orders observed in the underdoped regime of the phase diagram. From Ref. [194].

We have employed a Kubo formulation of the transport for the bosons [207] and also a more hydrodynamic formulation using the memory-matrix approach [208], and found that indeed such bosons contribute to the linear in T resistivity in $d = 2$. To obtain this result, we assumed Umklapp scattering as the mechanism for total momentum relaxation in the theory.

V. DISCUSSION

Before concluding this paper, it is worth discussing the view of other works on the issue of charge order in the underdoped region of the cuprate superconductors. As mentioned in the section IID, some researchers believe that the charge order and its fluctuations are key for understanding the PG phase, whereas others think it is an epiphenomenon. Among the works that propose that charge order is important, we would like to mention a series of studies where the fluctuations of the charge order are seen over a wide range of the phase diagram [33, 210, 211] and scattering through charge modes are thought to explain the linear-in- T resistivity in the strange metal phase [93, 94]. This way of thinking is closer to our approach, although the concept of emergent symmetry is not present. Then, a group of other researchers view the PG state as a fluctuating PDW [85]. Two approaches are given: In one of them, the PDW is the “mother” state from which the charge order emerges

at lower doping [119]. In the other approach, the charge order is a vestigial state coming out of the PDW fluctuations [6]. Both approaches have in common with ours that the PDW fluctuations are important in the phase diagram of the cuprates. However, they differ in that the PDW order parameter fractionalizes in our approach. Most of the other approaches to the charge order in the cuprates consider that it is a small phenomenon, which cannot be important to understand the PG physics (see, e.g., [6] and references therein). Nevertheless studies of CDW in under-doped cuprates although de-correlated from the PG, have led to interesting discussion about its origin and the fact that the charge ordering wave vector is not situated on the diagonal in the Brillouin zone (see, e.g., Ref. [212]). In particular, non-magnetic charge order has not yet been systematically found numerically in the underdoped region (see, e.g., [103]). It is still an open question as to whether the numerical accuracy will improve enough in the near future to finally settle the issue of the importance of charge order in underdoped cuprates.

In this paper, we have given an overview of the PG and the strange metal phase of the cuprate superconductors with a special focus on the charge order in the underdoped region. The main idea is that the charge order is in competition with superconductivity, but also, in a surprising and unprecedented way in condensed matter, the two orders turn out to be entangled. These mixed effects of entanglement and competition are described by chiral models, in which the square of the amplitudes of the two modes are linked by a local constraint. The first chiral model introduced to describe the PG is the non-linear $O(3)$ σ model. In this context, it corresponds to the idea of an emergent $SU(2)$ symmetry, where η -modes describe a rotation from the superconducting state to the charge order state. An exact realization of the $SU(2)$ symmetry was found and treated in the eight-hot-spot model. The constraint linking the two orders form a pseudospin space. This type of model allows to explain the opening of the PG in the antinodal part of the Brillouin zone and the formation of Fermi arcs. We obtain a good description of the PG opening observed by ARPES in Bi2201, which takes into account the back-bending of the electronic dispersion around a wave vector $\mathbf{Q}_0/2 > \mathbf{k}_F$, where \mathbf{k}_F is the Fermi wave vector. The phase diagram as a function of magnetic field and temperature is also very well described. In particular, we hold that the flat transition occurring at $B = 18$ T due to a three-dimensional charge order cannot be described by a Ginzburg-Landau model, but requires a constraint. This transition is well described by the non-linear σ model, which captures the flatness of the transition, interpreted here as a pseudo-spin-flop transition by analogy with the “spin-flop” transitions observed in spin models. A recent Raman diffusion experiment also goes in the same direction with the observation of the “charge gap” in the B_{2g} channel. Strik-

ingly, the charge gap is of the same order of magnitude as the observed SC gap in the B_{1g} channel. Moreover, it follows T^* with the oxygen doping, rather than following the charge ordering temperature T_{co} . In this type of interpretation, the occurrence of charge modulations inside the vortex core upon applying an external magnetic field is a topological object: a skyrmion in the pseudospin space. The presence of η -modes in the system can also be related to an x-ray experiment showing some optical modes around \mathbf{Q}_0 interacting with a phonon.

In the second part, we introduced the concept of fractionalization of an order associated with a density wave of Cooper pairs. The idea of fractionalization is not new, and was introduced at the beginning of the study of the cuprate superconductors with the original paper of P. W. Anderson's resonating valence bond [5]. This idea was implemented in large part in gauge theories for which the electron is fractionalized into its spinon and holon elementary components (see, e.g., [2]). Here, we take up the same idea of fractionalization, but on a collective order-parameter field: a Cooper pair density wave (PDW). The PDW fractionalizes at T^* into a particle-particle field and a modulated particle-hole field, breaking the translational invariance. As before, the PG state is described by these two fields, which are both intertwined and in competition. The cuprate phase diagram is now interpreted as a deconfinement transition at T^* with decreasing temperature and the quantum rotor model describes the physics under T^* . This approach has given us a way to think about the strange metal phase observed at optimal doping in the cuprates. The idea is that at a high energy scale, of the order of 0.7 eV and under the effect of the very strong Coulomb interaction, bosons (associated with the order-parameter field) emerge with different symmetries. Some have charge two, some have charge zero, others are spin singlet, etc. These bosons, coupled to the lattice, can hop from site to site and thus have a dispersion. When the temperature is lowered, some bosons will be unstable and will fractionalize, while others will be stable and will condense, giving rise to charge orders at different locations in the phase diagram. If we consider that bosons of charge-two are present in the strange metal phase, we have shown that they will contribute with a T -linear resistivity, thus shedding potentially new light on this big mystery of quantum material physics.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is dedicated to late Konstantin B. Efetov with gratitude for the very creative research undertaken together and with nostalgia for the wonderful time spent working in various places of the world. Kostya was a rare physicist, independent, inventive and brilliant. The community is missing him sorely.

We would like to thank the many young physicists who participated in this project at various stages of its development: Anurag Banerjee, Corentin Morice, Debmalya Chakraborty, Emile Pangburn, Ervand Kandelaki, Hendrik Meier, Louis Haurie, Matthias Einenken, Maxence Grandadam, Saheli Sarkar, Thomas Kloss, Vanildo S. de Carvalho and Xavier Montiel. We acknowledge the International Institute of Physics (IIP) in Natal (Brazil), where part of the collaboration with Kostya was happening. A special thanks to A. Ferraz, its director, for his kind hospitality. Discussions with experimentalists were invaluable for the ideas exposed in this work. We are especially grateful to Y. Sidis for numerous and often heated discussions about the experiments. We also acknowledge very useful interactions with H. Alloul, V. Balédent, D. Bounoua, P. Bourges, D. Colson, S. C. Davis, Y. Gallais, G. Grissonnanche, M. Hamidian, N. Hussey, M. -H. Julien, D. Leboeuf, B. Leridon, M. Le Tacon, M. -A. Méasson, C. Proust, B. Ramshaw, A. Sacuto, S. E. Sebastian, L. Taillefer and P. Urbani. H.F. also acknowledges funding from CNPq under Grant No. 311428/2021-5.

