

Forecasting Cryptocurrencies Log-Returns: a LASSO-VAR and Sentiment Approach

Milos Ciganovic* Federico D'Amario†

October 4, 2022

Abstract

Cryptocurrencies have become a trendy topic recently, primarily due to their disruptive potential and reports of unprecedented returns. In addition, academics increasingly acknowledge the predictive power of Social Media in many fields and, more specifically, for financial markets and economics. In this paper, we leverage the predictive power of Twitter and Reddit sentiment together with Google Trends indexes and volume to forecast the log returns of ten cryptocurrencies. Specifically, we consider *Bitcoin*, *Ethereum*, *Tether*, *BinanceCoin*, *Litecoin*, *EnjinCoin*, *Horizen*, *Namecoin*, *Peercoin*, and *Feathercoin*. We evaluate the performance of LASSO-VAR using daily data from January 2018 to January 2022. In a 30 days recursive forecast, we can retrieve the correct direction of the actual series more than 50% of the time. We compare this result with the main benchmarks, and we see a 10% improvement in Mean Directional Accuracy (MDA). The use of sentiment and attention variables as predictors increase significantly the forecast accuracy in terms of MDA but not in terms of Root Mean Squared Errors. We perform a Granger causality test using a post-double LASSO selection for high-dimensional VARs. Results show no “causality” from Social Media sentiment to cryptocurrencies returns

Keywords: Cryptocurrencies, Time series analysis, Sentiment analysis, Natural Language Processing

JEL Codes: C32, C53, C55, G17

*Department of Economics and Law - Sapienza University of Rome. milos.ciganovic@uniroma1.it
 †Department of Economics and Law - Sapienza University of Rome. federico.damario@uniroma1.it

1 Introduction

Since the introduction of Bitcoin by Nakamoto (2008), cryptocurrencies have gradually become very popular among investors. In the last decade, the world witnessed an unforeseen growth of cryptocurrencies, both in terms of their market capitalization and the number of kinds of coins. Many reasons may justify this boom: first of all, social media and journals reported unprecedented returns of cryptocurrencies which led many, professional investors and not, to enter this market. This mechanism has been naturally motivated by minimal global regulation, which brought people to primarily see cryptocurrencies as means of payment for illegal trades. Eventually, their gigantic returns stimulated an enthusiasm reminiscent of the Gold Rush in the western U.S. Investing in cryptocurrencies can be done quickly by everyone downloading an app on their smartphone. This led youngsters to represent, relatively, the main investors in digital currencies¹. Moreover, due to the relatively young age of the cryptocurrency market, traditional news outlets cannot follow events timely.

Because of the reasons mentioned above, social media can be defined as the primary source of information for cryptocurrency investors. Specifically, micro-blogging websites such as Twitter² and Reddit³ are widely used sources for cryptocurrency information. Significant fluctuations in cryptocurrencies' prices and their high volatility resulted in significant risks associated with investment in crypto assets. This has led to heated discussions about their place and role in the modern economy (see, for example Corbet et al. (2019), Catalini and Gans (2020), Halaburda et al. (2020) and Auer et al. (2021)). Therefore, the issue of developing appropriate methods and models for predicting prices for digital currencies is relevant both for the scientific community and financial analysts, investors, and traders. A crucial contribution in terms of modelling and forecasting cryptocurrencies' financial time series has been given by Catania et al. (2019) and Catania and Grassi (2021) who develop a dynamic model suitable for the complex dynamics of these series as well as compare several alternative of univariate and multivariate models for point and density forecasts. Furthermore, many studies (see Hitam and Ismail (2018), Sun et al. (2020), Miller and Kim (2021)) show how Machine Learning algorithms are extremely convenient in terms of computational time and accuracy when forecasting cryptocurrencies' time series. Lastly, increasing literature highlights the importance of specific factors that shape cryptocurrencies' demand helping in

¹See <https://www.investopedia.com/young-generation-bullish-on-cryptocurrencies-5223563>.

²See <https://twitter.com/>.

³See <https://www.reddit.com/>.

forecasting their prices, returns, and volatility. According to the efficient market hypothesis Fama (1970), market prices reflect all available information, thus the prediction of stock returns should not be possible. On the other hand, considerable empirical evidences (see, e.g. Daniel et al. (2002) for a comprehensive review) show that investors' psychology drives the stock market. This led many researchers to adopt sentiment indexes to improve forecast accuracy. Glenski et al. (2019) exploit the predictive power of social signals from multiple platforms (GitHub and Reddit) to forecast prices for three cryptocurrencies. They show that social signals reduce error when forecasting daily coin prices and that the language used in comments within the official communities on Reddit are the best predictors overall. Kraaijeveld and De Smedt (2020) show that Twitter sentiment has predictive power for the returns of several cryptocurrencies. Aslanidis et al. (2022) and Nasir et al. (2019) highlight the link of Google Trends with cryptocurrencies regarding their returns and volatility.

In this study, we analyze the impact of sentiment variables on cryptocurrency returns by using a novel dataset that combines a number of social media, search engine data, and volume. We apply a state-of-the-art sentiment classification technique to investigate whether sentiment measures contain predictive power for returns. To the best of our knowledge, similar sets of predictors have not been employed jointly previously. We account for the high dimensionality of the predictor variables by using a regularization technique known as the LASSO. This allows us to investigate (i) whether the variables constructed from our novel dataset can help to improve log-return forecasts using a VAR approach compared to the benchmark models; (ii) which data source and which type of sentiment or attention measure is most relevant in terms of Granger-causality in High-Dimensional VARs. Our results show that, on average, LASSO-VAR performs better in terms of Mean Directional Accuracy (MDA) than benchmark models. Moreover, the use of sentiment and attention variables as predictors increase significantly the forecast accuracy. We do not find Granger causality from sentiment indexes to cryptocurrencies returns. We find, instead, Granger causality between all cryptocurrencies except Bitcoin, Tether, and Feathercoin and from returns to the bitcoin sentiment extracted from Twitter.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section two 2 deals with data collection, describing the data set, its sources, and strategies to construct sentiment indexes. Section three 3 describes the modelling strategy, the estimation, forecasting methods, and the metrics used for the comparative evaluation of the out-of-sample model predictions. Section four 4 summarizes some selected results. Section five 5 concludes.

2 Data Collection

This study relies on multiple data sources. First, we collect daily data of ten cryptocurrencies from January 2018 to January 2022. We provide for the same period google trends and sentiment indexes from Twitter and Reddit. We complete our dataset with volume for each cryptocurrency considered.

2.1 Cryptocurrency Data

The cryptocurrencies used are reported in table 1 ranked in terms of Market Capitalization (MC) as of January 2022. We get our data from finance.yahoo.com⁴.

Table 1: 10 Cryptocurrencies and their symbols, market capitalization (MCs) and rankings of MCs (as of 31 January 2022).

Cryptocurrency	Symbol	MC	Rank by MC
Bitcoin	BTC	\$702,864,225,136	1
Ethereum	ETH	\$295,905,148,931	2
Tether	USDT	\$78,188,468,450	3
Binance Coin	BNB	\$63,930,448,963	4
Litecoin	LTC	\$7,479,561,631	21
Enjin Coin	ENJ	\$1,434,490,287	60
Horizen	ZEN	\$505,212,977	120
Namecoin	NMC	\$24,472,607	733
Peercoin	PPC	\$13,809,105	837
Feathercoin	FTC	\$1,927,251	1515

Many reasons brought us to choose this set of cryptocurrencies. First of all, cryptocurrencies emerge and disappear continually, while our selected ten currencies have been publicly-traded consecutively. Moreover, all the currencies chosen have been created with a defined purpose representing innovative projects which brought development, progress, or value to the blockchain technology that Bitcoin had implemented. On the other hand, our sample includes three tier currencies as in Gandal and Halaburda (2016). *Bitcoin*, *Ethereum*, *Tether*, *BinanceCoin*, whose market capitalizations stay in the world’s top five, are “top-tier” cryptocurrencies. *Litecoin*, *EnjinCoin*, *Horizen*, representing “middle cryptocurrencies” in market capitalization. *Namecoin*, *Peercoin*, and *Feathercoin* are representative “minor cryptocurrencies” according to market capitalization. We include Tether (USDT) in our

⁴See: <https://it.finance.yahoo.com/cryptocurrencies/>.

sample for a specific reason. We know, indeed, that it is a blockchain-based cryptocurrency whose tokens in circulation are backed by an equivalent amount of U.S. dollars, making it a stablecoin with a price pegged to USD \$1.00, which leads this currency to be very low volatile. Tether was designed to build the necessary bridge between fiat currencies and cryptocurrencies and offer users stability, transparency, and minimal transaction charges. We decided to include it as a counterfactual to understand whether sentiment indexes can help the prediction of stablecoin currencies. We compute the log returns and include them in our sample. Table 2 provides several summary statistics.

Table 2: Log-returns summary statistics for the ten cryptocurrencies during the period 1 January 2018 to 31 January 2022

	BTC-USD	ETH-USD	USDT-USD	BNB-USD	LTC-USD	ENJ-USD	ZEN-USD	NMC-USD	PPC-USD	FTC-USD
Mean	0.001	0.001	0	0.003	0	0.002	0	-0.001	-0.001	-0.003
Median	0.001	0.001	0	0.001	0	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	-0.005
Min	-0.465	-0.551	-0.053	-0.543	-0.449	-0.624	-0.546	-1.16	-0.665	-0.474
Max	0.172	0.231	0.053	0.529	0.291	0.768	0.38	0.75	0.567	0.409
Range	0.637	0.781	0.106	1.072	0.74	1.392	0.926	1.91	1.232	0.883
Skew	-1.147	-1.099	0.3	0.3	-0.608	1.132	-0.233	-0.869	-0.174	-0.174
kurtosis	14.042	10.795	34.34	15.579	7.947	15.508	6.268	19.999	13.593	4.575
ADF	-11.0753	-11.1057	-14.186	-11.1936	-11.3664	-11.201	-11.0829	-12.9631	-12.9892	-11.5868

Notes: all ADF statistics are stationary at 1% level

2.2 Google Trends Data

We collected forty three google trends searches (Table 7 in the Appendix reports the list of all the Google trends collected).

Figure 1: Google trends words collected



Google Trends is a search trend feature that shows how frequently a given search term is entered into Google's search engine relative to the site's total search volume over a given

period. Google Trends are available at a daily frequency only if the selected period is less than nine months. If the time frame is between nine months and five years, weekly data are provided; if it is longer than five years, data are monthly. A trivial solution like querying the data month by month and then tying it together will not work in this case because Google Trends assess interest in relative values within the given time. It means that for a given keyword and month, Google Trend will estimate the interest identically, with a local minimum of 0 and a local maximum of 100, for events in one month even if they had twice as many searches than in the other. To get proper daily estimates, we proceed as follows:

- 1 - Query daily estimates for each month in the specified time frame;
- 2 - Queries monthly data for the whole time frame;
- 3 - Multiply daily estimates for each month from step 1 by its weight from step 2.

2.3 Twitter and Reddit Sentiment Indexes

With regard to sentiment indexes, we use the Twitter API v2⁵ to download tweets using the name of each cryptocurrency from our sample as a search parameter. We end up with 13,195,084 tweets from 2,253,469 unique users from January 2018 to January 2022. Each tweet's query provides the user with the timestamp (UTC+0) and the text containing a maximum of 280 characters. Concerning Reddit data, we use the Pushshift Reddit API Baumgartner et al. (2020) to collect comments under the official subreddit of each cryptocurrency included in our sample. We gather a total of 4,406,897 comments from 420,024 unique users in the same time frame specified above. We are aware that increasing the daily quantity of tweets and comments collected would enhance the quality and results of our study. However, we believe that our sample is enough to get the representative social sentiment we are looking for.

