

# K-THEORY OF BERNOULLI SHIFTS OF FINITE GROUPS ON UHF-ALGEBRAS

JULIAN KRANZ AND SHINTARO NISHIKAWA

ABSTRACT. We show that the Bernoulli shift and the trivial action of a finite group  $G$  on a UHF-algebra of infinite type are  $\text{KK}^G$ -equivalent and that the Bernoulli shift absorbs the trivial action up to conjugacy. As an application, we compute the K-theory of crossed products by approximately inner flips on classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. KK-theory of Bernoulli shifts	3
3. K-theory of approximately inner flips	9
References	14

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In topological dynamics, a very fertile class of examples is given by Bernoulli shifts, that is, by the shift action of a group  $G$  on the product  $X^G := \prod_G X$  of  $G$ -many copies of a given compact space  $X$ . When the space  $X$  is moreover totally disconnected, the K-theory of the crossed product  $C(X^G) \rtimes_r G$  can be computed in many cases [CEL13]. These computations and the techniques appearing in them are not only of intrinsic interest, but they make possible the computation of the K-theory of  $C^*$ -algebras associated to large classes of (inverse) semigroups, wreath products, and many more examples [CEL13, Li19, Li22]. The simplest non-commutative analogue of a totally disconnected space is a UHF-algebra, that is, a (possibly infinite) tensor product of matrix algebras. The non-commutative version of the Bernoulli shift is the shift action of a group  $G$  on the tensor product  $A^{\otimes G} := \bigotimes_{g \in G} A$  for a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Our main result computes

---

*Date:* October 4, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L80, 19K35; Secondary 20C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Bernoulli shifts, UHF-algebras, finite groups, K-theory, KK-theory, approximately inner flip.

This research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044–390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure.

the K-theory of the associated crossed product in the case that  $G$  is finite and that  $A$  is a UHF-algebra:

**Theorem A** (Theorem 2.8). *Let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $Z$  be a  $G$ -set and let  $M_n$  be a UHF-algebra of infinite type. Then  $M_n$  is  $\text{KK}^G$ -equivalent to  $M_n^{\otimes Z}$  where we equip  $M_n$  with the trivial  $G$ -action and  $M_n^{\otimes Z}$  with the Bernoulli shift. In particular, we have*

$$K_* \left( M_n^{\otimes Z} \rtimes G \right) \cong K_*(C^*(G) \otimes M_n) \cong K_*(C^*(G))[1/n].$$

The proof of Theorem A relies on a representation theoretic argument about invertibility of a certain element in the representation ring  $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  after inverting sufficiently many primes (see Proposition 2.1). A byproduct of the proof is that the Bernoulli shift absorbs the trivial action not only in  $\text{KK}$ -theory, but up to conjugacy. We point out that this fact may alternatively be extracted from [HW08, Lemma 3.1].

**Theorem B** (Theorem 2.7). *With the notation as in Theorem A, there is a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \cong M_n \otimes M_n^{\otimes Z}.$$

One immediate consequence of Theorem A and [Izu04, Theorem 3.13] is that the Bernoulli shift  $G \curvearrowright M_n^{\otimes Z}$  as above does not have the Rokhlin property (see Corollary 2.10). Beyond finite group actions, Theorem A also has consequences for infinite groups satisfying the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients [BCH94].

**Corollary C** (Corollary 2.11). *Let  $G$  be a discrete group satisfying the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients, let  $Z$  be a  $G$ -set, let  $A$  be a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra and let  $M_n$  be a UHF-algebra. Assume that  $Z$  is infinite or that  $n$  is of infinite type. Then the inclusion  $A \rightarrow A \otimes M_n$  induces an isomorphism*

$$K_* \left( A \rtimes_r G \right) [1/n] \cong K_* \left( \left( A \otimes M_n^{\otimes Z} \right) \rtimes_r G \right).$$

*In particular, the right hand side is a  $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ -module.*

Corollary C will be used in the follow-up paper [CEKN22] together with S. Chakraborty and S. Echterhoff to compute the K-theory of many more general Bernoulli shifts. Another consequence of Theorem A is that the Bernoulli shift of an amenable group  $G$  on a strongly self-absorbing (in the sense of [TW07])  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfying the UCT is  $\text{KK}^G$ -equivalent to the trivial  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{D}$  (see Corollary 2.12; for  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ , this is [Sza18, Corollary 6.9]).

In Section 3, we apply Theorem A and compute  $K_* \left( B^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right)$  whenever  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with approximately inner flip (in the sense of [ER78]) satisfying the assumptions of the Elliott classification programme<sup>1</sup>. Thanks to Tikuisis' classification of such  $C^*$ -algebras [Tik16], the computation reduces to the following special case (only the case  $m = 1$  is relevant):

<sup>1</sup>We refer to [Win18] and the references therein for an overview of the Elliott programme.

**Theorem D** (Theorem 3.2). *For supernatural numbers  $n$  and  $m$  of infinite type, we have*

$$K_* \left( \mathcal{F}_{m,n}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_r/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $r$  is the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$ .