-
- [1] Urbani, P. (2022) Private communication. ([document](#)), [IV B](#)
 - [2] Lee, P. A, Nagaosa, N, & Wen, X.-G. (2006) Doping a Mott insulator: Physics of high-temperature superconductivity. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 17–85. [I](#), [IIB](#), [V](#)
 - [3] Norman, M. R & Pépin, C. (2003) The electronic nature of high temperature cuprate superconductors. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **66**, 1547. [I](#)
 - [4] Le Hur, K & Rice, T. M. (2009) Superconductivity close to the Mott state: from condensed-matter systems to superfluidity in optical lattices. *Annals of Physics* **324**, 1452–1515.
 - [5] Anderson, P. W. (1987) The resonating valence bond state in La₂CuO₄ and superconductivity. *Science* **235**, 1196–1198. [I](#), [V](#)
 - [6] Fradkin, E, Kivelson, S. A, & Tranquada, J. M. (2015) *Colloquium* : Theory of intertwined orders in high temperature superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 457–482. [IIB](#), [IIE](#), [V](#)
 - [7] Tsvetlik, A & Chubukov, A. V. (2007) Phenomenological Theory of the Underdoped Phase of a High- TcSuperconductor. *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **98**, 237001. [I](#)
 - [8] Senthil, T & Lee, P. A. (2009) Synthesis of the phenomenology of the underdoped cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 245116. [I](#)
 - [9] Rice, T. M, Yang, K.-Y, & Zhang, F. C. (2012) A phenomenological theory of the anomalous pseudogap phase in underdoped cuprates. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 016502. [I](#)
 - [10] Alloul, H, Ohno, T, & Mendels, P. (1989) ⁸⁹Y nmr evidence for a fermi-liquid behavior in yba₂cu₃o_{6+x}. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 1700–1703. [I](#)
 - [11] Warren, W. W, Walstedt, R. E, Brennert, G. F, Cava, R. J, Tycko, R, Bell, R. F, & Dabbagh, G. (1989) Cu

- spin dynamics and superconducting precursor effects in planes above T_c in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.7}$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1193–1196. [I](#)
- [12] Nozières, P. and Pines, D. (1999) *The theory of quantum fluids*. (Avalon Publishing). [I](#)
- [13] Zaanen, J et al. (2019) Planckian dissipation, minimal viscosity and the transport in cuprate strange metals. *SciPost Phys* **6**, 061. [I](#)
- [14] Hussey, N. E. (2008) Phenomenology of the normal state in-plane transport properties of high- T_c cuprates. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **20**, 123201. [I](#), [IV A](#), [13](#)
- [15] Hussey, N. E, Gordon-Moys, H, Kokalj, J, & McKenzie, R. H. (2013) Generic strange-metal behaviour of overdoped cuprates. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **449**, 012004. [IV A](#)
- [16] Cooper, R. A, Wang, Y, Vignolle, B, Lipscombe, O. J, Hayden, S. M, Tanabe, Y, Adachi, T, Koike, Y, Nohara, M, Takagi, H, Proust, C, & Hussey, N. E. (2009) Anomalous criticality in the electrical resistivity of $\text{La}_2\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$. *Science* **323**, 603–607. [I](#)
- [17] Michon, B, Ataei, A, Bourgeois-Hope, P, Collignon, C, Li, S. Y, Badoux, S, Gourgout, A, Laliberté, F, Zhou, J.-S, Doiron-Leyraud, N, & Taillefer, L. (2018) Wiedemann-franz law and abrupt change in conductivity across the pseudogap critical point of a cuprate superconductor. *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 041010. [I](#)
- [18] Hoffman, J. E, Hudson, E. W, Lang, K. M, Madhavan, V, Eisaki, H, Uchida, S, & Davis, J. C. (2002) A Four Unit Cell Periodic Pattern of Quasi-Particle States Surrounding Vortex Cores in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$. *Science* **295**, 466–469. [I](#), [IIB](#)
- [19] Wu, T, Mayaffre, H, Krämer, S, Horvatic, M, Berthier, C, Hardy, W. N, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, & Julien, M.-H. (2011) Magnetic-field-induced charge-stripe order in the high-temperature superconductor $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y$. *Nature* **477**, 191–194. [I](#)
- [20] Wu, T, Mayaffre, H, Krämer, S, Horvatić, M, Berthier, C, Kuhns, P. L, Reyes, A. P, Liang, R, Hardy, W. N, Bonn, D. A, & Julien, M.-H. (2013) Emergence of charge order from the vortex state of a high-temperature superconductor. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2113.
- [21] Wu, T, Mayaffre, H, Krämer, S, Horvatić, M, Berthier, C, Hardy, W. N, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, & Julien, M.-H. (2014) Short-range charge order reveals the role of disorder in the pseudogap state of high- T_c superconductors. *arXiv:1404.1617 [cond-mat.supr-con]*. [I](#)
- [22] Doiron-Leyraud, N, Proust, C, LeBoeuf, D, Levallois, J, Bonnemaïson, J.-B, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, & Taillefer, L. (2007) Quantum oscillations and the Fermi surface in an underdoped high- T_c superconductor. *Nature* **447**, 565–568. [I](#)
- [23] Sebastian, S. E, Harrison, N, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Mielke, C. H, & Lonzarich, G. G. (2012) Quantum oscillations from nodal bilayer magnetic breakdown in the underdoped high temperature superconductor $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 196403.
- [24] Doiron-Leyraud, N, Badoux, S, Renéde Cotret, S, Lepault, S, LeBoeuf, D, Laliberté, F, Hassinger, E, Ramshaw, B. J, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Liang, R, Park, J. H. , Vignolles, D, Vignolle, B, Taillefer, L, & Proust, C. (2015) Evidence for a small hole pocket in the Fermi surface of underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y$. *Nat Commun* **6**, 6034. [I](#)
- [25] Blackburn, E, Chang, J, Hücker, M, Holmes, A. T, Christensen, N. B, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Rütt, U, Gutowski, O, Zimmermann, M. v, Forgan, E. M, & Hayden, S. M. (2013) X-ray diffraction observations of a charge-density-wave order in superconducting ortho-II $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.54}$ single crystals in zero magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 137004. [I](#)
- [26] Chang, J, Blackburn, E, Holmes, A. T, Christensen, N. B, Larsen, J, Mesot, J, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Watenphul, A, Zimmermann, M. v, Forgan, E. M, & Hayden, S. M. (2012) Direct observation of competition between superconductivity and charge density wave order in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.67}$. *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 871–876.
- [27] Chang, J, Blackburn, E, Ivashko, O, Holmes, A. T, Christensen, N. B, Hücker, M, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Rutt, U, Zimmermann, M. v, Forgan, E. M, & M., H. S. (2016) Magnetic field controlled charge density wave coupling in underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11494.
- [28] Le Tacon, M, Ghiringhelli, G, Chaloupka, J, Sala, M. M, Hinkov, V, Haverkort, M. W, Minola, M, Bakr, M, Zhou, K. J, Blanco-Canosa, S, Monney, C, Song, Y. T, Sun, G. L, Lin, C. T, De Luca, G. M, Salluzzo, M, Khaliullin, G, Schmitt, T, Braicovich, L, & Keimer, B. (2011) Intense paramagnon excitations in a large family of high-temperature superconductors. *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 725–730.
- [29] Comin, R, Frano, A, Yee, M. M, Yoshida, Y, Eisaki, H, Schierle, E, Weschke, E, Sutarto, R, He, F, Soumyanarayanan, A, He, Y, Le Tacon, M, Elfmov, I. S, Hoffman, J. E, Sawatzky, G. A, Keimer, B, & Damascelli, A. (2014) Charge order driven by fermi-arc instability in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{XLaCuO}_6$. *Science* **343**, 390–392.
- [30] Comin, R, Sutarto, R, He, F, da Silva Neto, E. H, Chauviere, L, Frano, A, Liang, R, Hardy, W. N, Bonn, D. A, Yoshida, Y, Eisaki, H, Achkar, A. J, Hawthorn, D. G, Keimer, B, Sawatzky, G. A, & Damascelli, A. (2015) Symmetry of charge order in cuprates. *Nature Materials* **14**, 796–800.
- [31] Comin, R & Damascelli, A. (2016) Resonant x-ray scattering studies of charge order in cuprates. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **7**, 369–405.
- [32] Comin, R, Sutarto, R, He, F, da Silva Neto, E. H, Chauviere, L, Frano, A, Liang, R, Hardy, W. N, Bonn, D. A, Yoshida, Y, Eisaki, H, Achkar, A. J, Hawthorn, D. G, Keimer, B, Sawatzky, G. A, & Damascelli, A. (2015) Symmetry of charge order in cuprates. *Nat Mater* **14**, 796–800.
- [33] Ghiringhelli, G, Le Tacon, M, Minola, M, Blanco-Canosa, S, Mazzoli, C, Brookes, N. B, De Luca, G. M, Frano, A, Hawthorn, D. G, He, F, Loew, T, Sala, M. M, Peets, D. C, Salluzzo, M, Schierle, E, Sutarto, R, Sawatzky, G. A, Weschke, E, Keimer, B, & Braicovich, L. (2012) Long-Range Incommensurate Charge Fluctuations in $(\text{Y,Nd})\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. *Science* **337**, 821–825. [V](#)
- [34] Blanco-Canosa, S, Frano, A, Loew, T, Lu, Y, Porras, J, Ghiringhelli, G, Minola, M, Mazzoli, C, Braicovich, L, Schierle, E, Weschke, E, Le Tacon, M, & Keimer, B. (2013) Momentum-Dependent Charge Correlations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+\delta}$ Superconductors Probed by Resonant X-Ray Scattering: Evidence for Three Competing Phases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 187001.