The sentiment time series are created using Valence Aware Dictionary and Sentiment Reasoner (VADER) algorithm Hutto and Gilbert (2014). It uses a list of lexical features, optimized for social media texts, (words, punctuation, and emoticons) labelled as positive or negative according to their semantic orientation to calculate the text sentiment. Vader sentiment returns the probability of a given input sentence to be positive, negative, and neutral. In particular, every tweet and comment are passed to the algorithm. Therefore, we retrieve a Valence score for each of them which is measured on a scale from -4 to +4, where -4 stands for the most 'Negative' sentiment and +4 for the most 'Positive' sentiment. Midpoint 0

⁵See <https://developer.twitter.com/en/docs/twitter-api>

represents a 'Neutral' Sentiment. The unidimensional measure the algorithm can retrieve is called the "Compound Score". It is computed by summing the valence scores of each word in the lexicon, adjusted according to the rules, and then normalized to be between -1 (most extreme negative) and +1 (most extreme positive):

$$x = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + \alpha}} \quad (1)$$

Where x is the sum of the valence score of constituent words, and α is a normalization constant (the default value is 15). Finally, we obtain the compound score for each input processed and we aggregate them into daily frequency by taking the average.

2.4 Volume

We complete our dataset with cryptocurrencies volume. The dynamic volume-return could bring valuable information which can be used for price predictions or trading strategies. Generally speaking, the literature concerning the relationship between volume and returns suggests that there is a positive correlation between them (see, e.g. Jain and Joh (1988) and Llorente et al. (2002)). Concerning cryptocurrencies, there is a growing literature studying the volume-return relation. Balcilar et al. (2017) employ a non-parametric causality-in-quantiles test to assess the causality relation between trading volumes and bitcoin returns and volatility. They show that volume can predict returns, except during bull and bear periods in the Bitcoin market. Zhang et al. (2018) investigate the return-volume relationship of the Bitcoin market based on multifractal detrended cross-correlation. They found that Bitcoin exhibits a non-linear dependent relationship in return-volume with cross-correlations of return-volume showing anti-persistent behaviour. Another, Naeem et al. (2020) explore extreme return-volumes dependence among the highest capitalized cryptocurrencies using the Copula approach. They discovered that coefficients of lower tail dependence are significant for Bitcoin, Ripple, and Litecoin, which means that low volumes follow low returns. Lower tail dependence for the return-volume relationship is stronger than the upper tail dependence for Bitcoin, Ripple, and Litecoin. Moreover, for negative return-volume, left tail dependence coefficients are significant for Ripple and Litecoin, which means that low volumes follow high returns for Ripple and Litecoin. Finally, in a recent work, Chan et al. (2022) investigate the extreme dependence and correlation between high-frequency cryptocurrency returns and transaction volumes, at the extreme tails associated with booms and busts in

the cryptocurrency markets. Applying an extreme value theory approach, they found a weak positive correlation between return and volume at the tails.

3 Methods

3.1 LASSO-VAR

The LASSO is a method for automatic variable selection and parameters shrinkage. It can be used to select the most informative predictors of a target variable Y from a set of variables and parameters, possibly larger than sample information, virtually making high-dimensional modelling and forecasting feasible for any degree of model dimension and complexity. The LASSO has been initially developed for a single equation setting by Tibshirani (1996). The LASSO approaches curve fitting as a quadratic programming problem, where the objective function penalizes the total size of the regression coefficients based on the value of a tuning parameter, λ . In doing so, the LASSO can drive the coefficients of irrelevant variables to zero, thus performing the automatic variable selection. The strength of the penalty must be tuned. The stronger the penalty, the higher the number of coefficients that are shrunk to zero. The model is thus forced to select only the most important predictors, i.e. those with the highest contribution to the prediction of the target variable.

Let $\{y_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be a K dimensional multiple time series process generated by VAR process of order p , denoted as $\text{VAR}(p)$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= A_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + A_p y_{t-p} + u_t, \\ u_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_u). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In our analysis, we fix the maximum order of lag p to fourteen days, as suggested by the Bayesian Information Criterion, calculated over the full sample, i.e. including data up to the end of January 2022. Notice that, since we standardize data before modelling, the K -dimensional intercept vector is not considered in the VAR. Each A_i is a $K \times K$ matrix of coefficients for the endogenous variables, and $u_t \stackrel{\text{wn}}{\sim} (0, \Sigma_u)$ is the vector of reduced-form errors.

For notation convenience we will introduce a compact matrix representation of (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y} &= [y_{p+1}, \dots, y_T] \quad (K \times T); \\
\mathbf{Z}_t &= [y'_p, \dots, y'_{t-p}] \quad [1 \times Kp]; \\
\mathbf{Z} &= [\mathbf{Z}_p; \dots; \mathbf{Z}_{T-p}] \quad (T \times Kp)'; \\
\mathbf{A} &= [A_1, \dots, A_p] \quad (K \times Kp); \\
\mathbf{U} &= [u_1, \dots, u_T] \quad (K \times T)
\end{aligned}$$

We can express the VAR as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AZ} + \mathbf{U}, \quad (3)$$

with $\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u)$.

The LASSO objective function is minimized as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{A}} \frac{1}{T} \|\mathbf{AZ} - \mathbf{Y}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{A}\|_1, \quad (4)$$

where λ is the shrinkage parameter.

Together with the homoskedastic framework described above, we decide to account for heteroskedasticity estimating the model through Feasible GLS estimator assuming an *AR*(1) process for the errors. Then $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = (\mathbf{I}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u)$ will be a $T \times T$ Toeplitz matrix of the auto-correlation structure of the data.

By applying the LASSO procedure, we seek to obtain a sparse structure for the coefficient matrices. The optimization problem is solved by applying a coordinate descent numerical procedure, as explained in Kim et al. (2007) and Friedman et al. (2010).

3.2 Calibrating the LASSO-VAR through time series cross-validation

As immediately evident from (4), lambda (λ) is the most important parameter in the LASSO framework. The selection of the best predicting model depends on its calibration, which should not be sample-specific. A cross-validation stage is thus employed to get the “optimal” value for λ .

In this respect, we employ an expanding window (more precisely, an “anchored walk forward”) approach to cross-validation, which is the standard practice in many analyses considering time series modelling. In practice, the data is divided into a training and a test sample. The test set is being held for final evaluation, whereas the training set is further split into three subsets.

An example of 3-split time series cross-validation method is depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Graphical example of the 3-split time series cross validation

Time series cross-validation essentially takes into consideration time dependence, such that the training set is always built considering observations that occurred prior to the test set. The anchored walk forward cross-validation method implies a gradually expanding training set, pushing forward a fixed dimension test set.

3.3 Evaluation of the forecasting performances

After estimating the sparse model resulting from the cross-validated LASSO-VAR, we proceed to forecast. We use the sample from 01/01/2018–12/31/2021 to obtain initial parameter estimates for all models, which are then used to predict outcomes from 01/01/2022 ($h = 1$) to 01/04/2022 ($h = 4$). In the next period, we include data for 01/01/2022 in the estimation sample and use the resulting estimates to predict the outcomes from 01/02/2022 to 01/06/2022. We proceed recursively in this fashion until 01/31/2022, thus generating a time series of point forecasts. In (5) we show the standard procedure to obtain out-of-sample forecasts when a VAR is assumed to be the data generating process.

$$y_{T+h|T} = c + A_1 y_{T+h-1|T} + \dots + A_p y_{T+h-p|T}. \quad (5)$$

In order to evaluate the forecasting model performances, we adopt the Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and the Mean Directional Accuracy (MDA):

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{H} \sum_{\tau=t}^{\bar{t}-h} e_{i,\tau+h}^2}, \quad (6)$$

$$MDA = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{\tau=t}^{\bar{t}-h} sign(y_{i,\tau+h} - y_{i,\tau+h-1}) == sign(\hat{y}_{i,\tau+h} - \hat{y}_{i,\tau+h-1}). \quad (7)$$

We evaluate the performances of our selected models by comparing the results with the two multivariate high-dimensional time series forecasting model alternatives in discrete time. Specifically, we consider the Large Bayesian VAR (LBVAR) introduced by Ba  bura et al. (2010) and Factor Augmented VAR (FAVAR) proposed by Bernanke et al. (2005).

Finally, in order to test the statistical significance of differences in point forecasts, we consider pairwise tests of equal predictive accuracy (henceforth, EPA; Diebold (1995); West (1996)) in terms of RMSE. All EPA tests we conduct are based on a two sided test with the null hypothesis being the LBVAR and FAVAR benchmarks. We use standard normal critical values. Based on simulation evidence in Clark and McCracken (2013), when computing the variance estimator which enters the test statistic we rely on serial correlation robust standard errors and incorporate the finite sample correction due to Harvey et al. (1997). In Table 5, we use ***, ** and * to denote results which are significant at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively, in favor of the model listed at the top of each column compared with LBVAR. We use ***, ** and * when we compare our models with FAVAR.

3.4 High-dimensional Granger causality test

The concept of Granger causality captures the predictability given a particular information set Granger (1969, 1980). If the addition of variable I to the given information set Ξ alters the conditional distribution of another variable J , and both I and Ξ are observed prior to J , then I improves the predictability of J and is said to Granger cause J with respect to Ξ . Granger (1969) considers Ξ in a theoretical and non-practicable way as all the information available in the universe. The choice of the information set plays thus a crucial role in determining (non-)Granger causality. Cases of spurious Granger causality from I to J may arise when both I and J are Granger caused by Q , but Q is omitted from Ξ . High-dimensional models may avoid possible spurious causality due to omitted variables. Of course, conditioning on so many variables leads to the curse of dimensionality, rendering many standard statistical techniques invalid. To overcome the problem mentioned above, regularized estimation procedures as the LASSO can be used. As described in Hecq et al.

(2019), one might be tempted to perform the LASSO as in (4) on (8)⁶:

$$y_J = Z^\otimes \beta + u_J = Z_{GC}^\otimes \beta_{GC} + Z_{-GC}^\otimes \beta_{-GC} + u_J, \quad (8)$$

testing the parameter significance of the selected “Granger-causing” variables. However, this procedure does not consider that the final and selected model is random and function of the data. The randomness in the selection step means that post-selection estimators do not converge uniformly to a normal distribution, as the potential omitted variable bias from omitting weak, but still relevant, variables in the selection step is too large to perform uniformly valid inference. Many authors tried to cope with this issue (see Hecq et al. (2019) for a comprehensive review). We adopt the procedure proposed by Hecq et al. (2019) who specifically implemented a post-double-selection procedure, initially developed by Belloni et al. (2014), in a VAR context. The idea behind their approach is that Ξ is made of variables of interest, possible Granger-causing variables and the remaining variables. Their idea is to regress both the variable of interest and possible Granger-causing variables on the remaining variables. These regressions could be high-dimensional and cannot be estimated by least square. The remaining variables retained are those whose parameter is significant in at least one of the regressions mentioned above. In this case, the omitted variable bias will only occur if the LASSO fails to select a relevant variable in both regressions simultaneously. As the probability for this to occur decreases quadratically, this is negligible asymptotically and allows for valid inference.

In our application we test the (non-)Granger Causality for all the variables in our dataset considering all the possible combinations. Furthermore, since the time series length of our variables is much higher in magnitude compared to the number of covariates, we do not need to set any bound on the penalty to ensure that in each regression a maximum of variables are selected. In this case, we tune λ selecting the one which minimizes the Bayesian information criterion (BIC).

⁶Where $y_J = \text{vec}(\mathbf{Y}_J)$ denote the $N_J \times 1$ stacked vector containing all the observations of the variables in J . Similarly $u_J = \text{vec}(\mathbf{U}_J)$, $Z^\otimes = \mathbf{I}_{N_J} \otimes \mathbf{Z}$ and $\beta = \text{vec}(\mathbf{A})$. GC and $-GC$ stand respectively for chosen possible Granger causing variables and remaining variables.

