

Regular Cayley Maps of Elementary abelian p -groups: Classification and Enumeration

Shaofei Du, Hao Yu¹ and Wenjuan Luo

*Capital Normal University,
School of Mathematical Sciences,
Beijing 100048, People's Republic of China*

Abstract

Recently, regular Cayley maps of cyclic groups and dihedral groups have been classified in [7] and [20], respectively. A nature question is to classify regular Cayley maps of elementary abelian p -groups \mathbb{Z}_p^n . In this paper, a complete classification of regular Cayley maps of \mathbb{Z}_p^n is given and moreover, the number of these maps and their genera are enumerated.

1 Introduction

A (topological) map is a cellular decomposition of a closed surface. A common way to describe maps is to view them as 2-cell embeddings of graphs. An orientation preserving automorphism of an orientable map is an automorphism of the underlying graph which extends to an orientation preserving self-homeomorphism of the supporting surface. The group of all such automorphisms acts semiregularly on the arcs of the underlying graph and in an extreme case, when the action is regular, the map itself is called regular. A regular embedding of a graph is a 2-cell embedding of a graph into a surface in a way that the associated map is regular. Regular maps have been studied in connection with various branches of mathematics including Riemann surfaces and algebraic curves. For more information about regular maps and their connections to other fields of mathematics we refer the reader to [15, 16, 17, 18, 25, 26, 29].

Given a group G and a generating subset S of G such that $S = S^{-1}$ and $1 \notin S$, a *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is the graph with vertices G and arc-set $\{(g, gs) \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$. Take a permutation ρ on S , a Cayley map $\mathbb{CM} := \text{Cay}(G, S, \rho)$ is an embedding of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ into an orientable closed surface such that, at each vertex $g \in G$, the local orientation R_g of the darts (g, gs) incident with g agrees with a prescribed cyclic permutation ρ of the generating set S , that is, $R_g(g, gs) = (g, g\rho(s))$ for all $g \in G$ and $s \in S$. Clearly, the (orientation preserving) automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CM})$ of a Cayley map \mathbb{CM} contains

¹Corresponding author: 3485676673@qq.com. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071312 and 11971248).

Keywords regular embedding, regular Cayley map, skew-morphism, elementary abelian p -group
MSC(2010) 05C25; 05A05; 20B25

the left regular representation of G , which acts transitively on vertices. If the (cyclic) stabiliser of a vertex is transitive on its adjacent vertices, then the automorphisms group $\text{Aut}(\text{CM})$ is regular on the arcs. In this case CM is called a *regular Cayley map*.

The term *Cayley maps* appeared firstly in a paper of Biggs [3] in 1972, which are now known as *balanced Cayley maps*, where a Cayley map $\text{CM}(G, S, \rho)$ is called *balanced* if $\rho(x^{-1}) = \rho(x)^{-1}$, for any $x \in S$. It was shown in [5, Proposition 2.3] that a regular Cayley map for the group G is balanced if and only if the associated skew morphism is an automorphism. An important example is the solution of the famous Heawood map coloring problem (see [27]): the cases $n \equiv 0, 4$ and $7 \pmod{12}$ of the solution rely on constructing a triangular Cayley map of the complete graph of order n (see also [10]). Another example is the classification of regular maps of complete graphs; by a result in [14], all such maps are balanced Cayley maps. Cayley maps were systematically studied in [29, 30], mainly on balanced and antibalanced Cayley maps. In general, map automorphism groups of arbitrary Cayley maps have been described in [11, 12]. For other results in Cayley maps, the reader may refer [1, 10, 31].

As an algebraic tool to investigate regular Cayley maps, *skew morphisms* of a group were introduced by Jajcay and Širáň in [13], while for the definition, see next section. They showed that a Cayley map CM is regular if and only if ρ extends to a skew-morphism of G . Moreover, if CM is regular, then $\text{Aut}(\text{CM}) = G\langle\sigma\rangle$, where σ is a skew-morphism of G (see [13, Theorem 1.1]). For partial results on skew-morphisms of cyclic groups, see [5, 7, 8, 19, 23, 24]; that of dihedral groups see [5, 21, 22, 32, 33]; that of monolithic groups (in particular, finite nonabelian simple groups), see [2]; that of finite nonabelian characteristically simple groups, see [4]; and that of elementary abelian p -groups \mathbb{Z}_p^n , see [9].

Conder and Tucker gave a complete classification of all regular Cayley maps for finite cyclic groups in [7]. Recently, Kovács and Kwon finished a complete classification of regular Cayley maps of dihedral groups in [20]. Now a natural problem is posed:

Classify regular Cayley maps for elementary abelian p -groups \mathbb{Z}_p^n . Is there any unbalanced regular Cayley maps of \mathbb{Z}_p^n ?

In this paper, this problem will be completely solved, containing the following five theorems. The first one gives a characterization of the automorphism group of a regular Cayley map of $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let CM be a regular Cayley map of $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that a point-stabiliser $\langle\sigma\rangle$ of $\text{Aut}(\text{CM})$ is of order kp^m where $m \geq 0$ and $p \nmid k$. Then $\text{Aut}(\text{CM}) = (G \rtimes \langle\sigma^k\rangle) \rtimes \langle\sigma^{p^m}\rangle$. Moreover, $\text{Aut}(\text{CM})$ contains a normal vertex regular subgroup $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that either $T = G$ or $T \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$, where $n \geq 2$.*

In this paper, as usual, an orientably-regular map with the automorphism group X

will be represented by $\mathcal{M}(X; r, \ell)$, where r corresponds to the local rotation and ℓ the arc-revising, so called *algebraic map*. Moreover, from Theorem 1.1, $\text{Aut}(\text{CM}) = T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle \leq \text{AGL}(n, p)$. So we identify T with an n -dimensional row space $V(n, p)$ and σ with an element in $\text{GL}(n, p)$ so that $\sigma^{-1}t\sigma = \sigma(t)$, for any $t \in T$. To state next theorem, we introduce two subsets of $\text{GL}(n, p)$:

Let $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ be the set of representatives of conjugacy classes of linearly transformation σ in $\text{GL}(n, p)$ whose minimal polynomial is of degree n and $-I \in \langle \sigma \rangle$, where I denotes the identity, noting that $-I = I$ if $p = 2$. Let $\mathbf{M}_1(n, p)$ is the set of elements σ in $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ such that $p \mid o(\sigma)$ and $\sigma^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{p}}$ fixes an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace pointwise.

Then we state the second main theorem, noting that in the theorem, $t(-I)$ is the product of $t \in T$ and $-I \in \langle \sigma \rangle$ in the affine subgroup $T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$.

Theorem 1.2 *Every regular Cayley map of $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle; \sigma, t(-I))$, where $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}(n, p)$ and t may be taken from T such that $\{\sigma^i(t) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ is a base for T . Moreover, given p and n , different σ in $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ give nonisomorphic maps.*

Remark that

(1) Under the base $\{\sigma^i(t) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$, $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ may be identified with the set of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_1 \\ & & \cdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & r_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}(n, p),$$

where $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and $r_0 \neq 0$ and t may be chosen as $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. In particular, two such different matrices are not conjugate each other.

(2) $\mathbf{M}_1(n, p)$ may be an empty set for some n and p .

(3) In Theorem 1.1, if $T \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ for some σ , then the map $\mathcal{M}(T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle; \sigma, t(-I))$ is unbalanced for G .

