# Evaluation of stationarity regions in measured non-WSSUS 60 GHz mmWave V2V channels

Danilo Radovic *Institute of Telecommunication TU Wien* Vienna, Austria danilo.radovic@tuwien.ac.at

Herbert Groll *Institute of Telecommunication TU Wien* Vienna, Austria herbert.groll@tuwien.ac.at

Christoph F. Mecklenbräuker *Institute of Telecommunication TU Wien* Vienna, Austria

*Abstract*—Due to high mobility in multipath propagation environments, vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) channels are generally time and frequency variant. Therefore, the criteria for widesense stationarity (WSS) and uncorrelated scattering (US) are just satisfied over very limited intervals in the time and frequency domains, respectively. We test the validity of these criteria in measured vehicular 60 GHz millimeter wave (mmWave) channels, by estimating the local scattering functions (LSFs) from the measured data. Based on the variation of the LSFs, we define time-frequency stationarity regions, over which the WSSUS assumption is assumed to be fulfilled approximately. We analyze and compare both line-of-sight (LOS) and non-LOS (NLOS) V2V communication conditions.

We observe large stationarity regions for channels with a dominant LOS connection, without relative movement between the transmitting and receiving vehicle.

In the same measured urban driving scenario, modified by eliminating the LOS component in the post-processing, the channel is dominated by specular components reflected from an overpassing vehicle with a relative velocity of 56 km/h. Here, we observe a stationarity bandwidth of 270 MHz. Furthermore, the NLOS channel, dominated by a single strong specular component, shows a relatively large average stationarity time of 16 ms, while the stationarity time for the channel with a rich multipath profile is much shorter, in the order of 5 ms.

*Index Terms*—V2V communication, mmWave, WSSUS, B5G

# I. INTRODUCTION

Beyond fifth generation (B5G) wireless communication technology is supposed to enhance the current systems by offering new wide-bandwidth communication channels. Furthermore, new technologies are developed to suit ever extending requirements of vehicular wireless communication. As a suitable solution for this task, communication over millimeter wave (mmWave) frequency band is proposed. However, the vehicular channels show challenging characteristics due to high mobility and rapidly changing scattering environment.

© 2022 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

Moreover, the Doppler spectrum may vary over the timefrequency domain, limiting the validity assumption of widesense stationarity (WSS) [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Nevertheless, the changing channel environment causes variations in the delay spectrum, violating the uncorrelated scattering (US) criterion. Furthermore, as the carrier frequency increases, the Doppler shift becomes more severe. Hence, the before mentioned stationarity issues are magnified, and we conclude that mmWave vehicle-tovehicle (V2V) channels are in general non-WSSUS.

However, the validity of many channel models and design of wireless transceivers is dependent on WSSUS assumption. Therefore, it is important to analyze the size of stationarity regions, as time-frequency area, in which WSSUS criteria are approximately satisfied.

A theoretical approach to defining stationarity regions is given in [\[2\]](#page-4-1). Further, multiple papers investigate the non-WSSUS behavior of the measured channels. The authors in [\[3\]](#page-4-2) and [\[4\]](#page-4-3) show spatial variation phenomenon, observing the fading process and defining channel stationarity as a function of a distance from the original position. [\[4\]](#page-4-3) analyses the effect of having a line-of-sight (LOS) as a contrast to a non-LOS (NLOS) connection, showing that the spatial variation is not as severe in LOS as in the NLOS conditions. Experimental contributions for V2V communication, to the identification of stationarity regions in time and frequency, for 5 GHz band, are presented in [\[5\]](#page-4-4). The authors in [\[6\]](#page-4-5) analyze the stationarity in the time domain depending on different V2V 5 GHz measurement scenarios. However, to the best of our knowledge, the stationarity investigation for 60 GHz band has not been shown yet for vehicular communication.

We analyze the behavior of a real measured V2V 60 GHz channel for typical LOS urban scenarios. Furthermore, in order to compare the results with a NLOS scenario, we modify the measured channel, by eliminating the LOS component in the post-processing. We define local scattering function (LSF), by using the concepts described in [\[2\]](#page-4-1), and follow its variation over time and frequency. By calculating collinearity between LSFs, and setting a threshold, we define time and frequency, over which LSF is approximately constant. Hence, we quantify the consecutive time-frequency regions with approximately satisfied WSSUS condition, called stationarity regions.