4 Results and analysis

We now proceed to compare the performance of our methods to the competing models we outlined in Section 3.3. Table 3 provides a summary of the forecasting ability of each model by presenting its MDA statistics for each cryptocurrency’s return. The table includes four panels, each one presenting results for a different forecast horizon, with the rows focusing on the specific cryptocurrency. We begin by noting that both the LASSO-VAR are the most accurate in terms of MDA. Moreover, for the most stable variables only (such as USDT), homoscedastic model performance is comparable with the FGLS-VAR which on average performs better. Next, it appears that forecast performances increases with the forecast horizon. Furthermore, we do not see significant differences in performances among cryptocurrencies belonging to the three tiers defined above.

Table 3: The table shows the Mean Directional Accuracy of model i for variable j computed as $MDA = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^T sign(y_{t+1,i,j} - y_{t,i,j}) == sign(f_{t+1,i,j} - f_{t,i,j})$. Where $N = 29$ are the directions for 30 days forecast. y is the actual value and f is the forecast. Bold values are the best results.

Variable	FGLS LASSO-VAR	OLS LASSO-VAR	LBVAR	FAVAR	Variable	FGLS LASSO-VAR	OLS LASSO-VAR	LBVAR	FAVAR
h=1									
BTC-USD	0.56	0.48	0.46	0.46	BTC-USD	0.54	0.50	0.50	0.43
ETH-USD	0.68	0.40	0.50	0.46	ETH-USD	0.69	0.50	0.61	0.54
USDT-USD	0.32	0.52	0.39	0.50	USDT-USD	0.38	0.54	0.46	0.54
BNB-USD	0.60	0.64	0.57	0.32	BNB-USD	0.62	0.65	0.50	0.32
LTC-USD	0.52	0.36	0.54	0.36	LTC-USD	0.54	0.38	0.54	0.43
ENJ-USD	0.60	0.64	0.50	0.46	ENJ-USD	0.65	0.62	0.46	0.39
ZEN-USD	0.56	0.56	0.46	0.54	ZEN-USD	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.57
NMC-USD	0.48	0.52	0.61	0.64	NMC-USD	0.46	0.50	0.57	0.64
PPC-USD	0.64	0.64	0.36	0.50	PPC-USD	0.62	0.65	0.39	0.50
FTC-USD	0.52	0.48	0.50	0.68	FTC-USD	0.54	0.54	0.46	0.68
h=3									
BTC-USD	0.56	0.44	0.50	0.43	BTC-USD	0.57	0.43	0.50	0.46
ETH-USD	0.67	0.52	0.61	0.54	ETH-USD	0.68	0.57	0.61	0.57
USDT-USD	0.44	0.59	0.36	0.50	USDT-USD	0.50	0.57	0.36	0.50
BNB-USD	0.63	0.63	0.50	0.32	BNB-USD	0.64	0.61	0.50	0.32
LTC-USD	0.52	0.37	0.68	0.43	LTC-USD	0.54	0.36	0.64	0.46
ENJ-USD	0.67	0.56	0.46	0.39	ENJ-USD	0.64	0.57	0.50	0.39
ZEN-USD	0.52	0.52	0.46	0.54	ZEN-USD	0.46	0.50	0.54	0.54
NMC-USD	0.41	0.48	0.57	0.61	NMC-USD	0.39	0.50	0.57	0.68
PPC-USD	0.63	0.67	0.43	0.57	PPC-USD	0.61	0.64	0.43	0.57
FTC-USD	0.59	0.52	0.46	0.64	FTC-USD	0.61	0.57	0.54	0.68
h=4									
BTC-USD	0.57				BTC-USD	0.57	0.43	0.50	0.46
ETH-USD					ETH-USD	0.68	0.57	0.61	0.57
USDT-USD					USDT-USD	0.50	0.57	0.36	0.50
BNB-USD					BNB-USD	0.64	0.61	0.50	0.32
LTC-USD					LTC-USD	0.54	0.36	0.64	0.46
ENJ-USD					ENJ-USD	0.64	0.57	0.50	0.39
ZEN-USD					ZEN-USD	0.46	0.50	0.54	0.54
NMC-USD					NMC-USD	0.39	0.50	0.57	0.68
PPC-USD					PPC-USD	0.61	0.64	0.43	0.57
FTC-USD					FTC-USD	0.61	0.57	0.54	0.68

Table 4 shows average results of each model considering also the heteroscedastic model without sentiment and search engine data (FGLS LASSO-VAR). We can see an average 10% points improvement in directional accuracy of LASSO-VARs compared to benchmark models and a 37% points increase compared to the model estimated without sentiment and Google trends.

Table 4: Average MDA scores obtained from each model tested. Bold numbers represent the best results in a row.

	FGLS LASSO-VAR	OLS LASSO-VAR	FGLS LASSO-VAR (without sentiment and gtrends)	LBVAR	FAVAR
MDA h=1	0.5480	0.5240	0.3400	0.4893	0.4929
MDA h=2	0.5577	0.5423	0.3538	0.5036	0.5036
MDA h=3	0.5630	0.5296	0.3556	0.5036	0.4964
MDA h=4	0.5643	0.5321	0.3571	0.5179	0.5179

Table 5 shows the forecast performances in terms of RMSE. In this case LBVAR gives us most precise results in absolute terms but they are not statistically different from those obtained with OLS and FGLS VARs in terms of EPA tests. This result may be linked to the possibility of this bayesian model to define a-priori a general as well as particular shrinkage intensity for each parameter ending up with more accurate estimates. On the contrary, both LASSO regularized models perform significantly better than FAVAR in terms of EPA tests.

Table 5: The table shows the RMSE of model i computed as $RMSE_{ij} = \sqrt{\sum_{\tau=\underline{t}}^{\bar{t}-h} e_{i,j,\tau+h}^2}$, where $e_{i,j,\tau+h}^2$ are the squared forecast error of variable j at time τ and forecast horizon $h = 1, \dots, 4$ generated by model i . \bar{t} and \underline{t} denote the start and the end of the out-of-sample period. All forecast are generated out-of-sample using recursive estimate of the model, with $\underline{t} = 01/01/2022$ and $\bar{t} = 01/31/2022$. Bold number represent the best results in a row. * significance at the 10% level; ** significance at the 5% level; *** significance at the 1% level

Variable	FGLS LASSO-VAR	OLS LASSO-VAR	LBVAR	FAVAR	Variable	FGLS LASSO-VAR	OLS LASSO-VAR	LBVAR	FAVAR
h=1									
BTC-USD	0.0585***	0.0527***	0.0310	0.1896	BTC-USD	0.0588***	0.0522***	0.0302	0.1604
ETH-USD	0.0660***	0.0646***	0.0484	0.2277	ETH-USD	0.0654***	0.0641**	0.0472	0.1869
USDT-USD	0.0055***	0.0041***	0.0003	0.1874	USDT-USD	0.0058***	0.0041***	0.0002	0.1925
BNB-USD	0.0703***	0.0629***	0.0501	0.1898	BNB-USD	0.0715***	0.0667***	0.0488	0.1682
LTC-USD	0.0853***	0.0720***	0.0454	0.2177	LTC-USD	0.0834***	0.0712***	0.0444	0.1560
ENJ-USD	0.1339***	0.1091***	0.0719	0.2731	ENJ-USD	0.1325***	0.1149***	0.0705	0.1944
ZEN-USD	0.0938***	0.0899***	0.0602	0.2800	ZEN-USD	0.0935***	0.0912***	0.0594	0.2045
NMC-USD	0.1342***	0.1309***	0.0634	0.2501	NMC-USD	0.1490	0.1456	0.0628	0.1838
PPC-USD	0.0691***	0.0675***	0.0412	0.1750	PPC-USD	0.0810***	0.0767***	0.0446	0.1626
FTC-USD	0.1275*	0.1186***	0.0676	0.1688	FTC-USD	0.1241	0.1169	0.0691	0.1527
h=2									
BTC-USD	0.0425***	0.0499***	0.0296	0.1544	BTC-USD	0.0358***	0.0489***	0.0292	0.1463
ETH-USD	0.0544**	0.0555**	0.0463	0.1669	ETH-USD	0.0505**	0.0521**	0.0459	0.1484
USDT-USD	0.0040***	0.0041***	0.0002	0.2035	USDT-USD	0.0034***	0.0041***	0.0002	0.1935
BNB-USD	0.0654***	0.0644***	0.0482	0.1660	BNB-USD	0.0654***	0.0646***	0.0474	0.1567
LTC-USD	0.0630***	0.0677***	0.0436	0.1466	LTC-USD	0.0541***	0.0655***	0.0429	0.1389
ENJ-USD	0.1013***	0.1100***	0.0690	0.1777	ENJ-USD	0.0878***	0.1074***	0.0684	0.1650
ZEN-USD	0.0838***	0.0867***	0.0583	0.1900	ZEN-USD	0.0795***	0.0848***	0.0573	0.1773
NMC-USD	0.1072*	0.1121	0.0626	0.1562	NMC-USD	0.0939*	0.1042	0.0619	0.1458
PPC-USD	0.0806**	0.0761**	0.0464	0.1412	PPC-USD	0.0848**	0.0831***	0.0494	0.1396
FTC-USD	0.1029	0.1042	0.0677	0.1374	FTC-USD	0.0972	0.1007	0.0676	0.1225
h=3									
BTC-USD	0.0425***	0.0499***	0.0296	0.1544	BTC-USD	0.0358***	0.0489***	0.0292	0.1463
ETH-USD	0.0544**	0.0555**	0.0463	0.1669	ETH-USD	0.0505**	0.0521**	0.0459	0.1484
USDT-USD	0.0040***	0.0041***	0.0002	0.2035	USDT-USD	0.0034***	0.0041***	0.0002	0.1935
BNB-USD	0.0654***	0.0644***	0.0482	0.1660	BNB-USD	0.0654***	0.0646***	0.0474	0.1567
LTC-USD	0.0630***	0.0677***	0.0436	0.1466	LTC-USD	0.0541***	0.0655***	0.0429	0.1389
ENJ-USD	0.1013***	0.1100***	0.0690	0.1777	ENJ-USD	0.0878***	0.1074***	0.0684	0.1650
ZEN-USD	0.0838***	0.0867***	0.0583	0.1900	ZEN-USD	0.0795***	0.0848***	0.0573	0.1773
NMC-USD	0.1072*	0.1121	0.0626	0.1562	NMC-USD	0.0939*	0.1042	0.0619	0.1458
PPC-USD	0.0806**	0.0761**	0.0464	0.1412	PPC-USD	0.0848**	0.0831***	0.0494	0.1396
FTC-USD	0.1029	0.1042	0.0677	0.1374	FTC-USD	0.0972	0.1007	0.0676	0.1225
h=4									
BTC-USD	0.0425***	0.0499***	0.0296	0.1544	BTC-USD	0.0358***	0.0489***	0.0292	0.1463
ETH-USD	0.0544**	0.0555**	0.0463	0.1669	ETH-USD	0.0505**	0.0521**	0.0459	0.1484
USDT-USD	0.0040***	0.0041***	0.0002	0.2035	USDT-USD	0.0034***	0.0041***	0.0002	0.1935
BNB-USD	0.0654***	0.0644***	0.0482	0.1660	BNB-USD	0.0654***	0.0646***	0.0474	0.1567
LTC-USD	0.0630***	0.0677***	0.0436	0.1466	LTC-USD	0.0541***	0.0655***	0.0429	0.1389
ENJ-USD	0.1013***	0.1100***	0.0690	0.1777	ENJ-USD	0.0878***	0.1074***	0.0684	0.1650
ZEN-USD	0.0838***	0.0867***	0.0583	0.1900	ZEN-USD	0.0795***	0.0848***	0.0573	0.1773
NMC-USD	0.1072*	0.1121	0.0626	0.1562	NMC-USD	0.0939*	0.1042	0.0619	0.1458
PPC-USD	0.0806**	0.0761**	0.0464	0.1412	PPC-USD	0.0848**	0.0831***	0.0494	0.1396
FTC-USD	0.1029	0.1042	0.0677	0.1374	FTC-USD	0.0972	0.1007	0.0676	0.1225

Finally, Table 6 shows how sentiment variables are not contributing in achieving better results in terms of RMSE. This finding may be linked to the nature of sentiment indexes

themselves. Being indexes, sentiments are not introducing into the model precise information regarding the out of sample actual value of the returns; they give, instead, information about the direction of our target variables. This is translated in better performance in terms of MDA as shown in Table 4 but not in RMSE.