We refer to Section 3 for the definition of the notation appearing above. Our methods heavily build on Izumi's computation of K-theory of flip automorphisms on  $C^*$ -algebras with finitely generated K-theory [Izu19].

**Acknowledgements.** We acknowledge with appreciation helpful correspondences with Sayan Chakraborty, Siegfried Echterhoff, Jamie Gabe, Eusebio Gardella, Masaki Izumi, Gábor Szabó and Aaron Tikuisis. We would like to thank Nigel Higson and David Vogan for their helpful comments on the representation theory of finite groups.

## 2. KK-THEORY OF BERNOULLI SHIFTS

For a finite group  $G$ , denote by  $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  its representation ring, given by the free abelian group generated by all irreducible complex representations of  $G$  with the tensor product as multiplication. The character of a finite-dimensional complex representation  $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V_{\pi})$  is denoted by

$$\chi_{\pi}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \chi_{\pi}(g) := \operatorname{tr} \left( V_{\pi} \xrightarrow{\pi(g)} V_{\pi} \right),$$

where  $\operatorname{tr}$  denotes the (non-normalized) trace. Recall that the map

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G), \quad \pi \mapsto \chi_{\pi}$$

is an injective ring homomorphism with values in the algebra  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G)$  of conjugation invariant functions on  $G$  with pointwise multiplication. There is a natural isomorphism  $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \cong KK^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ . We refer to [Ser77] for an introduction to representation theory of finite groups and to [Kas88] for the definition of equivariant KK-theory.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $k \geq 1$  and let  $Z$  be a finite  $G$ -set. Denote by  $\pi_k: G \rightarrow GL(\ell^2(\{1, \dots, k\}^Z))$  the permutation representation associated to the  $G$ -set  $\{1, \dots, k\}^Z$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *There exist  $\alpha \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  and  $r \geq 1$  such that  $[\pi_k]^r = k\alpha$ .*
- (2) *There exist  $\beta \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  and  $l \geq 1$  such that  $[\pi_k] \cdot \beta = k^l$ .*

*Proof.* By considering the standard basis in  $\ell^2(\{1, \dots, k\}^Z)$ , it is easy to see that the trace of  $\pi_k(g)$  for  $g \in G$  is given by the number of  $g$ -fixed points in  $\{1, \dots, k\}^Z$ . In other words, the character of  $\pi_k$  is given by

$$\chi_{\pi_k}(g) = k^{|\mathbb{Z}/\langle g \rangle|}.$$

We therefore have

$$\prod_{g \in G} \left( \chi_{\pi_k} - k^{|Z/\langle g \rangle|} \right) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{C}_{\text{class}}(G).$$

Since the map  $\pi \mapsto \chi_\pi$  is injective, we also have

$$\prod_{g \in G} \left( [\pi_k] - k^{|Z/\langle g \rangle|} \right) = 0 \text{ in } R_{\mathbb{C}}(G).$$

In particular, there are polynomials  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  satisfying

$$[\pi_k]^{|G|} = kp([\pi_k]), \quad [\pi_k] \cdot q([\pi_k]) = \prod_{g \in G} k^{|Z/\langle g \rangle|},$$

which proves the proposition.  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $Z$  be a set and let  $(A_z)_{z \in Z}$  be a collection of unital  $C^*$ -algebras. The infinite tensor product  $\bigotimes_{z \in Z} A_z$  is defined as

$$\bigotimes_{z \in Z} A_z := \varinjlim_F \bigotimes_{z \in F} A_z,$$

where the inductive limit is taken over all finite subsets  $F \subseteq Z$  with respect to the connecting maps  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ . Given a discrete group  $G$ , a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and a  $G$ -set  $Z$ , the *Bernoulli shift* of  $G$  on  $A^{\otimes Z} := \bigotimes_Z A$  is the  $G$ -action induced by permuting the tensor factors according to the  $G$ -action on  $Z$ .

Countability of  $G$  and of a  $G$ -set  $Z$  and separability of  $A$  will be assumed *only if the statement involves KK-theory*. For example, we do not assume these when the statement is on  $K$ -theory of involved  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Definition 2.3.** A *supernatural number* is a formal product  $n = \prod_p p^{n_p}$  where  $p$  runs over all primes and  $n_p \in \{0, \dots, \infty\}$ . The *UHF-algebra* associated to  $n$  is the infinite tensor product

$$M_n := \bigotimes_p M_{p^{n_p}},$$

with  $M_{p^\infty} := M_p^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ . We call  $n$  or  $M_n$  of *infinite type* if  $n_p \in \{0, \infty\}$  for all  $p$ . We say that  $n = \prod p^{n_p}$  divides  $m = \prod p^{m_p}$  if  $n_p \leq m_p$  for all  $p$ .

*Remark 2.4.* Note that the above definition includes natural numbers and matrix algebras as a special case.

**Definition 2.5.** If  $M$  is an abelian group, we denote by  $M[1/n]$  the inductive limit of the system

$$M \xrightarrow{\cdot p_1} M \xrightarrow{\cdot p_2} M \xrightarrow{\cdot p_3} \dots$$

where  $(p_1, p_2, \dots)$  contains each prime dividing  $n$  infinitely many times.

