# Quantum information masking of an arbitrary qudit can be realized in multipartite lower dimensional systems

Wei-Min Shang,<sup>1, 2</sup> Xing-Yan Fan,<sup>2</sup> Fu-Lin Zhang,<sup>3,\*</sup> and Jing-Ling Chen<sup>2,[†](#page-0-1)</sup>

 $1$ School of Science, Tianjin Chengjian University, Tianjin 300384, People's Republic of China

 $2$ <sup>2</sup> Theoretical Physics Division, Chern Institute of Mathematics,

Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, School of science, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China

(Dated: October 11, 2022)

Quantum information masking is a protocol that hides the original quantum information from subsystems and spreads it over quantum correlation, which is available to multipartite except bipartite systems. In this work, we explicitly study the quantum information masking in multipartite scenario and prove that all the k-level quantum states can be masked into a m-qudit systems  $(m \geq 4)$ whose local dimension  $d \leq k$  and the upper bound of k is tighter than the quantum Singleton bound. In order to observe the masking process intuitively, explicitly controlled operations are provided. Our scheme well demonstrates the abundance of quantum correlation between multipartite quantum system and has potential application in the security of quantum information processing.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ta

## I. INTRODUCTION

In quantum information theory, since the information processing process adheres to the unitary evolution and linear superposition principle, several operations that can be complemented in the classical information process are prohibited in a closed physical system. The notion that reveals these phenomenons is called the "no-go" theorem. For instance, there exists no universal cloning machine that can replicate an arbitrary unknown pure quantum state, which is known as the no-cloning theorem[\[1,](#page-5-0) [3](#page-5-1), [20\]](#page-5-2). A contrary version of the no-cloning theorem states that it is impossible to delete one of two copied arbitrary unknown quantum states without affecting the other in a closed physical system which resulting in no-deleting theorem<sup>[\[4](#page-5-3)]</sup>. With the in-depth study of quantum information theory, more and more no-go theorems have been mooted like no-broadcasting theorem[\[5,](#page-5-4) [6\]](#page-5-5), nosuperposition theory $[7-9]$  $[7-9]$ , no-hiding theory $[10]$ . These theorems shed light on the discrepancies between quantum mechanics and classical physics from the perspective of information theory, and also root in the security of quantum information processing tasks such as quantum secret sharing $[11-13]$  $[11-13]$ , quantum key distribution $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$ and quantum teleportation[\[16](#page-5-13)[–18](#page-5-14)], et al.

In 2018, a new no-go theorem named no-masking theorem was proposed by Kavan Modi et al. which states that it's impossible to hide the original arbitrary unknown quantum states into the quantum correlation between bipartite quantum systems and make it inaccessible to marginal systems[\[19](#page-5-15)]. Moreover, this result not only provides a broader vision of the quantum bit commitment named quantum qubit commitment[\[20,](#page-5-2) [21](#page-5-16)] but also demonstrates its potential application in quantum secret sharing.

This topic attracted wide attention and a series of significant discussions were published. When the masked set of states is all the states in a quantum system, there is evidence that the no-masking theorem is robust, that is, even if replacing unitary transformations with general linear transformations, it's impossible probabilistically to mask arbitrary unknown quantum state into a bipartite quantum system[\[22\]](#page-5-17). Interesting, no-masking theorem is available even the set of states is reduced to the set of nonzero measure[\[23\]](#page-5-18). This finding further enriches the no-masking theorem. Similar to the no-cloning theorem, when the masked set consists of mutual orthogonal (or linearly independent) states, it can be deterministically (or probabilistically) masked into bipartite systems[\[24\]](#page-5-19). Different from the no-cloning theorem, the maskable set of states is abundant. In geometric, the maskable set of states in high dimensional systems is described by the one on two or more hyperdisks[\[25\]](#page-5-20). This result could simplified to qubit case, where the maskable states located on a spherical circle on the Bloch sphere which has been verified experimentally $[26, 27]$  $[26, 27]$  $[26, 27]$ . In addition, there exists a masker for the quantum information encoding into the states described by real destiny matrices[\[28,](#page-6-1) [29\]](#page-6-2).

The above conclusions well describe the situation of quantum information masking in a bipartite system. How does it behave in a multipartite systems? Li and Wang proved that it's possible to mask all the states of a quantum system into a multipartite systems and ob-serve no information in each local system[\[30\]](#page-6-3). Meanwhile, several schemes were proposed, namely,  $\mathbb{C}^d \to$  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes 2d}, \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  when d is odd and  $\mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^{d+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$  when d is even respectively. An operation like this that can mask all quantum states of a system is called an universal masker. This finding opens up a new direction for quantum information masking. Recently, it's upgraded version named

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup> [flzhang@tju.edu.cn](mailto:flzhang@tju.edu.cn)

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>†</sup> [chenjl@nankai.edu.cn](mailto:chenjl@nankai.edu.cn)

k-uniform quantum information masking was proposed which reveals the strong relationship between quantum information masking and quantum error-correcting codes  $(QECCs)$ in heterogeneous systems[\[31](#page-6-4)]. A similar topics also were discussed [\[32](#page-6-5), [33\]](#page-6-6). In addition, the close relationship between quantum multipartite masker and quantum teleportation was studied and a new masking scheme  $(\mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$  was provided[\[34\]](#page-6-7). These conclusions all convey a signal that quantum information masking has wide prospect in the security of quantum information process.

So far, the states in the maskable set have been masked into multipartite systems whose local dimension is not lower than that of the system in which they are located. Generally, the operations allowed are simpler in lower dimensional quantum systems especially in experiments. In this paper, we show that it's possible to mask arbitrary unknown quantum states into a multipartite systems with lower local systems. To facilitate the understanding of the masking process, vivid controlled operations in four-partite systems was also provided. In addition, we prove that the bound of the dimension of the maskable quantum states is tighter than the famous quantum Singleton bound. This reveals the difference between quantum information masking and quantum errorcorrecting codes.

## II. PRELIMINARY

Before starting our main results, the concepts to be used later are sorted out. Firstly, let's have a briefly review of the quantum information masking in multipartite scenario<sup>[\[30\]](#page-6-3)</sup>.

Definition 1. An operation S is a multipartite masker which mask the quantum information encoded in the set of states  $\{|\psi_i\rangle \in H_{A_1}\}\$  into the set of multipartite states  $\{|\Psi_i\rangle \in \otimes_{l=1}^N H_{A_l}\}\$  such that all the reductions to each local system of  $|\Psi_i\rangle$  are identical; i,e., for any  $l \in \{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$ ,

$$
\rho_{A_l} = \text{Tr}_{A_l^c} |\Psi_i\rangle\langle\Psi_i|.
$$
\n(1)

contain no information about the value of *i*. Where  $A_l^c$ denotes the complementary set of the set  $\{A_l\}$  for the set  $B = \{A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_N\}, \text{ i.e., } A_l^c = B \setminus \{A_l\}.$ 

Then, a necessary definition is given as follow

**Definition 2.** The set of pure states  $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}$  called a "maximum entangled basis" (MEB) in N-qudit systems  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes N}$ , if it satisfies the conditions as follow

(i) For arbitrary pure state  $|\psi_i\rangle$ , it's reductions to each party are

$$
\rho_{A_l} = \text{Tr}_{A_l^c} |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| = \frac{I}{d};\tag{2}
$$

(ii) The set of pure states  $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}$  forms an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space  $(H^d)^{\otimes N}$ .

In addition, to prove the existence of the universal multipartite masker which described by a unitary operator U , we notice the following fact[\[35](#page-6-8)]

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Lemma 1.** If two set of states  $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $|\Psi_i\rangle_{i=1}^n$ satisfy the condition

$$
\langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \langle \Psi_i | \Psi_j \rangle, \tag{3}
$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , there always exists a unitary operator U to complete the following transformation

$$
U|\psi_i\rangle = |\Psi_i\rangle,\tag{4}
$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ .

#### III. MULTI-QUBIT MASKER

As is shown in Re.[\[30\]](#page-6-3), arbitrary unknown qubit states  $|\psi\rangle = a_0|0\rangle + a_1|1\rangle$  can be deterministically masked into 4-qubit systems which reads as

$$
|0\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \otimes (|00\rangle + |11\rangle),
$$
  

$$
|1\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle) \otimes (|00\rangle - |11\rangle).
$$
 (5)

Nevertheless, we find the masking capacity of 4-qubit systems is not limited to this. Next, we give an example to illustrate that it is possible to mask all the states of 4-level quantum system into a 4-qubit system.

**Example 1.** For arbitrary unknown quantum states  $|\phi\rangle$ in the system  $\mathbb{C}^4$ , namely

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
|\phi\rangle = a_0|0\rangle + a_1|1\rangle + a_2|2\rangle + a_3|3\rangle, \tag{6}
$$

where  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + |a_3|^2 = 1$ . According to the lemma [1](#page-1-0), there exists a masking processing defined by

$$
|0\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \otimes (|00\rangle + |11\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|1\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle) \otimes (|00\rangle - |11\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|2\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle) \otimes (|01\rangle + |10\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|3\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle) \otimes (|01\rangle - |10\rangle).
$$
 (7)

Proof. The total final states in 4-qubit system is

$$
|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(a_0 + a_1)(|0000\rangle + |1111\rangle) + (a_0 - a_1)(|0011\rangle + |1100\rangle) + (a_2 + a_2)(|0101\rangle + |1010\rangle) + (a_2 - a_3)(|0110\rangle + |1001\rangle).
$$
 (8)

After calculation, the reduction to each local system is derived as follow

$$
\rho_i = \frac{1}{4}(|a_0 + a_1|^2 + |a_0 - a_1|^2
$$
  
+  $|a_2 + a_3|^2 + |a_2 - a_3|^2)I$  (9)  
=  $\frac{I}{2}$ ,

for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . That is, we observe no information from each local systems of 4-qubit systems which reads that there exists a universal masker for  $\mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes$  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Next, in order to reveal the masking details of the processing above, the universal masker described by controlled operation is gave as follow.

For arbitrary unknown quantum states  $|\phi\rangle$  in the system  $\mathbb{C}^4$  given as [\(6\)](#page-1-1), according to lemma [1,](#page-1-0) we can complete the unitary transformation reads as

$$
|0\rangle \rightarrow |00\rangle_{12}, |1\rangle \rightarrow |10\rangle_{12},
$$
  

$$
|2\rangle \rightarrow |01\rangle_{12}, |3\rangle \rightarrow |11\rangle_{12}.
$$
 (10)

Then the total states in 2-qubit systems is obtained as

$$
|\Phi_0\rangle = (a_0|00\rangle + a_1|10\rangle + a_2|01\rangle + a_3|11\rangle)_{12}.
$$
 (11)

The marginal states of  $|\Phi_1\rangle$  are

$$
\rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 + |a_2|^2 & a_0 a_1^* + a_2 a_3^* \\ a_0^* a_1 + a_2^* a_3 & |a_1|^2 + |a_3|^2 \end{pmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
\rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 & a_0 a_2^* + a_1 a_3^* \\ a_0^* a_2 + a_1^* a_3 & |a_2|^2 + |a_3|^2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(12)

Now, it's obvious that each marginal system contains the original quantum information. Then we add two auxiliary systems and proceed in four steps.

First, we do the C-Not  $C_{13}$  on  $|\Phi_1\rangle$ , then the total state in 4-qubit systems is

$$
|\Phi_1\rangle = (a_0|000\rangle + a_1|101\rangle + a_2|010\rangle + a_3|111\rangle)_{123}|0\rangle_4.
$$
\n(13)

Now, the reduced density matrices of the first three local systems are derived as follows

$$
\rho_1 = \rho_3 = \begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 + |a_2|^2 & 0\\ 0 & |a_1|^2 + |a_3|^2 \end{pmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
\rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 & a_0 a_2^* + a_1 a_3^*\\ a_0^* a_2 + a_1^* a_3 & |a_2|^2 + |a_3|^2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(14)

It is apparent that the original information contained in the off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrices of 1 and 3 systems is masked.

Second, we do the C-Not operation  $C_{24}$  on the total state  $|\Phi_2\rangle$  and obtain the state as follow

$$
|\Phi_2\rangle_{1234} = a_0|0000\rangle + a_1|1010\rangle + a_2|0101\rangle + a_3|1111\rangle.
$$
\n(15)

After calculation, we can have the reduction to each local system as follow

$$
\rho_1 = \rho_3 = \begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 + |a_2|^2 & 0\\ 0 & |a_1|^2 + |a_3|^2 \end{pmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
\rho_2 = \rho_4 = \begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 & 0\\ 0 & |a_2|^2 + |a_3|^2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (16)

It can be seen that all the original information contained in the off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrices of four local systems are vanished.

Third, we send 1-particle through Hadamard gate, then do the C-Not  $C_{12}$  and new total state becomes

$$
|\Phi_3\rangle_{1234} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [a_0 (|0000\rangle + |1100\rangle) + a_1 (|0010\rangle - |1110\rangle) + a_2 (|0101\rangle + |1001\rangle) + a_3 (|0111\rangle - |1011\rangle)].
$$
\n(17)

Now, four reduced density matrices can be obtained as

$$
\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2}I,
$$
  
\n
$$
\rho_3 = \begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 + |a_2|^2 & 0\\ 0 & |a_1|^2 + |a_3|^2 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n
$$
\rho_4 = \begin{pmatrix} |a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 & 0\\ 0 & |a_2|^2 + |a_3|^2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(18)

It is distinct that we have no information about the original states in both 1, 2 systems and there is still some information leaked on the diagonal elements of 3,4 system.

Finally, we send 3-particle through Hadamard gate, then perform the C-Not operation  $C_{34}$  on  $|\Phi_4\rangle$  and obtain the final total state

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
|\Phi_4\rangle_{1234} = \frac{1}{2} [a_0 (|0000\rangle + |1111\rangle + |0011\rangle + |1100\rangle) + a_1 (|0000\rangle + |1111\rangle - |0011\rangle - |1100\rangle) + a_2 (|0101\rangle + |1010\rangle + |0110\rangle + |1001\rangle) + a_3 (|0101\rangle + |1010\rangle - |0110\rangle - |1001\rangle)].
$$
\n(19)

It is easy to verify that  $\rho_i = \frac{I}{2}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , which means we complete the masking process  $\mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes$  $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ . All the C-Not operations above have the form

$$
C_{s,t} = |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes \sigma_x, \qquad (20)
$$

where  $s = 1, 3, t = 2, 4$ . It should note that  $(19)$  is equivalent to the state given as

$$
|\Phi\rangle_{1234} = \frac{1}{2} [a_0(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \otimes (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) + a_1(|00\rangle - |11\rangle) \otimes (|00\rangle - |11\rangle) + a_2(|01\rangle + |10\rangle) \otimes (|01\rangle + |10\rangle) + a_1(|01\rangle - |10\rangle) \otimes (|01\rangle - |10\rangle)].
$$
 (21)

In order to further explore the masking capacity of multi-qubit systems we give another example

**Example 2.** For arbitrary unknown quantum states  $|\phi\rangle$ in the system  $\mathbb{C}^8$ 

$$
|\phi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{7} a_k |k\rangle.
$$
 (22)

where  $\sum_{k=0}^{7} |a_k|^2 = 1$ .

According to the lemma [1](#page-1-0), we can complete the deterministic masking process as follow

$$
|0\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle) \otimes (|000\rangle + |111\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|1\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|001\rangle + |110\rangle) \otimes (|001\rangle + |110\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|2\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|010\rangle + |101\rangle) \otimes (|010\rangle + |101\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|3\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|100\rangle + |011\rangle) \otimes (|100\rangle + |011\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|4\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|000\rangle - |111\rangle) \otimes (|000\rangle - |111\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|5\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|001\rangle - |110\rangle) \otimes (|001\rangle - |110\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|6\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|010\rangle - |101\rangle) \otimes (|010\rangle - |101\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
|7\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(|100\rangle - |011\rangle) \otimes (|100\rangle - |011\rangle).
$$

Proof. After the unitary transformation, the total state becomes

$$
|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} [(a_0 + a_4)(|000000\rangle + |111111\rangle) + (a_0 - a_4)(|000111\rangle + |111000\rangle) + (a_1 + a_5)(|001001\rangle + |110110\rangle) + (a_1 - a_5)(|110001\rangle + |001110\rangle) + (a_2 + a_6)(|010010\rangle + |101101\rangle) + (a_2 - a_6)(|010101\rangle + |101010\rangle) + (a_3 - a_7)(|100100\rangle + |011011\rangle) + (a_3 - a_7)(|100011\rangle + |011100\rangle)].
$$

We can verify the reduced density matrices of each local system by calculation as

$$
\rho_i = \frac{1}{4}(|a_0 + a_4|^2 + |a_0 - a_4|^2 + |a_1 + a_5|^2
$$
  
+  $|a_1 - a_5|^2 + |a_2 + a_6|^2 + |a_2 - a_6|^2$   
+  $|a_3 + a_7|^2 + |a_3 - a_7|^2)I$   
=  $\frac{I}{2}$ . (25)

where  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ .

Obviously, we can't observe no information about the original states  $|\phi\rangle$  which means we complete the masking<br>process  $\mathbb{C}^8 \to (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 6}$ . process  $\mathbb{C}^8 \to (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 6}$ .

From the facts of two examples exhibited above, it is clear that the maximum dimension of the system in which the masked states located is unique up to the number of MEB of n-qubit systems. Thus we can derive the following corollary .

**Corollary 1.** For arbitrary unknown quantum states  $|\phi\rangle$ in the system  $\mathbb{C}^d$ 

$$
|\phi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} a_k |k\rangle, \qquad (26)
$$

If the dimension of the system  $\mathbb{C}^d$  satisfys

$$
d \le 2^n,\tag{27}
$$

there always exists a universal masker, which can mask all the states of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  into 2n-qubit systems deterministically, namely  $\mathbb{C}^d \to (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2n}$ .

# IV. MULTI-QUDIT MASKER

With the increase of the dimensions of the local systems involved in the masking process, the range of maskable states expands. To move forward, we propose the first general conclusion.

**Theorem 1.** For any positive integer  $2 \leq h \leq d^2$ , it's possible masking all the states of h-level system  $\mathbb{C}^h$  into  $A$ -qudit systems. i.e.,  $\mathbb{C}^h \to \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ .

Proof. For an arbitrary unknown quantum state of the  $d^2$ -level system  $\mathbb{C}^{d^2}$  namely

$$
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} a_k |k\rangle, \qquad (28)
$$

where  $\sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} |a_k| = 1$ . According to lemma [1,](#page-1-0) there exists a unitary can complete the following transformation

$$
|k\rangle \to |\psi_k\rangle \otimes |\psi_k\rangle. \tag{29}
$$

Thus the total states in systems  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  can be written as

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} a_k |\psi_k\rangle |\psi_k\rangle, \tag{30}
$$

where

$$
|\psi_k\rangle = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega^{j(k \mod d)} |j\rangle |(j + [k/d]) \mod d\rangle. \tag{31}
$$

for  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}$ . It's distinct that  $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}$  is a MEB of the 2-qudit systems. The reductions to all local systems are derived as

$$
\rho_{A_l} = \text{Tr}_{A_l^c}(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} \sum_{t=0}^{d^2-1} |a_{k,t}|^2 \text{Tr}_{A_l^c} |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_t| (\langle\psi_k|\psi_t\rangle)\delta_{kt}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} |a_k|^2 \text{Tr}_{A_l^c} |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k| (\langle\psi_k|\psi_k\rangle)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} |a_k|^2 \frac{I}{d}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{I}{d}.
$$
\n
$$
\Box
$$

ப

Then, we provide the corresponding controlled operation. For arbitrary unknown states  $|\psi_0\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{d^2}$ 

$$
|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} |k\rangle,\tag{33}
$$

First, the unitary evolution goes as

$$
|k\rangle \to |k \mod d\rangle |[k/d]\rangle, \tag{34}
$$

where  $[k/d]$  denotes the quotient of k divided by d. Next, by adding two auxiliary systems, the total state holds the form as follow

$$
|\psi_1\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} a_k |k \mod d\rangle |[k/d]\rangle |00\rangle. \tag{35}
$$

Second, we obtain the new total states by performing the controlled operation  $C_{13}$ 

$$
|\psi_2\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} a_k |k \mod d\rangle |[k/d]\rangle |k \mod d\rangle |0\rangle, \quad (36)
$$

where

$$
C_{13} = \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} |k \mod d\rangle\langle k \mod d| \otimes U^{(k \mod d)}, \quad (37)
$$

with

$$
U = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} |(j+1) \mod d\rangle\langle j|.
$$
 (38)

Third, we perform the controlled operation  $C_{24}$  on  $|\psi_2\rangle$ and obtain the states as follow

$$
|\psi_3\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} a_k |k \mod d\rangle |[k/d]\rangle |k \mod d\rangle |[k/d]\rangle,
$$
\n(39)

where

$$
C_{24} = \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} |[k/d] \rangle \langle [k/d] | \otimes U^{[k/d]}.
$$
 (40)

We send 1 and 3 particles through the general Hadamard gate, namely

$$
|j\rangle \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega^j |j\rangle. \tag{41}
$$

Finally, two controlled not gates given as follow are performed on  $|\psi_3\rangle$  subsequently

$$
C_{12} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} |j\rangle\langle j| \otimes U^j
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{34} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes U^i.
$$
\n(42)

The final total states become

$$
|\psi_4\rangle = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d^2-1} \sum_{i=o}^{d-1} \sum_{j=o}^{d-1} a_k \omega^{(i+j)(k \mod d)} |j\rangle |(j \n+ [k/d]) \mod d\rangle |i\rangle |(i + [k/d]) \mod d\rangle.
$$
\n
$$
(43)
$$

It should note that  $|\psi_4\rangle$  is equivalent to [\(30\)](#page-3-0).

It can be deduced from the quantum masking in multiqubit systems that as the number of local systems participating in masking tasks increases, all quantum states in the higher-dimensional system will be deterministically masked. Thus a more general conclusion can be obtained.

**Theorem 2.** For all the states of the w-level system  $\mathbb{C}^w$ ,

$$
|\phi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{w-1} a_k |k\rangle, \tag{44}
$$

If we mask all the states into m-qudit  $(m \geq 4)$  systems, the supremum of the level of the system in which all the masked states locate can be derived as

$$
w \le d^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}.\tag{45}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we divide the m-qudit system into two parts. Let  $\{|\psi_w\rangle\}$ ,  $\{|v_w\rangle\}$  be the subsets of the MEBs of  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}$  and  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}$  respectively. According to lemma [1,](#page-1-0) the masking process can be defined by

$$
|k\rangle \to |\psi_k\rangle |v_k\rangle. \tag{46}
$$

where  $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots w$ . That is,

$$
U: |\phi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{w-1} a_k |k\rangle \to |\Phi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{w-1} a_k |\psi_k\rangle |\psi_k\rangle \qquad (47)
$$

For the final state  $|\Phi\rangle$ , the reductions to the local systems of the first  $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$  systems are

$$
\rho_{A_l} = \text{Tr}_{\widehat{A}_l}(|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{w-1} \sum_{t=0}^{w-1} |a_{k,t}|^2 \text{Tr}_{\widehat{A}_l} |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_t| (\langle|v_k|v_t\rangle)\delta_{kt}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{w-1} |a_k|^2 \text{Tr}_{\widehat{A}_l} |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k| (\langle|v_k|v_k\rangle)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{w-1} |a_k|^2 \frac{I}{d}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{I}{d}.
$$
 (48)

Where  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor\}$  and  $\widehat{A}_l$  denotes the set  $\{A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}\}\setminus \{A_l\}$  and  $\lfloor .\rfloor(\lceil .\rceil)$  denotes the integer part.

The same result can be obtained for the last  $\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$ -qudit systems namely  $\rho_{A_{\tilde{l}}} = \frac{I}{d}$  for  $\tilde{l} \in \{ \left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil + 1, \cdots, m \}.$ Thus we observe no information about  $|\phi\rangle$  from all the local systems of the m-qudit system.

Specially, an  $((m, w, 2))_d$  QECC has the quantum Singleton bound[\[36\]](#page-6-9)

$$
w \le d^{m-2},\tag{49}
$$

Where  $m \geq 4$ . It's easy to verify that  $d^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \leq d^{m-2}$ , that is, the maskable bound of  $k$  is tighter than the quantum Singleton bound.

This conclusion implies that, in the quantum information masking process, when the dimension of each local system d and the level w of the system that all the masked states located in are determined and  $w > d$ , it can be seen that at least 2t qudit systems need to be employed for  $t = \lceil \log_d w \rceil$ .

### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we study the structure of the quantum multipartite masker. Noticing all the known masking schemes, each local system's dimension is not less than the level of the system which all the states to be masked located in. Here we present some schemes to show it's

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] W. K. Wootters and W. H. Zurek, A single quantum cannot be cloned, Nature (London) 299, 802 (1982).
- [2] D. Dieks, Phys. Lett, Communication by EPR devices, 92, 271 (1982).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[3] H. P. Yuen, Phys. Lett, Amplification of quantum states and noiseless photon amplifiers 113, 405 (1986).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] A. K. Pati and S. L. Braunstein, Impossibility of deleting an unknown quantum state, Nature (London) 404, 164  $(2000).$
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[5] H. Barnum, C. M. Caves, C. A. Fuchs, R. Jozsa, and B. Schumacher, Noncommuting mixed states cannot be broadcast, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2818 (1996).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[6] A. Kalev and I. Hen, No-Broadcasting theorem and its classical counterpart, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 210502 (2008).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[7] M. Oszmaniec, A. Grudka, M. Horodecki, A. Wojcik, Creating a superposition of unknown quantum states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 110403 (2016).
- [8] S. Bandyopadhyay, Impossibility of creating a superposition of unknown quantum states, Phys. Rev. A 102,  $050202(R)$  (2020).
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[9] M. Doosti, F. Kianvash, V. Karimipour, Universal superposition of orthogonal states, Phys. Rev. A 96, 052318 (2017).
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[10] S. L. Braunstein and A. K. Pati, Quantum information cannot be completely hidden in correlations: implications for the black-hole information paradox, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 080502 (2007).
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[11] M. Hillery, V. Buzek, and A. Berthiaume, Quantum secret sharing, Phys. Rev. A 59, 1829 (1999).
- [12] R. Cleve, D. Gottesman, and H.-K. Lo, How to Share a Quantum Secret? Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 648 (1999).
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[13] Z. Zhang, Y. Li, Z. Man, Multiparty quantum secret sharing, Phys. Rev. A 71, 044301 (2005).

possible masking  $k$ -level states into a m-partite system with local dimension d for  $k \geq d, m \geq 4$  and obtain a tighter bound of  $k$  than the famous quantum Singleton bound of QECC. We also provide the controlled operation in four-partite systems to unveil the mystery of quantum information masking.

Our conclusion provides hands for the question "can all quantum states of level  $d$  be hidden into tripartite quantum system  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  with  $n < d$  or not?" raised in Re.[\[30](#page-6-3)] . By the way, a new question is raised: can our conclusion be generalized to k-uniform scenario?

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was support by the Scientific Research and Innovation Project of Tianjin (No. 2021YJSB072) , National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants Nos. 11675119 ,11575125,12275136, 11875167 and 12075001 ). and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 3072022TS2503).

- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[14] C. H. Bennett, Quantum cryptography Using any two nonorthogonal states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3121 (1992).
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[15] N. Gisin, G. Ribordy, W. Tittel, and H. Zbinden, Quantum cryptography, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 145 (2002).
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[16] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895 (1993).
- [17] Y. H. Luo , H. S. Zhong, M. Erhard, X. L. Wang, et al, Quantum Teleportation in High Dimensions, 123, 070505 (2019).
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[18] D. Bouwmeester, Pan. J. W, K. Mattle, M. Eibl, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, Experimental quantum teleportation, Nature (London) 390, 575 (1997).
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[19] K. ModiA. K. PatiSen. De, Aditi, U. Sen, Masking Quantum Information is Impossible, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 230501 (2018).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[20] D. Mayers, Unconditionally secure quantum bit commitment is impossible, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3414 (1997).
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[21] H. K. Lo and H. F. Chau, Is Quantum bit commitment really possible? Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3410 (1997).
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[22] M.S.Li and K. Modi, Probabilistic and approximate masking of quantum information, Phys. Rev. A 102, 022418 (2020).
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[23] X. B. Liang, B. Li, S.M. Fei, H. Fan, Impossibility of masking a set of quantum states of nonzero measure, 101, 042321 (2020).
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[24] B. Li, S. Jiang, X.B. Liang, X. Li-Jost, H. Fan, S.M. Fei, Deterministic versus probabilistic quantum information masking, 99, 052343 (2019).
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>[25] Feng. D, X. Y. Hu, Masking quantum information on hyperdisks, Phys. Rev. A 102, 042404 (2020).
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>[26] X. B. Liang, B. Li, S.M. Fei, Complete characterization of qubit masking, 100, 030304(R) (2019).
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[27] Z.H. Liu, X.B. Liang, K. Sun, Q. Li, Y. Meng, M. Yang, B. Li, J.L. Chen, J.S. Xu, C.F. Li, and G.C. Guo, Photonic implementation of quantum information masking, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 170505 (2021).
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[28] R.Q.Zhang, Z.B. Hou, Z.H. Li, H.J. Zhu, G.Y. Xiang, C.F. Li, and G.C. Guo, Experimental masking of real quantum states, Phys. Rev. Applied 16, 024052 (2021).
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[29] H,J, Zhu, Hiding and masking quantum information in complex and real quantum mechanics, Phys. Rev. Research 3, 033176 (2021).
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[30] M.S.Li, Y.L.Wang, Masking quantum information in multipartite scenario, Phys. Rev. A 98, 062306 (2018).
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[31] F. Shi, M.S. Li, L. Chen, X. Zhang, k-uniform quantum information masking, Phys. Rev. A 104, 032601 (2021).
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[32] K.Y. Han, Z.H. Guo, H.X. Cao, Y.X. Du, C. Yang, Quan-

tum multipartite maskers vs quantum error-correcting codes, Euro. Phys. Lett. 131, 30005 (2020).

- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[33] W.M.Shang, J. Zhou, H. X. Meng, F.L.Zhang, J.L.Chen, Qubit masking in multipartite qubit system, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, 36, 2150156, (2021).
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[34] W.M.Shang, F.L.Zhang, J.L.Chen, Quantum information masking basing on quantum teleportation, arXiv:2103.03126, 2021.
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[35] L.M. Duan, G.C. Guo, A probabilistic cloning machine for replicating two non-orthogonal states, Phys. Lett. A, 243, 5 (1998).
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[36] Z. Wang, S. X. Yu, H. Fan, C. H. Oh, Quantum errorcorrecting codes over mixed alphabets, Phys. Rev. A 88, 022328 (2013).