Table 6: Average RMSE scores obtained from each model tested. Bold numbers represent the best results in a row.

	FGLS LASSO-VAR	OLS LASSO-VAR	FGLS LASSO-VAR (without sentiment)	FGLS LASSO-VAR (without sentiment and gtrends)	LBVAR	FAVAR
RMSE h=1	0.0844	0.0772	0.0818	0.0894	0.0479	0.2159
RMSE h=2	0.0865	0.0804	0.0849	0.0896	0.0477	0.1762
RMSE h=3	0.0705	0.0731	0.0733	0.0785	0.0472	0.1640
RMSE h=4	0.0652	0.0715	0.0698	0.0739	0.0470	0.1534

Concerning Granger causality, we report in Figures 3, 4, and 5 networks of returns and sentiment and volume variables. Arrows are depicted when the null-hypothesis is rejected with the *p-values* of LM test < 0.01 . The direction of the arrows represents the one of the Granger causality. We report the *p-values* for each combination tested in the Appendix Table 10⁷. From Figure 3, we can conclude that there is no Granger causality between Twitter sentiments and cryptocurrencies returns. On the contrary, returns seem to cause each other, and most of them are causing the Bitcoin sentiment extracted from Twitter. From this finding, it is reasonable to interpret Bitcoin Twitter sentiment as a general index for all cryptocurrencies market. It is also interesting to note that the biggest and the smallest cryptocurrency in market capitalization are outside the cluster created by all the other currencies.

Cryptocurrencies – Twitter Sentiment Network




Figure 3: The figure shows a network between Twitter sentiment indexes and returns. The direction of the arrows represents the direction of the Granger causality

Same results are obtained focusing on the relation between Reddit sentiments and returns. Figure 4 shows, in fact, that sentiments obtained from Reddit are not Granger causing their respective cryptocurrency. Also, in this case, Bitcoin, Feathercoin, and the stablecoin Tether are outside the cluster created by the other currencies. It is interesting to focus on the cluster formed by several sentiments around the Bitcoin one. This finding may describe that the euphoria in specific currencies Sub-reddit is linked to the users' feelings expressed in the

⁷For simplicity we did not report all the combinations when the Granger causality is tested from Google trends. However, *p-values* reported are computed considering also search engine data as "other variables"

Bitcoin Sub-reddit, which pushes the others.




Figure 4: The figure shows a network between Reddit sentiment indexes and returns. The direction of the arrows represents the direction of the Granger causality

Figure 5 shows the Granger causality network between returns and volume.




Figure 5: The figure shows a network between Volume and returns. The direction of the arrows represents the direction of the Granger causality

In this case, we can see that returns are Granger causing currencies volume. These results suggest that movements in cryptocurrency returns may influence the market of the

others, primarily via demand shocks. Finally no causality is found from Google trends and cryptocurrencies return.

5 Conclusion

We constructed a novel dataset combining cryptocurrencies returns, social media sentiment indexes, Google trends, and volume. We wanted to analyze the forecast performance of regularized high-dimensional VAR models exploiting the novel dataset we created. In a thirty days forecast, we obtain a clear improvement in MDA by comparing our results with the most popular high-dimensional VAR models. Moreover, we observe comparable and precise performance in terms of RMSE considering LBVAR as benchmark. In light of these results, we can conclude that high-dimensional VAR models are good tools to model highly volatile financial time series such as cryptocurrency returns. Furthermore, the analysis of forecast performance metrics suggests a significant contribution of sentiment and attention measures in achieving better results in terms of directional accuracy. This finding can be decisive for portfolio selection strategies or, in general, for investment purposes. The contribution of sentiment and search engine variables is not translated into Granger causality. In most cases, we cannot reject the null hypothesis of (non-)Granger causality. However, we find an interesting connection between volume and cryptocurrency returns.

References

- N. Aslanidis, A. F. Bariviera, and Ó. G. López. The link between cryptocurrencies and google trends attention. *Finance Research Letters*, page 102654, 2022.
- R. Auer, C. Monnet, and H. S. Shin. Permissioned distributed ledgers and the governance of money. *Available at SSRN 3770075*, 2021.
- M. Balcilar, E. Bouri, R. Gupta, and D. Roubaud. Can volume predict bitcoin returns and volatility? a quantiles-based approach. *Economic Modelling*, 64:74–81, 2017.
- M. Bańbura, D. Giannone, and L. Reichlin. Large bayesian vector auto regressions. *Journal of applied Econometrics*, 25(1):71–92, 2010.
- J. Baumgartner, S. Zannettou, B. Keegan, M. Squire, and J. Blackburn. The pushshift reddit dataset. In *Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media*, volume 14, pages 830–839, 2020.
- A. Belloni, V. Chernozhukov, and C. Hansen. High-dimensional methods and inference on structural and treatment effects. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 28(2):29–50, 2014.
- B. S. Bernanke, J. Boivin, and P. Eliasz. Measuring the effects of monetary policy: a factor-augmented vector autoregressive (favar) approach. *The Quarterly journal of economics*, 120(1):387–422, 2005.
- C. Catalini and J. S. Gans. Some simple economics of the blockchain. *Communications of the ACM*, 63(7):80–90, 2020.
- L. Catania and S. Grassi. Forecasting cryptocurrency volatility. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 2021.
- L. Catania, S. Grassi, and F. Ravazzolo. Forecasting cryptocurrencies under model and parameter instability. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 35(2):485–501, 2019.
- S. Chan, J. Chu, Y. Zhang, and S. Nadarajah. An extreme value analysis of the tail relationships between returns and volumes for high frequency cryptocurrencies. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 59:101541, 2022.
- T. Clark and M. McCracken. Advances in forecast evaluation. *Handbook of economic forecasting*, 2:1107–1201, 2013.
- S. Corbet, B. Lucey, A. Urquhart, and L. Yarovaya. Cryptocurrencies as a financial asset: A systematic analysis. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 62:182–199, 2019.
- K. Daniel, D. Hirshleifer, and S. H. Teoh. Investor psychology in capital markets: Evidence and policy implications. *Journal of monetary economics*, 49(1):139–209, 2002.
- M. Diebold. Diebold fx, mariano rs. *Comparing predictive accuracy*, *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 13(3):253–263, 1995.

- E. F. Fama. Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *The journal of Finance*, 25(2):383–417, 1970.
- J. Friedman, T. Hastie, and R. Tibshirani. Regularization paths for generalized linear models via coordinate descent. *Journal of statistical software*, 33(1):1, 2010.
- N. Gandal and H. Halaburda. Can we predict the winner in a market with network effects? competition in cryptocurrency market. *Games*, 7(3):16, 2016.
- M. Glenski, T. Weninger, and S. Volkova. Improved forecasting of cryptocurrency price using social signals. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.00558*, 2019.
- C. W. Granger. Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods. *Econometrica*, 37:424–438, 1969.
- C. W. Granger. Testing for causality: a personal viewpoint. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and control*, 2:329–352, 1980.
- H. Halaburda, G. Haeringer, J. S. Gans, and N. Gandal. The microeconomics of cryptocurrencies. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
- D. Harvey, S. Leybourne, and P. Newbold. Testing the equality of prediction mean squared errors. *International Journal of forecasting*, 13(2):281–291, 1997.
- A. Hecq, L. Margaritella, and S. Smeekes. Granger causality testing in high-dimensional vars: a post-double-selection procedure. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.10991*, 2019.
- N. A. Hitam and A. R. Ismail. Comparative performance of machine learning algorithms for cryptocurrency forecasting. *Ind. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci*, 11(3):1121–1128, 2018.
- C. Hutto and E. Gilbert. Vader: A parsimonious rule-based model for sentiment analysis of social media text. In *Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media*, volume 8, pages 216–225, 2014.
- P. C. Jain and G.-H. Joh. The dependence between hourly prices and trading volume. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 23(3):269–283, 1988.
- S.-J. Kim, K. Koh, M. Lustig, S. Boyd, and D. Gorinevsky. An interior-point method for large-scale ℓ_1 -regularized least squares. *IEEE journal of selected topics in signal processing*, 1(4):606–617, 2007.
- O. Kraaijeveld and J. De Smedt. The predictive power of public twitter sentiment for forecasting cryptocurrency prices. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 65:101188, 2020.
- G. Llorente, R. Michaely, G. Saar, and J. Wang. Dynamic volume-return relation of individual stocks. *The Review of financial studies*, 15(4):1005–1047, 2002.

- D. Miller and J.-M. Kim. Univariate and multivariate machine learning forecasting models on the price returns of cryptocurrencies. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(10):486, 2021.
- M. Naeem, K. Saleem, S. Ahmed, N. Muhammad, and F. Mustafa. Extreme return-volume relationship in cryptocurrencies: Tail dependence analysis. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 8(1):1834175, 2020.
- S. Nakamoto. Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. *Decentralized Business Review*, page 21260, 2008.
- M. A. Nasir, T. L. D. Huynh, S. P. Nguyen, and D. Duong. Forecasting cryptocurrency returns and volume using search engines. *Financial Innovation*, 5(1):1–13, 2019.
- X. Sun, M. Liu, and Z. Sima. A novel cryptocurrency price trend forecasting model based on lightgbm. *Finance Research Letters*, 32:101084, 2020.
- R. Tibshirani. Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 58(1):267–288, 1996.
- K. D. West. Asymptotic inference about predictive ability. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, pages 1067–1084, 1996.
- W. Zhang, P. Wang, X. Li, and D. Shen. Multifractal detrended cross-correlation analysis of the return-volume relationship of bitcoin market. *Complexity*, 2018, 2018.

A Appendix

A.1 Google trends

Table 7: Google Trends collected from January 2018 to January 2022.