In Section [II](#page-1-0) we introduce a definition of LSF, and discuss

its parametrization. The measured V2V mmWave channel scenarios, analyzed in this paper, are described in Section [III.](#page-1-1) In Section [IV,](#page-2-0) we introduce the criteria for defining the channel as stationary. Furthermore, in Section [V,](#page-3-0) we present the evaluation of stationarity regions for the defined scenarios. Conclusions are presented in Section [VI.](#page-3-1)

# II. LOCAL SCATTERING FUNCTION AND PARAMETERIZATION

<span id="page-1-0"></span>The time-varying channels are characterized by the discrete channel transfer function, sampled with  $T_s$  resolution is time and  $f_s$  in frequency, written as

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\boldsymbol{H}[s,q] = H(sT_s, qf_s). \tag{1}
$$

We define  $s \in [1, \cdots, S]$  and  $q \in [1, \cdots, Q]$ , s and q being indices in time and frequency domain. Now, we can express the total measurement bandwidth and recorded time as  $B = Qf_s$  and  $T_{total} = ST_s$ . Statistical properties of vehicular channels in general do not remain constant over an arbitrary time nor frequency. Therefore, we define time-frequency regions, consisting of  $N$  samples in the time and  $M$  in frequency domain, in which the stationarity requirements are satisfied. By sequencing the channel transfer function, given in [\(1\)](#page-1-2), in the stationarity regions we define the local transfer functions,  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{k_t, k_f}[s', q'], s' \in [1, \cdots, N]$  and  $q' \in [1, \cdots, M]$  denote local time and frequency indices, and  $k_t$  and  $k_f$  the indices of each local region in time and frequency

$$
k_t \in [1, \cdots, K_t], \ K_t = \lfloor \frac{S - N}{\Delta_t} \rfloor + 1, \text{ and } (2a)
$$

$$
k_f \in [1, \cdots, K_f], \ K_f = \lfloor \frac{Q - M}{\Delta_f} \rfloor + 1. \tag{2b}
$$

 $\Delta_t$  and  $\Delta_f$  describe time and frequency shift between each two consecutive local transfer functions, expressed in number of samples. The operator  $|\cdot|$  rounds the number to the nearest lower integer. We denote  $\hat{H}_{k_t, k_f}$  as a matrix form of  $k_t^{\text{th}}$ ,  $k_f^{\text{th}}$ local transfer function, containing  $N \times M$  elements of time and frequency samples. We have to consider that by taking larger stationarity region we risk the violation of WSSUS assumption, but gain higher LSF delay-Doppler resolution.

In order to decrease the variance, we calculate multiple independent spectral estimates by applying multitaper estimator. Here, we define orthogonal data tapers, with each taper providing good protection against leakage, similarly as given in [\[5\]](#page-4-4). For tapering function, we use an index limited sequence, with energy concentrated within the selected bandwidth, known as discrete prolate spheroidal sequence (DPSS). The total number of tapers is given by  $IJ$ , I being the number of sequences in time and  $J$  in frequency. When setting the number of tapers, we have to pay attention to the trade-off between the variance reduction and biasing increase. More precisely, by increasing the number of used tapers the variance decreases but at the cost of biasing enlargement [\[7\]](#page-4-6). When we increase the number of tapers, we also have to consider the appropriate energy concentration bandwidth  $2W_t$ , defined as a multiple of fundamental frequency

$$
W_t = \frac{a}{NT_s}, \ a > 1.
$$

Setting  $W_t$  higher allows for the larger number of tapers with good leakage properties [\[7\]](#page-4-6). In the further text, we will express the bandwidth by providing the value of  $NW_t = \frac{a}{T_s}$ . Here, we want to emphasize, by using DPSS with index limited maximal energy concentration in time, and band limited in the Doppler domain, increasing  $W_t$  leads to decrease in the resolution in terms of Doppler. Analogously, we define the DPSS in frequency domain. Furthermore, we define the timefrequency taper function

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
G_w[s',q'] = u_i[s']\tilde{u}_j[q'], \ \ s' \in [1,\cdots,N], q' \in [1,\cdots,M],
$$
  
(4)

with  $i \in [1, \dots, I], j \in [1, \dots, J]$  and  $w = iJ + j$ . We write the taper function [\(4\)](#page-1-3) as a matrix  $G_w$ , of dimension  $N \times M$ . Now we define the matrix form of windowed channel transfer function

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{k_t,k_f}^{(G_w)} = \hat{H}_{k_t,k_f} \odot G_w, \qquad (5)
$$

where  $\odot$  denotes the element-wise Hadamard product. Applying discrete symplectic Fourier transform (DSFT), we obtain tapered Doppler-variant impulse response

$$
\hat{S}_{k_t,k_f}^{(G_w)} = F_N \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{k_t,k_f}^{(G_w)} F_M^H, \tag{6}
$$

 $\boldsymbol{F}_i$  and  $\boldsymbol{F}_i^H$  representing discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and inverse DFT (IDFT) matrix of size  $i$ . By applying  $IJ$ orthogonal tapers, we create multiple realizations of the same channel, and we calculate the multitaper estimate of the LSF with uniform weighting across the tapered Doppler-variant impulse responses

$$
\hat{C}_{k_t,k_f} = \frac{1}{IJ} \sum_{w=1}^{IJ} \hat{S}_{k_t,k_f}^{(G_w)}.
$$
 (7)

### III. MEASUREMENT SCENARIOS

<span id="page-1-1"></span>This work deals with the definitions of stationarity regions in measured V2V mmWave channels. The measurement campaign took place in an urban street environment, downtown Vienna, Austria. The channel is obtained at the central frequency  $f_c = 60$  GHz, with a frequency resolution  $f_s = 4.96 \text{ MHz}$ , and the  $Q = 103$  samples in frequency domain. Furthermore, the time resolution is  $T_s = 129.1 \,\mu s$ , and we are dealing with  $S = 5920$  time snapshots. The detailed description of measurement set-up may be found in [\[8\]](#page-4-7).

The transmitter and receiver are positioned on the right lane of an urban street, at fixed positions, on a tripod and a car's rear window, respectively. During the measurement, further vehicles are passing by on the left lane, see Fig. [1.](#page-2-1) Hence, this scenario resembles an urban overtaking process.

At the transmitter side, a directive horn antenna is employed, directed along the street in driving direction. Hence, it covers the receiver and the overtaking vehicle within the 3 dB beam width of the antenna. Further, at the receiver, an open-ended width of the antenna. Further, at the receiver, an open-ended width of the antenna. Further, at the receiver, an open-ended waveguide antenna is used, oriented in the driving direction, waveguide antenna is used, oriented in the driving direction, waveguide antenna is used, oriented in the driving direction, towards the overtaking vehicle. The measurement starts as towards the overtaking vehicle. The measurement starts as towards the overtaking vehicle. The measurement starts as the car breaks the first light barrier. The second barrier is the car breaks the first light barrier. The second barrier is the car breaks the first light barrier. The second barrier is placed 3 m after the first one for driving speed estimation. The distance between the transmitting and receiving vehicle The distance between the transmitting and receiving vehicle The distance between the transmitting and receiving vehicle is  $15 \text{ m}$ , leading to about  $50 \text{ ns}$  time of flight for the LOS channel component. channel component. channel component.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

Fig. 1: Sketch of the measurement scenario. Fig. 1: Sketch of the measurement scenario. Fig. 1: Sketch of the measurement scenario.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>

Fig. 2: Doppler Power Profile. Fig. 2: Doppler Power Profile. Fig. 2: Doppler Power Profile.

In order to better understand the cause of the distribution of In the given and stationarity and all the given for the distribution of the stationarity regions, we calculate the Doppler power profile of the given channel, given for each LSF instance as

$$
\hat{P}_{k_t,k_f} = \frac{1}{M}\hat{C}_{k_t,k_f}1_M,
$$
\n(8)

where  $\mathbf{I}_M$  denotes a column vector of ones, with size M For this investigation, we set channel bandwidth to  $B =$ 272.7 MHz and LSF time shift  $\Delta_t = 5$ . Further, we used the LSF size  $N = 100$ ,  $M = 55$ , for a potential stationarity time  $NT_s = 12.9$  ms and bandwidth  $Mf_s = 272.7$  MHz, as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-2) Here, we can distinguish between three time periods of the channel characteristics: where  $\mathbf{1}_M$  denotes a column vector of ones, with size M.