Theorem 1.3 *The set $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ and $\mathbf{M}_1(n, p)$ are characterized in Lemmas 5.4. Moreover, the case $T \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ in Theorem 1.1 happens if and only if $p \neq 2$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}_1(n, p)$ when $\mathbf{M}_1(n, p) \neq \emptyset$.*

Theorem 1.4 *The number of elements in $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ is enumerated in Lemma 6.2.*

Theorem 1.5 *The genera of regular Cayley maps $\mathcal{M}(T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle; \sigma, t(-I))$ are computed in Lemma 7.3, where $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}(n, p)$.*

After this introductory section, some preliminary results will be given in Section 2, Theorems 1.1-1.5 will be proved in Sections 3-7, respectively. To end up this section, we list all notations which will be used in this paper:

$\gcd(a_1, a_2)$: the greatest common factor of integers a_1 and a_2 ;
 $\text{lcm}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $\text{lcm}\{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$: the least common multiple of integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n ;
 $(f(x), g(x))$: the greatest common factor of polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$;
 \mathbf{F}_q and \mathbf{F}_q^* : the field of order q and its multiplicative group, resp.;
 $V(n, p)$: n -dimensional row space over \mathbf{F}_q ;
 $PG(V)$: the projective space of V ;
 $[u]_p$: the maximal integer k such that $p^k \mid u$;
 $\mathbf{F}_q[x]$ and $\mathbf{MF}_q[x]$: polynomial ring over \mathbf{F}_q and monic polynomials in it, resp. ;
 I : identity transformation;
 $(a_{ij})_{n \times n}$: n -th degree matrix;
 $|M|$: the cardinality of a set M ;
 $|G|, o(g)$: the order of a group G and an element $g \in G$, resp.;
 $H \triangleleft G$: H is a proper normal subgroup of G ;
 $H \text{ char } G$: H is a characteristic subgroup of G ;
 G' and $Z(G)$: the derived subgroup and the center of G , resp.;
 $G_X := \bigcap_{x \in X} G^g$: the core of G in X ;
 $\text{Syl}_p(G)$: the set of Sylow p -subgroups of G ;
 $\Omega_1(P)$: the group generated by all elements of order p in a p -group P ;
 $[A, B]$: the subgroup generated by commutators $[a, b] := a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$, $a \in A$ and $b \in B$;
 $M \rtimes N$: a semidirect product of M by N , in which M is normal.

2 Preliminary Results

In the investigation of maps, it is often useful to replace topological maps on surfaces with their combinatorial counterparts. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(V, D)$ be a graph with vertex set $V = V(\mathcal{G})$ and arc (dart) set $D = D(\mathcal{G})$. It is well-known that graph embeddings into orientable surfaces can be described by means of local rotations (see [10, 18]). A map \mathcal{M} with underlying graph \mathcal{G} can be identified with a triple $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}; R, L)$, where R is a rotation and L is the arc-reversing involution of \mathcal{G} . Given a group $X = \langle r, \ell \rangle$ where $\ell^2 = 1$, from the above arguments, we may define an *algebraic map* $\mathcal{M}(X; r, \ell)$ as follows: set $D = G$ and consider the left multiplication action $L(G)$ of G on D . The vertices, edges and faces are just the orbits of $\langle r \rangle$, $\langle \ell \rangle$ and $\langle r\ell \rangle$, respectively, with the natural inclusions relation. Moreover, two maps $\mathcal{M}(X_1; r_1, \ell_1) \cong \mathcal{M}(X_2; r_2, \ell_2)$ if and only if there exists an group isomorphism f from X_1 to X_2 such that $f(r_1) = r_2$ and $f(\ell_1) = \ell_2$.

A *skew-morphism* of a finite group G is a permutation σ on G fixing the identity element, and for which there exists an integer function π on G such that $\sigma(gh) = \sigma(g)\sigma^{\pi(g)}(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. Given a skew-morphism π of G , $X := L_G\langle\sigma\rangle$ is a permutation group on G , called the *skew-product group* of σ , see [5, 33]. Sometimes, simply we just say skew-product groups of G .

It was shown by Jajcay and Širáň that a Cayley map \mathcal{M} is regular if and only if ρ extends to a skew-morphism of G , see [13, Theorem 1]. Thus the problem of determining all regular Cayley maps of a group G is equivalent to the problem of determining all skew-morphisms of G containing a generating orbit which is closed under taking inverses. In [9], the authors characterized the skew-product groups of all skew-morphism of elementary abelian p -groups. This is the start point of the present paper.

Proposition 2.1 [9, Theorem 1.1] *Let $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ where p is a prime. Let $X = G\langle\sigma\rangle$ be the skew-product group of a skew-morphism σ of G , with order $|\sigma| = k \cdot p^m \geq 2$, where $m \geq 0$ and $p \nmid k$. Let $P = G\langle\sigma^k\rangle$. Then $P \triangleleft X$, either $G \triangleleft X$ or $G = G_X \times B$ where $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. More precisely, one of the following holds:*

- (1) *Either $m = 0$ or $p = 2$: $X = G \rtimes \langle\sigma\rangle$;*
- (2) *$p \neq 2$, $m \geq 1$, $k = 1$: either $X = G \rtimes \langle\sigma\rangle$; or $X = (G_X \rtimes \langle\sigma\rangle) \rtimes B$;*
- (3) *$p \neq 2$, $m \geq 1$, $k \geq 2$: either $X = G \rtimes \langle\sigma\rangle$; or
 $G \triangleleft P$, $X = ((G_X \times B) \rtimes \langle\sigma^k\rangle) \rtimes \langle\sigma^{p^m}\rangle$; or
 $G \not\triangleleft P$, $X = ((G_X \rtimes \langle\sigma^k\rangle) \rtimes B) \rtimes \langle\sigma^{p^m}\rangle$.*

Several results on finite fields \mathbf{F}_q of order q with the character p will be needed.

Proposition 2.2 [28, Theorem 2.14] *If $f(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbf{F}_q[x]$ of degree m , then $f(x)$ has a root α in \mathbf{F}_{q^m} . Furthermore, all the roots of f are simple and are given by the number m distinct elements $\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{m-1}}$ of \mathbf{F}_{q^m} .*

Definition 2.3 [28, Definition 3.2] *Let $f(x) \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ be a nonzero polynomial. If $f(0) \neq 0$, then the least positive integer e for which $f(x)$ divides $x^e - 1$ is called the order of $f(x)$, denoted by $\text{ord}(f(x))$.*

Proposition 2.4 [28, Theorem 3.9] *Let $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{k_i}(x)$, where $p_1(x), p_2(x), \dots, p_r(x)$ are monic pairwise relatively prime irreducible polynomials over \mathbf{F}_q . Let t_i be the smallest integer with $p^{t_i} \geq k_i$. Then*

$$\text{ord}(f(x)) = \text{lcm}(\text{ord}(p_1(x)p^{t_1}), \dots, \text{ord}(p_2(x)p^{t_2}), \text{ord}(p_r(x)p^{t_r})).$$

Proposition 2.5 [28, Theorem 3.5] *The number of monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbf{F}_q[x]$ of degree m and order e is equal to $\frac{\varphi(e)}{m}$ if $e \geq 2$ and m is the multiplicative order of q modulo e , equal to 2 if $m = e = 1$, and equal to 0 in all other cases. In particular, the degree of an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbf{F}_q[x]$ of order e must be equal to the multiplicative order of q modulo e .*

The last lemma deals with isomorphisms between two affine groups.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $X_1 = T \rtimes \langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ and $X_2 = T \rtimes \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$ be two subgroups of $\text{AGL}(n, p)$, where T is the translation subgroup, and σ_1 and σ_2 are nontrivial linearly transformations in $\text{GL}(n, p)$. Suppose f is an isomorphism from X_1 to X_2 fixing T setwise and mapping σ_1 to σ_2 . Then there exists an element $u \in \text{GL}(n, p)$ such that $f = \text{Inn}(u)|_{X_1 \rightarrow X_2}$, where $\text{Inn}(u)$ is the inner automorphism of $\text{AGL}(n, p)$ induced by u . In particular, if $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle = \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$, which is fixed by f , then $u \in N_{\text{GL}(n, p)}(\langle \sigma_1 \rangle)$.*