- [35] Blanco-Canosa, S, Frano, A, Schierle, E, Porras, J, Loew, T, Minola, M, Bluschke, M, Weschke, E, Keimer, B, & Le Tacon, M. (2014) Resonant x-ray scattering study of charge-density wave correlations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 054513.
- [36] Chaix, L, Ghiringhelli, G, Peng, Y. Y, Hashimoto, M, Moritz, B, Kummer, K, Brookes, N. B, He, Y, Chen, S, Ishida, S, Yoshida, Y, Eisaki, H, Salluzzo, M, Braicovich, L, Shen, Z.-X, Devereaux, T. P, & Lee, W. S. (2017) Dispersive charge density wave excitations in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$. *Nature Physics* **13**, 952–956. [I](#), [II E](#)
- [37] LeBoeuf, D, Kramer, S, Hardy, W. N, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, & Proust, C. (2013) Thermodynamic phase diagram of static charge order in underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y$. *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 79–83. [I](#), [II C](#), [6](#)
- [38] Chang, J, Blackburn, E, Ivashko, O, Holmes, A. T, Christensen, N. B, Huecker, M, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Ruett, U, Zimmermann, M. V, Forgan, E. M, & Hayden, S. M. (2016) Magnetic field controlled charge density wave coupling in underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11494. [I](#), [II C](#)
- [39] Benhabib, S, Sacuto, A, Civelli, M, Paul, I, Cazayous, M, Gallais, Y, Méasson, M. A, Zhong, R. D, Schneeloch, J, Gu, G. D, Colson, D, & Forget, A. (2015) Collapse of the Normal-State Pseudogap at a Lifshitz Transition in the $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ Cuprate Superconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 147001. [I](#), [III E](#)
- [40] Benhabib, S, Gallais, Y, Cazayous, M, Méasson, M. A, Zhong, R. D, Schneeloch, J, Forget, A, Gu, G. D, Colson, D, & Sacuto, A. (2015) Three energy scales in the superconducting state of hole-doped cuprates detected by electronic Raman scattering. *Physical Review B* **92**, 134502.
- [41] Loret, B, Auvray, N, Gallais, Y, Cazayous, M, Forget, A, Colson, D, Julien, M.-H, Paul, I, Civelli, M, & Sacuto, A. (2019) Intimate link between charge density wave, pseudogap and superconducting energy scales in cuprates. *Nature Physics* **15**, 771–775. [I](#), [II D](#), [7](#)
- [42] Damascelli, A, Hussain, Z, & Shen, Z.-X. (2003) Angle-resolved photoemission studies of the cuprate superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 473–541. [I](#)
- [43] Vishik, I. M. (2018) Photoemission perspective on pseudogap, superconducting fluctuations, and charge order in cuprates: a review of recent progress. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **81**, 062501. [III B](#)
- [44] Vishik, I. M, Lee, W. S, He, R. H, Hashimoto, M, Hussain, Z, Devereaux, T. P, & Shen, Z.-X. (2010) ARPES studies of cuprate Fermiology: superconductivity, pseudogap and quasiparticle dynamics. *New Journal of Physics* **12**, 105008–19. [II D](#)
- [45] Vishik, I. M, Lee, W. S, Schmitt, F, Moritz, B, Sasagawa, T, Uchida, S, Fujita, K, Ishida, S, Zhang, C, & Devereaux, T. P. (2010) Doping-dependent nodal Fermi velocity of the high-temperature superconductor $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ revealed using high-resolution angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 207002.
- [46] Vishik, I. M, Hashimoto, M, He, R.-H, Lee, W.-S, Schmitt, F, Lu, D, Moore, R. G, Zhang, C, Meevasana, W, Sasagawa, T, Uchida, S, Fujita, K, Ishida, S, Ishikado, M, Yoshida, Y, Eisaki, H, Hussain, Z, Devereaux, T. P, & Shen, Z.-X. (2012) Phase competition in trisected superconducting dome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 18332–18337. [I](#)
- [47] Fauqué, B, Sidis, Y, Hinkov, V, Pailhès, S, Lin, C. T, Chaud, X, & Bourges, P. (2006) Magnetic order in the pseudogap phase of high- T_C superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 197001. [I](#), [III E](#)
- [48] Eschrig, M. (2006) The effect of collective spin-1 excitations on electronic spectra in high- T_c superconductors. *Advances in Physics* **55**, 47–183.
- [49] Mangin-Thro, L, Sidis, Y, Bourges, P, De Almeida-Didry, S, Giovannelli, F, & Laffez-Monot, I. (2014) Characterization of the intra-unit-cell magnetic order in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$. *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 094523.
- [50] Mangin-Thro, L, Li, Y, Sidis, Y, & Bourges, P. (2017) $a-b$ anisotropy of the intra-unit-cell magnetic order in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 097003.
- [51] Mangin-Thro, L, Sidis, Y, Wildes, A, & Bourges, P. (2015) Intra-unit-cell magnetic correlations near optimal doping in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.85}$. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7705.
- [52] Mangin-Thro, L, Sidis, Y, & Bourges, P. (2014) Characterization of the intra-unit-cell magnetic order in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$. *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 094523.
- [53] Balédent, V, Haug, D, Sidis, Y, Hinkov, V, Lin, C. T, & Bourges, P. (2011) Evidence for competing magnetic instabilities in underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 104504.
- [54] Bourges, P & Sidis, Y. (2011) Novel magnetic order in the pseudogap state of high- T_c copper oxides superconductors. *Comptes Rendus Physique* **12**, 461–479. [III E](#)
- [55] Hayden, S. M, Mook, H. A, Dai, P, Perring, T. G, & Dogan, F. (2004) The structure of the high-energy spin excitations in a high-transition-temperature superconductor. *Nature* **429**, 531–534.
- [56] Hinkov, V, Pailhès, S, Bourges, P, Sidis, Y, Ivanov, A, Kulakov, A, Lin, C. T, Chen, D. P, Bernhard, C, & Keimer, B. (2004) Two-dimensional geometry of spin excitations in the high-transition-temperature superconductor $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. *Nature* **430**, 650–654. [II E](#)
- [57] Hinkov, V, Bourges, P, Pailhès, S, Sidis, Y, Ivanov, A, Frost, C. D, Perring, T. G, Lin, C. T, Chen, D. P, & Keimer, B. (2007) Spin dynamics in the pseudogap state of a high-temperature superconductor. *Nat. Phys.* **3**, 780–785. [II E](#)
- [58] Dai, P, Mook, H. A, Hayden, S. M, Aeppli, G, Perring, T. G, Hunt, R. D, & Doğan, F. (1999) The Magnetic Excitation Spectrum and Thermodynamics of High- T_c Superconductors. *Science* **284**, 1344–1347. [I](#)
- [59] Blackburn, E, Chang, J, Said, A. H, Leu, B. M, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Forgan, E. M, & Hayden, S. M. (2013) Inelastic x-ray study of phonon broadening and charge-density wave formation in ortho-II-ordered $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.54}$. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 054506. [I](#), [III D](#), [11](#)
- [60] Le Tacon, M, Bosak, A, Souliou, S. M, Dellea, G, Loew, T, Heid, R, Bohnen, K.-P, Ghiringhelli, G, Krisch, M, & Keimer, B. (2014) Inelastic x-ray scattering in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ reveals giant phonon anomalies and elastic central peak due to charge-density-wave formation. *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 52–58. [I](#), [III D](#), [11](#)
- [61] Abdel-Jawad, M, Kennett, M. P, Balicas, L, Carrington, A, Mackenzie, A. P, McKenzie, R. H, & Hussey, N. E. (2006) Anisotropic scattering and anomalous normal-state transport in a high-temperature superconductor. *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 821–825. [I](#)
- [62] Abdel-Jawad, M, Analytis, J. G, Balicas, L, Carrington, A, Charmant, J. P. H, French, M. M. J, & Hussey, N. E. (2007) Correlation between the Superconduct-

