

DIY Graphics Tab: A Cost-Effective Alternative to Graphics Tablet for Educators

Mohammad Imrul Jubair
University of Colorado Boulder, USA
mohammad.jubair@colorado.edu

Arafat Ibne Yousuf
Ahsanullah University of Science and
Technology, Bangladesh
arafat.ysf@gmail.com

Tashfiq Ahmed
Ahsanullah University of Science and
Technology, Bangladesh
tashfiq.ahm@gmail.com

Hasanath Jamy
Ahsanullah University of Science and
Technology, Bangladesh
jamy.hasanath03@gmail.com

Foaisal Reza
Ahsanullah University of Science and
Technology, Bangladesh
foaisalreza40@gmail.com

Mohsena Ashraf
University of Colorado Boulder, USA
mohsena.ashraf@colorado.edu




Figure 1. An overview of our *DIY Graphics Tab*. Here, ① and ② shows the configuration and proposed pipeline respectively.

Abstract

Recording lectures is a normal task for online educators, and a graphics tablet is a great tool for that. However, it is very expensive for many instructors. In this paper, we propose an alternative called “*DIY Graphics Tab*” that functions largely in the same way as a graphic tab, but requires only a pen, paper, and laptop’s webcam. Our system takes images of writings on a paper with a webcam and outputs the contents. The task is not straightforward since there are obstacles, such as hand occlusion, paper movements, lighting, and perspective distortion due to the viewing angle. A pipeline is used that applies segmentation and post-processing for generating appropriate output from input frames. We also conducted user experience evaluations from the teachers.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → *Graphics input devices*.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

UIST ’22 Adjunct, October 29–November 2, 2022, Bend, OR, USA

© 2022 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9321-8/22/10.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3526114.3558718>

Keywords: Graphics Tab, Segmentation, Perspective transformation.

ACM Reference Format:

Mohammad Imrul Jubair, Arafat Ibne Yousuf, Tashfiq Ahmed, Hasanath Jamy, Foaisal Reza, and Mohsena Ashraf. 2022. *DIY Graphics Tab: A Cost-Effective Alternative to Graphics Tablet for Educators*. In *The Adjunct Publication of the 35th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST ’22 Adjunct)*, October 29–November 2, 2022, Bend, OR, USA. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3526114.3558718>

1 Introduction

In online teaching, it is important for educators to record written contents to make the lectures engaging. Two popular techniques for recording are: (A) utilizing an overhead camera which is straightforward, and (B) using a graphics tablet or tab [16], i.e. *Intuos*¹. These tabs are becoming popular, but many teacher find it difficult as they are expensive [12], and many lack abilities to use such instruments. Besides, most general-purpose graphics tabs have no built-in screen; hence, users must maintain *hand-eye synchronization* [20], which can be displeasing. Excessive use of these gadgets might lead to illness, like *musculoskeletal*. [22]. Tablets with integrated touch displays are even more expensive.

¹www.wacom.com/en-us/products/pen-tablets

In this paper, we present an alternative to graphics tab which is affordable yet providing its essential features. Our goal is to combine the old-fashioned pen-paper technique with the modern computer-based recording technology. Our solution avoids huge budget, and does not necessitate hand-eye synchronization. It needs only a **laptop**, a **pen**, and **paper**; and we name it “Do-It-Yourself Graphics Tab”, or “DIY Graphics Tab”. Fig. 1 presents an overview *DIY Graphics Tab*. To configure, user places a paper in front of a laptop and tilts its lid so that the webcam can capture the area containing the paper [1^(a,b)]. We found that, a tilting angle around 45 degrees *w.r.t* the base is adequate. Our system then processes the frame, and only contents are rendered on screen—as if it were taken from a “bird’s eye” view [1^(d)]. Our system takes care of the obstacles, such as—occlusion by hand, random movement of paper, background, lighting conditions, and perspective distortion due to the angular view.

Few works were done on capturing lectures and analyzing the contents, i.e., [4, 5, 8–10, 21, 23], but they focused on whiteboard. Research that inclines mostly with our domain is *WebcamPaperPen* [18]. In terms of ease of use and simplicity in configuration, our work outperforms *WPP* (Table 1).

<i>Negative features</i>	<i>WPP</i>	<i>DIYGT</i>
Requires manual detection?	✓	✗
Requires extra equipment?	✓	✗
Requires steadiness?	✓	✗
Requires specific type of pen?	✓	✗
Restriction on handedness?	✓	✗
Requires eye-hand sync?	✓	✗
Requires prior experience?	✓	✗

Table 1. A comparison between *WPP* [18] and ours.

