

# Modulated harmonic wave in series connected discrete Josephson transmission line

Eugene Kogan<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel*

<sup>2</sup>*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme Dresden 01187, Germany*

(Dated: September 19, 2022)

We consider the modulated harmonic wave in the discrete series connected Josephson transmission line (JTTL). We obtain equation describing the modulation amplitude. The equation turns out to be the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The most interesting result following from our calculations is the existence of the dark solitons in the JTTL.

PACS numbers:

## I. DISCRETE JOSEPHSON TRANSMISSION LINE

In our previous publication<sup>1</sup> we considered propagation of the kinks, solitons and shocks along the Josephson transmission line (JTTL). In the present short note we want to consider a different kind of excitations – modulated harmonic waves<sup>2</sup>. In literature, to the best of our knowledge, JTTLs have been discussed in light of continuous wave single-frequency excitation (or single-frequency mixing/amplification, where pump signal and idler are all continuous waves of single-frequency) mainly. An exception to this statement is Ref.<sup>3</sup>. In the latter wave packets are considered (implicitly) in the analysis, but single-frequency excitations are used for visualizing results. Hence the topic of modulated harmonic waves in JTTLs is not very well explored.

We will show that the modulation amplitude is described by the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)<sup>4-6</sup>. The NLS, being the normal form for envelope waves, represents a universal model at the root of an extremely wide range of physical and other natural phenomena and applications. Furthermore, due to its rich and complex phenomenology, the NLS is also a paradigm for nonlinear spatio-temporal dynamics and is at the forefront of intense and challenging mathematical research<sup>6</sup>.

Consider the model of JTTL constructed from identical Josephson junctions (JJ) and capacitors, which is shown on Fig. 1. We take as dynamical variables the phase differences (which we for brevity will call just phases)  $\varphi_n$  across the JJ and the charges  $q_n$  which have passed through the JJ. The circuit equations are

$$\frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{d\varphi_n}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} (q_{n+1} - 2q_n + q_{n-1}), \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{dq_n}{dt} = I_c \sin \varphi_n, \quad (1b)$$

where  $C$  is the capacitance, and  $I_c$  is the critical current of the JJ. Differentiating Eq. (1a) with respect to  $t$  and substituting  $dq_n/dt$  from Eq. (1b), we obtain closed equation for  $\varphi_n$ <sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{d^2\varphi_n}{d\tau^2} = \sin \varphi_{n+1} - 2 \sin \varphi_n + \sin \varphi_{n-1}, \quad (2)$$

where we have introduced the dimensionless time  $\tau = t/\sqrt{L_J C}$ , and  $L_J = \hbar/(2eI_c)$ .




FIG. 1: Discrete JTTL.

In the linear approximation, Eq. (2) takes the form

$$\frac{d^2\varphi_n}{d\tau^2} = \varphi_{n+1} - 2\varphi_n + \varphi_{n-1}. \quad (3)$$

The dispersion law for the eigenmodes of Eq. (3) can be easily found<sup>7</sup>

$$\omega(k) = 2 \left| \sin \left( \frac{k}{2} \right) \right|, \quad k \in (-\pi, \pi]. \quad (4)$$

We will consider a modulated harmonic wave, that is a carrier wave of wave number  $k_0$  (for the sake of definiteness we take  $k_0 > 0$ ) and frequency  $\omega_0 = 2 \sin(k_0/2)$ , modulated by a waveform  $a$ , which varies slowly in time and space compared to the variations of the carrier wave

$$\varphi(n, \tau) = \psi(n, \tau) + \psi^*(n, \tau) = a_n b_n + a_n^* b_n^*, \quad (5)$$

where

$$a_n = a(n, \tau), \quad b_n = e^{i(k_0 n - \omega_0 \tau)}, \quad (6)$$

## II. LINEAR APPROXIMATION

Let us start from analysing Eq. (3). Because the equation is linear, we can substitute only one term in the r.h.s. of (5)

$$\varphi_n = a_n b_n \quad (7)$$

into (3), arriving to

$$\begin{aligned} & a_n \frac{d^2 b_n}{d\tau^2} + 2 \frac{da_n}{d\tau} \frac{db_n}{d\tau} + \frac{d^2 a_n}{d\tau^2} b_n \\ & = a_{n+1} b_{n+1} - 2a_n b_n + a_{n-1} b_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We first focuss on the r.h.s. of (8) and use the elementary formula for the discrete second derivative of the product of two functions