Google Trends	
<i>binance coin</i>	<i>zen coin</i>
<i>crypto crash</i>	<i>coinbase</i>
<i>miner</i>	<i>BTC</i>
<i>USD coin</i>	<i>hard fork</i>
<i>cardano</i>	<i>stablecoin</i>
<i>cryptocurrency</i>	<i>cold storage</i>
<i>minted</i>	<i>coin</i>
<i>solana</i>	<i>hash</i>
<i>feathercoin</i>	<i>tether</i>
<i>cryptography</i>	<i>litecoin</i>
<i>dogecoin</i>	<i>enjin coin</i>
<i>digital gold</i>	<i>hashing</i>
<i>Bitcoin</i>	<i>token</i>
<i>NFT</i>	<i>crypto</i>
<i>ripple</i>	<i>ICO</i>
<i>private key</i>	<i>coin market</i>
<i>peercoin</i>	<i>soft fork</i>
<i>namecoin</i>	<i>block producer</i>
<i>satoshi</i>	<i>distributed ledger</i>
<i>hot wallet</i>	<i>digital fiat</i>
<i>blockchain</i>	<i>consensus</i>
<i>ethereum</i>	

A.2 Granger causality

Table 8: *p*-values of Post-double-selection Granger causality LM test from cryptocurrencies returns to other variables

GCto _i	GCfrom _j	BTC-USD	ETH-USD	USDT-USD	BNB-USD	LTC-USD	ENJ-USD	ZEN-USD	NMC-USD	PPC-USD	FTC-USD
BTC-USD	NA	0.0160	0.5806	0.0766	0.0480	0.8495	0.1692	0.2867	0.0509	0.3835	
ETH-USD	0.2424	NA	0.1301	0.1656	0.6113	0.7493	0.2147	0.0308	0.0095	0.6677	
USDT-USD	0.0304	0.0082	NA	0.0849	0.0607	0.0530	0.1661	0.1990	0.0586	0.0592	
BNB-USD	0.4005	0.0990	0.2285	NA	0.0099	0.0953	0.1949	0.1746	0.0018	0.0100	
LTC-USD	0.1818	0.0343	0.1641	0.1758	NA	0.3354	0.0577	0.1425	0.0348	0.0694	
ENJ-USD	0.0634	0.0180	0.8120	0.0017	0.0005	NA	0.5604	0.0110	0.0000	0.2315	
ZEN-USD	0.0762	0.0439	0.1940	0.5320	0.2329	0.0437	NA	0.2635	0.0713	0.8826	
NMC-USD	0.0251	0.0002	0.0703	0.0000	0.0009	0.0011	0.0007	NA	0.0001	0.1259	
PPC-USD	0.1485	0.0054	0.5124	0.0277	0.0392	0.4461	0.1795	0.9247	NA	0.0804	
FTC-USD	0.1446	0.0309	0.8128	0.0260	0.0107	0.7316	0.0719	0.9981	0.0158	NA	
binance_twitter	0.3954	0.2646	0.6356	0.6085	0.4001	0.3064	0.2354	0.9448	0.4448	0.6705	
bitcoinc_twitter	0.0037	0.0004	0.0005	0.0294	0.0085	0.0002	0.0004	0.0051	0.0489	0.0097	
enjin_twitter	0.8122	0.7953	0.1428	0.3382	0.6695	0.8774	0.2228	0.7266	0.9771	0.4733	
ethereum_twitter	0.5745	0.5471	0.4612	0.1124	0.5614	0.6184	0.4833	0.2719	0.0030	0.9763	
feathercoin_twitter	0.1760	0.4113	0.2249	0.8650	0.2708	0.5450	0.2588	0.6098	0.5435	0.3414	
horizen_twitter	0.3166	0.7868	0.1714	0.1453	0.2374	0.3077	0.4665	0.6470	0.3518	0.5166	
litecoin_twitter	0.4395	0.4252	0.4043	0.9540	0.3795	0.1323	0.1898	0.4090	0.6604	0.1760	
namecoin_twitter	0.8102	0.5725	0.6608	0.5611	0.8145	0.1292	0.0801	0.0839	0.8672	0.1424	
peercoin_twitter	0.4027	0.5644	0.5816	0.2592	0.7573	0.8720	0.2728	0.8352	0.4572	0.6181	
tether_twitter	0.0963	0.4915	0.0152	0.5721	0.5265	0.0875	0.7624	0.5208	0.0772	0.3862	
binance_reddit	0.6541	0.6082	0.6289	0.2662	0.9793	0.5826	0.9291	0.8083	0.5520	0.6791	
bitcoin_reddit	0.0672	0.0673	0.8790	0.1642	0.0385	0.2775	0.0991	0.1266	0.0427	0.4702	
enjin_reddit	0.6851	0.4729	0.4735	0.5772	0.8391	0.9730	0.2725	0.0446	0.6572	0.2913	
ethereum_reddit	0.1089	0.2272	0.2207	0.7603	0.7171	0.7370	0.4804	0.3783	0.5760	0.3082	
feathercoin_reddit	0.0561	0.1935	0.9954	0.0507	0.0470	0.4072	0.0116	0.2093	0.0256	0.2115	
horizen_reddit	0.5628	0.7018	0.5875	0.3949	0.3620	0.7171	0.9944	0.6513	0.6111	0.9837	
litecoin_reddit	0.7097	0.4810	0.3864	0.8410	0.5646	0.5027	0.5521	0.9301	0.9295	0.8797	
namecoin_reddit	0.3928	0.0413	0.1420	0.2572	0.1178	0.3858	0.5974	0.5896	0.0552	0.0687	
peercoin_reddit	0.6658	0.7312	0.5510	0.6567	0.4873	0.6190	0.6782	0.1117	0.6449	0.7178	
tether_reddit	0.3356	0.3148	0.4185	0.5096	0.4660	0.9597	0.2934	0.1441	0.0401	0.9379	
binance_coin	0.0000	0.0000	0.6609	0.0000	0.0000	0.0834	0.0048	0.2576	0.1140	0.2205	
crypto_crash	0.0000	0.0000	0.9778	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0462	0.0000	0.0191	
miner	0.0041	0.0322	0.4051	0.0505	0.0067	0.2852	0.1471	0.7523	0.6174	0.7578	
USD coin	0.0034	0.0046	0.4258	0.0045	0.0001	0.7856	0.0409	0.4670	0.3972	0.0062	
cardano	0.1536	0.0814	0.4645	0.0067	0.1593	0.9221	0.5557	0.1927	0.0998	0.2494	
cryptocurrency	0.0006	0.0001	0.3861	0.0000	0.0001	0.0009	0.0065	0.0107	0.0018	0.1033	
minted	0.4002	0.2837	0.8245	0.1180	0.4083	0.0446	0.5992	0.7046	0.9410	0.4048	
solana	0.7416	0.5055	0.3076	0.0797	0.3734	0.2871	0.3570	0.7301	0.9052	0.5513	
feathercoin	0.0862	0.0739	0.1444	0.6347	0.0005	0.1046	0.5542	0.0006	0.7720	0.0682	
cryptography	0.1061	0.0340	0.4799	0.1491	0.1080	0.4966	0.6945	0.7332	0.8046	0.2341	
dogecheon	0.3726	0.1008	0.9989	0.4082	0.3151	0.5171	0.5089	0.8553	0.2065	0.2517	
digital_gold	0.3546	0.2963	0.3691	0.7348	0.4403	0.2610	0.8192	0.9313	0.2141	0.2325	
Bitcoin	0.0159	0.0001	0.4836	0.0000	0.0063	0.0006	0.0075	0.1809	0.0182	0.0678	
NFT	0.1294	0.0845	1.0000	0.0804	0.1433	0.0188	0.0391	0.8159	0.7121	0.1280	
ripple	0.1088	0.1370	0.1399	0.0069	0.0273	0.3337	0.6380	0.1514	0.6041	0.9649	
private_key	0.2985	0.1430	0.5925	0.5632	0.4996	0.6208	0.3199	0.3138	0.3721	0.3507	
peercoin	0.7811	0.2091	0.6699	0.9692	0.3320	0.8001	0.9222	0.5993	0.0050	0.5869	
namecoin	0.1008	0.0260	0.6049	0.1510	0.1160	0.1320	0.2546	0.0081	0.8135	0.0356	
satoshi	0.0005	0.0130	0.0430	0.6887	0.0051	0.8851	0.3407	0.3459	0.2010	0.0012	
hot_wallet	0.0568	0.0244	0.1037	0.1298	0.0174	0.2064	0.8107	0.6797	0.1160	0.1919	
blockchain	0.0000	0.0007	0.8780	0.1187	0.0000	0.4025	0.1450	0.1267	0.0590	0.3071	
ethereum	0.0000	0.0000	0.8132	0.0235	0.0002	0.4269	0.1647	0.4441	0.0007	0.0779	
zen_coin	0.0903	0.3427	0.2019	0.3071	0.0986	0.0698	0.8930	0.7814	0.6367	0.8439	
coinbase	0.0011	0.0294	0.7721	0.1764	0.0020	0.1930	0.4510	0.5053	0.2014	0.4402	
BTC	0.1413	0.0050	0.5335	0.0199	0.1986	0.0085	0.2251	0.3561	0.3073	0.2519	
hard_fork	0.8211	0.9871	0.3466	0.6733	0.9744	0.9280	0.6540	0.4868	0.2830	0.7385	
stablecoin	0.6050	0.1118	0.2014	0.3165	0.1344	0.8491	0.6773	0.2453	0.3065	0.7987	
cold_storage	0.4250	0.7975	0.6901	0.1378	0.6385	0.6781	0.3314	0.0878	0.8518	0.1559	
coin	0.0037	0.0118	0.3139	0.5546	0.0024	0.1975	0.0345	0.6244	0.9705	0.9465	
hash	0.7259	0.5989	0.0102	0.4963	0.4929	0.9033	0.9252	0.9961	0.8192	0.0555	
tether	0.6599	0.8826	0.7482	0.3878	0.7186	0.3158	0.8085	0.0353	0.4464	0.6137	
litecoin	0.0072	0.2772	0.4344	0.1784	0.0010	0.5565	0.6718	0.1310	0.0648	0.1283	
enjin_coin	0.0007	0.0464	0.9766	0.0021	0.0012	0.0000	0.0711	0.1025	0.2130	0.8599	
hashing	0.3379	0.3305	0.2290	0.3685	0.4969	0.5957	0.6382	0.0339	0.6142	0.3185	
token	0.0498	0.0795	0.5437	0.1770	0.2085	0.6911	0.7638	0.1078	0.0178	0.0712	
crypto	0.0000	0.0000	0.8925	0.0000	0.0000	0.0127	0.0013	0.0004	0.0002	0.0004	
ICO	0.0145	0.0365	0.9128	0.2933	0.0713	0.0469	0.0234	0.4183	0.2966	0.0582	
coin_market	0.0026	0.0371	0.1630	0.1688	0.0008	0.2388	0.4455	0.3456	0.2171	0.8817	
soft_fork	0.3565	0.1827	0.8709	0.0512	0.0582	0.0308	0.4759	0.4489	0.5009	0.9645	
block_producer	0.8401	0.9728	0.9367	0.5129	0.9480	0.8211	0.5828	0.3741	0.8435	0.4542	
distributed_ledger	0.6765	0.9060	0.2695	0.9712	0.7463	0.3372	0.1931	0.7627	0.0919	0.3213	
digital_fiat	0.7310	0.3724	0.0663	0.7566	0.7494	0.2539	0.5716	0.4768	0.9983	0.9122	
consensus	0.9003	0.9690	0.9322	0.8829	0.8762	0.9336	0.7570	0.1679	0.8630	0.4639	
BTC-USD.vol	0.0017	0.0005	0.2268	0.0012	0.0074	0.5861	0.2199	0.1915	0.0160	0.1666	
ETH-USD.vol	0.0003	0.0000	0.1126	0.0071	0.0001	0.1695	0.0098	0.1317	0.0089	0.0692	
USDT-USD.vol	0.0001	0.0000	0.0787	0.0051	0.0000	0.3197	0.0376	0.0227	0.0008	0.1977	
BNB-USD.vol	0.0000	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0053	0.0854	0.0002	0.0000	
LTC-USD.vol	0.0002	0.0003	0.1229	0.0037	0.0000	0.5721	0.0861	0.1896	0.0008	0.0123	
ENJ-USD.vol	0.2829	0.2564	0.0412	0.0309	0.0304	0.0000	0.6242	0.0387	0.1828	0.4097	
ZEN-USD.vol	0.0126	0.0008	0.3450	0.0705	0.0017	0.0130	0.0000	0.0137	0.0682	0.3457	
NMC-USD.vol	0.0140	0.0242	0.0000	0.0132	0.0298	0.3640	0.0602	0.0255	0.2465	0.1979	
PPC-USD.vol	0.0029	0.0001	0.0124	0.0013	0.0000	0.0176	0.0001	0.1828	0.0000	0.0037	
FTC-USD.vol	0.0693	0.3107	0.0925	0.2897	0.0504	0.6788	0				

Table 9: *p*-values of sample corrected F – statistic of Granger (non-)causality test from Twitter sentiments to other variables

