

A twisted invariant of a compact Riemann surface ^{*}

Nariya Kawazumi

Abstract

We introduce a twisted version of the Kawazumi-Zhang invariant $a_g(C) = \varphi(C)$ of a compact Riemann surface C of genus $g \geq 1$, and discuss how it is related to the first Mumford-Morita-Miller class $e_1 = \kappa_1$ on the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces and the original Kawazumi-Zhang invariant.

1 Introduction

A compact Riemann surface is still a fascinating research subject even in the twenty-first century. In fact, various mathematicians and even physicists are now studying the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_g of genus g , a universal covering space of the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g of compact Riemann surfaces of genus g , from their own various viewpoints. In this paper we study functions on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g , i.e., invariant functions on the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_g under the mapping class group action. They are regarded also as analytic invariants of a compact Riemann surface. There are no non-constant holomorphic functions on \mathbb{M}_g if $g \geq 3$, while the $3g - 3$ -dimensional orbifold \mathbb{M}_g has an enormous amount of C^∞ functions. Hence we would like to find functions which have some geometric and/or arithmetic meanings. Hyperbolic geometry is a highly effective tool for studying Riemann surfaces and Teichmüller spaces. But, in the context of hyperbolic geometry, the author knows no interesting functions on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g of compact Riemann surfaces except the injective radius of a compact hyperbolic surface.

Other effective tools for studying compact Riemann surfaces are the classical theory of Abelian integrals and its powerful descendant, arithmetic geometry including the Arakelov geometry. Theta functions provide meaningful functions on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g . Moreover, based on his own Arakelov geometry, Faltings [1] introduced an analytic invariant of a compact Riemann surface. Hain and Reed [2] introduced another invariant by the Hodge line bundle and the intermediate Jacobian on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g . Zhang [26] and the author [15, 16] introduced the same invariant $a_g = \varphi$ independently. Zhang's approach comes from the Arakelov geometry, while the author's from the Johnson homomorphism similar to that of Hain and Reed, but based on the classical theory of Abelian integrals. Later de Jong [8] proved that the Faltings invariant, the Hain-Reed invariant and the Kawazumi-Zhang invariant are linearly dependent. Moreover he has

^{*}Keywords: moduli of compact Riemann surfaces, the first Mumford-Morita-Miller class, Kawazumi-Zhang invariant

clarified various aspects of the invariant a_g in papers including [9, 10, 11]. In particular, de Jong and Shokrieh [13, Theorem 7.1] gave a complete description of the asymptotic behavior of the invariant a_g along 1-parameter families of compact Riemann surfaces degenerating into a stable curve. D'Hoker and Green [4] found some relation of the genus 2 invariant a_2 to high energy physics. D'Hoker, Green, Pioline and Russo [5] proved the function a_2 is an eigenfunction with respect to the Laplacian on the moduli space of principally polarized Abelian varieties \mathbb{A}_2 . In §3.2 we will show this does not hold in the case $g = 3$ by using a twisted version of the invariant a_g . The obstruction for a_3 to be an eigenfunction is the (extended) first Johnson homomorphism [7, 20].

In this paper, we introduce a twisted version of the invariant a_g , a map A from the 4-fold tensor product of the first cohomology group of the surface to the complex numbers. One can recover the invariant a_g from the map A by using the intersection pairing on the cohomology group, and can construct new invariants by a similar way to a_g . Moreover, from the invariant A , we obtain an explicit $(1,1)$ -form on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g representing the first Mumford-Morita-Miller class $e_1 = \kappa_1$ in §3.1.

The invariant A is given as an integral over the 2-fold product of the surface. D'Hoker and Schlotterer [6] generalized our construction to integrals over the n -fold product of the surface for $n \geq 3$, and proved highly nontrivial identities among them. It is amazing that their proof of the identities is based only on formal natures of the Arakelov Green function $G(-, -)$.

This paper is organized as follows. The twisted version A of the invariant a_g of a compact Riemann surface C is introduced in §2. In §3 we give two kinds of interpretation of the map A as tensor fields on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g . First it defines a $(1,1)$ -form on \mathbb{M}_g . As is proved in §4, it is closed and represents the first Mumford-Miller-Morita class $e_1 = \kappa_1$. In §3.2, using the second interpretation, we discuss how the invariant a_g behaves in the cases $g = 2, 3$. The proof of Proposition 4, which we need for our consideration in the case $g = 3$, is given in §5.

Acknowledgements. First of all the author expresses his sincere thanks and respect to Athanase Papadopoulos for his friendship and outstanding leadership in world-wide research networks on Teichmüller theory. As well as his own research, many books he has edited illustrate his great insight. The author also thanks M. Uludag for giving him a chance to take part in a tribute to Athanase Papadopoulos. This paper is based on the author's talks at OIST, University of Geneva and University of Strasbourg in 2016 and 2017. The slides for OIST and Strasbourg are uploaded in [17, 18]. The author thanks S. Hikami, A. Alekseev and C. Vespa for giving him these opportunities. In particular, discussions at OIST with Pioline aroused the author's interest in the genus 3 invariant a_3 . He deeply thanks B. Pioline. Furthermore the author thanks R. de Jong for his helpful comments on the first version of this paper. The present research is supported in part by the grants JSPS KAKENHI 18KK0071, 18K03283, 19H01784, 20H00115 and 22H01120.

2 A twisted invariant of a compact Riemann surface

Throughout this paper, we assume the genus g of a compact Riemann surface C is not smaller than 1, $g \geq 1$. Then the vector space $H' := H^0(C; \Omega_C^1)$ of holomorphic 1-forms on C does not vanish. Its complex conjugate $H'' := \overline{H^0(C; \Omega_C^1)}$ is the vector space of anti-holomorphic 1-forms on C . The first cohomology group $H := H^1(C; \mathbb{C})$ is naturally identified with the direct sum $H' \oplus H''$, the vector space of harmonic 1-forms on the surface C . The intersection pairing

$$(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in H \times H \mapsto \phi_1 \cdot \phi_2 := \int_C \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1)$$

is non-degenerate. Hence we identify H with its dual through the pairing. Under this identification, the subspaces H' and H'' are dual to each other.