- ing Transition Temperature and Anisotropic Quasiparticle Scattering in $Tl_2Ba_2CuO_{6+\delta}$. *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **99**, 107002–4.
- [63] Barišić, N, Chan, M. K, Veit, M. J, Dorow, C. J, Ge, Y, Tang, Y, Tabis, W, Yu, G, Zhao, X, & Greven, M. (2015) Hidden Fermi-liquid behavior throughout the phase diagram of the cuprates. *arXiv.org*. **I**
- [64] Bozovic, I, Logvenov, G, Verhoeven, M. A. J, Caputo, P, Goldobin, E, & Beasley, M. R. (2004) Giant proximity effect in cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 157002.
- [65] Chen, S.-D, Hashimoto, M, He, Y, Song, D, Xu, K.-J, He, J.-F, Devereaux, T. P, Eisaki, H, Lu, D.-H, Zaanen, J, et al. (2019) Incoherent strange metal sharply bounded by a critical doping in $Bi2212$. *Science* **366**, 1099–1102.
- [66] Cyr-Choiniere, O, Daou, R, Laliberte, F, Collignon, C, Badoux, S, LeBoeuf, D, Chang, J, Ramshaw, B. J, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Liang, R, Yan, J. Q, Cheng, J. G, Zhou, J. S, Goodenough, J. B, Pyon, S, Takayama, T, Takagi, H, Doiron-Leyraud, N, & Taillefer, L. (2018) Pseudogap temperature T^* of cuprate superconductors from the Nernst effect. *Physical Review B* **97**, 064502.
- [67] Grissonnanche, G, Legros, A, Badoux, S, Lefrançois, E, Zatkó, V, Lizaire, M, Laliberté, F, Gourgout, A, Zhou, J.-S, Pyon, S, Takayama, T, Takagi, H, Ono, S, Doiron-Leyraud, N, & Taillefer, L. (2019) Giant thermal hall conductivity in the pseudogap phase of cuprate superconductors. *Nature* **571**, 376–380.
- [68] Hartnoll, S. A. (2015) Theory of universal incoherent metallic transport. *Nature Physics* **11**, 54–61. **I**
- [69] Hartnoll, S. A, Lucas, A, & Sachdev, S. (2018) *Holographic quantum matter*. (MIT press). **IV A**
- [70] Homes, C. C, Dordevic, S. V, Strongin, M, Bonn, D. A, Liang, R, Hardy, W. N, Komiyama, S, Ando, Y, Yu, G, Kaneko, N, Zhao, X, Greven, M, Basov, D. N, & Timusk, T. (2004) A universal scaling relation in high-temperature superconductors. *Nature (London)* **430**, 539–541.
- [71] Homes, C. C, Dordevic, S. V, Valla, T, & Strongin, M. (2005) Scaling of the superfluid density in high-temperature superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 134517.
- [72] Hussey, N, Abdel-Jawad, M, Carrington, A, Mackenzie, A, & Balicas, L. (2003) A coherent three-dimensional fermi surface in a high-transition-temperature superconductor. *Nature* **425**, 814–817.
- [73] Hwang, H. Y, Batlogg, B, Takagi, H, Kao, H. L, Kwo, J, Cava, R. J, Krajewski, J. J, & Peck, W. F. (1994) Scaling of the temperature dependent hall effect in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 2636–2639.
- [74] Lobo, R. (2011) in *High-Temperature Superconductors*. (Elsevier), pp. 103–146.
- [75] Kokalj, J, Hussey, N. E, & McKenzie, R. H. (2012) Transport properties of the metallic state of overdoped cuprate superconductors from an anisotropic marginal fermi liquid model. *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 045132. **I**
- [76] Kokalj, J & McKenzie, R. H. (2011) Consistent description of the metallic phase of overdoped cuprate superconductors as an anisotropic marginal fermi liquid. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 147001.
- [77] Tallon, J & Loram, J. (2001) The doping dependence of t^* - what is the real high- t_c phase diagram? *Physica C* **349**, 53 – 68. **I**
- [78] Tallon, J. L, Bernhard, C, Shaked, H, Hitterman, R. L, & Jorgensen, J. D. (1995) Generic superconducting phase behavior in high- t_c cuprates: t_c variation with hole concentration in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$. *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 12911–12914.
- [79] Wang, Y, Li, L, & Ong, N. P. (2006) Nernst effect in high- T_c superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 024510.
- [80] Davison, R. A, Schalm, K, & Zaanen, J. (2014) Holographic duality and the resistivity of strange metals. *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 245116.
- [81] Rullier-Albenque, F, Vieillefond, P. A, Alloul, H, Tyler, A. W, Lejay, P, & Marucco, J. F. (2000) Universal T_c depression by irradiation defects in underdoped and overdoped cuprates? *EuroPhys. Lett.* **50**, 81–87.
- [82] Rullier-Albenque, F, Alloul, H, Proust, C, Lejay, P, Forget, A, & Colson, D. (2007) Total Suppression of Superconductivity by High Magnetic Fields in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.6}$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 027003.
- [83] Rullier-Albenque, F, Alloul, H, & Rikken, G. (2011) High-field studies of superconducting fluctuations in high- T_c cuprates: Evidence for a small gap distinct from the large pseudogap. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 014522. **I**
- [84] Abanov, A, Chubukov, A. V, & Schmalian, J. (2003) Quantum-critical theory of the spin-fermion model and its application to cuprates: Normal state analysis. *Adv. Phys.* **52**, 119–218. **I**
- [85] Agterberg, D. F, Davis, J. S, Edkins, S. D, Fradkin, E, Van Harlingen, D. J, Kivelson, S. A, Lee, P. A, Radzihovsky, L, Tranquada, J. M, & Wang, Y. (2020) The physics of pair-density waves: Cuprate superconductors and beyond. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **11**, 231–270. **V**
- [86] Altman, E & Auerbach, A. (2002) Plaquette boson-fermion model of cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 104508.
- [87] Atkinson, W. A, Kampf, A. P, & Bulut, S. (2015) Charge order in the pseudogap phase of cuprate superconductors. *New J. Phys.* **17**, 013025.
- [88] Atkinson, W. A & Kampf, A. P. (2015) Effect of point-like impurities on $d \times 2 - y^2$ charge-density waves in cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 104509.
- [89] Benfatto, L, Caprara, S, & Castro, C. D. (2000) Gap and pseudogap evolution within the charge-ordering scenario for superconducting cuprates. *Eur. Phys. J. B* **17**, 95–102.
- [90] Benfatto, L, Castellani, C, & Giamarchi, T. (2007) Kosterlitz-Thouless Behavior in Layered Superconductors: The Role of the Vortex Core Energy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 117008.
- [91] Berg, E, Orgad, D, & Kivelson, S. A. (2008) Route to high-temperature superconductivity in composite systems. *Physical Review B* **78**, 094509.
- [92] Berg, E, Fradkin, E, & Kivelson, S. A. (2009) Theory of the striped superconductor. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 064515.
- [93] Caprara, S, Grilli, M, Castro, C. D, & Seibold, G. (2016) Pseudogap and (an)isotropic scattering in the fluctuating charge-density wave phase of cuprates. *Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism* **30**, 25–30. **V**
- [94] Caprara, S, Di Castro, C, Seibold, G, & Grilli, M. (2016) Dynamical charge density waves rule the phase diagram of cuprates. *arXiv.org*. **V**
- [95] Carbotte, J. P, Timusk, T, & Hwang, J. (2011) Bosons in high-temperature superconductors: an experimental survey. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **74**, 066501.
- [96] Carlson, E. W, Kivelson, S. A, Orgad, D, & Emery, V.

