

Fostering better coding practices for data scientists

Randall Pruim[†], Maria-Cristiana Gîrjău^{‡, ◊}, Nicholas J. Horton^{‡, *}

[†] Calvin University

[‡] Amherst College

[◊] Columbia University

ABSTRACT. Many data science students and practitioners don't see the value in making time to learn and adopt good coding practices as long as the code "works". However, code standards are an important part of modern data science practice, and they play an essential role in the development of data acumen. Good coding practices lead to more reliable code and save more time than they cost, making them important even for beginners. We believe that principled coding is vital for quality data science practice. To effectively instill these practices within academic programs, instructors and programs need to begin establishing these practices early, to reinforce them often, and to hold themselves to a higher standard while guiding students. We describe key aspects of good coding practices for data science, illustrating with examples in R and in Python, though similar standards are applicable to other software environments. Practical coding guidelines are organized into a top ten list.

Keywords: data acumen, data science, data science practice, data science education, code quality, code style

MEDIA SUMMARY

Many data science students and practitioners are reluctant to adopt good coding practices as long as the code "works". Yet meticulous attention to detail is an important characteristic of a data scientist.

Code standards are an important part of modern data science, and they play an essential role in ensuring the quality of data science in research and in the workforce. Responsible coding practices lead to more reliable code and save more time than they cost, making them important even for beginners. We believe that principled coding is vital for quality data science practice.

To effectively instill these habits of mind within academic programs, instructors and programs need to begin establishing these practices early, to reinforce them often, and to hold themselves to a higher standard while guiding students. We describe key aspects of good coding for data science,

* nhorton@amherst.edu

illustrating them with examples and motivation. Practical coding guidelines are organized into a top ten list.

1. INTRODUCTION

Coding is an increasingly important part of statistical analyses (Deboran Nolan and Temple Lang 2010; Hardin et al. 2021). The goal of code is not just to solve an immediate analysis problem but also to establish reusable workflows and to communicate. As projects and analyses become more sophisticated, it's important that structures and expectations be set to facilitate data science as a *team sport* in a sustainable and reproducible way (Horton et al. 2022).

Consensus reports (National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine 2018) have highlighted the importance of workflow and reproducibility as a component of data science practice:

“Modern data science has at its core the creation of workflows—pipelines of processes that combine simpler tools to solve larger tasks. Documenting, incrementally improving, sharing, and generalizing such workflows are an important part of data science practice owing to the team nature of data science and broader significance of scientific reproducibility and replicability. Documenting and sharing workflows enable others to understand how data have been used and refined and what steps were taken in an analysis process. This can increase the confidence in results and improve trust in the process as well as enable reuse of analyses or results in a meaningful way (page 2-12).”

Adhering to established coding standards is an important step in fostering effective analyses and an important component of data acumen. Unfortunately, attention to these issues has not been central to many curricula in statistics and data science.

1.1. Why bother? Many data science students, and some practitioners, do not make time to learn and adopt good coding practices. As long as the code “works”, they are satisfied and ready to move on. But how do they know that “it works”? For data analysts, these issues are an important part of data science practice, because it affects the bottom line:

Good coding practices lead to more reliable and maintainable code.

It is easier to notice and fix errors in well written code. And it is less likely that the errors occur in the first place if the authors are using good practices. Trisovic et al. (2022) carried out a large-scale study on code quality and found that 74% of R files did not run successfully. After incorporating some automated code cleaning targeting “some of the most common execution errors”, 56% still failed.

Even when the code is correct, following good coding practices makes the code easier to read and understand, saving time and promoting good communication among team members.

In a blog post, Lyman (2021) wrote:

The value of high-quality code can be difficult to communicate. Some managers see it as a boondoggle, an expensive hobby for overly fastidious programmers, since investing in code quality can slow development over the short term and doesn't appear to alter the user experience. But nothing could be further from the truth.

Learning and consistently using good coding practices takes some effort and some attention. But in the end, we agree that they are likely to save far more time than they consume (Ball et al. 2022). Well written code is more likely to be correct, saving the time of redoing things, and easier to maintain, saving effort when it becomes necessary to modify or adapt the code in the future. Collaborations with other team members are likely to be more positive if the quality of individual contributions is higher.

We are convinced that

Good coding practice is important, even for beginners.

It is easier and more efficient to learn good coding practices as one learns to program than to unlearn bad habits later. This makes it especially important that the code beginners *see* meets the highest standards for coding practice. We can't expect beginners to mimic these practices perfectly from the start, and we recommend focusing student attention (and feedback) on just a few key coding practices early on. But if they don't have a good model to emulate, we are impeding their progress unnecessarily. As an additional benefit, modeling good coding practices will make it easier for students (and others) to learn not only good coding technique but also the concepts and applications that the code is illustrating.

In this paper, we will motivate the importance of principled coding, illustrate key aspects of good coding practices, and suggest ways that these practices can be included in the data science and statistics curriculum.

1.2. Prior work. We acknowledge that much of what we discuss is not novel, but it is nonetheless important (and, we argue, under-appreciated and under-emphasized).

Many calls for better coding practices and enumerations of such practices exist. Computer science curricula have long emphasized these practices beginning in introductory programming courses and continuing throughout the curriculum (Keuning, Heeren, and Jeurig 2017; Borstler et al. 2017), especially in courses like software engineering or in capstone projects courses (e.g., Berkeley's CS169, <https://bcourses.berkeley.edu/courses/1507976>). Stegeman et al. (2014) and (2016) have described rubrics and assessment for code quality in programming courses.

The importance of good coding practices is also recognized in industry ("Google Style Guide" 2019; Ghani 2022) and across the sciences (Wilson et al. 2017; Aruliah et al. 2012; Filazzola and Lortie 2022) and social sciences (Gentzkow and Shapiro 2022). Dogucu and Çetinkaya-Rundel (2022) motivates the importance of code quality, style guides, file organization, and related topics. Related work by Carey and Papin (2018) that describes rules for new programmers has relevance for teaching data analysis. Deborah Nolan and Stoudt (2021) offer a "Dirty Dozen" set of helpful code recommendations, and Abouzekry (2012) provides ten tips for better coding.

Code quality has been an area where some previous research has been undertaken. Schulte (2008) introduced a block model to help study comprehension of program components (atoms, blocks, relations, and macrostructure). Keuning, Heeren, and Jeurig (2017) and Keuning, Heeren, and Jeurig (2019) have explored other aspects of teaching code quality.

While the particular coding practices enumerated vary some by author, programming language, and application area, the overall message is clear: Good coding practices are important across a wide

range of contexts to ensure that people, especially those working in teams, are productive and that their work is reliable, maintainable, and reproducible. Furthermore, there is broad agreement about the basic contours of what constitutes good coding practice. Unfortunately, the abundance of such calls indicates that practice continues to fall short of principle.

1.3. A Motivating Example. An April 2021 twitter post (Meyer 2021) commented:

“It is really painful when taking a graduate level data science course and the instructor’s code is considerably below any acceptable standard in the real world. Here is some real life code from a demo offered for the current homework...”

```
data <- read.csv(fname)
train <- data[0:(length(data[,1])-8),]
train.ts <- ts(train[,c(2,3,4,5)],,start=c(2014,1),freq=52)
test <- data[(length(data[,1])-7):length(data[,1]),]
```

We concur that this code, while short, is exceptionally hard to read. There are many ways that this could be improved, some of which are demonstrated below.

```
# R
n_test <- 8
data <- readr::read_csv(fname) ①
train <- head(data, - n_test)
test <- tail(data, n_test)
train_ts <- ts(
  dplyr::select(train, 2:5), ②
  start = c(2014, 1),
  freq = 52)
```

- ①: Alternatively we could load the entire `readr` package with `library(readr)` and avoid the `::`. For demonstration code, the explicit package reference can help the reader know which functions come from which packages. When using packages that are already familiar to the reader or when using many functions from the same package, loading with `library()` is more appropriate. We will demonstrate both styles in the various examples presented here.
- ②: Ideally we would use column names rather than numerical indices here. `dplyr::select()` would be more useful in that case, especially in conjunction with functions like `matches()`, `contains()`, `begins_with()`, etc.