*Remark 2.6.* If  $q = \prod_p p^{n_p}$  with  $n_p \geq 1$  for all  $p$ , then

$$M[1/q] \cong M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

If  $k \geq 1$  is a positive integer, then

$$\mathbb{Z}[1/k] \cong \left\{ \frac{m}{k^n} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}.$$

In general, the group  $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$  is different from the closely related group

$$\mathbb{Q}_n := \left\{ \frac{m}{k} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \text{ divides } n \right\},$$

unless  $n$  is of infinite type.

**Theorem 2.7** (cf. [HW08, Lemma 3.1]). *Let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $M_n$  be a UHF-algebra and let  $Z$  be a  $G$ -set. Assume that  $Z$  is infinite or that  $n$  is of infinite type. Equip  $M_n$  with the trivial  $G$ -action and  $M_n^{\otimes Z}$  with the Bernoulli shift. Then there is an equivariant isomorphism*

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_n \cong M_n^{\otimes Z}.$$

*If  $Z$  is infinite, and  $m < \infty$ , there is an equivariant isomorphism*

$$M_m^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_{m^\infty} \cong M_m^{\otimes Z}.$$

*Proof.* Note that it suffices to prove the statement in the case that  $M_n = M_{p^k}$  (or  $M_m = M_{p^k}$ ) for a prime  $p$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}$ . As before, if  $Z$  is finite, we denote by  $\pi_p$  the permutation representation of  $G$  on  $V_p := \ell^2(\{1, \dots, p\}^Z)$ , so that  $M_p^{\otimes Z}$  is equivariantly isomorphic to  $\text{End}(V_p)$ .

Assume first that  $k = \infty$ . We only need to prove the theorem for (any) one  $G$ -orbit of  $Z$  so we may assume that  $Z$  is finite. Let  $\alpha \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  and  $r \geq 1$  be as in Proposition 2.1 so that  $[\pi_p]^r = p\alpha \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ . Since  $[\pi_p]$  is a non-negative linear combination of irreducible representations of  $G$ ,  $\alpha$  has to be the class of a finite-dimensional representation  $\pi_\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(W_\alpha)$ . In particular, we have an equivariant isomorphism  $V_p^{\otimes r} \cong \mathbb{C}^p \otimes W_\alpha$ . Passing to endomorphisms, we obtain an equivariant isomorphism

$$\left( M_p^{\otimes Z} \right)^{\otimes r} \cong M_p \otimes \text{End}(W_\alpha)$$

with the trivial  $G$ -action on  $M_p$ . By taking the infinite tensor product we obtain an equivariant isomorphism

$$M_{p^\infty}^{\otimes Z} \cong M_{p^\infty} \otimes \text{End}(W_\alpha)^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \cong M_{p^\infty} \otimes M_{p^\infty} \otimes \text{End}(W_\alpha)^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \cong M_{p^\infty} \otimes M_{p^\infty}^{\otimes Z}.$$

Assume now that  $k < \infty$  and that  $Z$  is infinite. Then  $Z$  contains infinitely many orbits of the same type. We may thus assume that  $Z$  is of the form  $Z = \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{N}} G/H$  for some subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ . Then there is an equivariant isomorphism  $M_{p^k}^{\otimes Z} \cong M_{p^\infty}^{\otimes G/H}$ . This reduces the proof to the case considered above.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $Z$  be a countable  $G$ -set and let  $M_n$  be a UHF-algebra of infinite type. Then the canonical inclusions*

$$M_n \hookrightarrow M_n \otimes M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n^{\otimes Z}$$

are  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalences, where  $M_n$  is endowed with the trivial action and where  $M_n^{\otimes Z}$  is endowed with the Bernoulli shift. If  $Z$  is infinite, and  $m < \infty$ , the same conclusion holds for the inclusions

$$M_{m^\infty} \hookrightarrow M_{m^\infty} \otimes M_m^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_m^{\otimes Z}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.7 and the fact that  $M_n$  is strongly self-absorbing (in the sense of [TW07]) that the map

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n \otimes M_n^{\otimes Z}$$

is a  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalence. Similarly, if  $Z$  is infinite and  $m < \infty$ , the map

$$M_m^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_{m^\infty} \otimes M_m^{\otimes Z}$$

is a  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalence. We prove that the map

$$(2.1) \quad \mathrm{id}_{M_n} \otimes 1_{M_n^{\otimes Z}} : M_n \rightarrow M_n \otimes M_n^{\otimes Z}$$

is a  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalence. Note that this map is the inductive limit of the maps

$$(2.2) \quad \mathrm{id}_{M_n} \otimes 1_{M_k^{\otimes Y}} : M_n \rightarrow M_n \otimes M_k^{\otimes Y}$$