For $\phi'_1, \phi'_2 \in H' = H^0(C; \Omega_C^1)$ we can define a positive definite Hermitian pairing

$$(\phi'_1, \phi'_2) \in H' \otimes H' \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \int_C \phi'_1 \wedge \overline{\phi'_2} \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2)$$

In fact, any holomorphic 1-form ϕ' locally given by $\phi' = f(z)dz$ in terms of a local complex coordinate $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$ satisfies $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \phi' \wedge \overline{\phi'} = |f(z)|^2 dx \wedge dy$. We take an orthonormal basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^g \subset H'$ with respect to the Hermitian pairing

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \int_C \psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_j} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq g).$$

Then the element

$$\widehat{\omega} := \sum_{i=1}^g \psi_i \otimes \overline{\psi_i} \in H' \otimes H'' (\subset H \otimes H) \quad (3)$$

is independent of the choice of the basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^g$. In fact, the set $\{\psi_i, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \overline{\psi_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq g}$ is a symplectic basis of $H = H^1(C; \mathbb{C})$ with respect to the pairing (1). Hence the symplectic form on H , which depends only on the pairing (1), equals

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^g \psi_i \otimes \overline{\psi_i} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^g \overline{\psi_i} \otimes \psi_i \in H^1(C; \mathbb{C})^{\otimes 2},$$

whose component in $H' \otimes H''$ equals $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \widehat{\omega}$. In particular, a (1, 1)-form B on the surface C defined by

$$B := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2g} \sum_{i=1}^g \psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_i},$$

is independent of the choice of the basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^g$. We have $\int_C B = 1$. Moreover the form B is a real volume form on the surface C , since the 1-forms ψ_i 's have no common zeroes because of the Riemann-Roch formula.

We denote by $\Omega^p(C)$ the vector space of \mathbb{C} -valued p -forms on C for $p = 0, 1, 2$. Then the Hodge $*$ -operator $*$: $\Omega^1(C) \rightarrow \Omega^1(C)$ depends only on the complex structure of the surface C . In fact, in terms of a local complex coordinate z , we have $*dz = -\sqrt{-1}d\bar{z}$ and $*d\bar{z} = \sqrt{-1}dz$. Then, since the operator $d*d$ is equivalent to the Laplacian by means of the volume form B , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Omega^0(C) \xrightarrow{d*d} \Omega^2(C) \xrightarrow{\int_C} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the left \mathbb{C} means the constant functions. Hence we have a unique linear map $\widehat{\Phi} : \Omega^2(C) \rightarrow \Omega^0(C)$ such that

$$d*d\widehat{\Phi}(\Omega) = \Omega - \left(\int_C \Omega \right) B \quad \text{and} \quad \int_C \widehat{\Phi}(\Omega)B = 0$$

for any $\Omega \in \Omega^2(C)$. It is nothing but the Green operator associated with the volume form B . The operator $\widehat{\Phi}$ is real, $\overline{\widehat{\Phi}} = \widehat{\Phi}$, and the induced map

$$\widehat{\Phi}|_{\text{Ker}(\int_C)} : \text{Ker} \left(\int_C : \Omega^2(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \right) \rightarrow \Omega^0(C)/\mathbb{C}$$

depends only on the complex structure on the surface C . Moreover we have

$$\int_C \widehat{\Phi}(\Omega)\Omega' = \int_C \Omega\widehat{\Phi}(\Omega') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{(P_1, P_2) \in C \times C} (\Omega)_{P_1} (\log G(P_1, P_2)) (\Omega')_{P_2} \quad (4)$$

for any $\Omega, \Omega' \in \Omega^2(C)$, where we denote by $G(-, -)$ the Arakelov Green function. Here we remark $\widehat{\Phi}(B) = 0$. In fact, the function $\widehat{\Phi}(B)$ is constant since $d*d\widehat{\Phi}(B) = 0$, and so $\widehat{\Phi}(B) = \int_C \widehat{\Phi}(B)B = 0$.

Now we define a map A by

$$A : H' \otimes H'' \otimes H' \otimes H'' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \phi_1 \otimes \overline{\phi_2} \otimes \phi_3 \otimes \overline{\phi_4} \mapsto \int_C \phi_1 \wedge \overline{\phi_2} \widehat{\Phi}(\phi_3 \wedge \overline{\phi_4}), \quad (5)$$

which is independent of the choice of the basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^g$, and so is a twisted invariant of the compact Riemann surface C . One can extend it to a map $H \otimes H \otimes H \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the same formula (5), but with no additional information. In order to compute the map A explicitly, it is convenient to introduce a complex number $A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} &:= A(\psi_i \otimes \overline{\psi_j} \otimes \psi_k \otimes \overline{\psi_l}) = \int_C \psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_j} \widehat{\Phi}(\psi_k \wedge \overline{\psi_l}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{(P_1, P_2) \in C \times C} (\psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_j})_{P_1} (\log G(P_1, P_2)) (\psi_k \wedge \overline{\psi_l})_{P_2} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq g$ by using the orthonormal basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^g$. Then we have


$$\begin{aligned} \overline{A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}} &= \int_C \psi_j \wedge \overline{\psi_i} \widehat{\Phi}(\psi_l \wedge \overline{\psi_k}) = A_{j\bar{i}l\bar{k}}, \\ A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} &= \int_C \widehat{\Phi}(\psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_j}) \psi_k \wedge \overline{\psi_l} = A_{k\bar{l}i\bar{j}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The latter follows from (4). We can contract the indices i, k, \dots and the ones \bar{j}, \bar{l}, \dots to get a new complex number. For example, the Kawazumi-Zhang invariant¹ $a_g = a_g(C)$ is obtained by


$$a_g = \sum_{i,j=1}^g A_{i\bar{j}j\bar{i}} = A((24)(\hat{\omega} \otimes \hat{\omega})),$$

which is a real number by the formula (7). Here $(24) : H' \otimes H'' \otimes H' \otimes H'' \rightarrow H' \otimes H'' \otimes H' \otimes H''$ is the switch map of the 2nd and the 4th components of the tensor product $H' \otimes H'' \otimes H' \otimes H''$.