The suggested revisions add white space to improve readability and clarify the type of subsetting that is happening by taking advantage of the `head()` and `tail()` functions. In addition to improved formatting, we specify that the `select()` function is coming from the `dplyr` package.¹ We note that there are still aspects of the code that are brittle (e.g., assumptions regarding the ordering of the five variables in the dataset). The code snippet in the tweet does not provide enough context to appropriately address these. Using the native pipe in R, we could rearrange the nested tasks,

¹We could also have loaded the `dplyr` package with `library(dplyr)`, and we would have done so had we used several functions from that package.

making the order of operations more transparent. We will see examples of this shortly, and of a similar approach called method chaining (see Augspurger 2016), in Python.

The revised code is easier to read and understand (and maintain) than the original.

We believe that examples of this kind are all too common. This particular example is compelling because it shows that students notice the quality of the code instructors present and motivates why academics need to live up to industry standards.

2. ESTABLISHING GOOD CODING PRACTICES

2.1. The Four C's. As mentioned in the introduction, many lists of good coding practices have been published. These lists can provide useful guidance as one progresses — or, in the case of an instructor, leads students in their progression — toward better coding practices.

In addition to providing students with specific coding guidelines like our top ten list below, we think it is also important that students understand the higher level goals that the specific guidelines are intended to support. These higher level goals take into account that computer code simultaneously communicates both to humans and to the computer and provide a framework for establishing a set of specific coding practices. We describe these as the four C's for good code:

- **Correctness:** It is important that the code be correct so that the computer does what is intended. This, in itself, is not profound. But we emphasize two things about correctness: First, correctness is a *necessary* but *insufficient* metric for good code. Second, the other goals support and promote correctness.
- **Clarity:** It is also important that the code be clear, so that humans reading and writing the code can tell what it is intended to do, and easily make modifications as necessary. (This advice applies both to other humans and to the same human at some later date.)
- **Containment:** It is helpful if the code is appropriately contained, to keep separate things that should be separate and together things that should be together. Vartanian (2022) refers to this idea as “low coupling, high cohesion.” Other authors refer to this as modularization. Proper containment includes things like preferring a data frame over several individual vectors, using functions to contain reusable code, and keeping code used across files or projects in a module or package.
- **Consistency:** Finally, it is useful if code exhibits internal consistency of style, naming conventions, and other coding practices.

The specific guidelines outlined below serve as concrete advice for developing practices that promote creating code that satisfies the 4 C's.

2.2. A Progressive Approach. Before revealing our top ten list, we want to emphasize the importance of taking a progressive approach, both for oneself and for students. Developing good coding practices takes time and attention. Any list of coding guidelines can exceed the available cognitive resources to take them on. As is true for any behavioral intervention, change takes time and effort.

This advice about developing a growth mindset that proposes slow and steady change (Dana Center 2021) applied to the authors as well. We are often dismayed and chagrined at the quality of the code we provided students five or ten years ago, and we are constantly updating our own coding examples to improve them.

2.3. Top Ten List. While the four C's establish important goals for code, they do little to specify how the goals might be achieved. The list of ten guidelines below provide some additional specificity and are ordered roughly in the progression we encourage our students to develop them. By the completion of an undergraduate data science program, we would expect all students to be comfortable practicing all of the items on this list, which we are confident will also serve data science practitioners well throughout their careers.

2.3.1. Choose good names. Wilson et al. (2017), Lyman (2021), and Jenny Bryan (2015) note the importance of giving variables and functions meaningful names as a way to clarify the code and make it easier to read. But naming things can be difficult (Fowler 2009), especially early in a project (when the scope may not yet be clear) and for novice coders. Having a set of general purpose guidelines to narrow the choices and using these across multiple projects can assist greatly in the selection of names.

- a. The length of names should be proportional to their scope.

The more distance (measured in terms of number of people, human time, and lines of code) between definition and use, the more important it is that a name communicates clearly. The use of a single-character variable name may be acceptable as an index variable of limited scope or as a placeholder argument for a simple function. But even in these cases, a name that reflects what the indexing or placeholder represents is often preferred. Abbreviations are a two-edged sword. Used consistently by a community or team that is familiar with their meanings, they can help reduce the length of names. They can also become inscrutable to those less familiar with the project. Keeping a digest of abbreviations used can be very helpful.

- b. Use capitalization consistently.

There are no absolute standards here, but adopting a strong local convention can help avoid errors. It is also wise to avoid using two names that differ only in their case. Such names are easily swapped for one another and are difficult to read aloud. Students should be introduced to naming schemes such as `camelCase`, `PascalCase`, and `snake_case`, but encouraged to stick with one of these as much as possible.² We recommend avoiding the dot (`.`) as a delimiter in R since it serves another purpose in R's S3 generic system (and in other programming languages as well).

- c. Avoid nondescript names.

The ubiquitous `d` or `df` as the name for a data frame is a common example of a nondescript name. In a data analysis situation, the data and their provenance are important and the

²Unfortunately, R is not very helpful in this regard. Many naming inconsistencies exist in core R functions. The tidyverse has paid much more careful attention to naming conventions, and those who adopt the tidyverse tool kit should follow its naming conventions as much as possible.

name of the variable containing the data being analyzed should communicate something about the data. Even in “generic” examples, descriptive names can be helpful.

```
# original R
d <- read.csv("study-2023.csv")
d2 <- d[ d$age >= 18, ]

# improved R
AllSubjects2023 <- readr::read_csv("study-2023.csv") ①
Adults2023 <- AllSubjects2023 |> filter(age >= 18) ②
```

- ①: For most files of modest size, the use of `readr::read_csv()` rather than `read.csv()` will make very little difference although the format of the object returned may be slightly different. But `read_csv()` is faster, more consistent across operating systems, and a bit more predictable.
- ②: The pipe (`|>`) passes its left hand side as the first argument of the function on the right hand side.

In situations where a variable is intended for frequent reassignment (in a loop, for example) or for names of formal arguments in functions, the considerations may be a little different. In these cases the name may say more about the role or hint at the intended use or data type.

External resources, like files, benefit from consistent naming patterns as well.

- a. Use delimiters to make parsing easier for humans and computers.

When one adopts mixed case or uses underscores or some other delimiter, consistent use of delimiters makes it easier for people to remember the name and opens the possibility of algorithmic processing based on names. For file names the use of underscores and hyphens to indicate two levels of chunking can be very useful: `my-file_2023-12-25.txt`, `some-other-file_2023-01-02.txt` makes it easy to separate the dates from the slugs (the unique identifier for the file), even when the slugs have different numbers of components. Requiring students to follow file-naming conventions (likely which include some identifier for the student) for files that they submit can be a useful way to encourage their use of a file-naming system.

- b. Choose file-naming conventions that sort naturally.

For dates in file names, we recommend adopting the ISO 8601 standard (ISO 2019) (YYYY-MM-DD, for example). This format sorts naturally without any special treatment of dates. Padding numbers with 0’s so that all numbers use the same number of digits also serves this purpose, and numbers can be prepended to file names to force a particular sorting. (Leaving gaps for future insertions can be helpful as well.)