Proof The lemma can be equivalently proved by a matrix representation. Take $T = \langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \rangle$ where $t_i = t_{(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)}$, where 1 is in the i th-component. Let $f(t_i) = t_1^{u_{i1}} t_2^{u_{i2}} \dots t_n^{u_{in}}$ for some $u_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $f(t_i) = t_{(u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots, u_{in})}$. Moreover, it is easy to check that for any $\alpha \in V(n, p)$, $f(t_\alpha) = t_{\alpha u} = t_\alpha^u$ for $u = (u_{ij})_{n \times n} \in \text{GL}(n, p)$. Because $f(\sigma^{-1} t_\alpha \sigma) = f(t_\alpha^\sigma)$ and $f(\sigma) \in \text{GL}(n, p)$, we have $t_{\alpha u f(\sigma)} = t_{\alpha \sigma u}$, which implies that $f(\sigma) = u^{-1} \sigma u$. Therefore $f = \text{Inn}(u)|_{X_1 \rightarrow X_2}$. The second part of the lemma is immediate. \square

3 Structures of $\text{Aut}(\text{CM})$

Let CM be a regular Cayley map of $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $X = \text{Aut}(\text{CM})$, with a point-stabiliser $C = \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{kp^m}$, where $p \nmid k$. Then $X = GC$, where the core C_X is trivial and $o(\sigma)$ is even if $p \neq 2$. Moreover, set $C_1 = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ and $C_2 = \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$, where $\sigma_1 := \sigma^k$ and $\sigma_2 := \sigma^{p^m}$. If $m \geq 1$, set $z := \sigma^{kp^{m-1}}$ which is contained in C_1 . Then $P = GC \in \text{Syl}_p(X)$. Moreover, $X = \langle \sigma, \ell \rangle$, where ℓ is an involution. In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1 *$\text{Aut}(\text{CM}) = (G \rtimes \langle \sigma^k \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma^{p^m} \rangle$ and $\text{Aut}(\text{CM})$ contains a normal vertex regular subgroup $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that either $T = G$ or $T \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ where $n \geq 2$.*

If $p = 2$, then $X = G \rtimes C$ by Proposition 2.1 and so the theorem holds by taking $T = G$. Hence in the remaining of this section, we assume p is an odd prime and carry out the proof by the following Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

Lemma 3.1 *$G \triangleleft P$ and $X = (G \rtimes C_1) \rtimes C_2$.*

Proof By Proposition 2.1, $P \triangleleft X$. Suppose that $G \triangleleft P$. Then it follows from Proposition 2.1 that $X = (G \rtimes C_1) \rtimes C_2$, as desired.

For the contrary, suppose $G \not\trianglelefteq P$. Then by Proposition 2.1 again, $X = ((A \rtimes C_1) \rtimes B) \rtimes C_2$ where $A = G_X \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ and $G = A \times B$. Set $B = \langle b \rangle$. Consider the quotient group $\bar{X} = X/A = (\bar{C}_1 \rtimes \bar{B}) \rtimes \bar{C}_2$. Since we $\bar{C} \leq C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{C}_1)$ and $\bar{b} \notin C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{C}_1)$, we get $\bar{C} = C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{C}_1) \triangleleft X$ and so \bar{B} normalizes \bar{C} . Therefore B normalizes $A \rtimes C$, that is $X = (A \rtimes C) \rtimes B$.

Note that $X = \langle \sigma, \ell \rangle$, where ℓ is an involution. Since $\bar{\ell}$ is of order 2 in $\bar{X} = \bar{C} \rtimes \bar{B}$ and $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, we get $\bar{\ell} = \bar{\sigma}^{\frac{kp^m}{2}}$. Then

$$\bar{X} = \langle \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\ell} \rangle = \langle \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}^{\frac{kp^m}{2}} \rangle = \langle \bar{\sigma} \rangle = \bar{C} \neq \bar{X},$$

a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.2 *The group X contains a normal subgroup T acting regularly on vertices so that $X = T \rtimes C \leq \text{AGL}(n, p)$, where either $T = G$ or $T \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ where $n \geq 2$.*

Proof It is easy to check that $G \rtimes \langle z \rangle = \Omega_1(P)$. Set $Z = Z(G \rtimes \langle z \rangle)$. It follows from

$$Z \text{ char } \Omega_1(P) \text{ char } P \text{ char } X$$

that $Z \text{ char } X$, which implies σ_2 normalizes Z . Since $C_X = 1$, we know that $[z, G] \neq 1$. Now we have the following two cases:

Suppose $Z \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^s$, where $s < n - 1$. Then G is the unique elementary abelian p -subgroup of order p^n in $G \rtimes \langle z \rangle$, which implies

$$G \text{ char } G \rtimes \langle z \rangle \triangleleft X,$$

and then $X = T \rtimes C \leq \text{AGL}(n, p)$, where $T = G$.

Suppose $Z \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$. If $G \triangleleft X$, then $X = T \rtimes C \leq \text{AGL}(n, p)$, where $T = G$, as desired. In what follows, we suppose $G \not\triangleleft X$. Then $V_1 := \Omega_1(P)/Z = \langle \bar{a}, \bar{z} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, for some $a \in G \setminus Z$. View V_1 as a 2-dimensional space and consider the nontrivial projective transformation σ_2 on $\text{PG}(V_1)$ induced by the conjugacy action. Remind that every element in $\text{PGL}(2, p)$ fixed at most two points. Since $p \nmid o(\sigma_2)$ and σ_2 fixes $\langle \bar{z} \rangle$, we know that σ_2 fixes exactly two points. Therefore, σ_2 fixes another subgroup of order p , say $\langle \overline{az^i} \rangle$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and $a \neq 1$. Then σ_2 fixes setwise $T := \langle Z, az^i \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. Definitely, T is fixed by σ_1 too. So $T \triangleleft X$. Then $X = T \rtimes C \leq \text{AGL}(n, p)$ and $T \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ where $n \geq 2$. \square

4 Classification Theorem

Let $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, C, C_1$ and C_2 be that in Section. If $p = 2$, then $X = G \rtimes C$, that is $G = T$. Suppose that p is odd. Then from Lemma 3.1, we know that for $X = (G \rtimes C_1) \rtimes C_2$, there

exists a group $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that $X = T \rtimes C \leq \text{AGL}(n, p)$ and σ is also a skew morphism of T , where either $G = T$ or $G \cap T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$. As mentioned in Section 1, from now on we identify T with an n -dimensional space $V(n, p)$ and σ with a linearly transformation in $\text{GL}(n, p)$ so that $\sigma^{-1}t\sigma = \sigma(t)$, for any $t \in T$. In this section, we prove shall Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2 *Every regular Cayley map of $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle; \sigma, t(-I))$, where $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}(n, p)$ and t may be given from T such that $\{\sigma^i(t) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ generate T . Moreover, given p and n , different σ in $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ give nonisomorphic maps.*

Now we have $X = T \rtimes C$, where $C = \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{kp^m}$ where $p \nmid k$ and k is even if $p \neq 2$; and also $X = \langle r, \ell \rangle$, where $o(r) = kp^m$ and $o(\ell) = 2$. Since $\langle \sigma \rangle$ is defined to be a point-stabilizer, we may choose $r = \sigma$. Set $\sigma_3 = \sigma^{\frac{kp^m}{2}}$ if $o(\sigma)$ is even. The proof of Theorem 1.2 consists of the following three lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 $\ell = t(-I)$ for some $t \in T$ such that $T = \langle t \rangle^X$; if p is odd then $\sigma^{\frac{kp^m}{2}} = -I$.

Proof (1) Suppose that p is odd. Since $X = \langle r, \ell \rangle = TC$ and $r = \sigma$, we may set $\ell = t\sigma_3$, where $t \in T \setminus \{1\}$. Form $\ell^2 = 1$ we get $\sigma_3(t) = -t$. Then we have

$$X = \langle r, \ell \rangle = \langle \sigma, t\sigma_3 \rangle = \langle \sigma, t \rangle.$$

Set $N := \langle t \rangle^X$, the normal closure of $\langle t \rangle$ in X . Then $N = \langle \sigma^i(t) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{kp^m} \rangle \leq T$. So

$$X \leq N \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle \leq T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle = X.$$

Comparing the order of N and T , we get $N = T$. Now, since $\sigma_3(t) = -t$ and $T = \langle \sigma^i(t) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{kp^m} \rangle$, we get $\sigma_3(\sigma^i(t)) = \sigma^i(\sigma_3(t)) = -\sigma^i(t)$, that is $\sigma_3 = -I$.