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{n+1} b_{n+1} - 2a_n b_n + a_{n-1} b_{n-1} \\ & = a_n (b_{n+1} - 2b_n + b_{n-1}) + (a_{n+1} - a_n) (b_{n+1} - b_n) \\ & + (a_n - a_{n-1}) (b_n - b_{n-1}) + (a_{n+1} - 2a_n + a_{n-1}) b_n. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then the first term of the r.h.s. of (9) cancels against the  $a_n d^2 b_n / d\tau^2$ -term on the l.h.s. of (8), because  $b_n$  is by itself the solution of (3), and we arrive to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \frac{da_n}{d\tau} \frac{db_n}{d\tau} + \frac{d^2 a_n}{d\tau^2} b_n = (a_{n+1} - a_n) (b_{n+1} - b_n) \\ & + (a_n - a_{n-1}) (b_n - b_{n-1}) + (a_{n+1} - 2a_n + a_{n-1}) b_n \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

or, more explicitly (taking into account (4)),

$$\begin{aligned} -4i \sin\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right) \frac{da_n}{d\tau} + \frac{d^2 a_n}{d\tau^2} & = i \sin k_0 (a_{n+1} - a_{n-1}) \\ & + \cos k_0 (a_{n+1} - 2a_n + a_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In the lowest order approximation we should equate the first terms in the l.h.s. and r.h.s. of (11) thus obtaining

$$\frac{da_n}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right) (a_{n+1} - a_{n-1}) \quad (12)$$

and, hence,

$$\frac{d^2 a_n}{d\tau^2} = \frac{1}{4} \cos^2\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right) (a_{n+2} - 2a_n + a_{n-2}). \quad (13)$$

Substituting (13) into (12) we obtain a discrete linear Schrödinger equation (with an additional convection term that can be removed by a change of frame)

$$\begin{aligned} -4i \sin\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right) \frac{da_n}{d\tau} & = i \sin k_0 (a_{n+1} - a_{n-1}) \\ & + \cos k_0 (a_{n+1} - 2a_n + a_{n-1}) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \cos^2\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right) (a_{n+2} - 2a_n + a_{n-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Substituting continuous variable  $x$  for the discrete variable  $n$  as the argument of  $a$  and expanding around  $x = n$ , we can present the quantities in the parentheses of (14) as

$$a_{n+1} - a_{n-1} = 2 \frac{\partial a}{\partial x}, \quad (15a)$$

$$a_{n+1} - 2a_n + a_{n-1} = \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2}, \quad (15b)$$

$$a_{n+2} - 2a_n + a_{n-2} = 4 \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2}, \quad (15c)$$

and after simple algebra the equation takes the form of the linear Schrödinger equation

$$i \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial \tau} + v_g \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} \right) - D \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (16)$$

where the group velocity  $v_g$  and the coefficient  $D$  are

$$v_g = \cos\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right), \quad D = \frac{1}{4} \sin\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right). \quad (17)$$

When one looks at (16) a natural question appears: If the approximation of the underlying chain of coupled junctions by the quasi-continuum limit is extended, what will be the next-order terms in the resulting equation? We can answer this question by inspection of (15). In case of the extension mentioned above, in the derived linear Schrödinger equation there would appear additional (quasi) drift term with the third derivative with respect to  $x$ , and additional term with the fourth derivative with respect to  $x$ .

Equation (16) has a very simple meaning<sup>2</sup>. Let us write the dispersion law as

$$\omega - \omega_0 = \left( \frac{d\omega(k)}{dk} \right)_0 (k - k_0) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2} \right)_0 (k - k_0)^2, \quad (18)$$

where the derivatives are calculated at  $k = k_0$ . Now let us replace  $k - k_0 = K$  by a spacial operator  $-i\partial/\partial x$ , and  $\omega - \omega_0 = \Omega$  by a temporal operator  $i\partial/\partial\tau$ , and let (18) operate on the complex amplitude function  $\psi$ . We get

$$i \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial \tau} + \left( \frac{d\omega(k)}{dk} \right)_0 \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2} \right)_0 \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (19)$$

which, for the dispersion law (4), exactly coincides with (16).

### III. THE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

Now let us return to Eq. (2). Expanding sine in series and keeping only the two lowest order terms we obtain instead of (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \varphi_n}{d\tau^2} & = \varphi_{n+1} - 2\varphi_n + \varphi_{n-1} \\ & - \frac{1}{6} (\varphi_{n+1}^3 - 2\varphi_n^3 + \varphi_{n-1}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Substituting  $\varphi^3 = (\psi + \psi^*)^3$  and taking into account in the nonlinear term only the first harmonics (the rotating wave approximation), we again have the decoupling of the equations for  $\psi$  and  $\psi^*$ , the former becoming

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \psi_n}{d\tau^2} & = \psi_{n+1} - 2\psi_n + \psi_{n-1} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (|\psi_{n+1}|^2 \psi_{n+1} - 2|\psi_n|^2 \psi_n + |\psi_{n-1}|^2 \psi_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Instead of (10) we obtain

$$2\frac{da_n}{d\tau}\frac{db_n}{d\tau} + \frac{d^2a_n}{d\tau^2}b_n = (a_{n+1} - a_n)(b_{n+1} - b_n) + (a_n - a_{n-1})(b_n - b_{n-1}) + (a_{n+1} - 2a_n + a_{n-1})b_n - \frac{1}{2}d.s.d., \quad (22)$$

where the last term in the r.h.s. of (22) is the discrete second derivative (d.s.d.) of three quantities

$$d.s.d. = a_{n+1}|a_{n+1}|^2b_{n+1} - 2a_n|a_n|^2b_n + a_{n-1}|a_{n-1}|^2b_{n-1}. \quad (23)$$

Among these three quantities ( $a_n$  and  $|a_n|^2$ ) change slowly, and the third quantity ( $b_n$ ) change fast. This is why in the r.h.s. of (23) we can ignore the difference between  $a_n$ ,  $a_{n-1}$  and  $a_{n+1}$  and present the equation as

$$d.s.d. = a_n|a_n|^2(b_{n+1} - 2b_n + b_{n-1}). \quad (24)$$

Proceeding as in Section II, we obtain, instead of (16), the defocusing NLS<sup>4-6,8</sup>

$$i\left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \tau} + v_g \frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\right) - D \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2} + 2D|a|^2a = 0. \quad (25)$$

It would be appropriate to compare the result of the present paper with those of our previous publication<sup>1</sup>. The solitons in the JTL considered by us previously, were characterized by the Josephson phase, asymptotically constant at both ends of the line. The solitons described by the defocusing NLS are the so called dark solitons<sup>9-12</sup> That is the phase asymptotically at both sides of the transmission line corresponds to a (high frequency) harmonic wave. In general, while carrier wave is all important in the present paper, there was no such wave whatsoever in our previous publication<sup>1</sup>.

However, a certain similarity between the dark solitons<sup>9</sup> and the solitons in our previous publication exists. To see this similarity it is enough to look at Fig. 2 borrowed from our previous publication.




FIG. 2: The soliton profile calculated in Ref.<sup>1</sup>.

#### IV. EQUATION (19) DERIVED

The formula (19) can be derived for a general linear equation. Let us present the solution  $\psi(n, \tau)$  of such an

equation, as the Fourier integral

$$\psi(n, \tau) = \int dk \psi_k \exp \{ikn - i\omega(k)\tau\}. \quad (26)$$

From (26) follows

$$a(x, \tau) = \int dk \psi_k \exp \{i(k - k_0)x - i[\omega(k) - \omega_0]\tau\}. \quad (27)$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x$  we obtain

$$i\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = - \int dk \psi_k \exp \{i(k - k_0)x - i[\omega(k) - \omega_0]\tau\} \cdot (k - k_0). \quad (28)$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\tau$  we obtain

$$i\frac{\partial a}{\partial \tau} = \int dk \psi_k \exp \{i(k - k_0)x - i[\omega(k) - \omega_0]\tau\} \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{d\omega(k)}{dk} \right)_0 (k - k_0) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2} \right)_0 (k - k_0)^2 \right], \quad (29)$$

where in the second multiplier of the integrand we made an expansion of  $\omega(k)$  with respect to  $k$  up to the second order. Comparing (29) with (28) we recover Eq. (19).

If we present the complex amplitude  $a$  as

$$a = |a|e^{i\theta}, \quad (30)$$

the complex equation (19) can be presented as two real equations

$$\frac{\partial |a|^2}{\partial \tau} + \left( \frac{d\omega(k)}{dk} \right)_0 \frac{\partial |a|^2}{\partial x} + \left( \frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2} \right)_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( |a|^2 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) = 0, \quad (31a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} + \left( \frac{d\omega(k)}{dk} \right)_0 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2} \right)_0 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 |a|^2}{\partial x^2} \right] = 0. \quad (31b)$$

It is interesting to compare Eq. (31) with the equations borrowed from geometric optics<sup>13</sup>. These equations describe a slowly varying wavetrain by equations determining the propagation of wave number and frequency

$$\frac{\partial |a|^2}{\partial \tau} + \frac{d\omega(k)}{dk} \frac{\partial |a|^2}{\partial x} + \frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2} |a|^2 \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (32a)$$

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} + \frac{d\omega(k)}{dk} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} = 0. \quad (32b)$$

It is convenient to write down Eq. (32) in a more explicit

form by substituting  $k = k_0 + \partial\theta/\partial x$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial|a|^2}{\partial\tau} + \left(\frac{d\omega(k)}{dk}\right)_0 \frac{\partial|a|^2}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2}\right)_0 \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial|a|^2}{\partial x} \\ + \left(\frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2}\right)_0 |a|^2 \frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^2} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (33a)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x\partial\tau} + \left(\frac{d\omega(k)}{dk}\right)_0 \frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^2} + \left(\frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2}\right)_0 \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^2} = 0. \quad (33b)$$

Equation (33a) is identical to (31a). To compare (33b) with (31b), let us differentiate the latter with respect to  $x$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x\partial\tau} + \left(\frac{d\omega(k)}{dk}\right)_0 \frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^2} + \left(\frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2}\right)_0 \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^2} \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2}\right)_0 \frac{\partial^3|a|^2}{\partial x^3} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

In the r.h.s. of Eq. (34) there is an additional term in comparison with (33b), but this term is probably negligible within the framework of the approximations made.

## V. EQUATION (25) "REDERIVED"

The solution of (21) with constant amplitude is

$$\psi = ae^{i(kn-\omega t)}. \quad (35)$$

Substituting (35) into (21) we get the nonlinear dispersion law (assuming  $a \ll 1$ )

$$\omega(k; |a|^2) = 2 \left(1 - \frac{|a|^2}{4}\right) \left|\sin\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\right| = \omega(k) + \omega_2(k)|a|^2, \quad (36)$$

where  $\omega_2 = -|\sin(k/2)|/2$ , and (18) should be modified to

$$\begin{aligned} \omega - \omega_0 = \left(\frac{d\omega(k;0)}{dk}\right)_0 (k - k_0) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d^2\omega(k;0)}{dk^2}\right)_0 (k - k_0)^2 + \omega_2(k)|a|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

If we assume that in the nonlinear case, the formula (27) is modified to a self-consistent equation

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, \tau) = \int dk \psi_k \\ \cdot \exp\{i(k - k_0)x - i[\omega(k; |a|^2) - \omega_0] \tau\}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

than (28) remains as it was in the linear case. Differentiating (38) with respect to  $\tau$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial a}{\partial \tau} = \int dk \psi_k \exp\{i(k - k_0)x - i[\omega(k; |a|^2) - \omega_0] \tau\} \\ \cdot \left[ \left(\frac{d\omega(k)}{dk}\right)_0 (k - k_0) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d^2\omega(k)}{dk^2}\right)_0 (k - k_0)^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial\omega(k; |a|^2)}{\partial|a|^2} |a|^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Comparing (39) with (28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} i \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial \tau} + \left(\frac{\partial\omega(k; a)}{\partial k}\right)_0 \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2\omega(k; a)}{\partial k^2}\right)_0 \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2} \\ - \frac{\partial\omega(k; |a|^2)}{\partial|a|^2} |a|^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

which, for the dispersion law (36), exactly coincides with (25).

## VI. THE FERMI-PASTA-ULAM-TSINGOU PROBLEM

To check up our method it would be appropriate to apply it to the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou (FPUT) problem. The FPUT analog of (20) would be<sup>14,15</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\varphi_n}{d\tau^2} = \varphi_{n+1} - \varphi_n + \varphi_{n-1} - \varphi_n \\ - \frac{1}{6} [(\varphi_{n+1} - \varphi_n)^3 + (\varphi_{n-1} - \varphi_n)^3]. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

In the rotating wave approximation we again have the decoupling of the equations for  $\psi$  and  $\psi^*$ , the former becoming

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\psi_n}{d\tau^2} = \psi_{n+1} - \psi_n + \psi_{n-1} - \psi_n \\ - \frac{1}{2} [|\psi_{n+1}^2| \psi_{n+1} - \psi_{n+1}^2 \psi_n^* - 2|\psi_n^2| \psi_n \\ + \psi_n^2 \psi_{n+1}^* - 2|\psi_{n+1}^2| \psi_n + 2|\psi_n^2| \psi_{n+1} \\ + |\psi_{n-1}^2| \psi_{n-1} - \psi_{n-1}^2 \psi_n^* \\ + \psi_n^2 \psi_{n-1}^* - 2|\psi_{n-1}^2| \psi_n + 2|\psi_n^2| \psi_{n-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Following the example of Section III we again obtain the defocusing NLS

$$i \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial \tau} + v_g \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} \right) - D \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2} + D' |a|^2 a = 0, \quad (43)$$

only this time

$$\begin{aligned} D' = \frac{1}{8b_n \sin\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)} (3b_{n+1} + 3b_{n-1} - b_{n+1}^2 b_n^* \\ - b_{n-1}^2 b_n^* - 6b_n + b_n^2 b_{n+1}^* + b_n^2 b_{n-1}^*). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

After simple algebra we obtain

$$D' = 2 \sin^3\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right). \quad (45)$$

Equation (43) exactly coincides with the result of Ref.<sup>16</sup>, in the appropriate particular case. (In that Reference, equation more general than (41) is considered.)

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

The main result which follows from our calculations is the existence of dark solitons in the JTL. A dark soliton is a nonlinear solitary wave having the form of a density dip with a phase jump across its density minimum. This localized nonlinear wave exists on top of a stable continuous (or extended finite-width) background. Dark solitons are the most fundamental nonlinear excitations of

the universal NLS model with a defocusing nonlinearity, and, as such, they have been studied in diverse branches of physics<sup>6</sup>.

### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to M. Goldstein, G. James and B. Malomed for their insightful comments.

---

\* Electronic address: Eugene.Kogan@biu.ac.il

<sup>1</sup> E. Kogan, Phys. Status Solidi B, 2200160 (2022).

<sup>2</sup> M. Remoissenet, *Waves Called Solitons: Concepts and Experiments*, Third Edition, Corrected Second Printing, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg GmbH (2003).

<sup>3</sup> A. L. Grimsmo and A. Blais, NPJ—Quantum Inf. **3**:20 (2017).

<sup>4</sup> A. M. Kosevich, A. S. Kovalev, *Introduction into Nonlinear Physical Mechanics*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1989).

<sup>5</sup> Yu. S. Kivshar and B. Luther-Davies, Phys. Rep. **298**, 81 (1998).

<sup>6</sup> P. G. Kevrekidis, D. J. Frantzeskakis, and R. Carretero-Gonzalez, *The defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation: from dark solitons to vortices and vortex ring*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (2015).

<sup>7</sup> C. Kittel, P. McEuen, and P. McEuen, *Introduction to solid state physics* (Vol. 8), New York: Wiley (1996).

<sup>8</sup> V. I. Karpman, *Nonlinear Waves in Dispersive Media*, Pergamon Press (1975)

<sup>9</sup> V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat, Sov. Phys. JETP **37**, 823 (1973).

<sup>10</sup> D. J. Frantzeskakis, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **43**, 213001 (2010).

<sup>11</sup> A. Biswas and D. Milovic, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation **15**, 1473, (2010).

<sup>12</sup> H. Triki, T. Hayat, O. M. Aldossary, and A. Biswas, Optics & Laser Technology, **44**, 2223 (2012).

<sup>13</sup> G. B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York (1999).

<sup>14</sup> G. James, J. Nonlinear Sci. **13**, 273 (2003).

<sup>15</sup> G. James, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 014301 (2004).

<sup>16</sup> N. Flytzanis, St. Pnevmatikos, and M. Remoissenet, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **18**, 4603 (1985).