

**TAME AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS
WITH PROPERTY (T) AND INFINITELY MANY
ALTERNATING GROUP QUOTIENTS**

PIERRE-EMMANUEL CAPRACE AND MARTIN KASSABOV

ABSTRACT. We construct new families of groups with property (T) and infinitely many alternating group quotients. One of those consists of subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ generated by a suitable set of tame automorphisms. Finite quotients are constructed using the natural action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ on the n -dimensional affine spaces over finite extensions of \mathbf{F}_p . As a consequence, we obtain explicit presentations of Gromov hyperbolic groups with property (T) and infinitely many alternating group quotients. Our construction also yields an explicit infinite family of expander Cayley graphs of degree 4 for alternating groups of degree $p^7 - 1$ for any odd prime p .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
3. Bounding representation angles for some nilpotent groups	11
4. On tame automorphisms of the affine space	14
5. A family of Kazhdan groups of tame automorphisms	16
6. Constructing polynomial transvections	19
7. Constructing finite quotients	21
8. Alternating groups as expanders	26
9. Constructing larger finite quotients	27
References	37

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent works by M. Kaluba, P. Nowak, N. Ozawa [17], M. Kaluba, D. Kielak, P. Nowak [16] and M. Nitsche [20] showed that the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ of the free group of rank n has Kazhdan's property (T) for all $n \geq 4$. Earlier, R. Gilman [12] had proved that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ has infinitely many alternating group quotients for all $n \geq 3$. To the best of our knowledge, this is up to now the only known family of group with property (T) and with infinitely many alternating group quotients. The existence of families of groups with property (τ) (which is weaker variant of (T)) and infinitely many alternating group quotients follows from the

Date: May 8, 2023.

PEC is supported in part by the FWO and the F.R.S.-FNRS under the EOS programme (project ID 40007542).

MK is supported in part by Simons Foundation Grant 713557.

work of the second author [18]. The goal of this paper is to provide an explicit construction of other families of groups with property (T) and with infinitely many alternating group quotients. As an application of our methods, we also provide an explicit construction of a triple of permutations which generate $\text{Alt}(p^3 - 1)$ for any odd prime p , such that the resulting Cayley graphs are expanders, and another explicit pair of permutations in $\text{Alt}(p^7 - 1)$ with the same properties.

1.1. Kazhdan groups with infinitely many alternating group quotients.

To describe our construction, we fix a prime p and an integer $n \geq 3$. We consider the commutative ring $\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of polynomials in n indeterminates with coefficients in the field \mathbf{F}_p of order p . For each integer $e \geq 1$ and all $i = 1, \dots, n$, we consider the automorphism $\tau_i^{(e)} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ defined by the assignments

$$\tau_i^{(e)} : x_\ell \mapsto \begin{cases} x_i + (x_{i+1})^e & \text{if } \ell = i < n \\ x_n + (x_1)^e & \text{if } \ell = i = n \\ x_\ell & \text{if } \ell \neq i \end{cases}$$

Those automorphisms can be thought of as *polynomial transvections*.

For every n -tuple $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ of strictly positive integers, we associate the group

$$G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}} = \langle \tau_1^{(e_1)}, \dots, \tau_n^{(e_n)} \rangle \leq \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]).$$

The number n is called the **rank** of $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$. By definition the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ consists of **tame automorphisms** of the ring $\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (see [25] for more details on background and terminology on automorphism groups of polynomial rings). It is easy to see that the rank n group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (1, 1, \dots, 1)}$ is isomorphic to $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$. The isomorphism maps the generator $\tau_i^{(1)}$ on the unipotent matrix $1 + E_{i+1, i}$ for $i < n$ (resp. $1 + E_{1, n}$ if $i = n$). In particular $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (1, 1, \dots, 1)}$ is a quasi-simple finite group. However, as soon as $\max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \geq 2$, the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ is residually a finite p -group, see Proposition 7.2 below. Using criteria due to Ershov–Jaikin [9] and Kassabov [19], we shall prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a tuple of positive integers. For each prime p such that $p > 4 \max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ has Kazhdan’s property (T).*

We refer to Section 5 for more general results as well as estimates of the Kazhdan constants. The bound for p in Theorem 1.1 is not the best possible and can be slightly improved when the exponents e_i are not all equal. It should be underlined that when $p > \max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} > 1$, the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ is infinite; indeed it contains an infinite elementary abelian p -group (see Corollary 6.3 below).

Our next goal is to construct a family of large finite quotients of those groups. The source of such finite quotients is provided by the natural action of the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ on the points of the affine space k^n , where k is a finite field of characteristic p : a point $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$ may be viewed as a homomorphism of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras $\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k : f \mapsto f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. By pre-composing such a homomorphism by an element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$, we obtain a natural permutation action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ on the finite set k^n . In particular we obtain a homomorphism

$$G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}} \rightarrow \text{Sym}(k^n),$$

which takes its values in $\text{Alt}(k^n)$ if $p > 2$, since the group is generated by elements of order p . This permutation action is not transitive: it fixes the origin $(0, \dots, 0)$ and preserves the subset F^n for each intermediate field $\mathbf{F}_p \subset F \subset k$. Moreover, the action of $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ commutes with the natural action of the Galois group $\text{Aut}(k)$, which is generated by the Frobenius automorphism. Under suitable assumptions on the parameters, we will show that $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ acts t -transitively on a suitable quotient of a large subset of k^n , with $t \geq 4$. It is a well known consequence of the Classification of the Finite Simple Groups (CFSG) that a finite 4-transitive group on a set of cardinality ≥ 25 is the full alternating or symmetric group on that set (see [6, Th. 4.11]). The following result will be derived.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a tuple of positive integers. Suppose that $E = e_1 \dots e_n \geq 2$. For each prime $p \geq 3E - 2$, the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ has a quotient isomorphic to $\text{Alt}(d)$ for infinitely many degrees d .*

By considering suitable special cases, we shall obtain the following result, where the degrees of the alternating group quotients are explicit (the extra assumptions in part (ii) are needed to ensure that the alternating quotient is of the correct size).

Corollary 1.3.

- (i) *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and $n \geq 3$ an integer. Then rank n group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (1, 1, \dots, 1, 2)}$ has a quotient isomorphic to $\text{Alt}(\frac{p^{n\ell} - p^n}{\ell})$ for each prime $\ell \geq 3$.*
- (ii) *Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, x_2, x_3])$ be the automorphism defined by $\sigma(x_i) = x_{i+1}$, where indices are taken modulo 3. Let $\tilde{G} = \langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (2, 2, 2)}$. If $p \geq 23$ and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, then the group \tilde{G} has a quotient isomorphic to $\text{Alt}(\frac{p^{3\ell} - p^3}{\ell})$ for each prime $\ell \geq 5$.*

The dependence on the CFSG can actually be removed — indeed, the proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on Theorem 9.9, which provides a t -transitive action of the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ on a finite set of size d , with $t \approx d^{1/n}$. In view of results of L. Pyber [22] (or earlier results of L. Babai [1]), that do not depend on the CFSG, it follows that the image of the group contains the alternating group $\text{Alt}(d)$ as soon as d is large enough.

1.2. Hyperbolic Kazhdan groups. As a consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we obtain examples of hyperbolic groups with Kazhdan's property (T) admitting infinitely many alternating group quotients. Indeed, for $1 \leq e_1, e_2, e_3 \leq 2$, the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (e_1, e_2, e_3)}$ is a quotient of a generalized triangle group considered in [7], and called a **KMS group**. If $\max\{e_1, e_2, e_3\} = 2$, that KMS group is hyperbolic and has property (T) if $p \geq 11$ (see [7, Sec. 7]). As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, it has infinitely many alternating group quotients as soon as p is sufficiently large. In particular, we obtain a positive answer to the first part of [7, Question 1.6]. As a more specific illustration, one can observe that the KMS group¹

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{HC_2^{(1)}}(p) = \langle & a, b, c \mid a^p, b^p, c^p, [[a, b, a], [a, b, b], \\ & [[b, c, b], [b, c, c], [a, c, a], [a, c, c, a], [a, c, c, c]] \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

¹Here $[g, h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$ is the group commutator, and $[[g, h_1, h_2], [g, h_1, h_2, h_3]]$ denote the left normed commutators, i.e., $[[g, h_1], h_2], [[[g, h_1], h_2], h_3]$.

maps onto $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (1,1,2)}$ by sending the triple (a, b, c) to $(\tau_3^{(2)}, \tau_2^{(1)}, \tau_1^{(1)})$, see Corollary 4.2 below. Similarly, the group

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{HBC_2^{(3)}}(p) = \langle t, a, b \mid t^3, a^p, tat^{-1}b^{-1}, [[a, b, a], [a, b, b, a], [a, b, b, b]] \rangle$$

naturally maps onto the group $\tilde{G} = \langle \sigma \rangle \times G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (2,2,2)}$ from Corollary 1.3, by sending (t, a, b) to $(\sigma, \tau_1^{(2)}, \tau_2^{(2)})$. (The notation is borrowed from [7].) By [7, Theorem 1.3], those two finitely presented groups are infinite hyperbolic as soon as p is an odd prime; they have property (T) if $p \geq 7$ (resp. $p \geq 11$). In view of Corollary 1.3, we deduce the following.

Corollary 1.4. *If $p \geq 5$ be a prime, the hyperbolic groups $\mathcal{G}_{HC_2^{(1)}}(p)$ maps onto $\text{Alt}(\frac{p^{3\ell}-p^3}{\ell})$ for each prime $\ell \geq 3$.*

If $p \geq 23$ and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{HBC_2^{(3)}}(p)$ maps onto $\text{Alt}(\frac{p^{3\ell}-p^3}{\ell})$ for each prime $\ell \geq 5$.

To our knowledge, these are the first explicit presentations of hyperbolic Kazhdan groups with infinitely many alternating group quotients. It should be noted that, as a consequence of [4, Cor. 1.2], every finitely presented Kazhdan group is a quotient of a hyperbolic Kazhdan group. Therefore, the very existence of hyperbolic Kazhdan groups with infinitely many alternating quotients can be established using the fact that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is finitely presented, has (T) for $n \geq 4$, and has infinitely many alternating group quotients.

1.3. Alternating groups as expanders. We recall that, given a infinite group G with Kazhdan's property (T), Cayley graphs of finite quotients of G naturally form expander graphs (see [3, Theorem 6.1.8]). In particular, the results mentioned above yield examples of families of expander graphs arising as Cayley graphs for suitable generating sets of alternating groups. For example, the groups in Corollary 1.3 are respectively n -generated and 2-generated, so we obtain expander Cayley graphs of degree $2n$ (for any $n \geq 3$) and 4 for an infinite family of alternating groups.

The flexibility of our construction allows us to provide such Cayley graphs for a larger collection of alternating groups. Indeed, we shall consider a more general family of groups defined as analogues of the groups mentioned so far, constructed as subgroups of $\text{Aut}(R[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ generated by polynomial transvections, where R is an arbitrary commutative unital ring. In particular, we shall construction a subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}[1/30][x_1, \dots, x_n])$ with property (T) (see Theorem 5.2). Using the functoriality properties of the construction, we show that for each prime $p \geq 7$, the group G acts by permutations on a set of cardinality $p^n - 1$, so that the image contains the natural image of $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (1,1,\dots,1,2)}$, which is $\text{Alt}(p^n - 1)$. This will lead us to the following construction of expanding generating sets for the family of alternating groups $\text{Alt}(p^3 - 1)$ (resp. $\text{Alt}(p^7 - 1)$) indexed by the prime p .

Theorem 1.5. *Let p be an odd prime prime.*

(i) *The permutations*

$$\sigma(x, y, z) = (y, z, x) \quad \alpha(x, y, z) = (x + y, y, z) \quad \beta(x, y, z) = (x + y^2, y, z),$$

acting on the set $\mathbf{F}_p^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ of cardinality $p^3 - 1$, generate the full alternating group $\text{Alt}(p^3 - 1)$. The associated Cayley graphs form expanders of degree 6.

(ii) *The permutations*

$$\rho(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_1)$$

$$\gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = (x_1 + x_2, x_2, x_3, x_4 + x_6^2, x_5, x_6, x_7)$$

acting on the set $\mathbf{F}_p^7 \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ of cardinality $p^7 - 1$, generate the full alternating group $\text{Alt}(p^7 - 1)$. The associated Cayley graphs form expanders of degree 4.

Although the proof of that result relies on the methods introduced to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we do not know if the groups generated by σ, α, β (resp. ρ, γ) have a common cover with Kazhdan's property (T).

Let us briefly compare those results with the corresponding results for $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ mentioned above. In the case of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$, the existence of infinitely many alternating group quotients is established by a direct argument in [12]; it does not rely on the CFSG (which was actually not available at the time). The fact that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ has property (T) for $n \geq 4$ is a recent achievement building upon Ozawa's criterion [21], that relies on a fair amount of computer calculations, see [17, 16, 20]. For the groups $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)}$ considered in this paper, the proof of property (T) is a rather straightforward consequence of known criteria from [9, 19]. The construction of finite quotients that are realized as multiply transitive permutation groups (of arbitrarily large degree) is elementary and self-contained, though it is quite technical at some places.

This family of expander Cayley graphs for alternating groups cover a "denser" set of degrees, compared to the expanders obtained by using the fact that $\text{Aut}(F_4)$ has property (T). Indeed, the latter group has a family of alternating group quotients in degree approximately equal to p^{12} , where p is prime.

It should be noted that once one constructs expanding generating sets (of bounded size) for a family $\text{Alt}(n_k)$ of alternating groups, where n_k grows not faster than exponentially, it is possible to modify the construction to obtain expanding generating sets (of bounded size) for all alternating groups.

Acknowledgements. We thank Jack Button and Piotr Przytycki for their comments on a preliminary version of this paper. We are grateful to Greg Kuperberg for pointing out a similarity between the expanding generating sets from Theorem 1.5 and the Toffoli gate in reversible computing. We also thank the anonymous referee for numerous suggestions which significantly improved the the paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. A family of nilpotent groups. Let R be a commutative ring² with a unit, and $c \geq 0$ be an integer. We let $R[x]$ be the R -module consisting of the polynomials in the indeterminate x with coefficients in R , and $R[x]_{\leq c} \cong R^{c+1}$ be the submodule consisting of the polynomials of degree at most c .

For each $r \in R$, we consider the automorphism $y(r) : R[x] \rightarrow R[x]$ acting by a change of coordinates, i.e., $y(r)(P(x)) = P(x + r)$. Clearly $y(r)$ preserves $R[x]_{\leq c}$, and the map $r \mapsto y(r)$ is an injective homomorphism of R to $\text{Aut}(R[x]_{\leq c})$, so that the semi-direct product

$$\Gamma_{c,R} = R[x]_{\leq c} \rtimes R \cong R^{c+1} \rtimes R$$

²All rings considered in the paper are associative with a unit.

is well defined. Once c is fixed, we set $X_i(R) = \{rx^{c-i} \mid r \in R\} \cong R$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, c\}$ and $Y(R) = \{y(r) \mid r \in R\} \cong R$, which we view as subgroups of $\Gamma_{c,R}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The group $\Gamma_{c,R}$ is nilpotent of class at most $c + 1$. If $c!$ is a nonzero element in R , then the nilpotency class is exactly $c + 1$. In addition, if $c!$ is invertible in R , then the center of $\Gamma_{c,R}$ is $X_c(R)$, and $\Gamma_{c,R} = \langle X_0(R) \cup Y(R) \rangle$.*

Proof. For $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, c\}$ and $r \in R$, we let $P_n(r) = rx^{c-n} \in R[x]_{\leq c}$ and view it as an element of $\Gamma_{c,R}$. For all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, c\}$ and $r, s \in R$, we compute the commutator

$$\begin{aligned} [P_{c-n}(r), y(s)] &= -rx^n + r(x+s)^n \\ &= -rx^n + r \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} s^i x^{n-i} \\ &= r \left(nsx^{n-1} + \binom{n}{2} s^2 x^{n-2} + \dots + ns^{n-1}x + s^n \right) \\ &\in R[x]_{\leq n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $[R[x]_{\leq n}, Y(R)] \leq R[x]_{\leq n-1}$. The groups $R[x]_{\leq c}$ and $Y(R)$ being both abelian, we have $[\Gamma_{c,R}, \Gamma_{c,R}] = [R[x]_{\leq c}, Y(R)]$, and we infer that $\Gamma_{c,R}$ is indeed nilpotent of class $\leq c + 1$.

The computation above shows that the k -fold iterated commutator

$$[\dots[[P_0(1), y(1)], y(1)], \dots, y(1)]$$

is a polynomial of degree $c - k$, and the coefficient of its leading term is equal to $c(c-1)\dots(c-k+1)$. Therefore, if $c! \neq 0$ in the ring R , it follows that the $c+1$ -st term of the lower central series of $\Gamma_{c,R}$ contains a non-trivial element, which is actually contained in $X_c(R)$. It follows that the nilpotency class of $\Gamma_{c,R}$ is at least $c + 1$, hence it is equal to $c + 1$ by the first part of the proof.

If $c!$ is invertible in R , then n is invertible for all $n \in \{1, \dots, c\}$. Given a polynomial $P \in R[x]_{\leq c}$ with degree $d \geq 1$ and leading coefficient r , the leading coefficient of $[P, y(1)]$ is $rd \neq 0$. Therefore the center of $\Gamma_{c,R}$ is contained in $X_c(R)$, and thus equal to $X_c(R)$ by the first part of the proof above.