- J. (2004) Concepts in High Temperature Superconductivity (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg).
- [97] Chakraborty, D, Grandadam, M, Hamidian, M. H, Davis, J. C. S, Sidis, Y, & Pépin, C. (2019) Fractionalized pair density wave in the pseudogap phase of cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 224511. [4](#), [III A](#), [III C](#), [10](#)
- [98] Chakravarty, S, Laughlin, R. B, Morr, D. K, & Nayak, C. (2001) Hidden order in the cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 094503. [I](#)
- [99] Chatterjee, S, Guo, H, Sachdev, S, Samajdar, R, Scheurer, M. S, Seiberg, N, & Xu, C. (2019) Field-induced transition to semion topological order from the square-lattice N\`eel state. *arXiv e-prints* p. arXiv:1903.01992.
- [100] Chowdhury, D & Sachdev, S. (2014) Density-wave instabilities of fractionalized fermi liquids. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 245136.
- [101] Civelli, M, Capone, M, Kancharla, S, Parcollet, O, & Kotliar, G. (2005) Dynamical breakup of the fermi surface in a doped mott insulator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 106402.
- [102] Coleman, P, Schofield, A. J, & Tsvelik, A. M. (1996) Phenomenological transport equation for the cuprate metals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 1324–1327.
- [103] Corboz, P, Rice, T. M, & Troyer, M. (2014) Competing states in the t - j model: Uniform d -wave state versus stripe state. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 046402. [V](#)
- [104] Demler, E, Hanke, W, & Zhang, S.-C. (2004) $SO(5)$ theory of antiferromagnetism and superconductivity. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76**, 909–974. [I](#), [II A](#)
- [105] Demler, E & Zhang, S.-C. (1995) Theory of the resonant neutron scattering of high- T_c superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4126–4129. [I](#), [II A](#), [II A](#), [II A](#), [III E](#)
- [106] Deutscher, G. (1999) Coherence and single-particle excitations in the high-temperature superconductors. *Nature* **397**, 410–412.
- [107] Efetov, K. B, Meier, H, & Pépin, C. (2013) Pseudogap state near a quantum critical point. *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 442–446. [2](#), [II A](#), [3](#), [II D](#)
- [108] Eremin, I, Morr, D, Chubukov, A, Bennemann, K, & Norman, M. (2005) Novel neutron resonance mode in dx_2-y_2 -wave superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 147001. [III E](#)
- [109] Chowdhury, D & Berg, E. (2020) Intrinsic superconducting instabilities of a solvable model for an incoherent metal. *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 013301.
- [110] Ferraz, A & Kochetov, E. (2015) Effective spin-fermion model for strongly correlated electrons. *Europhys. Lett.* **109**, 37003. [I](#)
- [111] Freire, H, de Carvalho, V. S, & Pépin, C. (2015) Renormalization group analysis of the pair-density-wave and charge order within the fermionic hot-spot model for cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 045132. [II A](#)
- [112] Ghosal, A, Randeria, M, & Trivedi, N. (1998) Role of spatial amplitude fluctuations in highly disordered s -wave superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3940–3943.
- [113] Honerkamp, C & Lee, P. A. (2004) Staggered flux vortices and the superconducting transition in the layered cuprates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 177002–177001.
- [114] Ioffe, L & Millis, A. (1998) Zone-diagonal-dominated transport in high- T_c cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 11631–11637.
- [115] Kivelson, S. A, Lee, D.-H, Fradkin, E, & Oganessian, V. (2002) Competing order in the mixed state of high-temperature superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 144516. [I](#)
- [116] Konik, R. M, Rice, T. M, & Tsvelik, A. M. (2006) Doped spin liquid: Luttinger sum rule and low temperature order. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 086407.
- [117] Kotliar, G & Liu, J. (1988) Superexchange mechanism and d -wave superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 5142–5145. [I](#)
- [118] Kotliar, G. (1988) Resonating valence bonds and d -wave superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 3664–3666. [I](#), [II A](#)
- [119] Lee, P. A. (2014) Amperean pairing and the pseudogap phase of cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 031017. [III B](#), [V](#)
- [120] Norman, M. (2007) Linear response theory and the universal nature of the magnetic excitation spectrum of the cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 184514. [II E](#)
- [121] Onufrieva, F. (2017) Unconventional normal-state spin dynamics in underdoped high- T_c cuprates as a fingerprint of spiral correlations of localized spins and dual localized/itinerant nature of spin fluctuations. *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 125110.
- [122] Paramakanti, A, Randeria, M, & Trivedi, N. (2001) Projected wave functions and high temperature superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 217002. [I](#)
- [123] Pépin, C & Lee, P. A. (1998) Order from disorder: Nonmagnetic impurities in the spin-gap phase of the cuprates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 2779–2782.
- [124] Sachdev, S, Scammell, H. D, Scheurer, M. S, & Tarnopolsky, G. (2019) Gauge theory for the cuprates near optimal doping. *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 054516. [III A](#)
- [125] Senthil, T & Fisher, M. P. A. (2000) Z_2 gauge theory of electron fractionalization in strongly correlated systems. *Physical Review B* **62**, 7850–7881.
- [126] Stojkovic-acute, B. P & Pines, D. (1997) Theory of the longitudinal and Hall conductivities of the cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 8576–8595.
- [127] Vojta, M & Rösch, O. (2008) Superconducting d -wave stripes in cuprates: Valence bond order coexisting with nodal quasiparticles. *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 094504. [I](#)
- [128] Zaanen, J & Gunnarsson, O. (1989) Charged magnetic domain lines and the magnetism of high- T_c oxides. *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 7391–7394. [I](#)
- [129] Balents, L, Bartosch, L, Burkov, A, Sachdev, S, & Sengupta, K. (2005) Putting competing orders in their place near the mott transition. ii. the doped quantum dimer model. *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 144509. [I](#)
- [130] Coleman, P. (1984) New approach to the mixed-valence problem. *Phys. Rev. B* **29**, 3035–3044.
- [131] Stanescu, T & Kotliar, G. (2006) Fermi arcs and hidden zeros of the green function in the pseudogap state. *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 125110.
- [132] Stanescu, T. D, Phillips, P, & Choy, T.-P. (2007) Theory of the luttinger surface in doped mott insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 104503.
- [133] Japaridze, G. I, Kampf, A. P, Sekania, M, Kakashvili, P, & Brune, P. (2001) η -pairing superconductivity in the hubbard chain with pair hopping. *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 014518. [I](#)
- [134] Ramshaw, B, Sebastian, S, Mcdonald, R, Day, J, Tan, B, Zhu, Z, Betts, J, Liang, R, Bonn, D, Hardy, W, & Harrison, N. (2015) Superconductivity. quasiparticle mass enhancement approaching optimal doping in a high- T_c superconductor. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*

