

Phase transition threshold and stability of magnetic skyrmions

Slim Ibrahim, Ikkei Shimizu

Abstract

We examine the stability of vortex-like configuration of magnetization in magnetic materials, so-called the magnetic skyrmion. These correspond to critical points of the Landau-Lifshitz energy with the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interactions. In an earlier work of Döring and Melcher, it is known that the skyrmion is a ground state when the coefficient of the DM term is small. In this paper, we prove that there is an explicit critical value of the coefficient above which the skyrmion is unstable, while stable below this threshold. Moreover, we show that in the unstable regime, the infimum of energy is not bounded below, by giving an explicit counterexample with a sort of helical configuration. This mathematically explains the occurrence of phase transition observed in some experiments.

Keywords: skyrmion, Landau-Lifshitz energy, Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, phase transition

1 Introduction

We consider the Landau-Lifshitz energy functional of the form

$$E_p[\mathbf{n}] = D[\mathbf{n}] + rH[\mathbf{n}] + V_p[\mathbf{n}], \quad \mathbf{n} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \quad (1.1)$$

where $r > 0$, $p \geq 2$ are constants, and

$$D[\mathbf{n}] := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 dx; \quad \text{Dirichlet energy,}$$

$$H[\mathbf{n}] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_3) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{n} dx; \quad \text{helicity,}$$

$$V_p[\mathbf{n}] := \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_3|^p dx = \frac{1}{2^{p/2-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - n_3)^{p/2} dx; \quad \text{potential energy.}$$

First we point out that $\nabla \times \mathbf{n}$ can be defined as

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \\ \partial_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \mathbf{n},$$

which is the three-dimensional curl acting on maps depending only on x_1 and x_2 . The Landau-Lifshitz energy arises in micromagnetics, where \mathbf{n} represents magnetization vector in a magnetic material. The equilibrium state of magnetization is characterized by the stable critical points of E_p (see [11] for the general theory). In the energy E_p , D represents the exchange interaction, while V_p arises from the external field and crystalline structure which produces the easy-axis anisotropy perpendicular to the planar material. $H[\mathbf{n}]$ stands for the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction of magnetization, which emerges in some particular crystalline structure [4, 5]. When this kind of magnetic material is analyzed under the effect of strong external field, then localized vortex-like configuration of magnetization, called *magnetic skyrmion*, appears. This has actually been

observed in experiments [20, 15], and theoretically justified in [14, 6, 13, 9]. On the other hand, when the effect of the external field is weak, then some helical shape different from skyrmion state are observed [20, 15]. This suggests the occurrence of a phase transition of the equilibrium state. There is, however, no result on the rigorous study justifying the above phenomena so far. The purpose of this paper is to establish the rigorous proof of phase transition through the analysis of the Landau-Lifshitz energy.

In E_p , the parameter r measures the strength of external fields; larger values of r correspond to weaker field strength. Before going any further, let us explain that putting different weights on H and V , the energy can be formulated through two parameters as follows:

$$D[\mathbf{n}] + \kappa H[\mathbf{n}] + \mu V_p[\mathbf{n}].$$

However, one can always normalize the coefficient of V_p using the rescaling $\mathbf{n}(x) \mapsto \mathbf{n}(\sqrt{\mu}x)$, which reduces the problem to (1.1) with $r = \kappa\mu^{-1/2}$.

In the present paper, we are especially interested in the case $p = 4$, where the energy has some special structure of a nice factorization, discussed later in details. Define the function space of maps \mathbf{n} by

$$\mathcal{M}_4 := \{\mathbf{n} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 : D[\mathbf{n}] + V_4[\mathbf{n}] < \infty\}$$

endowed with the metric $d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) := \|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ for $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_4$. Recall that the energy functional E_4 is well-defined on \mathcal{M}_4 (see [6, Page 7] for the proof). In addition, thanks to the well-known inequality of Wente [19], the topological degree

$$Q[\mathbf{n}] := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n} dx$$

is also a well-defined, integer-valued functional on \mathcal{M}_4 . $Q[\mathbf{n}]$ represents the total number of skyrmions, and its sign gives an idea about their directions of rotation. In this paper, we will restrict ourselves to the case $Q = -1$ corresponding to a single skyrmion, which is known to be the most stable homotopy class (see [14]). Moreover, solutions in this class are known to enjoy additional properties.