2 Implementation

Fig. 1 2 shows our pipeline. After a frame is captured [2^(a)], it goes through several stages, which are explained below.

[2^(b,c)]: To extract paper region, we developed a dataset of images of papers in different positions and lighting, and occlusions. Coordinates of a paper corners are stored as a convex hull of a quadrilateral mask. We trained *Mask-RCNN* [7] model using our dataset and performed segmentation.

[2^(d,e)]: Segmentation provided a mask covering paper. We extracted the largest contour of mask to detect corners.

[2^(f)]: The 4-point perspective transformation [3, 15, 19] is applied on the corners to unwarped the paper region.

[2^(g)]: We applied adaptive thresholding [14] followed by morphological operations and connected component analysis [6] to avoid palm, fingers and noises.

To make the system robust, user needs to specify his/her handedness. For a left-handed person our system simply flips the frame in segmentation phase along the axis. Fig. 2 shows more result. Materials and demos are available [here](#).




Figure 2. Result of *DIY Graphics Tab*.

3 Evaluation

We performed a survey on 29 educators from university level, inviting them to use *DIY Graphics Tab* for recording their lectures. We divide them into 3 groups depending on their technical backgrounds on computer, which are—**FTB**: teachers with no or very few technical background. **TB-GT**: Teachers with technical background with no previous experience of using graphics tablet. **TB+GT**: Teachers with technical background and also has previous experience of using graphics tablet. We asked participants to utilize our method and grade it on a scale of ① to ⑤ (*very poor to excellent*) [11, 13]. We found 44.4% of **TB+GT** gave ④. Our method received ⑤ from a majority (57.1%) of **TB-GT**. Almost 77% of our target users, **FTB**, gave highest score. The average rating is 4.44.

The lid must be slanted in our system which rise concerns as the screen becomes non-visible to instructors. But we are motivated from overhead camera configuration—where the user primarily concentrates on desk. However, we conducted a poll to assess if the tilting may be a problem. We observe that around 72% of teachers are comfortable with it. They think the non-visible screen is not a major concern as long as they can save the costs of graphic tabs.

We also collected a questionnaire-based evaluation from testers to determine the cost-effectiveness of our *DIY Graphics Tab*. To maintain our evaluation on the same ground, we mostly use the questions from *WebcamPaperPen* [17, 18]. The user responses are listed below. We observed a majority of users believed that using a graphical tab is highly expensive and found our technology to be cost efficient.

- It replaces graphics tab perfectly for lecture recording (31.03%).
- The actual graphics tab is better but it is too expensive, I would rather use it (41.38%).
- After a certain period I would get tired of it and would buy a proper graphics tab to improve my content (20.69%).
- It does not fit my lecture recording (6.9%).

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced *DIY Graphics Tab* as a substitute for graphic tab using pen–paper and webcam. We used *Mask-RCNN* to obtain the region of paper image and applied perspective transformation to achieve top-down view. Our system has shortcomings that demand further research, e.g., flickering, haziness. etc. We plan to enrich dataset and use advanced segmentation techniques, e.g., *YOLACT* [1, 2]. We also like to convert our system into a real-time application.

