## Hadronic resonance production with ALICE at the LHC

Sergey Kiselev<sup>\*</sup> for the ALICE Collaboration

\*NRC "Kurchatov Institute", Moscow, Russia

E-mail: Sergey.Kiselev@cern.ch

**Abstract.** We present recent results on short-lived hadronic resonances obtained by the ALICE experiment at LHC energies. Results include system-size and collision-energy evolution of transverse momentum spectra, yields and ratios of resonance yields to those of longer lived particles, and nuclear modification factors. The results are compared with model predictions and measurements at lower energies.

Hadronic resonance production plays an important role both in elementary and in nucleusnucleus collisions. In heavy-ion collisions, since the lifetimes of short-lived resonances are comparable with the lifetime of the late hadronic phase, regeneration and rescattering effects become important and ratios of yields of resonances relative to those of longer lived particles can be used to estimate the time interval between the chemical and kinetic freeze-out. The measurements in pp and p–Pb collisions constitute a reference for nuclear collisions and provide information for tuning event generators inspired by Quantum Chromodynamics.

Results on short-lived mesonic  $\rho(770)^0$ ,  $K^*(892)^0$ ,  $K^*(892)^{\pm}$ ,  $f_0(980)$ ,  $\phi(1020)$  as well as baryonic  $\Sigma(1385)^{\pm}$ ,  $\Lambda(1520)$  and  $\Xi(1530)^0$  resonances (hereafter  $\rho^0$ ,  $K^{*0}$ ,  $K^{*\pm}$ ,  $f_0$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\Sigma^{*\pm}$ ,  $\Lambda^*$ ,  $\Xi^{*0}$ ) have been obtained by the ALICE experiment. The resonances are reconstructed in their hadronic decay channels and have very different lifetimes as shown in Table 1. Here we present

Table 1. Reconstructed decay mode and lifetime values [1] for hadronic resonances.

	$ ho^0$	K*0	$K^{*\pm}$	$f_0$	$\Sigma^{*\pm}$	$\Lambda^*$	Ξ*0	$\phi$
decay channel	$\pi\pi$	$K\pi$	$K_S^0 \pi$	$\pi\pi$	$\Lambda\pi$	pK	$\Xi\pi$	KK
lifetime $(fm/c)$	1.3	4.2	$4.\widetilde{2}$	$\sim 5$	5 - 5.5	12.6	21.7	46.2
ALICE papers	[2]	[3]-[12]	[13]	[14]	[15]- $[17]$	[18]- $[19]$	[15]- $[16]$	[3]-[12]

recent results obtained for  $K^{*0}$  and  $\phi$  in p–Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$  TeV [11], pp and Pb–Pb at 5.02 TeV [10], for  $K^{*\pm}$  in pp at 5.02, 8, 13 TeV [13] and Pb–Pb at 5.02 TeV, for  $f_0$  in pp [14] and p–Pb at 5.02 TeV, for  $\Sigma^{*\pm}$  in Pb–Pb at 5.02 TeV [17], for  $\Lambda^*$  in pp at 5.02, 13 TeV and Pb–Pb at 5.02 TeV.

Figure 1 shows the transverse momentum spectra for  $\Sigma^{*-}$  in Pb–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV (left) and ratios of the measured spectra to model predictions (right). The



Figure 1. (color online) Left: Transverse momentum spectra for  $\Sigma^{*-}$  in different centrality classes of Pb–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV. Right: Ratios of the measured spectra to model predictions from PYTHIA8/Angantyr [20] and EPOS [21] with and without the UrQMD afterburner. From [17].

spectra have been measured for different centralities up to  $p_{\rm T} = 9 \text{ GeV}/c$ . Models do not fully describe data.

The mean transverse momenta of  $\Lambda^*$  as a function of the charged-particle multiplicity density are shown in Fig. 2 (left). New data from Pb–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV show larger values than at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV and predictions from the Blast-Wave model. Predictions from the MUSIC+SMASH model [23], which includes modeling of the hadronic phase, are consistent with the data in central collisions but underestimate them in peripheral ones. Models without hadronic afterburner underestimate the measurements at both energies. Figure 2 (right, bottom) shows the mean transverse momenta of  $K^{*0}(c)$  and  $\phi(d)$  as a function of the charged-particle multiplicity density. New data values for p–Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$  TeV are close to the values for other light systems. Among the models, EPOS-LHC [24] gives the best agreement with the data. In pp and p–Pb collisions, the  $\langle p_T \rangle$  rises faster with multiplicity than in Pb–Pb collisions. An analogous behavior has been observed in [27] for charged particles and can be understood as the effect of color reconnection between strings produced in multi-parton interactions.

Normalized  $p_T$ -integrated yields of K<sup>\*0</sup> and  $\phi$  as a function of the charged-particle multiplicity density are presented in Fig. 2 (right, top). New data for p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$  TeV follow the general trend: yields are independent of collision system and energy and appear to be driven by the event multiplicity. EPOS-LHC predictions agree with the data.

Figure 3 (left) shows the particle yield ratios  $K^{*\pm}/K_S^0$  as a function of the charged-particle multiplicity density. The ratio is significantly suppressed, by about 55%, going from peripheral to central Pb–Pb collisions. This suppression is consistent with rescattering of  $K^{*\pm}$  decay products in the hadronic phase of central collisions as the dominant effect. Models with rescattering effect (MUSIC+SMASH and HRG-PCE) qualitatively describe the data. There is a hint of decrease of the ratio with increasing multiplicity in pp collisions. Among the models, EPOS-LHC gives the best agreement with the data. The  $K^{*\pm}$  measurement is consistent with previous results for  $K^{*0}$  [8]. It is worth noting that the systematic uncertainties of charged  $K^*$  are smaller than those of neutral  $K^*$ .

The particle yield ratios  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  are illustrated in Fig. 3 (right). The new measurements in



Figure 2. (color online) Left: The mean transverse momentum of  $\Lambda^*$  as a function of the charged-particle multiplicity density in Pb–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV with model predictions from Blast-Wave [22] and MUSIC [23] with and without the hadronic phase (SMASH off). Results are also shown for pp at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV [19], p–Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV [19] and Pb–Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV with EPOS3 predictions [18]. Right: The multiplicity-scaled  $p_T$ -integrated yield (a, d) and mean transverse momentum (c, d) of K<sup>\*0</sup>(a, c) and  $\phi$ (b, d) as functions of the charged-particle multiplicity density in p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$  TeV with model predictions from EPOS-LHC [24], DPMJET [25] and HIJING [26]. The measurements in pp at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  [3], 13 [8] TeV, p–Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV [5] and Pb–Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  [4], 5.02 [7] TeV are also shown. From [11].



Figure 3. (color online) Particle yield ratios  $K^{*\pm}/K_S^0$  (left) and  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  (right) as a function of the charged-particle multiplicity density in pp, p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions with model predictions from PYTHIA6 [28], PYTHIA8 [29], EPOS-LHC [24], DIPSY [30],  $\gamma_S$ -CSM [31], HRG-PCE [32], MUSIC [23], THERMUS [33], GSI-Heidelberg [34] and SHARE3 [35]. STAR data [36] are also shown for  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  ratios.

Pb–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV follow the suppression trend observed for Pb–Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV [18]. The suppression, of about 70%, is larger than for  $K^{*\pm}/K_S^0$  although  $\tau(\Lambda^*) \approx 3\tau(K^{*\pm})$ . The difference might also be explained by a difference in the interaction cross sections of the resonance decay products with pions, the most abundant species in the hadron gas. MUSIC with SMASH afterburner reproduces the suppression trend. All thermal models overestimate the ratio in central Pb–Pb collisions. A multiplicity-dependent suppression is not observed in the new data for pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$  and 13 TeV. ALICE results confirm the trend seen by STAR at 200 GeV.

Figure 4 (left) shows the particle yield ratios  $\Sigma^{*\pm}/\pi$  as a function of the chargedparticle multiplicity density in pp, p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions. New results for Pb–Pb at



Figure 4. (color online) Left: Particle yield ratios  $\Sigma^{*\pm}/\pi$  as a function of the chargedparticle multiplicity density in pp, p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions with model predictions from PYTHIA/Angantyr [20], EPOS [21] and GSI-Heidelberg [34]. STAR data [36] are also shown. From [17]. Right: Double ratio of particle yields to pion yields as a function of multiplicity in p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$  TeV.

 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV do not exhibit particular trend with multiplicity [17]. There is a hint of some suppression at the highest multiplicity but future higher precision measurements are needed. EPOS with UrQMD and PYTHIA/Angantyr models reproduce qualitatively multiplicity dependence. The GSI-Heidelberg thermal model overestimates the ratio in central Pb–Pb collisions. In pp and p–A collisions, ALICE results are close to STAR data.

To investigate the structure of  $f_0$ , the new ALICE measurements of the  $f_0$  yields are compared to those of other hadrons and resonances with similar mass. Figure 4 (right) shows the double ratio of particle yields to pion yields as a function of multiplicity in p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$  TeV. Strangeness enhancement with multiplicity could explain the increase with multiplicity of the  $\phi/\pi$  ratios. The K<sup>\*0</sup>/ $\pi$  ratios demonstrate the competition between strangeness enhancement and rescattering effect. The  $f_0/\pi$  suppression shows that rescattering is the effect that dominantly affects the yield at low  $p_T$ . Notably, the  $\gamma_S$ –CSM model prediction for the  $f_0/\pi$  ratio assuming zero net strangeness of  $f_0$  is consistent with the data within 1.9 $\sigma$ [14].

Figure 5 presents the nuclear modification factor  $R_{AA}$  of K<sup>\*0</sup> and  $\phi$  for Pb–Pb collisions. New results at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV are comparable to the corresponding measurements at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV [6]. Significant energy dependence of  $R_{AA}$  is not observed.



**Figure 5.** (color online) The nuclear modification factor  $R_{AA}$  for  $K^{*0}$  (top) and  $\phi$  (bottom) as a function of transverse momentum in Pb–Pb collisions in different centralities. From [10].

In summary, recent results on short-lived hadronic resonances obtained by the ALICE experiment in pp, p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC energies have been presented. In pp and p–Pb collisions the  $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle$  values for the K<sup>\*0</sup> and  $\phi$  resonances rise faster with multiplicity than in Pb–Pb collisions. One possible explanation could be the effect of color reconnection between strings produced in multi-parton interactions. Yields of K<sup>\*0</sup> and  $\phi$  are independent of the collision system and energy and appear to be driven by the event multiplicity. The K<sup>\*±</sup>/K<sup>0</sup><sub>S</sub>, and  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  ratios exhibit a significant suppression going from peripheral to central Pb–Pb collisions, consistent with rescattering of the decay products of the short-lived resonances in the hadronic phase. There is a hint of suppression for the  $\Sigma^{*\pm}/K^0_{\rm S}$  and  $f_0/\pi$  ratios and no suppression for  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$ . No significant energy dependence of  $R_{AA}$  for K<sup>\*0</sup> and  $\phi$  in Pb–Pb collisions is observed.

## References

- [1] Workman R L et al. (Particle Data Group) 2022 Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022 083C01
- [2] Acharya S et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2019 Phys. Rev. C 99 064901
- [3] Abelev B et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2012 Eur. Phys. J. C 72 2183
- [4] Abelev B et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2015 Phys. Rev. C 91 024609
- [5] Adam J et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2016 Eur. Phys. J. C 76 245
- [6] Adam J et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2017 Phys. Rev. C 95 064606
- [7] Acharya S et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2020 Phys. Lett. B 802 135225
- [8] Acharya S et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2020 Phys. Lett. B 807 135501
- [9] Acharya S et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2020 Phys. Rev. C 102 024912
- [10] Acharya S et al., (ALICE Collaboration), arXiv:2106.13113 [nucl-ex]
- [11] Acharya S et al., (ALICE Collaboration), arXiv:2110.10042 [nucl-ex]
- [12] ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2204.10263 [nucl-ex]
- [13] Acharya S et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2022 Phys. Lett. B 828 137013
- [14] ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2206.06216 [nucl-ex]
- [15] Abelev B et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2015 Eur. Phys. J. C 75 1
- [16] Adamova D et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2017 Eur. Phys. J. C 77 389
- [17] ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2205.13998 [nucl-ex]
- [18] Acharya S et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2019 Phys. Rev. C 99 024905
- [19] Acharya S et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2020 Eur. Phys. J. C 80 160
- [20] Bierlich C, Gustafson G, Lönnblad L, and Shah H 2018 J. High Energy Phys. 10 134
- [21] Knospe A G et al. 2016 Phys. Rev. C 93 014911
- [22] Abelev B et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2014 Phys. Lett. B 728 25
- [23] Oliinychenko D and Shen C arXiv:2105.07539 [hep-ph]
- [24] Pierog T. et al. 2016 Phys. Rev. C 92 034906
- [25] Roesler S, Engel R, and Ranft J arXiv:0012252 [hep-ph]
- [26] Gyulassy M and Wang X-N 1994 Comput. Phys. Commun. 83 307
- [27] Abelev B et al. (ALICE Collaboration) 2013 Phys. Lett. B 727 371
- [28] Skands P Z 2010 Phys. Rev. D 82 074018
- [29] Skands P Z et al. 2014 Eur. Phys. J. C 74 3024
- [30] Flensburg C et al. 2011 J. High Energy Phys. 8 103
- [31] Vovchenko V, Donigus B, and Stoecker H 2019 Phys. Rev. C 100 054906
- [32] Motornenko A et al. 2020 Phys. Rev. C 102 024909
- [33] Wheaton S et al. 2009 Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 84.
- [34] Stachel J et al. 2014 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 509 012019.
- [35] Petran M et al. 2014 Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 2056.
- [36] Abelev B et al., (STAR Collaboration) 2008 Phys. Rev. C 78 044906.