

Inverting covariant exterior derivative

Radosław Antoni Kycia^{1,2,a}, Josef Šilhan¹

¹Masaryk University
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Kotlářská 267/2, 611 37 Brno, The Czech Republic

²Cracow University of Technology
Department of Computer Science and Telecommunications
Warszawska 24, Kraków, 31-155, Poland

^akycia.radoslaw@gmail.com

Abstract

The algorithm for inverting covariant exterior derivative on a fibered bundle is provided. It works for a sufficiently small star-shaped region of a fibered set - a local version of a fiber manifold. The relation to operational calculus and operator theory is outlined. The upshot of this paper is to show that, using the linear homotopy operator of the Poincaré lemma, solving the covariancy constant and related equations is an easy task.

Keywords: covariant exterior derivative; Poincaré lemma; antiexact forms; homotopy operator; fibered set

Mathematical Subject Classification: 53-08, 53B05, 53Z05

1 Introduction

The covariant exterior derivative on associated vector bundles [29] is one of the primary tools of modern differential geometry [28, 29]. Moreover, the fundamental physical theories as gauge theories (e.g., Electrodynamics, Strong and Weak interactions theories) are formulated using a covariant derivative approach [42, 9, 3, 10, 41, 40, 20]. Therefore, a practical way of inverting (at least locally) the covariant exterior derivative is in demand. The covariant exterior derivative is

$$d^\nabla := d + A \wedge _, \quad (1)$$

where $A \in \Lambda^1(P, \text{End}(V))$ for some principal bundle $P \rightarrow M$ with a Lie group G and V a vector space, composing the associated bundle $P \times_G V$. The covariant derivative is defined in the sections $\Gamma(P \times_G V)$.

The main result of the paper is an operational way of obtaining a form ϕ for a fixed form J , that is, the solution of the equation

$$d^\nabla \phi = J, \quad (2)$$

and the curvature equation treated as the square of d^∇ , i.e.,

$$(d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla) \phi = J. \quad (3)$$

These results will be presented in the main section 3 of the paper.

One practical and algorithmic way of inverting covariant derivative on vector bundle is Chen's method of iterated integrals [11, 12, 23]. Their recent recast in the supermanifolds setup is presented in [1, 27], and it is used in perturbative Quantum Field Theory in [30], or Yang-Mills theory [21]. The general idea behind Chen's iterated integrals method is to start from constructing Path Space for a given (super)vector bundle $\pi : V \rightarrow M$ with the connection one-form $\omega \in \Lambda^1(M, \text{End}(V))$. Then the parallel transport operator, see [1, 27], $\Phi(t) : V_{\gamma(t=0)} \rightarrow V_{\gamma(t)}$, for a path $\gamma : [0; 1] \rightarrow M$ is given by the following ODE

$$\frac{d\Phi^\omega(t)}{dt} = i_t^* \partial_t \lrcorner \omega \wedge \Phi^\omega(t), \quad \Phi^\omega(0) = Id_V, \quad (4)$$

where $i_t : M \rightarrow M \times [0, 1]$ is the inclusion $i_t(x) = (x, t)$, $\omega \in \Lambda^*(M \times [0, 1], \text{End}(V))$ is a connection one-form on path space and $t \rightarrow \Phi^\omega(t)$ is a smooth mapping from the path parameter $t \in [0, 1]$ to $\Lambda^*(M, \text{End}(V))$. Then the solution is the operator series (see, e.g., section 3 of [1])

$$\Phi^\omega(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n^\omega(t), \quad \Phi^\omega(0) = Id_V, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0^\omega(t) &= Id, \\ \Phi_1^\omega(t) &= \int_0^t i_{s_1}^* \partial_{s_1} \lrcorner \omega ds_1 \\ \Phi_n^\omega(t) &= \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \dots \int_0^{s_{n-1}} i_{s_1}^* \partial_{s_1} \lrcorner \omega \wedge \dots \wedge i_{s_n}^* \partial_{s_n} \lrcorner \omega ds_n \dots ds_1, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $t \geq s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n \geq 0$. The formula relies on a path γ and explores some basic ideas of the Poincare lemma. We provide a method that explores the full potential of the Poincare lemma. The analogical iterated integral formula will be obtained using a homotopy operator. However, our formula is valid not only along a path and can be applied to a more general setup of fiber manifolds and associated vector bundles. Chen's approach simplifies the problem of solving ODE along a path, and therefore additional construction of Path Space is needed. Our method solves general parallel transport PDEs and therefore is more general. It, therefore, can be classified as one of the methods of the vast discipline of Exterior Differential Systems [8, 45].

Our method relies on a simple observation that the covariant exterior derivative consists of the usual exterior derivative that can be locally inverted utilizing a homotopy operator of the Poincare lemma and a wedge product of a connection 1-form. The general approach to the homotopy operator is a classical subject; see, e.g., [14]. However, the profits of using the homotopy operator defined for the linear homotopy [16, 17] was unnoticed, although it proved to have many valuable properties that can be used to solve local problems in mathematical physics [32, 33, 22].

The Poincare lemma states, in the most practical formulation, that on a star-shaped subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ every closed form (an element of the kernel of exterior derivative d) is also exact (an element of the image of d). This is equivalent to the existence of the inverse of d . It can be defined in many ways, however, usage of the linear homotopy operator, as we will see, is the most useful. To this end, let $x_0 \in U$ be a center of the linear homotopy $F : U \times [0; 1] \rightarrow U$, $F(x, t) = x_0 + t(x - x_0)$. Then the homotopy operator defined by F is

$$H\omega = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K} \lrcorner \omega|_{F(t,x)} t^{k-1} dt, \quad (7)$$

for $\mathcal{K} = (x - x_0)^i \partial_i$, and where $\omega \in \Lambda^k(U)$ is a k -differential form. It was noticed in [16, 17] that it is nilpotent¹ $H^2 = 0$ and possesses useful properties like: $HdH = H$, $dHd = d$. However, the most useful is the homotopy invariance formula [14, 16, 17, 32, 33]

$$dH + Hd = I - s_{x_0}^*, \quad (8)$$

where $s_{x_0}^*$ is the pullback along the constant map $s_{x_0} : x_0 \hookrightarrow U$. The map $s_{x_0}^*$ can be nonzero only on $\Lambda^0(U)$. The Poincaré lemma can be extended in many various directions [14], including non-abelian case [44], higher order version [13], or use in quantisation [35] to mention a few.

The homotopy operator H and exterior derivative d on U define the decomposition [16, 17]: $\Lambda^*(U) = \mathcal{E}(U) \oplus \mathcal{A}(U)$ into an exact vector space (equivalent to closed forms on a star-shaped subset by the Poincare lemma): $\mathcal{E}(U) = \{\omega \in \Lambda^*(U) | d\omega = 0\}$, and the module over $C^\infty(U)$ of antiexact forms: $\mathcal{A}(U) = \{\omega \in \Lambda^*(U) | \mathcal{K} \lrcorner \omega = 0, \omega|_{x=x_0} = 0\}$. It can be also shown that $\mathcal{E} = im(dH)$ and $\mathcal{A} = im(Hd)$.

Likewise, for a star-shaped subset U a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with a metric tensor $g : TM \times TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have a Hodge star $\star : \Lambda^k(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-k}(M)$, $0 \leq k \leq n = dim(M)$, and codifferential $\delta = \star^{-1} d \star \eta$, for $\eta \omega = (-1)^k \omega$ where $\omega \in \Lambda^k$. In this setup, the dual theory of the co-Poincare lemma, and cohomotopy operator $h = \eta \star^{-1} H \star$ is defined [33, 22]. The cohomotopy operator h defines the decomposition $\Lambda^*(U) = \mathcal{C}(U) \oplus \mathcal{Y}(U)$ into coexact vector space $\mathcal{C}(U) = ker(\delta) = im(\delta h)$ and anticoexact module $\mathcal{Y}(U) = \{\omega \in \Lambda^*(U) | \mathcal{K} \lrcorner \omega = 0 \quad \omega|_{x=x_0} = 0\} = im(h\delta)$ over $C^\infty(U)$. Here $\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ is a musical isomorphism related to

¹Nilpotency was also noticed by Chen, see Corollary after Lemma 1.5.1 in [12], however not used to construct the inversion of covariant derivative.

the existence of a metric structure on M . The opposite isomorphism is given by $\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow TM$. These statements are easily obtained from the (anti)exact theory by using the identity

$$\alpha^\sharp \lrcorner \star \phi = \star(\phi \wedge \alpha), \quad (9)$$

that dualizes (anti)exact theory to (anti)coexact one, see [33, 22, 3].