Gcto GCfrom	binance_twitter	bitcoin_twitter	enjin_twitter	ethereum_twitter	feathercoin_twitter	horizen_twitter	litecoin_twitter	namecoin_twitter	peercoin_twitter	tether_twitter
BTC-USD	0.2836	0.6193	0.4217	0.1768	0.7939	0.5917	0.5067	0.9076	0.1186	0.7629
ETH-USD	0.0445	0.0526	0.6375	0.0155	0.7203	0.7424	0.1068	0.1708	0.3052	0.6660
USDT-USD	0.9294	0.1183	0.0616	0.5228	0.0302	0.2846	0.4805	0.3773	0.6936	0.0230
BNB-USD	0.4527	0.1739	0.2443	0.1532	0.7731	0.7727	0.0739	0.0348	0.3130	0.6413
LTC-USD	0.1515	0.5947	0.5626	0.0249	0.5487	0.1943	0.1889	0.6979	0.1782	0.2934
ENJ-USD	0.5534	0.4781	0.3863	0.7358	0.9879	0.3070	0.0189	0.1175	0.3266	0.9704
ZEN-USD	0.7816	0.6695	0.8269	0.0797	0.9265	0.2341	0.4250	0.0847	0.2048	0.6214
NMC-USD	0.2995	0.6881	0.9317	0.4905	0.3274	0.0705	0.1071	0.8214	0.4319	0.7449
PPC-USD	0.6282	0.9610	0.3731	0.0633	0.2392	0.1032	0.8967	0.9697	0.1709	0.6841
FTC-USD	0.2389	0.3845	0.2214	0.0325	0.8066	0.5975	0.3111	0.1334	0.0210	0.6129
binance_twitter	NA	0.0193	0.9603	0.0224	0.5917	0.7926	0.6675	0.9330	0.8544	0.2448
bitcoin_twitter	0.6348	NA	0.7212	0.0927	0.4338	0.9155	0.8053	0.4358	0.2363	0.5879
enjin_twitter	0.2779	0.5965	NA	0.9036	0.0439	0.1869	0.8787	0.3202	0.4747	0.1904
ethereum_twitter	0.4339	0.1282	0.0640	NA	0.8284	0.9192	0.2065	0.0317	0.7232	0.1088
feathercoin_twitter	0.1484	0.5466	0.4829	0.9142	NA	0.9193	0.2521	0.0816	0.8440	0.0376
horizen_twitter	0.3591	0.3107	0.0328	0.4325	0.7878	NA	0.6530	0.6684	0.2187	0.3314
litecoin_twitter	0.3215	0.3492	0.6308	0.8966	0.7400	0.8926	NA	0.4861	0.6718	0.3686
namecoin_twitter	0.0851	0.5768	0.8819	0.2969	0.8555	0.2732	0.0134	NA	0.0658	0.0089
peercoin_twitter	0.0561	0.6776	0.7402	0.2010	0.9291	0.4143	0.1932	0.8459	NA	0.8278
tether_twitter	0.0958	0.0698	0.5065	0.2755	0.0764	0.9713	0.1445	0.5973	0.1683	NA
binance_reddit	0.1073	0.2070	0.1834	0.9775	0.3258	0.9364	0.9399	0.8363	0.1013	0.8588
bitcoin_reddit	0.5128	0.3099	0.6017	0.7855	0.0081	0.7087	0.2620	0.4051	0.4614	0.1572
enjin_reddit	0.1477	0.3237	0.7215	0.3622	0.2115	0.3067	0.8910	0.3101	0.2733	0.9930
ethereum_reddit	0.5897	0.6249	0.1164	0.4412	0.6651	0.8423	0.6207	0.3736	0.3048	0.1188
feathercoin_reddit	0.1224	0.5682	0.4413	0.7241	0.5178	0.3215	0.5211	0.0623	0.5782	0.3215
horizen_reddit	0.3031	0.2193	0.9052	0.4061	0.1514	0.6784	0.2436	0.4387	0.6865	0.7815
litecoin_reddit	0.9259	0.3443	0.0409	0.9613	0.4127	0.9871	0.0394	0.0051	0.5193	0.6964
namecoin_reddit	0.2243	0.4472	0.1992	0.3831	0.9794	0.4073	0.1210	0.9300	0.1832	0.4928
peercoin_reddit	0.3292	0.3009	0.8619	0.8040	0.6110	0.1571	0.3354	0.3678	0.1571	0.7751
tether_reddit	0.5138	0.2140	0.5892	0.3380	0.5673	0.6192	0.2542	0.5848	0.2780	0.4751
binance_coin	0.8862	0.1407	0.5714	0.9191	0.9673	0.8414	0.2558	0.6459	0.3450	0.6336
crypto_crash	0.3984	0.0002	0.5467	0.0029	0.8798	0.8268	0.2549	0.7603	0.0052	0.7470
miner	0.0502	0.1712	0.4746	0.5992	0.0925	0.5411	0.9161	0.9501	0.4062	0.1135
USD coin	0.8942	0.0000	0.8090	0.6022	0.6801	0.6448	0.0093	0.6302	0.8233	0.3079
cardano	0.7775	0.0588	0.3634	0.0061	0.6833	0.6337	0.0019	0.2495	0.1241	0.5301
cryptocurrency	0.4750	0.1088	0.2338	0.6727	0.7848	0.9204	0.1712	0.8256	0.0568	0.1901
minted	0.4680	0.0388	0.1532	0.7794	0.3114	0.5892	0.4885	0.2293	0.5396	0.2287
solana	0.2837	0.3680	0.1212	0.1947	0.7989	0.8472	0.5140	0.5022	0.6212	0.4513
feathercoin	0.0506	0.1004	0.4588	0.5823	0.9545	0.0293	0.9281	0.7301	0.7431	0.5985
cryptography	0.9143	0.6395	0.4864	0.6911	0.7596	0.6896	0.0717	0.6523	0.0297	0.1090
dogecoin	0.4168	0.0745	0.8324	0.0033	0.5577	0.7827	0.3509	0.1329	0.5748	0.0572
digital gold	0.1681	0.6520	0.0052	0.6572	0.2344	0.1247	0.3808	0.7552	0.1092	0.0133
Bitcoin	0.8074	0.0000	0.1341	0.0637	0.6245	0.9207	0.0225	0.3835	0.5476	0.1504
NFT	0.8955	0.4895	0.9963	0.3886	0.6583	0.9873	0.3122	0.5264	0.6557	0.7778
ripple	0.8699	0.6457	0.2431	0.6111	0.3368	0.6968	0.6324	0.5787	0.6279	0.4275
private key	0.9722	0.3132	0.2969	0.4290	0.6356	0.5147	0.1474	0.0519	0.6744	0.8022
peercoin	0.1326	0.0460	0.1669	0.1729	0.5522	0.5884	0.5705	0.4234	0.7349	0.7582
namecoin	0.3438	0.6583	0.6245	0.1543	0.9187	0.4374	0.0651	0.4058	0.7169	0.1354
satoshi	0.4650	0.0235	0.5989	0.8808	0.3549	0.2758	0.3560	0.4398	0.5796	0.7183
hot wallet	0.6852	0.7534	0.0276	0.0691	0.8563	0.5853	0.6089	0.8984	0.9160	0.4712
blockchain	0.2278	0.9625	0.6401	0.2790	0.0117	0.6034	0.3028	0.8676	0.1049	0.2246
ethereum	0.6211	0.0292	0.6188	0.0367	0.8557	0.6875	0.0681	0.4486	0.2699	0.0974
zen coin	0.9192	0.8343	0.9160	0.9038	0.7183	0.1420	0.9143	0.7355	0.7321	0.6497
coinbase	0.8630	0.0119	0.5855	0.0071	0.5251	0.9299	0.1896	0.1725	0.1492	0.0325
BTC	0.8833	0.0000	0.4303	0.7704	0.3939	0.8184	0.0366	0.2505	0.2538	0.7446
hard fork	0.1036	0.7880	0.8580	0.0009	0.6449	0.6969	0.7318	0.6394	0.7263	0.1168
stablecoin	0.2091	0.8364	0.4060	0.8699	0.3242	0.4720	0.0347	0.0490	0.4366	0.5818
cold storage	0.9267	0.6904	0.8710	0.6980	0.7578	0.3718	0.9898	0.4618	0.5048	0.4033
coin	0.4056	0.1404	0.6030	0.9604	0.1383	0.3260	0.2258	0.8750	0.2673	0.2704
hash	0.7988	0.0937	0.2399	0.9853	0.6009	0.2439	0.3649	0.8882	0.6758	0.6788
tether	0.9119	0.4673	0.1943	0.5010	0.4542	0.8451	0.3022	0.6103	0.3950	0.1726
litecoin	0.9384	0.0692	0.3162	0.1120	0.4842	0.2935	0.5608	0.9612	0.4839	0.2853
enjin coin	0.8819	0.5395	0.1981	0.7181	0.8608	0.3886	0.0201	0.3699	0.7663	0.4399
hashing	0.7452	0.0403	0.9332	0.5851	0.9850	0.1087	0.4256	0.1738	0.5225	0.3914
token	0.0315	0.1228	0.0745	0.9948	0.1451	0.8357	0.7580	0.4954	0.5339	0.0574
crypto	0.2607	0.0005	0.0239	0.0101	0.5988	0.9822	0.0867	0.4062	0.0304	0.3774
ICO	0.9978	0.7753	0.5554	0.3998	0.1844	0.2904	0.7830	0.8071	0.2732	0.2678
coin market	0.5826	0.0077	0.5031	0.9928	0.8572	0.3036	0.4974	0.8567	0.4990	0.4338
soft fork	0.2140	0.9266	0.6588	0.7629	0.9294	0.1710	0.4798	0.3797	0.2247	0.2018
block producer	0.8742	0.0726	0.5215	0.2799	0.4695	0.1274	0.4518	0.1755	0.5614	0.1294
distributed ledger	0.1064	0.6772	0.4766	0.4593	0.1056	0.2178	0.7169	0.4729	0.8338	0.3056
digital fiat	0.6758	0.4717	0.8820	0.9073	0.8926	0.3863	0.3462	0.3646	0.2683	0.2560
consensus	0.5748	0.8610	0.0248	0.4499	0.1213	0.6976	0.0001	0.1220	0.0772	0.9201
BTC-USD_vol	0.6931	0.1518	0.1349	0.9072	0.7198	0.9983	0.0068	0.9658	0.4401	0.3173
ETH-USD.vol	0.8302	0.0182	0.2177	0.5473	0.5977	0.9582	0.0103	0.7157	0.7731	0.1990
USDT-USD.vol	0.9718	0.2938	0.0612	0.5901	0.3968	0.9538	0.0439	0.8909	0.5632	0.4050
BNB-USD.vol	0.8680	0.1704	0.2059	0.7025	0.4337	0.0041	0.6148	0.7409	0.6974	0.9243
LTC-USD.vol	0.9636	0.1657	0.6467	0.0371	0.2931	0.7253	0.1516	0.5530	0.1434	0.5489
ENJ-USD.vol	0.3668	0.4262	0.5411	0.7350	0.1881	0.6001	0.9762	0.2902	0.3975	0.4950
ZEN-USD.vol	0.9999	0.0847	0.0284	0.1301	0.7877	0.3356	0.5069	0.8507	0.9785	0.9577
NMC-USD.vol	0.7818	0.4246	0.4463	0.8682	0.1124	0.6994	0.2935	0.6654	0.3983	0.6516
PPC-USD.vol	0.6717	0.0425	0.3916	0.0999	0.7151	0.4440	0.3600	0.7065	0.2625	0.8024
FTC-USD.vol	0.8425	0.6522	0.1023	0.0854	0.6400	0.4782	0.0640	0.1272	0.7489	0.6695