where  $k$  ranges over all positive integers that divide  $n$  and where  $Y$  ranges over all finite  $G$ -subsets of  $Z$ . It follows from the finiteness of  $G$ , the nuclearity of the involved algebras and [MN06, Proposition 2.6, Lemma 2.7] that the map in (2.1) is also the homotopy colimit (with respect to the triangulated structure of  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ ) of the maps in (2.2). Since a homotopy colimit of  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalences is a  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalence<sup>2</sup>, it suffices to show that the maps appearing in (2.2) are  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalences. Note that the maps in (2.2) can be identified with the elements  $[\mathrm{id}_{M_n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} [\pi_k] \in \mathrm{KK}^G(M_n, M_n)$ , where  $\pi_k : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\ell^2(\{1, \dots, k\}^Y))$  is the permutation representation and  $[\pi_k]$  is its class in  $\mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ . By Proposition 2.1, there is an element  $\beta \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $l \geq 1$  such that  $[\pi_k]\beta = k^l$ . Thus  $[\mathrm{id}_{M_n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} [\pi_k]$  is invertible with inverse  $\frac{1}{k^l}[\mathrm{id}_{M_n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \beta$ . The same proof shows that, if  $Z$  is infinite and  $m < \infty$ , the map

$$\mathrm{id}_{M_{m^\infty}} \otimes 1_{M_m^{\otimes Z}} : M_{m^\infty} \rightarrow M_{m^\infty} \otimes M_m^{\otimes Z}$$

is a  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalence.  $\square$

*Remark 2.9.* By [GL21, Theorem B] and [GHV22, Theorem B], a countable discrete group  $G$  is amenable if and only if for some (any) supernatural number  $n \neq 1$  of infinite type, the Bernoulli shift on  $M_n^{\otimes G}$  absorbs the trivial action on the Jiang-Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  up to cocycle conjugacy. In particular (since  $M_n \cong M_n \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ ), the conclusion of Theorem 2.7 is false for

<sup>2</sup>This follows from the axioms of a triangulated category. The fact that homotopy colimits of maps are not unique does not cause a problem here.

non-amenable groups. On the other hand, Theorem 2.8 together with the Higson-Kasparov Theorem [HK01] (applied in the form of [MN06, Theorem 8.5]) implies that if  $G$  is an amenable group, then  $M_n^{\otimes G}$  absorbs the trivial action on  $M_n$  up to  $KK^G$ -equivalence. It is thus conceivable that a discrete group  $G$  is amenable if and only if the Bernoulli shift on  $M_n^{\otimes G}$  absorbs the trivial action on  $M_n$  up to cocycle conjugacy.

The following observation provides some evidence for this: Let  $G$  be an amenable group,  $n \neq 1$  a supernatural number of infinite type, and  $A$  a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra. By the remarks above, the unital embedding

$$\text{id} \otimes 1: (A \otimes M_n^{\otimes G}) \rtimes G \hookrightarrow (A \otimes M_n^{\otimes G}) \rtimes G \otimes M_n$$

is a  $KK$ -equivalence between  $\mathbb{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras that induces an isomorphism on the trace spaces, in particular it induces an isomorphism on the Elliott invariants. If we additionally assume that  $(A \otimes M_n^{\otimes G}) \rtimes G$  is simple, separable, nuclear, and satisfies the UCT (which happens in many cases of interest), then the classification of unital, simple, separable, nuclear,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras satisfying the UCT [Phi00, EGLN15, TWW17, CET<sup>+</sup>21] implies that  $(A \otimes M_n^{\otimes G}) \rtimes G \cong (A \otimes M_n) \rtimes G \otimes M_n$ . This condition is certainly necessary for  $M_n^{\otimes G}$  to absorb  $M_n$  up to cocycle conjugacy.

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $G \neq \{e\}$  be a finite group, let  $Z$  be a  $G$ -set and let  $M_n$  be a UHF-algebra of infinite type. Then the Bernoulli shift of  $G$  on  $M_n^{\otimes Z}$  does not have the Rokhlin property.*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. Then [Izu04, Theorem 3.13] yields an isomorphism<sup>3</sup>

$$K_0(M_n^{\otimes Z} \rtimes G) \cong K_0(M_n^{\otimes Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[1/n].$$

On the other hand, Theorem 2.8 yields an isomorphism

$$K_0(M_n^{\otimes Z} \rtimes G) \cong K_0(C^*(G)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/n] \cong \mathbb{Z}[1/n]^{\oplus \hat{G}},$$

a contradiction. □

The following corollary will be used in the follow-up paper [CEKN22] with Sayan Chakraborty and Siegfried Echterhoff. We refer to [BCH94] for the formulation of the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients. Note that the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients holds for many groups, including a-T-menable groups [HK01] and hyperbolic groups [Laf12].

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $G$  be a countable discrete group satisfying the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients, let  $Z$  be a  $G$ -set, let  $A$  be a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra and let  $M_n$  be a UHF-algebra. Assume that  $Z$  is infinite or that  $n$  is of infinite type. Then the inclusion  $A \rightarrow A \otimes M_n$  induces an isomorphism*

$$K_* (A \rtimes_r G) [1/n] \cong K_* \left( (A \otimes M_n^{\otimes Z}) \rtimes_r G \right).$$

<sup>3</sup>Theorem 3.13 of [Izu04] is applicable by the combination of [Phi87, Proposition 7.1.3] and [Kis81, Theorem 3.1].