References

- [1] Daniel Bolya, Chong Zhou, Fanyi Xiao, and Yong Jae Lee. 2019. Yolact: Real-time instance segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. IEEE, 9157–9166.
- [2] Daniel Bolya, Chong Zhou, Fanyi Xiao, and Yong Jae Lee. 2020. YOLACT++: Better Real-time Instance Segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2020), 1–1. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2020.3014297>
- [3] Tom Bruls, Horia Porav, Lars Kunze, and Paul Newman. 2018. The Right (Angled) Perspective: Improving the Understanding of Road Scenes using Boosted Inverse Perspective Mapping. *CoRR abs/1812.00913* (2018). arXiv:1812.00913 <http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.00913>
- [4] Kenny Davila, Fei Xu, Srirangaraj Setlur, and Venu Govindaraju. 2021. FCN-LectureNet: Extractive Summarization of Whiteboard and Chalkboard Lecture Videos. *IEEE Access* 9 (2021), 104469–104484. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3099427>
- [5] Kenny Davila and Richard Zanibbi. 2017. Whiteboard video summarization via spatio-temporal conflict minimization. In *2017 14th IAPR International conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR)*, Vol. 1. IEEE, 355–362.
- [6] Edward R Dougherty and Roberto A Lotufo. 2003. *Hands-on morphological image processing*. Vol. 59. SPIE press.
- [7] Kaiming He, Georgia Gkioxari, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. 2017. Mask R-CNN. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*. 2980–2988. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2017.322>
- [8] Bhargava Urala Kota, Kenny Davila, Alexander Stone, Srirangaraj Setlur, and Venu Govindaraju. 2018. Automated detection of handwritten whiteboard content in lecture videos for summarization. In *2018 16th International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (ICFHR)*. IEEE, 19–24.
- [9] Bhargava Urala Kota, Kenny Davila, Alexander Stone, Srirangaraj Setlur, and Venu Govindaraju. 2019. Generalized framework for summarization of fixed-camera lecture videos by detecting and binarizing handwritten content. *International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition (IJ DAR)* 22, 3 (June 2019), 221–233. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10032-019-00327-y>
- [10] Greg C Lee, Fu-Hao Yeh, Ying-Ju Chen, and Tao-Ku Chang. 2017. Robust handwriting extraction and lecture video summarization. *Multimedia Tools and Applications* 76, 5 (2017), 7067–7085.
- [11] Rensis Likert. 1932. A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Archives of psychology* (1932).
- [12] Linda. 2018. A Brief Discussion on the Pros and Cons of Drawing Tablets - 21558. <https://www.mytechlogy.com/IT-blogs/21558/a-brief-discussion-on-the-pros-and-cons-of-drawing-tablets/>. <https://www.mytechlogy.com/IT-blogs/21558/a-brief-discussion-on-the-pros-and-cons-of-drawing-tablets/#.YY6fGGBBxPY> Last accessed: 18 Nov 2021.
- [13] Saul Mcleod. 1970. Likert Scale Definition, Examples and Analysis. <https://www.simplypsychology.org/likert-scale.html>. <https://www.simplypsychology.org/likert-scale.html> Last accessed: 17 Nov 2021.
- [14] Oliver Nina, Bryan Morse, and William Barrett. 2011. A recursive Otsu thresholding method for scanned document binarization. In *2011 IEEE Workshop on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*. IEEE, 307–314.
- [15] Miguel Oliveira, Vitor Santos, and Angel Sappa. 2014. Multimodal Inverse Perspective Mapping. *Information Fusion* (09 2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2014.09.003>
- [16] Almaz Omorkulov, Abdibali Maripov, Mamyraly Eshbaev, Kasymbek Shakirov, Tazagul Alieva, Erkingul Toktorbaeva, Tamara Kadyrbaeva, and Zhyypargul Abdullaeva. 2021. Use of the Graphic Tablet in the Art and Educational University. *Art and Design Review* 09, 01 (2021), 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.4236/adr.2021.91002>
- [17] Gustavo Thebit Pfeiffer. 2014. Webcam paperpen: a low-cost graphics tablet. <https://guthpf.github.io/>. <https://guthpf.github.io/WPP-PG.pdf> Last accessed: 17 Nov 2021.
- [18] Gustavo Thebit Pfeiffer, Ricardo Guerra Marroquim, and Antonio Alberto Fernandes de Oliveira. 2014. WebcamPaperPen: A Low-Cost Graphics Tablet. In *Brazilian Symposium on Computer Graphics and Image Processing (SIBGRAPI)*. IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/sibgrapi.2014.54>
- [19] Adrian Rosebrock. 2014. 4 Point OpenCV getPerspective Transform Example. <https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2014/08/25/4-point-opencv-getperspective-transform-example/>. <https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2014/08/25/4-point-opencv-getperspective-transform-example/> Last accessed: 16 Nov 2021.
- [20] Bruno Santos. 2020. Why Are Drawing Tablets So Hard to Use? <https://www.drawingtabletworld.com/why-are-drawing-tablets-so-hard-to-use/>
- [21] M. Wienecke, G.A. Fink, and G. Sagerer. 2003. Towards automatic video-based whiteboard reading. In *Seventh International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, 2003. Proceedings.* 87–91 vol.1. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDAR.2003.1227633>
- [22] Mengqian Xu. 2020. Ergonomics Risk Assessment of Graphics Tablet Users Using the Rapid Upper Limb Assessment Tool. In *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*. Springer International Publishing, 301–308. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51549-2_40
- [23] Fu Hao Yeh, Greg C. Lee, Ying Ju Chen, and Chien Hsing Liao. 2014. Robust Handwriting Extraction and Lecture Video Summarization. In *2014 Tenth International Conference on Intelligent Information Hiding and Multimedia Signal Processing*. 357–360. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IIH-MSP.2014.95>