• period I -  $t = [0, \ldots, 250]$  ms: the overtaking vehicle is side-by-side with the receiver vehicle, multiple multipath components (MPCs) appear and cause a large Doppler spread due to the large reflecting surface of the overtaking

moving, the relative speed to the receiver of the different parts of the car differ, causing MPCs with different Doppler shift. Additionally, as the receive antenna is steered along the street, the SUV is outside the main lobe of the antenna's gain pattern, such that the LOS component is dominant. sport utility vehicle (SUV). Furthermore, as the SUV is

- period II  $t = [250, \ldots, 450]$  ms: the overtaking vehicle is inside the main lobe of the receive antenna gain pattern, causing a specular component comparable in strength to the LOS. Further, the SUV is oriented with a smaller surface to the receiver, leading to a smaller number of  $MPCs.$  $MPCs.$ MPCs.
- period III  $t = [450, \ldots, 760]$  ms: the vehicle is moving further away, leading to high attenuation of the reflected  $\sigma$  signal.  $\sigma$  signal. signal.

In this paper, we evaluate the size of stationarity regions in two scenarios. The first being LOS case, where the direct path from the transmitter to the receiver dominates the channel. Further, we want to obtain a more common urban scenario, where the LOS is blocked, and the wireless communication is performed only through the channel paths reflected of the other vehicles. Here, we describe the overtaking vehicle as a main source of reflecting components, moving with additional 15.8 m/s relative to velocity of transmitter and receiver. We approximate this channel scenario by restricting the measurement data to Doppler shifts  $\nu < -258$  Hz, thereby simulating an NLOS scenario.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>In surformally charged burntinon IV. STATIONARITY CRITERIA DEFINITION

In section II we describe LSF definition for the channels, In section II we describe LSF definition for the channel where the statistical properties generally cannot be considered WSS in time, nor US in frequency. However, the channel is approximately WSSUS within a stationarity region. In order to determine the regions of stationarity, first we calculate the LSF, defined on the assumed local stationary region  $T_{LSR}$  =  $NT_s$  in time and  $B_{LSR} = Mf_s$  in frequency. Second, we expand this region in time and frequency as long as the LSF stays approximately constant. In section [II](#page-1-0) we describe LSF definition for the channels,

As a metric defining whether we may extend the stationarity assumption over the neighboring LSFs, we introduce a spectral distance metric called collinearity, as defined in [\[9\]](#page-4-8). We test the enlargement criteria independently in time and in frequency by introducing the collinearity metric for both domains. First, we define the metric to confirm the stationarity in frequency, P<sup>K</sup><sup>t</sup> P<sup>K</sup><sup>t</sup> expressed as

$$
\gamma^{(f)}[k_f, k_{\Delta f}] = \frac{\sum_{k_t=1}^{K_t} \langle \hat{C}_{k_t, k_f}, \hat{C}_{k_t, k_{\Delta f}} \rangle_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k_t=1}^{K_t} ||\hat{C}_{k_t, k_f}||_{\rm F}^2} \cdot \sum_{k_t=1}^{K_t} ||\hat{C}_{k_t, k_{\Delta f}}||_{\rm F}^2}},
$$

where  $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle_F = \sum_{i,j} \mathbf{A}[i,j] \mathbf{B}[i,j],$  for  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times I}$ denotes Frobenius inner product, and  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}} = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \rangle_{\text{F}}}$ Frobenius norm. Further,  $k_{\Delta f}$  is the frequency index of the shifted LSF. As we are calculating the frequency region, over which the LSF is approximately constant, we define a where  $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle_F = \sum_{i,j} \mathbf{A}[i, j] \mathbf{B}[i, j]$ , for  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times J}$ , collinearity threshold  $\gamma_{th} = 0.9$ , above which we define the channel as stationary. Hence, we formulate the stationarity bandwidth as,

$$
f_{stat}[k_f] = (M + (k_{\Delta f} - 1)\Delta_f) f_s,
$$
  

$$
\forall k_{\Delta f} : \gamma^{(f)}[k_f, k_{\Delta f}] > 0.9.
$$
 (10)

In order to increase the delay resolution of LSF before further examining the validity of the stationarity assumption, we update the size of local transfer function in frequency as  $\min({\bm f_{\bm s\bm t\bm a\bm t}}[k_f])$ 

 $M = \frac{k_f}{\tau}$  $f_s$  Now we define the collinearity form for the stationarity investigation in the time domain

$$
\gamma^{(t)}[k_t, k_{\Delta t}] = \frac{\sum_{k_f=1}^{K_f} \langle \hat{C}_{k_t, k_f}, \hat{C}_{k_{\Delta t}, k_f} \rangle_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k_f=1}^{K_f} \left\| \hat{C}_{k_t, k_f} \right\|_{\rm F}^2 \cdot \sum_{k_f=1}^{K_f} \left\| \hat{C}_{k_{\Delta t}, k_f} \right\|_{\rm F}^2},
$$
\n(11)

where  $k_{\Delta t}$  denotes the time index of the shifted LSF. Finally, we express the stationarity time

$$
t_{stat}[k_t] = (N + (k_{\Delta t} - 1)\Delta_t)t_s,
$$
  

$$
\forall k_{\Delta f} : \gamma^{(t)}[k_t, k_{\Delta t}] > 0.9.
$$
 (12)

# V. ESTIMATION OF STATIONARITY REGIONS

<span id="page-3-0"></span>In this section, we present the estimation of stationarity regions in measured 60 GHz channels. In order to reduce the variance, we apply a multitaper estimator with DPSS tapers as described in section [II.](#page-1-0) We define  $IJ = 4$ , the total number of windows, by using two DPSS tapers in both time and frequency. Further, for bandwidth definition we set  $NW_t = \frac{2}{T_s}$  and  $MW_f = \frac{2.5}{f_s}$  in time and frequency, respectively. Additionally, to suppress the influence of noise on stationarity estimation, we set a noise threshold 10 dB above the noise level.

A transfer function is approximately constant within the coherence time  $T_c = \frac{1}{\overline{\nu}_H}$  and coherence frequency  $f_c = \frac{1}{\overline{\tau}_H}$ , as defined in [\[2\]](#page-4-1), where  $\overline{\tau}_H$  is the root mean square (RMS) delay spread and  $\overline{\nu}_H$  RMS Doppler spread. Therefore, it gives us a lower bound for the scaling of the local stationarity region. Our measured channel is described by maximum RMS delay spread  $\overline{\tau}_{H,\text{max}}$  = 3.75 ms and RMS Doppler spread  $\overline{\nu}_{H,\text{max}} = 250 \text{ Hz}$  [\[10\]](#page-4-9). Hence, the minimal coherence time, valid over the whole measurement duration, is  $T_{c,\text{min}} = 4 \,\text{ms}$ , and minimal coherence frequency  $f_{c,\text{min}} = 266.7 \text{ MHz}.$ 

Further, we define a local stationarity region, laying inside the coherence region, spanning over  $N = 30$ ,  $M = 30$  samples in time and frequency, in which we approximate WSSUS condition as satisfied. This translates to 3.87 ms time period and 148.76 MHz bandwidth. Furthermore, for the time and frequency shift of consecutive LSF regions, we set  $\Delta_t = 5$ and  $\Delta_f = 5$ .

First, we investigate, the stationarity characteristics of the channel with a LOS connection. Fig. [3a](#page-4-10) demonstrates the channel stationarity over the whole bandwidth. We can notice that the collinearity values on the diagonal are equal to one, as the LSF is compared with itself. As we move away from

the diagonal, the value drops, but never under the defined limit of 0.9. Therefore, for the investigation of stationarity in time, we increase the local stationarity region to span over the whole bandwidth,  $M = 103$ . Now, we observe the channel stationarity in the time domain, Fig. [3b.](#page-4-10) We can notice that the channel is stationary during the whole time period I and III defined in section [III,](#page-1-1) as a LOS connection between the fixed transmitter and receiver dominates the channel. Nevertheless, in the period II when the reflected path is comparable in strength to the LOS, we observe the mean stationarity time of 19.7 ms.

In order to further investigate the behavior of V2V channels, we obtain the NLOS scenario, observing the channel components characterized by a Doppler shift  $\nu < -258$  Hz. Fig. [4a](#page-4-11) shows the values of the collinearity function, over the increasing frequency shift between the two LSFs. We observe that the channel is stationary at least over the bandwidth of 270 MHz. Furthermore, we enlarge the local stationary region to span over the observed stationary bandwidth, to M=55. Now we analyze the stationarity time, Fig. [4b.](#page-4-11) During the period I, the stationarity time is low, in the order of 5 ms. The cause is the channel described by high number of MPCs with variable delay and Doppler shift. Further, in the period II we notice a quasi constant stationary time, with 16 ms average duration. During this period, the channel is dominated by one strong component, originating from a reflection of a vehicle moving with a constant relative speed of  $15.8 \text{ m/s}$ . In the period III the stationarity time is rapidly changing as the strength of the specular channel component decreases and therefore, the residual noise plays higher role in the stationarity estimation.

# VI. CONCLUSION

<span id="page-3-1"></span>We estimate stationarity regions in measured non-widesense stationarity uncorrelated scattering (non-WSSUS) millimeter wave (mmWave) vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) channels. Here, we define a stationarity region, as a time-frequency area, over which the local scattering function (LSF) is approximately constant, and therefore the WSSUS assumption is valid approximately. As statistical quantifier, we use collinearity for independent analysis of stationarity in the time and frequency domains.

We conclude that the V2V channels, dominated by a lineof-sight (LOS) connection between two vehicles, which are moving in parallel, exhibit large stationarity regions. Channels with significant specular components originating from an overtaking vehicle moving at urban speed (relative to the others) feature a stationarity time of approximately 20 ms.

Furthermore, we analyze a case where the LOS is blocked, and specular reflections can be dominant paths, which we consider as non-LOS (NLOS) scenario. The position of an adjacent vehicle relative to two communicating vehicles influences the size and shape of the stationarity region. We observe that in the case of NLOS links with a large number of multipath components (MPCs), the stationarity time becomes very short, in the order of just 5 ms. In contrast, in cases where the NLOS communication is dominated by one strong specular

<span id="page-4-10"></span>

Fig. 3: WSSUS regions for LOS scenario. Fig. 3: WSSUS regions for LOS scenario.

<span id="page-4-11"></span>

Fig. 4: WSSUS regions for NLOS scenario. Fig. 4: WSSUS regions for NLOS scenario. Fig. 4: WSSUS regions for NLOS scenario.

path, we observe longer stationarity times in the order of references  $RZV$  seems to the stationarity bandwidth of  $270 \text{ MHz}$  or even larger.  $16 \text{ ms}$ . Moreover, in the NLOS V2V scenario, we observe a

#### C. Dumard, F. Tufvesson, M. Hagenauer, A. F. Molisch, and C. F. REFERENCES C. Dumard, F. Tufvesson, M. Hagenauer, A. F. Molisch, and C. F.

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>L. Bernadó, T. Zemen, A. Paier, G. Matz, J. Karedal, N. Czinl C. Dumard, F. Tufvesson, M. Hagenauer, A. F. Molisch, and C. F. Mecklenbräuker, "Non-WSSUS vehicular channel characterization at 5.2 GHz-Spectral divergence and time-variant coherence parameters," in Proc. 29th URSI General Assembly, Chicago, IL, Aug. 7-16, pp. [3] O. Renaudin, V. Kolmonen, P. Vainikainen, and C. Oestges, "Non-[3] O. Renaudin, V. Kolmonen, P. Vainikainen, and C. Oestges, "Non-2005. 2005. 198, 2008. [1] L. Bernadó, T. Zemen, A. Paier, G. Matz, J. Karedal, N. Czink,
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] G. Matz, "On non-WSSUS wireless fading channels," in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 2465-2478, Sept. 59, no. 4, pp. 2007–2015, May 2010. 2005.  $59, 205,$  $2005$  $\frac{3}{4}$  no  $\frac{3}{4}$  no  $\frac{5}{4}$  no  $\frac{2465}{2478}$  Sent tions on Wireless Communications, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 2465-2478, Sept.<br>2005
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] O. Renaudin, V. Kolmonen, P. Vainikainen, and C. Oestges, "Nonstationary narrowband MIMO inter-vehicle channel characterization in matricularly narrow band Trinity interventies enanced channels. The sensitivity in the sensitivity of the se 1. The Corn called the WSSUS assumption in vehicles as the WSSUS assumption in vehicles as the WSSUS assumption in vehicles as the  $\frac{1}{50}$  solution, T. E. F. Tumbers on Veneural Technology, Vo millionary narrow cand MIMO interventive enamer enameterization<br>a 5 GHz bond" in IEEE Transactions on Vabicular Tachnology the 5-GHz band," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol.<br>50, no.  $4.$  np.  $2007, 2015$ , Mey 2010
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] Q. Wang et al., "Spatial Variation Analysis for Measured Indoor Massive radio channels," in Proc. 2012 IEEE 23rd International Symposium on radio channels," in Proc. 2012 IEEE 23rd International Symposium on MIMO Channels," in IEEE Access, vol. 5, pp. 20828-20840, 2017.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] L. Bernadó, T. Zemen, F. Tufvesson, A. F. Molisch and C. F. Meckleading to the WSSUS assumption in vehicular<br>lenbräuker, "The (in-) validity of the WSSUS assumption in vehicular radio channels," in Proc. 2012 IEEE 23rd International Symposium on Parconal Indoor and Mobile Padio Communication  $\frac{1750 \text{ rad}}{17571762}$   $\frac{2012}{1019}$ Personal Indoor and Mobile Padio Communication  $\frac{1757}{1757}$   $\frac{1769}{2012}$ to-Vehicle Radio Channels, in Tipe. 2012 IEEE 2019 international symposium Propagation, induct and module Kaulo Communication to-vehicle Radio Channels, in Tioc. 2012 IEEE 2510 international Symposium Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications - (PIMRC), pp. 1757-1762-2012  $\frac{1}{3}$  Percival,  $\frac{2012}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2012}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2012}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2012}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2012}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2012}{2}$ 1757-1762, 2012.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>1/2/-1/02, 2012.<br>[6] D. Ho et al. "Characterization of Quesi Stationarity Pegions for Vehito Vehicle Radio Channels," in IEEE Transactions on Antennas and<br>D-Vehicle Radio Channels," in IEEE Transactions on Antennas and to-venete Radio Channels, in EEE Hansactions on Affectings at  $\mathbb{R}$ . He et al., Characterization of Quasi-[6] R. He et al., "Characterization of Quasi-Stationarity Regions for Vehicle-
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] D. B. Percival, A. T. Walden, Spectral Analysis for Univariate Time districts. Campinge University 1 tess, 2020.<br>E. 73 december 1 . "Meaning delay and Danily and flat of acceptable  $\overline{E}$   $\overline{G}$  and  $\overline{G}$  means for  $\overline{G}$  means for  $\overline{G}$  and  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{G}$  and  $\overline{$ Series. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span> $\epsilon$ . *E*. *E*. *Commann* et al., *Measured delay and Doppler promes of overtax* vehicles at 60 GHz," in Proc. 12th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP 2016), 2016. [8] E. Zöchmann et al., "Measured delay and Doppler profiles of overtaking and Propagation (EuCAP 2018), 2018.<br> $\frac{100 \text{ M}}{2} \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m}} \frac{11 \text{ W}}{\text{m}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\$ and Propagation (EuCAP 2018), 2018.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>M. FIETUM, N. CZINK, H. OZCENK and E. DONEK, CONTENT MIN distance, a meaningful measure for evaluation of non-stationary MIMO [9] M. Herdin, N. Czink, H. Özcelik and E. Bonek, "Correlation matrix 2019. 2019. channels," in Proc. 2005 IEEE 61st Vehicular Technology Conference, pp. 136-140 Vol. 1, 2005.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[10] E. Zöchmann et al., "Position-Specific Statistics of 60 GHz Vehicular Channels During Overtaking," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 14216-14232, 2019.