(2) Suppose that $p = 2$. Then $-I = I$. If $o(\sigma)$ is odd, then $\ell = t$ for some $t \in T$ so that $X = \langle t, \sigma \rangle$.

Suppose $o(\sigma)$ is even and $\ell \notin T$, that is $\ell = t\sigma_3$ for some $t \in T$. Then

$$X = \langle \sigma, t\sigma_3 \rangle = \langle \sigma, t \rangle.$$

From $\ell^2 = 1$, we get $[t, \sigma_3] = 1$, which implies $t \in C_X(\sigma_3)$. Noting $\sigma \in C_X(\sigma_3)$, we get $X = \langle \sigma, t \rangle \leq C_X(\sigma_3)$ and so $\langle \sigma_3 \rangle \leq Z(X)$, contradicting to $C_X = 1$. Then $\ell \in T$ so that $X = \langle \sigma, t \rangle$. \square

Lemma 4.2 *Given $X = T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$, every regular Cayley map $\mathcal{M}(X; \sigma, \ell)$ of \mathbb{Z}_p^n is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(X, \sigma, t(-I))$, where t is a given element in T such that $\langle t, \sigma \rangle = X$.*

Proof To prove the lemma we need to determine the representatives of orbits of $(\text{Aut}(X))_\sigma$ on the involutions ℓ such that $\langle \sigma, \ell \rangle = X$.

Pick up a t in T such that $\langle t, \sigma \rangle = X$. For any $\ell = t_2(-I)$, where $t_2 \in T$, we assume $t_2 = i_0 t + i_1 \sigma(t) \cdots + i_{n-1} \sigma^{n-1}(t)$ for some $i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Set $f(\sigma) = i_0 I + i_1 \sigma + \cdots + i_{n-1} \sigma^{n-1}$. Then $f(\sigma)(t) = t_2$ and $\sigma f(\sigma) = f(\sigma)\sigma$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & (t_2, \sigma(t_2), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(t_2)) \\ &= (f(\sigma)(t), \sigma f(\sigma)(t), \dots, \sigma^{n-1} f(\sigma)(t)) \\ &= f(\sigma)(t, \sigma(t), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $X = \langle t, \sigma \rangle = \langle t_2, \sigma \rangle$ and both $\{t, \sigma(t), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(t)\}$ and $\{t_2, \sigma(t_2), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(t_2)\}$ are bases of T , we know that $f(\sigma) \in \text{GL}(n, p)$, which commutes with σ . So, $f(\sigma)$ induces an automorphism on X which fixes σ and maps t to t_2 . Therefore, we may choose $\ell = t(-I)$ for this given t . \square

Lemma 4.3 *Given \mathbb{Z}_p^n , different σ in $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ give nonisomorphic maps.*

Proof Suppose that

$$\mathcal{M}(T \times \langle \sigma_1 \rangle; \sigma_1, t_1(-I)) \cong \mathcal{M}(T \times \langle \sigma_2 \rangle; \sigma_2, t_2(-I)),$$

for σ_1, σ_2 in $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\psi : T \times \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow T \times \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$ such that $\psi(\sigma_1) = \sigma_2$ and $\psi(t_1(-I)) = t_2(-I)$. Then

$$\psi(-I) = \psi(\sigma_1^{\frac{kp^m}{2}}) = \sigma_2^{\frac{kp^m}{2}} = -I,$$

which implies $\psi(t_1) = t_2$. Therefore

$$\psi(T) = \psi(\langle \sigma_1^i(t_1) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{kp^m} \rangle) = \langle \sigma_2^i(t_2) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{kp^m} \rangle = T.$$

By Proposition 2.6, ψ can be induced by an inner automorphism $\text{Inn}(g)$ of $\text{AGL}(n, p)$, for some $g \in \text{GL}(n, p)$, in other words, σ_1 and σ_2 are conjugate in $\text{GL}(n, p)$, which from the definition of $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ implies $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. Therefore, different σ in $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ give nonisomorphic maps. \square

5 $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ and $\mathbf{M}_1(n, p)$

From Theorem 1.2, we know that the regular Cayley maps of \mathbb{Z}_p^n are determined by $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$. So in this section, we shall determine $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ and $\mathbf{M}_1(n, p)$ as well, that is Theorem 1.3. The proof for that consists of Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5. Some technics in polynomial theory over \mathbf{F}_p will be used.

For any $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}(n, p)$, let

$$f(x) = x^n - r_{n-1}x^{n-1} - \cdots - r_1x - r_0$$

be the minimal polynomial of σ . In fact, it is the characteristic polynomial of σ .

Write

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i(x)^{k_i}, \quad (1)$$

where every $p_i(x)$ is monic and irreducible on \mathbf{F}_p . Then

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{s-1} \quad \text{and} \quad T = T_0 \bigoplus T_1 \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus T_{s-1},$$

where the minimal polynomial of σ_i on T_i is precisely $p_i(x)^{k_i}$ and $\sigma_i|_{T_j} = 1$ for any $j \neq i$.

Let α_i be a root of $p_i(x)$, where $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and u_i a order of α_i in $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha)^*$. Let t_i be the smallest integer with $p^{t_i} \geq k_i$. Remind that for any $e \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a prime p , by $[e]_p = s$, we mean that $p^s \mid e$ but $p^{s+1} \nmid e$.

Then we have

Lemma 5.1 *Let $f(x)$ be as in Eq(1). Then*

- (1) $o(\sigma_i) = u_i p^{t_i}$ for any i and $o(\sigma) = \text{ord}(f(x)) = \text{lcm}\{u_i p^{t_i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq s-1\}$;
- (2) Suppose p is odd. Then $\langle \sigma \rangle$ contains $-I$ if and only if $f(x) \mid (x^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{2}} + 1)$, and if and only if $p_i(x)^{k_i} \mid (x^{\frac{o(\sigma_i)}{2}} + 1)$ and $[u_i]_2$ is a constant for all $0 \leq i \leq s-1$.

Proof (1) Recall that the order $\text{ord}(p_i(x))$ of $p_i(x)$ is defined to be the minimal e_i such that $p_i(x) \mid (x^{e_i} - 1)$. By Proposition 2.4, $\text{ord}(p_i(x)) = u_i := o(\alpha_i)$ in $\mathbf{F}_p(\alpha)^*$, and $\text{ord}(p_i(x)^{k_i}) = u_i p^{t_i}$. Since $p_i(x)^{k_i}$ is the minimal polynomial of σ_i and $u_i p^{t_i}$ is the minimal number such that $p_i(x)^{k_i} \mid (x^{u_i p^{t_i}} - 1)$, $u_i p^{t_i}$ is the minimal number such that $\sigma_i^{u_i p^{t_i}} = 1$, which implies $o(\sigma_i) = u_i p^{t_i} = \text{ord}(p_i(x)^{k_i})$ for any i . Since $\langle \sigma_i \rangle \cap \langle \sigma_j \rangle = 1$ and $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 1$, for any $i \neq j$, we know from Proposition 2.4 that

$$o(\sigma) = o(\prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \sigma_i) = \text{lcm}\{o(\sigma_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq s-1\} = \text{lcm}\{u_i p^{t_i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq s-1\} = \text{ord}(f(x)).$$

(2) Suppose p is odd. Set $e = o(\sigma) = \text{ord}(f(x))$. Write $\text{ord}(p_i(x)^{k_i}) = u_i p^{t_i} = 2^{m_i} h_i$, where h_i is odd. Without loss of any generality, assume that $m_0 \geq m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_{s-1}$. Set $h = \text{lcm}(h_0, h_1, \cdots, h_{s-1})$. Then by Proposition 2.4, we get

$$e = \text{lcm}(2^{m_0} h_1, 2^{m_1} h_2, \cdots, 2^{m_{s-1}} h_{s-1}) = 2^{m_0} h.$$

Now, $-I \in \langle \sigma \rangle$ if and only if e is even and $\sigma^{\frac{e}{2}} + I = 0$, if and only if $f(x) \mid (x^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{2}} + 1)$, which is the first part of (2).