- 348**, 317–320. [I](#), [1](#)
- [135] Abanov, A & Chubukov, A. V. (2000) Spin-Fermion Model near the Quantum Critical Point: One-Loop Renormalization Group Results. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5608–5611. [I](#)
- [136] Abanov, A & Chubukov, A. (2004) Anomalous Scaling at the Quantum Critical Point in Itinerant Antiferromagnets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 255702.
- [137] Chubukov, A. V & Tselik, A. (2007) Spin-liquid model of the sharp drop in resistivity in superconducting La 1.85 Ba 0.125 CuO 4. *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 100509.
- [138] Monthoux, P, Pines, D, & Lonzarich, G. G. (2007) Superconductivity without phonons. *Nature* **450**, 1177–1183.
- [139] Zaanen, J. (1998) Current ideas on the origin of stripes. *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* **59**, 1769–1773.
- [140] Wang, Y, Ong, N. P, Xu, Z. A, Kakeshita, T, Uchida, S, Bonn, D. A, Liang, R, & Hardy, W. N. (2002) High Field Phase Diagram of Cuprates Derived from the Nernst Effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 257003. [I](#)
- [141] Gegenwart, P, Si, Q, & Steglich, F. (2008) Quantum criticality in heavy-fermion metals. *nature physics* **4**, 186–197. [I](#)
- [142] Emery, V. J. & Kivelson, S. A. (1995) Importance of Phase Fluctuations in Superconductors with Small Superfluid Density. *Nature* **374**, 434–437. [I](#)
- [143] Grilli, M & Kotliar, G. (1990) Fermi-liquid parameters and superconducting instabilities of a generalized t-j model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1170–1173.
- [144] Kivelson, S. A, Fradkin, E, & Emery, V. J. (1998) Electronic liquid-crystal phases of a doped Mott insulator. *Nature* **393**, 550–553.
- [145] Emery, V. J. & Kivelson, S. A. (1995) Superconductivity in Bad Metals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 3253–3256.
- [146] Emery, V. J. & Kivelson, S. A. (1999) Electronic structure of doped insulators and high temperature superconductivity. *Journal of Low Temperature Physics* **117**, 189–198.
- [147] Nayak, C. (2000) O(4)-invariant formulation of the nodal liquid. *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, R6135–R6138.
- [148] Zhang, Y, Demler, E, & Sachdev, S. (2002) Competing orders in a magnetic field: Spin and charge order in the cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 094501.
- [149] Zhang, S. C. (1997) A unified theory based on SO (5) symmetry of superconductivity and antiferromagnetism. *Science* **275**, 1089–1096. [II A](#)
- [150] Zhang, S. C, Hu, J. P, Arrigoni, E, Hanke, W, & Auerbach, A. (1999) Projected SO(5) models. *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 13070–13084. [II A](#)
- [151] Zhang, S. (1990) Pseudospin symmetry and new collective modes of the hubbard model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 120–122. [I](#), [II A](#), [II A](#)
- [152] Chatterjee, U, Shi, M, Kaminski, A, Kanigel, A, Fretwell, H. M, Terashima, K, Takahashi, T, Rosenkranz, S, Li, Z. Z, Raffy, H, Santander-Syro, A, Kadowaki, K, Norman, M. R, Randeria, M, & Campuzano, J. C. (2006) Nondispersive fermi arcs and the absence of charge ordering in the pseudogap phase of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 107006. [I](#)
- [153] Norman, M. R, Ding, H, Campuzano, J. C, Takeuchi, T, Randeria, M, Yokoya, T, Takahashi, T, Mochiku, T, & Kadowaki, K. (1997) Unusual dispersion and line shape of the superconducting state spectra of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 3506–3509.
- [154] Norman, M. R, Randeria, M, Ding, H, & Campuzano, J. C. (1995) Phenomenological models for the gap anisotropy of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8$ as measured by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 615–622.
- [155] Norman, M. R, Ding, H, & Randeria, M. (1998) Electron self-energy of high temperature superconductors as revealed by angle-resolved photoemission. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **59**, 1902–1906.
- [156] Norman, M. R, Kanigel, A, Randeria, M, Chatterjee, U, & Campuzano, J. C. (2007) Modeling the fermi arc in underdoped cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 174501. [I](#)
- [157] Chang, J, Daou, R, Proust, C, LeBoeuf, D, Doiron-Leyraud, N, Laliberté, F, Pingault, B, Ramshaw, B. J, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Takagi, H, Antunes, A. B, Sheikin, I, Behnia, K, & Taillefer, L. (2010) Nernst and seebeck coefficients of the cuprate superconductor $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.67}$: A study of fermi surface reconstruction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 057005. [I](#)
- [158] Chubukov, A. V, Jankó, B, & Tchernyshyov, O. (2001) Dispersion of the neutron resonance in cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 180507. [II A](#)
- [159] Tchernyshyov, O, Norman, M. R, & Chubukov, A. V. (2001) Neutron resonance in high- T_c superconductors is not the π particle. *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 144507. [II A](#), [II A](#), [II E](#)
- [160] Lee, P. A, Nagaosa, N, Ng, T.-K, & Wen, X.-G. (1998) Su(2) formulation of the $t - j$ model: Application to underdoped cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 6003–6021. [II A](#)
- [161] Montiel, X, Kloss, T, & Pépin, C. (2016) Angle resolved photo-emission spectroscopy signature of the resonant excitonic state. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **115**, 57001. [II A](#)
- [162] Pépin, C, de Carvalho, V. S, Kloss, T, & Montiel, X. (2014) Pseudogap, charge order, and pairing density wave at the hot spots in cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 195207. [II B](#), [II E](#)
- [163] Pépin, C, Chakraborty, D, Grandadam, M, & Sarkar, S. (2020) Fluctuations and higgs mechanism in underdoped cuprates: a review. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics.* [II A](#)
- [164] Metlitski, M. A & Sachdev, S. (2010) Instabilities near the onset of spin density wave order in metals. *New J. Phys.* **12**, 105007. [II A](#)
- [165] Metlitski, M. A & Sachdev, S. (2010) Quantum phase transitions of metals in two spatial dimensions. II. Spin invariance wave order. *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 075128. [II A](#)
- [166] Grandadam, M & Pépin, C. (2021) Pole structure of the electronic self-energy with coexistence of charge order and superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 224507. [II A](#), [II A](#), [III B](#), [9](#)
- [167] Grandadam, M, Chakraborty, D, Montiel, X, & Pépin, C. (2020) Electronic spectral function in the fractionalized pair density wave scenario. *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 121104. [II A](#), [III B](#), [III B](#), [9](#)
- [168] Meier, H, Pépin, C, Eininkel, M, & Efetov, K. B. (2014) Cascade of phase transitions in the vicinity of a quantum critical point. *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 195115. [II A](#), [III E](#)
- [169] Montiel, X, Kloss, T, & Pépin, C. (2017) Effective su(2) theory for the pseudogap state. *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 104510. [II B](#)
- [170] Montiel, X, Kloss, T, & Pépin, C. (2017) Local particle-hole pair excitations by su(2) symmetry fluctuations.