2.3.2. Follow a style guide consistently. Good coding style includes choosing good names for files and variables, but also includes things like consistent use of whitespace and indentation; effective use of comments (Spertus 2021); the choice of data types used for various purposes; and the particular “coding dialect” used (Hadley Wickham 2022; Abouzekry 2012). Consistent use

of whitespace and indentation can be automated in many modern editors and IDEs (integrated development environments), and we recommend teaching students to use such tools early in their statistics or data science programs. Alas, most of the remaining elements of style require continual human attention.

We recommend adopting a commonly used style guide (like the tidyverse style guide (Hadley Wickham 2022) or Google’s slightly modified version (“Google Style Guide” 2019) for R, or the PEP 8 style guide for Python (Rossum, Warsaw, and Coghlan 2023), perhaps with some local amendments. If a program can adopt a consistent style guide across its courses, that provides additional advantages and makes things simpler for students. In any case, adopting and following a style guide is good both for the improved readability of the resulting code and for practice in following a style guide.

In R, packages like `styler` (Müller and Walthert 2022) and `formatR` (Xie 2022) can assist with style consistency. More generally, modern editors and IDEs include support for various linters (see, for example, VanTol (2023), for a discussion of linters for Python) that can detect violations of code style, inconsistencies, and other coding issues, sometimes suggesting improvements *as code is being written*.

2.3.3. *Create documents using tools that support reproducible workflows.* Students familiar with copying and pasting output from one software application (e.g., Excel) into another (e.g., Word or PowerPoint) should be encouraged early in their careers to take advantage of other workflows like R Markdown (Baumer et al. 2014), Quarto (Allaire et al. 2022), and Jupyter notebooks (Granger and Pérez 2021). These tools allow students to generate multiple document formats (including PDF, Word, and HTML) from a single source document that contains code in multiple languages (R, Python, Julia, Observable JavaScript, etc.), the results of executing that code, and formatted text discussing the process and results.

These tools provide a convenient way for students to prepare assignments (and for instructors to prepare learning materials), but more importantly, they train students to adopt reproducible workflows. Sandve et al. (2013) offers a set of rules for reproducible computations research. The first of these is that for each result, it’s important to keep track of where it is produced. A workflow where output or graphics are copied from one place to another or where manual data wrangling steps are undertaken outside the documented workflow (Sandve et al.’s rule 5) obfuscates this important provenance.

Fostering reproducible analyses and workflow early on is valuable for students, and many instructors who use R emphasize the use of R Markdown (or more recently, Quarto) starting very early in their introductory courses (Baumer et al. 2014; Horton et al. 2022). But some practitioners unfortunately still rely on R scripts that produce auxiliary files that are then included elsewhere for reporting, perhaps via unautomated copy-and-paste steps. The use of modern document formats reinforces proper encapsulation and reproducibility since the documents must be self-contained and include all information needed to perform the analysis being presented. Assignments that ask students to repeat an analysis with an augmented data set or slightly modified task (both common occurrences in practice), or to undertake workflows which require separate steps (complicated or time-consuming wrangling followed by later analysis of time-stamped datasets) can reinforce the power of these tools.

More advanced students can be taught about parameter-driven documents (Mahoney 2022) and automated report generation (Beck 2020) and can learn to create a wider variety of document types, including webpages, presentations, and dashboards.

2.3.4. *Select a coherent, minimal, yet powerful toolkit.* In most languages, there are many ways to perform some common tasks. Even when several are equally good on their own merits, selecting a toolkit consisting of functions that work well together improves readability and reduces errors that arise from failing to switch from one standard to another (Çetinkaya-Rundel et al. 2022; Pruim and Horton 2020). The tidyverse (Hadley Wickham et al. 2019) provides one example of an “opinionated collection of R packages designed for data science [which] share an underlying design philosophy, grammar, and data structures.” As such, it provides a good model for the kind of attention that is needed to produce such a toolkit.

It is especially important that instructors make wise choices about the toolkit that they present to students. An ideal toolkit should be

a. Coherent

Elements of the toolkit that perform similar tasks should have similar structure. This makes the resulting code easier to read and makes it easier for students to recall (or even anticipate) code structures. Generally speaking, new code written by users should aim to mimic the style of and interoperate well with the main elements of the toolkit.

b. Minimal

In most cases, less is more. Learning a few things well, and learning how to combine them creatively to perform a wide range of tasks is easier and more useful than having a large toolkit of speciality functions that each do a specific narrowly-defined task. “Perfection is achieved, not when there is nothing more to add, but when there is nothing left to take away.” (Saint-Exupéry 1984)

c. Powerful

Fighting against the desire to have the toolkit be small and coherent is the need to accomplish the tasks at hand (and future tasks as they arise). We want our toolkit to be as simple as possible, but no simpler.


Each team (or instructor) will need to balance these competing interests. We advocate against two extremes that are implicit (or sometimes even explicit) in many coding examples we see: avoiding the use of standard, well-supported packages (in favor of “base” language constructs), and using code from myriad packages, often with competing styles and “mental models”. A well chosen, consistent toolkit both demonstrates good coding practice and makes it easier for students to learn the material in a given course. Building a toolkit around a focal package or collection of packages (like `tidyverse` or `tidymodels` in R or `numpy` (Harris et al. 2020), `pandas` (McKinney et al. 2010) and `scikit-learn` (Pedregosa et al. 2011) in Python) can provide a useful filter for selecting components of a toolkit.

A fortunate recent development is the converging of ideas in R and Python. This makes it possible to choose a bilingual toolkit with some level of coherence. Consider the following examples of data

wrangling and plotting in R and in Python, motivated by Hilary Parker's blog post (Parker 2013) investigating trends in her name's popularity over time.

```
# R
library(dplyr)
library(ggplot2)
library(babynames) # loads babynames data
babynames |>
  filter(name %in% c("Hilary", "Hillary", "Hilarie", "Hillary")) |>
  group_by(year, sex) |>
  summarise(prop = sum(prop)) |>
  ggplot() +
  geom_line(aes(x = year, y = prop, color = sex)) +
  labs(title = "Prevalence of 'Hilary' et al.")
```

Prevalence of 'Hilary' et al.



```
# Python
import pandas as pd
import altair as alt
from pyreadr import read_r, download_file
url = "https://github.com/hadley/babynames/raw/master/data/babynames.rda"
babynames = read_r(download_file(url, "./babynames.rda"))["babynames"] ①


(
  babynames
  .query("name in ['Hilary', 'Hillary', 'Hilarie', 'Hillary']")
  .groupby(by = ["year", "sex"], as_index = False) ②
  .aggregate({"prop": "sum"})
  .pipe(alt.Chart, title = "Prevalence of 'Hilary' et al.")
```

```

.mark_line()
.encode(
  x = alt.X("year").axis(format = "4d"),
  y = "prop:Q",
  color = "name:N")
)

```

- ①: Alternatively, the `reticulate` package provides a way to communicate data back and forth between R and Python. Using `reticulate`, we could access the data (converted from an R data frame to a pandas data frame) with `babynames = r.babynames`.
- ②: Rossum, Warsaw, and Coghlan (2023) suggests (without much explanation) *not* putting a space around the assignment `=` in argument lists for functions. We choose to include the spaces for better legibility, especially for projected demonstration code, but we make our students aware of the more common styling in Python.