Suppose that $f(x) \mid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1)$. Then $[e]_2 \geq 1$ and $p_i(x)^{k_i} \mid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1)$. First we show that $[u_i]_2$ is a constant for all $0 \leq i \leq s-1$. For the contrary, suppose $m_j \not\leq m_{j+1}$ for some $j \geq 1$. Since $2^{m_j} h_j \mid \frac{e}{2}$, we have $(x^{2^{m_j} h_j} - 1) \mid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} - 1)$, which implies $p_j(x)^{k_j} \mid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} - 1)$. Since $(x^{\frac{e}{2}} - 1, x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1) = 1$, we get $p_j(x)^{k_j} \nmid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1)$, which implies $f(x) \nmid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $[u_i]_2 = m_i = [e]_2$ for any $0 \leq i \leq s-1$. Since

$$p_i(x)^{k_i} \mid (x^{2^{[e]_2} h_i} - 1), p_i(x)^{k_i} \mid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1), (x^{2^{[e]_2} h_i} - 1, x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1) = x^{2^{[e]_2 - 1} h_i} + 1 = x^{\frac{o(\sigma_i)}{2}} + 1,$$

we get

$$p_i(x)^{k_i} \mid (x^{\frac{o(\sigma_i)}{2}} + 1).$$

Conversely, suppose that $p_i(x)^{k_i} \mid (x^{\frac{o(\sigma_i)}{2}} + 1)$ and $s_i = [e]_2$ for any $0 \leq i \leq s-1$. Since $(x^{2^{m_i - 1} h_i} + 1) \mid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1)$ as $m_i = [e]_2$, we get $p_i(x)^{k_i} \mid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1)$. Therefore, $f(x) \mid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1)$. \square

Lemma 5.2 *Let $f(x)$ be as in Eq(1), where p is odd and $p \mid o(\sigma)$. Then $\langle \sigma^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{p}} \rangle$ fixes pointwise an $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace if and only if there exists an i such that $p_i(x) = x - \alpha_i$ such that $t_i \not\leq t_j$ for any $j \neq i$ and $k_i = p^{t_i - 1} + 1$.*

Proof (1) First we consider a special case: $f(x) = p(x)^k$ is the minimal polynomial of σ , where $p(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial and $\text{ord}(f(x)) = \text{ord}(p(x))p^t$, where $t \geq 1$.

Suppose $\langle \sigma^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{p}} \rangle$ fixes pointwise an $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace. Then σ fixes a 1-dimensional subspace $(\sigma^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{p}} - I)(T)$, which implies that σ has an eigenvalue, say α in \mathbf{F}_p . Since $p(x)$ is irreducible, we get $p(x) = x - \alpha$ so that $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^k$. Set $o(\alpha) = u$ so that $o(\sigma) = o(\alpha)p^t$ and $\sigma^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{p}} = \sigma^{up^{t-1}}$. Set $\sigma = \alpha I + \tau$. Then $\tau^k = (\sigma - \alpha I)^k = 0$, which means that τ is nilpotent. Then

$$\sigma^{up^{t-1}} = (\alpha I + \tau)^{up^{t-1}} = (\alpha I + \tau^{p^{t-1}})^u = I + u\alpha^{u-1}\tau^{p^{t-1}} + \dots + \tau^{up^{t-1}}$$

So

$$(\sigma^{up^{t-1}} - I)T = (u\alpha^{u-1}\tau^{p^{t-1}})T + \dots + (\tau^{up^{t-1}})T = \tau^{p^{t-1}}T,$$

which is 1-dimensional if and only if $\tau(\tau^{p^{t-1}})T = 0$. By the definition of k and p^t , we get $1 + p^{t-1} = k$.

(2) Coming back to the general case, suppose $p \mid o(\sigma)$ and $\langle \sigma^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{p}} \rangle$ fixes pointwise a $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace. Recall $f(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i(x)^{k_i}$, where every $p_i(x)$ is monic and irreducible on \mathbf{F}_p and $\text{ord}(p_i(x)^{u_i}) = \text{ord}(p_i(x))p^{t_i}$, and

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{s-1} \quad \text{and} \quad T = T_0 \bigoplus T_1 \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus T_{s-1},$$

where $\sigma_i|_{T_j} = 1$ for any $j \neq i$ and the minimal polynomial of σ_i on T_i is precisely $p_i(x)^{k_i}$ and $\deg(p_i(x)^{k_i}) = \dim(T_i)$. Without loss of any generality, suppose that $t_0 \geq t_1 \geq \dots \geq t_{s-1}$. Now we are proving $t_0 \geq t_1$. For the contrary, suppose that $t_0 = t_1$. Then both $\sigma_0^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}}$ and $\sigma_1^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}}$ are nontrivial elements in $\langle \sigma^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}} \rangle$, which implies that for $i = 0$ and 1 , $\sigma_i^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}}$ fixes pointwise a subspace in T_i of dimension at most $(\dim(T_i) - 1)$. Therefore $\sigma^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}} = \sigma_0^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}} \sigma_1^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}} \dots \sigma_{s-1}^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}}$ fixes a pointwise subspace of dimension at most $(n - 2)$, a contradiction. Thus we get $\sigma^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}} = \sigma_0^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}} = (\sigma_0^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma_0)}{p}})^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{\alpha(\sigma_0)}}$, where $p \nmid \frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{\alpha(\sigma_0)}$. Pick up j such that $\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{\alpha(\sigma_0)}j \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then $\sigma_0^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma_0)}{p}} = \sigma^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}j}$ fixes pointwise an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace. Form (1), we get $p_0(x) = x - \alpha_0$ for some α_0 and $k_0 = p^{t_0-1} + 1$, as desired.

Conversely, suppose that $p_0(x) = x - \alpha_0$ such that $t_0 \geq t_j$ for any $j \neq 0$ and $k_0 = p^{t_0-1} + 1$. Then $\sigma^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}} = \sigma_0^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}}$ and by (1), $\langle \sigma^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}} \rangle = \langle \sigma_0^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma)}{p}} \rangle = \langle \sigma_0^{\frac{\alpha(\sigma_0)}{p}} \rangle$, which fixes pointwise an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace. \square

Let p be odd. Recall that \mathbf{MF}_p denotes the set of monic polynomials over \mathbf{F}_p . In observing of Lemma 5.1, to characterize all the polynomials of even order we need to introduce the following set: for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $2^j \mid (p^i - 1)$, let

$$A_{ij} = \{f(x) \mid f(x) \in \mathbf{MF}_p[x], \deg(f(x)) = i, f(x) \text{ is irreducible}, [\text{ord}(f(x))]_2 = j\}, \quad (2)$$

where $1 \leq j \leq [p^i - 1]_2$. Note that $A_{ij} = \emptyset$, for some p, n, i and j . The value $|A_{ij}|$ will be computed in next section and here one of its properties is given.

Lemma 5.3 *For any $f(x) \in A_{ij}$, we have $f(x) \mid (x^{\frac{1}{2}\text{ord}(f(x))} + 1)$ and $f(x)^h \mid (x^{\frac{1}{2}\text{ord}(f(x)^h)} + 1)$, where $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

Proof Set $e = \text{ord}(f(x))$. By Proposition 2.4, $\text{ord}(f(x)^h) = ep^t$, where t is the smallest positive integer such that $p^t \geq h$. Since $j \geq 1$, we know e is even. Then $f(x) \mid (x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1)(x^{\frac{e}{2}} - 1)$. Note that $f(x) \nmid x^{\frac{e}{2}} - 1$ as $\text{ord}(f(x)) = e$. Hence $f(x) \mid x^{\frac{e}{2}} + 1$, as $f(x)$ is irreducible. Since $f(x)^h \mid (x^{ep^t} - 1)$ and $(x^{\frac{ep^t}{2}} + 1, x^{\frac{ep^t}{2}} - 1) = 1$, we get $f(x)^h \mid (x^{\frac{ep^t}{2}} + 1)$. \square

Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between σ and its monic minimal polynomial, we introduce

$$M(n, p) = \{f(x) \in \mathbf{MF}_p[x] \mid f(x) \text{ is the minimal polynomial of } \sigma \text{ in } \mathbf{M}(n, p)\},$$

$$M_1(n, p) = \{f(x) \in \mathbf{MF}_p[x] \mid f(x) \text{ is the minimal polynomial of } \sigma \text{ in } \mathbf{M}_1(n, p)\}.$$

Let $d = \max\{[p^i - 1]_2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. If $2^j \nmid (p^i - 1)$, then we define $A_{ij} = \emptyset$. Then for any given $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq d$, A_{ij} and $|A_{ij}|$ are uniquely defined. Then $M(n, p)$ and $M_1(n, p)$ may be divided into subsets which are characterized by $j = [\text{ord}(f(x))]_2$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3, by combining Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5.

Lemma 5.4 *Let p be odd. Then we have*

$$M(n, p) = \bigcup_{j=1}^d \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{r=1}^{|A_{ij}|} f_{ijr}(x)^{k_{ijr}} \mid f_{ijr}(x) \in A_{ij} \neq \emptyset, \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{r=1}^{|A_{ij}|} k_{ijr} \right) i = n \right\}, \quad (3)$$

$$M_1(n, p) = \{f(x) \in M(n, p) \mid \text{there exists a unique } (j, r) \text{ such that} \quad (4)$$

$$k_{1jr} = p^{[o(\sigma)]_p - 1} + 1, \text{ but } [k_{ijr}]_p \not\cong [o(\sigma)]_p, \text{ for other } k_{ijr}\}.$$

Proof (1) Since $2^j \mid (p^i - 1)$, we know that $1 \leq j \leq [p^i - 1]_2$ and so $1 \leq j \leq d$. It follows from $\sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{r=1}^{|A_{ij}|} k_{ijr}) i = n$ that for any j such that $A_{ij} \neq \emptyset$, every polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{r=1}^{|A_{ij}|} f_{ijr}(x)^{k_{ijr}}$ in right side of Eq(3) is of degree n . Since $f_{ijr}(x) \in A_{ij} \neq \emptyset$, it follows from Lemma 5.3 that $f_{ijr}(x) \mid (x^{\frac{1}{2} \text{ord}(f_{ijr}(x))} + 1)$ and $f_{ijr}(x)^{k_{ijr}} \mid (x^{\frac{1}{2} \text{ord}(f_{ijr}(x)^{k_{ijr}})} + 1)$. Moreover, $[\text{ord}(f_{ijr}(x)^{k_{ijr}})]_2 = j$ for any $1 \leq r \leq |A_{ij}|$. By Proposition 5.1.(2), $-I \in \langle \sigma \rangle$. Therefore, $\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{r=1}^{|A_{ij}|} f_{ijr}(x)^{k_{ijr}} \in M(n, p)$.

Now we are proving that every polynomial $f(x)$ in $M(n, p)$ has the form of the right side of Eq(3). Set $e = o(\sigma) = \text{ord}(f(x))$. By Lemma 5.1, write $\text{ord}(p_i(x)^{k_i}) = u_i p^{t_i} = 2^m h_i$, where $m \geq 1$. Write $f(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i(x)^{k_i}$ and set $h = \text{lcm}(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{s-1})$. Then by Lemma 5.1, we get $e = 2^m h$. Then $p_i(x) \in A_{\deg(p_i(x)), m}$ and $k_i = k_{\deg(p_i(x)), m, r}$ for some $1 \leq r \leq |A_{\deg(p_i(x)), m}|$. Moreover, since $2^m \mid (p^{\deg(p_i(x))} - 1)$, we have $1 \leq m \leq [p^{\deg(p_i(x))} - 1]_2$. Enumerating the dimension n and relabeling $\deg(p_i(x))$ and m by i and j , respectively, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{r=1}^{|A_{ij}|} k_{ijr} \right) i = n.$$

(2) By the definition, a σ in $\mathbf{M}(n, p)$ is contained in $\mathbf{M}_1(n, p)$ if and only if $p \mid o(\sigma)$ and $\langle \sigma^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{p}} \rangle$ fixes pointwise an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace. By Lemma 5.2, the later holds if and only if the minimal polynomial $f(x)$ of σ contains a factor $p_i(x) = x - \alpha_i$ such that $k_i = p^{t_i - 1} + 1$ and $t_i \not\cong t_j$ for any $j \neq i$. In other words, $[o(\sigma)]_p = t_i$. Therefore, $M_1(n, p)$ is given by Eq(4). \square

Lemma 5.5 *The case $T \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ in Theorem 1.1 happens if and only if $p \neq 2$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}_1(n, p) \neq \emptyset$, equivalently, $f(x) \in M_1(n, p)$, where $f(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of σ .*

Proof Suppose $T \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$. Then from the proof of Lemma 3.2, we know that $p \neq 2$ and $Z(T \rtimes \langle \sigma^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{p}} \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$, equivalently, $\langle \sigma^{\frac{o(\sigma)}{p}} \rangle$ fixes pointwise an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace in T , which implies $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}_1(n, p) \neq \emptyset$, that is, the minimal polynomial $f(x)$ of σ is contained in $M_1(n, p)$.

Conversely, for any $f(x) \in M_1(n, p)$, we get $f(x) \frac{\text{ord}(f(x))}{p} = (x - \alpha)^{p^t + 1}$. In other words, $\sigma \frac{o(\sigma)}{p}$ fixes pointwise an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace in T . Therefore, $Z(T \rtimes \langle \sigma \frac{o(\sigma)}{p} \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$, which implies that $T \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$. \square

6 Enumeration Theorem

By Lemma 5.4, $M(n, p) = \bigcup_{j=1}^d M_j$, where

$$M_j = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{r=1}^{|A_{ij}|} f_{ijr}(x)^{k_{ijr}} \mid f_{ijr}(x) \in A_{ij} \neq \emptyset, \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{r=1}^{|A_{ij}|} k_{ijr} \right) i = n \right\}. \quad (5)$$

So, to enumerate $|\mathbf{M}(n, p)| = |M(n, p)|$, we need to know $|A_{ij}|$.

Lemma 6.1 *Given $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, set*

$$I_{ij} = \{e \mid [e]_2 = j, e \mid (p^i - 1), \text{ but } e \nmid (p^{i'} - 1), \forall \text{ proper divisor } i' \text{ of } i\}.$$

Then

$$|A_{ij}| = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{e \in I_{ij}} \varphi(e). \quad (6)$$

Proof Set

$$L_{ij} = \{\text{ord}(f(x)) \mid f(x) \in A_{ij}\}.$$

By Proposition 2.5, the number of monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbf{F}_p[x]$ of degree i and order e is equal to $\frac{\varphi(e)}{i}$. Then $|A_{ij}| = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{e \in L_{ij}} \varphi(e)$. Note that for any $f(x) \in A_{ij}$, we have $\text{ord}(f(x)) = 2^j k$ for some odd integer k . Then we only need to show $L_{ij} = I_{ij}$, as defined in the lemma.

For any $f(x) \in A_{ij}$, by Proposition 2.2, $\text{ord}(f(x))$ is equal to the order of any root of $f(x)$ in the multiplicative group \mathbf{F}_{p^i} . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L_{ij} &= \{\text{ord}(f(x)) \mid f(x) \in A_{ij}\} \\ &= \{e \mid o(\beta) = e, \beta \text{ is the root of } f(x), f(x) \in A_{ij}\} \\ &= \{e \mid o(\beta) = e, [e]_2 = j, \mathbf{F}_p(\beta) = \mathbf{F}_{p^i}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{F}_p(\beta) = \mathbf{F}_{p^i}$, then β is contained in \mathbf{F}_{p^i} but not in any proper subfield of \mathbf{F}_{p^i} , which implies $o(\beta) \mid (q^i - 1)$ and $o(\beta) \nmid (q^{i'} - 1)$, for any proper divisor i' of i . Therefore, we get

$$L_{ij} = \{e \mid [e]_2 = j, e \mid (q^i - 1), \text{ but } e \nmid (q^{i'} - 1), \forall \text{ proper divisor } i' \text{ of } i\} = I_{ij}. \quad \square$$

Now we are ready to enumerate $|M(n, p)|$. Recall $d = \max\{[p^i - 1]_2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Lemma 6.2 Given $1 \leq j \leq d$, set $a_{ij} := |A_{ij}|$ and let n_j be the number of the solutions $\{k_{ijs} \mid 1 \leq s \leq a_{ij}\}$ of the following integer equation over \mathbb{Z}^+ such that $a_{ij} \neq 0$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{r=1}^{a_{ij}} k_{ijr} \right) i = n. \quad (7)$$

Then $|M(n, p)| = \sum_{j=1}^d n_j$.

Proof Set $a_{ij} := |A_{ij}|$ and write

$$A_{ij} = \{f_{ij1}(x), f_{ij2}(x), \dots, f_{ija_{ij}}(x)\}.$$

Then every polynomial $f(x)$ in M_j (defined in Eq(5)) can be uniquely decomposed as

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{r=1}^{a_{ij}} f_{ijr}^{k_{ijr}},$$

where some k_{ijr} may be zero. Hence, this $f(x)$ is uniquely determined by the parameters

$$\{k_{ijr} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq r \leq a_{ij}\},$$

which is a solution of Eq(7). Conversely, every solution of Eq(7) gives a polynomial $f(x)$, which is contained in M_j . Therefore, the cardinality of M_j is n_j and so $|M(n, p)| = \sum_{j=1}^d n_j$, as desired. \square

The following example makes an illustration for Lemma 6.2.

Example 6.3 $|M(2, 3)| = 4$ and $|M(3, 3)| = 5$.

Proof (1) $n = 2$ and $p = 3$: By the definition of i and j , we have $2^j \mid (3^i - 1)$ where $1 \leq i \leq 2$ and $j \geq 1$ for which there exist possible nonempty A_{ij} , so

$$(i, j) \in \{(1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3)\}.$$

Check that

$$A_{11} = \{x + 1\}; A_{21} = \emptyset; A_{22} = \{x^2 + 1\}; A_{23} = \{x^2 + x - 1, x^2 - x - 1\}.$$

So

$$a_{ij} := |A_{ij}| = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1, j = 1; \\ 1, & i = 2, j = 2; \\ 2, & i = 2, j = 3; \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}.$$

Suppose that $j = 1$: Eq(7) is :

$$k_{111} \cdot 1 = 2,$$

which has only one solution, that is $n_1 = 1$. Suppose that $j = 2$: solving the equation:

$$2k_{221} = 2,$$

we get only one solution and so $n_2 = 1$. Suppose that $j = 3$: solving the equation:

$$2(k_{231} + k_{232}) = 2,$$

we get two solutions and so $n_3 = 2$. Then by Lemma 6.2, we get

$$|\mathbf{N}(2, 3)| = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = 4.$$

(2) $n = 3$ and $p = 3$: First, we need to calculate a_{ij} . One can check a_{ij} for all the possibilities for i, j :

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1, j = 1; \\ 1, & i = 2, j = 2; \\ 2, & i = 2, j = 3; \\ 4, & i = 3, j = 1; \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

Then, with the same way as that in (1), we get $n_1 = 5$, and $n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 0$. So $|\mathbf{N}(3, 3)| = 5$. \square

7 Genera of the maps

It is well-known that the genus of an orientably-regular map is given by

$$g = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(|E| - |V| - |F|),$$

where by $|V|, |E|$ and $|F|$, we denote the number of vertices, edges and faces of the given map (embedding), respectively. Now, for our regular Cayley map $\mathcal{M}(X, r, \ell)$ of \mathbb{Z}_p^n , we have that $|V| = p^n$ and $|E| = \frac{|X|}{2}$. In what follows we shall calculate $|F| = \frac{|X|}{|(r\ell)|}$. By Theorem 1.2, we get $r = \sigma$ and ℓ is $t(-I)$, where t is given element T such that $\langle t, \sigma \rangle = X$, and note $-I = I$ when $p = 2$. First we have the following two lemmas:

Lemma 7.1 *For the regular Cayley map $\mathcal{M}(T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle; \sigma, t(-I))$ of \mathbb{Z}_p^n , where p is odd, set $r = \sigma$ and $\ell = t(-I)$. Then*

$$o(r\ell) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, (x-1)^{p^m} \nmid f_1(x); \\ \frac{p\alpha}{2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, (x-1)^{p^m} \parallel f_1(x), \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha = o(\sigma) = kp^m$, $(p, k) = 1$ and $f_1(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of σ^2 .

Proof Suppose p is odd and $\alpha = o(\sigma) = kp^m$ where $p \nmid k$. Since $r\ell = \sigma^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}t^{-1}$, where $\gcd(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha) = 1$ or 2 , when $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, respectively, we deal with two cases, separately:

Case 1: $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Since $(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha) = 1$ and $T \triangleleft X$, $o(r\ell) \geq \alpha$. Since

$$(r\ell)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = (\sigma^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}t^{-1})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = (-I)t'$$

for some $t' \in T$, we get $(r\ell)^\alpha = 1$, which implies $o(r\ell) = \alpha$.

Case 2: $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Suppose $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then $(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha) = 2$ and

$$T = \langle t \rangle^X = \langle t \rangle^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = \langle t \rangle^{\langle (-I)\langle \sigma^2 \rangle \rangle} = \langle t \rangle^{\langle \sigma^2 \rangle}.$$

Let $f_1(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of σ^2 . Since $o(\sigma^2) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $f_1(x) | (x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} - 1)$. Set $\sigma_1 = \sigma^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. Then

$$(r\ell)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = (\sigma^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}t^{-1})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = (I + \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_1^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1})(t) = (I + \sigma^2 + \cdots + (\sigma^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1})(t),$$

which implies that $o(r\ell) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ if and only if $(I + \sigma^2 + \cdots + (\sigma^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1})(t)$ is zero vector. Let

$$g(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}.$$

Since $g(\sigma^2)\sigma = \sigma g(\sigma^2)$ and $\langle t \rangle^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = T$, it follows that $-g(\sigma^2)(t) = 1$ if and only if $T^{\langle -g(\sigma^2) \rangle} = 1$, which implies $g(\sigma^2) = 0$. Hence, $o(r\ell) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ if and only if $g(\sigma^2) = 0$, and if and only if $f_1(x) | g(x)$. Since $(x-1)^{p^m} \parallel x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} - 1$ and $x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} - 1 = (x-1)g(x)$, we get that $o(r\ell) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ or $\frac{p\alpha}{2}$ if and only if $(x-1)^{p^m} \nmid f_1(x)$ or $(x-1)^{p^m} \parallel f_1(x)$, respectively. \square

Lemma 7.2 For the regular Cayley map $\mathcal{M}(T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle; \sigma, t)$ of \mathbb{Z}_2^n , set $r = \sigma$ and $\ell = t$. Then

$$o(r\ell) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } (x-1)^{2^m} \nmid f(x) \\ 2\alpha, & \text{if } (x-1)^{2^m} \parallel f(x) \end{cases},$$

where $\alpha = o(\sigma) = k2^m$, k is odd and $f(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of σ .