The critical points of E_4 satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$-\Delta \mathbf{n} + 2r \nabla \times \mathbf{n} - (1 - n_3) \mathbf{e}_3 - \Lambda(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{n}) := |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 + 2r \mathbf{n} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{n}) - (1 - n_3) n_3.$$

Then (1.2) has an explicit solution:

$$\mathbf{h}^{2r}(x) := \mathbf{h}\left(\frac{x}{2r}\right), \quad \mathbf{h}(x) := \left(\frac{-2x_2}{1 + |x|^2}, \frac{2x_1}{1 + |x|^2}, -\frac{1 - |x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \right). \tag{1.3}$$

Note that \mathbf{h}^{2r} is a harmonic map, with $\mathbf{h}^{2r} \in \mathcal{M}_4$ and $Q[\mathbf{h}^{2r}] = -1$. In the work of Döring and Melcher [6], it is shown that when $0 < r \leq 1$, \mathbf{h}^{2r} is a global minimizer of the energy;

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}_4 \\ Q[\mathbf{n}] = -1}} E_4[\mathbf{n}] = E_4[\mathbf{h}^{2r}] = 4\pi(1 - 2r^2).$$

In particular, their result explains the formation of skyrmion since the \mathbf{h}^{2r} has the desired configuration. The essence of this result is that E_4 can be factorized as

$$E_4[\mathbf{n}] - 4\pi r^2 Q[\mathbf{n}] = \frac{r^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathcal{D}_1^r \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \times \mathcal{D}_2^r \mathbf{n}|^2 dx + (1 - r^2) D[\mathbf{n}] \tag{1.4}$$

where the helical derivatives \mathcal{D}_j^r are defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_j^r := \partial_j - \frac{1}{r} \mathbf{e}_j \times \cdot.$$

When $r \leq 1$, then the minimality immediately follows from (1.4) because \mathbf{h}^{2r} is a minimizer of D with $Q = -1$, and satisfies $\mathcal{D}_1^r \mathbf{h}^{2r} + \mathbf{n} \times \mathcal{D}_2^r \mathbf{h}^{2r} = 0$. However, this argument clearly breaks down when $r > 1$, where even the stability of \mathbf{h}^{2r} has not been understood so far.

Our main result is the instability of E_4 around \mathbf{h}^{2r} when $r > 1$.

Theorem 1. *If $r > 1$, then the critical point \mathbf{h}^{2r} of the energy E_4 is unstable, in the sense that for any neighborhood of \mathbf{h}^{2r} there exists $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}_4$ such that $E_4[\mathbf{n}] - E_4[\mathbf{h}^{2r}] < 0$.*

Theorem 1 rigorously explains why the phase transition occurs; the stability of skyrmions ceases to hold when the external field is weak. Moreover, Theorem 1, together with Döring-Melcher's results, explicitly quantifies the threshold of phase transition at $r = 1$.

For the proof of Theorem 1, we follow the framework of [13]; We rewrite the quadratic form of Hessian in terms of the coordinates of moving frame, and then decompose it with respect to Fourier modes of argument variable. To unveil unstable factors, we apply a rescaling argument by following the strategy of [12]. Then we can find negative directions of Hessian in the Fourier modes higher than or equal to 2. It is quite worth to note that as long as this strategy, the criticality of $r = 1$ is shown through the third Fourier mode; namely the unstable mode can only be observed via Hessian at third Fourier mode when r is close to 1+. We also observe that the Hessian at 0-th and first Fourier modes is always positive definite, regardless of r . This mechanism has already been observed in that of the Ginzburg-Landau energy [12].

Next, it is natural to ask about the existence of minimizer of E_4 in the unstable regime. We show that if $r > 1$, then the energy is unbounded from below.

Theorem 2. *If $r > 1$, then*

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}_4 \\ Q[\mathbf{n}] = -1}} E_4[\mathbf{n}] = -\infty.$$

This theorem suggests that (1.1) on \mathcal{M}_4 is not well-suited to characterize equilibrium states in the regime of weak field. Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning that our example of the unbounded sequence has the same helical structure as observed in experiments of [20]. Moreover, our construction is by stretching a skyrmion in one direction, which roughly gives us the information of how the instability of skyrmions occurs. Note that this cannot happen when the domain is bounded, as minimizers may exist as expected by experiments. This is beyond the scope of this paper.

We conclude this introduction by mentioning some known related studies. The minimizing problem of E_p is first addressed by [14] when $p = 2$, and the analysis is extended to various settings in [6, 13, 9]. Recently, the geometric interpretation of the integrand of the first term in the left hand side of (1.4) is given in [18, 1], which yields a family of formal solutions to the corresponding Bogomol'nyi-type equation. The dynamical equation corresponding to the energy related to (1.1) is also investigated with the Gilbert damping by [6], or without damping by the second author [17]. It is worth noting that in the latter case, the equation is closely related to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, and in fact, when the energy only consists of $D[\mathbf{n}]$, then a sort of dispersive properties are observed [7, 8, 2, 3].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we first derive the Hessian of E_4 , then reduce the problem to its analysis. The main part is Section 3 where we construct an

unstable direction of the Hessian, which concludes Theorem 1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 2 by constructing a sequence which gives infinitely negative energy. In Section 5, we prove the technical lemmas used in the main argument.

2 Hessian

First of all, we observe that the difference of energy from \mathbf{h}^{2r} can be written as a quadratic form.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}_4$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi} := \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{h}^{2r}$. Then,*

$$E_4[\mathbf{n}] - E_4[\mathbf{h}^{2r}] = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{L^2} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{\xi} := -\Delta\boldsymbol{\xi} + 2r\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\xi} + \xi_3 \mathbf{e}_3 - \Lambda(\mathbf{h}^{2r})\boldsymbol{\xi}.$$

Proof. By the criticality of \mathbf{h}^{2r} for E_4 , we have

$$E_4[\mathbf{n}] - E_4[\mathbf{h}^{2r}] = \int \Lambda(\mathbf{h}^{2r})\mathbf{h}^{2r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} - \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + r \int \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \frac{1}{2} \int \xi_3^2.$$

The constraint $|\mathbf{n}| = |\mathbf{h}^{2r}| = 1$ yields

$$2\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{2r} + |\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 = 0.$$

Thus

$$\int \Lambda(\mathbf{h}^{2r})\mathbf{h}^{2r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \Lambda(\mathbf{h}^{2r})|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Let us focus on the quadratic form defined by the right hand side of (2.1). Now we claim that the perturbation $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ may be linearized into the tangent space.

Proposition 1 (Reduction of the theorem). *Suppose that there is $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\boldsymbol{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{2r} = 0$ such that $\langle \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle < 0$, then for any neighborhood of \mathbf{h}^{2r} , there exists $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}_4$ such that $E_4[\mathbf{n}] - E_4[\mathbf{h}^{2r}] < 0$.*

Proof. For $t > 0$, let $\mathbf{n}_t := \frac{\mathbf{h}^{2r} + t\boldsymbol{\phi}}{|\mathbf{h}^{2r} + t\boldsymbol{\phi}|}$. Since $|\mathbf{h}^{2r} + t\boldsymbol{\phi}| = \sqrt{1 + t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2}$, \mathbf{n}_t is well-defined. By calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{n}_t - \mathbf{h}^{2r} &= \frac{t\boldsymbol{\phi}}{\sqrt{1 + t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2}} - \frac{t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2\mathbf{h}^{2r}}{\sqrt{1 + t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2}\right)}, \\ \partial_j (\mathbf{n}_t - \mathbf{h}^{2r}) &= \frac{t\partial_j\boldsymbol{\phi}}{\sqrt{1 + t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2}} - \frac{t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2\partial_j\mathbf{h}^{2r}}{\sqrt{1 + t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2}\right)} - \frac{t^3(\boldsymbol{\phi} \cdot \partial_j\boldsymbol{\phi})\boldsymbol{\phi}}{(1 + t^2|\boldsymbol{\phi}|^2)^{3/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\mathbf{n}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{h}^{2r}$ in \mathcal{M}_4 as $t \rightarrow 0+$. Moreover, (2.1) yields

$$E_4[\mathbf{n}_t] - E_4[\mathbf{h}^{2r}] = \langle \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{n}_t - \mathbf{h}^{2r}), \mathbf{n}_t - \mathbf{h}^{2r} \rangle = t^2 \langle \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle + o(t^2),$$

which is negative if t is sufficiently small. This completes the proof. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we show Theorem 1. By Proposition 1, it suffices to find $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with

$$\phi \cdot \mathbf{h}^{2r} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathcal{L}\phi, \phi \rangle < 0.$$

Following [13], one can rewrite the Hessian via several steps, using moving frame, Fourier expansion, and the Hardy decomposition.

3.1 Rescaling

For $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we consider the $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ rescaling $\phi^{2r}(\cdot) := \phi\left(\frac{\cdot}{2r}\right)$. Then a simple calculation shows that the rescaled Hessian $\mathcal{H}_r(\phi) := \langle \mathcal{L}\phi^{2r}, \phi^{2r} \rangle$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_r(\phi) = \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + 4r^2 \langle \nabla \times \phi, \phi \rangle_{L^2} + 4r^2 \|\phi_3\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda_r(\mathbf{h}) |\phi|^2 dx \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$\Lambda_r(\mathbf{h}) := |\nabla\mathbf{h}|^2 + 4r^2 \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{h} - 4r^2(1 - h_3)h_3.$$

Note that the coefficients become balanced, and the dependence of the Hessian on r gets more explicit. Our goal is now to find $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with

$$\phi \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_r(\phi) < 0. \quad (3.2)$$

3.2 Moving frame

Let (ρ, ψ) be the polar coordinates in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then by (1.3), we can write

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\psi \sin\theta(\rho) \\ \cos\psi \sin\theta(\rho) \\ \cos\theta(\rho) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

where $\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the non-decreasing function defined using

$$\sin\theta(\rho) = \frac{2\rho}{\rho^2 + 1}, \quad \theta(0) = \pi, \quad \theta(\infty) = 0.$$

In particular, θ satisfies the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\theta &= \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\rho^2 + 1}, & \sin\theta - \rho(1 - \cos\theta) &= 0, \\ \theta' &= -\frac{2}{\rho^2 + 1} = -\frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}, & \theta'' + \frac{\theta'}{\rho} - \frac{\sin\theta \cos\theta}{\rho^2} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Based on (3.3), we introduce the moving frame in the tangent space at \mathbf{h}^{2r} as

$$\mathbf{J}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \\ \sin\psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{J}_2 := \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\psi \cos\theta(\rho) \\ \cos\psi \cos\theta(\rho) \\ -\sin\theta(\rho) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\phi \in T_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbb{S}^2$, one can write

$$\phi = u_1 \mathbf{J}_1 + u_2 \mathbf{J}_2, \quad \text{and then define } u = {}^t(u_1, u_2).$$

Let us rewrite $\mathcal{H}_r(\phi)$ in terms of u_1 and u_2 following [13]. First note that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho \mathbf{h} &= \theta' \mathbf{J}_2, & \partial_\psi \mathbf{h} &= -(\sin \theta) \mathbf{J}_1, & \partial_\rho \mathbf{J}_1 &= 0, \\ \partial_\psi \mathbf{J}_1 &= (\cos \theta) \mathbf{J}_2 + (\sin \theta) \mathbf{h}, & \partial_\rho \mathbf{J}_2 &= -\theta' \mathbf{h}, & \partial_\psi \mathbf{J}_2 &= -(\cos \theta) \mathbf{J}_1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, each component of the integrand in (3.1) can be reexpressed as

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla \phi|^2 &= |\partial_\rho \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2} |\partial_\psi \phi|^2 = |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2 \cos \theta}{\rho^2} u \times \partial_\psi u + \frac{u_1^2}{\rho^2} + \left((\theta')^2 + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\rho^2} \right) u_2^2, \\ \phi \cdot \nabla \times \phi &= \phi \cdot \left[\mathbf{J}_1 \times \partial_\rho \phi + \frac{1}{\rho} \left((\cos \theta) \mathbf{J}_2 + (\sin \theta) \mathbf{h} \right) \times \partial_\psi \phi \right] \\ &= -\frac{\sin \theta}{\rho} u \times \partial_\psi u + \left(\theta' - \frac{\sin \theta \cos \theta}{\rho} \right) u_2^2, \\ \phi_3^2 &= u_2^2 \sin^2 \theta, \quad \Lambda_r(\mathbf{h}) = (\theta')^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} + 4r^2 \left(\theta' + \frac{\sin \theta \cos \theta}{\rho} \right) - 4r^2 (1 - \cos \theta) \cos \theta.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_r[\phi] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{2 \cos \theta}{\rho^2} - \frac{4r^2 \sin \theta}{\rho} \right) u \times \partial_\psi u \\ &\quad + \left(-(\theta')^2 + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\rho^2} + \frac{4r^2 \sin \theta}{\rho} \right) (u_1^2 + u_2^2) dx\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

3.3 Fourier splitting

Next we apply Fourier expansion of u_j with respect to ψ :

$$u_j(\rho, \psi) = \alpha_j^{(0)}(\rho) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha_j^{(k)}(\rho) \cos(k\psi) + \beta_j^{(k)}(\rho) \sin(k\psi) \right), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_r[\phi]$ can be split in the following way:

$$\mathcal{H}_r[\phi] = 2\pi \mathcal{H}_0^r[\alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}] + \pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{H}_k^r[\alpha_1^{(k)}, \beta_2^{(k)}] + \mathcal{H}_k^r[\beta_1^{(k)}, -\alpha_2^{(k)}] \right)\tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_k^r[\alpha, \beta] &:= \int_0^\infty \left[(\alpha')^2 + (\beta')^2 + \left(\frac{k^2}{\rho^2} - (\theta')^2 + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\rho^2} + \frac{4r^2 \sin \theta}{\rho} \right) (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4k \left(\frac{\cos \theta}{\rho^2} - \frac{2r^2 \sin \theta}{\rho} \right) \alpha \beta \right] \rho d\rho.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

In order to find $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying (3.2), it suffices to show that one of \mathcal{H}_k^r can take negative value. In fact, it can be shown that $\mathcal{H}_0^r, \mathcal{H}_1^r$ are always non-negative definite for all $r > 0$. (See Appendix for the proof.) Thus we need to focus only on \mathcal{H}_k^r with $k \geq 2$.