While some language differences are unavoidable (e.g., method chaining in Python in place of the pipe (`|>`) in R, strings in Python where non-standard evaluation can be used to avoid them in R), and the differences in naming (`query()` vs. `filter()`, `aggregate()` vs. `summarise()`, etc.) are unfortunate, the basic approach supported by `dplyr` and `pandas` remains quite similar (and based on ideas from SQL). Similarly, both plotting systems are based on a grammar of graphics approach.³

2.3.5. *Don't Repeat Yourself (DRY)*. Overuse of copy-paste-modify can affect code writing as well as document creation. This is usually an indication of a bad workflow or poor encapsulation.

³Here we use `altair` (VanderPlas et al. 2018) for plotting in Python. `seaborn.objects`, `plotly` (Inc. 2015) or `plotnine` (Kibirige et al. 2023) would be other Python alternatives based on a grammar of graphics approach (Wilkinson et al. 2006). Other options for R include `ggformula` (Kaplan and Pruim, n.d.), `altair` (Lyttle, Jeppson, and Altair Developers 2023), or `plotly` (Sievert 2020).

Frequent copying and pasting of code may indicate the need for a function that encapsulates the repeated code into one location, identifies (via its arguments) what changes, and simplifies code maintenance, since changes only need to be made in one location (McConnell 2004). The principle of “Don’t Repeat Yourself” has been a mainstay in computer programming at least since Hunt and Thomas (1999) and serves as a good guideline for statisticians and data scientists as well.

This is not meant to suggest that scaffolded assignments, where students are presented with incomplete code to complete, are not useful. We recognize that many students (and practitioners) often seek to solve problems by finding working code that does a similar task and modifying it to obtain the desired result. But students who rely on this as their sole mechanism for producing code are likely failing to learn important concepts and structures at a deep level and developing coding habits that will not serve them (or their colleagues) well. For beginners, this may require the instructor to modify the toolkit being used or to provide students with some (but not too many) additional functions (Pruim and Horton 2020). Before long, however, students should be taught to write their own simple functions. The authors often do this beginning from existing code that does one task and asking students to generalize the task and to create a reusable function to execute it. We illustrate this using the `babynames` example from Section 2.3.4.

This code can be generalized in several ways. Here we create a function that allows us to select several names and a range of years.⁴


```
# R
babynames_plot <-
  function(names, years = c(1880, 2017), data = babynames) {
    data |>
      filter(year >= years[1], year <= years[2], name %in% names) |>
      group_by(year, sex, name) |>
      summarise(prop = sum(prop)) |>
      ggplot() +
      geom_line(aes(x = year, y = prop, color = name)) +
      facet_grid(sex ~ ., scales = "free") +
      labs(
        title =
          paste0("Prevalence of ", paste(names, collapse = ", "))
      )
  }

babynames_plot(c("John", "Jon", "Jonathan"), years = c(1950, 2000))
babynames_plot(c("John", "James", "Mary"), years = c(1920, 2020))
```

A similar approach can be taken in Python:


```
# Python
import pandas as pd
import altair as alt
```

⁴We’ll return to this example in Section 2.3.7 to discuss ways to make it less brittle.



```
def babynames_plot(names, years = [1880, 2017], data = babynames):
    return (
        data
        .query("name in @names")
        .query("year >= @years[0] and year <= @years[1]")
        .pipe(alt.Chart, title = "Prevalence of " + ", ".join(names))
        .mark_line()
        .encode(
            x = alt.X("year").axis(format="4d"),
            y = "prop:Q",
            color = "name:N")
        .properties(width = 800, height = 250)
        .facet('sex', columns = 1)
        .resolve_scale(y = 'independent')
    )
```

```
babynames_plot(["John", "Jon", "Jonathan"], years = [1920, 2020])
```



The ability to write functions also opens up the possibility to use many general-purpose functions that take functions *as arguments* (see H. Wickham 2019, chap. 9, and Section 2.3.6).

Functions (and data sets) that are useful in multiple projects can be saved in an R or Python package. Users of R and Python will already be familiar with packages, since most of the R code that they use comes from a package. But it is also important to learn how to create packages, not only to share widely via PyPI, CRAN, bioconductor, or GitHub, but also for use within “local” projects.

H. Wickham (2015) noted that “R packages are the fundamental units of reproducible R code.” Packages provide standard mechanisms for documentation and testing. We have found that code encapsulated and made accessible in a package can easily and robustly be included in files within and across projects. While there are some details to be learned, the RStudio IDE and the `devtools` package (Hadley Wickham et al. 2022) take care of many of these details for R users, greatly simplifying the process of creating a package. As an example, these tools can be automated to provide feedback methods, e.g., `usethis::use_github_action()` to automatically run `devtools::check()`.

Students who learn to create packages also gain a firmer understanding of how packages work, which makes them better users of packages created by others.

By the end of their programs, data science majors should be facile and comfortable writing functions and ideally have had experience creating and maintaining one or more packages.

2.3.6. *Take advantage of a functional programming style.* Even when they are not technically functional programming languages, many languages – including R and Python (David-Williams 2023) – allow a functional programming style, and this programming style has become central to many data science workflows.

What is a functional style and why is it important for data science? Hadley Wickham (H. Wickham 2019) offers this description:

It's hard to describe exactly what a functional style is, but generally I think it means decomposing a big problem into smaller pieces, then solving each piece with a function or combination of functions. When using a functional style, you strive to decompose components of the problem into isolated functions that operate independently. Each function taken by itself is simple and straightforward to understand; complexity is handled by composing functions in various ways.

and this enumeration of benefits:

Recently, functional techniques have experienced a surge in interest because they can produce efficient and elegant solutions to many modern problems. A functional style tends to create functions that can easily be analysed in isolation (i.e., using only local information), and hence is often much easier to automatically optimise or parallelise.

In R, adopting a functional style also makes it easier to write more efficient code by taking advantage of many functions written in this style that bring about a significant boost in performance for many common tasks. For loops, for example, should mostly be avoided in R and replaced with equivalent (but more efficient) functional programming structures. For this reason Burns (2011) describes the use of for loops as “speaking R with a C accent – a strong accent” (page 17).

The key concept of iterating over an object (a list, a vector, etc.) is very important, but it is not synonymous with writing for loops. Both R and Python provide other ways to do this that are more efficient and more elegant than using for loops. Consider the simple task of summing the first 100 integers. A student with previous programming experience was likely taught to approach this task like this:

```
# R
s <- 0
for (i in 1:100) {
  s <- s + i
}
s
```

```
[1] 5050
```

A much more efficient and R-like way to do this is simply:

```
# R
sum(1:100)
```

```
[1] 5050
```

R also includes many functions that are “vectorized” so that the following two lines produce equivalent vectors y containing the logarithms of each value contained in x (but the second is more efficient, clearer, and easier to embed in data transformation workflows).

```
# R
# poor use of for loop
y <- numeric(length(x)); for (i in 1:length(x)) { y[i] <- log(x[i]) }
# better
y <- log(x)
```

The `Vectorize()` function makes it easy for users to create their own vectorized functions that work in the same way as `log()` and many other functions. Additionally, R includes many functionals (see, for example, H. Wickham 2019, chap. 9) in the “apply” family (both in base R and in packages like `purrr` (Henry and Wickham 2020)). These functionals provide fine control over how a function is applied to each item in a list-like structure (or parallel lists) and how the results are returned. Learning to use these functions makes code both more efficient and more readable.

Functional programming style, although often not a major component of an introductory programming course, is useful in many other languages as well, including Python. (See Kuchling (2023) for an introduction to functional programming in Python.) The functional programming toolkit contains a similar collection of tools and concepts, regardless of the language, so functional programming skills transfer between languages.

The compositional aspect of functional programming combines well with the use of the pipe operator (`|>`) in R, which can make sequences of operations easier to read and write. A similar approach that leans more on an object-oriented implementation in Python is **method chaining**. Each method performs a simple task and returns an object (often of the same type as the original) for which an additional method can be selected and executed, as was illustrated in Section 2.3.5.

2.3.7. *Employ consistency checks.* Things don’t always work. And code written today may be used in the future in ways that were not anticipated. Avoiding brittle code (like referring to a column in a data frame by number rather than by name), building in checks of assumptions, emitting informative messages, and running automated code tests can reduce the frequency of downstream errors that may otherwise go undetected. Incorporating such checks can ensure that code fails safely when there are issues or inconsistencies.

When students are introduced to creating packages, they should learn to create unit tests. But much earlier, students should be taught to check their work and their data for obvious problems by answering a few simple questions like

- Does my data frame have the anticipated shape?
- Do numerical and/or graphical summaries give plausible results?
- Are quantitative features in the expected range (e.g., ages non-negative)?
- Have I tried some examples where I know what the correct answer is?
- What happens if I ... ?

Learning to anticipate (and check for) potential problems is a key step in the progression of a data scientist. Full blown unit testing of the sort supported by the `testthat` (Hadley Wickham 2011) or `assertr` (Fischetti 2021) packages in R or `unittest` (“unittest — Unit testing framework” 2023) or `pytest` (Krekel et al. 2004) in Python may not be needed from the start. But it is good to emphasize the importance of checking for correctness early on. Data audits are a useful part of any

workflow. Visualizations or tables can help convince us that a data transformation was performed correctly. Testing code on small examples or examples where the correct result is known, can help reassure us that the code will work on other examples. For instructors, the examples that students construct can also reveal how students are thinking about the task and how their solution might fail.

From these early efforts at testing, we can build to more robust checks of correctness. Defensive coding (McNamara and Horton 2018) is an approach where some investment in runtime checks can help avoid undetected errors. As a simple example, consider the function we created in Section 2.3.5. Our function is assuming that (at least) two years are specified and that the first is smaller than the second. It is safer to test for the expected input type and format and to emit a helpful error message when something unexpected is provided. Here we test that one or two numeric values are provided for `year`.

```
# R
# simple defensive coding enforces that years will have two values in
# non-decreasing order when we get to rest of function.
baby_plot <- function(names, years = c(1880, 2017)) {
  if (!is.numeric(years) || length(years) < 1 || length(years) > 2) {
    stop("`years` should be a numeric vector of length 1 or 2.")
  }
  years <- range(years)
  # rest of function
}
```

As another example, if a data analysis is assuming a set of levels for a categorical variable, these can be confirmed to ensure that data consistency issues don't arise if a new dataset (perhaps a subset of the original data set in which some levels do not occur, or an augmented data set that contains additional levels) is provided. Riederer (2020) suggests ways to develop robust workflows for data validation.

2.3.8. *Learn how to debug and to ask for help.* Sometimes we know the code we have written is not working, but what then? Developing some rudimentary debugging skills, including the use of a debugger, can make finding and fixing errors much less frustrating and time consuming. Unfortunately, many instructors do not teach systematic ways to debug code. The aptly named *What They Forgot to Teach You About R* (Jennifer Bryan and Hester 2019, chap. 11) includes a chapter on this important topic specific to R. McConnell (2004) and Thomas and Hunt (2019) include sections on general principles of debugging (along with many other useful tips for improving coding practices).

Another vital skill is learning to create a (minimal) reproducible example (reprex) (Stack Overflow, n.d.). The creation of an example that clearly and reproducibly demonstrates a problem but doesn't include any extraneous elements is often the first step toward identifying and fixing the problem. The `reprex` package (Jennifer Bryan et al. 2022) in R is useful for making sure that an example is isolated from things outside the example and makes it easy to share the example with others.

Reproducible examples can also be useful teaching devices. Consider the following example which demonstrates some of the differences between `as.numeric()` and `readr::parse_number()`, each of which attempts to convert string data to numeric data.

```
# R
dplyr::tibble(
  text = c("5", "5.3", "$1.23", "1,234"),
  `as.numeric(text)` = as.numeric(text),
  `parse_number(text)` = readr::parse_number(text)
)
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 3
  text `as.numeric(text)` `parse_number(text)`
  <chr>          <dbl>          <dbl>
1 5              5              5
2 5.3           5.3           5.3
3 $1.23         NA             1.23
4 1,234         NA             1234
```

2.3.9. *Get (version) control of the situation.* Version control systems (e.g., GitHub) are a key part of a workflow that fosters many good code practices, including code review (Jenny Bryan and Hester 2020; Beckman et al. 2021; Fiksel et al. 2019) and distribution of tasks in a team. Version control tools can also help make software and analyses more robust (Sandve et al. 2013; Taschuk and Wilson 2017). Graduates of a data science program should be fluent in the use of at least one version control system, and programs should give some thought to where and how this fluency will be developed.

In our experience, students can begin to use basic git commands (commit/push/pull) fairly early in their programs, perhaps primarily through an interface included in an IDE like RStudio (RStudio Team 2015) or Visual Studio Code (Microsoft 2023) or by using GitHub desktop (“GitHub Desktop” 2023), to avoid the command line interface. But students need to have explicit instruction later in their programs to understand what a commit actually is and to learn how to handle a wider range of situations (effective use of branches, reverting to old versions, creating and reviewing pull requests, handling merge conflicts, rebasing, etc.).

The *Learn Git Branching* tutorial (Cottle 2023) provides an excellent introduction to git with graphical representations of how git commands affect a repository.

Legacy et al. (2023) describes an approach to teaching undergraduate students to utilize sophisticated use of version control as part of an agile data analysis framework.

2.3.10. *Be multilingual.* While proficiency in a language that supports data analysis well is important for any statistician or data scientist, a willingness to use other languages and the ability to select an appropriate language for given task are also important. The use of document formats like R Markdown, Quarto, or Jupyter notebooks, that support multiple languages provides an easy way for users more familiar with one language to incorporate multiple languages in their workflow. The `reticulate` (Ushey, Allaire, and Tang 2022) package in R even provides a simple mechanism for passing data back and forth between R and Python.

For example, consider the following (somewhat contrived) example, where data wrangling is done in R, a machine learning model is fit in Python using Scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al. 2011), and the results are plotted in R. (The example is contrived because each of these tasks could be done in either language.) The `reticulate` package provides an object `r` for retrieving data from an R session for use in Python and an object `py` for retrieving Python data for use in R.

```
# R
library(reticulate)
library(dplyr)
library(tidyr)
library(palmerpenguins)
penguins <-
  penguins |>
  filter(island == "Dream") |>
  mutate(
    species = case_when(
      species == "Adelie" ~ 0,
      species == "Chinstrap" ~ 1
    )
  ) |>
  drop_na()

X_penguins <- model.matrix(species ~ -1 + ., data = penguins)
y_penguins <- penguins[["species"]]
```

①: This recodes species as 0/1 for use in Python ML algorithm shortly.

②: `model.matrix()` recodes categorical data using indicator variables.

```
# Python
import numpy as np
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline

X_penguins = r.X_penguins
y_penguins = np.array(r.y_penguins)
indices = np.arange(X_penguins.shape[0])
penguins_split = train_test_split(
  X_penguins, y_penguins, indices,
  test_size = 0.3, random_state = 0
)
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test, indices_train, indices_test = penguins_split
pipe = Pipeline([("scaler", StandardScaler()), ("svc", SVC())])
pipe.fit(X_train, y_train)
pipe.score(X_train, y_train)
```

```
pipe.score(X_test, y_test)
predictions = pipe.predict(X_penguins)
```

- ①: SVC = Support Vector Classifier
- ②: StandardScaler transforms to have mean = 0; sd = 1

```
Pipeline(steps=[('scaler', StandardScaler()), ('svc', SVC())])
1.0
0.9459459459459459
```

As a final note, we mention the usefulness of learning the basics of shell languages such as BASH and common command line utilities, something often entirely unfamiliar to our students. Familiarity with basic shell commands to create directories and manage files can eventually be leveraged for scripting and “batch” processing, taking advantage of additional utility functions.

2.3.11. *Summary.* We conclude this section with a succinct enumeration of our top ten list.

1. Choose good names.
2. Follow a style guide consistently.
3. Create documents using tools that support reproducible workflows.
4. Select a coherent, minimal, yet powerful toolkit.
5. Don’t Repeat Yourself (DRY).
6. Take advantage of a functional programming style.
7. Employ consistency checks.
8. Learn how to debug and to ask for help.
9. Get (version) control of the situation.
10. Be multilingual.

This list is intended to be illustrative rather than exhaustive. Each is actionable and teachable, and together they help achieve the four C’s from Section 2.1 (correctness, clarity, containment, and consistency) that typify high quality code.

3. DISCUSSION

Improved coding practices are vital to good statistics and data science. Our past practices may have been “good enough” but the increasing complexity of analyses and the need to address increasingly sophisticated questions requires us to up our game. A 2019 National Academies report called for educational institutions, professional societies, researchers, and funders to work to improve computational reproducibility (National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine 2019). Adopting good coding practices is one part of addressing this need. Defining and explaining the concept of code quality is therefore a challenge faced by educators (Borstler et al. 2017) and industry alike. Unfortunately, typical reward structures do not pay sufficient attention to code quality or other aspects of responsible analysis.

There are open questions regarding when and how these practices should be included in the statistics and data science curriculum. The National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine (2018) report indicated that data acumen requires multiple opportunities to engage in the entire data

analysis cycle. No matter how much we teach, students must be given the time to learn and to consolidate their knowledge into their habits and workflow.

Instructors play an important role (Keuning, Heeren, and Jeuring 2019; Theobald, Hancock, and Mannheimer 2021). In academic programs it is important to begin establishing these practices early, to reinforce them often, and to expect students to adopt more and more habits of good programmers as they progress through their programs of study.

Based on our experience, we offer the following advice to instructors seeking to improve the quality of the code their students produce.

1. **Hold yourself (as instructor) to a higher standard while gently guiding students to better coding practices.**

In reference to internet protocols, Postel (1980) coined the phrase “be conservative in what you send out and liberal in what you accept”: this philosophy seems equally appropriate when teaching or mentoring coding practices. A good music teacher will always demonstrate good technique and musicianship, even if the student is not capable of performing at the same level, and perhaps not even able to appreciate some aspects of the teacher’s playing. The same should be true of the code that instructors present to students. Dogucu and Çetinkaya-Rundel (2022) discuss the importance of such role modeling in the context of teaching reproducibility.

Instructors should seek opportunities to improve their own coding practices as well as the practices of their students. Collaborating with colleagues to review code examples and exchange ideas (and increase consistency throughout the program) is a good place to start. Seeking out authors who exemplify good code, and using or imitating their code is also helpful. Making code publicly available and participating in community supported open source projects are additional ways to improve one’s coding practices.

2. **Start small and adopt a progressive approach** that provides ample opportunities to practice and gradually becomes more strict.

In addition to modeling good technique and musicianship, a good music teacher also focuses teaching attention on those areas where the student can benefit most. This assessment takes into account both the immediate reward for the student (through improvement that the student can readily appreciate) and long-term goals (e.g., avoiding or breaking a bad habit early that will be harder to break later, even if the student doesn’t yet understand the importance of the particular habit). Once this assessment is complete, the music teacher must select an appropriate set of exercises, etudes, and pieces that both isolate particular skills and help incorporate them into the way they routinely practice and perform – and encourage the student to practice, practice, practice.

The task of a data science instructor is similar. It’s important to distinguish which details matter a lot and which are more minor. Over the course of a multi-year program, a progressive approach that expects higher quality code from students in each subsequent course should help students to endeavor to write good code as a matter of course and appreciate the importance of doing so.

3. Use live coding demonstrations to model appropriate practice.

Talk through your own coding, debugging, and analysis process as you code so students can hear your thought process. It's valuable to get the students involved, having them make code suggestions, or suggest improvements to your code. Hadley Wickham (2018) provides an excellent example of an expert analyst demonstrating their workflow and process with a growth mindset (which includes making multiple errors and corrections throughout the process).

4. Regularly comment on student code practices – good and bad – and include these in assessment rubrics.

Students will often search the internet for help and start using code that is nothing like what has been demonstrated in class. Beginners are typically unable to bring the two into alignment, if that is even possible. If we value good coding practices, then we must assess them and provide formative feedback so that students understand what is valued and know when they are making (or in need of making) progress. Stegeman, Barendsen, and Smetsers (2016) provide some useful guidance about assessment of code quality in the context of introductory programming courses, much of which applies to data science courses as well.

5. Ask students to address code issues before providing help on debugging.

Code issues like styling, formatting, naming can be improved before the main debugging issue is addressed. Not only does this make it easier for the instructor to read and understand the student's code, but the process of improving the code may lead the student to discover for themselves what isn't working.

6. Provide opportunities for students to collaborate on code and to refactor their own code.

Giving students the opportunity to read other students' code and to see how their classmates respond to their own code can reinforce the importance of using good coding practices. Code revision (e.g., Jenny Bryan 2018) and improvement is just as important for developing good coding practices as multiple drafts are in a composition course.

Many practical challenges remain. Consider approaches to naming. The highly heterogeneous practices used with the R and Python communities doesn't do us any favors in this realm. Consider the following set of base R functions as one example: `row.names()`, `rownames()` (but `row.names()` is preferred), `colnames()` (but no `col.names()`), `colSums()`. There is no apparent method to the maddening naming choices here.

This diversity of style conventions makes it hard for users and models poor coding practice. In this context it is even more important for analysts and instructors to make consistent, clear naming choices and to establish and follow a style guideline .

To close, we quote Peter Norvig (personal communication), who noted the critical importance of a "meticulous attention to detail" in data scientists. Many job descriptions also feature this as an attribute of successful job candidates. We believe that such attention to detail will be easier to inculcate within a structure that rewards building better code.

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to NSF IISE award 1923388 (DSC-WAV) for partial support of this project.

REFERENCES

- Abouzekry, Abdullah. 2012. “10 Tips for Better Coding.” <https://www.sitepoint.com/10-tips-for-better-coding>.
- Allaire, J. J., Charles Teague, Carlos Scheidegger, Yihui Xie, and Christophe Dervieux. 2022. “Quarto.” <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5960048>.
- Aruliah, Dhavide A., C. Titus Brown, Neil P. Chue Hong, Matt Davis, Richard T. Guy, Steven H. D. Haddock, Katy Huff, et al. 2012. “Best Practices for Scientific Computing.” *PLOS Biology* 12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001745>.
- Augspurger, Tom. 2016. “Modern Pandas (Part 2): Method Chaining.” <https://tomaugspurger.github.io/posts/method-chaining/#method-chaining>.
- Ball, Richard, Norm Medeiros, Nicholas W. Bussberg, and Aneta Piekut. 2022. “An Invitation to Teaching Reproducible Research: Lessons from a Symposium.” *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26939169.2022.2099489>.
- Baumer, B. S., M. Çetinkaya-Rundel, A. Bray, L. Loi, and N. J. Horton. 2014. “R Markdown: Integrating a Reproducible Analysis Tool into Introductory Statistics.” *Technology Innovations in Statistics Education* 8 (1). <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/90b2f5xh>.
- Beck, Marcus. 2020. “Automated Reporting in Tampa Bay with Open Science.” <https://www.openscapes.org/blog/2020/11/16/tampa-bay-reporting/>.
- Beckman, Matthew D., Mine Çetinkaya-Rundel, Nicholas J. Horton, Colin W. Rundel, Adam J. Sullivan, and Maria Tackett. 2021. “Implementing Version Control with Git and GitHub as a Learning Objective in Statistics and Data Science Courses.” *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education* 29 (1): 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691898.2020.1848485>.
- Borstler, Jürgen, Harald Störrle, Daniel Toll, Jelle van Assema, Rodrigo Duran, Sara Hooshangi, Johan Jeuring, Hieke Keuning, Carsten Kleiner, and Bonnie MacKeller. 2017. “I Know It When I See It: Perceptions of Code Quality ITiCSE ’17 Working Group Report.” <https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3174781.3174785>.
- Bryan, Jennifer, and Jim Hester. 2019. “What They Forgot to Teach You about R.” <https://rstats.wtf/>.
- Bryan, Jennifer, Jim Hester, David Robinson, Hadley Wickham, and Christophe Dervieux. 2022. *reprex: Prepare Reproducible Example Code via the Clipboard*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=reprex>.
- Bryan, Jenny. 2015. “Naming Things.” http://www2.stat.duke.edu/~rcs46/lectures_2015/01-markdown-git/slides/naming-slides/naming-slides.pdf.
- . 2018. “Code Smells and Feels.” <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7oyiPBjLAWY>.
- Bryan, Jenny, and Jim Hester. 2020. *Happy Git and GitHub for the useR*. <https://happygitwithr.com>.
- Burns, Patrick. 2011. *The R Inferno*. https://www.burns-stat.com/pages/Tutor/R/_inferno.pdf.
- Carey, Maureen A., and Jason A. Papin. 2018. “Ten Simple Rules for Biologists Learning to Program.” *PLOS Computational Biology* 14 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005871>.
- Çetinkaya-Rundel, Mine, Johanna Hardin, Benjamin Baumer, Amelia McNamara, Nicholas J. Horton, and Colin Rundel. 2022. “An Educator’s Perspective of the Tidyverse.” *Technology Innovations in Statistics Education* 14 (1). <https://doi.org/10.5070/T514154352>.

- Cottle, Peter. 2023. “Learn Git Branching.” website. <https://learngitbranching.js.org>.
- Dana Center. 2021. “Data Science Course Framework.” 2021. https://www.utdanacenter.org/sites/default/files/2021-05/data/_science/_course/_framework/_2021/_final.pdf.
- David-Williams, Stephen. 2023. “Functional Programming in Data Engineering with Python — Part 1.” *Medium*, June. <https://medium.com/data-engineer-things/functional-programming-in-data-engineering-with-python-part-1-c2c4f677f749>.
- Dogucu, Mine, and Mine Çetinkaya-Rundel. 2022. “Tools and Recommendations for Reproducible Teaching.” *In Revision*. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2103.12793>.
- Fiksel, Jacob, Leah R. Jager, Johanna S. Hardin, and Margaret A. Taub. 2019. “Using GitHub Classroom to Teach Statistics.” *Journal of Statistics Education* 27 (2): 110–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691898.2019.1617089>.
- Filazzola, Alessandro, and CJ Lortie. 2022. “A Call for Clean Code to Effectively Communicate Science.” *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. <https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1111/2041-210X.13961>.
- Fischetti, Tony. 2021. *assertr: Assertive Programming for r Analysis Pipelines*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=assertr>.
- Fowler, Martin. 2009. “TwoHardThings.” <https://www.martinfowler.com/bliki/TwoHardThings.html>.
- Gentzkow, Matthew, and Jesse M. Shapiro. 2022. “Code and Data for the Social Sciences: A Practitioner’s Guide.” <https://web.stanford.edu/~gentzkow/research/CodeAndData.xhtml>.
- Ghani, Usman. 2022. “4 Tips to Improve Code Quality.” <https://www.atlassian.com/blog/add-ons/4-tips-to-improve-code-quality>.
- “GitHub Desktop.” 2023. *GitHub Desktop*. website. <https://desktop.github.com>.
- “Google Style Guide.” 2019. <https://google.github.io/styleguide/Rguide.html>.
- Granger, Brian E., and Fernando Pérez. 2021. “Jupyter: Thinking and Storytelling with Code and Data.” *Computing in Science & Engineering* 23 (2): 7–14. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2021.3059263>.
- Hardin, Johanna, Nicholas J. Horton, Deborah Nolan, and Duncan Temple Lang. 2021. “Computing in the Statistics Curricula: A 10-Year Retrospective.” *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education* 29 (sup1): S4–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691898.2020.1862609>.
- Harris, Charles R., K. Jarrod Millman, Stéfan J van der Walt, Ralf Gommers, Pauli Virtanen, David Cournapeau, Eric Wieser, et al. 2020. “Array Programming with NumPy.” *Nature* 585: 357–62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2>.
- Henry, Lionel, and Hadley Wickham. 2020. *purrr: Functional Programming Tools*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=purrr>.
- Horton, Nicholas J., Rohan Alexander, Micaela Parker, Aneta Piekut, and Colin Rundel. 2022. “The Growing Importance of Reproducibility and Responsible Workflow in the Data Science and Statistics Curriculum.” *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education* 30 (3): 207–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26939169.2022.2141001>.
- Hunt, Andrew, and David Thomas. 1999. *The Pragmatic Programmer*. Boston, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Inc., Plotly Technologies. 2015. “Collaborative Data Science.” Montreal, QC: Plotly Technologies Inc. 2015. <https://plot.ly>.
- ISO. 2019. “ISO 8601 — Date and Time Format.” <https://www.iso.org/iso-8601-date-and-time-format.html>.

- Kaplan, Daniel, and Randall Pruim. n.d. *Ggformula: Formula Interface to the Grammar of Graphics*. <https://github.com/ProjectMOSAIC/ggformula>.
- Keuning, Hieke, Bastiaan Heeren, and Johan Jeuring. 2017. “Code Quality Issues in Student Programs.” <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3059009.3059061>.
- . 2019. “How Teachers Would Help Students to Improve Their Code.” <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3304221.3319780>.
- Kibirige, Hassan, Greg Lamp, Jan Katins, gdowding, austin, Florian Finkernagel, matthias-k, et al. 2023. “Has2k1/Plotnine: V0.12.1.” Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8171350>.
- Krekel, Holger, Bruno Oliveira, Ronny Pfannschmidt, Floris Bruynooghe, Brianna Laughner, and Florian Bruhin. 2004. “Pytest 7.1.” <https://github.com/pytest-dev/pytest>.
- Kuchling, A. M. 2023. “Functional Programming HOWTO.” *Python Documentation*. <https://docs.python.org/3/howto/functional.html>.
- Legacy, Chelsey, Andrew Zieffler, Benjamin S. Baumer, Valerie Barr, and Nicholas J. Horton. 2023. “Facilitating Team-Based Data Science: Lessons Learned from the DSC-WAV Project.” *Foundations of Data Science* 5 (2): 244–65. <https://doi.org/10.3934/fods.2022003>.
- Lyman, Isaac. 2021. “Code Quality: A Concern for Businesses, Bottom Lines, and Empathetic Programmers.” <https://stackoverflow.blog/2021/10/18/code-quality-a-concern-for-businesses-bottom-lines-and-empathetic-programmers>.
- Lytte, Ian, Haley Jeppson, and Altair Developers. 2023. *altair: Interface to 'Altair'*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=altair>.
- Mahoney, Mike. 2022. “How to Use Quarto for Parameterized Reporting.” <https://www.mm218.de/v/posts/2022-08-04-how-to-use-quarto-for-parameterized-reporting>.
- McConnell, Steve. 2004. *Code Complete*. 2nd ed. Cisco Press.
- McKinney, Wes et al. 2010. “Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python.” In *Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference*, 445:51–56. Austin, TX.
- McNamara, Amelia, and Nicholas J. Horton. 2018. “Wrangling Categorical Data in R.” *The American Statistician* 72 (1): 97–104. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2017.1356375>.
- Meyer, Austin. 2021. “Austin Meyer on Twitter.” <https://twitter.com/austingmeyer/status/1380942918593183744>.
- Microsoft. 2023. “Visual Studio Code - Code Editing. Redefined.” <https://code.visualstudio.com>.
- Müller, Kirill, and Lorenz Walthert. 2022. *styler: Non-Invasive Pretty Printing of r Code*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=styler>.
- National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine. 2018. “Data Science for Undergraduates: Opportunities and Options.” National Academies. <https://nas.edu/envisioningds>.
- . 2019. “Reproducibility and Replicability in Science.” National Academies. <https://nap.edu/25303>.
- Nolan, Deborah, and Sara Stoudt. 2021. *Communicating with Data: The Art of Writing for Data Science*. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press.
- Nolan, Deborah, and Duncan Temple Lang. 2010. “Computing in the Statistics Curriculum.” *The American Statistician* 64 (2): 97–107. <https://doi.org/10.1198/tast.2010.09132>.
- Parker, Hilary. 2013. “Hilary: the most poisoned baby name in US history.” website. <https://hilaryparker.com/2013/01/30/hilary-the-most-poisoned-baby-name-in-us-history>.
- Pedregosa, Fabian, Gaël Varoquaux, Alexandre Gramfort, Vincent Michel, Bertrand Thirion, Olivier Grisel, Mathieu Blondel, et al. 2011. “Scikit-Learn: Machine Learning in Python.” *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 12 (Oct): 2825–30.

- Postel, Jon. 1980. “DoD Standard Internet Protocol.” *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review* 10 (4): 12–51. <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc760>.
- Pruim, Randall, and Nicholas Horton. 2020. “Less Volume, More Creativity – Getting Started with the Mosaic Package.” <http://www.mosaic-web.org/mosaic/articles/LessVolume-MoreCreativity.html>.
- Riederer, Emily. 2020. “Column Names as Contracts.” <https://emilyriederer.netlify.app/post/column-name-contracts>.
- Rossum, Guido van, Barry Warsaw, and Nick Coghlan. 2023. “PEP 8 – Style Guide for Python Code.” website. <https://peps.python.org/pep-0008>.
- RStudio Team. 2015. *RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for r*. Boston, MA: RStudio, Inc. <http://www.rstudio.com/>.
- Saint-Exupéry, A. de. 1984. *Airman’s Odyssey*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich. <https://books.google.com/books?id=nIOZdLHReUMC>.
- Sandve, G. K., A. Nekrutenko, J. Taylor, and E. Hovig. 2013. “Ten Simple Rules for Reproducible Computational Research.” *PLoS Computational Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003285>.
- Schulte, Carsten. 2008. “Block Model: An Educational Model of Program Comprehension as a Tool for a Scholarly Approach to Teaching.” In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Computing Education Research*, 149–60. ICER ’08. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1404520.1404535>.
- Sievert, Carson. 2020. *Interactive Web-Based Data Visualization with r, Plotly, and Shiny*. Chapman; Hall/CRC. <https://plotly-r.com>.
- Spertus, Ellen. 2021. “Best Practices for Writing Code Comments.” <https://stackoverflow.blog/2021/07/05/best-practices-for-writing-code-comments>.
- Stack Overflow. n.d. “How to Create a Minimal, Reproducible Example - Help Center - Stack Overflow.” <https://stackoverflow.com/help/minimal-reproducible-example>.
- Stegeman, Martijn, Erik Barendsen, and Sjaak Smetsers. 2014. “Towards an Empirically Validated Model for Assessment of Code Quality.” <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2674683.2674702>.
- . 2016. “Designing a Rubric for Feedback on Code Quality in Programming Courses.” <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2999541.2999555>.
- Taschuk, M., and G. Wilson. 2017. “Ten Simple Rules for Making Research Software More Robust.” *PLoS Computational Biology* 13 (4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005412>.
- Theobald, Allison S., Stacey A. Hancock, and Sara Mannheimer. 2021. “Designing Data Science Workshops for Data-Intensive Environmental Science Research.” *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education* 29 (sup1): S83–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691898.2020.1854636>.
- Thomas, David, and Andrew Hunt. 2019. *The Pragmatic Programmer*. 2nd ed. Boston, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Trisovic, Ana, Matthew K. Lau, Thomas Pasquier, and Merce Crosas. 2022. “A Large-Scale Study on Research Code Quality and Execution.” *Science Data*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01143-6>.
- “unittest — Unit testing framework.” 2023. *Python Documentation*. <https://docs.python.org/3/library/unittest.html>.
- Ushey, Kevin, JJ Allaire, and Yuan Tang. 2022. *reticulate: Interface to ‘Python’*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=reticulate>.

- VanderPlas, Jacob, Brian Granger, Jeffrey Heer, Dominik Moritz, Kanit Wongsuphasawat, Arvind Satyanarayan, Eitan Lees, Ilia Timofeev, Ben Welsh, and Scott Sievert. 2018. “Altair: Interactive Statistical Visualizations for Python.” *Journal of Open Source Software* 3 (32): 1057.
- VanTol, Alexander. 2023. “Python Code Quality: Tools & Best Practices.” website. <https://realpython.com/python-code-quality>.
- Vartanian, Erica. 2022. “6 Coding Best Practices for Beginner Programmers.” <https://www.educative.io/blog/coding-best-practices#lowhigh>.
- Wickham, H. 2015. *R Packages*. O’Reilly Media, Incorporated. <https://r-pkgs.org/>.
- . 2019. *Advanced R*. 2nd ed. Chapman; Hall/CRC.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2011. “Testthat: Get Started with Testing.” *The R Journal* 3: 5–10. <https://journal.r-project.org/archive/2011/RJ-2011-002/index.html>.
- . 2018. “Whole Game.” <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=go5Au01Jrvs&t=3s>.
- . 2022. “Tidyverse Style Guide.” <https://style.tidyverse.org>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D’Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Golemund, et al. 2019. “Welcome to the tidyverse.” *Journal of Open Source Software* 4 (43): 1686. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Jim Hester, Winston Chang, and Jennifer Bryan. 2022. *devtools: Tools to Make Developing R Packages Easier*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=devtools>.
- Wilkinson, L., D. Wills, D. Rope, A. Norton, and R. Dubbs. 2006. *The Grammar of Graphics*. Statistics and Computing. Springer New York. <https://books.google.com/books?id=NRyGnjeNKJIC>.
- Wilson, Greg, Jennifer Bryan, Karen Cranston, Justin Kitzes, Lex Nederbragt, and Tracy K. Teal. 2017. “Good Enough Practices in Scientific Computing.” *PLOS Computational Biology* 13 (6): 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005510>.
- Xie, Yihui. 2022. *formatR: Format R Code Automatically*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=formatR>.