In particular, the right hand side is a  $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ -module.

*Proof.* By an inductive limit argument, we may assume  $Z$  is countable and  $A$  is separable. If  $G$  is finite, the statement follows from Theorem 2.8 considering the commutative diagram

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & A \otimes M_n^{\otimes Z} & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & A \otimes M_{n^\infty} \otimes M_n^{\otimes Z} \\ & \searrow & & & \nearrow \phi_2 \\ & & A \otimes M_{n^\infty} & & \end{array}$$

where  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalences. Assume now that  $G$  is infinite. Consider the diagram (2.3). We know that (the restrictions of)  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are  $\mathrm{KK}^H$ -equivalences for every finite subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ . Since  $G$  satisfies the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients, the results of [CEO04] (see also [MN06]) imply that  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  induce isomorphisms of the  $K$ -theory groups of reduced crossed products by  $G$ . The statement follows from this by identifying  $K_*((A \otimes M_{n^\infty}) \rtimes_r G) \cong K_*(A \rtimes_r G)[1/n]$ .  $\square$

We end this section with an application to Bernoulli shifts on strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. Recall that a separable, unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D} \neq \mathbb{C}$  is strongly self-absorbing [TW07] if there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  which is approximately unitarily equivalent to the first factor inclusion  $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ . Strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras are automatically simple, nuclear [TW07] and  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable [Win11]. By the combination of [TW07, Proposition 5.1] and the classification of unital, simple, separable, nuclear,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras in the UCT class [Phi00, EGLN15, TWW17, CET<sup>+</sup>21], a complete list of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras satisfying the UCT is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{Z}, M_n, \mathcal{O}_\infty, \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes M_n, \mathcal{O}_2,$$

where  $n \neq 1$  is a supernatural number of infinite type. The following corollary is a generalization of [Sza18, Corollary 6.9]:

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying the UCT and let  $G$  be a discrete group having a  $\gamma$ -element equal to  $1^4$ . Then, for any  $G$ -set  $Z$ , the  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes Z}$  equipped with the Bernoulli shift is  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ -equivalent to  $\mathcal{D}$  equipped with the trivial  $G$ -action.*

For the proof, we need the following result of Izumi [Izu19] which we spell out here for later reference.

**Theorem 2.13** ([Izu19, Theorem 2.1], see also [Sza18, Lemma 6.8]). *Let  $A, B$  be separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $H$  be a finite group and let  $Z$  be a finite  $H$ -set. Then, there is a map from  $\mathrm{KK}(A, B)$  to  $\mathrm{KK}^H(A^{\otimes Z}, B^{\otimes Z})$  which in particular, sends a class of a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\phi$  to the class of  $\phi^{\otimes Z}$ . Furthermore, this map*

<sup>4</sup>See [MN06, Section 7] for a definition of the  $\gamma$ -element. By the Higson–Kasparov theorem [HK01], this assumption is satisfied for all a-T-menable groups.



is compatible with the compositions and in particular sends a KK-equivalence to a  $\text{KK}^H$ -equivalence. In particular, the Bernoulli shifts on  $A^{\otimes Z}$  and  $B^{\otimes Z}$  are  $\text{KK}^H$ -equivalent if  $A$  and  $B$  are KK-equivalent.

*Proof of Corollary 2.12.* We claim that the unital embeddings

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\otimes Z}$$

are  $\text{KK}^G$ -equivalences. By the assumption on  $G$ , this amounts to showing that they are  $\text{KK}^H$ -equivalences for every finite subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ . (see [MN06, Theorem 7.3]). By the same homotopy co-limit argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.8, it is enough to show that the maps

$$\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\otimes Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\otimes Y}$$

are  $\text{KK}^H$ -equivalences for all finite  $H$ -subsets  $Y$  of  $Z$ . Now Theorem 2.13 allows us to replace  $\mathcal{D}$  by a KK-equivalent  $C^*$ -algebra. Thanks to the list (2.4), this reduces the problem to the cases  $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{D} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{D} = M_n$ . The first two cases are trivial and the third one follows from Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

### 3. K-THEORY OF APPROXIMATELY INNER FLIPS

In this section we apply Theorem 2.8 to the K-theory of approximately inner flips. Recall that a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is said to have approximately inner flip if the flip automorphism  $B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ ,  $a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$  is approximately inner, i.e. a point-norm limit of inner automorphisms. A  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  with approximately inner flip must be simple, nuclear and have at most one trace [ER78]. An approximately inner flip necessarily induces the identity map on  $K_*(B \otimes B)$  and this largely restricts the class of  $C^*$ -algebras  $B$  with approximately inner flip. Effros and Rosenberg [ER78] showed that if  $B$  is AF, then  $B$  must be stably isomorphic to a UHF-algebra. Tikuisis [Tik16] determined a complete list of classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras with approximately inner flip. We would like to thank Dominic Enders, André Schemaitat and Aaron Tikuisis for informing us about a corrigendum stated below:

**Theorem 3.1.** ([EST22], Corrigendum to [Tik16, Theorem 2.2]) *Let  $B$  be a separable, unital  $C^*$ -algebra with strict comparison, in the UCT class, which is either infinite or quasi-diagonal. The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $B$  has approximately inner flip;
- (2)  $B$  is Morita equivalent to one of the following  $C^*$ -algebras:
  - $\mathbb{C}$ ;
  - $\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}$ ;
  - $\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ ;
  - $\mathcal{F}_{1,m}$ .