Proof Note that

$$(r\ell)^\alpha = (\sigma t)^\alpha = (I + \sigma + \cdots + \sigma^{\alpha-1})(t).$$

Then $o(r\ell) = \alpha$ if and only if $(I + \sigma + \cdots + \sigma^{\alpha-1})(t) = 1$. Let $g(x) = 1 + x + \cdots + x^{\alpha-1}$. Since $g(\sigma)\sigma = \sigma g(\sigma)$ and $\langle t \rangle^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = T$, then $g(\sigma)(t) = 1$ if and only if $g(\sigma) = 0$. Since $f(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of σ , $o(r\ell) = \alpha$ if and only if $f(x) \mid g(x)$. Note that

$(x-1)^{2^m} \parallel x^{2^{mk}} - 1$ and $x^{2^{mk}} - 1 = (x-1)g(x)$, we get that $o(r\ell) = \alpha$ or 2α if and only if $(x-1)^{2^m} \nmid f(x)$ or $(x-1)^{2^m} \parallel f(x)$, respectively. \square

With Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2, inserting $|V| = p^n$ and $|E| = \frac{|X|}{2}$ and $|F| = \frac{|X|}{|r\ell|}$ in $g = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(|E| - |V| - |F|)$, we immediately get the following lemma:

Lemma 7.3 *Let g be the genus of regular Cayley map $\mathcal{M}(T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle; \sigma, t(-I))$, where $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}(n, p)$. Then $g = 1 + \frac{1}{4}p^n(\alpha - 2\beta - 2)$, where $\alpha = o(\sigma) = kp^m$, $(k, p) = 1$ and*

$$\beta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x-1)^{2^m} \nmid f(x), p = 2; \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } (x-1)^{2^m} \parallel f(x), p = 2; \\ 1, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, p \neq 2; \\ 2, & \text{if } (x-1)^{p^m} \nmid f_1(x), k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, p \neq 2; \\ \frac{2}{p}, & \text{if } (x-1)^{p^m} \parallel f_1(x), k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, p \neq 2, \end{cases},$$

where $f(x)$ and $f_1(x)$ are minimal polynomials of σ and σ^2 , respectively.

Example 7.4 *Using Theorem 1.4, we can obtain the genera of regular Cayley maps $\mathcal{M}(T \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle; \sigma, t(-I))$, when $\sigma \in \{\mathbf{M}(2, 2), \mathbf{M}(2, 3), \mathbf{M}(3, 2), \mathbf{M}(3, 3)\}$.*

(1) $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}(2, 2)$:

$f(x)$	$x^2 + x + 1$	$x^2 + 1$
α	3	2
β	1	$\frac{1}{2}$
g	0	0

(2) $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}(2, 3)$:

$f(x)$	$x^2 + 2x + 2$	$x^2 + x + 2$	$x^2 + 1$	$x^2 + 2x + 1$
$f_1(x)$	$x^2 + 1$	$x^2 + 1$	$(x+1)^2$	$x^2 + x + 1$
α	8	8	4	6
β	1	1	1	2
g	10	10	1	1

(3) $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}(3, 2)$:

$f(x)$	$x^3 + 1$	$x^3 + x^2 + 1$	$x^3 + x + 1$	$x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$
α	3	7	7	4
β	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1
g	1	7	7	1

(4) $\sigma \in \mathbf{M}(3, 3)$:

$f(x)$	$x^3 + 1$	$x^3 + 2x^2 + 1$	$x^3 + 2x + 1$	$x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1$	$x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1$
$f_1(x)$	$x^3 + 2$	$x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 2$	$x^3 + x^2 + x + 2$	$x^3 + 2x + 2$	$x^3 + x^2 + 2$
α	6	26	26	26	26
β	$\frac{2}{3}$	2	2	2	2
g	19	136	136	136	136

References

- [1] M. Anderson and R.B. Richter, Self-dual Cayley maps, *Euro. J. Combin.* **21**(2000), 419–430.
- [2] M. Bachratý, M. Conder and G. Verret, Skew-product groups for monolithic groups, arXiv:1905.00520v1, 2019.
- [3] N.L. Biggs, Cayley maps and symmetrical maps, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **72** (1972), 381–386.
- [4] J.Y. Chen, S.F. Du and C.H. Li, Skew-morphisms of nonabelian characteristically simple groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **185**(2022), paper No. 105539, 17 pp.
- [5] M.D.E. Conder, R. Jajcay and T.W. Tucker, Cyclic complements and skew morphisms of groups, *J. Algebra*. **453**(2016), 68–100.
- [6] M. Conder, R. Jajcay and T.W. Tucker, Regular Cayley maps for finite abelian groups, *J. Algebr. Combin.* **25**(2007), 259–283.
- [7] M. Conder and R. Tucker, Regular Cayley maps for cyclic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **366**(2014), 3585–3609.
- [8] S.F. Du and K. Hu, Skew-morphisms of cyclic 2-groups, *J. Group Theory*. **22**(2019)(4), 617–635.
- [9] S.F. Du, W.J. Luo, H. Yu and J.Y. Zhang, Skew-morphisms of elementary abelian p -groups, arXiv:2205.07734, 2022.
- [10] J.L. Gross and T.W. Tucker, Topological Graph Theory, *Wiley, New York*, 1987.
- [11] R. Jajcay, Automorphism groups of Cayley maps, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **59**(1993), 297–310.
- [12] R. Jajcay, On a newproduct of groups, *Euro. J. Combina.* **15**(1994), 251–252.
- [13] R. Jajcay and J. Širáň, Skew-morphisms of regular Cayley maps, *Disc. Math.* **224**(2002), 167–179.
- [14] L.D. James and G.A. Jones, Regular orientable imbeddings of complete graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **39**(1985), 353–367.
- [15] G.A. Jones, Maps on surfaces and Galois groups, *Math. Slovaca*. **47**(1997), 1–33.
- [16] G.A. Jones, Regular embeddings of complete bipartite graphs: classification and enumeration, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **101** (2010), 427–453.
- [17] G.A. Jones and D. Singerman, Belyĭ functions, hypermaps, and Galois groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **28**(1996), 561–590.
- [18] G.A. Jones and D. Singerman, Theory of maps on orientable surfaces, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **37**(1978), 273–307.
- [19] Y. S. Kwon, A classification of regular t -balanced Cayley maps for cyclic groups, *Disc. Math.* **313**(2013), 656–664.
- [20] I. Kovács and Y.S. Kwon, Regular Cayley maps for dihedral groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **148**(2021), 84–124.
- [21] I. Kovács and Y.S. Kwon, Regular Cayley maps on dihedral groups with smallest kernel, *J. Algebr. Combin.* **44**(2016), 831–847.
- [22] I. Kovács, D. Marušič and M.E. Muzychuk, On G -arc-regular dihedrants and regular dihedral maps, *J. Algebr. Combin.* **38**(2013), 437–455.

- [23] I. Kovács and R. Nedela, Decomposition of skew-morphisms of cyclic groups, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **4**(2011), 329–249.
- [24] I. Kovács and R. Nedela, Skew-morphisms of cyclic p -groups, *J. Group Theory.* **20**(2017)(6), 135–154.
- [25] R. Nedela and M. Škoviera, Exponents of orientable maps, *Proc. London Math.Soc.* **75**(1997)(3), 1–31.
- [26] R.B. Richter, J. Širáň, R. Jajcay, T.W. Tucker and M.E. Watkins, Cayley maps, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* **95**(2005), 189–245.
- [27] G. Ringel, Map Color Theorem, *Springer, Berlin*, 1974.
- [28] Lidl. Rudolf and H. Niederreiter, Finite fields, *Cambridge University Press*, 1996.
- [29] M. Škoviera and J. Širáň, Regular maps from Cayley graphs, Part I: Balanced Cayley maps, *Disc. Math.* **109**(1992), 265–276.
- [30] J. Širáň and M. Škoviera, Regular maps from Cayley graphs, Part 2: antibalanced Cayley maps, *Disc. Math.* **124**(1994), 179–191.
- [31] T.W. Tucker, Finite groups acting on surfaces and the genus of a group, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **34**(1983), 82-98.
- [32] J.Y. Zhang, Regular Cayley maps of skew-type 3 for dihedral groups, *Disc. Math.* **388**(2015), 1163–1172.
- [33] J.Y. Zhang and S.F. Du, On the skew-morphisms of dihedral groups, *J. Group Theory.* **19**(2016), 993–1016.