Both these decompositions into (co)(anti)exact forms of Λ^* allow us to solve plenty of practical problems of mathematical physics [16, 17, 32, 33, 22].

In this paper we use (co)(anti)exact decomposition to solve equations involving covariant exterior derivative in a star-shaped subset of local trivialization of a fiber bundle. We obtain a practical algorithm for getting covariantly constant differential forms. The paper is organized as follows: In the next section we define the setup for our discussion. Then we provide the formula for inverting covariant exterior derivative. We also discuss the problem of applying our results to associated vector bundles. Next, we cast these formulas in Bittner's operational calculus framework, summarized for the reader's convenience in the Appendices A and B. Then we use this knowledge to provide an algorithm for solving curvature equation. Finally, we Hodge-dualize the previous results to the equations involving codifferential, for manifolds with metrics structure. In the Appendices we discuss Bittner's operator calculus, the application of the results to the operator-valued connection, and the integral equations version of our results.

2 Setup

In this section we provide a detailed discussion of various cases of bundles where our method is valid. We will focus only on the smooth case in this paper, so manifolds and objects on them will be smooth.

A prototypical example of our motivation is a cotangent bundle. The general differential operator that increases the degree of a form by one is a sum of exterior derivative d and exterior multiplication by a one form A . This operator

$$d^\nabla = d + A \wedge \lrcorner, \quad (10)$$

appears in many other contexts in differential geometry, which we listed below. Therefore it is desirable to have a good formula to invert it.

The simplest setup for this operator is an open subset U of Euclidean space. Since we will use the Poincare lemma, we will assume that U is star-shaped. Then d^∇ acts on $\Lambda^*(U)$ - differential forms on U with values in real numbers. One can also consider differential forms with values in a vector space V isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^l , $l \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $l > 1$, i.e., the vector space $\Lambda^*(U, V)$. Then A is a matrix of differential 1-forms. This is a local model of vector bundles. There are many problems in differential geometry that can be brought by local trivialization to this setup, e.g., noted at the beginning, a local subset of the cotangent bundle.

The other convenient setup is a space of fibers [16]. It locally looks (in a local trivialization) like a subset U of the product of two smooth manifolds $B \times F$, where B will be called the base space, and F a fiber. We assume that there is a local diffeomorphism of an open subset U of $B \times F$ to an open subset $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. We will denote this image also by U . Moreover, it will be assumed that U is star-shaped for the same reason as above. In this space we can distinguish vertical directions - those along F , and horizontal directions - distinguished by the kernel of a 1-form $A \in \Lambda^1(U)$. Since the form that distinguishes (a priori) a horizontal part is called a connection, we will call A the connection 1-form. In this space we naturally define (10) as an operator acting on $\Lambda^*(U)$. Moreover, if we allow the forms on U to have values in a vector space V , then by taking A to be a 1-form valued in endomorphisms of V , or by introducing the base of V - a matrix of 1-forms we can also define d^∇ on $\Lambda^*(U, V)$ - the space of V -valued forms on U .

The method presented below also has application to the associated vector bundle, as we will discuss now. To better understand what we can gain from our method, we review the structure of the associated vector bundle from standard sources [41, 43, 28, 29]. Consider a smooth manifold P with a smooth, free action of a Lie group G , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , by $P \times G \rightarrow P$ denoted as $(p, g) \rightarrow pg^{-1}$. Then $M := P/G$ is a smooth manifold, and the projection $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ defines a principal bundle. We can then define a \mathfrak{g} -valued differential form called connection when it distinguishes fibers, identified with G , and transforms by adjoint action of G . If the group G also acts on a vector space V , then we can associate it with the principal bundle by the following equivalence relation

$$(p, v) \sim (pg^{-1}, gv), \quad p \in P, v \in V, g \in G, \quad (11)$$

and call it $V(P)$. The projection operator for $V(P)$ is the same as for the principal bundle P , forgetting the V component. On $V(P)$ we have [41, 43, 26, 28, 29] that the sections $s : M \rightarrow V(F)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with functions $P \rightarrow V$ that vanish on vertical vectors of P and are equivariant with respect to the action of G . This definition can be extended from functions to V -valued forms on P , which are called basic forms. Because of that, basic forms are an important subset of all V -valued forms on P , denoted by $\Lambda^*(P, V)$. Moreover, the action of G on V lifts to the action of \mathfrak{g} on V , so we can define exterior multiplication of a connection form by an element from $\Lambda^*(P, V)$. The exterior multiplication of two forms from $\Lambda^*(P, V)$ can be defined if in V exists a bilinear form that is covariant with respect to G action, e.g., matrix multiplication when V is a matrix algebra, or the Lie bracket for V being a Lie algebra. Then in a local star-shaped subset U of P , we can consider on $\Lambda^*(U, V)$ the operator d^∇ . The action of connection form $A \wedge _$ should be replaced by a tensorial product of exterior multiplication and \mathfrak{g} -action. However, in coordinates, it is always related to the situation when A is a matrix-valued one-form, and the lie algebra action is reduced to matrix-matrix or matrix-vector multiplication, e.g., for $V = \mathfrak{g}$ by adjoint representation. Therefore we are in the case of a fibred manifold discussed above applied for

$\Lambda^*(U, V)$. The only problem is that in the setup of the associated vector bundle we prefer to use basic forms since they are in 1:1 correspondence with the forms on the base manifold/sections of associated bundle, so we can operate using lifts and projections without any problems. Therefore we impose on our forms from $\Lambda^*(U, V)$ additional constraints to being basic ones - these forms should vanish on vertical vectors and be equivariant. That is an additional condition to inverting the d^∇ in the covariance constant equation ($d^\nabla\phi = 0$) if we want basic forms. Therefore if we invert d^∇ on $V(P)$ it does not mean that it gives basic form, so it does not mean that it can be represented on/projected uniquely to M by some element of $\Lambda^*(M, V)$. These additional constraints can induce a failure to provide a nontrivial solution for the covariance constant equation on the base manifold. These problems of horizontal projection and equivariance under Lie group action will be addressed below.

Summing up, we will assume that U is a star-shaped subset of \mathbb{R}^n and A is a matrix of one-forms since other cases can be related to this case. We will try to invert d^∇ on this set. One can note that the fibered set is a Banach space so that we can define norms on $\Lambda^*(U)$, treated as a vector space. For instance, we can define the supremum norm as a supremum over differential form coefficients, see, e.g., [14].

3 Inversion formula for covariant exterior derivative

The following two theorems provide the local inverse of the d^∇ operator.

3.1 Homogenous equation

First, we solve the homogenous equation of covariant constancy:

Theorem 1. *The unique solution to the equation*

$$d^\nabla\phi = 0, \quad \phi \in \Lambda^k(U), \quad (12)$$

with the condition $dH\phi = c \in \mathcal{E}$, is given for $k = 0$ by

$$\phi = c \exp(-H(A)), \quad (13)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $k > 0$ is given by

$$\phi = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l (H(A \wedge \cdot))^l c, \quad (14)$$

where $\alpha \in \Lambda^{k-1}(U)$ is an arbitrary form, $(H(A \wedge _))^0 = Id$, and

$$(H(A \wedge _))^l = \underbrace{H(A \wedge (\dots (H(A \wedge _)) \dots))}_l, \quad (15)$$

is the l -fold composition of the operator $H \circ A \wedge _$.

The series in (14) is convergent for

$$\|x - x_0\| < \frac{k}{\|A\|_\infty} \quad (16)$$

where supremum is taken over the line $L = \{x_0 + t(x - x_0) | t \in [0; 1]\}$, and the norm of the form is the norm of its coefficients. i.e., it is treated as a norm of a covariant vector.