Here  $m, n$  are supernatural numbers of infinite type such that  $m$  divides  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$  is the Cuntz algebra on infinitely many generators,  $\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}$  is the simple, separable, unital,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -stable, quasi-diagonal  $C^*$ -algebra in the UCT class with unique trace satisfying

$$K_0(\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_n, [1]_0 = 1, K_1(\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z},$$

and  $\mathcal{F}_{m,n}$  is the unique unital Kirchberg algebra in the UCT class satisfying

$$K_0(\mathcal{F}_{m,n}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}, \quad [1]_0 = 0, \quad K_1(\mathcal{F}_{m,n}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Our strategy to compute the groups  $K_*(B^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2)$  with  $B$  as in Theorem 3.1 builds on Izumi's remarkable computation of  $K_*(A^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2)$  for all separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  in the UCT class and with finitely generated  $K$ -theory [Izu19]. Izumi's starting point is his Theorem 2.13 above. This allows him to replace the appearing  $C^*$ -algebras by finite direct sums of building blocks of the form  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{n+1}$  and  $D_n$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_{n+1}$  denotes the Cuntz algebra on  $n+1$  generators and where  $D_n$  denotes the dimension drop algebra. For  $B$  one of these building blocks, Izumi explicitly computes  $K_*(B^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

We follow the same strategy here. Thanks to Theorems 3.1 and 2.13 above, the following theorem and its corollary completely determine the  $K$ -theory groups  $K_*(B^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2)$  whenever  $B$  is a UCT  $C^*$ -algebra that is  $KK$ -equivalent to a classifiable  $C^*$ -algebra with an approximately inner flip.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be supernatural numbers of infinite type. Let  $\mathcal{F}_{m,n}$  be any  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying the UCT such that*

$$K_*(\mathcal{F}_{m,n}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then we have

$$K_*(\mathcal{F}_{m,n}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_\tau/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 1 \end{cases},$$

where  $\tau$  is the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *For any supernatural numbers  $m$  and  $n$  of infinite type, we have*

$$K_*(\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_n, & * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}_{mn}/\mathbb{Q}_n \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 1 \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* The algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}$  is  $KK$ -equivalent to  $M_n \oplus \mathcal{F}_{1,m}$ . Thus, using Theorem 2.13, we see that  $\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$  is  $KK$ -equivalent to

$$(M_n^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{1,m}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus (M_n \otimes \mathcal{F}_{1,m}).$$

Note that  $K_0(M_n \otimes \mathcal{F}_{1,m}) \cong 0$  and  $K_1(M_n \otimes \mathcal{F}_{1,m}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{mn}/\mathbb{Q}_n$ . The assertion now follows from Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

We break up the proof of Theorem 3.2 into two lemmas. We denote by  $[e_0], [e_1], [1] \in K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}/2))$  the classes of the trivial representation, the sign representation and the unit of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ . We will abuse notation and write  $KK$ -elements as arrows between  $C^*$ -algebras, well-aware that they might not be induced by  $*$ -homomorphisms.

**Lemma 3.4.** *We have*

$$K_* \left( \mathbb{F}_{m,1}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 0 \\ 0, & * = 1 \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* By the Kirchberg–Phillips classification theorem [Phi00], there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$M_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m,1}$$

such that the composition

$$\phi: M_m \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes 1} M_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m,1}$$

induces the canonical quotient map  $\mathbb{Q}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$  on  $K_0$ . Denote by

$$M_\phi = \{ (a, f) \in M_m \oplus (C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{F}_{m,1}) \mid \phi(a) = f(0) \}$$

the mapping cylinder of  $\phi$  and by  $C_\phi := \ker(\text{ev}_1: M_\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m,1})$  the mapping cone of  $\phi$ . Note that the inclusion  $M_m \hookrightarrow M_\phi$  is a KK-equivalence. The short exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow C_\phi \longrightarrow M_\phi \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m,1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

induces an exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

on  $K_0$  and 0 on  $K_1$ . We streamline the notations and re-write the exact sequence (3.1) as

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow A_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

The only properties that we will use are the induced sequence (3.2) on  $K_0$  and that the map  $I \rightarrow B$  can be identified with the unital inclusion  $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow M_m$  in KK-theory. From now on, we follow the beautiful computations of [Izu19, Theorem 3.4]. Writing  $I_1 := I \otimes B + B \otimes I \subseteq B^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2}$ , we have the following short exact sequences of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ - $C^*$ -algebras