The fact that $\Gamma_{c,R} = \langle X_0(R) \cup Y(R) \rangle$ when $c!$ is invertible follows by a similar argument. \square

Remark 2.2. For the sake of future references, we record that, for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, c\}$ and all $r, s \in R$, the commutation relation

$$[P_{c-n}(r), y(s)] = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} P_{c-n+i}(rs^i)$$

has been established in the course of the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Observation 2.3. One can view the groups $\Gamma_{c,R}$ as the R points of a nilpotent group scheme Γ_c , which is defined over \mathbf{Z} , and can be evaluated over all commutative rings. In the case $c = 1$ the group $\Gamma_{1,R}$ is the Heisenberg group over R , which can be viewed as a maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_3(R)$. In the case $c = 2$ the group $\Gamma_{2,R}$ is isomorphic to a maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(R)$. Finally, when $c = 3$, the group is a quotient of the maximal unipotent subgroup of the simple

group of type G_2 by its center. This observation will not be needed in the rest of the paper.

2.2. Kazhdan's property (T) and Kazhdan constants. Let us briefly recall the definition of Kazhdan's property (T). For more details and background, we refer to [3].

Let G be a discrete group and (π, V) be a unitary representation of G . Given a subset $Q \subset G$ and a real $\epsilon > 0$, a vector $v \in V$ is said to be (Q, ϵ) -invariant if $\sup_{g \in Q} \|\pi(g)v - v\| < \epsilon\|v\|$. We say that (π, V) has **almost invariant vectors** if for all finite subset $Q \subset G$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a (Q, ϵ) -invariant vector. We say that G has **Kazhdan's property (T)** if every unitary representation of G with almost invariant vectors has a non-zero invariant vector.

Let $Q \subset G$ be a finite subset. Given a unitary representation π of G , the **Kazhdan constant associated with Q and π** is defined as

$$\kappa(G, Q, \pi) = \inf \left\{ \max_{g \in Q} \|\pi(g)v - v\| : v \in V, \|v\| = 1 \right\}.$$

Thus π has almost invariant factors if and only if $\kappa(G, Q, \pi) = 0$ for all finite subsets $Q \subset G$. The infimum of $\kappa(G, Q, \pi)$ taken over all equivalence classes of unitary representations π without any non-zero invariant vector is called the **Kazhdan constant** associated with Q . It is denoted by $\kappa(G, Q)$. We end this subsection by recording a useful consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a group and $Q \subset G$ be a generating set Q such that $\kappa(G, Q) > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. For any unitary representation (π, V) of G , each (Q, ϵ) -invariant unit vector $v \in V$ is also $(G, \frac{2}{\kappa(G, Q)}\epsilon)$ -invariant.*

Proof. Let $v = v_0 + v_1$ be the decomposition of v according to the G -invariant decomposition $V = V^G \oplus (V^G)^\perp$. If $v_1 = 0$, then v is G -invariant and we are done. We assume henceforth that $v_1 \neq 0$. Since the orthogonal projection map $V \rightarrow (V^G)^\perp$ is 1-Lipschitz, it follows that v_1 is (Q, ϵ) -invariant, hence the unit vector $\frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|}$ is $(Q, \frac{\epsilon}{\|v_1\|})$ -invariant. Since G does not have non-zero invariant vector in $(V^G)^\perp$, we have $\frac{\epsilon}{\|v_1\|} \geq \kappa(G, Q) > 0$, hence $\|v_1\| \leq \epsilon/\kappa(G, Q)$. Since v_0 is fixed by G , we have $\|\pi(g)(v) - v\| = \|\pi(g)(v_1) - v_1\| \leq 2\|v_1\|$ for all $g \in G$. The result follows. \square

2.3. The representation angle. We now review a method for proving that a given group has Kazhdan's property (T), and for estimating the Kazhdan constant with respect to a suitable generating set. The genesis of that method goes back to the work by Dymara–Januszkiewicz [8]. It was formalized by Ershov–Jaikin–Zapirain [9], and improved by Kassabov [19]. The method is based on the notion of the **angle** formed by subspaces in a Hilbert space. The definitions are as follows.

Let V be a Hilbert space and V_1, V_2 be closed subspaces with $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$. If $V_1 \neq \{0\} \neq V_2$, the **angle** formed by V_1 and V_2 , denoted by $\angle(V_1, V_2)$, is the unique $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$ such that $\cos(\alpha) = \sup\{|\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle| : v_i \in V_i, \|v_i\| = 1\}$. If $V_1 = \{0\}$ or $V_2 = \{0\}$ then we set $\angle(V_1, V_2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Observe that $\cos(\alpha) = \sup\{|\operatorname{Re}(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle)| : v_i \in V_i, \|v_i\| = 1\}$. This means that $\angle(V_1, V_2)$ could equivalently be defined in terms of the geometry of the real Hilbert space $V_{\mathbf{R}}$, which the real vector space V endowed with the inner product $\langle v, w \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(\langle v, w \rangle)$.

Let now H be a group and $X, Y \leq H$ be a pair of subgroups such that $H = \langle X \cup Y \rangle$. Given a unitary representation (π, V) of H without any non-zero invariant vectors, we denote by V^X and V^Y be the subspaces of X - and Y -invariant vectors, and we set

$$\angle_{\pi}(H; X, Y) = \angle(V^X, V^Y).$$

The infimum of $\angle_{\pi}(H; X, Y)$ taken over all equivalence classes of unitary representations π without any non-zero invariant vector is called the **representation angle** associated with X, Y . It is denoted by

$$\angle(H; X, Y).$$

By the spectral interpretation of the representation angle (see [19]), that quantity behaves well under direct sums: we have

$$\angle_{\oplus \pi_i}(H; X, Y) = \min_i \angle_{\pi_i}(H; X, Y).$$

In particular, if H is finite, then the representation angle $\angle(H; X, Y)$ coincides with the infimum of $\angle_{\pi}(H; X, Y)$ taken over all equivalence classes of non-trivial irreducible representations of H .

We also set

$$\varepsilon(H; X, Y) = \cos(\angle(H; X, Y)).$$

As mentioned earlier, the machinery initiated in [8] shows that if a group G is generated by a collection X_1, \dots, X_n of finite subgroups such that

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon(\langle X_i, X_j \rangle; X_i, X_j) < 2^{-n-1}$$

for all $i \neq j$ then the group G has property (T). An explicit, and numerically efficient, incarnation of this phenomenon is provided by Theorem 1.2 from [19], which shows that if the symmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\varepsilon_{12} & -\varepsilon_{13} & \dots & -\varepsilon_{1n} \\ -\varepsilon_{21} & 1 & -\varepsilon_{23} & \dots & -\varepsilon_{2n} \\ -\varepsilon_{31} & -\varepsilon_{32} & 1 & \dots & -\varepsilon_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\varepsilon_{n1} & -\varepsilon_{n2} & -\varepsilon_{n3} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive definite, then the group G has property (T). Moreover the Kazhdan constant of G with respect to the generating set $Q = \bigcup_i X_i$ is related to the smallest eigenvalues of that matrix.

The positive definiteness and the smallest eigenvalue of a symmetric real matrix with non-positive off-diagonal entries can be studied with the tools from [15, §4.0–§4.5] that are based on convexity arguments. The set up from loc. cit. also requires the matrix to be indecomposable³, which is typically the case for the matrix A above. We illustrate this with the following special case, which is the most relevant for our purposes.

³The matrix is **indecomposable** if it can not be conjugated to a block diagonal matrix by a permutation matrix.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n > 0$ be positive real numbers, and consider the symmetric $n \times n$ matrix*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\alpha_n \\ -\alpha_1 & 1 & -\alpha_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha_2 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -\alpha_{n-1} \\ -\alpha_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\alpha_{n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let λ_{\min} denote the smallest eigenvalue of A and set

$$M = \max \{ \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} \mid i = 1, \dots, n \},$$

where the indices are taken modulo n . The following assertions hold.

- (i) $\lambda_{\min} \geq 1 - M$. In particular, if $M < 1$ then A is positive definite.
- (ii) $\lambda_{\min} = 1 - M$ if and only if $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+2}$ for all i (modulo n).
- (iii) Assume that $n \geq 4$ and that $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha$ and $\alpha_n = \beta$. Then $\lambda_{\min} \geq \lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfying $\lambda \leq 1 - 2\alpha$, $\lambda < 1 - \beta$ and

$$\alpha^2 \leq (1 - \alpha - \lambda)(1 - \beta - \lambda).$$

In particular, if $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta < 1$ and $\alpha^2 < (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)$ then A is positive definite.

Proof. By hypothesis, the matrix A is symmetric, indecomposable with non-positive off-diagonal entries, so the conditions from [15, §4.0] are satisfied. Moreover, the same holds for the matrix $A - \lambda I$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Given a vector $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^\top \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we write $v > 0$ (resp. $v \geq 0$) if $v_i > 0$ for all i (resp. $v_i \geq 0$ for all i).

Assume that there exists $v > 0$ in \mathbf{R}^n with $(A - \lambda I)v \geq 0$. We may then invoke [15, Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.5], showing that two cases can occur: either $A - \lambda I$ is positive definite, or $A - \lambda I$ is semi-positive definite of rank $n - 1$ and $(A - \lambda I)v = 0$. In both cases we have that $A - \lambda I$ is semi-positive definite, i.e., $\lambda_{\min} \geq \lambda$.

Applying this observation to the vector $v = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^\top > 0$ and the scalar $\lambda = 1 - M$, the assertion (i) follows.

Moreover, if we assume in addition that $\lambda = 1 - M$ is an eigenvalue of A , then $A - \lambda I$ is not positive definite, so that we must have $(A - \lambda I)v = 0$ by the above. By the definition of v , this implies that $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+2}$ for all i (modulo n). Conversely, if $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+2}$ for all i , then $1 - M$ is an eigenvalue of A with eigenvector v , so that $\lambda_{\min} = 1 - M$ by (i). This proves (ii).

To prove (iii), we apply the same argument as above, this time with the vector $w = (s, 1, \dots, 1, s)^\top$ for some $s > 0$ which remains to be determined. We compute that for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $(A - \lambda I)w \geq 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} s(1 - \beta - \lambda) & \geq \alpha \\ s\alpha & \leq 1 - \alpha - \lambda \\ \lambda & \leq 1 - 2\alpha \end{cases}$$

We see that a real number $s > 0$ satisfying those inequalities exists provided λ satisfies $\lambda \leq 1 - 2\alpha$, $\lambda < 1 - \beta$ and $\alpha^2 \leq (1 - \alpha - \lambda)(1 - \beta - \lambda)$. If these three conditions hold, then we obtain $\lambda_{\min} \geq \lambda$ by the first paragraph above. Clearly, if $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta < 1$ and $\alpha^2 < (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)$, then any sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ satisfies those three inequalities, so that A is indeed positive definite in that case. \square

2.4. Finite fields. We need a few technical results about finite fields, which have relatively easy proofs. Throughout this section, we let p denote a prime. Given a field k containing \mathbf{F}_p and an element $\beta \in k$, we denote by $\mathbf{F}_p(\beta)$ the subfield of k generated by the element β .

Lemma 2.6. *Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer, let $p > N$ be a prime and let \mathbf{F}_q denote a finite field of order $q = p^l$. For each non-zero $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_q$, the proportion of those elements $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_q$ such that $\mathbf{F}_q = \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N \gamma)$, is at least $1 - N/p$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $l \geq 2$. For each integer l' dividing l , the number of elements $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_q$ such that $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N \gamma) \subset \mathbf{F}_{p^{l'}}$ is at most $Np^{l'}$. Therefore the number of $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_q$ such that $\alpha^N \gamma$ does not generate \mathbf{F}_q is at most

$$\sum_{l'|l, l' < l} Np^{l'} \leq \sum_{l'=1}^{l/2} Np^{l'} = N \frac{p^{\frac{l}{2}+1} - p}{p-1} \leq \frac{N}{p} p^l.$$

□

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, and k, N be integers with $0 \leq k < N$. Assume that $p > N$.*

- (i) *There exists $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ such that $|\mathbf{F}_p((\beta + \lambda\alpha^k)^N)| \geq |\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)|$.*
- (ii) *If $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N) \neq \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N, \beta^N, \alpha^k \beta^{N-1})$, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ such that $|\mathbf{F}_p((\beta + \lambda\alpha^k)^N)| > |\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)|$.*

Proof. The proof consists of a counting argument. The strategy is the following: if the size of the field $\mathbf{F}_p((\beta + \lambda\alpha^k)^N)$ is sufficiently small, then λ is a root of some equation of small degree. The sum of the degrees of all these equations is smaller than the size of $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$, which shows that there exists an element λ which is not a root of any of these equations.

Let $q = p^l = |\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)|$. We shall count the number of elements $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ such that the field generated by β^N has $p^t \leq q$ elements for some $t \leq l$, where $\beta' = \beta + \lambda\alpha^k$.

If $|\mathbf{F}_p(\beta'^N)| = p^t$, it follows that $\beta'^{Np^t} = \beta'^N$. Substituting $\beta' = \beta + \lambda\alpha^k$ in the latter equality, we obtain an equation of degree Np^t for λ , which has no more than Np^t roots in $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$. For $t = 1, \dots, l-1$, we obtain at most $\sum_{t=1}^{l-1} Np^t = N \frac{p^l - p}{p-1}$ possible values for λ . Since $N \leq p-1$, we infer that there are at least p values of $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ such that $|\mathbf{F}_p((\beta + \lambda\alpha^k)^N)| \geq |\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)|$. This proves (i).

In order to prove (ii), we need to evaluate the number of those λ 's such that $|\mathbf{F}_p((\beta + \lambda\alpha^k)^N)| = |\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)|$. Hence, we now assume that $|\mathbf{F}_p(\beta'^N)| = |\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)|$, so that $\beta'^{Nq} = \beta'^N$. We shall slightly modify the previous argument using that $\lambda^q = \lambda$, in order to obtain an equation of degree $N-1$ for λ as follows. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'^{Np^l} &= \left(\beta'^{p^l}\right)^N \\ &= \left(\beta^{p^l} + \lambda^{p^l} \alpha^{kp^l}\right)^N \\ &= \left(\beta^{p^l} + \lambda \alpha^{kp^l}\right)^N \\ &= \beta^{Np^l} + \dots + \lambda^N \alpha^{kNp^l} \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta'^N &= (\beta + \lambda\alpha^k)^N \\ &= \beta^N + \dots + \lambda^N \alpha^{kN}.\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\beta'^{Np^l} = \beta'^N$, we obtain an equation of degree N for λ . Moreover, since $\alpha^N \in \mathbf{F}_{p^l}$, the coefficients in front of λ^N in both sides of the equation cancel out. Observe that the resulting equation of degree $N-1$ for λ is non-trivial. Indeed, if the independent term and the coefficient for the term of degree 1 both vanished, we would deduce that $\beta^{Nq} = \beta^N$ and that $(\alpha^k \beta^{N-1})^q = \alpha^k \beta^{N-1}$, so that β^N and $\alpha^k \beta^{N-1}$ would be both contained in $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$. This would contradict the hypothesis that $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ is strictly contained in $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N, \beta^N, \alpha^k \beta^{N-1})$.

Overall, it follows that the number of λ 's such that $\mathbf{F}_p(\beta'^N)$ has size at most q is bounded above by

$$N - 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} Np^t < N + N \left(\frac{p^l - p}{p - 1} \right) \leq p - 1 + p^l - p = p^l - 1 = q - 1$$

since $N \leq p - 1$ by hypothesis. Therefore, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ such that $\mathbf{F}_p(\beta'^N)$ has more than q elements, which proves (ii). \square

2.5. Polynomial functions with prescribed values. The following elementary result may be viewed as a consequence of the Chinese remainder theorem.

Since it plays a key role in the sequel, we include a (short) direct proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.8. *Let K be an arbitrary field and \overline{K} denote an algebraic closure. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \in \overline{K}$ be elements whose respective minimal polynomials over K are pairwise distinct. Then for all $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k \in \overline{K}$ such that $\nu_i \in K(\mu_i)$, there exists a polynomial $f \in K[x]$ satisfying $f(\mu_i) = \nu_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.*

Proof. We work by induction on k . In the base case where $k = 1$, we observe that the elements $1, \mu_1, \mu_1^2, \dots, \mu_1^{d-1}$ form a basis of $K(\mu_1)$ viewed as a vector space over K , where $d = [K(\mu_1) : K]$. The required assertion follows.

Let now $k \geq 2$ and assume that the result is true for $k-1$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let $f_i \in K[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of μ_i . The hypothesis of the lemma implies that $f_i(\mu_j) \neq 0$ for all $i \neq j$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a polynomial $\varphi \in K[x]$ such that

$$\varphi(\mu_i) = \frac{\nu_i}{f_k(\mu_i)} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

The induction hypothesis also ensures the existence of a polynomial $\psi \in K[x]$ such that

$$\psi(\mu_k) = \frac{\nu_k}{f_1(\mu_k)f_2(\mu_k)\dots f_{k-1}(\mu_k)}.$$

The polynomial $f(x) = f_k(x)\varphi(x) + f_1(x)f_2(x)\dots f_{k-1}(x)\psi(x)$ belongs to $K[x]$ and satisfies the required property. \square

3. BOUNDING REPRESENTATION ANGLES FOR SOME NILPOTENT GROUPS

Various results providing bounds on the representation angle for nilpotent groups have been established, starting with [9, §4] which deals with groups of nilpotency class 2. Further results may be found in [10, §10]. In general, the bounds on the

angle depend on the nilpotency class of the group and on the size of the smallest finite quotient. By way of illustration, we record the following proposition that can be deduced from [11, Theorem 4.1].