We can describe the numbers $A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ and a_g in the diagrams



Here the circle with indices i and \bar{j} means $\psi_i \wedge \bar{\psi}_j$, the double line without arrows $\log G(-, -)$, and a line with an arrow the contraction. For example, since $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2g} \hat{\Phi}(\sum_{i=1}^g \psi_i \wedge \bar{\psi}_i) = \hat{\Phi}(B) = 0$, we have $\sum_{i,j,l=1}^g A_{i\bar{j}l\bar{l}} = \sum_{j,k,l=1}^g A_{j\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = 0$, that is,



Similarly we can introduce complex numbers associated with the Riemann surface C , i.e., functions on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g of compact Riemann surfaces. For example, we can consider a function on \mathbb{M}_g defined by the diagram




The number $A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ is given by an integral over the 2-fold direct product $C^{\times 2} = C \times C$. We can generalize this construction to the 3-fold direct product and more. For example,

¹The author uses the symbol $a_g = a_g(C)$, while Zhang and others use the symbol $\varphi = \varphi(C)$.

we can consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C \widehat{\Phi}(\psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_j}) \psi_m \wedge \overline{\psi_n} \widehat{\Phi}(\psi_k \wedge \overline{\psi_l}) &= \int_C \psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_j} \widehat{\Phi}((\psi_m \wedge \overline{\psi_n}) \widehat{\Phi}(\psi_k \wedge \overline{\psi_l})) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \iiint_{(P_1, P_2, P_3) \in C \times C \times C} (\psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_j})_{P_1} (\log G(P_1, P_2)) (\psi_m \wedge \overline{\psi_n})_{P_2} (\log G(P_2, P_3)) (\psi_k \wedge \overline{\psi_l})_{P_3} \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i, j, k, l, m, n \leq g$. This can be described in the diagram



D'Hoker and Schlotterer [6] gave a systematic generalization of $A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ where they constructed modular graph tensors using integrals on the direct product $C^{\times n}$ for any $n \geq 1$, and proved highly nontrivial identities among them. It is amazing that their proof of the identities is based only on formal natures of the Arakelov Green function $G(-, -)$.

3 Tensor fields on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g

When the Riemann surface C runs over the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g of compact Riemann surfaces of genus g , the transpose tA of the linear map A in (5) can be regarded as some tensor fields on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g . Now recall the vector spaces H' and H'' are dual to each other through the pairing (1). In particular, the basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^g$ and $\{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\overline{\psi_i}\}_{i=1}^g$ are dual to each other. Then the transpose ${}^tA : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H'' \otimes H' \otimes H'' \otimes H'$ is determined by its own value at $1 \in \mathbb{C}$

$${}^tA(1) = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^g A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \overline{\psi_i} \otimes \psi_j \otimes \overline{\psi_k} \otimes \psi_l \in H'' \otimes H' \otimes H'' \otimes H'. \quad (8)$$

When the surface C runs over the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g , the collections of H' and H'' are holomorphic and anti-holomorphic vector bundles, respectively. Then ${}^tA(1)$ is a C^∞ section of a tensor product of these vector bundles.

3.1 The first Mumford-Morita-Miller class $e_1 = \kappa_1$

Here we recall the holomorphic cotangent space $T_{[C]}^* \mathbb{M}_g$ of the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g at the equivalence class $[C]$ of the Riemann surface C is canonically isomorphic to the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials

$$T_{[C]}^* \mathbb{M}_g = H^0(C; (\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes 2}).$$

For example, we may regard $\psi_l\psi_j = \psi_j\psi_l \in T_{[C]}^*\mathbb{M}_g$ and $\overline{\psi_i\psi_k} = \overline{\psi_k\psi_i} \in \overline{T_{[C]}^*\mathbb{M}_g}$. Hence we can define a $(1, 1)$ -form $e_1^{\widehat{\Phi}}$ on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g by²

$$e_1^{\widehat{\Phi}}|_{[C]} := -3\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^g (\psi_j\psi_l A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \overline{\psi_k\psi_i} - \psi_j\psi_l A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \overline{\psi_k\psi_l}).$$

In fact, from (8), the RHS is independent of the choice of the basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^g$. Then we have

Theorem 1. *The $(1, 1)$ -form $e_1^{\widehat{\Phi}}$ is closed on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g , and represents the first Mumford-Morita-Miller class $e_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{M}_g; \mathbb{C})$.*

The theorem was stated implicitly in the equation (5.5) of the preprint [15] without proof. We will give its proof in the next section §4.

Very recently, de Jong and van der Lugt [12, 19] introduced a subalgebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{M}_g)$ of the de Rham complex $\Omega^*(\mathbb{M}_g)$, called *the ring of tautological forms* on \mathbb{M}_g . It consists entirely of closed forms, and its image in the cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathbb{M}_g; \mathbb{C})$ is generated by all the Mumford-Morita-Miller classes, i.e., equals the image of the tautological ring of \mathbb{M}_g . It is remarkable that the ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{M}_g)$ is finite-dimensional, which suggests us that study of differential forms on \mathbb{M}_g could help us to understand the tautological ring of \mathbb{M}_g . As was shown in [12, 19], the degree 2 part of the ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{M}_g)$ is closely related to the invariant a_g . So it would be very interesting if we could find further relations of the ring $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{M}_g)$ with the complex numbers $A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$'s or generalizations by D'Hoker and Schlotterer [6].

3.2 The Jacobian map $\text{Jac} : \mathbb{M}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$

The transpose ${}^tA(1)$ in (8) has another interpretation: it can be regarded as a C^∞ section of the pullback $\text{Jac}^*(T^*\mathbb{A}_g \otimes \overline{T^*\mathbb{A}_g})$ over the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g . Here \mathbb{A}_g is the moduli space of principally polarized Abelian varieties of genus g , and $\text{Jac} : \mathbb{M}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$, $[C] \mapsto [\text{Jac}(C)]$, the Jacobian map. The moduli space \mathbb{A}_g is the quotient space of the Siegel upper half space \mathfrak{H}_g by the Siegel modular group $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$. The space $\mathfrak{H}_g = Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})/U_g$ is the space of all complex structures J on the standard symplectic vector space \mathbb{R}^{2g} with positivity condition. The tautological vector bundle $E_g := \coprod_{J \in \mathfrak{H}_g} (\mathbb{R}^{2g}, J)$ over \mathfrak{H}_g is an $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle with canonical Hermitian metric, and so descends to the moduli space \mathbb{A}_g . Through a canonical isomorphism $T\mathbb{A}_g = \text{Sym}^2(E_g) \subset E_g^{\otimes 2}$, one can define a Kähler metric on the moduli space \mathbb{A}_g . See, for example, [22, Chapter II]. In particular, we may consider the Laplacian Δ on the moduli space \mathbb{A}_g . The pullback $\text{Jac}^*E_g^*$ of the Hermitian vector bundle E_g under the Jacobian map Jac at the equivalence class $[C] \in \mathbb{M}_g$ is isometric to the holomorphic 1-forms $H' = H^0(C; \Omega_C^1)$ with metric given in (2). Hence the transpose ${}^tA(1)$ in (8) can be regarded as a C^∞ section of the pullback $\text{Jac}^*(T^*\mathbb{A}_g \otimes \overline{T^*\mathbb{A}_g})$.

