

OUJ-FTC-8  
OCHA-PP-373

# Fluctuating Non-linear Non-equilibrium System in Terms of Nambu Thermodynamics

So Katagiri<sup>\*1</sup>, Yoshiki Matsuoka<sup>\*</sup>, and Akio Sugamoto<sup>†</sup>

*\*Nature and Environment, Faculty of Liberal Arts, The Open University of  
Japan, Chiba 261-8586, Japan*

*†Department of Physics, Graduate School of Humanities and Sciences,  
Ochanomizu University, 2-1-1 Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan*

## Abstract

It is shown that the structure of non-equilibrium thermodynamic systems far from equilibrium, can be captured in terms of a generalized “Nambu dynamics”, in the presence of fluctuation effects in non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Triangular reactions are examined in detail, and it is shown that Nambu brackets can be used to describe them even when they are far from equilibrium, such as with cycles. Time evolution of the non-equilibrium state using the Hamiltonian and entropy is analyzed and it is shown that the entropy evolution is periodic with the negative contribution caused by the Hamiltonian suppressing the increase caused by entropy. As concrete examples, chemical reaction systems with time oscillation, such as the Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction (BZ reaction), Hindmarsh-Rose (H-R) model, are examined.

## 1 Introduction

To the non-equilibrium thermodynamic systems far from equilibrium, the variational principle for entropy is not always applicable. The construction of non-equilibrium thermodynamics, especially of non-linear non-equilibrium thermodynamics requires going beyond the concept of entropy [1][2]; this is particularly evident in systems such as chemical reactions. (The relationship with the non-linear Kubo formula is summarized in [3].)

The Lotka-Volterra (LV) system of predator-prey relationship is one such chemical reaction. The system can not be described by Onsager’s non-equilibrium thermodynamics. It is because Onsager’s thermodynamics takes the entropy as a potential, and requires “the minimum dissipative energy law” to hold near the normal equilibrium point<sup>2</sup>.

According to [4], such Lotka-Volterra systems can be described using the Nambu bracket [5]. Here, we will refer to the non-equilibrium thermodynamics

---

<sup>1</sup>So.Katagiri@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>The same issues are discussed in [7].

described by such Nambu brackets as “Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics”.

In this paper, we will elucidate the structure of non-equilibrium thermodynamic systems far from equilibria, by considering them as ‘Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics’

Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics consists of two ingredients; 1) the Nambu dynamics with multiple Hamiltonians  $\{H_i; i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and 2) the introduction of dissipation by a thermodynamic entropy  $S$ . The first ingredient describes the flow of physical quantities following an incompressible fluid, while the second ingredient gives the non-equilibrium change of entropy within a compressible fluid. Therefore, this theory can be applied to the system either isolated, closed, or open.<sup>3</sup>

The other advantage of the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics is its capacity to handle non-linear systems and to describe phenomena such as oscillations (with cycles and spikes) through the interplay between multi-Hamiltonians and an entropy, which were previously inaccessible to conventional theories.

We further construct a ‘fluctuating Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics’ by adding to it the effect of fluctuations through “quantization of the Nambu brackets”. This method of quantization of the Nambu bracket is applicable also to hydrodynamics and string (membrane) theory[9][10], since these theories are described by using the Nambu brackets.

In the past several decades, numerous attempts have been made to extend Onsager’s near-equilibrium thermodynamics to far-from-equilibrium systems. Among these, the Glansdorff-Prigogine theory is a well-established framework [6]. However, this theory is not so powerful to capture oscillatory phenomena or to provide a general principle for determining far-from-equilibrium systems. Comparison of [6] and other attempts with our paper, is given in the last section 6.

The paper is structured as follows. The Nambu bracket is first explained in Section 2. Next in Section 3, derivation of the general form for Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics is discussed. In Section 4, approaches to construct the Hamiltonians and entropy are described. In the following Section 5, it is given how the BZ reaction and H-R model is described by the Nambu bracket and the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, in which an entropy term is introduced in addition to two Hamiltonians. In Section 6, we discuss how the characteristic cycles and spikes in Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics are realized using two toy models. In Section 7, we discuss the effect of fluctuations on Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, and numerically investigate how the effect of fluctuations affects the time oscillation-like behavior. Finally, in Section 6, the results are summarized and discussed.

In addition, Appendix A discusses entropy in LCR circuits. Appendix B examines spikes in more detail, and Appendix C discusses the Zwanzig model. Appendix D discusses the specific example of moving toward the thermal equilibrium, and Appendix E discusses harmonic oscillators.

Before ending this section, we will repeat our motivation to write this paper: This paper intends to understand the periodic motions (cycles) in various models

---

<sup>3</sup>For the closed system, we forbid the inflow and outflow of materials, by imposing  $\partial^{(H)}M_a = 0$  ( $M_a$ : particle number of material  $a$ ). For the isolated system, we further forbid the inflow and outflow of heat by  $\partial^{(H)}S = 0$ . For the open system no restrictions are imposed. For usage of these symbols  $\partial^{(H)}$ , see Section 2.5.

far from the equilibrium. We separate the motion into the ordinary part of periodic motion and the dissipation part. The former part is supported by the Nambu dynamics with multiple conserved quantities (Hamiltonians), and the other is supported by the entropy effects. The inclusion of the entropy effects lifts the Nambu dynamics to the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

## 2 Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics

### 2.1 Nambu bracket

In this subsection we discuss the Nambu bracket [5]. In the Hamiltonian dynamical system, phase space is described by two variables, position and momentum, and its equation of motion is written as

$$\dot{x}^i = -\{H, x^i\}_{PB}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $H$  is the Hamiltonian, and  $\{H, x^i\}_{PB}$  is the Poisson bracket:

$$\{A_1, A_2\}_{PB} \equiv \epsilon^{i_1 i_2} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x^{i_1}} \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x^{i_2}}. \quad (2.2)$$

A feature of Hamiltonian dynamics is that the volume element in phase space is invariant with time variation, known as Liouville's theorem. Since one can derive principle of equal a priori probabilities by assuming ergodic properties if Liouville's theorem is satisfied, Nambu discussed in 1973 the existence of a more general dynamics that satisfies the more general Liouville's theorem which can reproduce the microscopic theory behind statistical mechanics.

Nambu bracket is a multi-variable extension of the Poisson bracket in the usual Hamiltonian mechanics, and can be written as a multi-variable Jacobian:

$$\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}_{NB} \equiv \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x^{i_1}} \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x^{i_2}} \dots \frac{\partial A_n}{\partial x^{i_n}}. \quad (2.3)$$

If  $n = 2$ , the Nambu brackets give the usual Poisson brackets.

By using the Nambu bracket, the canonical equation in Nambu mechanics is extended to

$$\dot{x}^i = -\{H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}, x^i\}_{NB} = -\epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_{n-1} i} \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial x^{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial H_{n-1}}{\partial x^{i_{n-1}}}. \quad (2.4)$$

In addition to being able to describe dynamical systems that cannot be described by ordinary Hamiltonian mechanics, Nambu brackets have the advantage of being able to explicitly describe conserved quantities, in the form of multiple Hamiltonians for ordinary Hamiltonian dynamical systems.

As a specific example, in the rotational motion of a rigid body,  $L_i$  is the angular momentum,  $I_i$  is the moment of inertia, and the total kinetic energy  $G$ ,

$$G = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L_x^2}{I_x} + \frac{L_y^2}{I_y} + \frac{L_z^2}{I_z} \right) \quad (2.5)$$

and the square of the angular momentum  $H$ ,

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2) \quad (2.6)$$

are “two” conserved quantities in the time evolution, which can be used to write the Euler equations for the rigid body as

$$\dot{L}_i = \{L_i, H, G\}_{NB}. \quad (2.7)$$

In the second example, the Nambu bracket representation of hydrodynamics is also known. Introducing Hamiltonians  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  like

$$\dot{x}^i = -\{x^i, H_1, H_2\}_{NB} \quad (2.8)$$

for the fluid elements, the advection terms can be expressed in Nambu brackets as in

$$\{\{x^i, H_1, H_2\}, H_1, H_2\}_{NB} = \{v^i, H_1, H_2\}_{NB} = \frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x^j} \{x^j, H_1, H_2\}_{NB} = v^j \frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x^j}, \quad (2.9)$$

where Hamiltonians  $H_1, H_2$  are equal to stream functions in hydrodynamics  $\psi_1, \psi_2$ <sup>4</sup>. Therefore, the Navier-Stokes equation

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v^i + v^j \frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x^j} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} p + \eta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} v^i \quad (2.10)$$

can be written as

$$-\rho \left( \{x^i, \dot{H}_1, H_2\}_{NB} + \{x^i, H_1, \dot{H}_2\}_{NB} + \{\{x^i, H_1, H_2\}_{NB}, H_1, H_2\}_{NB} \right) \quad (2.11)$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} \{p, x^j, x^k\}_{NB} - \eta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \{x^i, H_1, H_2\}_{NB} \quad (2.12)$$

using Nambu brackets.

## 2.2 Onsager’s non-equilibrium thermodynamics

In this subsection, we discuss the Onsager’s thermodynamics[1][25]. Thermodynamic variables evolve in time by gradients of entropy,

$$\dot{x}^i = L^{ij} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^j}. \quad (2.13)$$

where  $x^i$  is a thermodynamic variable, e.g.,  $x^i = E, V, N, \dots$

The so-called “stochastic entropy”  $S_{\text{OMH}}$ , Shannon entropy of probability density according to Onsager, Machlup and Hashitsume (Onsager-Machlup-Hashitsume action) [18][19][20][21], can be written as

$$\dot{S}_{\text{OMH}} = \Phi - \dot{x}^i A_i + \Psi = \frac{1}{2} R_{ik} (\dot{x}^i - L^{ij} A_j) (\dot{x}^k - L^{kl} A_l) \geq 0, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\Phi$  is the first-class dissipation function and  $\Psi$  is the second-class dissipation,

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2} R_{ij} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j, \Psi = \frac{1}{2} L^{ij} A_i A_j \quad (2.15)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>In the  $D$ -dimensional hydrodynamics, there are  $D - 1$  stream functions,  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{D-1}$ , which can be considered as “multiple Hamiltonians”,  $H_1, \dots, H_{D-1}$ , respectively.

and  $A_i$  is  $A_i = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i}$  and  $R_{ij}$  is the inverse matrix of  $L^{ij}$ .<sup>5</sup>

Since  $S_{\text{OMH}}$  is Shannon entropy itself, the probability distribution is described as

$$P(x, t_2 | x', t_1) = \int Dx e^{-\frac{1}{k_B} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \dot{S}_{\text{OMH}} dt} = \int Dx e^{-\frac{1}{k_B} S_{\text{OMH}}(x, t_2 | x', t_1)} \quad (2.16)$$

by path integrals. Here,  $S_{\text{OMH}}(x, t_2 | x', t_1) = \int_{t_1, x(t_1)=x'}^{t_2, x(t_2)=x} dt \dot{S}_{\text{OMH}}$ .

Therefore, the probability distribution is most effective when the generation rate of stochastic entropy  $\dot{S}_{\text{OMH}}$  is at its minimum, at which point Onsager's canonical equation

$$\dot{x}^i = L^{ij} A_j \quad (2.17)$$

becomes valid (Principle of minimum dissipation of entropy). In fact, other paths can be considered, which gives fluctuation to the path.

Under  $\dot{S}_{\text{OMH}} = 0$ , the entropy  $S$  satisfies

$$\dot{S} = \dot{x}^i A_i = \Phi + \Psi \geq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Therefore, the entropy increases continuously in time, when  $R_{ij}$  is a positive semi-definite matrix.

The second-order derivative of entropy in time is

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{S} &= \left( 2 \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + R_{il} \dot{L}^{lk} R_{kj} \right) \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j \\ &\equiv \left( 2g_{R,ij} + R_{il} \dot{L}^{lk} R_{kj} \right) \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j \\ &\equiv g_{ij} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where  $g_{R,ij}$  is the Ruppeiner metric.

Thus, the acceleration of entropy is determined by  $g_{ij}$ , and it is reasonable to assume that fluctuations satisfy

$$g_{ij} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j < 0 \quad (2.20)$$

near equilibrium points.

Thus, the magnitude of the entropy increase continues to decrease, reaching an equilibrium state, where the entropy increase ceases.

A significant assumption in Onsager's non-equilibrium thermodynamics is the assumption that the time evolution  $\dot{x}^i$  of the thermodynamic variable is linearly proportional to the thermodynamic force  $A_i$ . Onsager argued that by assuming reversibility in the microscopic theory behind non-equilibrium thermodynamics, the transport coefficients could exhibit symmetries such as  $L^{ij} = L^{ji}$ . As a typical example of this, he discussed the triangular reaction in the near-equilibrium case. Onsager's non-equilibrium thermodynamics is based on the assumption of the "dynamic reversibility principle". Since the cyclical reactions in the triangular reaction are not reversible in time, the Onsager's theory works under the condition that the cyclical reactions do not occur. As we see in the

---

<sup>5</sup>The discussion in Section 2.2 is limited to isolated systems. However, the argument itself can be applied to both closed and open systems, at least when the thermal fluctuations added to the system are Gaussian. In that case, we can obtain a path integral representation consistent with the boundary condition of each system.

following, such an assumption only covers near-equilibrium situations. On the other hand, Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics can treat a cycle naturally, so that it can be applied to phenomena far from equilibrium.

### 2.3 Triangular reaction

Triangular reactions were first discussed by Onsager when he constructed non-equilibrium thermodynamics[1]. In this discussion, we can understand that Onsager's assumptions and his theory are valid only in near-equilibrium situations.

A triangular reaction is a chemical reaction, in which three chemical species  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  make transition to each other.



This can generally be extended to  $n$  species. Notice that the reaction contains a right-handed cycle



and a left-handed cycle.



These cycles are not necessarily reversible with respect to time reversal in individual cycles. If we write the rate constant for the reaction as  $k_{ij}$ , the triangular reaction can be decomposed as



Since the rate of reaction is proportional to the concentration, the time

evolution of the thermodynamic variables is given by following equations.

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = -(k_{12} + k_{13})x_1 + k_{21}x_2 + k_{31}x_3 = -k_{12}x_1 \left(1 - \frac{k_{21}x_2}{k_{12}x_1}\right) + k_{31}x_3 \left(1 - \frac{k_{13}x_1}{k_{31}x_3}\right) \quad (2.27)$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = -(k_{23} + k_{21})x_2 + k_{32}x_3 + k_{12}x_1 = -k_{23}x_2 \left(1 - \frac{k_{32}x_3}{k_{23}x_2}\right) + k_{12}x_1 \left(1 - \frac{k_{21}x_2}{k_{12}x_1}\right) \quad (2.28)$$

$$\frac{dx_3}{dt} = -(k_{31} + k_{32})x_3 + k_{13}x_1 + k_{23}x_2 = -k_{31}x_3 \left(1 - \frac{k_{13}x_1}{k_{31}x_3}\right) + k_{23}x_2 \left(1 - \frac{k_{32}x_3}{k_{23}x_2}\right) \quad (2.29)$$

Now consider an ideal gas, and from the Gibbs free energy  $G(T, p, N)$ , the chemical potential of the ideal gas has the relation

$$\beta d\mu = d \log p. \quad (2.30)$$

From the equation of state of the ideal gas  $pV = N\beta^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{x_i}{x_j} = e^{\beta(A_{ij} - A_{(0)ij})} \quad (2.31)$$

where  $A_{ij}$  is defined as  $A_{ij} = \mu_i - \mu_j$  and  $A_{(0)ij}$  is the equilibrium value of  $A_{ij}$  and  $x_i = N_i/V$ . Here  $x_i = x_j$  holds when the system is in equilibrium, i.e.  $A_{ij} = A_{(0)ij}$ .

Substituting these, the time evolution of the thermodynamic variables is given by

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = -k_{12} \left(1 - \frac{k_{21}}{k_{12}} e^{\beta(A_{12} - A_{(0)21})}\right) x_1 + k_{31} \left(1 - \frac{k_{13}}{k_{31}} e^{\beta(A_{31} - A_{(0)31})}\right) x_3, \quad (2.32)$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = -k_{23} \left(1 - \frac{k_{32}}{k_{23}} e^{\beta(A_{23} - A_{(0)23})}\right) x_2 + k_{12} \left(1 - \frac{k_{21}}{k_{12}} e^{\beta(A_{12} - A_{(0)12})}\right) x_1, \quad (2.33)$$

$$\frac{dx_3}{dt} = -k_{31} \left(1 - \frac{k_{13}}{k_{31}} e^{\beta(A_{31} - A_{(0)31})}\right) x_3 + k_{23} \left(1 - \frac{k_{32}}{k_{23}} e^{\beta(A_{23} - A_{(0)23})}\right) x_2. \quad (2.34)$$

It is important to note here that the time evolution equation obtained is not at all linear in the thermodynamic force  $A_{ij}$ . In other words, general triangular reactions cannot be discussed at all in Onsager's linear non-equilibrium thermodynamics. It is applicable only when the affinity is small in the first approximation in  $\beta$  of the exponential function. Under this approximation, the time evolution is linear about the chemical potential plus cycle part.

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = -k_{12}x_1 + k_{21}x_1 + k_{21}\beta(A_{12} - A_{(0)12})x_1 \quad (2.35)$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = -k_{23}x_2 + k_{32}x_2 + k_{32}\beta(A_{23} - A_{(0)23})x_2 \quad (2.36)$$

$$\frac{dx_3}{dt} = -k_{31}x_3 + k_{13}x_3 + k_{13}\beta(A_{31} - A_{(0)31})x_3 \quad (2.37)$$

$$(2.38)$$

The cycle part is usually eliminated by assuming a detailed balance principle near equilibrium.

$$-k_{12}x_1 + k_{21}x_2 = 0 \quad (2.39)$$

$$-k_{23}x_2 + k_{32}x_3 = 0 \quad (2.40)$$

$$-k_{31}x_3 + k_{13}x_1 = 0 \quad (2.41)$$

$$(2.42)$$

Therefore, when the detail balance is valid, the time evolution can be described by Onsager's non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = -\beta(k_{12}x_1 + k_{13}x_3)(\mu_1 - \mu_{1(0)}) + \beta k_{21}x_1(\mu_2 - \mu_{2(0)}) + \beta k_{13}x_3(\mu_3 - \mu_{3(0)}) \quad (2.43)$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = -\beta(k_{23}x_2 + k_{21}x_1)(\mu_2 - \mu_{2(0)}) + \beta k_{32}x_2(\mu_3 - \mu_{3(0)}) + \beta k_{21}x_1(\mu_1 - \mu_{1(0)}) \quad (2.44)$$

$$\frac{dx_3}{dt} = -\beta(k_{31}x_3 + k_{32}x_2)(\mu_3 - \mu_{3(0)}) + \beta k_{13}x_3(\mu_1 - \mu_{1(0)}) + \beta k_{32}x_2(\mu_2 - \mu_{2(0)}) \quad (2.45)$$

where  $\mu_{i(0)}$  is the equilibrium value of the chemical potential  $\mu_i$ .

In this case, the transport coefficient is obtained as

$$L^{11} = \beta(k_{12}x_1 + k_{13}x_3), \quad (2.46)$$

$$L^{22} = \beta(k_{23}x_2 + k_{21}x_1), \quad (2.47)$$

$$L^{33} = \beta(k_{31}x_3 + k_{32}x_2), \quad (2.48)$$

$$L^{12} = -\beta k_{21}x_1 = L^{21}, \quad (2.49)$$

$$L^{23} = -\beta k_{32}x_2 = L^{32}, \quad (2.50)$$

$$L^{31} = -\beta k_{13}x_3 = L^{13}. \quad (2.51)$$

In this way, the triangular reaction is described by Onsager's non-equilibrium thermodynamics, when it is close to equilibrium and without cycles. However, Onsager's non-equilibrium thermodynamics cannot describe cases that are far from equilibrium, such as those involving cycles.



## 2.4 Nambu mechanics as a higher-order approximation beyond Onsager non-equilibrium thermodynamics

In the previous subsection we derived the transport coefficients for Onsager's nonequilibrium thermodynamics by taking a first-order approximation with small affinity. Also, the 0th approximation was dropped by hand according to "detailed balance principle".

If these assumptions and approximations are not adopted, the expansion of affinity yields the following expression.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_1}{dt} &= -k_{12}x_1 + k_{31}x_3 \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \beta^n (-1)^{n-k} (k_{21}x_1 \Delta\mu_1^k \Delta\mu_2^{n-k} - k_{13}x_3 \Delta\mu_3^k \Delta\mu_1^{n-k}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_2}{dt} &= -k_{23}x_2 + k_{12}x_1 \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \beta^n (-1)^{n-k} (k_{32}x_2 \Delta\mu_2^k \Delta\mu_3^{n-k} - k_{21}x_1 \Delta\mu_1^k \Delta\mu_2^{n-k}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_3}{dt} &= -k_{31}x_3 + k_{23}x_2 \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \beta^n (-1)^{n-k} (k_{13}x_3 \Delta\mu_3^k \Delta\mu_1^{n-k} - k_{32}x_2 \Delta\mu_2^k \Delta\mu_3^{n-k}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

where  $\Delta\mu_i \equiv \mu_i - \mu_{(0)i}$ .

Let us define the coefficients of each term of the chemical potential as follows;

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n=1}^3 L_{i, i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots i_n} \Delta\mu_{i_1} \dots \Delta\mu_{i_n}. \quad (2.55)$$

From the definition,  $L_{i, i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots i_n}$  is symmetric with respect to  $i_1, \dots, i_n$ .

In fact, if we expand from lower orders;

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = v_i^{(0)} + v_i^{(1)} + v_i^{(2)} + \dots, \quad (2.56)$$

we obtain

$$v_i^{(0)} = L_i, \quad (2.57)$$

$$v_i^{(1)} = \sum_{i_1=1}^3 L_{i, i_1} \Delta\mu_{i_1}, \quad (2.58)$$

$$v_i^{(2)} = \sum_{i_1, i_2=1}^3 L_{i, i_1 i_2} \Delta\mu_{i_1} \Delta\mu_{i_2} \quad (2.59)$$

where  $L_i$  are

$$L_1 = -(k_{12} - k_{21})x_1 + (k_{31} - k_{13})x_3, \quad (2.60)$$

$$L_2 = -(k_{23} - k_{32})x_2 + (k_{12} - k_{21})x_1, \quad (2.61)$$

$$L_3 = -(k_{31} - k_{13})x_3 + (k_{23} - k_{32})x_2 \quad (2.62)$$

and  $L_{i,j}$  are the same as  $L_{ij}$  (2.38)-(2.43).

We focus on second-order approximations.

$L_{i,i_1i_2}$  are

$$L_{1,11} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2(k_{21}x_1 - k_{13}x_3), \quad L_{1,22} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{21}x_1, \quad L_{1,33} = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{13}x_3 \quad (2.63)$$

$$L_{1,12} = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{21}x_1, \quad L_{1,13} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{13}x_3 \quad (2.64)$$

$$L_{2,22} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2(k_{32}x_2 - k_{21}x_1), \quad L_{2,11} = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{21}x_1, \quad L_{2,33} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{32}x_2, \quad (2.65)$$

$$L_{2,23} = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{32}x_2, \quad L_{2,21} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{21}x_1, \quad (2.66)$$

$$L_{3,33} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2(k_{13}x_3 + k_{32}x_2), \quad L_{3,11} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{13}x_3, \quad L_{3,22} = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{32}x_2, \quad (2.67)$$

$$L_{3,31} = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{13}x_3, \quad L_{3,32} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^2k_{32}x_2. \quad (2.68)$$

From these we see that  $L_{i,i_1i_2}$  has the following symmetry with respect to the index;

$$L_{i,i_1i_2} = L_{i_1,ii_2} = L_{i_2,ii_1}. \quad (2.69)$$

In addition to this symmetry, it is noteworthy that  $L_{i,i_1i_2}$  has the following antisymmetry.

$$L_{i,jj} = -L_{j,ii} \quad (2.70)$$

where no sum is taken for the subscripts that appear twice here.

Therefore, we introduce the following new symmetric tensor;

$$\tilde{A}_{(ij)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\Delta\mu_i\Delta\mu_j + \Delta\mu_j\Delta\mu_i). \quad (2.71)$$

We then introduce the antisymmetric tensor as follows

$$B_{i,j} \equiv L_{i,jj}. \quad (2.72)$$

Thus  $v_i^{(2)}$  is written as

$$v_i^{(2)} = \sum_{j=1}^3 B_{i,j} \tilde{A}_{(jj)} + \sum_{j=1}^3 L_{i,ij} \tilde{A}_{(ij)}. \quad (2.73)$$

They can be described in the form of Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics using  $H_1^{(2)}$ ,  $H_2^{(2)}$  and  $S^{(2)}$  as follows;

$$H_1^{(2)} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{A}_{(ii)} x^i, \quad (2.74)$$

$$H_2^{(2)} = \beta^2 (k_{21} x^1 x^3 + k_{32} x^2 x^1 + k_{13} x^3 x^2) \quad (2.75)$$

$$S^{(2)} \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \tilde{A}_{(ij)} x^i x^j, \quad (2.76)$$

$$v_i^{(2)} = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{\partial H_1^{(2)}}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial H_2^{(2)}}{\partial x^k} + \sum_{k=1}^3 L_{i,ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( \frac{\partial S^{(2)}}{\partial x^k} \right) \quad (2.77)$$

where  $H_2^{(2)}$  is determined by  $B_{ij}$ ;

$$\epsilon^{ijk} \frac{\partial H_2^{(2)}}{\partial x^k} \equiv B_{ij}. \quad (2.78)$$

Thus, it can be seen that the terms of Nambu mechanics emerge when we consider the second order terms of the approximation.

The zeroth order terms are similarly described by H and S, and are as follows,

$$H_1^{(0)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (k_{12} - k_{21}) x_3 + \frac{1}{2} (k_{31} - k_{13}) x_2 + \frac{1}{2} (k_{23} - k_{32}) x_1 \quad (2.79)$$

$$H_2^{(0)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \quad (2.80)$$

$$S^{(0)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} ((k_{12} - k_{21}) x_1 (x_1 + x_2) + (k_{23} - k_{32}) x_2 (x_2 + x_3) + (k_{31} - k_{13}) x_3 (x_3 + x_1)) \quad (2.81)$$

$$v_i^{(0)} = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{\partial H_1^{(0)}}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial H_2^{(0)}}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial S^{(0)}}{\partial x^i} \quad (2.82)$$

From these, the triangular reaction is described by the second-order approximation as follows;

$$\frac{dx^i}{dt} = \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{\partial H_1^{(0)}}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial H_2^{(0)}}{\partial x^k} + \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{\partial H_1^{(2)}}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial H_2^{(2)}}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial S^{(0)}}{\partial x^i} + L_{ij} \frac{\partial S^{(1)}}{\partial x^j} + L_{i,ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial S^{(2)}}{\partial x^j} + \dots \quad (2.83)$$

where  $S^{(1)}$  is

$$\frac{\partial S^{(1)}}{\partial x^i} \equiv \Delta \mu_i \quad (2.84)$$

in the usual Onsager theory.

Thus, Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics naturally appears as a correction term when an approximation is given without necessarily assuming detailed balance.

## 2.5 Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics

To introduce the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, it is natural to start from a set of autonomous type differential equations for  $N$  thermodynamic variables,  $(x^i) = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N)$ . The thermodynamic variables can be anything, such as  $(E, V, N_1, \dots, N_s)$  or  $(T, p, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_s)$  for chemical reactions, and others.<sup>6</sup>

The differential equation of our autonomous system can be written as

$$\frac{dx^i(t)}{dt} = v^i(\mathbf{x}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N). \quad (2.85)$$

Then, a velocity field  $v = v^i(\mathbf{x})$  is given, it determines a “fluid flow” in the  $N$ -dimensional space  $\{x\}$ , called here the “thermodynamic space”.

As is well known in the vector analysis or in the hydrodynamics, any velocity field  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$  can be expressed as a sum of divergence-free part  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$  and a rotation-free part  $\mathbf{v}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})$ . This is called the Helmholtz theorem in physics, or Hodge theorem in mathematics.

For  $N = 3$  we can find stream functions  $\psi_i(x)$  and a velocity function  $\phi(x)$  such that

$$v^i(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{v}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}))^i = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) + \delta^{ij} \partial_j \phi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.86)$$

For a general dimension  $N$ , the role of stream functions is played by a two-form field  $\psi^{ij}(\mathbf{x})$  with  $\psi^{ij} = -\psi^{ji}$ , which implies  $\psi^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j \psi_k$  for  $N = 3$ .

The first term  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$  in the right-hand side satisfies the incompressible condition  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{(1)} = 0$ , while the second term  $\mathbf{v}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})$  gives the compressible flow of the fluid.

We expect that the first term of the incompressible flow can be expressed, even for a general  $N$ , in terms of  $N - 1$  “Hamiltonians”  $(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{N-1})$  as

$$\mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{x}, H_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, H_{N-1}(\mathbf{x})\}_{NB}. \quad (2.87)$$

This expectation is true locally (for a short time and in a small space region). See a proof of the “local existence theorem” in the footnote<sup>7</sup>

<sup>6</sup>The variables can accommodate gauge potential  $A_\mu(x)$  or electromagnetic fields  $(E, B)$  for electromagnetic reactions, potential of bio-membrane and concentration of ions for the signal propagation problem of the nerve, and so on.

<sup>7</sup>A mathematical version of the Helmholtz theorem (the Hodge theorem) states  $\exists \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)$  (2-form field) s.t.  $v^{(1)\mu} = \partial_\nu \psi^{\mu\nu}$ , in the flat  $x$ -space. Next, the Darboux theorem[28] gives a canonical frame  $\{y^i\}$ , in which, by coordinate transformation, the 2-form field can be reduced to a constant skew symmetric one,  $\psi'^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^p (\delta_{2k-1}^i \delta_{2k}^j - \delta_{2k}^i \delta_{2k-1}^j)$ , where  $\exists p$  s.t.  $2p \leq N$ . Then, the corresponding dual  $(N - 2)$ -form reads

$$\psi_{\mu_3 \dots \mu_N}^* (x) = f(y) \epsilon_{ij i_3 \dots i_N}^{(0)} \left( \frac{\partial y^{i_3}}{\partial x^{\mu_3}} \dots \frac{\partial y^{i_N}}{\partial x^{\mu_N}} \right),$$

where we have introduced  $f(y)$  by relaxing the choice of  $y$ -frame. The epsilon symbol with

This local existence theorem is, however, frequently broken by the occurrence of chaotic and other singular behaviors of the solution. Therefore, the globalization of the local existence theorem is a difficult problem and remains still an unsolved problem.

Many counter-examples of the global non-existence of  $H$ 's are known. A well-known example is the Kowalevskaya top, which is an in-compressible version of the autonomous system with 6 variables ( $N = 6$ ). In this three-dimensional top moving under the gravity, any choice of parameters other than those chosen by Euler, Lagrange and Kowalevskaya, the number of "Hamiltonians" obtained is four, one less than the maximum  $N - 1 = 5$ .

In this situation, we are better to formulate the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics under the following assumptions:

- **Assumptions:** Assume the existence of  $N-1$  "Hamiltonians" ( $H_1, \dots, H_{N-1}$ ), so that  $\overline{H_i}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \text{const}$  may determine a hyper-surface in the  $N$ -dimensional space, and that these hyper-surfaces are independent with each other, or  $(N-1)$  tangential vectors ( $\nabla H_1, \dots, \nabla H_{N-1}$ ) are linearly independent. We also assume  $H_i(\mathbf{x})_{i=1-(N-1)}$  are the  $C^\infty$ -class or the real analytic functions in the  $N$ -dimensional space.

The incompressibility implies the volume of the thermodynamic space is conserved, that is, this part gives the dynamics where the Liouville theorem and its generalization hold. This is a merit of the Nambu dynamics. On the other hand, in the compressibility case, the violation of the Liouville theorem occurs; the volume is expanded or contracted, giving the entropy effect. The degree of expansion and contraction is given by the Lyapunov exponent in mathematics, so that the entropy can be called Lyapunov function following Prigogine. In this way, we rename the velocity potential  $\phi$  as entropy  $S = \phi$ , the existence of which is guaranteed by the Helmholtz (or the Hodge) theorem.<sup>8</sup>

Then, the second term is written by

$$\mathbf{v}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla S. \quad (2.89)$$

---

(0) means  $\pm 1$  depending on even and odd permutations of the indices. Then, we can identify Nambu Hamiltonians ( $H_1, \dots, H_{N-1}$ ) by  $y^{i+1} = \ln H_i(x)$  and  $f(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} H_i(x)$ , which leads to

$$\psi_{\mu_3 \dots \mu_N}^*(x) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_N \in (1, \dots, N-1)} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_{N-1}}^{(0)} \left( H_{i_1} \frac{\partial H_{i_2}}{\partial x^{\mu_3}} \dots \frac{\partial H_{i_{N-1}}}{\partial x^{\mu_N}} \right),$$

Now, we arrive at the same expression  $\dot{x}^\mu(t)$  in Nambu dynamics, at least locally:

$$v^{(1)\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{(N-2)!} \epsilon_{(0)}^{\mu\nu\mu_3 \dots \mu_N} \partial_\nu \psi_{\mu_3 \dots \mu_N}^* = \{x^\mu, H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{N-1}\}_{NB}.$$

The determination of  $H$ 's is equivalent to the finding of the reduced  $y$ -frame, so that the local existence theorem works irrespective of whether the entropy  $S(\mathbf{x})$  exists or not. (*q.e.d.*)

<sup>8</sup>In terms of hydrodynamics, the number density  $\rho(t, \mathbf{x})/m$  ( $m$ : mass of fluid particle) is the distribution function  $f(t, \mathbf{x})$  in the  $N$ -dimensional phase space. Here, we consider  $m = 1$  and identify  $f = \rho \equiv n$ . Then, the number density  $n(t, \mathbf{x})$  of fluid particle changes in the compressible fluid, following the equation of continuity,

$$D_t n(t, \mathbf{x}) = (\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) n(t, \mathbf{x}) = -(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) n(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (2.88)$$

where  $D_t$  is the Lagrangian derivative. In case of the compressible fluid ( $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \neq 0$ ), the phase space is not uniformly distributed, that is  $f = n$  is not constant, but the concentration or diffusion occurs within the phase space while streaming. This can be considered as the Lyapunov effect. Further details are continued in Appendix D.

In terms of the Hamiltonians  $H_1, \dots, H_{N-1}$  and the entropy  $S$ , the equation of motion of our system now becomes

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \{\mathbf{x}, H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{N-1}\}_{NB} + \nabla S, \quad (2.90)$$

and for a general operator  $O(x)$ , we have

$$\dot{O} = \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla O = \{O, H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{N-1}\}_{NB} + \nabla O \cdot \nabla S. \quad (2.91)$$

The first term in the right-hand side is the Nambu dynamics, a generalization of the Hamilton dynamics to  $N$ -dimensional phase space or the fluid dynamics in  $N$ -dimensional thermodynamic space without dissipation. The second term is relevant to the dissipation in the non-equilibrium thermodynamics involving the entropy.

Accordingly, we call this theory as ‘‘Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics’’.<sup>9</sup>

What we have shown here is far from the ‘‘existence theorem’’ for Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

We were aiming to show the following: For any autonomous differential equations with  $N$  variables, we could find  $N - 1$  Hamiltonians and one entropy  $S$ , so that the autonomous differential equations could be written by Eqs.(2.90) and (2.91).

As was stated above, although the existence theorem exists locally, it can not be proved globally. Therefore, we have to assume the existence of  $H_1 - H_{N-1}$  from the beginning.

In the process of proving the existence theorem, however, we can recognize an interesting problem of how the breakdown of global existence of Nambu Hamiltonians is related to the chaotic or singular behavior of the system. This is beyond the scope of our paper, and is a future issue. Even under the assumption of existence of Hamiltonians, we can discuss a very interesting behavior of cycles giving spike-like signal propagations. In this paper we will study some of these issues.

## 2.6 LCR circuit

A typical dynamical system with dissipation is the LCR circuit.<sup>10</sup>

In an LCR circuit, the voltage can be written as the sum of the contributions from resistance  $R$ , inductance  $L$  and capacitance  $C$ ,

$$V = V_R + V_L + V_C \quad (2.92)$$

where

$$V_R = IR, \quad V_L = L\dot{I}, \quad V_C = \frac{Q}{C}, \quad (2.93)$$

---

<sup>9</sup>We can consider closed and open systems because the inflow and outflow of entropy and materials can be introduced as advection terms in the Nambu dynamics. We also consider isolated systems without such inflows and outflows.

<sup>10</sup>It is well known that LCR circuits can be described as dynamical systems. See for example [26].

and  $I$  is electric current and  $Q$  is electric charge with  $I = \dot{Q}$ , and  $\Phi$  is the magnetic flux inside the coil with  $\Phi = LI$ .

We can express the LCR circuit, in terms of Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics,

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \Phi} - \frac{\partial S}{\partial Q}, \quad \dot{\Phi} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} - \frac{\partial S}{\partial \Phi}. \quad (2.94)$$

with one Hamiltonian and one entropy,

$$H = \frac{1}{2L}\Phi^2 + \frac{1}{2C}Q^2, \quad S = \frac{R\Phi^2}{2L}. \quad (2.95)$$

Or, denoting  $Q$  and  $\Phi$  as  $x^1 = Q$ ,  $x^2 = \Phi$ , then we have

$$\dot{x}^i = -\{H, x^i\}_{PB} + \{x^i, x^j\}_{PB}\{S, x_j\}_{PB}. \quad (2.96)$$

Here we examine the time evolution of entropy and Hamiltonian in this simple example, which gives

$$\dot{S} = -\{H, S\}_{PB} + \{S, x_i\}\{S, x^i\}_{PB}, \quad (2.97)$$

$$\dot{H} = \{H, x_j\}_{PB}\{S, x^j\}_{PB}. \quad (2.98)$$

Generalizing the foregoing to Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, the time evolution of entropy is

$$\dot{S} = -\{H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}, S\}_{NB} + \{S, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}\}_{NB}\{x^{i_1}, \dots, x^{i_{n-1}}, S\}_{NB}, \quad (2.99)$$

$$\dot{H}_i = \{H_i, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}\}_{NB}\{x^{i_1}, \dots, x^{i_{n-1}}, S\}_{NB}. \quad (2.100)$$

What should be noted here is that “the time evolution can be divided into two contributions”, the Hamiltonian part contribution and the entropy part contribution. The division of the two contributions provides a good information to understand what is happening in the time evolution. We will denote this division by

$$\dot{O} = \partial_t^{(H)}O + \partial_t^{(S)}O, \quad (2.101)$$

$$\partial_t^{(H)}O \equiv -\{H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}, O\}_{NB}, \quad (2.102)$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)}O \equiv \{S, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}_{NB}\{x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, O\}_{NB}. \quad (2.103)$$

The entropy  $S$  introduced in the last and this section corresponds to thermodynamic entropy, which is discussed in Appendix A.

## 2.7 Principle of minimum dissipation of entropy

Let us introduce fluctuating entropy as in Onsager’s discussion of non-equilibrium thermodynamics<sup>11</sup>.

<sup>11</sup>In the following description, subscripts of NB are omitted.

Let us simply add a thermal fluctuation term to the time evolution,

$$\dot{x}^i = -\{H_1, H_2, x^i\} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} + \xi^i(t), \quad (2.104)$$

where  $\xi^i(t)$  is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean and variance

From the ordinary discussion of the case of adding Gaussian fluctuations, we obtain

$$\dot{S}_{OMH} \equiv \Phi - \partial_t^{(S)} S + \Psi \quad (2.105)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \dot{x}^i + \{H_1, H_2, x^i\} - \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} \right) \left( \dot{x}^i + \{H_1, H_2, x^i\} - \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} \right) \quad (2.106)$$

where

$$\Phi \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\dot{x}_i - \{H_1, H_2, x_i\})^2, \quad (2.107)$$

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i}. \quad (2.108)$$

Assuming the principle of minimum dissipation of entropy  $\dot{S}_{OMH} = 0$  as in Onsager's argument, we obtain

$$\partial_t^{(S)} S = \Phi + \Psi \geq 0 \quad (2.109)$$

This means  $\partial_t^{(S)} S \geq 0$ , that is, the contribution of time evolution with respect to entropy, is always positive.

It should be noted that the total time evolution of the entropy includes the Hamiltonian contribution

$$\dot{S} = \partial_t^{(H)} S + \partial_t^{(S)} S, \quad (2.110)$$

so that  $\dot{S}$  can be negative. This is a necessary condition for the path to cycle. It is because, when a cycle appears, the entropy also comes back to the original value after a single turn of the cycle, for which to occur, both the increasing period and the decreasing period for the entropy are necessary. The latter decrease can be afforded through the evolution by the Hamiltonians in the Nambu dynamics.

## 2.8 Cycle

In this subsection, we discuss the triangular reaction far from equilibrium, in terms of Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

Such a system does not satisfy the detailed balance, where the reaction equation has only the right-handed cycle without the left-handed one, as follows.





The time evolution of concentrations is as follows.

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = k_{12}x_1 - k_{31}x_3 \quad (2.112)$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = k_{23}x_2 - k_{12}x_1 \quad (2.113)$$

$$\frac{dx_3}{dt} = k_{31}x_3 - k_{23}x_2 \quad (2.114)$$

In such a situation, the time evolution is not proportional to the thermodynamic force, but is non-linearly related to the force<sup>12</sup>.

Furthermore, the constants of reaction rate  $k_{ij}$  is not symmetric but anti-symmetric, so that Onsager's theory cannot be applied to the time evolution. On the other hand, Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics can handle it.

More specifically, we can discuss an example by choosing the following Hamiltonians  $H_1, H_2$  and "entropy"  $S$ ,

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{4}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2), \quad (2.115)$$

$$H_2 = k_{23}x_1 + k_{31}x_2 + k_{12}x_3, \quad (2.116)$$

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}(k_{12}x_1^2 + k_{23}x_2^2 + k_{31}x_3^2) + \frac{1}{2}(k_{12}x_1x_2 + k_{23}x_2x_3 + k_{31}x_3x_1). \quad (2.117)$$

The system is notable for the following conservation law to hold,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = 0 \quad (2.118)$$

which indicates that the thermodynamic variables continue to move, while satisfying always the conservation law, so that the system never settle into a single state. In other words, it does not move toward a state of thermodynamic equilibrium. This is an example of far from the thermal equilibrium.

The more general triangular reaction, including the non-linearity and the cycle, can also be described by Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, as follows

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{4}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2), \quad (2.119)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 = & k_{23} \left( 1 - \frac{k_{32}}{k_{23}} e^{\beta(A_{23} - A_{(0)23})} \right) x_1 \\ & + k_{31} \left( 1 - \frac{k_{13}}{k_{31}} e^{\beta(A_{31} - A_{(0)31})} \right) x_2 \\ & + k_{12} \left( 1 - \frac{k_{21}}{k_{12}} e^{\beta(A_{12} - A_{(0)12})} \right) x_3, \end{aligned} \quad (2.120)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S = & -\frac{1}{2} \left[ k_{12} \left( 1 - \frac{k_{21}}{k_{12}} e^{\beta(A_{12} - A_{(0)12})} \right) (x_1^2 - x_1x_2) \right. \\ & + k_{23} \left( 1 - \frac{k_{32}}{k_{23}} e^{\beta(A_{23} - A_{(0)23})} \right) (x_2^2 - x_2x_3) \\ & \left. + k_{31} \left( 1 - \frac{k_{13}}{k_{31}} e^{\beta(A_{31} - A_{(0)31})} \right) (x_3^2 - x_3x_1) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.121)$$

---

<sup>12</sup>Here,  $x_i = e^{\beta\Delta\mu_i}$

In this way, we can show the examples far from equilibrium, having cycles, which can be described by Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

## 2.9 Summary of Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics

Let us summarize the important points we have discussed so far. First, while Onsager’s method can be applied to triangular reactions close to equilibrium, as in Section 2.3, it cannot be applied to non-equilibrium states that are extremely far from equilibrium, such as those with cycles, as described in Section 2.7.

Second, Onsager’s method has the limitation that the principle of dynamic reversibility is a requirement, which fails to describe the systems with cycles, as was discussed in section 2.7.

This limitation can be overcome by introducing a Nambu bracket. It is because, in the absence of dissipative terms, the Nambu dynamics is given by the Nambu bracket, having multiple conserved quantities, Hamiltonians. This fact guarantees the description of cycles. After introducing the dissipative terms, we arrive at the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

We could not established completely, the “existence theorem” which states that any autonomous system for  $N$  variables, the  $N-1$  Hamiltonians  $\{H_1, \dots, H_{N-1}\}$  and one entropy  $S$ , such that the original the set of autonomous differential equations can be expressed in terms of the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Although the “existence theorem” can be proved locally, there exists a lot of counter-examples for the global existence theorem. Therefore, we have introduced the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics under the assumption of the existence of  $N - 1$  Hamiltonians.

The entropy terms may break some of the conservation laws, existed originally in the Nambu dynamics. However, if one of the conserved quantities remains, the thermodynamics can describe the cycle. Even if all the conservation laws are broken, there is a possibility for the cyclic motion to remain, for which we call the system has “a pseudo-conservation law”<sup>13</sup>. This may happen from the competition between two “motive forces”; one is to make cycles by Nambu dynamics with multiple Hamiltonians, and the other is the dissipation by entropy. For the cyclic motion (cycle) to occur, we have the increasing period of entropy and the decreasing period of it. This oscillatory behavior may be realized under a certain competition of the above mentioned two motive forces. The problem we study in this paper is, not limited to the triangular reactions, but are applicable to the vast non-equilibrium phenomena far from the equilibrium, in particular for the systems with limit cycles, in which Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics plays as important role in understanding the phenomena. Some examples to support our claim are given, in the LCR circuit, the chemical BZ reactions, and the signal propagation in the nerve.

The theory developed by M. Grmela and H.C. Öttinge [11, 12] overlaps with our paper, only when we restrict our Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics to a special case with a single Hamiltonian and the linear response near the equilibrium. The main interest of ours is, however, the systems far from the equilibrium, which are not covered by [13, 14]. On the other hand, Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics developed in this paper can analyze them, since it consists of multi-Hamiltonian Nambu dynamics as well as of the non-equilibrium

---

<sup>13</sup>Relationships that can be regarded as approximately conservative on a given time scale.

thermodynamics introduced naturally through the incompressibility.

### 3 Derivation of the general Form for Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics

In Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, entropy plays a crucial role in understanding the behavior of systems far from equilibrium. The entropy change  $dS$  can generally be divided into two components: the part related to the inflow and outflow of entropy, and the part corresponding to the entropy generation within the system itself. From the previous discussions on the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, we can identify the first component with  $d^{(H)}S$ , and the second one to  $d^{(S)}S$ :

$$dS = d^{(H)}S + d^{(S)}S. \quad (3.1)$$

This separation allows for a clearer analysis of the system's evolution and the factors contributing to its entropy changes.

The first term,  $d^{(H)}S$ , represents the exchange of entropy between the system and its surrounding environment, which occurs through various processes such as heat flow, mass transfer, and radiation. This term is important when considering the interaction of the system with its environment and how it affects the overall entropy balance.

The second term,  $d^{(S)}S$ , accounts for the internal entropy generation within the system. This term arises from irreversible processes, such as chemical reactions, dissipation, and relaxation phenomena, which lead to an increase in the system's entropy. By analyzing  $d^{(S)}S$ , we can gain insights into the driving forces behind the system's evolution and the factors that contribute to its irreversibility. From the second law of thermodynamics,  $d^{(S)}S$  always increases,

$$d^{(S)}S \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $dS$  is a total derivative, taking the integral around the circle yields

$$0 = \oint dS = \oint \left( d^{(H)}S + d^{(S)}S \right), \quad (3.3)$$

so

$$\oint d^{(H)}S = - \oint d^{(S)}S \equiv \oint \frac{dQ}{T} \quad (3.4)$$

where  $dQ$  is the heat energy flowing into the system, and  $T$  is the temperature of the system<sup>14</sup>.

On the other hand, because  $d^{(H)}S$  can be expanded like

$$d^{(H)}S = \frac{\partial S}{\partial U} d^{(H)}U + \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} d^{(H)}V + \frac{\partial S}{\partial M} d^{(H)}M + \dots \quad (3.5)$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} d^{(H)}U - \frac{p}{T} d^{(H)}V + \frac{\mu}{T} d^{(H)}M + \dots \quad (3.6)$$

---

<sup>14</sup>Whether there is a  $d^{(H)}S$  contribution or not depends on it is an isolated system or not. In the isolated system, the argument in (3.3) does not hold since the entropy cannot be restored by the cycle condition.

$$\equiv \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} d^{(H)} x^i, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $U$  is the internal energy,  $V$  is the volume,  $M$  is the number of particles,  $p$  is the pressure, and  $\mu$  is the chemical potential, and  $x^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , represents any of the variables  $U, V, M, \dots$

Similarly,

$$d^{(S)} S = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} d^{(S)} x^i. \quad (3.8)$$

From above,

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} \frac{d^{(H)} x^i}{dt} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} \frac{d^{(S)} x^i}{dt}. \quad (3.9)$$

We may consider the first term  $d^{(H)} S/dt$  as the change of entropy by a reversible process, while the second term  $d^{(S)} S/dt$  be that by an irreversible process, since the first term implies the inflow and outflow of entropy, while the second gives the production of entropy.

Accordingly, we need to have

$$\frac{d^{(H)} S}{dt} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} \frac{d^{(H)} x^i}{dt} = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

To satisfy this condition, a sufficient condition is to introduce the antisymmetric tensor  $B_{ij}(x)$ , and to write the current,  $d^{(H)} x^i/dt$  in the following form

$$\frac{d^{(H)} x^i}{dt} = B^{ij} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^j}. \quad (3.11)$$

Written in this way, the time evolution of the reversible part of entropy is shown to vanish, since

$$\frac{d^{(H)} S}{dt} = B^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^j} \equiv \{S, S\}_B = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

This guarantees vanishing of the time evolution of the reversible part of entropy, which is consistent with the second law of thermodynamics.

In other words, the entropy of a closed system undergoing reversible changes remains constant over time, while irreversible changes lead to an increase in entropy.

The bracket  $\{S, O\}_B$  can be reproduced by using the Nambu dynamics.

It is because, if we choose  $B_{ij}(x)$  as,

$$B^{ij}(x) \equiv \epsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_{N-2}, ij} \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial x^{i_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial H_{N-2}}{\partial x^{i_{N-2}}}, \quad (3.13)$$

we obtain the bracket  $\{S, O\}_B$  in the Nambu dynamics as

$$\{H_1, \dots, H_{N-2}, S, O\}_{NB} \equiv \{S, O\}_B. \quad (3.14)$$

◦ **Non-equilibrium process close to equilibrium; linear response**

Next, we consider the irreversible process. The time evolution of the thermodynamic variables is

$$\frac{d^{(S)}x^i}{dt} = L^{ij} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^j} \equiv L^{ij} A_j, \quad (3.15)$$

where  $L^{ij}$  is transport coefficient and is a second-order symmetric tensor and  $A_i$  is the affinity force.<sup>15</sup>

Here, we have to compare this expression to that in the reversible process Eq.(3.12), which is

$$\frac{d^{(H)}x^i}{dt} = B^{ij} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^j} = B^{ij} A_j. \quad (3.16)$$

The symmetric  $L^{ij} = L^{ji}$  and the anti-symmetric  $B^{ij} = -B^{ji}$  separate the irreversible process with entropy production from the reversible process by the ordinary dynamics. As in the reversible case, in the irreversible case, we will use another bracket notation as well,

$$\frac{d^{(S)}O}{dt} = L^{ij} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial O}{\partial x^j} \equiv (S, O)_{L_2}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$(x^i, x^j)_{L_2} = L^{ij}. \quad (3.18)$$

In summary, the thermodynamics of non-equilibrium systems close to equilibrium can be described in terms of Nambu Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics as follows:

$$\frac{dO}{dt} = \{H_1, \dots, H_{N-2}, S, O\}_{NB} + (S, O)_{L_2}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = (S, S)_{L_2}. \quad (3.20)$$

◦ **Non-equilibrium process far from equilibrium; non-linear response**

The most important point, as shown below, is that the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics description is applicable even to systems far from equilibrium.

In such systems, the equations of motion or the constitutive equations, are not linear with respect to the affinity force, and in general higher-order transport coefficients appear.

Therefore, this case is described by the following general form,

$$\frac{dO}{dt} = \{H_1, \dots, H_{N-2}, S, O\}_{NB} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \overbrace{(S, \dots, S, O)}^{i+1}_{L_{i+2}}. \quad (3.21)$$

---

<sup>15</sup>Usually the kinetic constant  $L^{ij}$  is a constant, but as  $B^{ij}(x)$  depends on the coordinate,  $L^{ij}$  can be a function of the coordinate  $x^i$ . Then,  $L^{ij}(x)$  and  $R_{ij}(x)$  are regarded as the metric tensor  $g^{ij}(x)$  and  $g_{ij}(x)$  of the space spanned by the thermodynamic variables  $(x^1, \dots, x^N)$ .

The notation introduced in the above represents the non-linear response, where  $(i + 1)$  product of affinity forces  $A_a(x)$  with a kinetic constant  $L^{a_1 \cdots a_{i+1}}$  gives the “thermodynamic current”.

$$\overbrace{(S, \dots, S, O)}^{i+1}_{L_{i+2}} \equiv \frac{d^{(S)}x^{a_{i+2}}}{dt} \frac{\partial O}{\partial x^{a_{i+2}}}, \text{ with } A_a(x) = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^a}, \text{ and} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\frac{d^{(S)}x^{a_{i+2}}}{dt} = \sum_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i+1}} L^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i+1} a_{i+2}} A_{a_1}(x) A_{a_2}(x) \cdots A_{a_{i+1}}(x), \quad (3.23)$$

where  $L^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i+1} a_{i+2}}$  are  $(i + 1)$ -order complete symmetric tensors.

Here we make an important assumption: the system we are considering can include “open systems”, where the system does not necessarily go to equilibrium. Therefore, we will more generally write the reversible part, by using a general  $H_{N-1}$  instead of  $S$ , as follows:

$$\frac{dO}{dt} = \{H_1, \dots, H_{N-2}, H_{N-1}, O\}_{NB} + \dots \quad (3.24)$$

The important point for this choice is that  $d^{(H)}x^i/dt$  takes the usual form of the Nambu dynamics,

$$\frac{d^{(H)}x^i}{dt} = B^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial H_{N-1}}{\partial x^j} = \{H_1, \dots, H_{N-1}, x^i\}_{NB}, \quad (3.25)$$

so that  $d^{(H)}S/dt \neq 0$ , which is, however, favorable in the open system, where the excess of the inflow entropy is absorbed by the environment.

#### ◦ Coarse-graining and appearance of cycle

Next, we discuss a possibility that in such a non-linear system, the system does not move toward the equilibrium, but rather, approaches a “cyclic system” (denoted by  $\Omega_{\text{cycle}}$ ) in the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

We will explain this in the following.

In the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, the general non-linear response can be described by

$$\dot{x}^j = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{N-1}, x^j\}_{NB} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \overbrace{(S, \dots, S, x^j)}^{i+1}_{L_{i+2}}. \quad (3.26)$$

The second term in the right-hand side of the above equation has a complicated expression with the multiple product of  $\partial S/\partial x^a$ , but is nothing but a vector field. As was discussed in Section 2, we know that any vector field can be expressed in terms of the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics. This naive understanding is not rigorously correct, but we are allowed to consider it valid in the normal cases. Therefore, we can find  $\{H'_1, \dots, H'_{N-1}\}$  and a new entropy  $S^C$ , and obtain the following expression:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \overbrace{(S, \dots, S, x^j)}^{i+1}_{L_{i+2}} = \{H'_1, H'_2, \dots, H'_{N-1}, x^j\}_{NB} + \partial_j S^C. \quad (3.27)$$

Thus, we have

$$\dot{x}^j = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{N-1}, x^j\}_{NB} + \{H'_1, H'_2, \dots, H'_{N-1}, x^j\}_{NB} + \partial_j S^C. \quad (3.28)$$

Looking at the first and second terms in the above right-hand side, each satisfies the incompressibility condition, so that the sum of them also satisfies the condition. Thus, we can find the third set of Hamiltonians  $\{H_1^C, H_2^C, \dots, H_{N-1}^C\}$ , which yields the following general expression, even in the case far from equilibrium,

$$\dot{x}^j = \{H_1^C, H_2^C, \dots, H_{N-1}^C, x^j\}_{NB} + \partial_j S^C. \quad (3.29)$$

For a general observable  $O$ , we have

$$\dot{O} = \{H_1^C, H_2^C, \dots, H_{N-1}^C, O\}_{NB} + (\nabla S^C \cdot \nabla O). \quad (3.30)$$

The non-linear response again gives the system a simpler form, such as the non-equilibrium thermodynamics near Onsager's equilibrium system. It is described by a third entropy  $S^C$ , different from Onsager's entropy  $S$ , which gives  $dS = dQ/T$  and the Shannon entropy  $S_{OMH}$ . This drives the system into a circular system  $\Omega^C$ , i.e., satisfying the following conditions

$$\frac{dS^C}{dt} \geq 0, \text{ and } \frac{d^2 S^C}{dt^2} < 0. \quad (3.31)$$

Under this condition, after a certain period, the system is relaxed to the cyclic system  $\Omega^C$ , which is again controlled by a pure Nambu dynamics,

$$\dot{O} = \{H_1^C, H_2^C, \dots, H_{N-1}^C, O\}_{NB}. \quad (3.32)$$

Then, the conservation laws of  $H_i^C = \text{constant}$  are recovered and the cyclic motion arises.

This is nothing but a guess, not being able to prove in this paper. Probably because Boltzmann's approach averages the dynamical system over small periods, the dynamics of thermodynamics may be coarse-grained on larger time scales. Thus, the coarse-graining of Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics may be worth considering. Our guess may be derived from such coarse-graining.

## 4 Approaches for constructing the Hamiltonians and entropy

We start from the general form of the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics (3.29) and (3.30), given in the last section, which is applicable to the system far from the equilibrium with non-linear response and cycles. They are

$$\dot{x}^i = \{H_1^C, H_2^C, \dots, H_{N-1}^C, x^j\}_{NB} + \partial_i S^C, \quad (4.1)$$

and for  $O$

$$\dot{O} = \{H_1^C, H_2^C, \dots, H_{N-1}^C, O\}_{NB} + (\nabla S^C \cdot \nabla O). \quad (4.2)$$

In comparison with Subsection 1.4, these equations reproduce the set of  $N$  autonomous differential equations,

$$\dot{x}^i = v^C(x)^i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N). \quad (4.3)$$

The entropy is easier to find, since it satisfies  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}(x) = \nabla^2 S^C(x)$ .

Even for a general  $N$ , we may find the solution for the Hamiltonians and entropy in the normal cases, but here, we restrict to  $N = 3$ , since all the examples we study belong to this case. Then, we have two Hamiltonians,  $H_1^C$  and  $H_2^C$ . We may denote  $\mathbf{x} = (X, Y, Z)$ .

The velocity field is the sum of the incompressible part, by Nambu dynamics with conserved stream functions or Hamiltonians, and the compressible part, due to entropy. For  $N = 3$ , this is

$$\mathbf{v}(x) = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\psi} + \nabla S^C, \quad (4.4)$$

from which we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \frac{1}{2}(H_1^C \overleftrightarrow{\nabla} H_2^C). \quad (4.5)$$

An easy way to find the solution is to impose

$$H_2^C = Z, \quad (4.6)$$

which works for a number of examples which we will study. It is, however, an open problem whether we can impose this condition in any case, by using the arbitrariness existing possibly in the choice of Hamiltonians and entropy.

Under this assumption,  $H_1^C$  and  $S^C$  can be obtained, directly from the autonomous differential equations,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}^1 = (v^C)^1(x) = 2\partial_2 H_1^C + \partial_1 S^C, \\ \dot{x}^2 = (v^C)^2(x) = -2\partial_1 H_1^C + \partial_2 S^C, \\ \dot{x}^3 = (v^C)^3(x) = \partial_3 S^C, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

where we may use  $(x^1, x^2, x^3) = (X, Y, Z)$  in the following.

Combining these equations yields the following relational equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_2^2 H_1^C + 2\partial_1^2 H_1^C &= \partial_2 \dot{x}^1 - \partial_1 \dot{x}^2, \\ 2\partial_3 \partial_1 H_1^C &= \partial_3 \dot{x}^1 - \partial_1 \dot{x}^3, \\ -2\partial_3 \partial_2 H_1^C &= \partial_3 \dot{x}^2 - \partial_2 \dot{x}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

These relational equations are also derived from another discussions.

The constitutive equation as a starting point for the discussion is as follows<sup>16</sup>:

$$\dot{x}^i = v^i = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{N-2}, H_{N-1}, x^i\}_{NB} + \partial_i S. \quad (4.9)$$

In Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, the constitutive equation can be rewritten in the following differential formalism

$$dS_{NB} = \delta_{ij} (v^i + \{H_1, \dots, H_{N-2}, H_{N-1}, x^i\}_{NB}) dx^j. \quad (4.10)$$

---

<sup>16</sup>In the following,  $C$  superscripts are omitted as appropriate.



Therefore, by taking the exterior derivative of both sides, the following relationship can be obtained,

$$\delta_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \{H_1, \dots, H_{N-2}, H_{N-1}, x^j\}_{NB} \right) dx^k \wedge dx^i = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore, if the Hamiltonian is introduced to satisfy this condition, the remaining terms in the constitutive equation can always be written in terms of the gradient of entropy from the condition of exact differential.

Now consider a chemical reaction system when there are three dynamical variables  $X, Y, Z$ . Given a chemical species  $Z$ , which is the catalyst in the chemical reaction here, it is natural that its concentration will cycle. Therefore, it is one of two Hamiltonians,

$$H_2 = Z. \quad (4.12)$$

In this case, from the relation (4.11), we obtain

$$\left( \delta_{kj} \frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial x^k} \right) dx^k \wedge dx^i = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

This corresponds to (4.8).

We will confirm later with the BZ reaction and the Hindmarsh-Rose model that  $H_1$  can indeed be found to satisfy this relationship.

## 5 Application

In this section, we will show that non-equilibrium systems far from equilibrium can be described in a unified manner by Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, with the BZ reaction representing time oscillations as a typical example of temporal dissipative structure, and the H-R model, a model of spike-burst behavior of membrane potential, as Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics perspective.

### 5.1 BZ reaction

The BZ reaction is a typical case of a time-oscillating chemical reaction.

Its simplest model is called Oregonator [2].



where  $A = \text{BrO}_3^-$ ,  $X = \text{BrO}_2$ ,  $Y = \text{Br}^-$ ,  $Z = \text{Ce}^{4+}$ ,  $P = \text{HBrO}$ ,  $B = \text{CH}_2(\text{COOH})_2$ ,  $k_1, \dots, k_5$  are reaction rate, and  $h$  is an adjustable stoichiometric factor.

Normally, the concentrations  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $P$  are assumed to be constant.

Then, the equations of the time evolution of the BZ model become the equations of motion for three coordinates or three concentrations ( $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$ ), given by

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = k_1AY - k_2XY + k_3AX - 2k_4X^2, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = -k_1AY - k_2XY + hk_5BZ, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = 2k_3AX - k_5BZ. \quad (5.8)$$

These chemical reactions are far from equilibrium and are not obtained from the Onsager's variational principle. Following the methodology of Section 5, consider  $Z$  as the catalyst,  $H_2$ .

We obtain

$$d\{H_1, Z, x^i\} \wedge dx^i = d\dot{x}^i \wedge dx^i \quad (5.9)$$

If we insert the concrete form from the development equation for velocity,  $H_1$  must satisfy the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial Y^2} &= k_1A - k_2(X - Y), \\ \frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial Z \partial X} &= hk_5B, \quad \frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial Z \partial Y} = 2k_3A. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

From this,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial X} &= hk_5BZ + f(X, Y), \\ \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial Y} &= 2k_3AZ + g(X, Y), \\ \frac{\partial f(X, Y)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial g(X, Y)}{\partial X} &= k_1X - k_2(X - Y). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

By substituting these into the above formula,

$$f(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}k_2X^2, \quad (5.12)$$

$$g(X, Y) = -k_1AY + \frac{k_2}{2}Y^2. \quad (5.13)$$

Therefore,  $H_1$  is obtained as

$$H_1 = hk_5BZX - \frac{1}{2}k_1AY^2 + \frac{k_2}{6}Y^3 + 2k_3AYZ + \frac{1}{6}k_2X^3. \quad (5.14)$$

The time evolution of the non-Hamiltonian part is necessarily in the form of an entropy gradient from the discussion in Section 5.

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= -\frac{k_2}{2}XY^2 - \frac{k_2}{2}X^2Y \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{3}k_4X^3 + \frac{1}{2}k_3AX^2 - \frac{1}{2}k_1AY^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{k_5}{2}BZ^2 + 2k_3AXZ.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

We divide time evolution into Hamiltonian part and entropy part:

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \partial_t^{(H)} + \partial_t^{(S)}. \tag{5.16}$$

Then, we obtain the time evolution induced by the Hamiltonians, as follows:

$$\partial_t^{(H)}X = -\{H_1, H_2, X\} = k_1AY + \frac{k_2}{2}Y^2 - 2k_3AZ, \tag{5.17}$$

$$\partial_t^{(H)}Y = -\{H_1, H_2, Y\} = \frac{k_2}{2}X^2 + k_5BZ, \tag{5.18}$$

$$\partial_t^{(H)}Z = -\{H_1, H_2, Z\} = 0, \tag{5.19}$$

while the time evolution induced by the entropy is given by

$$\partial_t^{(S)}X = \{S, Y, Z\} = k_3AX - 2k_4X^2 - k_2XY - \frac{k_2}{2}Y^2 + 2k_3AZ, \tag{5.20}$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)}Y = \{S, Z, X\} = -\frac{k_2}{2}X^2 - k_1AY - k_2XY, \tag{5.21}$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)}Z = \{S, X, Y\} = 2k_3AX - k_5BZ. \tag{5.22}$$

Combining these two kinds of time evolutions gives the time evolution of the BZ reaction.

Next, let us examine the time evolution of entropy.

It is written as follows:

$$\dot{S} = \partial_t^{(H)}S + \partial_t^{(S)}S, \tag{5.23}$$

$$\partial_t^{(H)}S = -\{H_1, H_2, S\}, \tag{5.24}$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)}S = +\{S, X, Y\}^2 + \{S, Y, Z\}^2 + \{S, Z, X\}^2 \tag{5.25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
-\{H_1, H_2, S\} &= -\left(k_1AY + \frac{k_2Y^2}{2} - 2k_3AZ\right) \\
&\quad \times \left(-k_3AX + 2k_4X^2 + k_2XY + \frac{k_2Y^2}{2} - 2k_3AZ\right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{k_2X^2}{2} + k_1AY + k_2XY\right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{k_2X^2}{2} - k_5hBZ\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

$$= \partial_t^{(H)} X \partial_t^{(S)} X + \partial_t^{(H)} Y \partial_t^{(S)} Y + \partial_t^{(H)} Z \partial_t^{(S)} Z. \quad (5.27)$$

Thus we obtain

$$\dot{S} = -\partial_t^{(H)} X \partial_t^{(S)} X - \partial_t^{(H)} Y \partial_t^{(S)} Y - \partial_t^{(H)} Z \partial_t^{(S)} Z \quad (5.28)$$

$$+ \left( \partial_t^{(S)} X \right)^2 + \left( \partial_t^{(S)} Y \right)^2 + \left( \partial_t^{(S)} Z \right)^2, \quad (5.29)$$

$$\dot{H}_1 = \partial_t^{(H)} H_1 + \partial_t^{(S)} H_1, \quad (5.30)$$

$$\partial_t^{(H)} H_1 = 0, \quad (5.31)$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)} H_1 = \{H_1, X, Y\} \{S, X, Y\} + \{H_1, Y, Z\} \{S, Y, Z\} + \{H_1, Z, X\} \{Z, X, S\} \quad (5.32)$$

$$= \{H_1, X, Y\} \partial_t^{(S)} Z + \{H_1, Y, Z\} \partial_t^{(S)} X + \{H_1, Z, X\} \partial_t^{(S)} Y, \quad (5.33)$$

$$\{H_1, X, Y\} = -k_5 h B X + 2k_3 A Y, \quad (5.34)$$

$$\{H_1, Y, Z\} = \frac{k_2 X^2}{2} - k_5 h B Z, \quad (5.35)$$

$$\{H_1, Z, X\} = -k_1 A Y - \frac{k_2 Y^2}{2} + 2k_3 A Z, \quad (5.36)$$

$$\dot{H}_2 = \partial_t^{(H)} H_2 + \partial_t^{(S)} H_2, \quad (5.37)$$

$$\partial_t^{(H)} H_2 = 0, \quad (5.38)$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)} H_2 = \partial_t^{(S)} Z = 2k_3 A X - k_5 B Z. \quad (5.39)$$

In this paper the time evolution of the BZ reaction is numerically studied, which yields the following results depicted in Figures 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5. These figures illustrate how the BZ reaction oscillates.

Figure 5.1 shows the temporal development of the concentrations,  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$ , as a function of time given in the horizontal axis. Figure 5.2 gives the time development of the entropy  $S$ .

Figure 5.3 gives the change of the Hamiltonian  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  and the entropy  $S$  in time.

Figure 5.4 shows the temporal change of entropy  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^{(H)} S}{\partial t}$  and  $\frac{\partial^{(S)} S}{\partial t}$  in detail.

As can be seen from Figure 5.4, the respective contributions from  $\frac{\partial^{(H)} S}{\partial t}$  and  $\frac{\partial^{(S)} S}{\partial t}$  almost cancel each other, and entropy is found to be almost unchanged, except for the sudden increase and decrease of the entropy, subjected to periodic

delta-function-type positive and negative kicks. The positive and negative kicks arise alternately, where the period of positive kick  $T_+$  and negative kick  $T_-$  are both  $T_+ = T_- \approx 20$ .

This can be seen also in the path diagram, Figure 5.5, where the orbits undergo an inverted kick at both ends of the elongated circle, and only then does entropy undergo an abrupt change. It can be seen from the figure that  $H_1$  and  $S$  are both zero at such a turning point.

Now, we can understand well why the usual non-equilibrium thermodynamics by Onsager can not be applied to the BZ reaction. The Onsager theory is formulated near the equilibrium point of the entropy. There, the entropy gradient describes the time evolution of the thermodynamic variable as an affinity force, and as time passes, entropy increases while its velocity becomes zero. In systems far from equilibrium, dynamics are described by entropy gradients plus Nambu dynamics. In systems such as the BZ reaction, entropy does not necessarily increase, but rather oscillates between the Hamiltonians  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ .




Figure 5.1: Time variation of concentration X, Y and Z. Horizontal axis represents time, vertical axis represents concentration.




Figure 5.2: Plot of entropy change over time. The horizontal axis represents time and the vertical axis represents entropy.




Figure 5.3: Plot of  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  and  $S$  as a function of time. The horizontal axis is time and the vertical axis is the values of  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  and  $S$ .




Figure 5.4: Plot of entropy velocity as a function of time.  $\partial_t^{(H)}S$  and  $\partial_t^{(S)}S$  represent the contribution of the Hamiltonian in the entropy rate and the dissipation due to entropy in the time variation of entropy, respectively, and  $dS/dt$  is the sum of these contributions.




Figure 5.5: 3D diagram of the limit cycle according to the time variation of the concentration  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$ . The vertical axis represents the concentration of  $Z$ , the horizontal axis represents the concentration of  $X$  and the remaining axis represents the concentration of  $Y$ .

Note that oscillations do not occur in all parameter regions. In particular, in the case of  $hk_5 \sim k_1A \sim k_2 \sim k_4X \sim k_5B \ll k_3A$ , the BZ reaction is an oscillatory phenomenon. Approximating the Hamiltonian and entropy in the

case, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &\approx 2k_3AYZ + \dots \\
H_2 &= Z, \\
S &\approx \frac{1}{2}k_3AX^2 + 2k_3AXZ + \dots
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.40}$$

This represents a system of harmonic oscillators as discussed in Appendix E. Thus, under the appropriate variable transformations, the system is approximately described as a system of harmonic oscillator Hamiltonians with no entropy. Thus, from the given Hamiltonian and entropy, we can analyze whether oscillatory phenomena occur <sup>17</sup>.

## 5.2 Hindmarsh-Rose model

The H-R model is a biological neuron model of the spike-burst behavior of the membrane potential which is a typical behavior of neurons in the brain[16][17]. The H-R model is a three-dimensional dynamical system, which is given by the following equations:

$$\dot{x} = y + \phi(x) - z + I, \tag{5.41}$$

$$\dot{y} = \psi(x) - y, \tag{5.42}$$

$$\dot{z} = r(s(x - x_R) - z), \tag{5.43}$$

where

$$\phi(x) = -ax^3 + bx^2, \tag{5.44}$$

$$\psi(x) = c - dx^2, \tag{5.45}$$

where  $x$  is the biological membrane potential and  $x_R$  is the resting potential.  $y$  is called the recovery variable as it takes into account the transport of ions across the membrane through the ion channel.  $z$  is the bursting variable and  $I$  is the current entering the neuron from outside and is used as a control parameter.  $a, b, c, d, s$  are fixed parameters of the model and often take values such as  $a = 1, b = 3, c = 1, d = 5, s = 4$ . Also,  $r$  is associated with timescales related to neural adaptation and takes on a very small order, such as  $10^{-3}$ .

From the same discussion as for the BZ reaction, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial Y^2} &= 1 + 2dX, \\
-\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial Z \partial X} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial Z \partial Y} &= 1 + rs.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.46}$$

From this,

---

<sup>17</sup>When the condition  $*dS = dH_1 \wedge d\Delta H_2 + d\Delta H_1 \wedge dH_2 + d\Delta H_1 \wedge d\Delta H_2$  is satisfied, the redefinition  $H_i \rightarrow H_i + \Delta H_i$  leads to the elimination of the entropy  $S$ , leaving only the Hamiltonians to describe the system. This removal of  $S$  is crucial in achieving a quasi-conserved system of Hamiltonians. In general, the interaction between the set of Hamiltonians and entropy plays a significant role in determining the system's behavior.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial X} &= +f(X, Y), \\
\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial Y} &= (1 + rs)Z + g(X, Y), \\
\frac{\partial f(X, Y)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial g(X, Y)}{\partial X} &= 1 + 2dX.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

By substituting these into the above formula,

$$f(X, Y) = -dX^2, \tag{5.48}$$

$$g(X, Y) = -Y. \tag{5.49}$$

Therefore,  $H_1$  is obtained as

$$H_1 = -\frac{d}{3}X^3 - \frac{1}{2}Y^2 + (1 + rs)YZ. \tag{5.50}$$

In the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics,  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $S$  corresponding to this model have the following forms:

$$H_1 = -\frac{d}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{2}y^2 + (1 + rs)yz, \tag{5.51}$$

$$H_2 = z, \tag{5.52}$$

$$S = -\frac{a}{4}x^4 + \frac{b}{3}x^3 + Ix - \frac{y^2}{2} + cy - \frac{rz^2}{2} - rsx_Rz + rsxz. \tag{5.53}$$

Also, we divide time evolution into Hamiltonian part and entropy part as follows:

$$\partial_t^{(H)}x = -\{H_1, H_2, x\} = y - (1 + rs)z, \tag{5.54}$$

$$\partial_t^{(H)}y = -\{H_1, H_2, y\} = -dx^2, \tag{5.55}$$

$$\partial_t^{(H)}z = -\{H_1, H_2, z\} = 0, \tag{5.56}$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)}x = I + bx^2 - ax^3 + rsz, \tag{5.57}$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)}y = c - y \tag{5.58}$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)}z = r(s(x - x_R) - z). \tag{5.59}$$

Next, the time evolution of entropy is given by

$$\dot{S} = \partial_t^{(H)}S + \partial_t^{(S)}S, \tag{5.60}$$

where

$$\partial_t^{(H)}S = -dx^2(c - y) - (I + bx^2 - ax^3 + rsz)(-y + (1 + rs)z), \tag{5.61}$$

$$\partial_t^{(S)}S = (c - y)^2 + r^2(s(x_R - x) + z)^2 + (I + (b - ax)x^2 + rsz)^2. \tag{5.62}$$



the time evolution of Hamiltonian is given by

$$\dot{H}_1 = -r(1+rs)y(s(x-x_R)-z) + dx^2(I+x^2(b-ax)+rsz) + (c-y)(y-(1+rs)z), \quad (5.63)$$

$$\dot{H}_2 = r(s(x_R-x)+z). \quad (5.64)$$




Figure 5.6: Time variation of membrane potential  $x$ , recovery variable  $y$  and bursting variable  $z$ . Horizontal axis represents time, vertical axis represents  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$ .




Figure 5.7: 3D diagram of the limit cycle according to the time variation of  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ . The vertical axis represents the bursting variable  $z$ , the horizontal axis represents the membrane potential  $x$  and the remaining axis represents the recovery variable  $y$ .




Figure 5.8: Plot of  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  and  $S$  as a function of time. The horizontal axis is time and the vertical axis is the values of  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  and  $S$ .




Figure 5.9: Plot of entropy velocity as a function of time.  $\partial_t^{(H)}S$  and  $\partial_t^{(S)}S$  represent the contribution of the Hamiltonian in the entropy rate and the dissipation due to entropy in the time variation of entropy, respectively, and  $dS/dt$  is the sum of these contributions.

The spike-like excitatory activity of the membrane potential is shown in Figure 5.6 and Figure 5.7. The trajectory shows a limit cycle.

Figure 5.8 shows the time evolution of  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $S$ . It can be seen that  $H_1$  and  $S$  take alternating peaks in a spike-like pattern, while  $H_2$  increases in a staircase-like pattern.

Figure 5.9 decomposes the time evolution of the rate of entropy into a contribution from the Hamiltonian and a contribution from entropy, with the Hamiltonian contribution of entropy having a periodic contribution to entropy.

## 6 Analysis of cycles and spikes

Two characteristic behaviors of systems far from equilibrium are the cycle of trajectories and the presence of spikes. If the system has conserved quantities, it is expected that the trajectories will cycle because they must always keep moving to satisfy the conserved quantities. Even if there are no conserved quantities, a cycle can exist for a constant long time if there are quantities that can be regarded as pseudo-conserved quantities such that they are asymptotically decreasing. The triangular reaction was already an example that has such a conserved quantity, but we can also consider the following as a simple toy model.

$$H_1 = a - x^3z, \quad (6.1)$$

$$H_2 = b + z, \quad (6.2)$$

$$S = x^2yz. \quad (6.3)$$

The equations of motion are as follows

$$\dot{x} = 2xyz, \quad (6.4)$$

$$\dot{y} = -2x^2z, \quad (6.5)$$

$$\dot{z} = x^2y. \quad (6.6)$$

This preserves

$$O = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) \quad (6.7)$$

in time evolution. The path draws a limit cycle as in Figures 6.1 and 6.2, with a sharp spike for the  $x$  and  $z$  components resulting from its nonlinearity.





Figure 6.1: 3D diagram of the limit cycle according to the time variation of  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ .

Figure 6.2: Plot of  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  as a function of time.

Another toy model is

$$H_1 = H_1(x, y, z), \quad (6.8)$$

$$H_2 = (x^2 - y^2)f(z), \quad (6.9)$$

$$S = xy, \quad (6.10)$$

where  $H_1(x, y, z)$  and  $f(z)$  are arbitrary functions. The equations of motion are

$$\dot{x} = y - 2yf(z)\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial z} + (y^2 - x^2)\frac{df(z)}{dz}\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial y}, \quad (6.11)$$

$$\dot{y} = x - 2xf(z)\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial z} - (y^2 - x^2)\frac{df(z)}{dz}\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial x}, \quad (6.12)$$

$$\dot{z} = 2xf(z)\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial y} + 2yf(z)\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial x}. \quad (6.13)$$

In this model,  $H_2$  itself is the conserved quantity of time evolution.

As a concrete example, we take

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2), \quad (6.14)$$

$$f(z) = z^2. \quad (6.15)$$

The equations of motion are

$$\dot{x} = y - 2x^2yz + 2y^3z - 2yz^3, \quad (6.16)$$

$$\dot{y} = x + 2x^3z - 2xy^2z - 2xz^3, \quad (6.17)$$

$$\dot{z} = 4xyz^2. \quad (6.18)$$

The path draws a limit cycle as in Figures 6.3 and 6.4, with a sharp spike for the  $y$  and  $z$  components resulting from its nonlinearity.




Figure 6.3: 3D diagram of the limit cycle according to the time variation of  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ .




Figure 6.4: Plot of  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  as a function of time.

## 7 Fluctuation effects in Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics

In previous sections, we simply added the effect of thermal fluctuations to the time evolution. In this section, let discuss how this thermal fluctuation affects the results of numerical calculations in a concrete system.<sup>18</sup> Therefore, in BZ reaction, we introduce a time evolution equation with fluctuation effects as follows,

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = k_1AY - k_2XY + k_3AX - 2k_4X^2 + \kappa\xi_1, \quad (7.1)$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = -k_1AY - k_2XY + k_5BZ + \kappa\xi_2, \quad (7.2)$$

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = 2k_3AX - k_5BZ + \kappa\xi_3. \quad (7.3)$$

<sup>18</sup>The Fokker-Planck equation describing nonequilibrium thermodynamics is an equation similar to the Schrödinger equation in quantum mechanics. Thus, nonequilibrium statistical mechanics (stochastic processes) can also be described by a “noncommutative operator pair” of a thermodynamic variable and its differential operator. Then, the fluctuation term is related to the problem of quantization of the Nambu bracket and is of interest in discussing the quantization of membrane in high energy theory (2-brane)[23].




Figure 7.1: Path with fluctuation.




Figure 7.2:  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  with fluctuation.

The numerical results for each case are presented in Figures 7.1 and 7.2. Figure 7.2 also shows the path in the case of no fluctuation for comparison.

The parameters used are as follows:  $k_1 = 1.28$ ,  $k_2 = 8.0$ ,  $k_3 = 8.0 \times 10^5$ ,  $k_4 = 2.0 \times 10^3$ ,  $k_5 = 1.0$ ,  $h = 1.5$ ,  $A = 0.06$ ,  $B = 0.02$ ,  $X(0) = 2 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $Y(0) = 0.0001$ ,  $Z(0) = 0.0$ ,  $\kappa = 10^{-5}$  and  $dt = 10^{-2}$  for split time interval.

As can be seen by comparison of the figures, the addition of white noise simply causes the pathway to fluctuate slightly around the noiseless case. It can be seen that the limit cycle does not lose its time periodicity, although its trajectory changes with each cycle by adding fluctuations.

## 8 Summary and discussion

We have shown that the use of Nambu brackets is effective in describing non-equilibrium thermodynamics in situations far from equilibrium, taking a chemical reaction (the BZ reaction) and H-R model as an example.

We have found that a reaction model, such as the BZ reaction, must include an entropic contribution in addition to multiple Hamiltonians. This differs from the previously known Lotka-Volterra model, which can be described by only Nambu brackets and only two Hamiltonians.

The Lotka-Volterra model requires no entropy when there are three free variables and the two Hamiltonians are conserved quantities. On the other hand, in the BZ model we are discussing, the two Hamiltonians are not conserved quantities.

As is examined in this paper, the time evolution of entropy in the time-oscillating BZ reaction shows that the Hamiltonian and entropy contributions cancel with each other out, and hence the entropy does not increase much except at the end points of the cycle.

In recent decades, there have been several attempts to extend Onsager's near-equilibrium thermodynamics to far-from-equilibrium systems, including the well-known Glansdorff-Prigogine theory[6]. This approach is, however, not so powerful to describe oscillatory behaviors or to grasp the general features of

far-from-equilibrium systems. Glansdorff-Prigogine’s universal evolution criterion can be applied not only to the linear region near equilibrium but also to certain non-linear regions. It provides a way to analyze the time evolution of entropy production in systems far from equilibrium[6]. However, while it is useful in these contexts, its application is limited when dealing with more complex, oscillatory phenomena that involve multiple dynamical variables or Hamiltonians. In such cases, additional theoretical frameworks are required. In contrast, the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics introduced in this paper allows for the analysis of non-linear and oscillatory phenomena through the inclusion of Hamiltonian parts, providing a new framework that extends beyond traditional thermodynamic theories.

Additionally, Ziegler’s maximum entropy production principle provides an important perspective on non-equilibrium thermodynamics, particularly in relation to systems with non-linear dynamics[29]. This principle is closely related to Jaynes’ maximum entropy approach in statistical mechanics[30], which maximizes the information entropy to predict the most likely distribution of states. Furthermore, Ziegler’s principle has connections to the large deviation principle, which describes the probability of rare fluctuations far from equilibrium[31].

Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics is built to cope with the phenomena far from the equilibrium, by introducing multi-Hamiltonians and a thermodynamic entropy, which allows to analyze the systems exhibiting both entropy-driven and Hamiltonian-driven behaviors, such as the BZ reaction and Hindmarsh-Rose model. These oscillatory behaviors with cycles and spikes cannot be fully captured by Ziegler’s or Jaynes’ frameworks alone.

Numerical simulations have been carried out in detail with respect to the temporal evolution of the chemical reactions with thermal fluctuations.

In this paper we can put forward a general framework for the “Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics”. In this formalism, it is shown that any autonomous differential equations for  $N$  variables can be written locally (or temporarily) as a system of Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, having  $(N - 1)$  Hamiltonians and an entropy  $S$ . Since the global existence of multi-Hamiltonians is not guaranteed rigorously, we assume the existence of them a priori. It is important to note that this formalism is applicable to the systems far from equilibrium, having non-linear response and cycles. Then, we may expect “another second law of thermodynamics”, which drives the highly non-equilibrium system to a special system  $\Omega_{\text{cycle}}$ , in which the cycle exists and the third entropy  $S^C$  becomes a constant.

One advantage of using Nambu brackets is that they clarify the structure.

We can analyze the motive forces of the time development separately, one by Hamiltonian, and the other by entropy. The contribution of them cannot be separated by the numerical calculation of the differential equation in the BZ reaction and others. Nambu theory is a theory that describes multiple conservation laws with multiple Hamiltonians  $H_1 \dots H_n$ . The introduction of entropy may break some of these conservation laws, but the remaining unbroken conservation laws or pseudo-conservation laws (periodicity) remain, which leads to the generation of cycles.

When cycle structures are formed in systems far from equilibrium in Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics, their entropy can be negative in time evolution associated with the Hamiltonian, while the time evolution associated with the entropy is always positive. This oscillation of entropy is related to the for-

mation of cycle, which may trigger the structure formation. This provides some insight into the role of entropy in the formation of structure.

According to non-linear response theory, there is a close relationship between fluctuations and the advection term.

In this paper, we add white noise to the effects of fluctuations through discussion in a microscopic model, but we need to examine which types of fluctuations are appropriate to be included in the non-linear response theory in the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Also, although this paper discusses the case where chemical reactions are location-independent, it is easy to extend it to the location-dependent case and it would be interesting to investigate how the fluctuation effects contribute to the formation of structures such as Turing patterns and others. It is also interesting to discuss other time-oscillating models such as the BL model[24] and the chaotic behavior of the Lorenz model[2] from the perspective of Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

In addition, continued research is also needed to determine the generality of the system described by Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

Further studies on these points are expected in the near future.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Toshio Fukumi about non-linear response theory. We are indebted to Shiro Komata for reading this paper and giving useful comments.

## Appendix A. Entropy in LCR circuits

In this section, we discuss that  $S$  in the non-equilibrium thermodynamic treatment in LCR can be regarded as thermodynamic entropy. In the LCR circuit, the time derivative of the total energy is

$$\dot{E} = IV = RI^2 + LI\dot{I} + \frac{Q}{C}I. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The thermal energy per unit time due to resistance is

$$\dot{Q}_h = RI^2. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

From the first law of thermodynamics, the time derivative of work is

$$\dot{W} = \dot{E} - \dot{Q} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2}LI^2 + \frac{Q^2}{2C} \right) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\Phi^2}{2L} + \frac{Q^2}{2C} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} H \quad (\text{A.4})$$

From the entropy generation written by

$$\dot{Q}_h = T\partial_t^{(S)}S = TL^{ij} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^j} = 2\Psi, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

the entropy generation is

$$T\partial_t^{(S)}S = RI^2 = R^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \frac{R\Phi^2}{2L} \right)^2. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Therefore, the entropy is written by

$$S = \frac{R\Phi^2}{2L}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

This is in agreement with the entropy introduced in Section 2, which shows that  $S$  is the entropy in thermodynamics.

## Appendix B. Turning points of spikes

In this section, we discuss the turning points of spikes in the toy model in Section 4.

From the equations of motion(6.16)-(6.18), we obtain the following equations,

$$dt = \frac{dx}{y - 2x^2yz + 2y^3z - 2yz^3} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$= \frac{dy}{x + 2x^3z - 2xy^2z - 2xz^3} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$= \frac{dz}{4xyz^2}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Now consider a cusp that changes abruptly only in the  $x$  direction. Since the denominator is quadratic for  $x$ , the equation can be rearranged as follows.

$$dt = \frac{dx}{(x - \alpha_+)(x - \alpha_-)} = dx \left( \frac{A_+}{x - \alpha_+} + \frac{A_-}{x - \alpha_-} \right), \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\alpha_{\pm} \equiv \mp \sqrt{y^2 - z^2 + \frac{1}{2z}}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$A_{\pm} = 2\alpha_{\pm}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Since  $dt$  is singular in terms of the cusp arising and only the contribution of the  $A_+$  term works around  $x = \alpha_+$ , we can write the following equation.

$$\frac{x(t) - \alpha_+}{x(t_0) - \alpha_+} = e^{(t-t_0)/A_+} = (t - t_0)/A_+ + O((t - t_0)^2/A_+^2) \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Therefore, the gap before and after the turning point can be estimated from the following equation:

$$\dot{x}(t_* + \delta t) - \dot{x}(t_* - \delta t) = 1/A_+ (x(t_* + \delta t) + x(t_* - \delta t)) \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y(t_*)^2 - z(t_*)^2 + \frac{1}{2z(t_*)}}} (x(t_* + \delta t) + x(t_* - \delta t)), \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$(\text{B.10})$$

where  $t_*$  is time at the turning point.



## Appendix C. Zwanzig's model

In this section, we discuss the derivation of fluctuation effects from microscopic models in an extended discussion of Zwanzig's model[27].

Consider the following system of slow variables  $X_l = X, Y, Z$  coupled to a fast variable, i.e., harmonic oscillation  $x_i$ , which can be regarded as a heat bath:

$$H_1 = H_1^0(\{X\}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^3 \frac{p_l^i{}^2}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^3 m\omega_l^i{}^2 \left( x_l^i - \frac{\gamma_l^i}{2\omega_l^i} X_l \right), \quad (\text{C.1})$$

$$H_2 = H_2^0(\{X\}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^3 z_l^i, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

$$S = S(\{X\}), \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Therefore, the time evolution for  $x, y, z$  is

$$\dot{x}_l^i = \frac{p_l^i}{m}, \quad (\text{C.4})$$

$$\dot{p}_l^i = m\omega_l^i{}^2 \left( x_l^i - \frac{\gamma_l^i}{2\omega_l^i} X_l \right), \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$\dot{z}_l^i = 0. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

This differential equation can be solved and the solution is

$$x_l^i(t) - \frac{\gamma_l^i}{m\omega_l^i{}^2} X_l(t) = - \int_0^t \frac{\gamma_l^i}{m\omega_l^i{}^2} \frac{dX_l(s)}{ds} \cos(\omega_l^i(t-s)) dt + F_l^i(t), \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$F_l^i(t) = \left( x_l^i(0) - \frac{\gamma_l^i}{m\omega_l^i{}^2} X_l(0) \right) \cos(\omega_l^i t) + \frac{\dot{x}_l^i(0)}{\omega_l^i} \sin(\omega_l^i t). \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Substituting this solution for  $x$  eliminates the fast variable  $x$  and yields the following equation

$$\dot{X}_l = \{H_1^0, H_2^0, X_l\}_{NB} \quad (\text{C.9})$$

$$- \sum_{m,n=1}^3 \epsilon_{mln} \int_0^t \zeta^l(t-s) \frac{\partial H_2^0(t)}{\partial X_n} \frac{dX_l(s)}{ds} ds - \sum_{m,n=1}^3 \epsilon_{mln} \frac{\partial H_2^0(t)}{\partial X_n} F_l, \quad (\text{C.10})$$

$$\zeta^l(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\gamma_l^i}{m\omega_l^i{}^2} \cos(\omega_l^i t), \quad (\text{C.11})$$

$$F_l = \sum_{i=1}^N F_l^i, \quad (\text{C.12})$$

where  $F_l$  is the effect of fluctuations involving only degrees of freedom of the heat bath, and  $\zeta$  term represents friction. However, since it is reversible as it is,




Figure 8.1: Including fluctuation.




Figure 8.2: Without fluctuation.

an approximation is made and  $F$  is taken to be white noise and  $\zeta$  term to be

$$- \sum_{m,n=1}^3 \epsilon_{mln} \int_0^t \zeta^l(t-s) \frac{\partial H_2^0(t)}{\partial X_n} \frac{dX_l(s)}{ds} ds \rightarrow - \sum_{m,n=1}^3 \epsilon_{mln} \zeta_0 \frac{\partial H_2^0(t)}{\partial X_n} \frac{dX_l(t)}{dt}, \quad (\text{C.13})$$

where  $\zeta_0$  is a continuous approximation of the state of the heat bath and the distribution is assumed to be a Debye distribution, and  $\gamma_l^i = \gamma/\sqrt{3N}$ .

As an example, the time evolution equation with fluctuation in the case of BZ reaction is given as

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = k_1 AY - k_2 XY + k_3 AX - 2k_4 X^2 + \zeta_0 \frac{dY}{dt} + \kappa \xi_2, \quad (\text{C.14})$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = -k_1 AY - k_2 XY + h k_5 BZ - \zeta_0 \frac{dX}{dt} - \kappa \xi_1, \quad (\text{C.15})$$

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = 2k_3 AX - k_5 BZ \quad (\text{C.16})$$

where  $\kappa$  is the diffusion coefficient introduced during the white noise approximation,  $F_l = \kappa \xi_l$ .

The numerical results for each case are presented in Figure 8.1. Figure 8.2 also shows the path in the case of no fluctuation for comparison.

The parameters used are as follows:  $k_1 = 1.28$ ,  $k_2 = 8.0$ ,  $k_3 = 8.0 \times 10^5$ ,  $k_4 = 2.0 \times 10^3$ ,  $k_5 = 1.0$ ,  $h = 1.5$ ,  $A = 0.06$ ,  $B = 0.02$ ,  $X(0) = 2 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $Y(0) = 0.0001$ ,  $Z(0) = 0.0$ ,  $\kappa = 10^{-7}$ ,  $\zeta_0 = 0.1$  and  $dt = 10^{-2}$  for split time interval.

In this case, as can be seen by comparison of the figures, the addition of white noise simply causes the pathway to fluctuate slightly around the noiseless case.

## Appendix D. Specific example

This is a continuation of (Footnote 7).

As a specific example, we can consider the case in which the thermal equilibrium state  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}}$  exists and the system is attracted towards it. In this case we may choose the following velocity potential,

$$\phi(x) = -\frac{\lambda_L}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}})^2. \quad (\text{C.17})$$

Then, the velocity field near the equilibrium point  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}}$  becomes  $\mathbf{v} = -\lambda_L(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}})$ , implying the point  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}}$  be a sink or an attractor for  $\lambda_L > 0$ . Otherwise it becomes a source or a separation point. Indeed, the equation of continuity  $D_t n(t, \mathbf{x}) = \lambda_L N n(t, \mathbf{x})$  can be solved, giving the time independent distribution function with a constant  $A$ ,

$$n(t, \mathbf{x}) = f(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{A}{\left[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}})^2\right]^{\frac{N}{2}}}. \quad (\text{C.18})$$

Here, we note that the invariant quantity floating along the stream is

$$D_t I(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \text{for } I(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{\lambda_L t} \sqrt{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}})^2}. \quad (\text{C.19})$$

This means the distance to the equilibrium point behaves

$$\sqrt{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}})^2} \propto e^{-\lambda_L t}, \quad (\text{C.20})$$

implying we need the infinite time before arriving at the equilibrium.

We also obtain the behavior like the Lyapunov index for the distribution function,

$$n(t, \mathbf{x}) = f(t, \mathbf{x}) \propto \frac{1}{\left[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}})^2\right]^{\frac{N}{2}}} \propto e^{N\lambda_L t}. \quad (\text{C.21})$$

Accordingly,  $\phi$  is identified to the entropy  $S$ , since they attain a maximum at the equilibrium point  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}}$ . So, we may call  $\lambda_L$  and  $\phi(x)$  as Lyapunov exponent and its function.

To understand our choice of velocity potential  $\phi$  as entropy  $S$ , in the standard thermodynamics near equilibrium as an example, the best way is to compare our theory with the Einstein's paper on Brownian motion [15]. It is because Einstein's paper contains all the necessary ingredients of the present day linear response theory near equilibrium. He started with the motion of a macroscopic molecule in the solvent. The Navier-Stokes equation for molecules receive a thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}$  from the solvent, which is balanced with the friction force to the molecular motion  $\mathbf{v}$ , with a friction coefficient  $\gamma$ , as

$$\gamma \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{X} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathbf{x}}, \quad (\text{C.22})$$

where  $S$  is the entropy. This equation is identical to our choice of velocity potential as the entropy,  $\mathbf{v}^{(2)} = \nabla \phi = \nabla S$ . This is also related to the linear response theory at present,

$$\mathbf{j} = n\mathbf{v} = L \frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathbf{x}}, \quad (\text{C.23})$$

Einstein considered the non-uniform  $n$ , so that the more careful examination will reveal the relationship between the random walk or the diffusion process, and the process of approaching to the thermal equilibrium. In this respect, the difference between  $\langle \mathbf{x}^2 \rangle \propto Nt$  in the random walk and  $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{eq}})^2 \propto e^{-2\lambda_L t}$  in the process of attarcting to the equilibrium, becomes important.

## Appendix E. Harmonic oscillator

In the end of Subsec. 5.1, we mentioned that with a special choice of parameters, the B-Z reaction can be described by the harmonic oscillators. We examine this simplified model in this Appendix, which is described by the following Hamiltonians and entropy:

$$H_1 = aYZ, \quad (\text{C.24})$$

$$H_2 = Z, \quad (\text{C.25})$$

$$S = \frac{1}{2}bX^2 + cXZ. \quad (\text{C.26})$$

The evolution of the system follows the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamic equation:

$$\dot{X}^i = -\{X^i, H_1, H_2\} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial X^i}. \quad (\text{C.27})$$

For the variables  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $Z$ , this gives the following equations of motion:

$$\dot{X} = -aZ + bX + cZ = (c - a)Z + bX, \quad (\text{C.28})$$

$$\dot{Y} = 0, \quad (\text{C.29})$$

$$\dot{Z} = cX. \quad (\text{C.30})$$

We can express this system in matrix form as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{X} \\ \dot{Z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & c - a \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.31})$$

Differentiating once more gives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{X} \\ \ddot{Z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & c - a \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b^2 + c(c - a) & b(c - a) \\ bc & c(c - a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.32})$$

The eigenvalue equation of this matrix:

$$\lambda^2 - (b^2 + 2c(c - a))\lambda - b^2c(c - a) = 0, \quad (\text{C.33})$$

gives two real eigenvalues,  $\lambda_+$  and  $\lambda_-$ , if  $\lambda_+\lambda_- = -b^2c(c - a) < 0$ . Under this condition  $c(c - a) > 0$ , one of the eigen-values  $\lambda_-$  is negative, whose eigen-mode gives an elliptic trajectory. That is, there exists a conserved quantity  $\tilde{H}_1$ , given by a second order algebraic equation for  $(X, Z)$ .

Since we know  $Y$  is another conserved quantity, which may be denoted by  $\tilde{H}_2$ , we have arrived at the system having two conserved quantities  $(\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2)$ . In

this new choice of conserved quantities, no dissipation appears, which implies  $\dot{S} = 0$ , and the system is described by a pure Nambu dynamics.

This simplified model shows that even with the entropy  $S$ , there is a possibility that by changing the choice of Hamiltonians and entropy, we can elucidate the existence of a conservation law in the non-equilibrium thermodynamics with dissipation. This phenomenon was called "quasi-periodicity" or emergence of "quasi-cycle" in this paper. It is interesting to note that such phenomenon appearing far from the equilibrium, can be analyzed in the Nambu non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

## References

- [1] Onsager, Lars. "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. I." *Physical Review* 37.4 (1931): 405.
- [2] Kondepudi, Dilip, and Ilya Prigogine. "Modern thermodynamics: from heat engines to dissipative structures." John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [3] Stratonovich, Rouslan L. "Nonlinear nonequilibrium thermodynamics I: linear and nonlinear fluctuation-dissipation theorems." Vol. 57. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [4] Frachebourg, Krapivsky and Ben-Naim. "Spatial organization in cyclic Lotka-Volterra systems." *Physical review. E, Statistical physics, plasmas, fluids, and related interdisciplinary topics* 54 6 (1996): 6186-6200 .
- [5] Nambu, Yoichiro. "Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics." *Physical Review D* 7 (1973): 2405-2412.
- [6] P. Glansdorff and I. Prigogine. "On a general evolution criterion in macroscopic physics." *Physica*, 30(2):351 February 1964.
- [7] Yoshimura, Kohei, Artemy Kolchinsky, Andreas Dechant, and Sosuke Ito, "Housekeeping and excess entropy production for general non-linear dynamics", *Physical Review Research*, 5, 013017
- [8] Katagiri, So. "Non-equilibrium thermodynamics as gauge fixing." *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* 2018.9 (2018): 093A02.
- [9] Sugamoto, Akio, Kazuharu Bamba, Tetuya Kawamura, Anna Kuwana, Yusaku Nagata, Mayumi Saitou, "Nambu dynamics and hydrodynamics of granular material", *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, Volume 2021, Issue 12, December 2021, 12C105.
- [10] Takhtajan, Leon. "On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics." *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 160.2 (1994): 295-315.
- [11] Grmela, Miroslav, and Hans Christian Öttinger. "Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. I. Development of a general formalism." *Physical Review E* 56.6 (1997): 6620.
- [12] Öttinger, Hans Christian, and Miroslav Grmela. "Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. II. Illustrations of a general formalism" *Physical Review E* 56.6 (1997): 6633.

- [13] Öttinger, Hans Christian, “Beyond Equilibrium Thermodynamics” (Wiley, Hoboken, 2004).
- [14] Grmela, Miroslav. “GENERIC guide to the multiscale dynamics and thermodynamics.” *Journal of Physics Communications* 2.3 (2018): 032001.
- [15] A. Einstein, *Annalen der Physik* 322, 549 (1905); see the English translation in “Investigation on the Theory of Browning Motion”, Dover Pub., U.S. (2013).
- [16] Hindmarsh, James L., and R. M. Rose. “A model of neuronal bursting using three coupled first order differential equations.” *Proceedings of the Royal society of London. Series B. Biological sciences* 221.1222 (1984): 87-102.
- [17] Bao, Bochong, et al. “Three-dimensional memristive Hindmarsh-Rose neuron model with hidden coexisting asymmetric behaviors.” *Complexity* 2018 (2018).
- [18] Onsager, Lars, and Stefan Machlup. “Fluctuations and irreversible processes.” *Physical Review* 91.6 (1953): 1505.
- [19] Hashitsume, Natsuki. “A statistical theory of linear dissipative systems.” *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 8.4 (1952): 461-478.
- [20] Hashitsume, Natsuki. “A statistical theory of linear dissipative systems, II.” *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 15.4 (1956): 369-413.
- [21] Hashitsume, Natsuki., *Proceedings of the International Conference on Theoretical Physics held in Kyoto*, p. 495 (1953).
- [22] Field, Richard J., Endre Koros, and Richard M. Noyes. “Oscillations in chemical systems. II. Thorough analysis of temporal oscillation in the bromate-cerium-malonic acid system” *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 94.25 (1972): 8649-8664.
- [23] Katagiri, So. “Quantization of Nambu brackets from operator formalisms in classical mechanics” *Work in progress*
- [24] Vilcu, Rodica, Tiberiu Dinu Danciu and Daniela Bala. “The Study of Bray-Liebhafsky Reaction Over a Wide Range of Temperatures. II. Modelling.” *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society* 4 (2000): 55-62.
- [25] Lorenz, Edward Norton. “Deterministic nonperiodic flow.” *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 20 (1963): 130-141.
- [26] Allahverdyan, Armen E. and Theo M. Nieuwenhuizen. “On testing the violation of the Clausius inequality in nanoscale electric circuits.” *Physical Review B* 66 (2002): 115309.
- [27] Zwanzig, Robert. “Nonlinear generalized Langevin equations.” *Journal of Statistical Physics* 9.3 (1973): 215-220.
- [28] Jean Gaston Darboux, “On the Pfaff problem”, *Bull. sci. math.astron.* (2) 6 (1882) pp.14-62, English translation exists.

- [29] Ziegler. Some extremum principles in irreversible thermodynamics, with application to continuum mechanics. Progress in Solid Mechanics, 1963.
- [30] Jaynes, E. T. (1963).“Information Theory and Statistical Mechanics”. In Ford, K. (ed.). Statistical Physics. New York: Benjamin. p. 181
- [31] Jona-Lasinio, Giovanni. “Large fluctuations in non-equilibrium physics.” Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics 30.2 (2023): 253-262.