Proposition 3.1. *Let $c \geq 1$ be an integer. There is a constant C (depending on c) such that for any prime p and any nilpotent group G of class c generated by a pair X, Y of subgroups of order equal to a power of p , we have*

$$\varepsilon(G; X, Y) \leq C / {}^{2^c-1}\sqrt{p}.$$

Proof. We work by induction on c . For $c = 1$ the group is abelian and we have $\varepsilon(G; X, Y) = 0$ since all irreducible unitary representations of G are 1-dimensional.

Let now G have nilpotency class $c + 1$ and let H be the center of G . The hypotheses imply that G is a finite p -group. Therefore, the minimal degree of an irreducible representation of G whose restriction to H is non-trivial is at least p . By [11, Theorem 4.1], we have $\varepsilon(G; X, Y) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon(G/H; X', Y') + \frac{1}{p}}$, where $X' = XH/H$ and $Y' = YH/H$. By induction, there is a constant C such that $\varepsilon(G/H; X', Y') \leq C / {}^{2^{c-1}}\sqrt{p}$. Using that $p \geq {}^{2^{c-1}}\sqrt{p}$, we infer that $\varepsilon(G; X, Y) \leq \sqrt{C+1} / {}^{2^c}\sqrt{p}$, as required. \square

This can be applied to the group $G = \Gamma_{c, \mathbf{F}_q}$, with $X = X_0(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and $Y = Y(\mathbf{F}_q)$ for any $q = p^e$. In case of the group $\Gamma_{c, R}$, this bound can be improved using relatively elementary argument provided the ring R is countable. Notice that the bound below is stronger than the one in Proposition 3.1 since it decays as $p^{-1/2}$ which is much smaller than than $p^{-1/2^{c-1}}$ as p tends to ∞ .

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a finite or countable commutative ring with a unit. Denote by p be the smallest prime p such that p is not invertible in R (we set $p = \infty$ if R contains the rational numbers \mathbf{Q}). For any integer c with $1 \leq c \leq p - 1$, we have*

$$\varepsilon(\Gamma_{c, R}; X_0(R), Y(R)) \leq \sqrt{c/p}.$$

For the proof, we need the following elementary fact.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (Z, ν) be a probability space and c, p be integers with $1 \leq c < p$. Let $A_1, \dots, A_p \subseteq Z$ be subsets of equal measure and assume that each $z \in Z$ belongs to at most c of the sets A_1, \dots, A_p . Then $\nu(A_i) \leq c/p$.*

Proof. For each integer $n \geq 0$, let $Z(n) \subseteq Z$ be the subset consisting of those $z \in Z$ which belong to exactly n of the sets A_1, \dots, A_p . By hypothesis, we have $Z = Z(c) \cup Z(c-1) \cup \dots \cup Z(0)$. Moreover, the sets A_i have equal measure, so that

$$\begin{aligned} p\nu(A_i) &= \sum_{k=1}^p \nu(A_k) \\ &= c\nu(Z(c)) + (c-1)\nu(Z(c-1)) + \dots + \nu(Z(1)) \\ &\leq c\nu(Z(c) \cup \dots \cup Z(1)) \\ &\leq c\nu(Z) \\ &= c. \end{aligned}$$

The desired assertion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let (π, V) be a unitary representation of $\Gamma_{c, R}$ without any non-zero invariant vectors.

Since X is normal in $\Gamma = \Gamma_{c,R}$, we have $V = V^X \oplus (V^X)^\perp$ as Γ -representations. Moreover, as mentioned above, the representation angle behaves well with respect to direct sums, i.e. we have $\angle_{\oplus \pi_i}(H; X, Y) = \min_i \angle_{\pi_i}(H; X, Y)$. Therefore, we only need to consider separately the cases where V has no non-zero X -invariant vectors, and where the X -action on V is trivial. In the second case V does not have any non-zero $Y(R)$ -invariant vectors, thus the representation angle is $\pi/2$ by definition. We assume henceforth that V does not contain any non-zero X -invariant vectors.

We invoke the SNAG Theorem for the restriction of the representation to the abelian group X , see [2, Theorem 2.C.3], as well as [2, Appendix A.9]. This yields a projection-valued measure μ defined on the dual $\widehat{X} = \text{Hom}(X, \mathbf{S}^1)$, with values in the set of orthogonal projections on V . For any non-zero vector $v \in V$, the assignment

$$\mu_v(E) = \langle v, \mu(E)v \rangle$$

defines a measure μ_v on \widehat{X} . Moreover, if v is a unit vector, then μ_v is a probability measure, see [2, Appendix A.9].

Since V has no non-zero X -invariant vectors, it follows that the trivial character $\mathbf{1}_X \in \widehat{X}$ is not an atom of the measure μ , see [2, Prop. 2.D.1(a)]. Let

$$X_0^\perp = \{\chi \in \widehat{X} \mid \chi(g) = 1 \ \forall g \in X_0\} \leq \widehat{X}$$

be the annihilator of X_0 . By applying [2, Prop. 2.D.1(a)] to the restriction of the representation to X_0 , we see that the operator $\mu(X_0^\perp)$ coincides with the orthogonal projection on the subspace V^{X_0} consisting of the X_0 -invariant vectors. In particular, it follows that for each unit vector $v \in V$, the cosine of the angle between v and V^{X_0} is equal to $\sqrt{\mu_v(X_0^\perp)}$.

The group X is normal in G therefore G acts on the dual \widehat{X} . In particular we have an action of the integers \mathbf{Z} on \widehat{X} via the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow Y(R) : t \mapsto Y(t.1)$ and the action of $Y(R)$ on X given by conjugation.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\psi \in \widehat{X}$ be a nontrivial character. Then the number of integers $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $Y(t.1)\psi \in X_0^\perp$ is at most c .*

Proof. The abelian group X decomposes as a direct sum $X = \bigoplus_{i=0}^c X_i(R)$. Hence it can be identified with the direct sum of $c+1$ copies of the additive group R , and the dual \widehat{X} can be identified with the direct sum of $c+1$ copies of \widehat{R} . Under this identification, we write $\psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_c) \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^c \widehat{R}$, and the action of \mathbf{Z} is given by

$$Y(t.1)(\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_c) = (\psi'_0, \psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_c),$$

where $\psi'_n = \sum_{i=0}^{c-n} \binom{c-n}{i} t^i \psi_{n+i}$.

Assume that there exist pairwise distinct integers $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_c \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $Y(t_s.1)\psi \in X_0^\perp$ for all s . We must deduce that ψ is the trivial character.

For each $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, c\}$, let ϕ_s denote the first coordinate of $Y(t_s.1)\psi = Y(t_s.1)(\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_c)$, so that $\phi_s = \sum_{i=0}^c \binom{c}{i} (t_s)^i \psi_i$. Considering those equations for $s = 0, 1, \dots, t$, we obtain the equation $(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_t)^\top = V(\dots, \binom{c}{i} \psi_i, \dots)^\top$, where V is a Vandermonde matrix. By hypothesis, we have $p > c$ and the number $c!$ is invertible in R , so that the binomial coefficients $\binom{c}{i}$ are invertible in R for all i . Moreover $t_s - t_{s'}$ is also invertible for all $s \neq s'$. Therefore, we may express all the ψ_i as linear combinations of the ϕ_s .

By the definition of ϕ_s , the condition that $Y(t_s.1)\psi$ belongs to the annihilator X_0^\perp is equivalent to saying that $\phi_s = 0$ in \widehat{R} . The preceding discussion then implies that $\psi_i = 0$ for all i . In other words ψ is the trivial character. \square

The above lemma allows us to complete the proof of Theorem 3.2 as follows. First observe that

$$\varepsilon(\Gamma_{c,R}; X_0, Y(R)) \leq \cos(\angle(V^{X_0}, V^{Y(1)}))$$

since $V^{Y(R)} \subseteq V^{Y(1)}$. Therefore, it suffices to provide an upper bound on the cosine of the angle formed by V^{X_0} and $V^{Y(1)}$. Consider an arbitrary unit vector $v \in V^{Y(1)}$. Since v is fixed by $Y(1)$, the measure μ_v is invariant under $Y(t.1)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore $\mu_v(Y(t.1)X_0^\perp) = \mu_v(X_0^\perp)$ for any $t \in \mathbf{Z}$. The previous lemma gives that any non-trivial character in \widehat{X} belongs to at most c of the sets $\mu_v(Y(t.1)X_0^\perp)$, where $t = 0, \dots, p-1$. Since $\mathbf{1}_X \in \widehat{X}$ is not an atom of the measure μ_v , we may invoke Lemma 3.3 for the probability space $(\widehat{X} \setminus \{\mathbf{1}_X\}, \mu_v)$. We deduce that $\mu_v(X_0^\perp) \leq c/p$. This finishes the proof since we have seen above that

$$\cos(\angle(V^{X_0}, v)) = \sqrt{\mu_v(X_0^\perp)},$$

so that $\sqrt{c/p}$ is indeed an upper bound for the cosine of the angle $\angle(V^{X_0}, V^{Y(1)})$. \square

4. ON TAME AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE AFFINE SPACE

Let R be a commutative ring with a unit and consider the polynomial ring

$$R_n = R[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

For any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and any integer $e \geq 0$, we define $\alpha_{i;j}^{(e)}(r): R_n \rightarrow R_n$ as the automorphism of R_n such that

$$\alpha_{i;j}^{(e)}(r) : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i + rx_j^e \\ x_\ell \mapsto x_\ell \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \ell \neq i.$$

For a fixed triple i, j, e , the subgroup

$$A_{i;j}^{(e)} = \langle \alpha_{i;j}^{(e)}(r) \mid r \in R \rangle$$

is isomorphic to the additive group of the ring R .

We recall that, throughout this paper, we use the notation $[g, h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$ for the commutator of g and h . The automorphisms $\alpha_{i;j}^{(e)}(r)$ satisfy the following commutation relations that are easy to verify, where different symbols are assumed to represent different indices:

- $[\alpha_{i;j}^{(e)}(r), \alpha_{i';j'}^{(e')}(r')] = \text{id}$,
- $[\alpha_{i;j}^{(e)}(r), \alpha_{i;j'}^{(e')}(r')] = \text{id}$,
- $[\alpha_{i;j}^{(e)}(r), \alpha_{i';j}^{(e')}(r')] = \text{id}$.

We shall next collect important information on the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R_n)$ generated by $A_{i;j}^{(e)}$ and $A_{j;k}^{(e')}$. To that end, it is useful to define a few more elements in $\text{Aut}(R_n)$. Let $\alpha_{i;j,k}^{(c,d)}(r): R_n \rightarrow R_n$ be the automorphism of R_n such that

$$\alpha_{i;j,k}^{(c,d)}(r) : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i + rx_j^c x_k^d \\ x_\ell \mapsto x_\ell \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \ell \neq i.$$

For a fixed tuple i, j, k, c, d , the subgroup $A_{i;j,k}^{(c,d)}$ generated by $\alpha_{i;j,k}^{(c,d)}(r)$ is isomorphic to the additive subgroup of the ring R . It is easy to see that these elements satisfy commutation relations similar to the ones satisfied by $\alpha_{i;j}^{(e)}(r)$.

In the following result, we retain the notation of Proposition 2.1 concerning the group $\Gamma_{c,R}$. In particular $P_\ell(r)$ denotes the monomial $rx^{c-\ell}$, viewed as an element of $\Gamma_{c,R}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $c \geq 1$ and $d \geq 0$ be integers. Let $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be pairwise distinct. Then the assignments*

$$P_\ell(r) \mapsto \alpha_{i;j,k}^{(c-\ell,d\ell)}(r) \quad \text{and} \quad y(s) \mapsto \alpha_{j;k}^{(d)}(s),$$

where $r, s \in R$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, c\}$, extend to an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_{c,R} \rightarrow \left\langle \left(\bigcup_{\ell=0}^c A_{i;j,k}^{(c-\ell,d\ell)} \right) \cup A_{j;k}^{(d)} \right\rangle \leq \text{Aut}(R_n).$$

In particular, if $c!$ is an invertible element in R , then $\langle A_{i;j}^{(c)} \cup A_{j;k}^{(d)} \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{c,R}$ and contains $\alpha_{i;j,k}^{(c-\ell,d\ell)}(r)$ for all $r \in R$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, c\}$.

Proof. Let us first observe that the given assignments establish an isomorphism between the abelian subgroup $R[x]_{\leq c} \leq \Gamma_{c,R}$ and $\langle \bigcup_{\ell=0}^c A_{i;j,k}^{(c-\ell,d\ell)} \rangle$. We must now compare the respective conjugation actions of $y(s)$ and $\alpha_{j;k}^{(d)}(s)$ on those abelian groups. To this end, we consider non-negative integers m, n, d and elements $r, s \in R$. We compute that

$$[\alpha_{i;j,k}^{(m,n)}(r), \alpha_{j;k}^{(d)}(s)] : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i + rx_k^n ((x_j + sx_k^d)^m - x_j^m) \\ x_\ell \mapsto x_\ell \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \ell \neq i.$$

Using an additive notation for the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R_n)$ generated by $\alpha_{i;j,k}^{(m',n')}(r)$ with i, j, k fixed, we infer that

$$[\alpha_{i;j,k}^{(m,n)}(r), \alpha_{j;k}^{(d)}(s)] = \sum_{t=1}^m \binom{m}{t} \alpha_{i;j,k}^{(m-t, n+dt)}(rs^t).$$

It follows from Remark 2.2 that the assignments $P_\ell(r) \mapsto \alpha_{i;j,k}^{(c-\ell,d\ell)}(r)$ and $y(s) \mapsto \alpha_{j;k}^{(d)}(s)$ indeed extend to an isomorphism as required. If in addition $c!$ is invertible in R , we deduce the extra conclusions follow from Proposition 2.1. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let p be a prime such that $p > c$ and set $R = \mathbf{F}_p$. Let $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be pairwise distinct, and let $c \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$ be integers. The assignments*

$$x \mapsto \alpha_{i;j}^{(c)}(1) \quad \text{and} \quad y \mapsto \alpha_{j;k}^{(d)}(1)$$

extend to an injective group homomorphism

$$\Gamma_{c,\mathbf{F}_p} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]).$$

The computation in the proof of Proposition 4.1 actually establishes the following technical variant of that statement.

Proposition 4.3. *If $c!$ is an invertible element in R , then $\langle A_{i;j,k}^{(c,d)} \cup A_{j;k}^{(e)} \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{c,R}$. That subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R_n)$ contains the automorphisms $\alpha_{i;j,k}^{(c-\ell,d+e\ell)}(r)$ for all $r \in R$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, c\}$.*

Proof. The same computation as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 shows that the assignments $P_\ell(r) \mapsto \alpha_{i;j,k}^{(c-\ell, d+\ell)}(r)$ and $y(s) \mapsto \alpha_{j;k}^{(d)}(s)$ extend to the required isomorphism. \square

5. A FAMILY OF KAZHDAN GROUPS OF TAME AUTOMORPHISMS

Let $n \geq 3$, let $e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 1$ be positive integers and R be a commutative ring with a unit. We set $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$. Our main object of study is the subgroup $G_{R,\mathbf{e}}$ of $\text{Aut}(R_n)$ defined by

$$G_{R,\mathbf{e}} = \left\langle \alpha_{i;i+1}^{(e_i)}(r) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n; r \in R \right\rangle,$$

where indices are taken modulo n . The number n is called the **rank** of the group $G_{R,\mathbf{e}}$. To lighten the notation, we set $\tau_i(r) = \alpha_{i;i+1}^{(e_i)}(r)$ and $X_i = \langle \tau_i(r) \rangle = A_{i;i+1}^{(e_i)}$ for all $i \pmod n$.

Our next goal is to establish the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 1$ be positive integers. Let p be an integer such that $(p-1)!$ is invertible in R , $p > e_i$ for all i and that*

$$M = \max \left\{ \sqrt{e_i/p} + \sqrt{e_{i+1}/p} \mid i = 1, \dots, n \right\} < 1,$$

where indices are taken modulo n . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $X_i = A_{i;i+1}^{(e_i)}$. Then the Kazhdan constant of the group $G_{R,\mathbf{e}}$ with respect to the generating set $S = \bigcup_i X_i$ is bounded below by

$$\kappa(G_{R,\mathbf{e}}, S) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-M)}{n}} > 0.$$

If in addition $|R| = q < \infty$, then

$$\kappa(G_{R,\mathbf{e}}, S) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2q}{q-1}} \sqrt{\frac{1-M}{n}}.$$

In particular, if R is finite and if $p > 4 \max\{e_i\}$, then $G_{R,\mathbf{e}}$ has property (T).

Proof. Each X_i is a subgroup of $G = G_{R,\mathbf{e}}$ isomorphic to the additive subgroup of R . For any i, j with $|i-j| \geq 2$ the subgroups X_i and X_j commute, thus the representation angle between them is 0. The subgroup $\langle X_i, X_{i+1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{e_i, R}$ by Proposition 4.1, thus the cosine of the representation angle is bounded above by $\sqrt{e_i/p}$ by Theorem 3.2. This allows us to invoke Kassabov's results from [19] recalled in Section 2.3. To this end, consider a unitary representation (π, V) of G that does not contain any non-zero invariant vector. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $v \in V$ be a unit vector that is (S, ε) -invariant. Let d_i denote the distance from v to the subspace V^{X_i} of X_i -invariant vectors. Since (π, V) does not contain any non-zero G -invariant vector, we deduce from [19, Theorem 5.1] that

$$1 \leq (d_1, \dots, d_n) A^{-1} (d_1, \dots, d_n)^\top,$$

where A is the real matrix defined by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{e_1/p} & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\sqrt{e_n/p} \\ -\sqrt{e_1/p} & 1 & -\sqrt{e_2/p} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{e_2/p} & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -\sqrt{e_{n-1}/p} \\ -\sqrt{e_n/p} & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\sqrt{e_{n-1}/p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In view of Proposition 2.5(i), we know that the smallest eigenvalue of A , say λ_{\min} , satisfies $\lambda_{\min} \geq 1 - M$. By the definition of v , any two vectors in the X_i -orbit of v are at distance at most ε apart, so that $d_i \leq \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}$ for all i . We infer that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq (d_1, \dots, d_n)A^{-1}(d_1, \dots, d_n)^\top \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2\|(1, \dots, 1)\|^2\lambda_{\min}^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2n(1 - M)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This directly implies that the Kazhdan constant satisfies $\kappa(G_{R,e}, S) \geq \varepsilon \geq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-M)}{n}}$.

Assume now that R is finite of order q . Then X_i is a group of order q for all i , and the X_i -orbit of v is contained in an affine subspace of V of dimension at most $q - 1$. By Jung's theorem [14], in the $(q - 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space, the circumradius R of any subset of diameter D satisfies the inequality $R \leq D\sqrt{\frac{q-1}{2q}}$.

Therefore, in the present case, the distance d_i satisfies $d_i \leq \varepsilon\sqrt{\frac{q-1}{2q}}$. Now the inequality $\kappa(G_{R,e}, S) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2q}{q-1}}\sqrt{\frac{1-M}{n}}$ follows from the same argument as above. \square

The previous result does not give property (T) when the ring R is infinite, since the generating set S is not finite. However, it is known [9, 24] that the subgroup $\text{EL}_n(R) \leq \text{SL}_n(R)$ generated by elementary matrices has Kazhdan's property (T) provided R is finitely generated and $n \geq 3$. Observe that $\text{EL}_n(R)$ may be viewed as the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ generated by the set $\{\alpha_{i;j}^{(1)}(r) \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, r \in R\}$. Relying on the fact that $\text{EL}_n(R)$ has property (T), we establish the following.

Theorem 5.2. *For any $n \geq 3$ and any finitely generated ring R , such that $30 = 2 \times 3 \times 5$ is invertible in R , the subgroup $G_{n,R}$ of $\text{Aut}(R[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ generated by $\text{EL}_n(R)$ and $\alpha_{1;2}^{(2)}(1)$ has Kazhdan property (T). If $n \geq 4$, the same conclusion holds as soon as 6 is invertible in R .*

Proof. Let p denote the smallest prime which is not invertible in the ring R . By hypothesis, we have $p \geq 7$. Let S' be a finite generating set of $G_{n,R}$, which contains a generating set S'' of $\text{EL}_n(R)$ and $\alpha_{1;2}^{(2)}(1)$, and let ρ be a representation of $G_{n,R}$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with an ε -almost invariant unit vector v under S , for some sufficiently small ε . Using Kazhdan's property (T) for $\text{EL}_n(R)$, we deduce from Lemma 2.4 that the vector v is $C_n\varepsilon$ -almost invariant under the whole group $\text{EL}_n(R)$ for some constant $C_n \geq 1$. In particular v is almost fixed by the elementary matrices $\alpha_{i;j}^{(1)}(r)$.

We claim that there exists constant $D_n \geq C_n$ such that v is $D_n \varepsilon$ almost invariant under the subgroups $A_{i;j}^{(e)}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ for all $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and all $e \in \{1, 2\}$. Indeed, for $e = 1$, we have $\alpha_{i;j}^{(1)}(r) \in \text{EL}_n(R)$, so that $A_{i;j}^{(1)}$ almost fixes the vector v . For $e = 2$, we first remark that v is ε -almost invariant under $\alpha_{1;2}^{(2)}(1)$ by the assumption that S' contains that element. Using that $\alpha_{3;2}^{(2)}(r) = \left[\alpha_{3;1}^{(1)}(r), \alpha_{1;2}^{(2)}(1) \right]$, we see that all elements in $A_{3;2}^{(2)}$ can be expressed by products of at most 4 group elements which almost fix v . This implies that v is $(2C_n + 2)\varepsilon$ -almost invariant under $A_{3;2}^{(2)}$. Finally, for any pair $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the group $A_{i;j}^{(2)}$ is conjugate to $A_{3;2}^{(2)}$ by a suitable permutation matrix, which belongs to $\text{EL}_n(R)$. Again, this implies that all elements in $A_{i;j}^{(2)}$ can be expressed by products of three group elements which almost fix v . The claim follows, with $D_n = 4C_n + 2$.

We next observe that the group $G_{n,R}$ is generated by the abelian subgroups $Y_1 = A_{1;2}^{(1)}$, $Y_2 = A_{2;3}^{(1)}, \dots, Y_{n-1} = A_{n-1;n}^{(1)}$ and $Y_n = A_{n;1}^{(1)} A_{n;1}^{(2)} \cong R^2$. Let us now estimate the cosine of the representation angle $\varepsilon(\langle Y_i, Y_j \rangle, Y_i, Y_j)$ for all $i \neq j$.

If $j \neq i \pm 1$ (modulo n) then Y_i and Y_j commute thus $\varepsilon(\langle Y_i, Y_j \rangle, Y_i, Y_j) = 0$.

If $j = i + 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 2$ then the group generated by Y_i and Y_{i+1} is the Heisenberg group therefore $\varepsilon(\langle Y_i, Y_{i+1} \rangle, Y_i, Y_{i+1}) \leq \sqrt{1/p}$, by Theorem 3.2 (see also [9, §4]).

In the case $i = n - 1$ and $j = n$, similar (but easier) computations as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 show that the group generated by Y_{n-1} and Y_n is nilpotent of class 2 with abelianization R^3 and commutator subgroup is $A_{n-1;1}^{(1)} A_{n-1;1}^{(2)} \cong R^2$, thus $\varepsilon(\langle Y_{n-1}, Y_n \rangle, Y_{n-1}, Y_n) \leq \sqrt{1/m(R)}$, where $m(R)$ is the smallest index of a proper ideal in R , see [11, Cor. 4.4]. By the definition of p , we have $m(R) \geq p$. Therefore $\varepsilon(\langle Y_{n-1}, Y_n \rangle, Y_{n-1}, Y_n) \leq \sqrt{1/p}$.

The final case is $i = 1$ and $j = n$, in this case the group generated by Y_1 and Y_n is nilpotent of class 3 with abelianization R^3 and commutator subgroup $A_{n;2}^{(1)} A_{n;2}^{(2)} A_{n;1,2}^{(1,1)} \cong R^3$, and center $A_{n;2}^{(1)} A_{n;2}^{(2)} \cong R^2$. Set $H = \langle Y_1, Y_n \rangle$. We claim that

$$\varepsilon(H, Y_1, Y_n) \leq \sqrt{2/p}.$$

This can be deduced from Theorem 3.2 as follows.

Set $Y = Y_1$, $X_0 = A_{n;1}^{(2)}$ and $X'_0 = A_{n;1}^{(1)}$, so that $Y_n = X_0 X'_0$. Let also $X = A_{n;1}^{(2)} A_{n;2}^{(2)} A_{n;1,2}^{(1,1)} \cong R^3$ and $X' = A_{n;1}^{(1)} A_{n;2}^{(1)} \cong R^2$. By Proposition 4.1, we have $\langle X_0, Y \rangle \cong \Gamma_{2,R}$ and $\langle X'_0, Y \rangle \cong \Gamma_{1,R}$. Moreover XX' is an abelian normal subgroup of H . Therefore, given a unitary representation (π, V) of H without any non-zero invariant vectors, the space V has an H -invariant decomposition as a direct sum

$$V = (V^X \cap V^{X'}) \oplus (V^X \cap (V^{X'})^\perp) \oplus ((V^X)^\perp \cap V^{X'}) \oplus ((V^X)^\perp \cap (V^{X'})^\perp).$$

To evaluate the representation angle, it therefore suffices to treat one summand at a time. Since X or X' or both act trivially on each of the first three summands, the H -action factors through $\langle X'_0, Y \rangle$ or $\langle X_0, Y \rangle$, so the desired bound directly follows from Theorem 3.2 in each of those cases. To finish the proof of the claim, we may therefore assume that neither X nor X' have a non-zero fixed vector on V . In particular $\langle X_0, Y \rangle$ does not have any non-zero fixed vector on V , so that

$$\cos(\angle(V^{X_0}, V^Y)) \leq \sqrt{2/p}$$

by Theorem 3.2. The claim follows since $V^{Y_n} = V^{X_0 X'_0} \subseteq V^{X_0}$

These bounds can be plugged into the main result in [19], and we need to verify that the symmetric matrix A with $A_{ii} = 1$, $A_{i,i+1} = A_{i+1,i} = -1/\sqrt{p}$ and $A_{1,n} = A_{n,1} = -\sqrt{2/p}$ is positive definite. This is indeed the case by Proposition 2.5(i) as soon as $p \geq 7$. For $p = 5$ the latter criterion does not apply, but if in addition $n \geq 4$, then we may invoke Proposition 2.5(iii)⁴ since $1/\sqrt{5} \approx 0.447$ and $(1 - 1/\sqrt{5})(1 - \sqrt{2/5}) \approx 0.203 > 1/5 = (1/\sqrt{5})^2$. This ensures that A is positive definite for all $n \geq 4$ and $p \geq 5$. This implies that v is close to an invariant vector for the whole group $G_{n,R}$, which implies that $G_{n,R}$ has property (T). \square

A careful tracking of all constants involved in the above argument shows that if S'' is a generating set of $\text{EL}_3(R)$ and 30 is invertible in R , then

$$\kappa\left(G_{3,R}, S'' \cup \{\alpha_{1;2}^{(2)}(1)\}\right) \geq \frac{1}{20}\kappa(\text{EL}_3(R), S'').$$

6. CONSTRUCTING POLYNOMIAL TRANSVECTIONS

We shall now see that the group $G_{R,\mathbf{e}}$ is quite large as soon as $\max\{e_i\} \geq 2$. The following result ensures that this group contains the element $\alpha_{i;j}^{(t)}(r)$ for all integers t satisfying some congruence condition.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, let $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be a tuple of positive integers and set $c = \max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Let also R be a commutative unital ring such that $c!$ is invertible in R . If*

$$E = e_1 e_2 \dots e_n \geq 2,$$

then, for each integer $m \geq 0$, the group $G_{R,\mathbf{e}}$ contains the elements

$$\alpha_{i;j}^{(t)}(r) \quad \text{with } t = t_{i,j} + m(E - 1),$$

where $t_{i,j} = e_i e_{i+1} \dots e_{j-1}$ and indices are ordered cyclically, and taken modulo n .

The following consequence is immediate.

Corollary 6.2. *Retain the hypotheses of Theorem 6.1. Then for each polynomial $P \in R[y]$, the group $G_{R,\mathbf{e}}$ contains the element $\beta_{i;j}^P$ defined by the assignments*

$$\begin{cases} x_i & \mapsto x_i + x_j^{t_{i,j}} P(x_j^{E-1}) \\ x_\ell & \mapsto x_\ell \quad \text{for all } \ell \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Those polynomial transvections $\beta_{i;j}^P$ will play a crucial role in the next section.

Corollary 6.3. *Let $n \geq 3$, let $e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 1$ be integers and p be a prime with $p > \max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Let also R be an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. If $\max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} > 1$, then $G_{R,\mathbf{e}}$ contains elementary abelian p -groups of infinite rank.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.1. Indeed, for fixed i, j , the group generated by $\alpha_{i;j}^{(t)}(r)$ with $t = t_{i,j} + m(E - 1)$, over all m and all $r \in \mathbf{F}_p$ is elementary abelian of infinite rank. \square

⁴Alternatively we can numerically compute the eigenvalues of the resulting 4×4 matrix and see that the smallest one is approximately 0.0037.

Remark 6.4. In the special case where $n = 3$ and $e_i \leq 2$ for all i and $R = \mathbf{F}_p$, these groups are quotients of KMS groups studied in [7, §7]. The corresponding epimorphisms for a couple of specific examples were described in §1.2. If $\max\{e_1, e_2, e_3\} > 1$, it is shown in [7, §7] that those KMS groups are hyperbolic. Corollary 6.3 implies that the natural quotient map we have just mentioned cannot be injective. Indeed, in a hyperbolic group, the finite subgroups fall into finitely many conjugacy classes (see [5]). In particular the order of a finite subgroup is bounded above.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. *The theorem holds for $m = 0$ and $j = i + 1$.*

Indeed, this is clear since the required elements are among the generators of G by definition.

Step 2. *The theorem holds for $m = 0$ and all indices $i \neq j$.*

We fix the index i . By Step 1 we may assume that $j > i + 1$ and proceed by induction on $j - i$. By the induction hypothesis, the group G contains the elements $\alpha_{i+1;j}^{(t_{i+1},j)}(r)$. We invoke Proposition 4.1 applied to triple of indices $i, i+1, j$, and with the exponents $(c, d) = (e_i, t_{i+1}, j)$. Since every positive integer $\leq e_i$ is invertible in R by hypothesis, we deduce from the proposition that G contains $\alpha_{i;i+1,j}^{(e_i-\ell, \ell t_{i+1}, j)}(r)$ for all $r \in R$ and all $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, e_i\}$. Taking $\ell = e_i$, we deduce that G contains $\alpha_{i;j}^{(e_i t_{i+1}, j)}(r) = \alpha_{i;j}^{(t_{i,j})}(r)$. This completes the induction step.

Step 3. *For all indices i , the group G contains $A_{i;i+1,i+2}^{(e_i-1, e_{i+1})}$ and $A_{i;i+1,j}^{(1, (e_i-1)t_{i+1}, j)}$.*

This follows by taking $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = e_i - 1$ in the proof of the previous step.

Step 4. *The theorem holds for $m = 1$ and $j = i + 1$.*

In view of Step 2, we know that G contains $A_{i+2;i+1}^{(t_{i+2}, i+1)}$. Moreover, by Step 3 we also know that G contains $A_{i;i+1,i+2}^{(e_i-1, e_{i+1})} = A_{i;i+2,i+1}^{(e_{i+1}, e_i-1)}$. Notice that $t_{i+2, i+1} = E/e_{i+1}$. Invoking Proposition 4.3 to the triple of indices $i, i+2, i+1$ and the exponents $(c, d, e) = (e_{i+1}, e_i - 1, E/e_{i+1})$, we deduce that G contains the subgroup $A_{i;i+2,i+1}^{(e_{i+1}-\ell, e_i-1+\ell E/e_{i+1})}$.

Taking $\ell = e_{i+1}$, it follows that G contains $\alpha_{i;i+1}^{(C_i)}(r)$ for all $r \in R$, where

$$C_i = e_i + (E - 1).$$

This finishes the proof of Step 4.

Step 5. *For all i , the group G contains $A_{i;i+1,i+2}^{(e_i-E/e_{i+1}+E-1, 1)} = A_{i;i+2,i+1}^{(1, e_i-E/e_{i+1}+E-1)}$.*

This follows by taking $\ell = e_{i+1} - 1$ in the proof of the previous step.

Step 6. *If for some m and some indices i, j with $j \notin \{i-1, i\}$, the group G contains $A_{i;j}^{(t_{i,j}+m(E-1))}$, then G also contains $A_{i-1;j}^{(t_{i-1,j}+m(E-1))}$.*

Recall from Step 3 that G contains $A_{i-1;i,j}^{(1, (e_{i-1}-1)t_{i,j})}$. We may thus invoke Proposition 4.3 to the triple of indices $(i-1, i, j)$ and the exponents

$$(c, d, e) = (1, (e_{i-1} - 1)t_{i,j}, t_{i,j} + m(E - 1)).$$

The required assertion follows.

Step 7. If for some m , the group G contains $A_{i;j}^{(t_i, j+m(E-1))}$ for all indices $i \neq j$, then G also contains $A_{i;i+1}^{(e_i+(m+1)(E-1))}$.

Recall that $E/e_{i+1} = t_{i+2, i+1}$. Recall also from Step 5 that G contains the subgroup $A_{i;i+2, i+1}^{(1, e_i - E/e_{i+1} + E - 1)}$. We may thus invoke Proposition 4.3 to the indices $(i, i+2, i+1)$ and the exponents

$$(c, d, e) = (1, e_i - E/e_{i+1} + E - 1, E/e_{i+1} + m(E - 1)).$$

It follows that G also contains $A_{i;i+1}^{(e_i+(m+1)(E-1))}$, as required.

To finish the proof, we use a double induction on m and on $j - i$, applying alternatively Step 6 and 7. The conclusion of each of those ensures that the hypothesis of the other is satisfied. The conclusion of the theorem follows. \square

Remark 6.5. The conclusions of Theorem 6.1 can be strengthened: indeed, adapting the proof, one can show that $G_{R, \mathbf{e}}$ also contains elements $A_{i;j,k}^{(1,t)}$ for any triples of distinct indices i, j, k provided that t satisfies some congruence condition modulo $E - 1$.

The following definition will play an important role in the next section.