²In [17, 18] we denote $e_1^{\widehat{\Phi}}$ by e_1^D , whose coefficients was $48\sqrt{-1}$. It is not true. This mistake comes from computation of the intersection number $Y_i \cdot \overline{Y_i}$.

By Rauch's formula [24], the differential $d\text{Jac}$ of the Jacobian map is given by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{[C]}^* \mathbb{M}_g & \xleftarrow{(d\text{Jac})^*} & T_{[\text{Jac}(C)]}^* \mathbb{A}_g \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
H^0(C; (\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes 2}) & \xleftarrow{\text{multiplication}} & \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C; \Omega_C^1).
\end{array} \tag{9}$$

In particular, from M. Noether's theorem, the differential $d\text{Jac}_{[C]}$ is injective if and only if $g = 2$ or ($g \geq 3$ and C is non-hyperelliptic). On the other hand, $\dim \mathbb{M}_g = \dim \mathbb{A}_g$ if and only if $g = 2$ or 3. Hence the Laplacian Δ on \mathbb{A}_g acts on functions on $\mathbb{M}_2 \overset{\text{open}}{\subset} \mathbb{A}_2$ and $\mathbb{M}_3 \setminus \mathbb{H}_3 \overset{\text{open}}{\subset} \mathbb{A}_3$. Here we denote by $\mathbb{H}_g \subset \mathbb{M}_g$ the locus of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$.

D'Hoker, Green, Pioline and Russo [5] proved the following remarkable theorem.

Theorem 2 ([5](4.23)). $\Delta a_2 = 5a_2$. *In particular, the invariant a_2 is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian Δ .*

Based on the theorem, Pioline [23] gave an explicit formula for a_2 in terms of theta functions. Later, for any $g \geq 1$, Wilms [25] gave an explicit formula for a_g in terms of a function introduced by de Jong as well as theta functions. But the methods of Wilms [25] seem to be different from those discussed in this paper.

There remains the case $g = 3$. In this paper we prove

Theorem 3. *In the case $g = 3$, the invariant a_3 is not an eigenfunction of the Laplacian Δ .*

The obstruction for a_3 to be an eigenfunction is the first Johnson homomorphism [7, 20], which is represented by a twisted 1-form on \mathbb{M}_g as follows. We denote by $U = U(C)$ the kernel of the contraction map $\Lambda^3 H \rightarrow H$, $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 \mapsto (\phi_2 \cdot \phi_3)\phi_1 + (\phi_3 \cdot \phi_1)\phi_2 + (\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2)\phi_3$. It should be remarked that U vanishes if and only if $g \leq 2$. For $\phi_i = \phi'_i + \phi''_i \in H = H' \oplus H''$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we define $Q(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \in C^\infty(C; (T^*C)^{\otimes 2})$ by

$$Q(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) := -\sqrt{-1}\phi'_1 \partial \widehat{\Phi}(\phi_2 \wedge \phi_3) - \sqrt{-1}\phi'_2 \partial \widehat{\Phi}(\phi_3 \wedge \phi_1) - \sqrt{-1}\phi'_3 \partial \widehat{\Phi}(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2). \tag{10}$$

The tensor $Q(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$ is alternating in ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 , but is not holomorphic in general. Since $d * d = -2\sqrt{-1}\partial \bar{\partial}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\bar{\partial}Q \\
&= \phi'_1(\phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 - (\int_C \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3)B) + \phi'_2(\phi_3 \wedge \phi_1 - (\int_C \phi_3 \wedge \phi_1)B) \\
&\quad + \phi'_3(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 - (\int_C \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2)B) \\
&= \phi'_1 \phi'_2 \phi''_3 - \phi'_1 \phi'_3 \phi''_2 + \phi'_2 \phi'_3 \phi''_1 - \phi'_2 \phi'_1 \phi''_3 + \phi'_3 \phi'_1 \phi''_2 - \phi'_3 \phi'_2 \phi''_1 \\
&\quad - (\phi'_1(\phi_2 \cdot \phi_3) + \phi'_2(\phi_3 \cdot \phi_1) + \phi'_3(\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2))B \\
&= -(\phi'_1(\phi_2 \cdot \phi_3) + \phi'_2(\phi_3 \cdot \phi_1) + \phi'_3(\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2))B.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the restriction of Q to the subspace U has its value in the space $H^0(C; (\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes 2})$ of holomorphic quadratic differentials

$$Q : U = U(C) \rightarrow H^0(C; (\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes 2}) = T_{[C]}^* \mathbb{M}_g.$$

We remark the map Q is equivariant under the action of the holomorphic automorphism group $\text{Aut}(C)$. In particular, if the Riemann surface C is hyperelliptic, we have

$$Q(U(C)) \subset (\text{the } (-1)\text{-eigenspace of the hyperelliptic involution in } T_{[C]}^* \mathbb{M}_g), \quad (11)$$

since the involution acts on the space $U(C)$ by $-1_{U(C)}$.

We may identify the map Q with its transpose³ $Q : T_{[C]} \mathbb{M}_g \rightarrow (U(C))^*$, which can be regarded as a twisted $(1, 0)$ form on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g . Here the pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle : \Lambda^3 H \times \Lambda^3 H &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ (\phi_1^{(1)} \wedge \phi_2^{(1)} \wedge \phi_3^{(1)}, \phi_1^{(2)} \wedge \phi_2^{(2)} \wedge \phi_3^{(2)}) &\mapsto \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_3} (\phi_{\sigma(1)}^{(1)} \cdot \phi_{\tau(1)}^{(2)}) (\phi_{\sigma(2)}^{(1)} \cdot \phi_{\tau(2)}^{(2)}) (\phi_{\sigma(3)}^{(1)} \cdot \phi_{\tau(3)}^{(2)}) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

is non-degenerate on $U = U(C)$, and so we identify $U(C)$ with its dual $(U(C))^*$ through the pairing (12). We denote by the same symbol U the flat vector bundle $\coprod_{[C] \in \mathbb{M}_g} U(C)$ over the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g . The tensor Q equals the $(1, 0)$ -part of the first variation of the harmonic volumes introduced by Harris [3, Theorem 5.8]. In particular, the sum $Q + \overline{Q}$ is a twisted closed 1-form with values in the flat vector bundle U . As was proved in [14, §8], the cohomology class of $-(Q + \overline{Q})$ equals the extended first Johnson homomorphism \tilde{k} [20]

$$\tilde{k} = -(Q + \overline{Q}) \in H^1(\mathbb{M}_g; U).$$

The cohomology group $H^1(\mathbb{M}_g; U)$ is canonically isomorphic to the first group cohomology of the mapping class group of a genus g Riemann surface with values in the vector space $U = U(C)$. The restriction of \tilde{k} to the Torelli group equals the first Johnson homomorphism [7]. As was proved by Morita [21], the first Mumford-Morita-Miller class e_1 equals the contraction $\langle \tilde{k}, \tilde{k} \rangle \in H^2(\mathbb{M}_g; \mathbb{C})$ of the cup product $\tilde{k} \cup \tilde{k} \in H^2(\mathbb{M}_g; U^{\otimes 2})$ up to non-zero constant factor. By the same recipe using the pairing in (12), we obtain a $(1, 1)$ -form e_1^J on \mathbb{M}_g representing the class e_1 , which equals $\langle Q + \overline{Q}, Q + \overline{Q} \rangle$ up to non-zero constant factor. From [15, Theorem 6.1], we have

$$\frac{1}{12} e_1^{\hat{\Phi}} = \frac{-2\sqrt{-1}g}{2(2g+1)} (\partial \bar{\partial} a_g) + \frac{1}{12} e_1^J. \quad (13)$$

An alternative proof of the formula (13) using the Deligne pairing is given by de Jong [11]. If $g = 2$, then $U = 0$, so that $e_1^J = 0$. But $e_1^J \neq 0$ for $g \geq 3$.

In [5, Appendices B and C], D'Hoker, Green, Pioline and Russo gave suggestive computations for any genus $g \geq 2$. In the equations (B.4) and (C.1), they divide the exact $(1, 1)$ form $\bar{\partial} \partial a_g$ into two parts $\psi_A^1 + \psi_B$ and $\psi_A^2 + \psi_C$. Their formulae (C.3) and

³For simplicity, we use the same symbol Q , not ${}^t Q$.

(C.5) say that $\psi_A^1 + \psi_B$ and $\psi_A^2 + \psi_C$ equal $e_1^{\widehat{\Phi}}$ and e_1^J up to non-zero constant factor, respectively. These formulae are equivalent to our equation (13). The formula (C.9) says that $\psi_A^2 + \psi_C$ vanishes if $g = 2$. In order to understand the formula (C.4)

$$\Delta a_g \Big|_{\psi_A^1 + \psi_B} = (2g + 1)a_g,$$

we need to introduce a lift of the differential form $e_1^{\widehat{\Phi}}$ to an element \widehat{E}_1 of $C^\infty(\mathbb{M}_g; \text{Jac}^*(T^*\mathbb{A}_g \otimes \overline{T^*\mathbb{A}_g}))$ defined by

$$\widehat{E}_1|_{[C]} := -3\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^g ((\psi_j \bullet \psi_l) A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(\overline{\psi_k} \bullet \overline{\psi_i}) - (\psi_j \bullet \psi_l) A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(\overline{\psi_k} \bullet \overline{\psi_l})).$$

Here $\psi_j \bullet \psi_l := \frac{1}{2}(\psi_j \otimes \psi_l + \psi_l \otimes \psi_j) \in \text{Sym}^2 H'$ and so on. Now we recall the Laplacian Δ on any Kähler manifold X is given by

$$\Delta f = 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda\partial\bar{\partial}f$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$. Here $\Lambda : T^*X \otimes \overline{T^*X} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}$ is the Kähler contraction. In our case $X = \mathbb{A}_g$, we have

$$\Lambda\widehat{E}_1|_{[C]} = 6 \left(\sum_{j,l=1}^g A_{i\bar{j}j\bar{l}} + \sum_{j,l=1}^g A_{j\bar{j}l\bar{l}} - g \sum_{i,j=1}^g A_{i\bar{j}j\bar{i}} - \sum_{i,l=1}^g A_{i\bar{l}l\bar{i}} \right) = -6ga_g,$$

since $\int_C \psi_i \wedge \overline{\psi_j} = -2\sqrt{-1}\delta_{ij}$ and $\sum_{j,k,l=1}^g A_{j\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = 0$. Applying the Kähler contraction to the equation (13), we obtain $-\frac{g}{2}a_g = -\frac{g}{2(2g+1)}\Delta a_g + \frac{1}{12}\Lambda e_1^J$, and so

$$\Delta a_g = (2g + 1)a_g + \frac{g}{6}\Lambda e_1^J, \quad (14)$$

if $g = 2, 3$. In the case $g = 3$, the Kähler contraction $|\Lambda e_1^J|$ with respect to the metric of \mathbb{A}_3 satisfies the following.

Proposition 4. *There is a hyperelliptic Riemann surface C_0 of genus 3 such that*

$$|\Lambda e_1^J| \longrightarrow +\infty$$

as a non-hyperelliptic Riemann surface C of genus 3 goes to the surface C_0 .

The proof will be given in §5, and is based on a theorem of Harris [3, Theorem 6.5].

Now the invariant a_3 is C^∞ near $[C_0] \in \mathbb{M}_3$, so is locally bounded near $[C_0]$. Proposition 4 together with (14) implies that Δa_3 is not bounded near $[C_0]$. Hence the function a_3 is not an eigenfunction of the Laplacian Δ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3 modulo that of Proposition 4. \square

Since the Jacobian map $\text{Jac}|_{\mathbb{H}_g} : \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$ restricted to the hyperelliptic locus \mathbb{H}_g is an embedding, the Kähler metric on \mathbb{A}_g induces a Kähler metric on \mathbb{H}_g and its Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}_g}$. Since the (1,1)-form e_1^J vanishes along \mathbb{H}_g from (11), one can clarify $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}_g}(a_g|_{\mathbb{H}_g})$ if the Kähler contraction Λ on \mathbb{H}_g can be computed.

4 A proof of Theorem 1

In this section we prove Theorem 1 based on computations given in [15]. There is introduced a closed $(1,1)$ -form E_1^D on the moduli space \mathbb{M}_g representing $\frac{1}{12}e_1$ in the equation (5.3). We will prove the equality $E_1^D = \frac{1}{12}e_1^{\widehat{\Phi}}$ to obtain the theorem.

Our starting point is Lemma 5.5 in [15] which says that

$$E_1^D(\lambda, \bar{\mu}) = 4M \int_C \mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\lambda) \wedge \omega'_{(1)} \widehat{\Phi} d * (\omega''_{(1)}\bar{\ell}^\mu - \bar{\ell}^\mu \omega''_{(1)}) \quad (15)$$

for any Beltrami differentials $\lambda, \mu \in C^\infty(C; TC \otimes \overline{T^*C})$.

First of all we need to explain the notation in the equation (15): $M, \omega'_{(1)}, \omega''_{(1)}, \mathcal{H}$ and ℓ^μ . The first complex homology group $H_1(C; \mathbb{C})$ admits the intersection pairing which we denote by $\cdot : H_1(C; \mathbb{C}) \times H_1(C; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The integrand of the RHS is an $H_1(C; \mathbb{C})^{\otimes 4}$ -valued $(1,1)$ -form. The symbol $M : H_1(C; \mathbb{C})^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $M(Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4) := (Z_2 \cdot Z_3)(Z_4 \cdot Z_1)$ for any $Z_i \in H_1(C; \mathbb{C})$. Here and throughout this section we omit the symbol \otimes . The first complex cohomology group $H = H^1(C; \mathbb{C})$, is embedded into the space of 1-forms $\Omega^1(C)$ as the subspace of harmonic 1-forms. An $H_1(C; \mathbb{C})$ -valued real harmonic 1-form $\omega_{(1)} \in \Omega^1(C) \otimes H_1(C; \mathbb{C})$ is defined to be the embedding $\in \text{Hom}(H^1(C; \mathbb{C}), \Omega^1(C)) = \Omega^1(C) \otimes H_1(C; \mathbb{C})$. We denote by $\omega'_{(1)}$ and $\omega''_{(1)}$ its $(1,0)$ - and $(0,1)$ - parts, respectively. If homology classes $Y_i \in H, 1 \leq i \leq g$, satisfy

$$\int_{Y_i} \psi_j = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{Y_i} \bar{\psi}_j = 0$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$, in other words, $\{Y_i, -2\sqrt{-1}Y_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq g}$ is the dual basis of the symplectic basis $\{\psi_i, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\bar{\psi}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq g}$, then we have

$$\omega_{(1)} = \omega'_{(1)} + \omega''_{(1)}, \quad \omega'_{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^g \psi_i Y_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega''_{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^g \bar{\psi}_i \bar{Y}_i. \quad (16)$$

Here we remark $Y_i \cdot \bar{Y}_j = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \delta_{ij}$. The Hodge decomposition on the 1-forms on C is given by

$$\varphi = \mathcal{H}\varphi + *d\widehat{\Phi}d\varphi + d\widehat{\Phi}d*\varphi$$

for any $\varphi \in \Omega^1(C)$. Here \mathcal{H} is the harmonic projection, which is written by

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^g \left(\left(\int_C \varphi \wedge \bar{\psi}_j \right) \psi_j - \left(\int_C \varphi \wedge \psi_j \right) \bar{\psi}_j \right).$$

For example, $\omega'_{(1)}\lambda$ can be regarded as an $H_1(C; \mathbb{C})$ -valued $(0,1)$ -form by contracting T^*C in $\omega'_{(1)}$ and TC in λ , so that we have

$$\mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^g \mathcal{H}(\psi_l \lambda) Y_l = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{l,j=1}^g \left(\int_C \psi_j \psi_l \lambda \right) \bar{\psi}_j Y_l, \quad (17)$$

since $\psi_l \lambda \wedge \psi_j = -\psi_j \wedge \psi_l \lambda = -\psi_j \psi_l \lambda$. On the other hand, we have

$$\varphi'' - \mathcal{H}(\varphi'') = *d\widehat{\Phi}d\varphi'' + d\widehat{\Phi}d*\varphi'' = 2\bar{\partial}\widehat{\Phi}d*\varphi'' \quad (18)$$

for any $(0, 1)$ -current φ'' .

Finally $\ell^\mu \in \Omega^0(C) \otimes H_1(C; \mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$\ell^\mu := 2\widehat{\Phi}d*(\omega'_{(1)}\mu).$$

From (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d*(\omega'_{(1)}\ell^\mu - \ell^\mu\omega'_{(1)}) &= -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}(\omega'_{(1)}\ell^\mu - \ell^\mu\omega'_{(1)}) = \sqrt{-1}(\omega'_{(1)} \wedge \bar{\partial}\ell^\mu - (\bar{\partial}\ell^\mu) \wedge \omega'_{(1)}) \\ &= 2\sqrt{-1}\omega'_{(1)} \wedge \bar{\partial}\widehat{\Phi}d*(\omega'_{(1)}\mu) + 2\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\widehat{\Phi}d*(\omega'_{(1)}\mu) \wedge \omega'_{(1)} \\ &= \sqrt{-1}\omega'_{(1)} \wedge (\omega'_{(1)}\mu - \mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\mu)) + \sqrt{-1}(\omega'_{(1)}\mu - \mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\mu)) \wedge \omega'_{(1)} \\ &= -\sqrt{-1}\omega'_{(1)} \wedge \mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\mu) - \sqrt{-1}\mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\mu) \wedge \omega'_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'_{(1)} \wedge \omega'_{(1)}\mu + \omega'_{(1)}\mu \wedge \omega'_{(1)} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^g (\psi_i \wedge \psi_j \mu + \psi_i \mu \wedge \psi_j) Y_i Y_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^g (\psi_i \psi_j - \psi_j \psi_i) \mu Y_i Y_j = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (15), we have

$$E_1^D(\lambda, \bar{\mu}) = 4\sqrt{-1}M \int_C \mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\lambda) \wedge \omega'_{(1)} \widehat{\Phi} \left(\mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\bar{\mu}) \wedge \omega'_{(1)} + \omega'_{(1)} \wedge \mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\bar{\mu}) \right),$$

which is exactly the equation (5.5) in [15].

From (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &4\sqrt{-1}M \int_C \mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\lambda) \wedge \omega'_{(1)} \widehat{\Phi}(\mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\bar{\mu}) \wedge \omega'_{(1)}) \\ &= \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j,l,i,k,m,n=1}^g \left(\int_C \psi_j \psi_l \lambda \right) \left(\int_C \overline{\psi_k \psi_i \bar{\mu}} \right) \int_C \overline{\psi_j} \wedge \psi_m \widehat{\Phi}(\psi_k \wedge \overline{\psi_n}) Z(Y_l Y_m \overline{Y_i Y_n}) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \sum_{j,l,i,k,m,n=1}^g \left(\int_C \psi_j \psi_l \lambda \right) \left(\int_C \overline{\psi_k \psi_i \bar{\mu}} \right) A_{m\bar{j}k\bar{n}} \delta_{mi} \delta_{nl} \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \sum_{j,l,i,k=1}^g \left(\int_C \psi_j \psi_l \lambda \right) \left(\int_C \overline{\psi_k \psi_i \bar{\mu}} \right) A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\sqrt{-1}M \int_C \mathcal{H}(\omega'_{(1)}\lambda) \wedge \omega'_{(1)} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega''_{(1)} \wedge \mathcal{H}(\omega''_{(1)}\bar{\mu})) \\
&= \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j,l,i,k,m,n=1}^g \left(\int_C \psi_j \psi_l \lambda \right) \left(\int_C \overline{\psi_k \psi_i \bar{\mu}} \right) \int_C \overline{\psi_j} \wedge \psi_m \widehat{\Phi}(\overline{\psi_n} \wedge \psi_k) Z(Y_l Y_m \overline{Y_n} \overline{Y_i}) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \sum_{j,l,i,k,m,n=1}^g \left(\int_C \psi_j \psi_l \lambda \right) \left(\int_C \overline{\psi_k \psi_i \bar{\mu}} \right) A_{m\bar{j}k\bar{n}} \delta_{mn} \delta_{il} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \sum_{j,l,k,m=1}^g \left(\int_C \psi_j \psi_l \lambda \right) \left(\int_C \overline{\psi_k \psi_l \bar{\mu}} \right) A_{m\bar{j}k\bar{m}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \sum_{i,j,l,k=1}^g \left(\int_C \psi_j \psi_l \lambda \right) \left(\int_C \overline{\psi_k \psi_l \bar{\mu}} \right) A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{i}}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we obtain

$$E_1^D = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^g \left(\psi_i \psi_l A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \overline{\psi_k \psi_i} - \psi_j \psi_l A_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \overline{\psi_k \psi_l} \right) = \frac{1}{12} e_{\widehat{\Phi}},$$

as was to be shown. \square

The present proof is based on direct computations given in [15]. It is desirable to have a conceptual proof of Theorem 1.

5 Proof of Proposition 4

In this section we prove Proposition 4 based on the following theorem of Harris.

Theorem 5 ([3], Theorem 6.5). *For any $g \geq 3$ there exists a hyperelliptic Riemann surface C_0 such that the inclusion (11) is the equality*

$$Q(U(C_0)) = (\text{the } (-1)\text{-eigenspace of the hyperelliptic involution in } T_{[C_0]}^* \mathbb{M}_g).$$

In other words, the extended Johnson homomorphism $\tilde{k} = -[Q + \overline{Q}]$ at $[C_0]$ is non-degenerate in the normal direction to \mathbb{H}_g in \mathbb{M}_g .

The subspace $U(C) \subset \Lambda^3(H' \oplus H'') = \bigoplus_{p+q=3} \Lambda^p H' \otimes \Lambda^q H''$ is decomposed into

$$U(C) = \bigoplus_{p+q=3} U(C)^{p,q}, \quad U(C)^{p,q} := U(C) \cap (\Lambda^p H' \otimes \Lambda^q H'').$$

From the definition of the map Q (10) we have

$$Q|_{U(C)^{p,q}} = 0 \tag{19}$$

if $(p,q) \neq (2,1)$. Through the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ in (12), $U(C)^{p,q}$ and $U(C)^{3-p,3-q}$ are dual to each other. Hence the image of the transpose $Q : T_{[C]}^* \mathbb{M}_g \rightarrow U(C)$ is included in

$(U(C)^{2,1})^* = U(C)^{1,2}$, i.e., we have $Q(T_{[C]}\mathbb{M}_g) \subset U(C)^{1,2}$. From the positivity of the Hermitian pairing (2), we have

$$\forall v \in U(C)^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}, \quad -\sqrt{-1}\langle v, \bar{v} \rangle > 0. \quad (20)$$

In fact, $\{\psi_i \wedge \bar{\psi}_j \wedge \bar{\psi}_k; 1 \leq i, j, k \leq g, j < k\}$ is a orthogonal basis of $H' \otimes \Lambda^2 H'' (\supset U(C)^{1,2})$.