The proof will use the perturbation series approach with decomposition into (anti)exact forms. It can also be seen as an attempt to solve the integral equation version of (12) obtained by applying the H operator and using (anti)exact decomposition - see Appendix D. Therefore some parts of the proof remind the methods applied to prove the convergence of the Neumann series for integral equations [31].

Proof. For $k = 0$ we have

$$d\phi = -A\phi, \quad (17)$$

so for $\phi \neq 0$ we have $d \ln(\phi) = -A$. Since $dA = 0$, so $A = dHA$, and therefore $d(\ln(\phi) - HA) = 0$, or equivalently, $\phi = C \exp(-HA)$. For $C = 0$ we obtain $\phi = 0$, which is also a solution. Since $H^2 = 0$ so expanding exponent we get $dH(\phi) = C = c$.

For $k > 0$ we notice first, by taking exterior derivative of (12), that $d(A \wedge \phi) = 0$, i.e., $A \wedge \phi \in \mathcal{E}$, i.e., $A \wedge \phi = dH(A \wedge \phi)$.

In order to introduce formal perturbation series, we modify the equation (12) to

$$d\phi + \lambda A \wedge \phi = 0, \quad (18)$$

introducing a real number $\lambda \neq 0$.

Searching the solution in the form of a formal power series

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \lambda \phi_1 + \lambda^2 \phi_2 + \dots, \quad (19)$$

we get the set of equations with respect to the degree of λ :

- $O(\lambda^0)$: The equation is $d\phi_0 = 0$, which by star-shapedness of U is solved by

$$\phi_0 = d\alpha_0 \quad (20)$$

for $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda^{k-1}(U)$.

- $O(\lambda^1)$: The equation is $d\phi_1 + A \wedge \phi_0 = 0$. Taking d we get $d(A \wedge \phi_0) = 0$, i.e., $A \wedge \phi_0 = dH(A \wedge \phi_0)$. That means, $d(\phi_1 + H(A \wedge \phi_0)) = 0$, and the solution is

$$\phi_1 = d\alpha_1 - H(A \wedge \phi_0), \quad (21)$$

for $\alpha_1 \in \Lambda^{k-1}(U)$.

- $O(\lambda^2)$: The equation is $d\phi_2 + A \wedge \phi_1 = 0$, and the same procedure gives that the solution is

$$\phi_2 = d\alpha_2 - H(A \wedge \phi_1), \quad (22)$$

for $\alpha_2 \in \Lambda^{k-1}(U)$.

- $O(\lambda^l)$: In general case the equation is $d\phi_l + A \wedge \phi_{l-1} = 0$ which gives

$$\phi_l = d\alpha_l - H(A \wedge \phi_{l-1}), \quad (23)$$

for $\alpha_l \in \Lambda^{k-1}(U)$.

Collecting all the terms we get for $\lambda = 1$ the formal solution in terms of the series

$$\phi = (1 - H(A \wedge _) + H(A \wedge H(A \wedge _)) - \dots) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} d\alpha_l. \quad (24)$$

Selecting $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in such a way that the series is uniformly convergent, denoting its sum by $\alpha := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_l$, and taking into account that $dH\phi = d\alpha = c$ (using $H^2 = 0$), we get (14).

For convergence, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |H(A \wedge \omega)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \mathcal{K} \lrcorner A \wedge \omega(x_0 + t(x - x_0)) t^{k-1} dt \right| \leq \int_0^1 \|x - x_0\| \|A\|_{\infty} \|\omega\|_{\infty} t^{k-1} dt \\ &= \|x - x_0\| \|A\|_{\infty} \|\omega\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{k}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\|-\|_{\infty}$ is a supremum (a matrix norm in the case when A is matrix-valued) on the line L connecting x_0 with x . We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\| &= \|(1 - H(A \wedge _) + H(A \wedge (H(A \wedge _))) - \dots)c\| \leq \\ &\left(1 + \|x - x_0\| \frac{\|A\|_{\infty}}{k} + \left(\|x - x_0\| \frac{\|A\|_{\infty}}{k} \right)^2 + \dots \right) \|c\|, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and the series (14) is absolutely convergent for (16).

Uniqueness is proved in a standard way by *reductio ad absurdum*. Assume that there are two distinct solutions $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2$ with the same initial conditions $dH\phi_1 = dH\phi_2$. Then the form $\psi := \phi_1 - \phi_2$ is also the solution with $dH\psi = 0$. However, from the form of the solution obtained above, we see that if $dH\psi = 0$, then $\psi = 0$, so $\phi_1 = \phi_2$, a contradiction.

From the above proof we have the following:

Corollary 1. *If $\phi \in \ker(A \wedge _)$ and is a solution of $d^\nabla \phi = 0$ then $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$, i.e., $dH\phi = \phi$.*

Remark 1. *At each stage of the series (14) we have*

$$A \wedge (H(A \wedge _))^l c \in \mathcal{E}(U). \quad (27)$$

Moreover,

Remark 2. *We can formally write (14) as*

$$\phi = \frac{1}{1 + HA \wedge _} c. \quad (28)$$

This notation will be firmly stated within the framework of Operational Calculus below.

Remark 3. *In solving (12) the natural initial condition for the iterative procedure described by the series (14) is a form $c \in \mathcal{E}^k$. In this sense the exact form c parametrizes the solution.*

We can now formulate the algorithm for solving (12).

Algorithm 1. *In order to solve*

$$d^\nabla \phi = 0, \quad (29)$$

for $\phi \in \Lambda^k(U)$, $k > 0$, pick an initial condition $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{E}^{k-1}(U)$ and compute iteratively

$$\gamma_k = H(A \wedge \gamma_{k-1}). \quad (30)$$

Then the solution is

$$\phi = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l \gamma_l. \quad (31)$$

The series is convergent for $\|x - x_0\| < \frac{1}{\|A\|_\infty}$ for supremum norm taken along the line L .

We now provide a simple example that explains the Algorithm 1.

Example 1. *Let us solve the equation*

$$d\phi + A \wedge \psi = 0, \quad (32)$$

on \mathbb{R}^2 with coordinates (x, y) , where $A = dy$, and with initial condition $\gamma_0 = dx \in \mathcal{E}$ and the center $x_0 = 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{K} = x\partial_x + y\partial_y$.

We have

- $\gamma_1 = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_\perp (dy \wedge dx) t dt = \frac{1}{2t} (ydx - xdy),$

- $\gamma_2 = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_\perp \left(\frac{1}{2!} y dy \wedge dx \right) t^2 dt = \frac{1}{3!} (y^2 dx - y x dy),$
- $\gamma_3 = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_\perp \left(\frac{1}{3!} y^2 dy \wedge dx \right) t^3 dt = \frac{1}{4!} (y^3 dx - y^2 x dy),$
- $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} (y^k dx - y^{k-1} x dy).$

Then, by summing the terms, we get

$$\phi = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \gamma_l = (1 - e^{-y}) \frac{dx}{y} + (e^{-y} - 1 + y) \frac{xdy}{y^2}. \quad (33)$$

The solution has a removable singularity at $y = 0$.

The projection to initial condition is given by dH and we have $dH\phi = dx$ as required.

By straightforward computations we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi &= \frac{1}{y} (1 - e^{-y}) dx \wedge dy, \\ A \wedge \phi &= \frac{1}{y} (1 - e^{-y}) dy \wedge dx, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

so $d^\nabla \phi = 0$ as required.

One can note that the solution ϕ is well-defined for the whole \mathbb{R}^2 , so its radius of convergence is significantly larger than the Theorem 1 suggests.

Moreover, if we treat \mathbb{R}^2 as a fibered bundle with horizontal direction dx and vertical dy , then the form (33) is neither horizontal nor vertical. So projecting ϕ to dx component and then lifting back along $A = dy$ we do not obtain the original form and even their covariant constancy.

Example 2. Continuing Example 1, we can also check easily (by assuming the solution in the form $\phi_2 = f(y)dx$) that the solution is

$$\phi_2 = e^{-y} dx. \quad (35)$$

It is interesting to note that the initial condition for this solution is the solution from the previous example since

$$dH\phi_2 = \phi = (1 - e^{-y}) \frac{dx}{y} + (e^{-y} - 1 + y) \frac{xdy}{y^2}. \quad (36)$$

This is the condition for starting the algorithm to obtain ϕ_2 .

The solution ϕ_2 is horizontal when treating dx as a horizontal direction.

3.2 Inhomogenous equation

The next step is to provide a solution for the inhomogeneous covariant constancy equation. We begin with the particular case when the inhomogeneity is an exact form.

Theorem 2. *The unique solution of*

$$d^\nabla \phi = J, \quad (37)$$

for $\phi \in \Lambda^k(U)$, $A \in \Lambda^1(U)$, $J \in \mathcal{E}^{k+1}(U)$, with $dH\phi = c \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ is for $k = 0$

$$\phi = \exp(-HA)(c + H(J \exp(HA))). \quad (38)$$

For $k > 0$ the solution is

$$\phi = \phi_H + \phi_I, \quad \phi_I = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l (H(A \wedge \cdot))^l HJ, \quad (39)$$

where ϕ_H is a solution of homogenous equation ($J = 0$) given in Theorem 1.

The series in (39) is convergent for $\|x - x_0\| < \frac{k}{\|A\|_\infty}$, where the supremum norm is taken over the line $L = \{x_0 + t(x - x_0) | t \in [0; 1]\}$.

Proof. For $k = 0$ we have the solution of the homogenous equation $\phi = C \exp(-HA)$. By varying the constant, i.e., taking $C \in \Lambda^0(U)$, and substituting back to the equation, we obtain $dC = J \exp(HA) \in \mathcal{E}(U)$, and as a result, $J \exp(HA) = dH(J \exp(HA))$. This gives $d(C - H(J \exp(HA))) = 0$, i.e., $C = D + H(J \exp(HA))$, for real number D . This, using $dH\phi = D = c$, gives (38).

For $k > 0$ we proceed as in the proof of the previous theorem. We replace the equation (37) by

$$d\phi + \lambda A \wedge \phi = J, \quad (40)$$

for a nonzero real number λ . Introducing the formal ansatz $\phi = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda^l \phi_l$ we get:

- $O(\lambda^0)$: The equation is $d\phi_0 = J \in \mathcal{E}$, and therefore, $J = dHJ$, so

$$\phi_0 = d\alpha_0 + HJ, \quad (41)$$

for $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda^{k-1}$.

- $O(\lambda^l)$: We get the recurrence for the solution

$$\phi_l = d\alpha_l - H(A \wedge \phi_{l-1}), \quad (42)$$

for $\alpha_l \in \Lambda^{k-1}$.

Summing up the terms we have

$$\phi = \underbrace{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l (H(A \wedge \cdot))^l \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} d\alpha_p}_{\phi_h} + \underbrace{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l (H(A \wedge \cdot))^l HJ}_{\phi_I}. \quad (43)$$

As before, we can select $\{\alpha_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ to form uniformly convergent series, so setting $\alpha = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \alpha_p$ and using the condition $dH\phi = d\alpha = c$ we get (39).

The proof of convergence is the same as in the previous proof.

Remark 4. The solution (39) can be written as

$$\phi = \phi_h + G(J), \quad (44)$$

where G resembles a Green's function used in the theory of the second order Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$, see, e.g., [42]. However, here we do not assume a metric structure, so the approach is general. Moreover, no boundary conditions were imposed on G .

Corollary 2. When $\phi \in \ker(A \wedge _)$ and $d^\nabla \phi = 0$ with $dH\phi = c$, then the solution is

$$\phi = c + HJ. \quad (45)$$

Proof. We have $d\phi = J$ so $J = dHJ$ and therefore $d(\phi - HJ) = 0$, so by the Poincare lemma $\phi = d\alpha + HJ$ for some form α . Since $dH\phi = d\alpha = c$ we get the solution.

Corollary 3. We can also note that we can decompose ϕ into $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ where $\phi_2 \in \ker(A \wedge _)$. Then we can choose ϕ_1 to be a solution of (37), and $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ be an arbitrary exact form in the kernel of $A \wedge _$.

Example 3. Continuing Example 1, we solve the equation

$$d^\nabla \phi = J, \quad J = xdx, \quad A = dy, \quad (46)$$

where J is an exact form. We have

$$HJ = \frac{1}{2}x^2. \quad (47)$$

First, we use the series solution (39) and then we compare it with (38). We have

- $HA \wedge HJ = H(\frac{1}{2}x^2 dy) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 y \int_0^1 t^2 dt = \frac{1}{3!}x^2 y,$
- $(HA \wedge)^2 HJ = \frac{1}{4!}x^2 y^2,$
- \dots
- $(HA \wedge)^k HJ = \frac{1}{(k+2)!}x^2 y^k.$

The inhomogenous part of the solution (39) is given by the series

$$\phi_I = \frac{1}{2!}x^2 - \frac{1}{3!}x^2 y + \dots = \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 (e^{-y} - 1 + y). \quad (48)$$

Likewise, applying (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_I &= \exp(-HA)H(J \exp(HA)) = e^{-y}H(e^y x dx) = e^{-y}x^2 \int_0^1 t e^{ty} dt = \\ &= x^2 \frac{d}{dy} \frac{1}{y} \int_0^y e^z dz = \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 (e^{-y} - 1 + y), \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

as previously. Therefore the inhomogeneous contributions calculated either by (39) or (38) agree, as required.

Finally, we will consider the complete equation where J is an arbitrary, not necessarily exact, form. We have

Theorem 3. *The solution of the inhomogeneous covariant constancy equation*

$$d^\nabla \phi = J, \quad d^\nabla = d + A \wedge -, \quad (50)$$

where $\phi \in \Lambda^k(U)$, $A \in \Lambda^1(U)$, $J \in \Lambda^{k+1}(U)$ is given by

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3, \quad (51)$$

where ϕ_1 fulfils

$$d^\nabla \phi_1 = J_e - d(\phi_2 + \phi_3), \quad (52)$$

and ϕ_2 fulfils

$$A \wedge \phi_2 = J_a, \quad (53)$$

where $J_e := dHJ$ is the exact part of J , and $J_a := HdJ$ is the antiexact part of J . The $\phi_3 \in \ker(A \wedge -)$ is an arbitrary form.

Moreover $A \wedge \phi_1 \in \mathcal{E}^{k+1}(U)$ and $A \wedge \phi_2 \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1}(U)$.

Proof. Since $A \wedge \phi \in \Lambda^l$ and $l > 0$, so it can be decomposed into exact and antiexact parts. Therefore, we can find three forms $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3$ such that

$$A \wedge \phi_1 \in \mathcal{E}, \quad A \wedge \phi_2 \in \mathcal{A}, \quad A \wedge \phi_3 = 0. \quad (54)$$

Decomposing $J = J_e + J_a$ and substituting into the equation (50) and splitting into exact (\mathcal{E}) and antiexact (\mathcal{A}) parts gives

$$\underbrace{d(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3) + A \wedge \phi_1 - J_e}_{\mathcal{E}} + \underbrace{A \wedge \phi_2 - J_a}_{\mathcal{A}} = 0. \quad (55)$$

This ends the proof.

Remark 5. We can note that $\phi_2 = \phi_2(J_a)$, i.e., ϕ_2 depends on the antiexact part of J_a . However, in general, ϕ_2 is not fixed completely by the condition (53), as it will be presented below in examples.

We can now construct a practical way of solving the equation (50).

Algorithm 2. *For the equation (50):*

1. solve the algebraic constraint:

$$A \wedge \phi_2 = J_a, \quad (56)$$

for ϕ_2 ,

2. solve the algebraic constraint:

$$A \wedge \phi_3 = 0, \quad (57)$$

for ϕ_3 ,

3. solve the differential equation:

$$d\phi_1 + A \wedge \phi_1 = J_e - d(\phi_2 + \phi_3), \quad (58)$$

for ϕ_1 which is an inhomogenous covariancy constant equation with exact RHS,

4. compose the full solution

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3. \quad (59)$$

We provide a simple example of solving algebraic constraints. As a negative example, we propose the following

Example 4. We continue the Example 1. We consider

$$d^\nabla \phi = J_a, \quad J_a = \frac{1}{2}(xdy - ydx), \quad A = dy. \quad (60)$$

Since the solution of exact part, from Theorem 1, is $\phi_1 = ce^{-y}$ and $\phi_3 = 0$, we will focus only on algebraic constraint

$$A \wedge \phi_2 = J_a. \quad (61)$$

Assuming that $\phi_2 = f(x, y) \in \Lambda^0(U)$, substituting into (61) we get a contradiction. Therefore, there are no solutions to this problem.

As a positive example, we propose the following

Example 5. Consider a star-shaped $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates x, y, z . We will try to solve the constraint

$$A \wedge \phi = J_a, \quad J_a = xdy \wedge dz - ydx \wedge dz + zdx \wedge dy, \quad A = dy. \quad (62)$$

It is a part of the process of solving the equation $d^\nabla \phi = J_a$. In order to solve this constraint, assume that

$$\phi = f(x, y, z)dx + g(x, y, z)dy + h(x, y, z)dz, \quad (63)$$

for $f, g, h \in \Lambda^0(U)$. By substituting into constraints, one gets

$$f = -z, \quad h = x, \quad (64)$$

and g is arbitrary.

As a final remark of this section note that the operators for the inverse of d^∇ are nonlocal. They can be expressed by curvature using

Proposition 1.

$$H(A \wedge d\alpha) = dH(A \wedge \alpha) + H(F \wedge \alpha) - H(A \wedge A \wedge \alpha) - A \wedge \alpha \quad (65)$$

where $F = d^\nabla A$ is the curvature. For the connection valued in abelian groups $A \wedge A = 0$.

Using the above proposition and making a recursive substitution 'inside-out' in (14), we are led to a complicated expression, and therefore, we do not follow this path. One can notice that the curvature (and connection form) enter the solution in a highly nonlocal and nonlinear way.

In the next section we discuss the issue of the existence of basic forms on associated vector bundles.

4 Associated vector bundles

On associated vector bundle basic (horizontal and equivariant) vector-valued forms are in 1:1 correspondence with sections of the bundle. We therefore have to establish the correspondence of our method of inverting covariant exterior derivative with horizontal projection and equivariance. We present it in the following two subsections.

4.1 Horizontal projection

We analyze possible problems when considering the horizontality and covariance constancy of forms on fibered sets. This issue is essential if we want to relate solutions on fibered set/bundle to the forms on base space as in the case of the associated bundle. Since we only want to illustrate the issue, we consider only scalar-valued forms from $\Lambda(U)$ for simplicity. For vector-valued forms additional constraints related to the matrix structure of the A form must be considered. The idea of this section is based on an adaptation of the proof of the Retraction theorem (Theorem 1.3 of [8]).

Within the setup of fibered space U and a one-form $A \in \Lambda^1(U)$ let us call $TU \setminus \ker(A) = VU$ the vertical tangent space with dimension $k = \dim(VU)$.

Proposition 2. For a one-form A we can select $k = \dim(VU)$ linearly independent vectors $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ such that

$$X_i \lrcorner A = 1. \quad (66)$$

Moreover, the one-form A can be decomposed into the sum of linearly independent one-forms

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_i, \quad \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_k \neq 0. \quad (67)$$

For each such one-form ω_i we can select a vector X_i such that

$$X_j \lrcorner \omega_i = \delta_{ij}. \quad (68)$$

Proof. For the proof assume that there is X_i such that in addition we have $X_i \lrcorner \omega_j = a \neq 0$. Then since ω_i and ω_j are linearly independent, we get a contradiction. Linear independence of vectors can be proved similarly.

Now the vertical space $VU = \text{span}(\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k)$. We can construct projectors:

$$P_i = I - \omega_i \wedge (X_i \lrcorner), \quad (69)$$

with the property

$$X_i \lrcorner P_i = 0. \quad (70)$$

We can see that, since (68) is valid, we have that the operators $\{P_i\}$ commutes pairwise, i.e.,

$$P_i \circ P_j = P_j \circ P_i. \quad (71)$$

The projectors P_i are homomorphism of exterior algebra since we have

$$P_i(\alpha \wedge \beta) = P_i(\alpha) \wedge P_i(\beta), \quad (72)$$

where $\omega_i \wedge \omega_i = 0$ was used. It can also be noted that for ω_i (and therefore A) being non-scalar this property does not generally hold.

We can now project a differential form $\phi \in \Lambda^*(U)$ onto a horizontal form by means of

$$\Delta := P_1 \circ \dots \circ P_k. \quad (73)$$

that is

$$X_i \lrcorner \Delta \phi = 0, \quad (74)$$

for all $1 < i < k$.

Now we can examine the relation between solutions of $d^\nabla \phi = 0$ and its horizontal part $\Delta \phi$. We have an obvious statement that if $[d^\nabla, \Delta] = 0$ then the horizontal part of ϕ is also covariantly constant. However, generally, this is not the case. In order to grasp more knowledge about the problem notice that we can write

$$\Delta = I - \sum_i \omega_i \wedge X_i \lrcorner - \sum_{i < j} \omega_i \wedge \omega_j \wedge (X_i \lrcorner X_j \lrcorner) + \sum_{i < j < l} \omega_i \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_l \wedge (X_i \lrcorner X_j \lrcorner X_l \lrcorner) + \dots, \quad (75)$$

where all summation indices run over the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$. Therefore if $d^\nabla \phi = 0$ then $d^\nabla \Delta \phi = 0$ if

$$d^\nabla \Delta \phi = \sum_i d^\nabla(\omega_i \wedge X_i \lrcorner \phi) + \sum_{i < j} d^\nabla(\omega_i \wedge \omega_j \wedge (X_i \lrcorner X_j \lrcorner \phi)) + \dots = 0. \quad (76)$$

By vanishing all the summands we get the necessary conditions for the covariantly constant solutions to be horizontal.

We illustrate it using an example.

Example 6. Continuing Example 1, we have $X_1 = \partial_y$ and

$$\Delta\phi = (1 - e^{-y})\frac{dx}{y}. \quad (77)$$

However, $d^\nabla\Delta\phi \neq 0$.

In Example 2 we have that $\Delta\phi_2 = \phi_2$, so $P_1|_{\phi_2} = I$ and therefore $d^\nabla\Delta\phi_2 = d^\nabla\phi_2 = 0$. Therefore, in this case Δ operator commute with d^∇ .

4.2 Equivariance

Under the gauge transformation by $g \in G$ the connection one-form transforms as

$$A' = Ad(g^{-1})A + g^{-1}dg. \quad (78)$$

Denoting by d^∇ the exterior covariant derivative induced by A and by $d^{\nabla'}$ by those induced by A' we have

Proposition 3. *If ϕ is a solution of d^∇ , then $\phi' = g\phi$ is a solution of $d^{\nabla'}$.*

Proof. *The proof idea is similar to proposition 3.3 of [1]: We show that RHS of $\phi' = g^{-1}\phi$ fulfills parallel transport equation, so by the uniqueness of the solution of the homogenous equation, cf. Theorem 1, we have that both sides are equal.*

By standard argument we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\nabla'}\phi' &= d(g^{-1}\phi) + (g^{-1}Ag + g^{-1}dg) \wedge g^{-1}\phi = \\ &dg^{-1} \wedge \phi + g^{-1}d\phi + g^{-1}A \wedge \phi + g^{-1}dgg^{-1} \wedge \phi = \\ &g^{-1}d^\nabla\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

where $0 = d(g^{-1}g) = d(g^{-1})g + g^{-1}dg$ was used. Since $d^\nabla\phi = 0$ so $d^{\nabla'}\phi' = 0$.

Since gauge transformation is equivalent to the move between horizontal sections of a principal bundle of the associated bundle, we get the equivariance of ϕ , i.e., $\phi(pg) = g^{-1}\phi(p)$.

In the next section we connect the results obtained so far with Operational Calculus.

5 Relation to Bittner's operator calculus

We can relate Bittner's operator calculus outlined in Appendix A to the exterior derivative and homotopy operator. We expand the analogy that was introduced in [33].

We define $L_0 = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{A}$ and $L_1 = \mathcal{E}$ as presented in Fig. 1. We have obviously:

- $S := d : L_0 \rightarrow L_1$ - derivative with $\ker(S) = \ker(d) = \mathcal{E}$.




Figure 1: Operator calculus mapped to exterior calculus.

- $T := H : L_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \subset L_0$ - integral.

Obviously, $ST|_{L_1} = dH|_{\mathcal{E}} = I$ since dH is the projection operator onto \mathcal{E} .

In order to identify s operator, we use homotopy invariance formula (8) as

$$Hd = I - \underbrace{(s_{x_0}^* + dH)}_s, \quad (80)$$

i.e.

$$s := \begin{cases} s_{x_0}^* & \text{for } \Lambda^0(U) \\ dH & \text{for } \Lambda^k(U), \quad k > 0. \end{cases} \quad (81)$$

Obviously, s defined above is a projection operator ($s^2 = s$) onto $\ker(S) = \ker(d) = \mathcal{E}$.

We will use the symbols S , T , and s in these specific substitutions from exterior algebra.

We can now associate the operator $R = -A \wedge _$ to the notion of abstract (non-commutative) logarithm in the sense that it has no zero divisors.

Proposition 4. *If $d\phi = R\phi$ then we have*

$$(I - HR)\phi = 0 \Rightarrow \phi = 0. \quad (82)$$

Proof. *For the solution ϕ from (14) we have $d\alpha = (I + H(A \wedge _))\phi = (I - HR)\phi = 0$, and therefore again from (14), $\phi = 0$, as required.*

The following Corollary instantiates a strict definition of formal notation of fraction in (28).

Corollary 4. *The operator $(I - HR) = (I + H(A \wedge _))$ has no trivial zero divisors. Therefore, we can construct Mikusinski's ring of elements $\Lambda^*(U)$ and operators $\{I, I - HR\}$, where $\phi \in \Lambda^*(U)$ is represented by $\frac{\phi}{I}$, and other elements are of the form $\frac{\phi}{I - HR}$.*

The following section provides a practical approach to solving the curvature equation.

6 Curvature equation

The curvature F is a square of the differential operator $S - R$

$$F := (S - R)^2. \quad (83)$$

We fix, as in the previous section, i.e., $S = d$ and $R = -A \wedge _$, $s = dH + s_{x_0}^*$ so we obtain the usual curvature of A .

The curvature equation, due to its tensorial character, is an algebraic equation, however, we will focus on the solutions using the above machinery of (anti)exact forms to get a deeper insight into the structure of the solutions.

We can easily solve the curvature equation using the method of solving the covariant constancy equation. First, we solve the homogenous curvature equation in

Theorem 4. *In order to solve the homogenous curvature equation*

$$F\phi = (S - R)^2\phi = 0, \quad (84)$$

with $\phi \in \Lambda^k(U)$ rewrite it as a coupled system

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2 &:= (S - R)\phi_1, & s\phi_1 &= 0 \\ (S - R)\phi_2 &= 0, & s\phi_2 &= c_2, \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

for ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 and then add a solution of the first-order equation

$$(S - R)\phi = 0, \quad s\phi = c_1 \in \ker(S). \quad (86)$$

Next, the nonhomogenous solution of the curvature equation will be provided.

Theorem 5. *The solution of the nonhomogenous curvature equation*

$$(S - R)^2\phi = J, \quad s\phi = c_1 \in \ker(S), \quad s(S - R)\phi = c_2 \in \ker(S), \quad (87)$$

for $\phi \in \Lambda^k(U)$, $J \in \Lambda^{k+2}(U)$, $c_1 \in \mathcal{E}^k(U)$, $c_2 \in \mathcal{E}^{k+1}(U)$, $R \in \Lambda^1(U)$ is a linear combination of

- *First order equation*

$$(S - R)\phi = 0 \quad s\phi = c_1. \quad (88)$$

The solution is as in the homogenous case of Theorem 4.

- *Second order equation*

$$(S - R)^2\phi = J, \quad s\phi = 0, \quad s(S - R)\phi = c_2. \quad (89)$$

It can be solved by replacing it with the first-order system of coupled equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2 &= (S - R)\phi_1, & s\phi_1 &= 0 \\ (S - R)\phi_2 &= J, & s\phi_2 &= c_2, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

with $\phi = \phi_1$ and ϕ_2 .

7 Hodge duals

When U has a metric structure so the Hodge star \star can be defined, we can use dual (anti)coexact decomposition for solving dual equations. By dualizing Theorem 1 we have

Corollary 5. *Solution of the equation*

$$\delta\phi + A^\sharp \lrcorner \phi = 0, \quad (91)$$

where $\phi \in \Lambda^k(U)$, $A \in \Lambda^1(U)$ is given by

$$\phi = \frac{1}{I + h(A^\sharp \lrcorner)} c = (I - h(A^\sharp \lrcorner) + h(A^\sharp \lrcorner)(h(A^\sharp \lrcorner))) - \dots)c, \quad (92)$$

where $c \in \mathcal{C}(U) = \ker(\delta)|_U$. The series is convergent for $\|x - x_0\| < \frac{k}{\|A\|_\infty}$, where the supremum norm is taken over the line joining x_0 with x .

Likewise, from Theorem 2 the inhomogeneous equation with coexact RHS is provided by

Theorem 6. *Solution of the equation*

$$\delta\phi + A^\sharp \lrcorner \phi = J, \quad (93)$$

where $\phi \in \Lambda^k(U)$, $A \in \Lambda^1(U)$, $J \in \mathcal{C}^{k-1}(U)$ is given by

$$\phi = \frac{1}{I + h(A^\sharp \lrcorner)} c + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l (h(A^\sharp \lrcorner))^l hJ \quad (94)$$

where $c \in \Lambda^k(U)$. The first term is a solution to the homogenous equation. The series is convergent for $\|x - x_0\| < \frac{k}{\|A\|_\infty}$.

Finally, the solution of the covariant constancy equation with arbitrary inhomogeneity is given by

Theorem 7. *Solution of the equation*

$$\delta\phi + A^\sharp \lrcorner \phi = J, \quad (95)$$

where $\phi \in \Lambda^k(U)$, $A \in \Lambda^1(U)$, $J \in \Lambda^{k-1}(U)$ can be composed from three elements $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3$, where ϕ_1 is a solution of

$$(\delta + A^\sharp \lrcorner)\phi_1 = J_c - \delta(\phi_2 + \phi_3), \quad (96)$$

the ϕ_2 is a solution of a constraint equation

$$A^\sharp \lrcorner \phi_2 = J_y, \quad (97)$$

and ϕ_3 is a solution of

$$A^\sharp \lrcorner \phi_3 = 0, \quad (98)$$

where $J_c = \delta hJ$ is the coexact part of J , and $J_y = h\delta J$ is the anticoexact part of J .

We can consider also the square of the $\delta + A^\sharp \lrcorner$ operator, however it can be also noticed that it is related to the results of the previous section, since by (9) we have for $\alpha \in \Lambda^k(U)$ we have $(\delta + A^\sharp \lrcorner) \star \alpha = (-1)^{k+1} \star (d + \lrcorner \wedge A)\alpha$.

8 Conclusions

The formulas for inverting covariant exterior derivatives in a local star-shaped subset of a fibred set are provided. Using this prescription, the solution for the curvature equation is given. Moreover, the profound relation of the methods developed here with Operational Calculus, especially with Bittner's calculus, is provided. Since Operational Calculus was invented to solve (linear) differential equations appearing in engineering as easily as algebraic equations, we believe this link helps simplify notation and promote an efficient way to make local calculations in differential geometry and their applications. Using (anti)(co)exact decomposition of forms allows solving equations involving covariant exterior derivatives as efficiently as standard ODEs.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the GACR grant GA22-00091S, the grant 8J20DE004 of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the CR, and Masaryk University grant MUNI/A/1092/2021. RK also thank the SyMat COST Action (CA18223) for partial support.

A Bittner's operator calculus

Operational Calculus (e.g., [24, 25, 34, 4, 15, 37]) was invented to deal with linear differential equations appearing in technical applications in an algebraic way. We are interested in the version of this calculus invented by R. Bittner [7, 2, 5, 5]. Since it is not well-recognized in differential geometry, we summarize the basic facts in this Appendix following [7, 2, 5, 5].

We also acknowledge other similar approaches to the subject, especially to nonlinear problems, e.g., in [38], and some use of skew-symmetry to operational calculus [39], although they are using different axioms for derivative and integral. It can possibly be merged with the approach from this paper.

The derivative can be described in two ways: in a 'set-theoretic spirit' - describing how it acts on some elements of a set, or in a 'category-theory spirit' - describing how it interacts with other operators. In calculus or differential geometry a derivative (like covariant derivative) is defined by its linearity property and (possible graded) Leibniz rule. On the contrary, the general idea for Bittner's operator calculus is to describe the derivative using its interplay with an integral

operator. It generalizes the following rules of the calculus

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_{x_0}^x f(t)dt = f(x), \quad \int_{x_0}^x \frac{df}{dt}(t)dt = f(x) - f(x_0), \quad (99)$$

for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition 1. [5, 6, 7, 2] For linear spaces L_0 and L_1 we define linear operators

- $S : L_0 \rightarrow L_1$ - abstract derivative;
- $T_q : L_1 \rightarrow L_0$ - abstract integral parametrized by $q \in \ker(S) \subset L_0$;
- $s : L_0 \rightarrow \ker(S) \subset L_0$ - projection/limit condition;

that fulfills

$$ST = I, \quad TS = I - s. \quad (100)$$

Elements of $\ker(S)$ are called constants (of S).

The T is the right inverse of S , however, if $s \neq 0$, there is a defect [37] preventing T to be also the left inverse of S . The operator s is a projection operator, so $s^2 = s$. We can extend the calculus to higher derivatives by the chain of linear spaces $\{L_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and derivatives/integrals acting between them: $L_0 \xrightleftharpoons[T]{S} L_1 \xrightleftharpoons[T]{S} L_2 \xrightleftharpoons[T]{S} \dots$, however, we do not need it since we will use this calculus here to the exterior derivative that is nilpotent, and so this chain will terminate after the second term.

This operator calculus can be defined even more abstractly on groups by

Definition 2. [2, 6] We define for groups G_0, G_1

- $S : G_1 \rightarrow G_0$, S being group homomorphism - abstract derivative;
- $T : G_0 \rightarrow G_1$ - abstract integral for S ;
- $s : G_1 \rightarrow \ker(S) \subset G_1$ - projection/limit condition;

with properties

$$\forall_{y \in Y} STy = y, \quad \forall_{x \in X} x = s(x) \circ TS(X). \quad (101)$$

As an example consider $G_0 = (\mathbb{R}_+ \sum \{0\}, 1, \cdot)$, $G_1 = (\mathbb{R}, 1, \cdot)$ with $Sx = x^2$, $Tx = \sqrt{x}$, $s(x) = \text{sgn}(x)$, with obvious conditions $STx = x$, $y = s(y)TSy$.

For vector spaces treated as abelian groups $G_i = (V, 0, +)$, $i = 1, 2$ we restore the previous definition. We will not need this abstract definition in what follows, however it can be useful in the case of group manifold.

The abstract logarithm map is defined, as usual [36], as a solution to some (abstract) differential equation. To this end, consider an endomorphism $R : L_i \rightarrow L_i$ for $i = 0, 1$ that commutes with S and s . One can prove [5, 6] that it also commutes with T . We can define

Definition 3. [5, 2] The endomorphism R that commutes with S and s is called logarithm if fulfills

$$(I - TR)f = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0 \quad (102)$$

for $f \in L_0$. It is equivalent to demanding that

$$\begin{cases} Sf = Rf \\ sf = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow f = 0. \quad (103)$$

We will use the condition (102) to generalize logarithm to the case when R does not commute with S and s , i.e., for operator calculus on exterior algebra.

The final definition from Operational Calculus we need, is the definition of Mikusinski's ring (commutative ring without zero divisors). It was originally formulated for the convolutional ring of functions [34] as an alternative approach to distribution theory (it defines in a strict sense formal Heaviside's calculus [24, 25]). We can define it as follows

Definition 4. [5, 6, 34] Let $\pi(X)$ be the commutative group of endomorphisms of X defined by

- $(U_1U_2)U_3 = U_1(U_2U_3)$
- $U_1U_2 = U_2U_1$
- No zero divisors: $Uf = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$

for $U_1, U_2, U_3, U \in \pi(X)$ and $f \in X$.

Then we can define fractions

$$\xi = \frac{f}{U} \quad (104)$$

as an equivalence relation: $\frac{f}{U} = \frac{g}{V} \Leftrightarrow Vf = Ug$. This defines the ring with the obvious addition of fractions and multiplication of a fraction by numbers and operators.

In the paper we define Mikusinski's ring for the group of operators containing one operator only.

In order to make a connection with differential geometry, we define a curvature F as a square of some operator that defines a logarithm: $F = (S - R)^2$. The additional requirement expected in differential geometric applications is that the curvature should be an algebraic operator, i.e., not contain derivative acting on the argument. Therefore we have an obvious

Proposition 5. For an operator that defines logarithm, i.e., $S - R$ defines an algebraic curvature, when S fulfills graded Leibniz rule, is nilpotent $S^2 = 0$, and R has an odd grade.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward computation

$$(S - R)^2\phi = -S(R\phi) - RS\phi + R^2\phi = -(S(R) - R^2)\phi = F\phi, \quad (105)$$

where F is a curvature operator that does not act with S on ϕ , i.e., it is an algebraic operator, as required.

B Bittner's operator calculus as category

In this appendix the category of Bittner's operator calculus is formulated, elevating it to the modern mathematical tool.

The category consists objects $B(L_0, L_1, S, T, s)$ that contains the following data

- L_0, L_1 - linear spaces
- $S : L_0 \rightarrow L_1$ - abstract derivative with $\ker(S) \subset L_0$
- $T_q : L_1 \rightarrow L_0$ - abstract integral indexed by $q \in \ker(S)$
- $s_q : L_0 \rightarrow \ker(S)$ - projection

with properties

$$ST_q = I, \quad T_q S = I - s_q. \quad (106)$$

The morphism between two such objects $B(L_0, L_1, S, T, s)$ and $\bar{B}(\bar{L}_0, \bar{L}_1, \bar{S}, \bar{T}, \bar{s})$ consists of two isomorphisms of linear spaces

$$\phi : L_1 \rightarrow \bar{L}_1, \quad \psi : L_0 \rightarrow \bar{L}_0, \quad (107)$$

with the transformations of operators [7, 2, 5, 6]

$$\bar{S} = \psi S \phi^{-1}, \quad \bar{T} = \phi T \psi^{-1}, \quad \bar{s} = \phi s \phi^{-1}. \quad (108)$$

C Operator-valued connection

In this part we discuss the application of the inversion of covariant derivative for operator-valued covariant derivative (see [18] or chapter 10 of [16]). The space is constructed from the product of Euclidean E_n and the vector space \mathbb{R}^N :

$$K := E_n \times \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (109)$$

onto which acts an r -dimensional Lie group G and its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Enlarging the space to

$$\mathcal{G} := G \times K, \quad (110)$$

we consider $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$. Let Lie algebra is spanned by $\{V_a\}_{a=1}^r$, then the action of $V_a \in \mathfrak{g}$ on $\omega \in \Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ is given by the Lie derivative

$$V_a \times \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V_a} \omega. \quad (111)$$

It can be integrated into the action of the group by

$$\omega \rightarrow \exp(u^a \mathcal{L}_{V_a}) \omega, \quad (112)$$

where u^a are the coordinates on G . Then we can define operator-valued covariant derivative on \mathcal{G} by

$$D := d + \Gamma, \quad \Gamma := W^a \wedge \mathcal{L}_{V_a}, \quad W^a \in \Lambda^1(\mathcal{G}). \quad (113)$$

Since the exterior derivative d commutes with the Lie derivative \mathcal{L} , and the Lie derivative does not alter the grading of differential form, so all the results presented above are valid by replacing $A \wedge \cdot$ by Γ .

D Integral equations

In this section we provide an alternative formulation in terms of integral equations generated by the homotopy operator H .

Let us consider the equation

$$d\phi + A \wedge \phi = J_e, \quad A \in \Lambda^1(U), \quad J_e \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (114)$$

Applying d we get that $A \wedge \phi \in \mathcal{E}$. Therefore, since $dH(A \wedge \phi) = A \wedge \phi$ and $dHJ_e = J_e$, so

$$d(\phi + H(A \wedge \phi) - J_e) = 0, \quad (115)$$

and therefore, we must solve the integral equation

$$\phi + H(A \wedge \phi) = J_e + d\alpha, \quad (116)$$

for an arbitrary $\alpha \in \Lambda(U)$. Now, introducing a real non-zero parameter λ , we get

$$\phi + \lambda H(A \wedge \phi) = J_e + d\alpha. \quad (117)$$

Substituting a Neumann series [31], $\phi = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda^l \phi_l$, we restore the result of Theorem 2.

We also want to acknowledge that the methods presented here can be easily extended to solve the Riemann-Graves integral equation

$$\phi = I + H(\phi\Gamma), \quad (118)$$

for an unknown ϕ being a matrix-valued form and Γ a matrix-valued 1-form. The equation (117) can be seen as a generalized Riemann-Graves equation. It has applications in gauge theory [19, 16].

References

- [1] C.A. Abad, A.Q. Velez, S.V. Vasquez, *An \mathcal{A}_∞ version of the Poincaré lemma*, Pacific J. Math. 302, 385–412 (2019); DOI: 10.2140/pjm.2019.302.385

- [2] W. Babiański, R. Bittner, L. Latecki, *The criteria for the existence of derivatives, primitives and limit conditions in groups*, Summaries Conference on Complex Analysis and Applications, Varna 1987, Blug. Acad. Sci. (1987)
- [3] I.M. Benn, R.W. Tucker, *An Introduction to Spinors and Geometry With Applications*, Adam Hilger Bristol and New York, 1988.
- [4] L. Berg, *Einführung in die Operatorenrechnung*, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1962
- [5] R. Bittner, *Rachunek Operatorów w Przestrzeniach Liniowych*, PWN 1974
- [6] R. Bittner, *Rachunek Operatorów w Grupach*, PWN 1992
- [7] R. Bittner, *On certain axiomatics for the operational calculus*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Cl III S 855–858, (1957)
- [8] R.L. Bryant, S.S. Chern, R.B. Gardner, H.L. Goldschmidt, P.A. Griffiths, *Exterior Differential Systems*, Springer New York, NY 1991
- [9] D. Bleeker, *Gauge Theory and Variational Principles*, Dover 2005
- [10] D. Canarutto, *Covariant-differential formulation of Lagrangian field theory*, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics Vol. 15, 08, 1850133, (2018); DOI: 10.1142/S0219887818501335
- [11] K. Chen, *Iterated Integrals of Differential Forms and Loop Space Homology*, Annals of Mathematics, 97, 2, 217–246 (1973); DOI: 10.2307/1970846
- [12] K. Chen, *Iterated path integrals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 83, 5 (1977)
- [13] G.A. Demessie, C. Saemann, *Higher Poincare Lemma and Integrability*, J. Math. Phys. 56, 082902 (2015); DOI: 10.1063/1.4929537
- [14] G. de Rham, *Differentiable Manifolds. Forms, Currents, Harmonic Forms*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1984
- [15] I. Dimovski, *Convolutional calculus*, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), vol. 43. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht 1990
- [16] D.G.B. Edelen, *Applied Exterior Calculus*, Dover Publications, Revised edition, 2011
- [17] D.G.B. Edelen, *Isovector Methods for Equations of Balance*, Springer, 1980
- [18] D.G.B. Edelen, *Operator-valued connections, Lie connections, and Gauge field theory*. Int J Theor Phys 23, 949–985 (1984); DOI: 10.1007/BF02213437

- [19] D.G.B. Edelen, *The linear integral equations associated with any antiexact solution of the Yang-Mills free field equations*, Journal of Mathematical Physics 22, 2272 (1981); DOI: 10.1063/1.524759
- [20] T. Eguchiab, P.B. Gilkey, A.J. Hanson, *Gravitation, gauge theories and differential geometry*, Physics Reports 66, 6, 213–393 (1980); DOI: 10.1016/0370-1573(80)90130-1
- [21] L. Gross, *A Poincaré lemma for connection forms*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 63, 1, 1–46 (1985); DOI: 10.1016/0022-1236(85)90096-5
- [22] M. Guay, N. Hudon, K. Höffner, *Geometric decomposition, potential-based representation and integrability of nonlinear systems*, IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information, 38 2 440–465 (2021); DOI: 10.1093/imamci/dnaa033
- [23] V.K.A.M. Gugenheim, *On Chen’s iterated integrals*, Illinois J. Math., 21, 3, (1977); DOI: 10.1215/ijm/1256049021
- [24] O. Heaviside, *On operators in physical mathematics. part i*, In: Proceedings of the Royal Society, vol. 52, pp. 504–529. London (1893)
- [25] O. Heaviside, *On operators in physical mathematics. part ii*, In: Proceedings of the Royal Society, vol. 54, pp. 105–143. London (1894)
- [26] D. Husemöller, *Fibre Bundles*, Springer; 3rd edition 1993
- [27] K. Igusa, *Iterated integrals of superconnections*, arXiv:0912.0249 [math.AT]
- [28] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, 2 volumes, Wiley-Interscience, 1996
- [29] I. Kolář, J. Slovák, P.W. Michor, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1993
- [30] D. Kreimer, *Chen’s Iterated Integral represents the Operator Product Expansion*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys., 3, 627–670 (1999)
- [31] R. Kress, *Linear Integral Equations*, Springer 3rd edition 2014
- [32] R.A. Kycia, *The Poincare Lemma, Antiexact Forms, and Fermionic Quantum Harmonic Oscillator*, Results Math 75, 122 (2020); DOI: 10.1007/s00025-020-01247-8
- [33] R.A. Kycia, *The Poincare lemma for codifferential, anticoexact forms, and applications to physics*, Results Math 77, 182 (2022). DOI: 10.1007/s00025-022-01646-z

- [34] J. Mikusinski, *Operational calculus*, International Series of Monographs on Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 8. Pergamon Press, New York (1959)
- [35] E. Miranda, R. Solha, *A Poincare lemma in Geometric Quantisation*, The Journal of Geometric Mechanics, 5, 4, 473–491 (2013); DOI: 10.3934/jgm.2013.5.473
- [36] J. Napier, *Mirifici Logarithmorum Canonis Descriptio*, 1614; *Mirifici Logarithmorum Canonis Constructio*, 1619
- [37] D. Przeworksa-Rolewicz, S. Rolewicz, *Equations in Linear Spaces*, PWN 1968
- [38] M. Rosenkranz, G. Regensburger. *Solving and factoring boundary problems for linear ordinary differential equations in differential algebras*. J. Symbolic Comput., 43(8):515–544, (2008)
- [39] G. Regensburger, M. Rosenkranz, J. Middeke, *A Skew Polynomial Approach to Integro-Differential Operators*, ISSAC '09: Proceedings of the 2009 international symposium on Symbolic and algebraic computation, 287–294 (2009); DOI: 10.1145/1576702.1576742
- [40] H. Rund, *Differential-geometric and variational background of classical gauge field theories*. Aeq. Math. 24, 121–174 (1982); DOI:10.1007/BF02193040
- [41] S. Sternberg, *Curvature in Mathematics and Physics*, Dover 2012
- [42] W. Thirring, *A Course in Mathematical Physics 1 and 2*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc. 1992
- [43] L.W. Tu, *Differential geometry*, Springer 2017
- [44] T. Voronov, *On a non-Abelian Poincaré lemma*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 2855–2872 (2012); DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9939-2011-11116-X
- [45] K. Yang, *Exterior Differential Systems and Equivalence Problems*, Springer, 2010