3.4 Instability at higher mode

We show the following:

Proposition 2 (Instability at higher mode). *For $k \geq 2$, there exists $r_{k,c} \geq 1$ such that the following holds: If $r > r_{k,c}$, then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ such that $\mathcal{H}_k^r[\alpha, \beta] < 0$. Moreover, if $k = 3$, then we can take $r_{3,c} = 1$.*

For the proof, we change variables in the Hessian following the idea of [13]. We use the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let $A : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be nonnegative C^1 function, let $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^1((0, \infty) : \mathbb{R})$, and let $L = -\frac{d}{d\rho}A(\rho)\frac{d}{d\rho} + V$. Let $f, g \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ be functions satisfying $f = \psi g$ with some positive smooth function $\psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. Then,*

$$\int_0^\infty (Lf)f d\rho = \int_0^\infty \psi^2 A(g')^2 + \int_0^\infty (L\psi)\psi g^2 d\rho.$$

Lemma 2 plays a role of simplification of quadratic forms $\int_0^\infty (Lf)f d\rho$, especially when L has a kernel as the ground state. Indeed, this is the case when $k = 1$, and applying Lemma 2 immediately concludes that \mathcal{H}_1^r is positive definite (see the proof of Proposition 3 in Appendix). Although \mathcal{H}_k^r for $k \geq 2$ does not have such kernel, we will apply Lemma 2 with ψ being the kernel of \mathcal{H}_1^r , which enables us to find the unstable factors.

Proof of Proposition 2. First, let us set $\alpha = \beta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_k^r[\alpha, \alpha] &= 2 \int_0^\infty \left[\rho(\alpha')^2 + \left(\frac{(k + \cos \theta)^2}{\rho} - \rho(\theta')^2 + 4r^2(1 - k) \sin \theta \right) \alpha^2 \right] d\rho \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty \left[(L_1 \alpha) \alpha + \left(\frac{k^2 - 1}{\rho} + \frac{2(k - 1) \cos \theta}{\rho} + 4r^2(1 - k) \sin \theta \right) \alpha^2 \right] d\rho \end{aligned}$$

where $L_1 := -\frac{d}{d\rho}\rho\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{(1+\cos\theta)^2}{\rho} + 4r^2(1-k)\sin\theta$. Noting that $L_1\left(\frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}\right) = 0$, we transform $\alpha = \frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}\xi$. Applying Lemma 2 with $A = \rho$, $\psi = \frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}$, $V = \frac{(1+\cos\theta)^2}{\rho} - \rho(\theta')^2$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_k^r \left[\frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}\xi, \frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}\xi \right] = \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{2\sin^2\theta}{\rho}(\xi')^2 + f_k^r(\rho)\xi^2 \right] d\rho$$

where

$$f_k^r(\rho) := 2(k^2 - 1)\frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho^3} + 4(k - 1)\frac{\sin^2\theta \cos\theta}{\rho^3} + 8(1 - k)r^2\frac{\sin^3\theta}{\rho^2}.$$

Since

$$\sin\theta = \frac{2}{\rho} + o(\rho^{-1}), \quad \cos\theta = 1 + o(\rho^{-1})$$

as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$f_k^r(\rho) = -8(k - 1)(8r^2 - k - 3)\rho^{-5} + o(\rho^{-5}).$$

Now we consider the rescaling

$$\xi_\lambda(\rho) := \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\xi(\lambda\rho), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Then for $\xi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_k^r \left[\frac{\sin \theta}{\rho} \xi_\lambda, \frac{\sin \theta}{\rho} \xi_\lambda \right] = \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{8}{\rho^3} (\xi')^2 - \frac{8(k-1)(8r^2 - k - 3)}{\rho^5} \xi^2 \right] d\rho + o(\lambda).$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$. Thus we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \mathcal{H}_k^r \left[\frac{\sin \theta}{\rho} \xi_\lambda, \frac{\sin \theta}{\rho} \xi_\lambda \right] = \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{8}{\rho^3} (\xi')^2 - \frac{8(k-1)(8r^2 - k - 3)}{\rho^5} \xi^2 \right] d\rho,$$

which we denote $\mathcal{I}_k^r[\xi]$. Hence for Lemma 2, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{I}_k^r[\xi] < 0$ for some $\xi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$. This problem is concerned with the optimization of the constant of the Hardy-type inequality:

$$C_H := \inf \left\{ C \mid \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi^2}{\rho^5} d\rho \leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{(\xi')^2}{\rho^3} d\rho \text{ for all } \xi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty). \right\}. \quad (3.8)$$

In fact, it is known that $C_H = \frac{1}{4}$, and thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\xi_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\xi_\varepsilon^2}{\rho^5} d\rho > \left(\frac{1}{4 + \varepsilon} \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{(\xi_\varepsilon')^2}{\rho^3} d\rho.$$

This fact is shown in [10] (see also [16]), while in Appendix we will reproduce the proof for reader's convenience. Using ξ_ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_k^r[\xi_\varepsilon] &< \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{8(4 + \varepsilon)}{\rho^5} \xi_\varepsilon^2 - \frac{8(k-1)(8r^2 - k - 3)}{\rho^5} \xi_\varepsilon^2 \right] d\rho \\ &= 8[4 + \varepsilon - (k-1)(8r^2 - k - 3)] \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho^5} \xi_\varepsilon^2 d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

If $k \geq 2$, then the right hand side is negative for sufficiently large r . Especially when $k = 3$, it holds that

$$\mathcal{I}_3^r[\xi_\varepsilon] < 128 \left(1 - r^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho^5} \xi_\varepsilon^2 d\rho$$

which is negative when $r > 1$ if ε is sufficiently small. Thus the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1. According to Lemma 2, if $r > 1$, then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ such that $\mathcal{H}_3^r[\alpha, \beta] < 0$. Now define

$$u_1(\rho, \psi) := \alpha(\rho) \cos(3\psi), \quad u_2(\rho, \psi) := -\beta(\rho) \sin(3\psi). \quad (3.9)$$

Then by (3.5) and (3.6), $\phi := u_1 \mathbf{J}_1 + u_2 \mathbf{J}_2$ satisfies $\mathcal{H}_r[\phi] < 0$, which completes the proof by Proposition 1.

Remark 1. Note that α, β is taken such that $\alpha = \beta = \frac{\sin \theta}{\rho} \xi$ for a specific $\xi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$. Thus ϕ in the proof can be written in the form

$$\phi = \frac{\sin \theta(\rho)}{\rho} \xi(\rho) \cos(3\psi) \mathbf{J}_1 - \frac{\sin \theta(\rho)}{\rho} \xi(\rho) \sin(3\psi) \mathbf{J}_2 = \xi(\rho) \left(-\frac{\cos(3\psi)}{\rho} \partial_\psi \mathbf{h} + \sin(3\psi) \partial_\rho \mathbf{h} \right).$$

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $r > 1$. In this section we construct a sequence $\{\mathbf{n}_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ with

$$\mathbf{n}_\nu \in \mathcal{M}_4, \quad Q[\mathbf{n}_\nu] = -1, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_4[\mathbf{n}_\nu] = -\infty. \quad (4.1)$$

The key ingredient is the specific map defined as

$$\mathbf{b}(x) := \left(0, \frac{2rx_1}{r^2(x_1)^2 + 1}, \frac{r^2(x_1)^2 - 1}{r^2(x_1)^2 + 1} \right).$$

Note that $\mathbf{b}(x) = \mathbf{h}^{1/r}(x_1, 0)$ where \mathbf{h} is as in (1.3), and \mathbf{b} satisfies an equation similar to the Beltrami field:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{b} = -\frac{b_2}{x_1} \mathbf{b}.$$

If we calculate the integrand of E_4 , then

$$\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 + r(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{e}_3) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{b} + \frac{1}{2}(b_3 - 1)^2 = \frac{2(1 - r^2)}{(r^2x_1^2 + 1)^2}. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus the energy of \mathbf{b} is $-\infty$ if $r > 1$. Our construction of $\{\mathbf{n}_\nu\}$ with (4.1) is based on the cut-off of \mathbf{b} .

Define

$$\mathbf{n}_L(x) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{b}(x) & \text{if } |x_2| \leq L, \\ \mathbf{h}^{1/r}(x_1, x_2 - L) & \text{if } x_2 > L, \\ \mathbf{h}^{1/r}(x_1, x_2 + L) & \text{if } x_2 < -L. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbf{n}_L \in \mathcal{M}_4 \cap C(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and we have $Q[\mathbf{n}_L] = -1$ since \mathbf{n}_L is homotopic to \mathbf{h}^r by the homotopy with L shrinking to 0. Moreover, (4.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} E_4[\mathbf{n}_L] &= \int_{\{|x_2| \leq L\}} \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 + r(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{e}_3) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{b} + \frac{1}{2}(b_3 - 1)^2 \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{x_2 > L\}} \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{h}^{1/r}|^2 + r(\mathbf{h}^{1/r} - \mathbf{e}_3) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{h}^{1/r} + \frac{1}{2}(h_3^{1/r} - 1)^2 \right] (x_1, x_2 - L) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{x_2 < -L\}} \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{h}^{1/r}|^2 + r(\mathbf{h}^{1/r} - \mathbf{e}_3) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{h}^{1/r} + \frac{1}{2}(h_3^{1/r} - 1)^2 \right] (x_1, x_2 + L) dx \\ &= \int_{\{|x_2| \leq L\}} \frac{2(1 - r^2)}{(r^2x_1^2 + 1)^2} dx + E_4[\mathbf{h}^{1/r}] = (1 - r^2)C_r L + E_4[\mathbf{h}^{1/r}] \end{aligned}$$

where C_r is positive constant independent of L . Hence we have

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} E_4[\mathbf{n}_L] = -\infty$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

5 Appendix

5.1 Positivity of the Hessian at lower modes

We show that \mathcal{H}_k^r defined as (3.7) is positive definite.

Proposition 3. *For all $r \geq 0$, we have $\mathcal{H}_0^r[\alpha, \beta] \geq 0$, $\mathcal{H}_1^r[\alpha, \beta] \geq 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Our proof essentially follows [13]. We first see the case $k = 0$:

$$\mathcal{H}_0^r[\alpha, \beta] = \int_0^\infty \left[(\alpha')^2 + (\beta')^2 + \left(-(\theta')^2 + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\rho^2} \right) (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + 4r^2 \frac{\sin \theta}{\rho} (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \right] \rho d\rho.$$

Clearly it suffices to show the case $r = 0$. Now we can write

$$\mathcal{H}_0^0[\alpha, \beta] = \int_0^\infty [(L_0\alpha)\alpha + (L_0\beta)\beta] d\rho$$

with $L_0 := -\frac{d}{d\rho}\rho\frac{d}{d\rho} - \rho(\theta')^2 + \frac{\cos^2\theta}{\rho}$. Noting that $L_0(\sin\theta) = 0$, we transform

$$\alpha = (\sin\theta)\xi, \quad \beta = (\sin\theta)\eta, \quad (\xi, \eta \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)).$$

Applying Lemma 2 with $A = \rho$, $\psi = \sin\theta$, $V = -\rho(\theta')^2 + \frac{\cos^2\theta}{\rho}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_0^0[(\sin\theta)\xi, (\sin\theta)\eta] = \int_0^\infty \sin^2\theta[(\xi')^2 + (\eta')^2]\rho d\rho \geq 0.$$

Next we consider the case $k = 1$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1^r[\alpha, \beta] = \int_0^\infty & \left[(\alpha')^2 + (\beta')^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} - (\theta')^2 + \frac{\cos^2\theta}{\rho^2} \right) (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \frac{4\cos\theta}{\rho^2}\alpha\beta \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4r^2\sin\theta}{\rho}(\alpha - \beta)^2 \right] \rho d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it also suffices to show the case $r = 0$. Then we can write

$$\mathcal{H}_1^0[\alpha, \beta] = \int_0^\infty \left[(L_1\alpha)\alpha + (L_1\beta)\beta - \frac{2\cos\theta}{\rho}(\alpha - \beta)^2 \right] d\rho$$

with $L_1 := -\frac{d}{d\rho}\rho\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{(1+\cos\theta)^2}{\rho} - \rho(\theta')^2$. Noting that $L_1(\frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}) = 0$, we transform

$$\alpha = \frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}\xi, \quad \beta = \frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}\eta, \quad (\xi, \eta \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)).$$

Applying Lemma 2 with $A = \rho$, $\psi = \frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}$, $V = \frac{(1+\cos\theta)^2}{\rho} - \rho(\theta')^2$, and using (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1^0\left[\frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}\xi, \frac{\sin\theta}{\rho}\eta\right] &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho}(\xi'^2 + \eta'^2) + \frac{2\sin\theta\cos\theta(\theta')}{\rho^2}(\xi - \eta)^2 d\rho \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho}(\xi'^2 + \eta'^2) + \frac{(\sin^2\theta)'}{\rho^2}(\xi - \eta)^2 d\rho \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho}(\xi'^2 + \eta'^2) - \sin^2\theta \left(\frac{2(\xi - \eta)(\xi' - \eta')}{\rho^2} - \frac{2(\xi - \eta)^2}{\rho^3} \right) d\rho \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho} \left[\left(\xi' - \frac{\xi - \eta}{\rho} \right)^2 + \left(\eta' + \frac{\xi - \eta}{\rho} \right)^2 \right] d\rho \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is complete. \square

5.2 The optimality of the Hardy-type inequality

In this section we give a proof of the optimality $C_H = \frac{1}{4}$. (For the proof of $C_H > \frac{1}{4}$, see [10].) More precisely, we show the following:

Lemma 3. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\xi_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{1}{4 + \varepsilon} \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{(\xi'_\varepsilon)^2}{\rho^3} d\rho < \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi_\varepsilon^2}{\rho^5} d\rho.$$

Proof. As given in [10], C_H is formally optimized by $\xi = \rho^2$. To seek the compactness of support, we take a cut-off of this function. Given $A > 1$, let $\chi = \chi_A \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ be a function with $\chi(\rho) = 1$ if $\rho \in [1, A]$, $\chi(\rho) = 0$ if $\rho \notin [\frac{1}{2}, 2A]$, $|\chi'(\rho)| \leq \frac{2}{A}$ for $\rho \in [A, 2A]$, and $0 \leq \chi(\rho) \leq 1$ for all $\rho \in (0, \infty)$. Then define

$$\xi_A(\rho) := \rho^2 \chi_A(\rho)$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi_A^2}{\rho^5} d\rho &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho} \chi_A^2(\rho) d\rho, \\ \int_0^\infty \frac{(\xi_A')^2}{\rho^3} d\rho &= 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho} \chi_A^2 d\rho + 4 \int_0^\infty \chi_A \chi_A' d\rho + \int_0^\infty \rho (\chi_A')^2 d\rho \\ &= 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho} \chi_A^2 d\rho + \int_0^\infty \rho (\chi_A')^2 d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \rho (\chi_A')^2 d\rho \leq \varepsilon \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho} \chi_A^2 d\rho. \quad (5.1)$$

For (5.1), we can estimate as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho} \chi_A^2 d\rho &\geq \int_1^A \frac{1}{\rho} = \log A, \\ \int_0^\infty \rho (\chi_A')^2 d\rho &\leq C \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \rho d\rho + \frac{4}{A^2} \int_A^{2A} \rho d\rho \leq C \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of A . Thus we have

$$\left[\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho} \chi_A^2 d\rho \right]^{-1} \int_0^\infty \rho (\chi_A')^2 d\rho \xrightarrow{A \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

which implies (5.1) for sufficiently large A . □

Acknowledgements S. Ibrahim is supported by the NSERC grant No. 371637-2019. I. Shimizu is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19H05599.

References

- [1] B. BARTON-SINGER, C. ROSS AND B. J. SCHROERS, Magnetic skyrmions at critical coupling, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **375** (2020), No. 3, 2259–2280.
- [2] I. BEJENARU, A. D. IONESCU, C. E. KENIG, AND D. TATARU, Global Schrödinger maps in dimensions $d \geq 2$: Small data in the critical Sobolev spaces, *Ann. Math.* **173** (2011), No. 3, 1443–1506.
- [3] I. BEJENARU, A. D. IONESCU, C. E. KENIG, AND D. TATARU, Equivariant Schrödinger maps in two spatial dimensions, *Duke Math. J.* **162** (2013), No. 11, 1967–2025.
- [4] A. N. BOGDANOV AND D. A. YABLONSKII, Thermodynamically stable “vortices” in magnetically ordered crystals. The mixed state of magnets, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **68** (1989), No. 1, 101–103.

- [5] A. N. BOGDANOV AND A. HUBERT, Thermodynamically stable magnetic vortex states in magnetic crystals, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **138** (1994), 255–269.
- [6] L. DÖRING AND C. MELCHER., Compactness results for static and dynamic chiral skyrmions near the conformal limit. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **56** (2017) No. 3, Paper No. 60.
- [7] S. GUSTAFSON, K. KANG, AND T.-P. TSAI, Asymptotic stability of harmonic maps under the Schrödinger flow, *Duke Math. J.* **145** (2008), no. 3, 537–583.
- [8] S. GUSTAFSON, K. NAKANISHI, AND T.-P. TSAI, Asymptotic stability, concentration, and oscillation in harmonic map heat-flow, Landau-Lifshitz, and Schrödinger maps on \mathbb{R}^2 , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **300** (2010), no. 1 , 205–242.
- [9] S. GUSTAFSON AND L. WANG, Co-rotational chiral magnetic skyrmions near harmonic maps, *J. Funct. Anal.* **280** (2021), no. 4, 108867.
- [10] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, (1934).
- [11] A. HUBERT AND R. SCHÄFER, *Magnetic Domains: The Analysis of Magnetic Microstructures*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1998).
- [12] X. LAMY AND A. ZUNIGA, On the stability of radial solutions to an anisotropic Ginzburg-Landau equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **54** (2022), no. 1, 723–736.
- [13] X. LI AND C. MELCHER Stability of axisymmetric chiral skyrmions, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **275** (2018), no. 10, 2817–2844.
- [14] C. MELCHER, Chiral skyrmions in the plane, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **470** (2014), no. 2172, 20140394.
- [15] N. NAGAOSA AND Y. TOKURA Topological properties and dynamics of magnetic skyrmions, *Nature Nanotech.***8**, 899–911 (2013).
- [16] L.-E. PERSSON AND S. G. SAMKO, A note on the best constants in some Hardy inequalities, *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), no. 2, 437–447.
- [17] I. SHIMIZU, Local well-posedness of the Landau-Lifshitz equation with helicity term, *J. Math. Phys.* **63** (2022), 091505.
- [18] B. J. SCHROERS, Gauged sigma models and magnetic Skyrmions, *SciPost Phys.* **7** (2019), no. 3, 030.
- [19] H. WENTE, An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **26** (1969), 318–344.
- [20] X. Z. YU ET AL., Magnetic stripes and skyrmions with helicity reversals, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109** (2012), no. 23, 8856–8860.

(S. Ibrahim): ibrahims@uvic.ca
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
 University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada

(I. Shimizu): i.shimizu@sigmath.es.osaka-u.ac.jp
 Mathematical Science, Graduate School of Engineering Science,
 Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, Japan 560-0043.