Scientific Reports **7**, 3477. [II E](#)

- [171] Kloss, T, Montiel, X, de Carvalho, V. S, Freire, H, & Pépin, C. (2016) Charge orders, magnetism and pairings in the cuprate superconductors. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **79**, 084507. [II A](#), [II B](#), [II E](#)
- [172] Hamidian, M. H, Edkins, S. D, Fujita, K, Kostin, A, Mackenzie, A. P, Eisaki, H, Uchida, S, Lawler, M. J, Kim, E. A, Sachdev, S, & Séamus Davis, J. C. (2015) Magnetic-field Induced Interconversion of Cooper Pairs and Density Wave States within Cuprate Composite Order. *arXiv e-prints* p. arXiv:1508.00620. [II B](#)
- [173] Hamidian, M. H, Edkins, S. D, Kim, C. K, Davis, J. C, Mackenzie, A. P, Eisaki, H, Uchida, S, Lawler, M. J, Kim, E.-A, Sachdev, S, & Fujita, K. (2015) Atomic-scale electronic structure of the cuprate d-symmetry form factor density wave state. *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 150. [II B](#)
- [174] Kusmartseva, A. F, Sipos, B, Berger, H, Forró, L, & Tutiš, E. (2009) Pressure induced superconductivity in pristine $1t-tiSe_2$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 236401. [II B](#)
- [175] Kloss, T, Montiel, X, & Pépin, C. (2015) SU(2) symmetry in a realistic spin-fermion model for cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 205124. [II B](#)
- [176] Einenkel, M, Meier, H, Pépin, C, & Efetov, K. B. (2014) Vortices and charge order in high- T_c superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 054511. [II B](#)
- [177] LeBoeuf, D, Doiron-Leyraud, N, Vignolle, B, Sutherland, M, Ramshaw, B. J, Levallois, J, Daou, R, Laliberté, F, Cyr-Choinière, O, Chang, J, Jo, Y. J, Balicas, L, Liang, R, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Proust, C, & Taillefer, L. (2011) Lifshitz critical point in the cuprate superconductor $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ from high-field hall effect measurements. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 054506. [II C](#)
- [178] Chakraborty, D, Morice, C, & Pépin, C. (2018) Phase diagram of the underdoped cuprates at high magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 214501. [II C](#), [6](#)
- [179] Meier, H, Einenkel, M, Pépin, C, & Efetov, K. B. (2013) Effect of magnetic field on the competition between superconductivity and charge order below the pseudogap state. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 020506. [II C](#)
- [180] Caplan, Y, Wachtel, G, & Orgad, D. (2015) Long-range order and pinning of charge-density waves in competition with superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 224504. [II C](#)
- [181] Caplan, Y & Orgad, D. (2017) Dimensional crossover of charge-density wave correlations in the cuprates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 107002. [II C](#)
- [182] Grandadam, M, Chakraborty, D, & Pépin, C. (2020) Fractionalizing a local pair density wave: a good “recipe” for opening a pseudo-gap. *Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism* **33**, 2361–2368. [II D](#), [III A](#)
- [183] Norman, M. R. (2001) Magnetic collective mode dispersion in high-temperature superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 092509. [II E](#)
- [184] Montiel, X & Pépin, C. (2017) Model for the neutron resonance in $HgBa_2CuO_{4+\delta}$. *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 094529. [II E](#), [8](#)
- [185] Montiel, X, Kloss, T, Pépin, C, Benhabib, S, Gallais, Y, & Sacuto, A. (2015) Raman scattering and SU(2) collective resonance in cuprate superconductors. *arXiv:1504.03951 [cond-mat.supr-con]*. [II E](#)
- [186] Morice, C, Chakraborty, D, & Pépin, C. (2018) Collective mode in the su(2) theory of cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 224514. [II E](#)
- [187] Perelomov, A. (1981) Instanton-like solutions in chiral models. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **4**, 1 – 25. [III A](#)
- [188] Nussinov, Z & Zaanen, J. (2002) Stripe fractionalization i: the generation of ising local symmetry. *Journal de Physique IV* **12**, 245. [III A](#)
- [189] Senthil, T & Fisher, M. P. A. (2001) Fractionalization in the Cuprates: Detecting the Topological Order. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 292–295. [III A](#)
- [190] Senthil, T, Sachdev, S, & Vojta, M. (2003) Fractionalized Fermi Liquids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 216403. [III A](#)
- [191] Kanigel, A, Chatterjee, U, Randeria, M, Norman, M. R, Koren, G, Kadowaki, K, & Campuzano, J. C. (2008) Evidence for pairing above the transition temperature of cuprate superconductors from the electronic dispersion in the pseudogap phase. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 137002. [III B](#), [III B](#)
- [192] He, R.-H, Hashimoto, M, Karapetyan, H, Koralek, J. D, Hinton, J. P, Testaud, J. P, Nathan, V, Yoshida, Y, Yao, H, Tanaka, K, Meevasana, W, Moore, R. G, Lu, D. H, Mo, S.-K, Ishikado, M, Eisaki, H, Hussain, Z, Devereaux, T. P, Kivelson, S. A, Orenstein, J, Kapitulnik, A, & Shen, Z.-X. (2011) From a single-band metal to a high-temperature superconductor via two thermal phase transitions. *Science* **331**, 1579–1583. [III B](#), [9](#)