Now we go back to the hyperelliptic Riemann surface $[C_0] \in \mathbb{M}_3$ given by Theorem 5 of Harris. We choose a marking m_0 of C_0 to get a point $[C_0, m_0]$ in the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_3 of genus 3. Its Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C_0)$ with the marking m_0 defines a point $[\text{Jac}(C_0), m_0] \in \mathfrak{H}_3$. Then there are complex coordinates (z_1, z_2, z_3) of \mathcal{T}_3 centered at $[C_0, m_0]$, and (w_1, w_2, w_3) of the Siegel upper half space \mathfrak{H}_3 centered at $[\text{Jac}(C_0), m_0]$ such that the locus $\{z_1 = 0\}$ coincides with the inverse image of \mathbb{H}_3 locally, and that

$$\text{Jac}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_1^2, z_2, z_3) = (w_1, w_2, w_3),$$

since the Jacobian map Jac is a 2 to 1 map in the normal direction of the submanifold \mathbb{H}_3 . There are $U(C)^{1,2}$ -valued functions v_1, v_2, v_3 defined around $[C_0, m_0] \in \mathcal{T}_3$ such that $\tilde{k} = v_1 dz_1 + v_2 dz_2 + v_3 dz_3$ on $T\mathcal{T}_3$. Then we have $v_1(0, *, *) \neq 0$ from Theorem 5, $v_2(0, *, *) = v_3(0, *, *) = 0$ from (11), and the differential form e_1^J equals

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \langle v_i, \bar{v}_j \rangle dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

up to non-zero constant factor. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} = \frac{1}{2z_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$, the amount $z_1 \cdot \Lambda e_1^J$ is a combination of the amounts

$$\frac{1}{z_1} \langle v_1, \bar{v}_1 \rangle, \langle v_1, \bar{v}_j \rangle, \langle v_i, \bar{v}_1 \rangle \text{ and } z_1 \langle v_i, \bar{v}_j \rangle \quad (i, j = 2, 3)$$

with coefficients in non-zero locally bounded functions near $[C_0, m_0]$. The amounts $\langle v_1, \bar{v}_j \rangle, \langle v_i, \bar{v}_1 \rangle$ and $z_1 \langle v_i, \bar{v}_j \rangle$ go to 0 as $z_1 \rightarrow 0$. Together with (20), this implies

$$|z_1| \cdot |\Lambda e_1^J| \geq \frac{(\text{positive constant})}{|z_1|} |\langle v_1, \bar{v}_1 \rangle| \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $z_1 \rightarrow 0$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4 and that of Theorem 3. \square

References

- [1] G. Faltings, Calculus on arithmetic surfaces, *Ann. Math.*, **119**, 387–424 (1984)
- [2] R. Hain and D. Reed, On the Arakelov geometry of the moduli space of curves, *J. Differential Geom.*, **67** 195-228 (2004)
- [3] B. Harris, Harmonic volumes, *Acta Math.* **150** (1983), 91–123.

- [4] E. D'Hoker and M. B. Green, Zhang-Kawazumi invariants and superstring amplitudes, *J. Number Theory*, **144** 111–150 (2014)
- [5] E. D'Hoker, M. B. Green, B. Pioline and R. Russo, Matching the $D^6\mathcal{R}^4$ interaction at two-loops, *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2015** Article Number 31 (2015)
- [6] E. D'Hoker and O. Schlotterer, Identities among higher genus modular graph tensors, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, **16** 35–74 (2022)
- [7] D. Johnson, An abelian quotient of the mapping class group \mathcal{I}_g , *Math. Ann.* **249**, 225–242 (1980)
- [8] R. de Jong, Second variation of Zhang's λ -invariant on the moduli space of curves, *Amer. J. Math.*, **135** 275–290 (2013)
- [9] R. de Jong, Asymptotic behavior of the Kawazumi-Zhang invariant for degenerating Riemann surfaces, *Asian J. Math.*, **18** 507–524 (2014)
- [10] R. de Jong, Point-like limit of the hyperelliptic Zhang-Kawazumi invariant, *Pure Appl. Math. Quarterly*, **11** 633–653 (2015)
- [11] R. de Jong, Torus bundles and 2-forms on the universal family of Riemann surfaces, *Handbook of Teichmüller theory*, ed. A. Papadopoulos, Volume VI, EMS Publishing House, Zurich, 195–227 (2016)
- [12] R. de Jong and S. van der Lugt, Rings of tautological forms on moduli spaces of curves, preprint, arXiv:2207.05428 (2022)
- [13] R. de Jong and F. Shokrieh, Jumps in the height of the Ceresa cycle, preprint, arXiv:2104.10060 (2021)
- [14] N. Kawazumi, Harmonic Magnus expansion on the universal family of Riemann surfaces, preprint, math.GT/0603158 (2006)
- [15] N. Kawazumi, Johnson's Homomorphisms and the Arakelov-Green function, preprint, math.0801.4218 (2008)
- [16] N. Kawazumi, Canonical 2-forms on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces, *Handbook of Teichmüller theory*, ed. A. Papadopoulos, Volume II, EMS Publishing House, Zurich, 217–237 (2009)
- [17] N. Kawazumi, Some tensor field on the Teichmüller space, Lecture at MCM2016, OIST, https://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~kawazumi/OIST1610_v1.pdf (2016)
- [18] N. Kawazumi, Differential forms and functions on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces, in *Séminaire Algèbre et topologie*, Université de Strasbourg. https://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~kawazumi/1701Strasbourg_v1.pdf (2017)

- [19] S. van der Lugt, Tautological differential forms on moduli spaces of curves, Thesis (Ph.D.), Leiden University (2022)
- [20] S. Morita, The extension of Johnson’s homomorphism from the Torelli group to the mapping class group, *Invent. math.*, **111**, 197–224 (1993)
- [21] S. Morita, A linear representation of the mapping class group of orientable surfaces and characteristic classes of surface bundles, in *Topology and Teichmüller spaces (Katinkulta, 1995)*, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996, 159–186.
- [22] D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta I*, *Progr. Math.*, **28**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.
- [23] B. Pioline, A Theta lift representation for the Kawazumi-Zhang and Faltings invariants of genus-two Riemann surfaces, *J. Number Theory* **163** 520–541 (2016)
- [24] H. E. Rauch, On the transcendental moduli of algebraic Riemann surfaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **41** 42–49 (1955)
- [25] R. Wilms, New explicit formulas for Faltings’ delta-invariant, *Invent. math.*, **209**, 481–539 (2017)
- [26] S.-W. Zhang, Gross-Schoen cycles and dualising sheaves, *Invent. math.*, **179** 1–73 (2010)

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
 University of Tokyo
 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo,
 153-8914, JAPAN.
 kawazumi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp