



COMPUTER SCIENCE
&
DATA SCIENCE

CAPSTONE REPORT - SPRING 2022

ASIR: Robust Agent-based Representation Of SIR Model

Boyan Xu

supervised by
Olivier Marin

Preface

As a student double majoring in Computer Science and Data Science, I have always been attracted by the idea behind computer simulation: modeling the real world in programming language, making statistical inference about the real world. I said yes immediately when professor Olivier Marin told me if I was interested in on building some bridge between compartmental model (which is based on ordinary differential equation) and agent-based model (which is based on computer simulation.) We only have a vague direction at the beginning, but as we went further in the literature, we were more and more certain about we would like to contribute to. Finally, we proposed the agent-based *ASIR* that can robustly reproduce the infection curve predicted by the compartmental SIR Model. We are happy to see that *ASIR* is inspirational for epidemiologists who wish to quickly transform a calibrated SIR model into an agent-based model that retains its prediction without another round of calibration.

Acknowledgements

First, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my parents. They have always been supportive, open-minded, tolerant on every decision I made for myself. While NYU Shanghai's education makes me an intellectually cultivated person, it is their education that gives me my integrity of personality. Then I would like to acknowledge every professor I've met at NYU Shanghai and NYU. They are all professional instructors and considerate professors. Especially, I would like to sincerely acknowledge Professor Olivier Marin for patiently instructing me how to read, think and write academically. I cannot complete my capstone project without his unconditional support and comprehensive instruction. Thank Professor Guyue Liu for encouraging me, and supporting my capstone project during the depressing lockdown. Thank Professor Bruno Abrahao for supervising my research in data science. Finally, I would like to thank all the peers I met at NYU Shanghai, they constitute my undergraduate life. Thank my "dude" Quang Luong for being my best CS professor. Thank my girlfriend Helen Zhang for always staying by my side.

Abstract

*Compartmental models (written as CM) and agent-based models (written as AM) are dominant methods in the field of epidemic simulation. But in the literature there lacks discussion on how to build the **quantitative relationship** between them. In this paper, we propose an agent-based SIR model: ASIR. ASIR can robustly reproduce the infection curve predicted by a given SIR model (the simplest CM.) Notably, one can deduce any parameter of ASIR from parameters of SIR without manual tuning. ASIR offers epidemiologists a method to transform a calibrated SIR model into an agent-based model that inherit SIR's performance without another round of calibration. The design ASIR is inspirational for building a general quantitative relationship between CM and AM.*

Keywords

Computer Simulation; Epidemic Simulation

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Related Work	5
3	Solution	6
3.1	Idea Behind <i>ASIR</i>	6
3.2	Model Specification	8
3.3	Proof of Robustness	12
4	Results	16
4.1	<i>ASIR</i> implementation in GAMA	16
4.2	<i>ASIR</i> implementation in Agents.jl	16
5	Discussion	17
6	Conclusion	18

1 Introduction

Compartmental models (written as *CM*) and agent-based models (written as *AM*) are dominant methods in the field of epidemic simulation.[1]. *CM* capture the **population level** dynamics by a set of ordinary differential equations. *AM* capture the **individual level** dynamics by an agent-based programming environment.

CM and *AM* have complementary nature. *CM* are easy to calibrate but have less flexible parameter space to apply a priori; *AM* have a flexible parameter space to apply a priori, but are hard to calibrate. In the current literature, there lacks discussion on developing the **quantitative relationship** between *CM* and *AM*. Driven by this fact, we wish to bridge the gap between *CM* and *AM* by finding an *AM* that **robustly reproduces the infection curve** predicted by a *CM*.

We start from the *SIR* model (the simplest *CM*): where P_{sir} is the set of all parameters; and functions $S_{sir}(t)$, $I_{sir}(t)$, $R_{sir}(t)$ are the population size of being susceptible, infected and recovered with respect to time t . We propose an agent-based SIR model, *ASIR*, that achieves the following interesting properties:

1. P_{asir} only depends on P_{sir} . Any parameter $p \in P_{asir}$ can be deduced from P_{sir} , i.e. can be written as a determinate expression of $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\} \subset P_{sir}$.
2. *ASIR* robustly reproduces the infection curve predicted by *SIR*. *ASIR* is expected to predict the same $S(t), I(t), R(t)$ as *SIR*, i.e. $\forall t : \mathbb{E}[S_{asir}(t)] = S_{sir}(t); \mathbb{E}[I_{asir}(t)] = I_{sir}(t); \mathbb{E}[R_{asir}(t)] = R_{sir}(t)$

We validate *ASIR*'s properties by giving: 1). a proof of robustness, 2). two implementations in **GAMA** and **Agents.jl**.

2 Related Work

SIR model is the simplest compartmental model (*CM*). In [2], the authors give us an overview of the design behind the compartmental model. From this work, we learned that the core idea of *CM* is to use a set of ordinary differential equations to model the population infection and recovery, and use parameters to control their rate.

In [3], the authors give us an overview of the agent-based simulation's application in the field of epidemiology. From this work, we learned that representation of "space" is what distinguish

agent-based model (*AM*) from *CM*, and drew our attention to the design of agents' **Move** behavior.

In [4], the authors describe a general method for the conversion of an equation-based model to an agent-based simulation. Their method was not built on solid mathematics, but the discussion about the relationship between population behavior and individual behavior has greatly inspired our idea behind *ASIR*. Our model can be seen as translating their rough ideology into rigorous proof in mathematics.

[5] and [6] are the multiagent programming environments we use to implement *ASIR*. Their design is the direct source of our perception of what is agent-based simulation. *ASIR* has been influenced by the concepts of "Agent," "Step," and "Map" that were implemented by [5] and [6].

[7] is the book we used as a reference for the necessary condition for the existence of a Markov chain's stationary distribution.

3 Solution

In this section, we introduce *ASIR* in the following order: 1). idea behind, 2). model specification, and 3). proof of robustness.

3.1 Idea Behind *ASIR*

Let us first briefly recap the design of the *SIR* model.




Figure 1: Diagram of SIR model

The *SIR* model consists of two parameters: $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and three ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\frac{\alpha SI}{N} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{\alpha SI}{N} - \beta I \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \beta I \quad (3)$$

N is the total population size. S is the susceptible population size. I is the infected population size. R is the recovered population size. α controls the transition speed from **S**usceptible into **I**nfected. β controls the transition speed from **I**nfected into **R**ecovered.

Equation 1 models the transition speed of **S**usceptible population size. Equation 2 models the transition speed of **I**nfected population size. Equation 3 models the transition speed of **R**ecovered population size.

The intuitions behind *ASIR* are:

1. **Population infection** is an integral of **individual infection**.
2. **Population recovery** is an integral of **individual recovery**.

To translate these intuitions into mathematics, we adopt the theory of probability by treating population infection/recovery as the joint distribution of individual infection/recovery.

The core ideas behind *ASIR* are:

1. Model individual infection/recovery as **mutually independent and identically distributed random events**.
2. Use parameters to control the **event probability**.
3. The **transition speed** on population-level = the **expected value** of the **integral of event probability** on individual-level.

Notably, since a **S**usceptible individual must be infected by an **I**nfected individual, an individual infection at time $t + 1$ is **conditional** on another individual's infection at time t (or ahead of t). To guarantee **independence** between each individual's infection, we model the movement of every agent (or individuals, we are using these words interchangeably) using the same transition matrix T_{map} . The matrix below shows a simple example where our map consists of three locations:

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{map}} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Store} \\ \text{School} \\ \text{Stop} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} & \text{Store} & \text{School} & \text{Stop} \\ \text{Store} & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\ \text{School} & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.4 \\ \text{Stop} & 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

In this example, coordinate T_{mn} is the probability of moving from m to n . We focus on the period after every agent's trajectory reaches T_{map} 's stationary distribution. We discuss why stationary distribution is critical for robustness later in this section.

In the following subsection, we introduce *ASIR*'s detailed specification in the following order: 1). agents' state, 2). agents' behavior, 3). model's parameter setting.

3.2 Model Specification

Each agent's state can be written as a 3-element tuple: (Timestamp, Health, Position). "An agent a_1 has state $(8, I, \text{School})$ " translates as: "at the 8-th timestamp, a_1 is being Infected at School." In this paper, we will use:

1. (a_k, h_t) or $H_{a_k}^t$ to denote an agent's health at timestamp t ,
2. (a_k, p_t) or $P_{a_k}^t$ to denote an agent's position at timestamp t ,
3. (a_k, h_t, p_t) or $(H_{a_k}^t, P_{a_k}^t)$ to denote an agent's state at timestamp t .

Meaning	Form 1	Form 2
an agent's health at timestamp t	(a_k, h_t)	$H_{a_k}^t$
an agent's position at timestamp t	(a_k, p_t)	$P_{a_k}^t$
an agent's state at timestamp t	(a_k, h_t, p_t)	$(H_{a_k}^t, P_{a_k}^t)$

Table 1: Symbol reference for agent state.

At timestamp t , each agent a_k has three (potential) behaviors:

1. **Move.** a_k moves from p_{t-1} to p_t (which can be the same position as p_{t-1}). Written as:

$$P_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow P_{a_k}^t \quad (5)$$

As mentioned in the introduction, we model the movement of every agent using the same transition matrix T_{map} . The trajectory of every agent forms a Markov chain as the example below shows:




Figure 2: Markov chain of the sample T_{map} 4

We insist on focusing on the period after every agent's position reaches T_{map} 's stationary distribution, because the stationary distribution offers us the following critical property to deduce *ASIR*'s robustness:

Property. *When every agent's position reaches the T_{map} 's stationary distribution, the probability that any two agents **become neighbor** at time t (i.e. stay at the same position p_t) equals to a constant $\mathbb{P}(\text{meetup})$. $\mathbb{P}(\text{meetup})$ is completely determined by T_{map} .*

The proof is trivial. An intuition is that agents' locations are mutually independent and identically distributed, therefore $\forall j, k, m, n, \mathbb{P}(a_k \text{ meets } a_j) = \mathbb{P}(a_m \text{ meets } a_n)$

2. **Turn infected.** When a_k was **Susceptible** before moving to position X , it has a chance to turn infected when there is an "Infected neighbor" at X , or more precisely, $\exists_{a_j \neq a_k} H_{a_j}^t = I, P_t^{a_j} = P_t^{a_k}$. Written as:

$$\left(H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t = S \rightarrow I \mid \exists_{a_j \neq a_k} H_{a_j}^t = I, P_t^{a_j} = P_t^{a_k} \right) \quad (6)$$

or simply:

$$\left(H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t = S \rightarrow I \mid a_k \text{ has an infected neighbor at } t \right) \quad (7)$$




Figure 3: Individual infection process in ASIR model.
 α' is the infection probability

3. **Turn recovered.** When a_k was **Infected** at time $t - 1$ it has a chance to turn **Recovered** at time t . Written as:

$$\left(H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t = I \rightarrow R \mid H_{a_k}^{t-1} = I \right) \quad (8)$$

or simply:

$$H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t = I \rightarrow R \quad (9)$$




Figure 4: Individual recovery process in the ASIR model.
 β' is the recovery probability

As mentioned in the introduction, a core idea supporting *ASIR* to robustly reproduce *SIR*'s prediction is using parameters to control the **event probability**. In our model, there are only two types of random events that involve transition in individual health state $H_{a_k}^t$: 1). **turn**

infected: $(H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t) = (S \rightarrow I)$, and 2). **turn recovered:** $(H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t) = (I \rightarrow R)$.

We are using two parameters $\{\alpha', \beta'\}$ to control their probability in the following way:

1. a_k 's probability of being infected at t is proportional to the count of infected neighbor, with the ratio equals to parameter α'

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t = S \rightarrow I)}{\sum_{a_j \neq a_k} \mathbb{1}_{\{H_{a_j}^t = I, P_t^{a_j} = P_t^{a_k}\}}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{H_{a_k}^{t-1} = S\}} \cdot \alpha' \quad (10)$$

or simply,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t = S \rightarrow I)}{\sum_{a_j} \mathbb{1}_{a_j \text{ is infected neighbor of } a_k \text{ at } t}} = \alpha' \quad (11)$$

Notably, here we are making an assumption that:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(1 < \alpha' * \sum_{a_j} \mathbb{1}_{a_j \text{ is infected neighbor of } a_k \text{ at } t}\right) = 0 \quad (12)$$

This means we are requiring the agent density at any location to be reasonably low in order to reflect the reality that people almost never stay in a place that has absolute causality in terms of infection.

2. An Infected a_k 's probability of being recovered at any time t is constant, which equals to parameter β'

$$\mathbb{P}(H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t = I \rightarrow R) = \mathbb{1}_{\{H_{a_k}^{t-1} = I\}} \cdot \beta' \quad (13)$$

or simply:

$$\mathbb{P}(H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t = I \rightarrow R) = \beta' \quad (14)$$

Based on the *ASIR* specification above, we propose the following theorem:

Theorem 1. (*ASIR Robustness theorem*) *ASIR robustly reproduce the infection curve predicted by SIR, i.e. $\forall t : \mathbb{E}[S_{asir}(t)] = S_{sir}(t); \mathbb{E}[I_{asir}(t)] = I_{sir}(t); \mathbb{E}[R_{asir}(t)] = R_{sir}(t)$, if:*

1. $S_{asir}(0) = S_{sir}(0)$; $I_{asir}(0) = I_{sir}(0)$; $R_{asir}(0) = R_{sir}(0)$, and
2. $\alpha = \alpha' \cdot \mathbb{P}(\text{meetup}) \cdot N$; $\mathbb{P}(\text{meetup})$ is determined by ASIR's transition matrix T_{map} , N is the population size, and
3. $\beta = \beta'$

We will give the proof in the "Proof of Robustness" section.

3.3 Proof of Robustness

Let us give the proof for theorem 1. We write "period $(t, t+k)$ " when we refer to the period since t till $t+k$. We are using " $\Delta S_{sir}|_t^{t+k}$ " to denote the change in $S_{sir}(t)$ during the period $(t, t+k)$:

$$\Delta S_{sir}|_t^{t+k} = S_{sir}(t+k) - S_{sir}(t) \quad (15)$$

$$\Delta I_{sir}|_t^{t+k} = I_{sir}(t+k) - I_{sir}(t) \quad (16)$$

$$\Delta R_{sir}|_t^{t+k} = R_{sir}(t+k) - R_{sir}(t) \quad (17)$$

Similarly, we are using " $\Delta S_{asir}|_t^{t+k}$ " to denote the change in $S_{asir}(t)$ during the period $(t, t+k)$:

$$\Delta S_{asir}|_t^{t+k} = S_{asir}(t+k) - S_{asir}(t) \quad (18)$$

$$\Delta I_{asir}|_t^{t+k} = I_{asir}(t+k) - I_{asir}(t) \quad (19)$$

$$\Delta R_{asir}|_t^{t+k} = R_{asir}(t+k) - R_{asir}(t) \quad (20)$$

$$(21)$$

We prove theorem 1 by proving theorems 2, 3:

Theorem 3 \rightarrow **Theorem 2** \rightarrow **Theorem 1**

Figure 5: Relationship between theorems: theorem 3 implies theorem 2;
theorem 2 implies theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *ASIR robustly reproduce the infection curve predicted by SIR, i.e. " $\forall t : \mathbb{E}[S_{asir}(t)] = S_{sir}(t)$; $\mathbb{E}[I_{asir}(t)] = I_{sir}(t)$; $\mathbb{E}[R_{asir}(t)] = R_{sir}(t)$ ", if for any period $(t, t+k)$:*

1. $\mathbb{E}[\Delta S_{asir}|_t^{t+k}] = \Delta S_{sir}|_t^{t+k}$, and

$$2. \mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{asir}|_t^{t+k}] = \Delta I_{sir}|_t^{t+k}, \text{ and}$$

$$3. \mathbb{E}[\Delta R_{asir}|_t^{t+k}] = \Delta R_{sir}|_t^{t+k}$$

Proof. Take period $(0, t)$,

$$1. \mathbb{E}[S_{asir}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\Delta S_{asir}|_0^t] + S_{asir}(0) = \Delta S_{sir}|_0^t + S_{sir}(0) = S_{sir}(t), \text{ and}$$

$$2. \mathbb{E}[I_{asir}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{asir}|_0^t] + I_{asir}(0) = \Delta I_{sir}|_0^t + I_{sir}(0) = I_{sir}(t), \text{ and}$$

$$3. \mathbb{E}[R_{asir}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\Delta R_{asir}|_0^t] + R_{asir}(0) = \Delta R_{sir}|_0^t + R_{sir}(0) = R_{sir}(t)$$

□

Theorem 3. For any period $(t, t+k)$:

$$1. \mathbb{E}[\Delta S_{asir}|_t^{t+k}] = \Delta S_{sir}|_t^{t+k}$$

$$2. \mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{asir}|_t^{t+k}] = \Delta I_{sir}|_t^{t+k}$$

$$3. \mathbb{E}[\Delta R_{asir}|_t^{t+k}] = \Delta R_{sir}|_t^{t+k}$$

if:

$$1. S_{asir}(0) = S_{sir}(0); I_{asir}(0) = I_{sir}(0); R_{asir}(0) = R_{sir}(0), \text{ and}$$

2. $\alpha = \alpha' \cdot \mathbb{P}(\text{meetup}) \cdot N$; $\mathbb{P}(\text{meetup})$ is determined by ASIR's transition matrix T_{map} , N is the population size, and

$$3. \beta = \beta'$$

Proof. In SIR,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S_{sir}|_t^{t+1} &= \int_t^{t+1} \frac{dS}{dt} dt = -\frac{\alpha}{N} \cdot S_{sir}(t) I_{sir}(t) \cdot \int_t^{t+1} dt \\ &= -\frac{\alpha}{N} \cdot S_{sir}(t) I_{sir}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta R_{sir}|_t^{t+1} &= \int_t^{t+1} \frac{dR}{dt} dt = \beta \cdot I_{sir}(t) \cdot \int_t^{t+1} dt \\ &= \beta \cdot I_{sir}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\Delta I_{sir}|_t^{t+1} = -\Delta S_{sir}|_t^{t+1} - \Delta R_{sir}|_t^{t+1} = \frac{\alpha}{N} \cdot S_{sir}(t) I_{sir}(t) - \beta \cdot I_{sir}(t) \quad (24)$$

In *ASIR*,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\Delta S_{\text{asir}}|_t^{t+1}] &= - \sum_{\{a_k | H_{a_k}^t = S\}} \sum_{\{a_j | H_{a_j}^t = I\}} \mathbb{1}_{(P_t^{a_j} = P_t^{a_k})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(H_{a_k}^t \rightarrow H_{a_k}^{t+1} = S \rightarrow I)} \\
&= - \sum_{\{a_k | H_{a_k}^t = S\}} \sum_{\{a_j | H_{a_j}^t = I\}} \mathbb{P}(\text{meetup}) \cdot \alpha' \\
&= -\alpha' \cdot \mathbb{P}(\text{meetup}) \cdot \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(t)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(t)] \\
&= -\frac{\alpha}{N} \cdot \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(t)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(t)]
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\Delta R_{\text{asir}}|_t^{t+1}] &= \sum_{\{a_k | H_{a_k}^t = I\}} \mathbb{1}_{H_{a_k}^{t-1} \rightarrow H_{a_k}^t = I \rightarrow R} \\
&= \sum_{\{a_k | H_{a_k}^t = I\}} \beta' \\
&= \mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(t)] \cdot \beta'
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{\text{asir}}|_t^{t+1}] &= -\mathbb{E}[\Delta S_{\text{asir}}|_t^{t+1}] - \mathbb{E}[\Delta R_{\text{asir}}|_t^{t+1}] \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{N} \cdot \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(t)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(t)] - \mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(t)] \cdot \beta'
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

When $t = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(1)] &= \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(0)] + \mathbb{E}[\Delta S_{\text{asir}}|_0^1] \\
&= S_{\text{asir}}(0) + \frac{\alpha}{N} \cdot \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(0)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(0)] \\
&= S_{\text{asir}}(0) + \frac{\alpha}{N} \cdot S_{\text{asir}}(0) \cdot I_{\text{asir}}(0) \\
&= S_{\text{asir}}(0) + \Delta S_{\text{asir}}|_0^1 \\
&= S_{\text{asir}}(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[R_{\text{asir}}(1)] &= \mathbb{E}[R_{\text{asir}}(0)] + \mathbb{E}[\Delta R_{\text{asir}} \Big|_0^1] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{\text{asir}}(0)] + \mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(0)] \cdot \beta' \\
&= R_{\text{asir}}(0) + \beta' \cdot I_{\text{asir}}(0) \\
&= R_{\text{sir}}(0) + \beta \cdot I_{\text{sir}}(0) \\
&= R_{\text{sir}}(0) + \Delta R_{\text{sir}} \Big|_0^1 \\
&= R_{\text{sir}}(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(1)] &= N - \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(1)] - \mathbb{E}[R_{\text{asir}}(1)] \\
&= N - S_{\text{sir}}(1) - R_{\text{sir}}(1) \\
&= I_{\text{sir}}(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

When $t > 0$, assume $\mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] = S_{\text{sir}}(t-1)$, $\mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] = I_{\text{sir}}(t-1)$, and $\mathbb{E}[R_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] = R_{\text{sir}}(t-1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(t)] &= \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] + \mathbb{E}[\Delta S_{\text{asir}} \Big|_{t-1}^t] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] - \frac{\alpha'}{N} \cdot \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] \\
&= S_{\text{sir}}(t-1) - \frac{\alpha}{N} \cdot S_{\text{sir}}(t-1) \cdot I_{\text{sir}}(t-1) \\
&= S_{\text{sir}}(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[R_{\text{asir}}(t)] &= \mathbb{E}[R_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] + \mathbb{E}[\Delta R_{\text{asir}} \Big|_{t-1}^t] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] + \mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(t-1)] \cdot \beta' \\
&= S_{\text{sir}}(t-1) + I_{\text{sir}}(t-1) \cdot \beta \\
&= R_{\text{sir}}(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[I_{\text{asir}}(t)] &= N - \mathbb{E}[S_{\text{asir}}(t)] - \mathbb{E}[R_{\text{asir}}(t)] \\
&= N - S_{\text{sir}}(t) - R_{\text{sir}}(t) \\
&= I_{\text{sir}}(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

By mathematical induction, for any period $(t, t+k)$: $\mathbb{E}[\Delta S_{\text{asir}}|_t^{t+k}] = \Delta S_{\text{sir}}|_t^{t+k}$, $\mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{\text{asir}}|_t^{t+k}] = \Delta I_{\text{sir}}|_t^{t+k}$, $\mathbb{E}[\Delta R_{\text{asir}}|_t^{t+k}] = \Delta R_{\text{sir}}|_t^{t+k}$.

□

Proof of Robustness:

Proof. Theorem 3 implies theorem 2; theorem 2 implies theorem 1.

□

4 Results

In this section, we will discuss the outcome of our *imperfect*¹ *ASIR* implementations in two multiagent programming environments: **GAMA** and **Agents.jl**².

4.1 *ASIR* implementation in **GAMA**

Our *ASIR* implementation in **GAMA** uses a small map (50×50 grid) and large population size ($N = 500$.) We observe a perfect reproduction of the corresponding *SIR*'s infection curve. We think this is because $\mathbb{P}(\text{meetup})$ and $\frac{\text{step size}}{\text{map size}}$ is high enough to quickly reach T_{map} 's stationary distribution.

4.2 *ASIR* implementation in **Agents.jl**

However, our *ASIR* implementation in **Agents.jl** with a larger map (100×100 grid) and the same population size ($N = 100$) fails to robustly reproduce the infection curve of the same benchmark *SIR* we used in **GAMA**. (As Figure 7 illustrates.) The initial Infected agents recover before infecting enough Susceptible agents; so both $I_{\text{asir}}(t)$ and $R_{\text{asir}}(t)$ full curves remain flat to 0.

When we play back the trajectory of agents, we find agents are loosely distributed, and their trajectories have few intersections ($\mathbb{P}(\text{meetup}) \approx 0$, $\frac{\text{step size}}{\text{map size}} \approx 0$).

We think this is a counterexample where T_{map} 's stationary distribution can not be reached in finite steps. A primitive explanation is: when $\frac{\text{step size}}{\text{map size}} \approx 0$, positions that are too far away are **nearly inaccessible to each other in finite steps**; for example, an agent will take nearly infinite steps to move from the top-left corner to the bottom-right corner. This breaks one

¹This happened because those implementations were crafted before we mature the model design and completed the *Proof of Robustness*.

²Agents.jl is more flexible and programmable than GAMA. We shift to Agents.jl to examine if the robustness we observed in GAMA retains under different environment.




Figure 6: *ASIR* implementation on **GAMA** perfectly reproduce the infection curve of the given *SIR*. On bottom-left is *ASIR*'s infection curve; on top-right is *SIR*'s infection curve; on bottom-right is a comparison between the two.

of the necessary conditions that "*there is only one communication class*" for T_{map} 's stationary distribution to exist in finite steps.

5 Discussion

In this section, we will discuss the primary imperfection of our *ASIR* implementations.

The primary imperfection of our implementations is: *they does not guarantee agents to reach the T_{map} 's stationary distribution at time $t = 0$* . This is because we did not implement the **Move** behavior in a direct form of transition matrix T_{map} , but as "*at each timestamp t , randomly choose one direction from (up, down, left, right), then move one unit distance accordingly.*" Though theoretically, this implementation of the **Move** behavior has a transition matrix T_{map} representation which has a stationary distribution. The problem is: we cannot determine, after which t , agents' positions will reach T_{map} 's stationary distribution. Also, we have to estimate but not deduce the value of $\mathbb{P}(\text{meetup})$.

As a correction of our present approach, we would implement the **Move** behavior in direct form of T_{map} so that we can 1). guarantee each agents' position will state reach T_{map} 's stationary




Figure 7: *ASIR* implementation on **Agents.jl** fails to reproduce the infection curve of the given *SIR*; both $I_{asir}(t)$ and $R_{asir}(t)$ full curves remain flat to 0.

distribution at $t = 0, 2$). deduce the exact value of $\mathbb{P}(\text{meetup})$.

6 Conclusion

We propose an agent-based *SIR* model, *ASIR*, that achieves the following interesting properties:

1. Parameters of *ASIR* can be deduced from (i.e. written as a determinate expression of) parameters of *SIR*.
2. *ASIR* robustly reproduce the infection curve predicted by *SIR* (i.e. **the expectation values of the population size** of being susceptible, infected and recovered equal to *SIR*'s prediction.)

We validated *ASIR*'s properties by giving: 1). a proof of robustness, 2). two implementations in **GAMA** and **Agents.jl**.

There are two interesting directions to extend our work:

1. Find robust agent-based representations for other compartmental models (*SEIR*, *SEIS*, ...) We could start with describing new types of states and behaviors in the algebraic language we use (like Table 1) and give a proof of robustness.

2. Construct the transition matrix T_{map} based on real data, check if the deduced value of α' comply with intuition. We could start with finding a calibrated *SIR* model in the literature, where both real *infection data* and *transportation data* are applicable; then use MCMC algorithms like Gibbs sampling to construct the according transition matrix T_{map} .

References

- [1] V. Grimm, U. Berger, F. Bastiansen, S. Eliassen, V. Ginot, J. Giske, J. Goss-Custard, T. Grand, S. K. Heinz, G. Huse, A. Huth, J. U. Jepsen, C. Jørgensen, W. M. Mooij, B. Müller, G. Pe'er, C. Piou, S. F. Railsback, A. M. Robbins, M. M. Robbins, E. Rossmanith, N. Rüger, E. Strand, S. Souissi, R. A. Stillman, R. Vabø, U. Visser, and D. L. DeAngelis, “A standard protocol for describing individual-based and agent-based models,” *Ecological Modelling*, vol. 198, no. 1, p. 115–126, 2006.
- [2] A. Menon, N. K. Rajendran, A. Chandrachud, and G. Setlur, “Modelling and simulation of covid-19 propagation in a large population with specific reference to india,” *medRxiv*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/early/2020/05/05/2020.04.30.20086306>
- [3] L. Perez and S. Dragicevic, “An agent-based approach for modeling dynamics of contagious disease spread,” *International Journal of Health Geographics*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 50, Aug 2009. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-8-50>
- [4] M. Crossley and M. Amos, “Simzombie: A case-study in agent-based simulation construction,” in *Agent and Multi-Agent Systems: Technologies and Applications*, J. O’Shea, N. T. Nguyen, K. Crockett, R. J. Howlett, and L. C. Jain, Eds. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 514–523.
- [5] P. Taillandier, B. Gaudou, A. Grignard, Q.-N. Huynh, N. Marilleau, P. Caillou, D. Philippon, and A. Drogoul, “Building, composing and experimenting complex spatial models with the gama platform,” *Geoinformatica*, vol. 23, no. 2, p. 299–322, apr 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10707-018-00339-6>
- [6] G. Datseris, A. R. Vahdati, and T. C. DuBois, “Agents.jl: a performant and feature-full agent-based modeling software of minimal code complexity,” *SIMULATION*, vol. 0, no. 0, p. 003754972110688, Jan. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00375497211068820>
- [7] R. F. Serfozo, “Basics of applied stochastic processes,” 2012.