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow I_1 \longrightarrow B^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \longrightarrow A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow I^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \longrightarrow I_1 \longrightarrow (I \otimes A_0) \oplus (A_0 \otimes I) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking crossed-products and applying K-theory for (3.4) produces the 6-term exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & K_0(I_1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & K_1(I_1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Here the generators of  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  are the image of  $[1]$  and  $[e_1]$  via the KK-equivalence  $\mathbb{C}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow I^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$  obtained from the KK-equivalence

$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow I$  as in Theorem 2.13, and  $\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$  is identified with  $K_0(I \otimes A_0)$ . The canonical map from the exact sequence

$$(3.6) \quad \underbrace{K_0(I \otimes I) \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{\cong \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \underbrace{K_0(I \otimes B) \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{\cong \mathbb{Q}_m} \rightarrow \underbrace{K_0(I \otimes A_0)}_{\cong \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}}$$

to the top row of (3.5) is an isomorphism (since it clearly is on the left and right hand terms). From this we see that  $K_0(I_1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Q}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , with the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}$  being the image of  $[e_1]$  via the KK-element  $\mathbb{C}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow I^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow I_1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$  and with  $\mathbb{Q}_m$  being the image of  $K_0(M_m)$  via the KK-element  $M_m \rightarrow B \rightarrow I \otimes B \rightarrow I_1$ .

Taking crossed products and applying K-theory for (3.3) yields the 6-term exact sequence

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Q}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_m \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m & \longrightarrow & K_0(A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the generators  $\mathbb{Q}_m \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_m$  are the images of  $[1]$  and  $[e_1]$  by the KK-equivalence  $M_m^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow B^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Here we have used Theorem 2.8. Thus the first arrow in the top row of (3.7) is the natural inclusion. We get  $K_1(A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong 0$  and  $K_0(A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$ , generated by the image of  $\mathbb{Q}_m[e_1]$  in  $K_0(M_m^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *We have*

$$K_* \left( F_{1,m}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 1 \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* We write  $A_0 := F_{m,1}$  as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 and use  $A := C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes A_0$  as a model for  $F_{1,m}$ . Note that the flip action on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2}$  is conjugate to the action on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R})$  that is trivial on the first factor and reflects at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  on the second factor. We thus have

$$(3.8) \quad K_* \left( A^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right) \cong K_{*+1} \left( \left( C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right).$$

We consider the short exact sequence

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow (C_0(-\infty, 0) \oplus C_0(0, \infty)) \otimes A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ - $C^*$ -algebras. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_* \left( \left( (C_0(-\infty, 0) \oplus C_0(0, \infty)) \otimes A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right) &\cong K_{*+1} \left( A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \right) \\ &\cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}, & * = 0 \\ 0, & * = 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by the Künneth theorem (since  $\mathrm{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z}$ ). In view of this and Lemma 3.4, taking crossed products and applying K-theory for (3.9) produces the 6-term exact sequence

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & K_0 \left( \left( C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{Z} \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & K_1 \left( \left( C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes A_0^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right) & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By [Tik16, Lemma 1.1], the top row of (3.10) splits. Now the Lemma follows from (3.10) and (3.8).  $\square$

By Theorem 3.1, the list of the classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras with approximately inner flip is up to KK-equivalences given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}, \mathcal{F}_{1,m}$$

for supernatural numbers  $m$  and  $n$  where  $m$  divides  $n$ . Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 say that for any of these algebras  $A$ , we have an isomorphism

$$K_* \left( A^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \right) \cong K_*(A \otimes C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}/2)).$$

The Künneth formula moreover implies  $K_*(A^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2}) \cong K_*(A)$ . This naturally raises the following question.

**Question 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be any  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying the UCT with approximately inner flip. Is the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $A^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2}$  equipped with the flip action  $\mathrm{KK}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ -equivalent to  $A$  equipped with the trivial action?*

We note that in order to answer this question positively, it would suffice to answer it positively for  $A = \mathcal{F}_{1,m}$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}$  is KK-equivalent to  $M_n \oplus \mathcal{F}_{1,m}$  and  $M_n \otimes \mathcal{F}_{1,m}$  is KK-equivalent to zero if  $m$  divides  $n$ . In particular, the flip on  $\mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2}$  is  $\mathrm{KK}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ -equivalent to the sum of the flips on  $M_n^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{1,m}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2}$ . Since Theorem 2.8 provides a positive answer to Question 3.6 for  $A = M_n$ , a positive answer for  $A = \mathcal{F}_{1,m}$  would provide a positive answer for  $A = \mathcal{E}_{n,1,m}$ .

Unfortunately, the methods used to establish the  $\mathrm{KK}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ -equivalence between  $M_n^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2}$  and  $M_n$  in Theorem 2.8 do not apply in the situation of Question 3.6. For once, there is no analogue of the representation theoretic argument in Proposition 2.1 for  $\mathcal{F}_{1,m}$ . Furthermore, the diagram

$$M_n^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow M_n^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}/2} \otimes M_n \leftarrow M_n$$

of  $\mathrm{KK}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ -equivalences in Theorem 2.8 does not have an analogue for  $\mathcal{F}_{1,m}$  since the unit class  $[1]_0 \in K_0(\mathcal{F}_{1,m}) \cong 0$  is zero.