Table 10: *p*-values of Post-double-selection Granger causality LM test from Reddit sentiment to other variables

Gcto GCfrom	binance_reddit	bitcoin_reddit	enjin_reddit	ethereum_reddit	feathercoin_reddit	horizen_reddit	litecoin_reddit	namecoin_reddit	peercoin_reddit	tether_reddit
BTC-USD	0.6490	0.5749	0.7952	0.7392	0.0162	0.9303	0.9640	0.3521	0.4535	0.6762
ETH-USD	0.6510	0.4152	0.2781	0.2011	0.0758	0.9016	0.4627	0.5559	0.8040	0.5978
USDT-USD	0.0434	0.4024	0.5510	0.2960	0.9342	0.7445	0.9259	0.4391	0.7519	0.8106
BNB-USD	0.5846	0.1236	0.8067	0.5063	0.0003	0.3475	0.9164	0.7684	0.0287	0.4639
LTC-USD	0.5551	0.8095	0.5847	0.6245	0.0009	0.5876	0.6108	0.7981	0.2549	0.8514
ENJ-USD	0.1720	0.5678	0.5899	0.3021	0.0248	0.8287	0.8361	0.2641	0.3282	0.0459
ZEN-USD	0.7415	0.0485	0.3935	0.4864	0.0475	0.1194	0.2606	0.2864	0.5880	0.1124
NMC-USD	0.7376	0.2239	0.0255	0.7927	0.6858	0.2549	0.0966	0.2419	0.3208	0.1889
PPC-USD	0.9175	0.8382	0.0492	0.3691	0.0011	0.7565	0.9215	0.5669	0.1147	0.6673
FTC-USD	0.4611	0.0510	0.2478	0.5661	0.0722	0.8019	0.5361	0.4193	0.7658	0.1904
binance_twitter	0.3016	0.5025	0.0191	0.1006	0.6707	0.2966	0.6769	0.6569	0.0541	0.3627
bitcoin_twitter	0.6883	0.2564	0.2780	0.3883	0.2888	0.8810	0.6937	0.3852	0.4587	0.0527
enjin_twitter	0.0217	0.3034	0.2111	0.5200	0.9675	0.5887	0.4704	0.0240	0.0668	0.9908
ethereum_twitter	0.5575	0.4115	0.8155	0.5059	0.5993	0.3075	0.6996	0.8148	0.5905	0.4012
feathercoin_twitter	0.5076	0.4717	0.9325	0.1416	0.3479	0.2080	0.0071	0.4969	0.1132	0.4819
horizen_twitter	0.7657	0.9124	0.2826	0.7095	0.0872	0.8510	0.9174	0.6230	0.5977	0.9491
litecoin_twitter	0.0804	0.3251	0.7399	0.9148	0.1134	0.8502	0.1364	0.1193	0.7239	0.5644
namecoin_twitter	0.0762	0.2868	0.5301	0.8203	0.8946	0.4796	0.1818	0.7366	0.0278	0.4874
peercoin_twitter	0.9871	0.3294	0.3634	0.9812	0.8985	0.2443	0.4211	0.8195	0.7866	0.5209
tether_twitter	0.3473	0.5107	0.3276	0.5045	0.4230	0.6701	0.3549	0.5638	0.7395	0.4016
binance_reddit	NA	0.0074	0.5982	0.0940	0.4055	0.1659	0.0168	0.9259	0.2877	0.9722
bitcoin_reddit	0.8617	NA	0.0396	0.0035	0.0170	0.2755	0.0915	0.3345	0.7027	0.8080
enjin_reddit	0.6899	0.0124	NA	0.8998	0.1595	0.4637	0.5484	0.0188	0.1576	0.9336
ethereum_reddit	0.0034	0.0001	0.1593	NA	0.9247	0.1884	0.0469	0.0136	0.5647	0.5692
feathercoin_reddit	0.6173	0.9384	0.0695	0.0457	NA	0.2614	0.4184	0.3888	0.7616	0.2512
horizen_reddit	0.8246	0.1495	0.9839	0.0070	0.9802	NA	0.6683	0.4089	0.4249	0.4498
litecoin_reddit	0.0658	0.0002	0.5461	0.4101	0.9522	0.2247	NA	0.6164	0.1079	0.0194
namecoin_reddit	0.0102	0.6317	0.1763	0.3161	0.6554	0.1168	0.9148	NA	0.0164	0.1238
peercoin_reddit	0.6017	0.8561	0.5785	0.4710	0.3278	0.9843	0.6041	0.1234	NA	0.1801
tether_reddit	0.6047	0.9786	0.5541	0.7625	0.3930	0.7942	0.3073	0.0042	0.3508	NA
binance_coin	0.2994	0.3339	0.6540	0.2915	0.2537	0.4130	0.0024	0.5475	0.0346	0.6398
crypto_crash	0.9829	0.7047	0.7110	0.8784	0.0001	0.2687	0.9814	0.8713	0.4930	0.2463
miner	0.2503	0.8835	0.8058	0.8159	0.4789	0.3474	0.4953	0.1988	0.4143	0.0389
USD_coin	0.7764	0.0889	0.0187	0.1321	0.8106	0.4035	0.8317	0.2448	0.0216	0.5041
cardano	0.7831	0.9302	0.3779	0.3630	0.0034	0.2814	0.9166	0.1577	0.8480	0.6328
cryptocurrency	0.9764	0.1748	0.2151	0.0113	0.0215	0.4712	0.4463	0.4955	0.9182	0.7650
minted	0.9614	0.0781	0.4962	0.2967	0.3863	0.1412	0.6220	0.5780	0.6831	0.6189
solana	0.6419	0.6476	0.5900	0.6248	0.8410	0.4601	0.6212	0.7741	0.2162	0.6842
feathercoin	0.7856	0.0080	0.7514	0.9825	0.9420	0.9964	0.7204	0.1433	0.3573	0.0417
cryptography	0.2454	0.9760	0.5892	0.5569	0.4638	0.8787	0.1167	0.3347	0.9007	0.4522
dogecoin	0.2900	0.0004	0.2622	0.0064	0.0280	0.8273	0.0441	0.9780	0.7086	0.9650
digital_gold	0.7365	0.1447	0.8024	0.8288	0.7157	0.7786	0.8151	0.9571	0.7435	0.1264
Bitcoin	0.5919	0.5289	0.0401	0.0181	0.2721	0.0699	0.7863	0.7879	0.7983	0.8720
NFT	0.9891	0.0447	0.9048	0.9869	0.0040	0.2173	0.9988	0.7431	0.5836	0.3398
ripple	0.6763	0.7278	0.5541	0.8294	0.5933	0.2928	0.6975	0.7715	0.2012	0.5337
private_key	0.4332	0.9124	0.4249	0.6011	0.5305	0.3144	0.6888	0.0000	0.8677	0.2813
peercoin	0.5794	0.7239	0.1405	0.7151	0.4742	0.2392	0.9847	0.8850	0.4999	0.4968
namecoin	0.1184	0.0184	0.6779	0.0982	0.1126	0.1430	0.9001	0.4028	0.1248	0.2541
satoshi	0.7215	0.3168	0.3788	0.7878	0.1415	0.6646	0.7774	0.0922	0.0053	0.0580
hot_wallet	0.0707	0.7975	0.3375	0.7932	0.9116	0.3362	0.8039	0.2399	0.7290	0.7211
blockchain	0.3047	0.0112	0.3233	0.1376	0.0666	0.5441	0.3690	0.7781	0.2715	0.8976
ethereum	0.8876	0.1368	0.0966	0.3215	0.0000	0.0833	0.6417	0.9604	0.4382	0.9158
zen_coin	0.5206	0.0881	0.1203	0.0757	0.9548	0.8401	0.0672	0.8691	0.9197	0.0894
coinbase	0.4432	0.0207	0.0861	0.0038	0.1051	0.4156	0.3609	0.4592	0.8001	0.1457
BTC	0.8161	0.0790	0.4817	0.8776	0.5372	0.0069	0.6368	0.7545	0.7117	0.8104
hard_fork	0.4211	0.9022	0.4761	0.2127	0.8809	0.9924	0.7434	0.8987	0.7459	0.5645
stablecoin	0.8152	0.0392	0.0988	0.6329	0.6643	0.1781	0.4136	0.8372	0.6247	0.5707
cold_storage	0.4598	0.7226	0.3689	0.5670	0.4642	0.2388	0.2349	0.5179	0.9288	0.8961
coin	0.5338	0.4550	0.7080	0.2174	0.2796	0.4005	0.5509	0.2503	0.6287	0.3292
hash	0.4706	0.1316	0.9177	0.2431	0.8156	0.8129	0.4942	0.4698	0.9315	0.1682
tether	0.7797	0.9060	0.2313	0.3477	0.1724	0.4384	0.6333	0.3970	0.5414	0.6777
litecoin	0.2674	0.6376	0.7509	0.8048	0.1171	0.7526	0.2450	0.3120	0.9666	0.6412
enjin_coin	0.8524	0.2545	0.8180	0.0535	0.0946	0.8839	0.1952	0.1628	0.9111	0.2115
hashing	0.9460	0.2187	0.0746	0.8880	0.3560	0.6763	0.7199	0.3911	0.8885	0.6406
token	0.5129	0.9908	0.8293	0.6380	0.4843	0.3687	0.2607	0.3970	0.7820	0.5039
crypto	0.6042	0.0972	0.1664	0.0671	0.0373	0.5587	0.3189	0.5801	0.5257	0.9642
ICO	0.1847	0.8678	0.1832	0.3347	0.5071	0.6415	0.8833	0.0879	0.8129	0.8119
coin_market	0.5790	0.8545	0.3920	0.8424	0.5729	0.6280	0.6597	0.1108	0.9075	0.6824
soft_fork	0.4795	0.5505	0.5478	0.6459	0.0677	0.1177	0.4669	0.6815	0.7157	0.0018
block_producer	0.3202	0.3623	0.7920	0.0372	0.6525	0.7791	0.9513	0.3789	0.0863	0.4046
distributed_ledger	0.2198	0.1659	0.9087	0.3150	0.5804	0.9496	0.5736	0.1056	0.1256	0.1218
digital_fiat	0.3504	0.8585	0.1294	0.4523	0.7172	0.8056	0.1239	0.6280	0.3468	0.1420
consensus	0.9329	0.5980	0.3054	0.6781	0.4437	0.3079	0.6963	0.2267	0.0539	0.0531
BTC-USD.vol	0.9794	0.1726	0.0586	0.0796	0.3739	0.2771	0.7123	0.7395	0.8830	0.0815
ETH-USD.vol	0.8812	0.4840	0.0841	0.0494	0.3595	0.1572	0.9486	0.9443	0.5026	0.1569
USDT-USD.vol	0.8782	0.0256	0.0296	0.0637	0.2016	0.5154	0.9347	0.5083	0.8106	0.0415
BNB-USD.vol	0.1647	0.3737	0.1669	0.4455	0.9611	0.9427	0.7012	0.9340	0.8812	0.9726
LTC-USD.vol	0.6387	0.0244	0.6301	0.1707	0.0822	0.4796	0.5236	0.8860	0.4430	0.2986
ENJ-USD.vol	0.6992	0.6197	0.6292	0.5137	0.8576	0.7347	0.9672	0.0995	0.1144	0.2575
ZEN-USD.vol	0.4637	0.0505	0.3007	0.3367	0.6024	0.2327	0.1100	0.3214	0.3580	0.9632
NMC-USD.vol	0.0035	0.0837	0.4043	0.0807	0.7069	0.2048	0.4870	0.5559	0.9977	0.2749
PPC-USD.vol	0.9681	0.2028	0.7239	0.5624	0.0594	0.7154	0.9853	0.0296	0.9202	0.4822
FTC-USD.vol	0.9126	0.7379	0.8872	0.9207	0.9066	0.5657	0.8162	0.8388	0.6192	0.7975

Table 11: *p*-values of Post-double-selection Granger causality LM test from Volume to other variables

Gcto GCfrom	BTC-USD.vol	ETH-USD.vol	USDT-USD.vol	BNB-USD.vol	LTC-USD.vol	ENJ-USD.vol	ZEN-USD.vol	NMC-USD.vol	PPC-USD.vol	FTC-USD.vol
BTC-USD	0.4285	0.2040	0.1035	0.0076	0.1270	0.9440	0.9111	0.5741	0.3852	0.7540
ETH-USD	0.3751	0.3762	0.1812	0.6225	0.4007	0.8975	0.6259	0.7745	0.2047	0.9593
USDT-USD	0.0394	0.3672	0.0245	0.4170	0.1595	0.5817	0.7504	0.0224	0.4298	0.4827
BNB-USD	0.1276	0.0598	0.0361	0.3857	0.0943	0.4642	0.9655	0.0021	0.1347	0.8454
LTC-USD	0.0460	0.3050	0.0355	0.0965	0.1551	0.9016	0.6767	0.1582	0.1387	0.6775
ENJ-USD	0.0879	0.0469	0.0070	0.0177	0.0309	0.6038	0.4753	0.7882	0.0925	0.5563
ZEN-USD	0.0526	0.0218	0.0214	0.2155	0.0925	0.6199	0.0741	0.3548	0.4560	0.2485
NMC-USD	0.3271	0.5458	0.5675	0.1612	0.6613	0.3852	0.9786	0.0133	0.8069	0.4959
PPC-USD	0.4082	0.1950	0.4555	0.2910	0.7178	0.6623	0.2226	0.6966	0.1463	0.7791
FTC-USD	0.0188	0.0012	0.0126	0.0823	0.0826	0.7961	0.1825	0.8718	0.8897	0.4579
binance_twitter	0.6496	0.6694	0.5650	0.8522	0.7673	0.9552	0.7964	0.5226	0.3761	0.1815
bitcoin_twitter	0.5083	0.8032	0.2585	0.7420	0.3441	0.1034	0.4094	0.3326	0.0885	0.6875
enjin_twitter	0.8471	0.6550	0.6885	0.8965	0.7260	0.1598	0.2833	0.8763	0.8123	0.3686
etherium_twitter	0.9305	0.2036	0.9729	0.6032	0.6708	0.2566	0.8449	0.1768	0.0139	0.7689
feathercoin_twitter	0.2271	0.3822	0.2634	0.4842	0.8401	0.7611	0.0718	0.3284	0.9371	0.1901
horizen_twitter	0.0342	0.0624	0.0049	0.0011	0.0943	0.2569	0.0022	0.3027	0.7935	0.7720
litecoin_twitter	0.0732	0.4514	0.5763	0.7475	0.8273	0.4747	0.9866	0.6513	0.4298	0.9762
namecoin_twitter	0.5226	0.6241	0.7155	0.8615	0.8546	0.1329	0.8688	0.5213	0.6945	0.7958
peercoin_twitter	0.1182	0.1154	0.2793	0.2588	0.2345	0.2260	0.9807	0.2259	0.3138	0.7869
tether_twitter	0.5719	0.7046	0.8761	0.5646	0.9422	0.4827	0.8046	0.2573	0.2637	0.9184
binance_reddit	0.8505	0.6867	0.6462	0.6421	0.9327	0.3752	0.8229	0.5030	0.8442	0.0008
bitcoin_reddit	0.0523	0.7124	0.7319	0.8014	0.6673	0.0788	0.9135	0.7375	0.0729	0.4891
enjin_reddit	0.2345	0.7225	0.3328	0.6972	0.7382	0.9602	0.2970	0.1516	0.6985	0.9444
ethereum_reddit	0.0142	0.4270	0.5509	0.9805	0.4062	0.6279	0.6412	0.1812	0.6234	0.3045
feathercoin_reddit	0.0478	0.0696	0.2066	0.9613	0.4141	0.9436	0.0151	0.8839	0.3658	0.1721
horizen_reddit	0.1343	0.2394	0.2839	0.9423	0.0954	0.3787	0.2212	0.6524	0.7528	0.7723
litecoin_reddit	0.5617	0.7762	0.8381	0.9978	0.8776	0.0338	0.6787	0.1220	0.7442	0.3543
namecoin_reddit	0.3859	0.6345	0.1390	0.6970	0.3665	0.3975	0.4890	0.2765	0.7093	0.8338
peercoin_reddit	0.3535	0.2973	0.1909	0.9201	0.7866	0.0812	0.1712	0.0791	0.1725	0.2772
tether_reddit	0.7572	0.4570	0.8763	0.9524	0.5835	0.2375	0.6782	0.5091	0.1027	0.8731
binance_coin	0.4657	0.3887	0.2116	0.5002	0.0242	0.9713	0.7909	0.0872	0.5812	0.8036
crypto_crash	0.3252	0.0075	0.1114	0.7650	0.0127	0.5786	0.6972	0.4862	0.0002	0.7896
miner	0.3695	0.0756	0.6606	0.9977	0.2836	0.9908	0.0749	0.9332	0.5673	0.2072
USD_coin	0.0040	0.0261	0.0020	0.2067	0.0039	0.1276	0.8474	0.1049	0.3549	0.0304
cardano	0.0612	0.1818	0.0433	0.7445	0.0654	0.6280	0.0623	0.8432	0.7648	0.6225
cryptocurrency	0.0443	0.0006	0.0023	0.4431	0.0310	0.7356	0.3900	0.4141	0.4391	0.8441
minted	0.1533	0.1233	0.1424	0.1922	0.4464	0.4659	0.1948	0.3541	0.9067	0.8170
solana	0.4350	0.3274	0.6674	0.6826	0.6486	0.4298	0.9597	0.8354	0.8515	0.1101
feathercoin	0.9341	0.7550	0.8798	0.0061	0.3923	0.8571	0.3049	0.1966	0.3088	0.6064
cryptography	0.0001	0.0049	0.0000	0.3210	0.0774	0.8986	0.0526	0.7511	0.3581	0.2711
dogecoin	0.0152	0.0048	0.0183	0.4301	0.0181	0.3140	0.2675	0.4381	0.4478	0.8318
digital_gold	0.0106	0.0612	0.0130	0.0520	0.0028	0.8655	0.8759	0.6721	0.3912	0.3434
Bitcoin	0.0008	0.0002	0.0003	0.9775	0.0874	0.5390	0.6651	0.9751	0.6542	0.7775
NFT	0.0168	0.0242	0.0154	0.7478	0.0179	0.7931	0.5051	0.9631	0.1214	0.2062
ripple	0.1916	0.2840	0.4740	0.1208	0.4165	0.6319	0.1985	0.5323	0.1049	0.4354
private_key	0.0172	0.0119	0.0029	0.3754	0.1041	0.1045	0.6450	0.8844	0.1006	0.0710
peercoin	0.6156	0.4333	0.6224	0.7550	0.0404	0.0951	0.6624	0.8133	0.0000	0.8569
namecoin	0.2319	0.0130	0.3100	0.6094	0.2251	0.0816	0.1816	0.6161	0.6859	0.4534
satoshi	0.0145	0.0904	0.0040	0.1417	0.0019	0.5589	0.1936	0.2889	0.4196	0.0616
hot_wallet	0.6243	0.3386	0.6166	0.0184	0.5466	0.9157	0.4923	0.9377	0.1872	0.5798
blockchain	0.3693	0.3014	0.2509	0.8575	0.2406	0.5028	0.0812	0.6919	0.2843	0.7424
ethereum	0.0023	0.0001	0.0000	0.8153	0.0028	0.7266	0.0098	0.3730	0.5688	0.5747
zen_coin	0.0860	0.4967	0.1826	0.9928	0.1747	0.5511	0.7401	0.8868	0.9089	0.5085
coinbase	0.0002	0.0000	0.0001	0.7880	0.1245	0.4326	0.0052	0.5600	0.1244	0.3437
BTC	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.7923	0.0000	0.5018	0.1289	0.5378	0.5574	0.8746
hard_fork	0.7006	0.1778	0.3790	0.4508	0.1438	0.3219	0.4376	0.5364	0.1437	0.8365
stablecoin	0.0649	0.1285	0.0331	0.1504	0.1008	0.6498	0.1073	0.1693	0.4644	0.5789
cold_storage	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.5024	0.0013	0.5624	0.0174	0.6038	0.6207	0.8655
coin	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0474	0.0011	0.0240	0.0082	0.3114	0.7081	0.3721
hash	0.0007	0.0157	0.0210	0.4702	0.2266	0.5799	0.9762	0.0574	0.2057	0.7810
tether	0.1546	0.0189	0.0421	0.9706	0.0746	0.3558	0.5623	0.9917	0.3505	0.5671
litecoin	0.0626	0.0011	0.0006	0.0000	0.0055	0.0207	0.1481	0.4020	0.9960	0.9071
enjin_coin	0.0596	0.3111	0.3243	0.1006	0.1710	0.0000	0.6518	0.0559	0.9434	0.2782
hashing	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.4573	0.0046	0.3344	0.4514	0.1547	0.3340	0.5922
token	0.0002	0.0058	0.0010	0.8487	0.1697	0.0991	0.2591	0.3528	0.8180	0.1829
crypto	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.9201	0.0030	0.3723	0.1607	0.2976	0.1012	0.3163
ICO	0.0800	0.0083	0.0156	0.1037	0.0814	0.0926	0.7811	0.7994	0.6019	0.4251
coin_market	0.0013	0.0023	0.0002	0.4380	0.0001	0.7448	0.5298	0.4680	0.3202	0.5332
soft_fork	0.0705	0.0147	0.0146	0.0546	0.3695	0.5942	0.6413	0.5173	0.1524	0.0963
block_producer	0.0186	0.0692	0.0966	0.4160	0.7026	0.4084	0.0012	0.2283	0.2444	0.5688
distributed_ledger	0.0000	0.0003	0.0006	0.1367	0.5447	0.0096	0.0598	0.0207	0.6033	0.1582
digital_fiat	0.3668	0.1305	0.2920	0.7814	0.1757	0.0035	0.1034	0.1989	0.3505	0.9097
consensus	0.1484	0.0414	0.1733	0.8250	0.2027	0.2676	0.3028	0.9427	0.0039	0.7434
FTC-USD.vol	NA	0.0016	0.0169	0.4498	0.0976	0.5810	0.4332	0.3715	0.1185	0.3966
ETH-USD.vol	0.0211	NA	0.6881	0.9887	0.7813	0.2604	0.3309	0.1331	0.2320	0.8606
USDT-USD.vol	0.0236	0.2817	NA	0.9615	0.7748	0.4356	0.4442	0.1210	0.6740	0.9159
BNB-USD.vol	0.0407	0.0067	0.0001	NA	0.0133	0.7227	0.0064	0.6081	0.3888	0.8414
LTC-USD.vol	0.0142	0.0008	0.0585	0.0011	NA	0.8935	0.0882	0.5584	0.6791	0.8767
ENJ-USD.vol	0.0494	0.0905	0.0179	0.1367	0.6793	NA	0.4163	0.5028	0.7517	0.6452
ZEN-USD.vol	0.2776	0.1236	0.0562	0.4521	0.1355	0.3684	NA	0.2235	0.2593	0.0891
NMC-USD.vol	0.1121	0.0383	0.4738	0.8830	0.0831	0.2012	0.1662	NA	0.6787	0.5602
PPC-USD.vol	0.9280	0.4225	0.3448	0.0272	0.3291	0.7256	0.6997	0.4033	NA	0.9909
FTC-USD.vol	0.0690	0.1190	0.1657	0.5200	0.0164	0.5960	0.4863	0.0535	0.1750	NA