Definition 6.6. The ring $R_n = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a **grading** by the cyclic group $\mathbf{Z}/(E - 1)\mathbf{Z}$, defined as follows: for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the degree of x_i is $t_{i,1} = \prod_{j \geq i}^n e_j$. Let $R_n^{(s)}$ denote the homogeneous component of R_n of degree s , clearly $R_n^{(0)}$ is a subring of R , containing, x_i^{E-1} , but it also contains elements like $x_i^t x_j$ when t satisfies some congruence restriction mod $E - 1$. Observe that each homogeneous component $R_n^{(s)}$ is a module over $R_n^{(0)}$.

Observation 6.7. The action of the generators of G preserve the grading of R_n mentioned above, because the degree of x_i is the same as the degree of $x_{i+1}^{e_i}$, so that each generator of G indeed preserves the grading. This implies that the modular restriction on t in Theorem 6.1 cannot be removed.

Remark 6.8. The result can be extended to show that the group G contain many automorphisms $\alpha_{i,f}$ mapping $\alpha_{i,f}(x_i) = x_i + f$ where f is some polynomial on the other variables, and $\alpha_{i,f}(x_\ell) = x_\ell$ for all $\ell \neq i$. However, we cannot get all such automorphisms: first there is some modular restriction on degrees of the monomials appearing in f , which is necessary in order for $\alpha_{i,f}$ to preserve the grading of R_n .

The other issue is that Proposition 4.3 requires that every prime smaller than or equal to the exponent c be invertible in R . This forces that any monomial in f to contain a variable of degree at most c . We do not know if this condition can be removed, equivalently we do not know if G coincides with the tame automorphism group of the graded ring R_n , however it is clear that G is a very ‘‘large’’ subgroup of the group of tame automorphisms of the graded ring R_n .

7. CONSTRUCTING FINITE QUOTIENTS

7.1. Action on affine spaces. From now on, we shall focus on the case $R = \mathbf{F}_p$. Our next goal is to construct finite quotients of the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$. Those naturally arise as finite quotients of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$, by considering the spectrum of

the ring $\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as the points of an affine scheme which is simply the n -dimensional affine space over the prime field \mathbf{F}_p . That viewpoint suggests the following construction.

Given a commutative \mathbf{F}_p -algebra A , the set A^n may be identified with the set of \mathbf{F}_p -algebra homomorphisms $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$. To an element (a_1, \dots, a_n) , one associates the evaluation map

$$f \in \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mapsto f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A.$$

and to a homomorphism $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$, one associates the n -tuple $(\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_n))$. The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ acts on $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$ by pre-composition: each automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ yields the map

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A) : \phi \mapsto \phi \circ \alpha^{-1}.$$

It is straightforward to check that this defines indeed a permutation action of the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$. The group $\text{Aut}(A)$ also acts on $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$ by post-composition. Clearly the actions of $\text{Aut}(A)$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ commute.

Using the natural bijection $A^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$ recalled above, we see that an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ acts on A^n via the map

$$A^n \rightarrow A^n : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (\alpha^{-1}(x_1)(a_1, \dots, a_n), \dots, \alpha^{-1}(x_n)(a_1, \dots, a_n)).$$

We will denote with $e_A : \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(A^n)$ the resulting homomorphism to the symmetric groups of the set A^n .

By letting A vary over the collection of finite-dimensional \mathbf{F}_p -algebras, we obtain numerous finite quotients of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$. By letting A run over all finite field extensions of \mathbf{F}_p and using Hilbert's Nullstellensatz one recovers the well known fact.

Proposition 7.1. *The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ is residually finite.*

In particular, the group $G = G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ is residually finite. For suitable n -tuples \mathbf{e} , this can actually be strengthened as follows.

Proposition 7.2. *Let k be a finite extension of \mathbf{F}_p , and let $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be an n -tuple with $e_i \geq 1$ for all i . If $\max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \geq 2$, then $G_{k, \mathbf{e}}$ is residually- p .*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}^0 = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, let \mathfrak{m} be the ideal consisting of those polynomials with zero constant term, and define inductively \mathfrak{m}^{d+1} as the ideal generated by $\{fg \mid f \in \mathfrak{m}^d, g \in \mathfrak{m}\}$. Clearly, the ideal \mathfrak{m}^d is invariant under $\text{Aut}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$; this yields a group homomorphism from $\text{Aut}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ to the automorphism group of the quotient algebra $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$. The kernel of that homomorphism is denoted by $\text{Aut}_d(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$.

Let $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ denote the algebra of formal power series in the indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n with coefficients in k . The natural embedding $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ yields an injective homomorphism of $\text{Aut}_i(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ into the group $\text{Aut}_i(k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]])$ for all $i \geq 0$. It is known that $\text{Aut}_1(k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]])$ is a pro- p group (see §8.5 in [23] and §2 in [26]), so that $\text{Aut}_1(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ is residually- p . Observe that $G = G_{k, \mathbf{e}}$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_1(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ if and only if $e_i \geq 2$ for all i . Here, the hypothesis only ensures that $e_i \geq 2$ for some i . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $e_n \geq 2$. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that the image of G under the quotient map

$\phi: \text{Aut}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]) / \text{Aut}_1(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ is a finite p -group. Since $e_n \geq 2$, the image of $\tau_n(r) = \alpha_{n;1}^{(e_n)}(r)$ under ϕ is trivial. Therefore, the image of $G = \langle \alpha_{i;i+1}^{(e_i)}(r) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n; r \in k \rangle$ under ϕ coincides with the image of $\langle \alpha_{i;i+1}^{(e_i)}(r) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; r \in k \rangle$. The latter group is a subgroup of $\text{SL}_n(k)$ consisting of upper unitriangular matrices, and is therefore a finite p -group. Hence $\phi(G)$ is a finite p -group as well. \square

As mentioned in the introduction, the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (1,1,\dots,1)}$ of rank $n \geq 3$ is isomorphic to $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$, so the condition that $\max\{e_i\} \geq 2$ cannot be removed in Proposition 7.2. We also remark that if $p > \max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, then $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ contains an elementary abelian p -group of infinite rank by Corollary 6.3, so it is not virtually residually- q for any prime $q \neq p$.

To obtain a more precise description of specific finite quotients of the group $G = G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$, we will use the $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ -action on A^n mentioned above, in the special case where the algebra A is a finite field extension of \mathbf{F}_p . In other words A is a finite field of order $q = p^s$ for some $s \geq 1$. The previous proposition actually gives that the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ embeds into the product of $\prod_{\ell} \text{Sym}_{p^{n\ell}}$ via the product of the maps $e_{\mathbf{F}_{p^\ell}}$. The images of $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ under the homomorphisms $e_{\mathbf{F}_{p^\ell}}$ are not the full symmetric groups. Indeed, these groups are generated by elements of odd prime order p , so any permutation action of G on a finite set consists of even permutations. Our main results, Theorems 9.4, 9.9 and Corollary 9.10, provide more information about these images. The proofs of these results require some preparation. We will start with a small example which conveys the main idea but avoids most technical difficulties.

7.2. The prime field case. Before considering the general case, we focus on the special case when $A = \mathbf{F}_p$ and the base field $R = \mathbf{F}_p$ does not contain any non-trivial $E-1$ roots of 1. The latter obviously holds for example when $E = 2$.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 1$ be integers such that $E = e_1 \dots e_n \geq 2$. Let p be a prime with $p > \max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, and such that the only root of $x^{E-1} = 1$ in \mathbf{F}_p is the trivial root $x = 1$. Let $G = G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$, where $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$.*

Then the G -action on \mathbf{F}_p^n fixes the point 0 and acts $(p-1)$ -transitively on $\mathbf{F}_p^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer with $k \leq p-1$. We fix a standard k -tuple of vectors, denoted $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ and defined by

$$\sigma_i = (i, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{F}_p^n.$$

Let now ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_k be distinct elements in $\mathbf{F}_p^n \setminus \{0\}$. We must find an element of G mapping that k -tuple to the standard one. We proceed in several steps. As we shall see, the key is to combine repeatedly Lemma 2.8 with Corollary 6.2. Let us briefly discuss the respective assumptions of those results.

We shall apply Lemma 2.8 to k -tuples of the form $(\mu_1^{E-1}, \dots, \mu_k^{E-1})$, with $\mu_i \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$. The hypothesis made on \mathbf{F}_p ensures that the map $\mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p : x \mapsto x^{E-1}$ is injective. Hence if the μ_i 's are pairwise distinct, then so are their $(E-1)^{\text{st}}$ powers. In particular those elements have pairwise distinct minimal polynomials over \mathbf{F}_p . This will ensure that the assumptions of Lemma 2.8 are fulfilled. By hypothesis, the assumptions of Theorem 6.1 are equally satisfied.

For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we denote the j^{th} coordinate of an element $\psi \in \mathbf{F}_p^n$ by $\psi(j) \in \mathbf{F}_p$.

Step 1. *There exists $g \in G$ such that $g\phi_1(n) \neq 0$.*

We may assume that $\phi_1(n) = 0$. Since the vector ϕ_1 is non-zero, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ with $\phi_1(i) \neq 0$. By Theorem 6.1, we have $\alpha_{n;i}^{(t)}(1) \in G$ for some integer $t \geq 1$. The required assertion holds with $g = \alpha_{n;i}^{(t)}(1)$.

Step 2. *Let $s \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Assume that for some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the elements $\phi_1(\ell), \phi_2(\ell), \dots, \phi_s(\ell)$ are non-zero and pairwise distinct. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that $g\phi_i(\ell) = \phi_i(\ell)$ and $g\phi_i(j) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\ell\}$.*

Let us first fix $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\ell\}$. We use the notation $t_{j,\ell} = e_j \dots e_{\ell-1}$ from Theorem 6.1. Applying Lemma 2.8 to the s -tuples (μ_1, \dots, μ_s) and (ν_1, \dots, ν_s) defined by $\mu_i = \phi_i(\ell)^{E-1}$ and

$$\nu_i = \frac{-\phi_i(j)}{\phi_i(\ell)^{t_{j,\ell}}},$$

we obtain a polynomial $f \in \mathbf{F}_p[x]$ with $f(\mu_i) = \nu_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. We then invoke Corollary 6.2, ensuring the existence of a polynomial transvection $g_j \in G$ fixing the indeterminate x_m for all indices $m \neq j$ and mapping x_j to $x_j + x_\ell^{t_{j,\ell}} f(x_\ell^{E-1})$. By construction, we have $g_j\phi_i(j) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.

Doing this for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\ell\}$, we obtain elements g_j that commute pairwise. It follows that the required assertion holds with $g = \prod_{j \neq \ell} g_j$.

Step 3. *Let $s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. Assume that for some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the elements $\phi_1(\ell), \phi_2(\ell), \dots, \phi_s(\ell)$ are non-zero and pairwise distinct. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that $g\phi_i(\ell)$ are non-zero and pairwise distinct for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s, s+1\}$, and that $g\phi_i(j) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s, s+1\}$ and all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\ell\}$.*

We first invoke the previous step. We may thus assume that $\phi_i(j) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\ell\}$. By hypothesis ϕ_{s+1} is non-zero and distinct from ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_s . Therefore, if $\phi_{s+1}(j) = 0$ for all $j \neq \ell$, we are already done. Otherwise, we may assume that $\phi_{s+1}(j) \neq 0$ for some $j \neq \ell$. Let now ν be any non-zero element of \mathbf{F}_p different from $\phi_1(\ell), \phi_2(\ell), \dots, \phi_s(\ell)$. Let $f \in \mathbf{F}_p[x]$ be the polynomial defined by $f(x) = x + \frac{\nu - \phi_{s+1}(\ell)}{\mu^{t_{\ell,j}}} - \mu^{E-1}$, where $\mu = \phi_{s+1}(j)$. Hence we have $f(\mu^{E-1}) = \frac{\nu - \phi_{s+1}(\ell)}{\mu^{t_{\ell,j}}}$. We then invoke Corollary 6.2, ensuring the existence of an element $h \in G$ of the form $h = \alpha_{\ell;j}^{(t_{\ell,j})}(r)$ such that $h\phi_i(\ell) = \phi_i(\ell)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $h\phi_{s+1}(\ell) = \nu$. We finish by invoking again the previous step.

Step 4. *End of the proof.*

By Step 1 we may assume that $\phi_1(n) \neq 0$. Now we use induction on s and Step 3 with $\ell = n$. This proves that, after transforming by some element of $g \in G$, we may assume that the elements $\phi_1(n), \phi_2(n), \dots, \phi_k(n)$ are non-zero and pairwise distinct, and moreover $\phi_i(j) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ and all $j < n$.

We then invoke Lemma 2.8 to the k -tuples (μ_1, \dots, μ_k) and (ν_1, \dots, ν_k) defined by $\mu_i = \phi_i(n)^{E-1}$ and

$$\nu_i = \frac{i}{\phi_i(n)^{t_{1,n}}}.$$

This yields a polynomial $f \in \mathbf{F}_p[x]$ with $f(\mu_i) = \nu_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. We then apply Corollary 6.2 to construct a polynomial transvection $h \in G$ fixing the indeterminate x_m for all $m \neq 1$ and mapping x_1 to $x_1 + x_n^{t_{1,n}} f(x_n^{E-1})$. It follows that $h\phi_i(1) = i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. By applying Step 2 with $\ell = 1$, we may now apply another element $g \in G$ so that $gh\phi_i(1) = i$ and $gh\phi_i(j) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. It follows that $gh\phi_i = \sigma_i$ for all i , and we are done. \square

Corollary 7.4. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 1$ be integers such that $E = e_1 \dots e_n \geq 2$. Let p be a prime with $p > E$ and such that $p-1$ and $E-1$ are relatively prime. Then $G = G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ maps onto $\text{Alt}(p^n - 1)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.3, the G -action on the set $\mathbf{F}_p^n \setminus \{0\}$ of cardinality $p^n - 1$ is $(p-1)$ -transitive.

Assume first that $p \geq 5$. Hence the G -action is 4-transitive. Recall that a finite 4-transitive group on a set of cardinality ≥ 25 is the full alternating or symmetric group on that set (see [6, Th. 4.11]). By hypothesis, we have $p^n - 1 \geq 25$. Moreover $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ does not have any quotient isomorphic to $\text{Sym}(n)$ for $n \geq 2$ because it is generated by elements of odd order. The conclusion follows in this case.

Assume now that $p = 3$. By the above, we know that the image of G in $\text{Sym}(\mathbf{F}_3^n \setminus \{0\})$, that we shall denote by H , is 2-transitive. Since $p > E \geq 2$, we have $E = 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $e_1 = 1$. By Corollary 6.2, there exists a polynomial transvection $g \in G$ acting on \mathbf{F}_3^n as

$$g: (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (a_1 - a_2 + a_2^2, a_2, \dots, a_n).$$

In particular, the only points that are not fixed by g satisfy $a_2 = 2$. Thus H contains a non-trivial permutation h of $\mathbf{F}_3^n \setminus \{0\}$ fixing at least

$$3^n - 1 - 3^{n-1}$$

points. Now we invoke [13, Corollary 1], which ensures that if a primitive group H of degree d contains a non-trivial element fixing more than $\frac{4}{7}d$ points, then its general Fitting subgroup $F^*(H)$ is a product of alternating groups. The proportion of fixed points of h is

$$1 - \frac{3^{n-1}}{3^n - 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3^n - 1} \right) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3^{n+1} - 3} > \frac{4}{7}$$

since $n \geq 3$, so that $F^*(H)$ is a product of alternating groups. By Burnside's theorem (see [6, Theorem 4.3]), a minimal normal subgroup of a 2-transitive group is either elementary abelian (with a regular action) or non-abelian simple (with a primitive action). We infer that the socle of H is an alternating group. The conclusion now follows from the classification of the finite 2-transitive groups, see [6, §7.4]. \square

Remark 7.5. As mentioned in the introduction, for p sufficiently large, the use of the CFSG via the classification of 4-transitive groups in the proof of Corollary 7.4 can be bypassed, using the main result of [1] or of [22], since the G -action on the set $\mathbf{F}_p^n \setminus \{0\}$ is $(p-1)$ -transitive by Theorem 7.3.

Corollary 7.6. *For each $n \geq 3$, the group $G_{n, \mathbf{Z}[1/30]}$ defined in Theorem 5.2 has property (T) and maps onto the alternating groups $\text{Alt}(p^n - 1)$ for all primes $p \geq 7$. The same holds for the group $G_{n, \mathbf{Z}[1/6]}$ for all $n \geq 4$ and all primes $p \geq 5$.*

Proof. The group $G_{n,R}$ contains $G_{R,(1,\dots,1,2)}$ as a subgroup. Moreover, a surjective ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p$ yields an action of $G_{n,R}$ on the set $\mathbf{F}_p^n \setminus \{0\}$. Since $G_{R,(1,\dots,1,2)}$ acts through the quotient map $G_{R,(1,\dots,1,2)} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{F}_p,(1,\dots,1,2)}$, the image of that action is the full alternating group by the previous corollary. \square

8. ALTERNATING GROUPS AS EXPANDERS

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.5. We present it here as it only relies on the results obtained thus far, and does not require the technicalities we will deal with when extending Theorem 7.3 over extensions of the base field in the following section.

For the reader's convenience, we reproduce the statement of the theorem here:

Theorem 8.1. *Let p be an odd prime prime.*

(i) *The permutations*

$$\sigma(x, y, z) = (y, z, x) \quad \alpha(x, y, z) = (x + y, y, z) \quad \beta(x, y, z) = (x + y^2, y, z),$$

acting on the set $\mathbf{F}_p^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ of cardinality $p^3 - 1$, generate the full alternating group $\text{Alt}(p^3 - 1)$. The associated Cayley graphs form expanders of degree 6.

(ii) *The permutations*

$$\rho(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_1)$$

$$\gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = (x_1 + x_2, x_2, x_3, x_4 + x_6^2, x_5, x_6, x_7)$$

acting on the set $\mathbf{F}_p^7 \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ of cardinality $p^7 - 1$, generate the full alternating group $\text{Alt}(p^7 - 1)$. The associated Cayley graphs form expanders of degree 4.

Proof. We start with (i). Let H be the permutation group generated by σ, α, β , which have order 3, p, p respectively. In particular σ, α, β are even permutations.

Observe that the permutations

$$\beta, \sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}, \sigma^{-1}\alpha\sigma \in H$$

coincide with the images of the generators $\tau_1(1), \tau_2(1)$ and $\tau_3(1)$ of the group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p,(2,1,1)}$ in its natural action on $\mathbf{F}_p^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. Therefore, it follows from Corollary 7.4 that H is the full alternating group $\text{Alt}(p^3 - 1)$.

Set $Q = \{\sigma, \alpha, \beta\}$. To prove the second assertion, we need to show that the unitary representation π , defined as the subrepresentation of the regular representation of $\text{Alt}(p^3 - 1)$ on the orthogonal of the constant functions, does not have (Q, ε) -invariant vectors, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is smaller than some constant which is independent of p . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p \geq 11$.

We now assume that π has a (Q, ε) -invariant unit vector v , for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Observe that the subgroup L of H generated by $\alpha, \sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}$ and $\sigma^{-1}\alpha\sigma$ is a copy of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Hence we may view the restriction $\pi|_L$ as a representation of the group $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{Z})$, which factors through $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Using property (T) for the group $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{Z})$ we deduce from Lemma 2.4 that v is $(\text{SL}(\mathbf{F}_p), D\varepsilon)$ -invariant vector for some constant D which depends only on the Kazhdan constant of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{Z})$. In particular D is independent of p . Using that $\alpha_{3;2}^{(2)}(r) = [\alpha_{3;1}^{(1)}(r), \alpha_{1;2}^{(2)}(1)]$, it follows that the vector v is $(S, 3D\varepsilon)$ -invariant, where S is the generating set for $G_{\mathbf{F}_p,(2,1,1)}$ consisting of all those elements $\alpha_{11;32}^{(2)}(r), \alpha_{22;13}^{(1)}(s)$ and $\alpha_{3;21}^{(21)}(t)$, with $r, s, t \in \mathbf{F}_p$. We now

invoke Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 5.1. Those ensure that the unit vector v is also a $(G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (2,1,1)}, C_p \varepsilon)$ -invariant vector, where $C_p = \frac{6D}{\kappa_p}$ and κ_p is the Kazhdan constant for $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (2,1,1)}$ with respect to the generating set S . Notice that Theorem 5.1 provides a lower bound for κ_p which is a strictly increasing function of p . In particular it is bounded below by the value of that function at $p = 11$. Therefore, we deduce that v is a $(G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (2,1,1)}, C\varepsilon)$ -invariant vector, where C is now independent of p . In the case where $C\varepsilon < 1$, we deduce that π contains a non-zero vector that is invariant under the whole group $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (2,1,1)}$. This is impossible since the latter group maps onto $\text{Alt}(p^3 - 1)$ by Corollary 7.4. Thus we have reached contradiction by choosing $\varepsilon < 1/C$. This finishes the proof of (i).

The proof of part (ii) is similar. We notice that the commutator $\tau = [\gamma, \rho\gamma\rho^{-1}]$ acts as

$$\tau(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = (x_1 + x_3, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7)$$

thus τ and ρ generate a copy of the group $\text{SL}_7(\mathbf{F}_p)$. This implies that there is a word w in ρ and γ which acts $\mathbf{F}_p^7 \setminus \{0\}$ as

$$w(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 + x_6^2, x_5, x_6, x_7),$$

such that the word w is *short* in the sense that its length is bounded above independently of p . Indeed, one may take $w = \gamma\tau^{-g}$, where g acts on the coordinates as the permutation (12)(34). Such an element g exists and can be expressed as a short word in ρ and γ since $\text{SL}_7(\mathbf{Z})$ contains all even permutations of the variables. Furthermore by conjugating w by a suitable permutation of the variables, there exists another short word which acts as $\alpha_{1;2}^{(2)}(1)$. Therefore the image of $\langle \rho, \gamma \rangle$ in $\text{Sym}(p^7 - 1)$ contains the image of $G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (2,1,\dots,1)}$. In particular its image is the full alternating group $\text{Alt}(p^7 - 1)$ by Corollary 7.4 (since ρ is of order 7 and γ is of order p , both elements are even permutations)

To finish the proof, we repeat the argument from part (i) and see that the Cayley graphs of $\text{Alt}(p^7 - 1)$ with the generating set $\{\rho, \tau, w\}$ are expanders. Since these elements can be expressed as short words in γ and ρ , we infer that the Cayley graphs of $\text{Alt}(p^7 - 1)$ with respect to $\{\gamma, \rho\}$ are also expanders. \square

Remark 8.2. It is possible to track the constants in the argument above and obtain bounds for the spectral gap of the resulting expander graphs. A quick computation bounds the gap by 10^{-3} when p is sufficiently large.

9. CONSTRUCTING LARGER FINITE QUOTIENTS

Our next goal is to establish a suitable generalization of Theorem 7.3 over larger fields $A = \mathbf{F}_q$. In that case, the situation is more complicated in three different ways:

- (a) The action on non-zero vectors is not transitive; there are several orbits, coming from intermediate fields between \mathbf{F}_p and \mathbf{F}_q .
- (b) The action of the Frobenius automorphism commutes with the G -action, so we cannot hope to have k -transitivity. We must consider the G -action on the quotient set modulo the Frobenius action.
- (c) The existence of non-trivial $E - 1$ roots of unity in the field causes significant technical complications.

The rest of this section aims at addressing all those issues.

9.1. Orbit invariants. As before, we let $n \geq 3$, $G = G_{\mathbf{F}_p, e}$ and $E = e_1 \dots e_n$, and consider an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra A . Since G preserves the grading of $R_n = \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ introduced in Definition 6.6, the homogeneous components of the grading allow us to define orbit-invariants for the G -action on A^n . More precisely, for each $\phi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$ we define the following subsets of A : $A_{\phi, s} = \phi(R_n^{(s)})$ and $A_\phi = \phi(R_n)$. For each s , the fibers of the map $\phi \mapsto A_{\phi, s}$ are G -invariant, since $A_{g\phi, s} = A_{\phi, s}$ for all $g \in G$. Notice that $A_{\phi, 0}$ and A_ϕ are subrings of A . Moreover, for each s the subset $A_{\phi, s}$ is a module over $A_{\phi, 0}$, and we have

$$A_\phi = A_{\phi, 0} + A_{\phi, 1} + \dots + A_{\phi, E-2}.$$

That sum is however not direct in general.

Lemma 9.1. *Let A be an algebraic field extension of \mathbf{F}_p , and assume that ϕ is not the zero homomorphism.⁵ Then $A_{\phi, 0}$ and A_ϕ are finite subfields of A . For each $s = 0, 1, \dots, E-2$, the module $A_{\phi, s}$ is a one-dimensional vector space over $A_{\phi, 0}$.*

Furthermore, for any $\alpha_1 \in A_{\phi, 1} \setminus \{0\}$, we have that $(\alpha_1)^s$ generates $A_{\phi, s}$ as a vector space over $A_{\phi, 0}$, for all $s = 1, \dots, E-2$. In particular, we have $[A_\phi : A_{\phi, 0}] \leq E-1$.

Proof. Both $A_{\phi, 0}$ and A_ϕ are finitely generated as rings. Any non-zero finitely generated subring of A is thus a finite field. The first claim follows. Since $A_{\phi, s}$ is a module over $A_{\phi, 0}$ it is a vector space. That vector space is 1-dimensional since for any nonzero $\alpha \in A_{\phi, E-1-s}$, we have the inclusion $\alpha A_{\phi, s} \subset A_{\phi, 0}$, and the multiplication by α is injective since A_ϕ is a field. Given any $\alpha_1 \in A_{\phi, 1} \setminus \{0\}$ and $s \in \{1, \dots, E-2\}$, we have $\alpha_1^s \neq 0$, hence α_1^s generates the 1-dimensional space $A_{\phi, s}$ over $A_{\phi, 0}$. \square

Corollary 9.2. *If $E-1$ is a prime number different from p , then one of the following assertions holds.*

- (a) $A_\phi = A_{\phi, s}$ for all s .
- (b) $A_{\phi, 0}$ does contain all $(E-1)^{\text{st}}$ roots of 1; A_ϕ is an extension of $A_{\phi, 0}$ of degree $E-1$ obtained by adding a $(E-1)^{\text{st}}$ root of some element in $A_{\phi, 0}$.
- (c) $A_{\phi, 0}$ does not contain any non-trivial $(E-1)^{\text{st}}$ root of 1, and A_ϕ is obtained from $A_{\phi, 0}$ by adjoining the $(E-1)^{\text{st}}$ roots of 1.

Proof. Fix $\alpha_1 \in A_{\phi, 1}$ and let k be the smallest integer such that $\alpha_1^k \in A_{\phi, 0}$. Clearly k divides $E-1$, thus either $k=1$ or $k=E-1$ since $E-1$ is prime.

The case $k=1$ give $A_{\phi, s} = A_{\phi, 0}$, and we are in (a).

The case $k=E-1$ splits in two subcases.

Assume first that $\alpha_1^{E-1} \notin A_{\phi, 0}^{E-1}$. Then clearly $A_{\phi, 0} \neq A_{\phi, 0}^{E-1}$. Therefore, the map $A_{\phi, 0} \rightarrow A_{\phi, 0}^{E-1} : x \mapsto x^{E-1}$ is not surjective, hence it is not injective. Since its restriction to the non-zero elements is a group homomorphism, it follows that $A_{\phi, 0}$ contains a non-trivial $(E-1)^{\text{st}}$ root of 1, hence it contains all of them since $E-1$ is prime by hypothesis. This shows that (b) holds.

It remains to treat the subcase where $\alpha_1^{E-1} = \beta^{E-1}$ for some $\beta \in A_{\phi, 0}$. It then follows that $\alpha_1' = \alpha_1 \beta^{-1}$ is a $(E-1)^{\text{st}}$ root of 1 contained in $A_{\phi, 1}$. Since $E-1$ is a prime, the subfield $A_{\phi, 0}$ contains all $(E-1)^{\text{st}}$ roots of 1 as soon as it contains any of them. Since $\alpha_1' \notin A_{\phi, 0}$ in the case at hand, we deduce that (c) holds. \square

⁵We need to exclude the case when ϕ sends all generators to 0, because in this case the sets $A_{\phi, i}$ consist only of 0 and are not subfields, for $i \neq 0$.

Recall that the degree of x_i with respect to the grading from Definition 6.6 equals $e_i \dots e_n$. The following easy observation will be useful.

Lemma 9.3. *Let A be an algebraic field extension of \mathbf{F}_p , and let $\phi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$ with $\phi(x_1) \neq 0$, where $R_n = \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then we have*

$$A_{\phi,0} = \mathbf{F}_p(\phi(x_1)^{E-1}, \phi(x_1)^{-d_2}\phi(x_2), \dots, \phi(x_1)^{-d_i}\phi(x_i), \dots),$$

where $d_i = e_i \dots e_n$ for all i .

Proof. Let

$$F = \mathbf{F}_p(\phi(x_1)^{E-1}, \phi(x_1)^{-d_2}\phi(x_2), \dots, \phi(x_1)^{-d_n}\phi(x_n)) \subseteq A_{\phi,0}.$$

By definition, the field $A_{\phi,0}$ is the \mathbf{F}_p -algebra generated by the set

$$\left\{ \phi(x_{i_1})^{s_1} \dots \phi(x_{i_k})^{s_k} \mid s_j \in \mathbf{Z}, \sum_{j=1}^k d_{i_j} s_j \in (E-1)\mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

Fix such a generator $\phi(x_{i_1})^{s_1} \dots \phi(x_{i_k})^{s_k}$ of $A_{\phi,0}$. The field F contains the element $(\phi(x_1)^{-d_{i_j}} \phi(x_{i_j}))^{s_j}$ for all j , hence also the product of all those elements, namely

$$\phi(x_1)^{-\sum_{j=1}^k d_{i_j} s_j} \phi(x_{i_1})^{s_1} \dots \phi(x_{i_k})^{s_k}.$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^k d_{i_j} s_j$ is a multiple of $E-1$ and since F contains $\phi(x_1)^{E-1}$, we deduce that F contains $\phi(x_{i_1})^{s_1} \dots \phi(x_{i_k})^{s_k}$. The result follows. \square

Given $\alpha \in A$, we define $\phi_\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$ by the assignments

$$\phi_\alpha: \begin{cases} x_1 & \mapsto \alpha \\ x_\ell & \mapsto 0 \quad \text{for all } \ell \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The next result shows that the maps $\phi \mapsto A_{\phi,0}$ and $\phi \mapsto A_{\phi,1}$ form a complete set of orbit invariants when $p \geq E$.

Theorem 9.4. *Let A be an algebraic field extension of \mathbf{F}_p , and let $\phi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$ be non-zero. Assume that $p \geq E$.*

- (i) *There exists $\alpha \in A_{\phi,1}$ such that α^{E-1} generates the field $A_{\phi,0}$ over \mathbf{F}_p .*
- (ii) *For any $\alpha \in A_{\phi,1}$ such that α^{E-1} generates the field $A_{\phi,0}$ over \mathbf{F}_p , the homomorphism ϕ_α belongs to the G -orbit of ϕ .*

In particular, two non-zero points $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A) \cong A^n$ are in the same G -orbit if and only if $(A_{\phi,0}, A_{\phi,1}) = (A_{\psi,0}, A_{\psi,1})$.

Proof. Given any $\beta \in A_{\phi,1}$, we have $0 \neq \beta^{E-1} \in A_{\phi,0}$. Applying Lemma 2.6 with $\gamma = \beta^{E-1}$ and $N = E-1$ yields an element $\alpha' \in A_{\phi,0}$ such that $A_{\phi,0} = \mathbf{F}_p((\alpha'\beta)^{E-1})$. The assertion (i) holds by setting $\alpha = \alpha'\beta$.

We now focus on (ii). We denote the G -orbit of ϕ by $G\phi$. We also set $N = E-1$, and to lighten the notation, we slightly abuse notation by writing $\psi(i)$ instead of $\psi(x_i)$ for any $\psi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$.

Step 1. *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and each $\psi \in G\phi$, there exists $\chi \in G\phi$ such that $|\mathbf{F}_p(\chi(1)^N)| \geq |\mathbf{F}_p(\psi(i)^N)|$.*

Clearly, we may assume that $i \geq 2$. We invoke Lemma 2.7(i) with exponent $k = t_{1,i} = e_1 \dots e_{i-1}$, $\alpha = \psi(i)$ and $\beta = \psi(1)$. This ensures the existence of some $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ such that $\mathbf{F}_p((\beta + \lambda\alpha^k)^N)$ is at least as large as $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$. Using Corollary 6.2, we construct a polynomial transvection $g \in G$ such that $g\psi(1) = \psi(1) + \lambda\psi(i)^k$ and $g\psi(\ell) = \psi(\ell)$ for all $\ell \geq 2$. We set $\chi = g\psi$, and we are done.

Step 2. *There exists $\psi \in G\phi$ such that $A_{\phi,0} = \mathbf{F}_p(\psi(1)^N)$.*

Let $\psi \in G\phi$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be such that $\mathbf{F}_p(\psi(j)^N) \subseteq A_{\phi,0}$ is a subfield of the largest possible cardinality. By the previous step, we may assume that $j = 1$. In particular $\psi(1) \neq 0$.

Suppose now for a contradiction that $\mathbf{F}_p(\psi(1)^N)$ is strictly contained in $A_{\phi,0}$. Then, by Lemma 9.3, there exists an index $i \geq 2$ such that $\psi(1)^{-d_i}\psi(i) \notin \mathbf{F}_p(\psi(1)^N)$. Therefore, we have $\psi(i)^N \notin \mathbf{F}_p(\psi(1)^N)$ or $\psi(1)^{d_i}\psi(i)^{N-1} \notin \mathbf{F}_p(\psi(1)^N)$. We then invoke Lemma 2.7(ii) with exponent $k = t_{i,1} = e_i \dots e_n$, $\alpha = \psi(1)$ and $\beta = \psi(i)$. This ensures the existence of some $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ such that $\mathbf{F}_p((\beta + \lambda\alpha^k)^N)$ is strictly larger than $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$. As in the previous step, we may then find some $\chi \in G\phi$ with $\chi(i) = \beta + \lambda\alpha^k$. This contradicts the maximality property of ψ .

Step 3. *For each $\alpha \in A_{\phi,1}$ such that $A_{\phi,0} = \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$, we have $\phi_\alpha \in G\phi$.*

By the previous step, we may assume that $A_{\phi,0} = \mathbf{F}_p(\phi(x_1)^N)$. For all $j \neq 1$, we now apply Corollary 6.2 to construct a polynomial transvection $g_j \in \prod_* A_{j,1}^{(*)} \subset G$ such that $g_j\phi(x_j) = \phi(x_j) + \lambda_j$ for any $\lambda_j \in A_{\phi,j}$. Using a suitable choice of λ_j and taking a product over all j , we obtain $g \in G$ such that $g\phi(1) = \phi(1)$, $g\phi(2) = \alpha$ and $g\phi(i) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1, 2$. Applying Corollary 6.2 a second time, we find h such that $hg\phi(1) = \alpha$ and $hg\phi(i) = g\phi(i)$ for all $i \neq 2$. Finally, we do the same thing a third time to map $hg\phi$ to ϕ_α . This finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 9.5. *Assume that $p \geq E$. Let $q = p^\ell$, where ℓ is a prime with $\ell \geq E$. Then the G -action on \mathbf{F}_q^n has exactly three orbits, namely $\{(0, \dots, 0)\}$, $\mathbf{F}_p^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ and $\mathbf{F}_q^n \setminus \mathbf{F}_p^n$.*

Proof. Under the assumptions, the only subfields of \mathbf{F}_q are \mathbf{F}_p and \mathbf{F}_q . Given a non-zero $\phi \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$, we have either $A_{\phi,0} = \mathbf{F}_p$ or $A_{\phi,0} = \mathbf{F}_q$.

In the former case, using Lemma 9.1 we obtain

$$[A_\phi : \mathbf{F}_p] = [A_\phi : A] \leq E - 1 < \ell = [\mathbf{F}_q : \mathbf{F}_p],$$

which implies that $A_\phi = \mathbf{F}_p$. Thus ϕ takes its values in \mathbf{F}_p , and $A_{\phi,s} = \mathbf{F}_p$ for all s . It follows from Theorem 9.4 that the G -orbit of ϕ is $\mathbf{F}_p^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ in this case.

In the latter case, we have $A_{\phi,0} = A_{\phi,s}$ for all s . By Theorem 9.4, the G -orbit of ϕ depends only on the pair $(A_{\phi,0}, A_{\phi,1})$. It follows that all points of $\mathbf{F}_q^n \setminus \mathbf{F}_p^n$ belong to the same G -orbit. \square

Lemma 2.6 yields the following lower bound, showing the existence of one large orbit, which contains practically all points if $p > 2E$.

Corollary 9.6. *Assume that $p \geq E$ and let A be a finite field extension of \mathbf{F}_p with $|A| = p^\ell$. All homomorphisms $\phi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$ such that $A_{\phi,0} = A$ form a single G -orbit, whose cardinality is greater than*

$$p^{\ell n} \left(1 - \frac{(E-1)^n}{p^n} \right).$$

Proof. That the homomorphisms ϕ with $A_{\phi,0} = A$ form a single G -orbit follows directly from Theorem 9.4. A sufficient condition ensuring that $A_{\phi,0} = A$ is that $\phi(x_i)^{E-1}$ is not contained in a proper subfield of A for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The number of those ϕ such that $\phi(x_i)^{E-1}$ is contained in a proper subfield of A for each i is at most $(E-1)^n \left(\sum_{d|\ell, d < \ell} p^d \right)^n$. It follows that the number of those ϕ such that $A_{\phi,0} = A$ is at least $p^{\ell n} - (E-1)^n \left(\sum_{d|\ell, d < \ell} p^d \right)^n$. For $l \geq 4$, we have $\sum_{d|\ell, d < \ell} p^d \leq \sum_{d \leq \ell/2} p^d \leq p^{n/2} \frac{p}{p-1}$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\ell n} - (E-1)^n \left(\sum_{d|\ell, d < \ell} p^d \right)^n &\geq p^{\ell n} - p^{\ell n/2} \left((E-1) \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^n \\ &> p^{\ell n} \left(1 - \frac{(E-1)^n}{p^n} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as required, since $p^{-1/2} \frac{p}{p-1} < 1/p$ for $l \geq 4$. For $l = 2, 3$ one can directly verify that

$$p^{\ell n} - (E-1)^n \left(\sum_{d|\ell, d < \ell} p^d \right)^n = p^{\ell n} - (E-1)^n p^n > p^{\ell n} \left(1 - \frac{(E-1)^n}{p^n} \right).$$

□

9.2. Action of $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/(E-1)\mathbf{Z}$ commuting with G . Let A be an algebraic field extension of \mathbf{F}_p . We now describe an action of the semi-direct product

$$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/(E-1)\mathbf{Z}$$

that commutes with the G -action. Let

$$F: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto a^p$$

denote the Frobenius automorphism. The group generated by F , acts by post-composition on $A^n \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$, hence it commutes with the G -action. We therefore obtain a \mathbf{Z} -action by sending the generator 1 to F . Since every subfield of A is F -invariant, we deduce from Lemma 9.1 that for each $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$, the sets A_ϕ and $A_{\phi,0}$ are invariant under F , while $A_{\phi,s}$ need not be for $s \geq 1$.

We now describe an action of the cyclic group $\mathbf{Z}/(E-1)\mathbf{Z}$ as follows.

Lemma 9.7. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 1$ be integers and A is a commutative unital \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Let also $\zeta \in A$ be an element such that $\zeta^{E-1} = 1$.*

Then the G -action on A^n commutes with the cyclic group of permutations generated by

$$m_\zeta: (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (\zeta a_1, \zeta^{E/e_1} a_2, \zeta^{E/e_1 e_2} a_3, \dots, \zeta^{e_n} a_n).$$

Proof. Recall that G is generated by $\tau_1(r), \dots, \tau_n(r)$, where $\tau_i(r) = \alpha_{i;i+1}^{(e_i)}(r)$. The automorphism $\tau_i(r)$ maps (a_1, \dots, a_n) on (a'_1, \dots, a'_n) , where⁶ $a'_i = a_i - r a_{i+1}^{e_i}$ and

⁶We recall from §7 that the action of G on A^n arises from the action of G on $R_n = \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and the natural map between $\text{Hom}(R_n, A)$ with A^n . We recall that, using that map, the transvection $\tau_i(r)$ acts as $x_i \mapsto x_i + r x_{i+1}^{e_i}$ on R_n , and by $a_i \mapsto a_i - r a_{i+1}^{e_i}$ on A^n .

$a'_s = a_s$ for all $s \neq i$. To check that $\tau_i(r)m_\zeta = m_\zeta\tau_i(r)$, it suffices to consider the i^{th} -coordinate. The i^{th} -coordinate of $\tau_i(r)m_\zeta(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ equals

$$\zeta^{E/e_1 \dots e_{i-1}} a_i - r(\zeta^{E/e_1 \dots e_i} a_{i+1})^{e_i} = \zeta^{E/e_1 \dots e_{i-1}} (a_i - r a_{i+1}^{e_i}).$$

The right-hand-side is the i^{th} -coordinate of $m_\zeta\tau_i(r)(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, as required. \square

From now on and in the rest of this paper, we choose $\zeta \in A$ to be a generator of the cyclic group of $(E-1)$ -roots of unity. We do not require that the multiplicative order of ζ equal $E-1$. We obtain a $\mathbf{Z}/(E-1)\mathbf{Z}$ -action by sending the generator 1 on m_ζ . Since $F \circ m_\zeta \circ F^{-1} = m_{F(\zeta)}$, we indeed obtain an action of the semi-direct product $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z} \ltimes \mathbf{Z}/(E-1)\mathbf{Z}$ that commutes with the G -action. That action need not be faithful: if A is finite the Frobenius automorphism is of finite order, so the group \mathbf{Z} acts via a proper quotient; similarly if the order of ζ is less than $E-1$ (this happens if p divides $E-1$, even if A is algebraically closed), the group $\mathbf{Z}/(E-1)\mathbf{Z}$ also acts via a proper quotient.

The orbit invariant $A_{\phi,0}$ is preserved by the Γ -action. However $A_{\phi,1}$ and A_ϕ are not in general.

The following observation is useful to distinguish the Γ -orbits on the affine space $A^n \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$. As before, we define $\phi_\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n], A)$ by $x_1 \mapsto \alpha$ and $x_s \mapsto 0$ for all $s \geq 2$.

Lemma 9.8. *Let A be an algebraic field extension of \mathbf{F}_p and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \in A$.*

- (i) *The homomorphisms $\phi_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \phi_{\alpha_s}$ lie in pairwise distinct Γ -orbits if and only if the minimal polynomials of $\alpha_1^{E-1}, \dots, \alpha_s^{E-1}$ over \mathbf{F}_p are pairwise distinct.*
- (ii) *Let $L \subseteq A$ be a finite subfield of degree ℓ over \mathbf{F}_p . Assume that $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha_i^{E-1}) = L$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$, and that the minimal polynomials of $\alpha_1^{E-1}, \dots, \alpha_s^{E-1}$ over \mathbf{F}_p are pairwise distinct. Let $k \geq s+1$ be an integer. Assume that*

$$k \leq \frac{p^{\ell-1}(p-E)}{\ell E}$$

if $L \neq \mathbf{F}_p$, and that $k \leq \frac{p-1}{E-1}$ if $L = \mathbf{F}_p$. Then there exist elements $\alpha_{s+1}, \dots, \alpha_k \in L$ such that $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha_i^{E-1}) = L$ for all i , and the minimal polynomials of $\alpha_1^{E-1}, \dots, \alpha_k^{E-1}$ over \mathbf{F}_p are pairwise distinct.

Proof. Two elements of A have the same minimal polynomial over \mathbf{F}_p if and only if they belong to the same $\langle F \rangle$ -orbit. Let $\alpha, \beta \in A$. Then ϕ_α and ϕ_β are in the same Γ -orbit if and only if there exist integers $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, E-1\}$ and $j \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\beta = (\zeta^i \alpha)^{p^j}$.

If the latter holds, then we have $\beta^N = (\alpha^N)^{p^j}$, where $N = E-1$. Hence α^N and β^N have the same minimal polynomial over \mathbf{F}_p . Conversely, if $\beta^N = (\alpha^N)^{p^j}$, then $\beta^{-1}\alpha^{p^j} \in A$ is an N^{th} root of unity, so there exists an integer m with $\beta^{-1}\alpha^{p^j} = \zeta^m$. Since the multiplicative group of N^{th} roots of unity is $\langle F \rangle$ -invariant, this implies that there exists $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $\beta = (\zeta^i \alpha)^{p^j}$. The assertion (i) follows.

For the assertion (ii), we use a similar counting argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.6. The number of elements $\beta \in L$ is such that $\mathbf{F}_p(\beta^N) \neq L$ is at most $N \sum_{t=1}^{\ell-1} p^t = N \frac{p^\ell - p}{p-1}$ since $|L| = p^\ell$. Hence the complement of that set, that we denote by L_0 , has cardinality at least $\frac{p^\ell(p-E) + p^\ell(E-1)}{p-1}$. The group Γ acts on A by permutations via the map $\alpha \mapsto \phi_\alpha$. As observed at the beginning of the

proof, two elements $\alpha, \beta \in L$ are in the same Γ -orbit if and only if there exist integers $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, E-2\}$ and $j \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\beta = (\zeta^i \alpha)^{p^j}$. Since ζ is a generator of the subgroup of $(E-1)^{\text{st}}$ -roots of 1 in A , the subfield $\mathbf{F}_p(\zeta)$ is invariant under the Frobenius automorphism. Therefore we have $\beta = \zeta^s \alpha^{p^j}$ for some $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, E-2\}$. Since α belongs to L , which has order p^ℓ , we may take $j \leq \ell-1$. It follows that the Γ -orbit of any element $\alpha \in L$ is of size at most $\ell(E-1)$. Hence the elements of L_0 fall into at least K distinct Γ -orbits, where

$$K = \frac{p^\ell(p-E) + p(E-1)}{\ell(E-1)(p-1)}.$$

Clearly, we have $\frac{p^{\ell-1}(p-E)}{\ell E} = \frac{p^\ell(p-E)}{\ell p E} \leq K$. The assertion (ii) follows in case $L \neq \mathbf{F}_p$.

If $L = \mathbf{F}_p$ (i.e. $\ell = 1$), the argument above simplifies. Indeed, for each non-zero $\beta \in L$, we have $\mathbf{F}_p(\beta^N) = \mathbf{F}_p$, so that $L_0 = \mathbf{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$ has cardinality $p-1$ in this case. The Γ -orbit of any element $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_p$ is of size at most $E-1$, so the elements of L_0 fall into at least $\frac{p-1}{E-1}$ distinct Γ -orbits. The assertion (ii) follows since $k \leq \frac{p-1}{E-1}$ by hypothesis. \square

9.3. Higher transitivity. Throughout this section, we assume that $n \geq 3$. The G -orbits on A^n are described by Theorem 9.4. Moreover Theorem 7.3 shows that, under suitable assumptions on p and E , the G -action on one of the orbits, namely $\mathbf{F}_p^n \setminus \{0\}$, is k -transitive for all sufficiently small k . Our next goal is to show that the G -action is almost k -transitive on each G -orbit. The obstruction to being k -transitive in the strict sense comes from the Γ -action, that commutes with the G -action. The following theorem shows that this is the only obstruction: on each G -orbit, the G -action is k -transitive on the blocks of imprimitivity formed by the Γ -orbits.

As before, we let $R_n = \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, $G = G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$ and $E = e_1 \dots e_n$. Given a field extension A of \mathbf{F}_p and $\phi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$, we use the notation $A_{\phi, s}$ from §9.1.

Theorem 9.9. *Let $\mathbf{F}_p \subseteq L \subseteq A$ be finite field extensions of \mathbf{F}_p , and let $\ell = [L : \mathbf{F}_p]$. We assume that*

$$p \geq 3E - 2.$$

Let k be an integer, and assume that $k \leq \frac{p^{\ell-1}(p-E)}{\ell E}$ if $L \neq \mathbf{F}_p$, and that $k \leq \frac{p-1}{E-1}$ if $L = \mathbf{F}_p$. Let also $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A) \cong A^n$ be homomorphisms such that $A_{\phi_i, 0} = L$ for all i . For each i , let also $\alpha_i \in A_{\phi_i, 1}$ be such that $L = \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha_i^{E-1})$.

Assume that ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_k (resp. $\phi_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \phi_{\alpha_k}$) belong to pairwise distinct Γ -orbits. Then there exists an element $g \in G$ and, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, an element $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ such that

$$g(\gamma_i(\phi_i)) = \phi_{\alpha_i} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . The base case $k = 1$ is afforded by Theorem 9.4. Assume henceforth that $k \geq 2$ and that the required conclusion is true for $k-1$. Using the induction hypothesis, we may assume without loss of generality that $\phi_i = \phi_{\alpha_i}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. It remains to show that there exists $g \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $g(\phi_{\alpha_i}) = \phi_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i \leq k-1$, and $g(\gamma(\phi_k)) = \phi_{\alpha_k}$. To construct those elements, we proceed in several steps, which essentially follow those taken in the proof of Theorem 9.4. As before set $N = E-1$ and, to lighten

the notation, we slightly abuse notation by writing $\psi(i)$ instead of $\psi(x_i)$ for any $\psi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$.

Step 1. For each $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, there exists $h \in G$ such that $h(\phi_{\alpha_i}) = \phi_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i \leq k-1$, and that $|\mathbf{F}_p(h\phi_k(1)^N)| \geq |\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(j)^N)|$.

We invoke Lemma 2.7(i) with $\alpha = \phi_k(j)$ and $\beta = \phi_k(1)$. This ensures the existence of some $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ such that $\mathbf{F}_p((\beta + \lambda\alpha^{t_{1,j}})^N)$ is at least as large as $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$. We can write $\lambda = P(\alpha^N)$ for some polynomial $P \in \mathbf{F}_p[y]$. Using Corollary 6.2, we construct a polynomial transvection $h \in G$ such that for all $\psi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$, we have $h\psi(1) = \psi(1) + \psi(j)^{t_{1,j}}P(\psi(j)^N)$ and $h\psi(m) = \psi(m)$ for all $m \geq 2$. In particular $h\phi_i(1) = \phi_i(1) + 0 = \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, and $h\phi_k(1) = \beta + \alpha^{t_{1,j}}\lambda$. The claim follows.

Step 2. Suppose that $|\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N)| \geq |\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(j)^N)|$ for all j . If $\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N) \neq L$, then there exists $h \in G$ and $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ such that $h(\phi_{\alpha_i}) = \phi_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i \leq k-1$ and $|\mathbf{F}_p(h\phi_k(j)^N)| > |\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N)|$.

Assume first that $\phi_k(1) = 0$, so that $\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N) = \mathbf{F}_p$ and hence $\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(j)^N) = \mathbf{F}_p$ for all j by the assumptions made in this step. By hypothesis, we have $A_{\phi_i,0} = L$ for all i . In particular ϕ_k is non-zero, hence there is some m such that $\phi_k(m) \neq 0$. We invoke Corollary 6.2 to find a polynomial transvection $h_0 \in G$ such that $h_0\psi(1) = \psi(1)$ and $h_0\psi(m) = \psi(m)$ for all $m \geq 2$. Hence $h_0\phi_i = \phi_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $h_0\phi_k(1) \neq 0$. We set $\phi'_k = h_0\phi_k$. Since $\mathbf{F}_p(\phi'_k(j)^N) = \mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(j)^N) = \mathbf{F}_p$ for all $j \geq 2$, we have $|\mathbf{F}_p(\phi'_k(1)^N)| \geq |\mathbf{F}_p(\phi'_k(j)^N)|$ for all j . Moreover $\mathbf{F}_p(\phi'_k(1)^N) \subseteq L$ since ϕ_k and ϕ'_k are in the same G -orbit. Therefore, upon replacing ϕ_k by ϕ'_k , we may and will assume henceforth that $\phi_k(1) \neq 0$.

By Lemma 9.3, there exists an index $j \geq 2$ such that $\phi_k(1)^{-d_j}\phi_k(j) \notin \mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N)$, where $d_j = e_j \dots e_n$. Therefore, we have $\phi_k(j)^N \notin \mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N)$ or $\phi_k(1)^{d_j}\phi_k(j)^{N-1} \notin \mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N)$. We then invoke Lemma 2.7(ii) with exponent $t_{j,1} = d_j$, $\alpha = \phi_k(1)$ and $\beta = \phi_k(j)$. This ensures the existence of some $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$ such that $\mathbf{F}_p((\beta + \lambda\alpha^{d_j})^N)$ is strictly larger than $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha^N)$.

By hypothesis, the elements $\phi_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \phi_{\alpha_k}$ are in pairwise distinct Γ -orbits, this means that $\alpha_1^N, \dots, \alpha_k^N$ have distinct minimal polynomials over \mathbf{F}_p . By hypothesis, we have $L = A_{\phi_i,0}$ for all i . In view of Lemma 9.3, this implies that $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha_i^N) = L$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, while $\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N) \neq L$ by assumption. Therefore we have that $\alpha_1^N, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}^N, \phi_k(1)^N$ have distinct minimal polynomials over \mathbf{F}_p . Therefore, by Lemma 2.8, there is a polynomial $P \in \mathbf{F}_p[y]$ such that $P(\alpha_i^N) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $P(\phi_k(1)^N) = P(\alpha^N) = \lambda$.

Finally, we invoke Corollary 6.2 to find a polynomial transvection $h \in G$ such that $h\psi(j) = \psi(j) + \psi(1)^{d_j}P(\psi(1)^N)$ and $h\psi(m) = \psi(m)$ for all $m \neq j$. The claim follows.

Step 3. There exists $h \in G$ such that $h(\phi_{\alpha_i}) = \phi_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i \leq k-1$, and that $\mathbf{F}_p(h\phi_k(1)^N) = L$.

By applying iteratively the first two steps, we find a sequence of elements $h_m \in G$ which all fix ϕ_{α_i} for $i \leq k-1$, and such that the cardinality of $\mathbf{F}_p(h_m\phi_k(1)^N)$ strictly increases with m . Recall moreover that $g\phi_k(1)^N \in A_{\phi_k,0} = L$ for all $g \in G$, so that $\mathbf{F}_p(h_m\phi_k(1)^N) \subseteq L$ for all m . Therefore, the process stops once an element h_m satisfying the condition that $\mathbf{F}_p(h_m\phi_k(1)^N) = L$ is found. This proves the claim.

Step 4. Suppose that $\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N) = L$. Then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $h \in G$ such that the elements $h\phi_1(j)^N, h\phi_2(j)^N, \dots, h\phi_{k-1}(j)^N, h\gamma\phi_k(j)^N$ have pairwise different minimal polynomials, and each of them generates L over \mathbf{F}_p .

Set $\beta = \phi_k(1)$. Suppose first that $\alpha_1^N, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}^N, \beta^N$ have pairwise different minimal polynomials. Recall that $\phi_i = \phi_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i \leq k-1$. Therefore, the required conclusion is satisfied with $j = 1$, $\gamma = 1$ and $h = 1$ in this case.

Suppose next that $\phi_k(m) = 0$ for all $m \geq 2$. This means that $\phi_k = \phi_\beta$. Since ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_k lie in pairwise distinct Γ -orbits, we deduce from Lemma 9.8 that $\alpha_1^N, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}^N, \beta^N$ have pairwise different minimal polynomials. Therefore, we are reduced to the first case above.

We assume henceforth that there is $s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that α_s^N and β^N have the same minimal polynomials. By the previous paragraph, this implies that $\phi_k(j) \neq 0$ for some $j \geq 2$. Upon replacing ϕ_k by $\gamma\phi_k$ for a suitable $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we may then assume that

$$\phi_s(1) = \alpha_s = \beta = \phi_k(1).$$

We next claim that there exists some $\delta \in L$ such that δ^N and $(\phi_k(j) + \delta)^N$ have distinct minimal polynomials, and that each of these two elements generates L as an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. We verify this by a counting argument as in Lemma 2.7, as follows.

If $\mathbf{F}_p(\delta^N)$ is a proper subfield of L , then δ satisfies a polynomial equation over \mathbf{F}_p of degree Np^t for some $t \in \{1, \dots, \ell-1\}$. The same conclusion holds if $\mathbf{F}_p((\phi_k(j) + \delta)^N)$ is a proper subfield of L . Finally, if δ^N and $(\phi_k(j) + \delta)^N$ have the same minimal polynomial, then we have $(\phi_k(j) + \delta)^N = \delta^{Np^t}$ for some $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell-1\}$. This means that either δ satisfies an equation of degree $N-1$, or δ satisfies an equation of degree Np^t for some $t \in \{1, \dots, \ell-1\}$. Therefore, the set of those δ that must be excluded is of cardinality at most the sum of the degrees of these equations

$$N-1 + 3N \sum_{t=1}^{\ell-1} p^t = N-1 + 3N \frac{p^\ell - p}{p-1}.$$

Using the hypothesis that $p \geq 3E - 2 = 3N + 1$, we have

$$(3N+1)p^\ell \leq p^{\ell+1} < p^{\ell+1} + 2Np + p + N - 1.$$

This implies that

$$N-1 + 3N \frac{p^\ell - p}{p-1} < p^\ell,$$

so that the field L , which is of order p^ℓ contains at least one element δ outside of that critical set.

We fix that element δ and we set $\beta_s = \delta$ and $\beta_k = \phi_k(j) + \delta$. Using the hypothesis that $k \leq \frac{p^\ell(p-E)}{\ell p E}$ if $\ell \geq 2$, and that $k \leq \frac{p-1}{E-1}$ if $\ell = 1$, we deduce from Lemma 9.8(ii) that there exist $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1}, \beta_{s+1}, \dots, \beta_{k-1} \in L$ such that $\beta_1^N, \dots, \beta_k^N$ are pairwise distinct minimal polynomials, and $\mathbf{F}_p(\beta_m^N) = L$ for each m .

Since $\alpha_1^N, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}^N$ have pairwise different minimal polynomials, Lemma 2.8 affords a polynomial $P \in \mathbf{F}_p[y]$ such that

$$P(\alpha_m^N) = \frac{\beta_m}{\alpha_m} \quad \text{for each } m = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

where $d_j = t_{j,1} = e_j \dots e_n$. Using Corollary 6.2, we obtain a polynomial transvection $h \in G$ such that for each $\psi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$, we have

$$h\psi(j) = \psi(j) + \psi(1)^{d_j} P(\psi(1)^N)$$

and $h\psi(m) = \psi(m)$ for all $m \neq j$. It follows that $h\phi_i(j) = \beta_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, and the step is complete.

Step 5. *End of the proof.*

In view of Step 3, we may assume that $\mathbf{F}_p(\phi_k(1)^N) = L$. We then invoke Step 4, and use the symbols j, h, γ arising from its statement. Set $\chi_i = h\phi_i$ for $i \leq k-1$, and $\chi_k = h\gamma\phi_k$. Since $n \geq 3$, we may choose an index $l \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{1, j\}$. By Step 4, we know that $\chi_1(j)^N, \chi_2(j)^N, \dots, \chi_k(j)^N$ have pairwise different minimal polynomials, and each of those elements generate L over \mathbf{F}_p . Therefore, we may apply Lemma 2.8 and Corollary 6.2, in order to construct a polynomial transvection $h' \in G$ such that $h'\chi_i(l) = \alpha_i$ for all i . Repeating the same argument, we obtain another polynomial transvection $h'' \in G$ such that $h''h'\chi_i(1) = \alpha_i$ for all i (obviously, we may take h'' trivial if $l = 1$). Finally, we invoke again Lemma 2.8 and Corollary 6.2 to find $n-1$ further polynomial transvections $h_2, \dots, h_n \in G$, commuting pairwise, such that $h_m h'' h' \chi_i(m) = 0$ and $h_m h'' h' \chi_i(1) = \alpha_i$ for all i . It follows that $h_2 h_3 \dots h_n h'' h' \chi_i = \phi_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore, the element $g = h_2 h_3 \dots h_n h'' h' h$ has the desired property. This finishes the proof. \square

Specializing to the large orbit described in Corollary 9.6, and remembering the a finite k -transitive group in degree ≥ 25 contains the full alternating group as soon as $k \geq 4$, we obtain the following.

Corollary 9.10. *Let A be a finite field extension of \mathbf{F}_p of degree ℓ . Let Φ denote the set of those homomorphisms $\phi \in \text{Hom}(R_n, A)$ such that $A_{\phi,0} = A$.*

If $E \geq 2$, $p \geq 3E - 2$ and $p^{\ell-1} \geq 4\ell$, then the image of G in $\text{Sym}(\Gamma \setminus \Phi)$ induced by the G -action on the Γ -orbits on Φ induces the full alternating group $\text{Alt}(\Gamma \setminus \Phi)$.

Proof. Since $E \geq 2$ and $p \geq 3E - 2$, we have $p - E \geq 2E - 2 \geq E$. Since $p^{\ell-1} \geq 4\ell$, we infer that $p^{\ell-1}(p - E) \geq 4\ell E$, so that $\frac{p^{\ell-1}(p-E)}{\ell E} \geq 4$. In view of Theorem 9.9, it follows that the G -action on $\Gamma \setminus \Phi$ is 4-transitive. Moreover, setting $N = E - 1$, we have

$$|\Gamma \setminus \Phi| \geq \frac{p^{n\ell}}{\ell N} \left(1 - \left(\frac{N}{p} \right)^n \right)$$

by Corollary 9.6, since the orbits of Γ have size at most ℓN .

By hypothesis, we have $p \geq 3N + 1 > 3N$. Moreover $n \geq 3$ and $\ell \geq 1$, so $\frac{p^{n\ell}}{\ell N} \geq \frac{p^3}{N} = p^2 \frac{p}{N}$. We deduce that

$$|\Gamma \setminus \Phi| \geq p^2 \left(\frac{p}{N} - \left(\frac{N}{p} \right)^{n-1} \right) > p^2 \left(3 - \frac{1}{9} \right) > 25.$$

The conclusion follows using [6, Th. 4.11], together with the fact that G does not have any quotient isomorphic to $\text{Sym}(n)$ for $n \geq 2$ since it is generated by elements of odd order. \square

Remark 9.11. If the hypothesis that $p^{\ell-1} \geq 4\ell$ is replaced by $p^{\ell-2} \geq \ell$, then the same argument using Theorem 9.9 shows that the G -action on $\Gamma \setminus \Phi$ is p -transitive. Similarly as in Remark 7.5, the conclusion that G induces the full alternating group

on $\Gamma \backslash \Phi$ can then be established using [22, Corollary to Theorem A] (and thereby avoiding the CFSG), provided p is large enough.

The following consequence, which is stated as Theorem 1.2 in the introduction, is immediate.

Corollary 9.12. *If $E \geq 2$ and $p \geq 3E - 2$, then the group G has a quotient isomorphic to $\text{Alt}(d)$ for infinitely many degrees d .*

In the special case where $E = 2$, we can specify more explicitly some values of d .

Corollary 9.13. *Suppose that $E = 2$ and $p \geq 5$. Then for each odd prime ℓ , the group G has a quotient isomorphic to $\text{Alt}\left(\frac{p^{\ell n} - p^n}{\ell}\right)$.*

Proof. The hypotheses imply that $\Phi = A^n \setminus (\mathbf{F}_p)^n$, see Corollary 9.5. Moreover each Γ -orbit on Φ has size ℓ , since the Frobenius automorphism acts on Φ without fixed points. Since the map $x \mapsto x - \log_p(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x \geq 1$, we have $\ell - 2 \geq \log_p(\ell)$ since $\ell \geq 3$ and $p \geq 5$, hence $p^{\ell-1} \geq p\ell \geq 4\ell$. Thus the conclusion follows from Corollary 9.10. \square

By definition, the group $G = G_{\mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{e}}$, with $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, is n -generated. In the case where $e_1 = e_2 = \dots = e_n$, the group G is normalized by the automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ that permutes cyclically the indeterminates. We assume that $e_1 > 1$, so that the automorphism σ does not preserve the grading. Hence G is a proper subgroup of $\tilde{G} = \langle \sigma \rangle G$ which is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $\langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes G$. Clearly \tilde{G} is generated by the pair $\{\sigma, \alpha_{1,2}^{(e_1)}(1)\}$. Therefore, the following corollary provides an infinite family of Cayley graphs of degree 4 for alternating groups, that form expanders by Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 9.14. *Let $p \geq 23$ be a prime such that $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ and set $\tilde{G} = \langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (2,2,2)}$. Then for each prime $\ell \geq 5$, the group \tilde{G} has a quotient isomorphic to $\text{Alt}\left(\frac{p^{3\ell} - p^3}{\ell}\right)$.*

Proof. Let $G = G_{\mathbf{F}_p, (2,2,2)}$. The hypotheses imply that $\Phi = A^n \setminus (\mathbf{F}_p)^n$, see Corollary 9.5. Since G is normal in \tilde{G} , it follows that Φ is σ -invariant.

Notice that $p^\ell - 1$ is relatively prime to $E - 1 = 7$. Indeed, the equality $p^\ell = 1 \pmod{7}$ implies that the multiplicative order of p modulo 7 divides the gcd of 6 and ℓ . Since ℓ is prime, we obtain three cases: $p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, or $\ell = 2$, or $\ell = 3$. None of those cases occurs in view of the hypotheses on p and ℓ .

It follows that the Γ -orbits on Φ all have size ℓ . Therefore the G -action on $\Gamma \backslash \Phi$ has the full alternating group $\text{Alt}\left(\frac{p^{3\ell} - p^3}{\ell}\right)$ as its image, see Corollary 9.10. Since σ is of order 3, it acts on $\Gamma \backslash \Phi$ as an even permutation. The conclusion follows. \square

Corollary 1.3 follows from Corollaries 9.13 and 9.14.

REFERENCES

- [1] László Babai. On the order of doubly transitive permutation groups. *Invent. Math.*, 65(3):473–484, 1981/82.
- [2] Bachir Bekka and Pierre de la Harpe. *Unitary representations of groups, duals, and characters*, volume 250 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2020] ©2020.

- [3] Bachir Bekka, Pierre de la Harpe, and Alain Valette. *Kazhdan's property (T)*, volume 11 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [4] Igor Belegradek and Denis Osin. Rips construction and Kazhdan property (T). *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 2(1):1–12, 2008.
- [5] Noel Brady. Finite subgroups of hyperbolic groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 10(4):399–405, 2000.
- [6] Peter J. Cameron. *Permutation groups*, volume 45 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [7] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Marston Conder, Marek Kaluba, and Stefan Witzel. Hyperbolic generalized triangle groups, property (T) and finite simple quotients. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 106(4):3577–3637, 2022.
- [8] Jan Dymara and Tadeusz Januszkiewicz. Cohomology of buildings and their automorphism groups. *Invent. Math.*, 150(3):579–627, 2002.
- [9] Mikhail Ershov and Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain. Property (T) for noncommutative universal lattices. *Invent. Math.*, 179(2):303–347, 2010.
- [10] Mikhail Ershov, Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain, and Martin Kassabov. Property (T) for groups graded by root systems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 249(1186):v+135, 2017.
- [11] Mikhail Ershov and Ashley Rall. Property (T) for Kac-Moody groups over rings. *J. Algebra*, 500:221–241, 2018.
- [12] Robert Gilman. Finite quotients of the automorphism group of a free group. *Canadian J. Math.*, 29(3):541–551, 1977.
- [13] Robert Guralnick and Kay Magaard. On the minimal degree of a primitive permutation group. *J. Algebra*, 207(1):127–145, 1998.
- [14] Heinrich Jung. Ueber die kleinste Kugel, die eine räumliche Figur einschliesst. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 123:241–257, 1901.
- [15] Victor G. Kac. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 1990.
- [16] Marek Kaluba, Dawid Kielak, and Piotr W. Nowak. On property (T) for $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 193(2):539–562, 2021.
- [17] Marek Kaluba, Piotr W. Nowak, and Narutaka Ozawa. $\text{Aut}(F_5)$ has property (T). *Math. Ann.*, 375(3-4):1169–1191, 2019.
- [18] Martin Kassabov. Symmetric groups and expander graphs. *Invent. Math.*, 170(2):327–354, 2007.
- [19] Martin Kassabov. Subspace arrangements and property T. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 5(2):445–477, 2011.
- [20] Martin Nitsche. Computer proofs for property (T), and SDP duality. Preprint arXiv 2009.05134, 2020.
- [21] Narutaka Ozawa. Noncommutative real algebraic geometry of Kazhdan's property (T). *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 15(1):85–90, 2016.
- [22] L. Pyber. On the orders of doubly transitive permutation groups, elementary estimates. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 62(2):361–366, 1993.
- [23] A. Shalev. Finite p -groups. In *Finite and locally finite groups (Istanbul, 1994)*, volume 471 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 401–450. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
- [24] Yehuda Shalom. The algebraization of Kazhdan's property (T). In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 1283–1310. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [25] Ivan P. Shestakov and Ualbai U. Umirbaev. The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 17(1):197–227, 2004.
- [26] Davide Veronelli. Just infiniteness of the generalized Nottingham group. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 52(5):835–852, 2020.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE, UCLouvain, BELGIUM

CORNELL UNIVERSITY, USA