- [193] Mascot, E, Nikolaenko, A, Tikhanovskaya, M, Zhang, Y.-H, Morr, D. K, & Sachdev, S. (2022) Electronic spectra with paramagnon fractionalization in the single-band hubbard model. *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 075146. [III B](#), [III B](#)
- [194] Banerjee, A, Ferraz, A, & Pépin, C. (2022) Pseudogap phase and fractionalization: Predictions for josephson junction setup. *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 024505. [III C](#), [14](#)
- [195] Lee, P. A & Rice, T. M. (1979) Electric field depinning of charge density waves. *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 3970–3980. [III D](#)
- [196] Sarkar, S, Grandadam, M, & Pépin, C. (2021) Anomalous softening of phonon dispersion in cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 013162. [III D](#)
- [197] de Carvalho, V. S, Kloss, T, Montiel, X, Freire, H, & Pépin, C. (2015) Strong competition between Θ_{II} -loop-current order and d -wave charge order along the diagonal direction in a two-dimensional hot spot model. *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 075123. [III E](#)
- [198] de Carvalho, V. S, Pépin, C, & Freire, H. (2016) Coexistence of Θ_{II} -loop-current order with checkerboard d -wave cdw/pdw order in a hot-spot model for cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 115144.
- [199] Agterberg, D. F, Melchert, D. S, & Kashyap, M. K. (2015) Emergent loop current order from pair density wave superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 054502. [III E](#), [III E](#), [12](#)
- [200] Sarkar, S, Chakraborty, D, & Pépin, C. (2019) Incipient loop-current order in the underdoped cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 214519. [III E](#)
- [201] Bourges, P, Sidis, Y, & Mangin-Thro, L. (2018) Comment on “no evidence for orbital loop currents in charge-ordered $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+x}$ from polarized neutron diffraction”. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 016501. and references therein. [III E](#)
- [202] Badoux, S, Tabis, W, Laliberté, F, Grissonnanche, G, Vignolle, B, Vignolles, D, Béard, J, Bonn, D. A, Hardy, W. N, Liang, R, Doiron-Leyraud, N, Taillefer, L, & Proust, C. (2016) Change of carrier density at the pseu-

- dogap critical point of a cuprate superconductor. *Nature (London)* **531**, 210–214. [IIIE](#)
- [203] Badoux, S, Afshar, S. A. A, Michon, B, Ouellet, A, Fortier, S, LeBoeuf, D, Croft, T. P, Lester, C, Hayden, S. M, Takagi, H, Yamada, K, Graf, D, Doiron-Leyraud, N, & Taillefer, L. (2016) Critical doping for the onset of Fermi-surface reconstruction by charge-density-wave order in the cuprate superconductor $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$. *Phys. Rev. X* **6**. [IIIE](#)
- [204] Morice, C, Montiel, X, & Pépin, C. (2017) Evolution of Hall resistivity and spectral function with doping in the SU(2) theory of cuprates. *Physical Review B* **96**, 134511. [IIIE](#)
- [205] Putzke, C, Benhabib, S, Tabis, W, Ayres, J, Wang, Z, Malone, L, Licciardello, S, Lu, J, Kondo, T, Takeuchi, T, et al. (2019) Reduced hall carrier density in the overdoped strange metal regime of cuprate superconductors. *Nature Physics* **17**, 826. [IIIE](#), [IIIE](#)
- [206] Ayres, J, Berben, M, & Čulo, M. (2022) Incoherent transport across the strange-metal regime of overdoped cuprates. *Nature* **595**, 661. [IIIE](#)
- [207] Banerjee, A, Grandadam, M, Freire, H, & Pépin, C. (2021) Strange metal from incoherent bosons. *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 054513. [IVB](#), [IVB](#)
- [208] Pangburn, E, Banerjee, A, Freire, H, & Pépin, C. (2022) in preparation. [IVB](#), [IVB](#)
- [209] Kokalj, J, Hussey, N. E, & McKenzie, R. H. (2012) Transport properties of the metallic state of overdoped cuprate superconductors from an anisotropic marginal fermi liquid model. *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 045132. [IVB](#)
- [210] Arpaia, R & Ghiringhelli, G. (2021) Charge order at high temperature in cuprate superconductors. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **90**, 111005. [V](#)
- [211] Miao, H, Fumagalli, R, Rossi, M, Lorenzana, J, Seibold, G, Yakhou-Harris, F, Kummer, K, Brookes, N. B, Gu, G. D, Braicovich, L, Ghiringhelli, G, & Dean, M. P. M. (2019) Formation of incommensurate charge density waves in cuprates. *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 031042. [V](#)
- [212] Wang, Y & Chubukov, A. (2014) Charge-density-wave order with momentum $(2q, 0)$ and $(0, 2q)$ within the spin-fermion model: Continuous and discrete symmetry breaking, preemptive composite order, and relation to pseudogap in hole-doped cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 035149. [V](#)