## REFERENCES

- [BCH94] Paul Baum, Alain Connes, and Nigel Higson. Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group  $C^*$ -algebras. In  *$C^*$ -algebras: 1943–1993 (San Antonio, TX, 1993)*, volume 167 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 240–291. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [CEKN22] Sayan Chakraborty, Siegfried Echterhoff, Julian Kranz, and Shintaro Nishikawa. K-theory of non-commutative Bernoulli shifts. *In preparation*, 2022.
- [CEL13] Joachim Cuntz, Siegfried Echterhoff, and Xin Li. On the K-theory of crossed products by automorphic semigroup actions. *Q. J. Math.*, 64(3):747–784, 2013.
- [CEOO04] J. Chabert, S. Echterhoff, and H. Oyono-Oyono. Going-down functors, the Künneth formula, and the Baum-Connes conjecture. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 14(3):491–528, 2004.
- [CET<sup>+</sup>21] Jorge Castillejos, Samuel Evington, Aaron Tikuisis, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter. Nuclear dimension of simple  $C^*$ -algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 224(1):245–290, 2021.
- [EGLN15] George A. Elliott, Guihua Gong, Huaxin Lin, and Zhuang Niu. On the classification of simple amenable  $C^*$ -algebras with finite decomposition rank, II. *arXiv:1507.03437*, 2015.
- [ER78] Edward G. Effros and Jonathan Rosenberg.  $C^*$ -algebras with approximately inner flip. *Pacific J. Math.*, 77(2):417–443, 1978.
- [EST22] Dominic Enders, André Schemaitat, and Aaron Tikuisis. Corrigendum to “K-theoretic characterization of  $C^*$ -algebras with approximately inner flip”. *In preparation*, 2022.
- [GHV22] Eusebio Gardella, Ilan Hirshberg, and Andrea Vaccaro. Strongly outer actions of amenable groups on  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 162:76–123, 2022.
- [GL21] Eusebio Gardella and Martino Lupini. Group amenability and actions on  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 389:Paper No. 107931, 33, 2021.
- [HK01] Nigel Higson and Gennadi Kasparov. E-theory and KK-theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space. *Invent. Math.*, 144(1):23–74, 2001.
- [HW08] Ilan Hirshberg and Wilhelm Winter. Permutations of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. *Internat. J. Math.*, 19(9):1137–1145, 2008.
- [Izu04] Masaki Izumi. Finite group actions on  $C^*$ -algebras with the Rohlin property. I. *Duke Math. J.*, 122(2):233–280, 2004.
- [Izu19] Masaki Izumi. The K-theory of the flip automorphisms. In *Operator algebras and mathematical physics*, volume 80 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 123–137. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2019.
- [Kas88] G. G. Kasparov. Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 91(1):147–201, 1988.
- [Kis81] Akitaka Kishimoto. Outer automorphisms and reduced crossed products of simple  $C^*$ -algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 81(3):429–435, 1981.
- [Laf12] Vincent Lafforgue. La conjecture de Baum-Connes à coefficients pour les groupes hyperboliques. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 6(1):1–197, 2012.
- [Li19] Xin Li. K-theory for generalized Lamplighter groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147(10):4371–4378, 2019.
- [Li22] Xin Li. K-theory for semigroup  $C^*$ -algebras and partial crossed products. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 390(1):1–32, 2022.
- [MN06] Ralf Meyer and Ryszard Nest. The Baum-Connes conjecture via localization of categories. *Topology*, 45:209–259, 2006.

- [Phi87] N. Christopher Phillips. *Equivariant K-theory and freeness of group actions on C\*-algebras*, volume 1274 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [Phi00] N. Christopher Phillips. A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C\*-algebras. *Doc. Math.*, 5:49–114, 2000.
- [Ser77] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott.
- [Sza18] Gábor Szabó. Equivariant Kirchberg-Phillips-type absorption for amenable group actions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 361(3):1115–1154, 2018.
- [Tik16] Aaron Tikuisis. K-theoretic characterization of C\*-algebras with approximately inner flip. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (18):5670–5694, 2016.
- [TW07] Andrew S. Toms and Wilhelm Winter. Strongly self-absorbing C\*-algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(8):3999–4029, 2007.
- [TWW17] Aaron Tikuisis, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter. Quasidiagonality of nuclear C\*-algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 185(1):229–284, 2017.
- [Win11] Wilhelm Winter. Strongly self-absorbing C\*-algebras are  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 5(2):253–264, 2011.
- [Win18] Wilhelm Winter. Structure of nuclear C\*-algebras: from quasidiagonality to classification and back again. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. III. Invited lectures*, pages 1801–1823. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.

J.K.& S.N.: MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK DER UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, EINSTEINSTRASSE 62, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY.