

List recoloring of planar graphs

L. Sunil Chandran^a, Uttam K. Gupta^b, Dinabandhu Pradhan^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Computer Science and Automation
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics & Computing
Indian Institute of Technology (ISM), Dhanbad

Email: sunil@iisc.ac.in; ukumargpt@gmail.com; dina@iitism.ac.in

Abstract

A list assignment L of a graph G is a function that assigns to every vertex v of G a set $L(v)$ of colors. A proper coloring α of G is called an L -coloring of G if $\alpha(v) \in L(v)$ for every $v \in V(G)$. For a list assignment L of G , the L -recoloring graph $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ of G is a graph whose vertices correspond to the L -colorings of G and two vertices of $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ are adjacent if their corresponding L -colorings differ at exactly one vertex of G . A d -face in a plane graph is a face of length d . Dvořák and Feghali conjectured for a planar graph G and a list assignment L of G , that: (i) If $|L(v)| \geq 10$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then the diameter of $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ is $O(|V(G)|)$. (ii) If G is triangle-free and $|L(v)| \geq 7$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then the diameter of $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ is $O(|V(G)|)$. In a recent paper, Cranston (European J. Combin. (2022)) has proved (ii). In this paper, we prove the following results. Let G be a plane graph and L be a list assignment of G .

- If for every 3-face of G , there are at most two 3-faces adjacent to it and $|L(v)| \geq 10$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then the diameter of $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ is at most $190|V(G)|$.
- If for every 3-face of G , there is at most one 3-face adjacent to it and $|L(v)| \geq 9$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then the diameter of $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ is at most $13|V(G)|$.
- If the faces adjacent to any 3-face have length at least 6 and $|L(v)| \geq 7$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then the diameter of $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ is at most $242|V(G)|$. This result strengthens the Cranston's result on (ii).

As an additional result, we show that if the independence number of a k -colorable graph G is at most p and L is a list assignment of G such that $L(v) = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ for every $v \in V(G)$, where $\ell \geq \lfloor \frac{p \cdot k}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then the diameter of $\mathcal{G}(G, \ell)$ is at most $4|V(G)|$. We further show that if $\ell < \lfloor \frac{p \cdot k}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ can be a disconnected graph.

Keywords: Recoloring graphs, Recoloring diameter, Discharging method, Planar graphs

*Corresponding author.

1 Introduction

All the graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple, and undirected. For a graph G , we use $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ to denote the vertex set and the edge set of G , respectively. Consider a problem with several feasible solutions. The *reconfiguration problems* deal with transforming one feasible solution of the considered problem to another by taking small steps. Each step of transformation is taken over the feasible solutions. The reconfiguration problems have applications in statistical physics and optimization (see [19, 20, 23]).

When the reference problem is graph coloring, the reconfiguration problems are generally known as *recoloring problems*. A (*proper*) k -*coloring* of a graph G is an assignment of colors from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ of colors to the vertices of G such that the adjacent vertices do not receive the same color. A graph G is k -*colorable* if there exists a k -coloring of G . For a k -colorable graph G , the k -*recoloring graph* $\mathcal{G}(G, k)$ is a graph whose vertices correspond to the k -colorings of G and two vertices of $\mathcal{G}(G, k)$ are adjacent if their corresponding k -colorings of G differ at exactly one vertex of G . We use $\text{diam}(G)$ to denote the diameter of a graph G . The k -*recoloring diameter* of a k -colorable graph G is $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k))$. We simply write recoloring diameter instead of k -recoloring diameter if the context of the k -recoloring graph is clear.

Two immediate questions about reconfiguration problems are the following: (Q1) Can we always reach from one feasible solution of the given problem to another? (Q2) What is the minimum number of steps required if the answer to Q1 is affirmative? These questions are important, for example in random sampling: an affirmative answer to Q1 ensures the ergodicity of the Markov chain. The answer to Q2 provides a lower bound on the mixing time of the underlying Markov chain (see [9, 17]). In the recoloring problems, Q1 and Q2 are equivalent to the questions whether (i) the concerned recoloring graph is connected, and (ii) if it is connected, then what is the best upper bound on the recoloring diameter. For every $k \geq 2$, there exists a k -colorable graph G (for example, consider the complete graph K_k on k vertices) with two k -colorings c_1 and c_2 such that there is no path between the two vertices corresponding to c_1 and c_2 in $\mathcal{G}(G, k)$. In case of the complete graph K_k , it can be seen that $\mathcal{G}(K_k, k)$ consists of only isolated vertices. On the other hand, for every $k \geq 4$, there exists some k -colorable graph G such that $\mathcal{G}(G, k)$ may be disconnected, but some of the connected components of $\mathcal{G}(G, k)$ have diameter superpolynomial in $|V(G)|$ [4]. In view of these observations, the study of upper bounds on recoloring diameter of graphs in the most general setting does not make sense.

A graph G is d -degenerate if for every subgraph H of G , there exists a vertex $v \in V(H)$ such that $d_H(v) \leq d$, where $d_H(v)$ is the degree of v in H . If we restrict ourselves to the class of d -degenerate graphs, some upper bounds on the k -recoloring diameter can be obtained usually for larger values of k . Cereceda et al. [8] and Dyer et al. [14] independently proved that if G is a d -degenerate graph, then $\mathcal{G}(G, k)$ is connected for every $k \geq d + 2$. The bound $k \geq d + 2$ is best possible since for $k = d + 1$, the complete graph K_{d+1} is d -degenerate and $\mathcal{G}(K_{d+1}, d + 1)$ consists of only isolated vertices. Cereceda et al. [8] proved an exponential upper bound on $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k))$ in terms of $|V(G)|$; however, Cereceda [7] conjectured the following.

Conjecture 1 (Cereceda’s conjecture). *Let G be a d -degenerate graph and $k \geq d + 2$. Then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k))$ is $O(|V(G)|^2)$.*

Note that in the case of chordal graphs, Conjecture 1 is equivalent to the statement that for every $k \geq \chi(G) + 1$, $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k))$ is $O(|V(G)|^2)$ since degeneracy of a chordal graph G is equal to $\chi(G) - 1$. This statement is proved in [2].

Though Conjecture 1 is still open, researchers have already proved some weaker versions of the conjecture. Bousquet and Heinrich [6] proved that if G is a d -degenerate graph and $k \geq d + 2$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k)) = O(|V(G)|^{d+1})$. They also proved that if $k \geq \frac{3}{2}(d + 1)$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k)) = O(|V(G)|^2)$. For every $k = d + 2$, Cereceda et al. [7] had proved that there exists a family \mathcal{F} of d -degenerate graphs such that for every $G \in \mathcal{F}$, $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k))$ is $\Omega(|V(G)|^2)$. This shows the tightness of Conjecture 1 for $k = d + 2$. Bartier et al. [1] conjectured that if G is a d -degenerate graph and $k \geq d + 3$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k)) = O(|V(G)|)$. Bousquet and Perarnau [5] proved the following result.

Lemma 1 ([5]). *If G is a d -degenerate graph and $k \geq 2d + 2$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k)) \leq (d + 1)|V(G)|$.*

One of the most celebrated class of graphs of constant degeneracy is the class of planar graphs: it is well known that the planar graphs are 5-degenerate and triangle-free planar graphs are 3-degenerate. Bousquet and Heinrich [6] proved that if G is a planar bipartite graph, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, 5)) = O(|V(G)|^2)$ confirming the Cereceda’s conjecture for the class of planar bipartite graphs. Recently, Cranston and Mahmoud [11] strengthened this result by proving that if G is a planar graph with no 3-cycles and no 5-cycles, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, 5)) = O(|V(G)|^2)$. The *girth* of a graph G is the length of a smallest cycle in G . Bartier et al. [1] proved that if G is a planar graph of girth at least 6, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, 5)) = O(|V(G)|)$, and if G is a planar graph of girth at least 5, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, 4)) < \infty$.

Class/No. of Colors	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Planar graphs of girth at least 6	$O(n^3)$ [6]	$O(n)$ [1]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]
Planar graphs of girth at least 5	$< \infty$	$O(n(\log(n))^2)$ [15]	$O(n(\log(n))^2)$ [15]	$O(n)$ [13]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]
Planar bipartite graphs	∞	$O(n^2)$ [6]	$O(n(\log(n))^3)$ [15]	$O(n)$ [13]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]
Planar graph with no 3-cycles and no 5-cycles	∞	$O(n^2)$ [11]	$O(n(\log(n))^3)$ [15]	$O(n)$ [13]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]
Triangle-free planar graph	∞	$O(n^4)$ [6]	$O(n(\log(n))^3)$ [15]	$O(n)$ [13]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]	$O(n)$ [5]
Planar graph	∞	∞	∞	$O(n^6)$ [6]	$O(n(\log(n))^5)$ [15]	$O(n(\log(n))^5)$ [15]	$O(n)$ [13]

Table 1: Best known upper bounds on the recoloring diameters of the graphs on n vertices in the corresponding classes.

Since every planar graph G is 5-degenerate, by Lemma 1, $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k)) \leq 6|V(G)|$ if $k \geq 12$. Again since every triangle-free planar graph G is 3-degenerate, by Lemma 1, $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k)) \leq 4|V(G)|$ if

$k \geq 8$. Dvořák and Feghali [13] improved these bounds by showing that for a planar graph G , $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, 10)) \leq 8|V(G)|$, and for a triangle-free planar graph G , $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, 7)) \leq 7|V(G)|$. We refer to Table 1 for the known upper bounds on the recoloring diameter of the graphs in some well known classes of graphs to the best of our knowledge. Dvořák and Feghali [13] conjectured that their results on planar graphs and triangle-free planar graphs can be generalized to list coloring.

List version: A *list assignment* L of a graph G is a function that assigns to every vertex of G a set $L(v)$ of colors. A proper coloring α is called an *L -coloring* of G if $\alpha(v) \in L(v)$ for every $v \in V(G)$. For a list assignment L of G , the *L -recoloring graph* $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ of G is a graph whose vertices correspond to the L -colorings of G and two vertices of $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ are adjacent if their corresponding L -colorings differ at exactly one vertex of G .

Conjecture 2 ([13]). *Let G be a planar graph and L be a list assignment of G .*

- (a) *If $|L(v)| \geq 10$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) = O(|V(G)|)$.*
- (b) *If G is triangle-free and $|L(v)| \geq 7$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) = O(|V(G)|)$.*

Recently, Cranston [10] settled Conjecture 2(b) by proving that if G is a triangle-free planar graph and L is a list assignment of G such that $|L(v)| \geq 7$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 30(|V(G)|)$. Bartier et al. [1] proved a weakening of Conjecture 2(a) by showing that if G is a planar graph and L is a list assignment of G such that $|L(v)| \geq 11$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 100(|V(G)|)$.

1.1 Our results

A *plane embedding* of a planar graph G is an embedding of G in a plane such that the edges of G do not cross each other except at the endpoints. A planar graph with a plane embedding is called a *plane graph*. A face f of a plane graph G is a k -face (respectively, k^+ -face/ k^- -face), if the length of f is k (respectively, at least k / at most k). Let $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{G \mid G \text{ is a planar graph and } G \text{ has a plane embedding such that for every 3-face of } G, \text{ there are at most two 3-faces adjacent to it}\}$. Note that for any plane graph G and any 3-face f of G , there are at most three 3-faces adjacent to f . In our first result, we prove a weakening of Conjecture 2(a) by showing that Conjecture 2(a) holds for the graphs in the class \mathcal{G}_1 .

Theorem 1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and L be a list assignment of G such that $|L(v)| \geq 10$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 190|V(G)|$.*

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 = \{G \mid G \text{ is a planar graph and } G \text{ has a plane embedding such that for every 3-face of } G, \text{ there is at most one 3-face adjacent to it}\}$. Clearly, \mathcal{G}_2 is a proper subclass of \mathcal{G}_1 . In our second result, we prove that list size 9 is sufficient for the graphs in the class \mathcal{G}_2 to ensure a linear upper bound on the diameter of the recoloring graphs.

Theorem 2. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$ and L be a list assignment of G such that $|L(v)| \geq 9$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 13|V(G)|$.*

Let $\mathcal{G}_3 = \{G \mid G \text{ is a planar graph and } G \text{ has a plane embedding such that the faces adjacent to any 3-face of } G \text{ are } 6^+\text{-faces}\}$. Clearly, \mathcal{G}_3 is a proper subclass of \mathcal{G}_2 . In our third result, we prove that list size 7 is sufficient for the graphs in the class \mathcal{G}_3 to ensure a linear upper bound on the diameter of the recoloring graphs.

Theorem 3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$ and L be a list assignment of G such that $|L(v)| \geq 7$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 242|V(G)|$.*

Note that the class \mathcal{G}_3 is a superclass of the class of triangle-free planar graphs. So Theorem 3 generalizes the result given by Cranston [10] that confirms Conjecture 2(b). Cranston used the discharging method to obtain a useful structural result for triangle-free planar graphs. Then he used the structural result to obtain a linear upper bound on the recoloring diameter of triangle-free planar graphs. To prove Theorem 3, we also use the discharging method to obtain a structural result for the graphs in the class \mathcal{G}_3 (see Theorem 8). However, our discharging method differs from that of Cranston in the sense that we use the balanced charging method to assign charges to the vertices and the faces of the graph (see Subsection 1.3) whereas Cranston used the vertex charging method. It turns out that the collection of unavoidable configurations obtained by Cranston is a proper subset of our collection of unavoidable configurations.

For a positive integer $p \geq 3$, we say that a planar graph G is without p -cycles if G does not contain any p -cycle as a subgraph. The following lemma which is useful to study the recoloring diameter of planar graphs without p -cycles follows from a result of Cranston [10]:

Lemma 2 ([10]). *Let G be a d -degenerate graph and L be a list assignment of G . If $|L(v)| \geq 2d + 2$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq c \cdot |V(G)|$, where c is an integer such that $\lceil \frac{dc}{d+1} \rceil + 1 \leq c$.*

It is known that for any $p \in \{3, 5, 6\}$, the planar graph G without p -cycles is 3-degenerate (see [16, 24]). Therefore, Lemma 2 is applicable if $|L(v)| \geq 8$ for every $v \in V(G)$ and c is fixed as 4. It follows that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 4|V(G)|$ for such graphs. A graph G is said to be without mutually adjacent C_1 -, C_2 -, and C_3 -cycles if G does not contain the configuration involving C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 such that each pair of C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 are adjacent. Recently, Sittitrai and Nakprasit [22] have shown that a planar graph G without mutually adjacent 3-, 5-, and 6-cycles is 3-degenerate. Therefore, Lemma 2 is applicable if $|L(v)| \geq 8$ for every $v \in V(G)$ and c is fixed as 4. It follows that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 4|V(G)|$ for such graphs. Note that the class of planar graphs without mutually adjacent 3-, 5-, and 6-cycles is a superclass of planar graphs without p -cycles for some $p \in \{3, 5, 6\}$. Therefore, this result generalizes the previous results. To the best of our knowledge, no result is known about the 3-degeneracy of the planar graphs without 4-cycles. In our fourth result, we fill this gap by proving that list size 8 is sufficient for the planar graph without 4-cycles to ensure a linear upper bound on the diameter of the recoloring graph.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a planar graph without 4-cycles and L be a list assignment of G such that $|L(v)| \geq 8$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 29|V(G)|$.*

For a graph G , a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is an *independent set* of G if the vertices of S are pairwise non-adjacent in G . The independence number of a graph G is the maximum cardinality of an independent

set of G . Recently, Merkel [18] proved that if G is a k -colorable graph with the independence number at most 2, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k+1)) \leq 4|V(G)|$. We generalize the result of Merkel by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a k -colorable graph with the independence number at most p . Then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, \ell)) \leq 4|V(G)|$ when $\ell \geq \lfloor \frac{p \cdot k}{2} \rfloor + 1$. Moreover, for $\ell = \lfloor \frac{p \cdot k}{2} \rfloor$, there exists a k -colorable graph G' with the independence number at most p such that $\mathcal{G}(G', \ell)$ is disconnected.*

1.2 Preliminaries

For a plane graph G , we use $F(G)$ to denote the set of faces of G . For a vertex v of a graph G , we use $d_G(v)$ to denote the degree of v in G . For a plane graph G and a face $f \in F(G)$, we use $d_G(f)$ to denote the length of it, that is the number of edges incident on its boundary. Note that any cut edge incident to f contributes 2 to $d_G(f)$. The *open neighborhood* of a vertex v , denoted by $N_G(v)$, is the set of vertices adjacent to v . We use $d(v)$, $d(f)$, and $N(v)$ to denote $d_G(v)$, $d_G(f)$, and $N_G(v)$, respectively if the context of the graph is clear. Let k be a non-negative integer. A k -*vertex* is a vertex of degree k . A k^+ -*vertex* (respectively k^- -*vertex*) is a vertex of degree at least (respectively at most) k . A $k/k^+/k^-$ -*neighbor* of a vertex v is a neighbor of v that is a $k/k^+/k^-$ -vertex. Let G be a plane graph and $f \in F(G)$. The face f is a k -*face* if $d(f) = k$. The face f is a k^+ -*face* (resp. k^- -*face*) if the degree of f is at least (resp. at most) k . Let G be a graph and $S \subseteq V(G)$. We use $G[S]$ to denote the subgraph of G induced by the vertices of S and $G - S$ to denote the subgraph $G[V(G) \setminus S]$. In particular, if $S = \{v\}$, then we simply write $G - v$ to denote $G - S$. Given a path $P = v_1v_2 \dots v_p$ and two vertices $v, w \notin V(P)$ such that v is adjacent to v_1 and w is adjacent to v_p , we use vP and Pw to denote the paths $vv_1v_2 \dots v_p$ and $v_1v_2 \dots v_pw$, respectively.

Let G be a L -colorable graph, where L is a list assignment of G . Let α and β be two L -colorings of G . A *recoloring sequence* σ_G from α to β is a path in $\mathcal{G}(G, L)$ between the vertices corresponding to α and β ; clearly, this path corresponds to a sequence of L -colorings of G such that two consecutive L -colorings differ at exactly one vertex of G . For a subgraph H of G , we use $\alpha|_H$ to denote the restriction of α to H . Let σ_H be a recoloring sequence of H from $\alpha|_H$ to $\beta|_H$. Now $\sigma_G = \alpha^0\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^t$, with $\alpha^0 = \alpha$ and $\alpha^t = \beta$, is an extension of σ_H to G if σ_H is obtained from $\alpha|_H^0\alpha|_H^1 \dots \alpha|_H^t$ by deleting all but exactly one term from any subsequence of consecutive terms that repeat themselves. Note that if a vertex of H is recolored k times in σ_H , then it is recolored exactly k times in any extension σ_G of it. We refer to [12] for the graph theoretic notations that are not defined here.

Lemma 3 ([1, 10]). *Let L be a list assignment of a graph G^* , α and β be two L -colorings of G^* , and $G^{**} = G^* - v$, where v is a vertex of G^* such that $|L(v)| \geq d_{G^*}(v) + 2$. Let $\sigma_{G^{**}}$ be a recoloring sequence from $\alpha|_{G^{**}}$ to $\beta|_{G^{**}}$ and t be the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N_{G^*}(v)$ in $\sigma_{G^{**}}$. Then $\sigma_{G^{**}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_{G^*} from α to β that recolors v at most $\lceil \frac{t}{|L(v)| - d_{G^*}(v) - 1} \rceil + 1$ times.*

1.3 Balanced charging

To prove Theorem 1-3, we use the discharging method. By the discharging method, we obtain some unavoidable configurations in the graphs of the considered classes. These unavoidable configurations allow us to use Lemma 3 to obtain a recoloring sequence of size linear in the number of vertices.

Balanced charging is a well known method to assign charges to the vertices and the faces of a plane graph G . Each vertex $v \in V(G)$ is assigned the charge $d(v) - 4$, and each face $f \in F(G)$ is assigned the charge $d(f) - 4$. Let $ch(G)$ denote the total sum of the charges assigned to the vertices and the faces of G . That is,

$$ch(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d(v) - 4) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (d(f) - 4).$$

Since every edge of G contributes 2 to the vertex degree sum and 2 to the face length sum, we have $\sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) = 2|E(G)|$ and $\sum_{f \in F(G)} d(f) = 2|E(G)|$. So we have

$$ch(G) = (2|E(G)| - 4|V(G)|) + (2|E(G)| - 4|F(G)|) = -4(|V(G)| + |F(G)| - |E(G)|).$$

Hence by Euler's formula, we have $ch(G) = -8$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

In a plane graph, we use *diamond* to denote the configuration of two adjacent 3-faces with only one edge common. Given a diamond, we use *mid-edge* to denote the common edge of the adjacent 3-faces. A diamond is said to be incident to a vertex v if the mid-edge of the diamond is incident to v . Let G be a plane graph and $v \in V(G)$. A diamond incident to v is said to be a *special diamond* if an edge of the diamond that is incident to v (other than the mid-edge) is also incident to a 4^+ -face. In Figure 1(a), the diamond induced by $\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a special diamond incident to v whereas the diamond induced by $\{v, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ is not a special diamond incident to v . Let $w_G^t(v)$ and $w_G^d(v)$ denote the total number of 3-faces and the total number of special diamonds incident to v , respectively and let $w_G(v) = w_G^t(v) + w_G^d(v)$.

Observation 1. *Given a plane graph G and a fixed vertex v of G , there exists a plane graph G' such that (a) $d_{G'}(v) = d_G(v)$, (b) there are $d_{G'}(v)$ distinct faces incident to v in G' , and (c) $w_{G'}(v) = w_G(v)$.*

Proof. Assume that there are less than $d_G(v)$ distinct faces incident to v . Then we move around v in the clockwise manner and visit the edges incident to v . Consider a circle C with the centre v and sufficiently small radius, say ϵ . Assume that there are two consecutive edges vx and vy incident to a 4^+ -face f_1 . Then we add a vertex u in the inner region of the sector $x'vy'$, where x' and y' are the points on the intersection of C with the edges vx and vy , respectively. Then add edges ux and uy such that ux and uy are drawn closely to vx and vy , respectively (see Figure 1(b)). Let G' be the resulting graph. Note that the motivation of such a construction of G' is to ensure that G' is a plane




Figure 1: The faces f, f_1 , and f_2 are 4^+ -faces.

graph. Further note that $d_{G'}(v) = d_G(v)$ and there are exactly $d_{G'}(v)$ distinct faces incident to v . Moreover, since the total number of 3-faces and special diamonds incident to v remains the same, we have $w_{G'}(v) = w_G(v)$. \square

Lemma 4. *Let G be a plane graph and $v \in V(G)$ such that $d_G(v) \geq 7$. Then $w_G(v) \leq 3(d_G(v) - 4)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, by Observation 1, we may assume that there are $d_G(v)$ distinct faces incident to v . We use induction on $d_G(v)$ to prove that for any plane graph G and $v \in V(G)$ with $d_G(v) \geq 7$, we have $d_G(v) \leq 3(d_G(v) - 4)$.

To show the base case, assume that G is a plane graph and $v \in V(G)$ with $d_G(v) = 7$. We need to show that $w_G(v) \leq 9$. If all the faces incident to v are 3-faces, then there is no special diamond incident to v and hence $w_G(v) = w_G^t(v) = 7 < 9$. Assume that there is exactly one 4^+ -face incident to v . Then there are six 3-faces incident to v and hence $w_G^t(v) = 6$. Note that the 4^+ -face causes two diamonds incident to v to be the special diamonds. So $w_G^d(v) = 2$ and hence $w_G(v) = 2 + 6 = 8$. Now assume that there are at least two 4^+ -faces incident to v . Then there are at most five 3-faces incident to v and hence $w_G^t(v) \leq 5$. Note that an edge incident to both v and a 4^+ -face cannot be the mid-edge of a special diamond incident to v . Since there are at least two 4^+ -faces incident to v , at least three edges incident to v are not mid-edges of special diamonds incident to v . So at most four edges incident to v are the mid-edges of special diamonds incident to v and hence there are at most four special diamonds incident to v . So we have $w_G^d(v) \leq 4$. Hence $w_G(v) = w_G^t(v) + w_G^d(v) \leq 5 + 4 = 9$. Therefore, the base case of the induction is true.

Now assume that the induction hypothesis is true when $d_G(v) < k$, that is for any plane graph G and any vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $7 \leq d(v) < k$, we have $w_G(v) \leq 3(d_G(v) - 4)$. Let $d_G(v) = k$. We need to show that $w_G(v) \leq 3(k - 4)$. If there is no special diamond incident to v , then $w_G^d(v) = 0$ and $w_G^t(v) \leq d_G(v) = k$ and hence $w_G(v) \leq k \leq 3(k - 4)$. So assume that there is a special diamond

induced by $\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ with vv_2 as the mid-edge. Now consider a plane graph G' obtained by removing the edge vv_2 and adding the edge v_1v_3 in G . Since $d_{G'}(v) = k - 1$, by the induction hypothesis, $w_{G'}(v) \leq 3(k - 1 - 4) = 3(k - 5)$. Note that if vv_1 is the mid-edge of a special diamond incident to v in G , then vv_1 is also the mid-edge of a special diamond incident to v in G' . Similarly, if vv_3 is the mid-edge of a special diamond incident to v in G , then vv_3 is also the mid-edge of a special diamond incident to v in G' . So v has at most one more mid-edge of a special diamond, that is possibly vv_2 , and one more 3-face incident to v in G than in G' . So $w_G(v) - w_{G'}(v) \leq 2$. Hence $w_G(v) \leq w_{G'}(v) + 2 \leq 3(k - 5) + 2 < 3(k - 4)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square




Figure 2: The numbers next to the vertices represent their degrees and f is a 4^+ -face. In (c), we partially represent the discharging Rule R_3 given in the proof of Theorem 6.

Theorem 6. *If $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and $\delta(G) \geq 5$, then G contains a diamond with three vertices of degree 5 and one vertex of degree at most 6 (see Figure 2(a)-(b)).*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and $\delta(G) \geq 5$. Consider a plane embedding of G in which for each 3-face of G , there are at most two 3-faces adjacent to it. For the sake of contradiction, assume that G does not contain the configuration H , where H is a diamond with three vertices of degree 5 and one vertex of degree at most 6 (see Figure 2(a)-(b)). Note that two 3-faces cannot share more than one edge since $\delta(G) \geq 5$. Assign charges to the vertices and the faces of G using the balanced charging method (see Subsection 1.3). Now we re-allocate the charges using the following discharging rules.

R_1 : Every vertex sends charge $1/3$ to every 3-face incident to it.

R_2 : Let v be a 6-vertex. If there is a 5-vertex u such that uv is the mid-edge of a special diamond incident to v , then v sends charge $1/6$ to u .

R_3 : Let v be a 7^+ -vertex. If there is a 5-vertex u such that uv is the mid-edge of a special diamond incident to v , then v sends charge $1/3$ to u . In Figure 2(c), Rule R_3 is illustrated.

Now we show that at the end of the discharging process, every vertex and every face has non-negative charge to obtain a contradiction to the fact that $ch(G) = -8$.

3-face: Let f be a 3-face. Initially, f had charge -1 . Since f gets charge $1/3$ from each of its incident vertices by Rule R_1 , f has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

4⁺-face: Let f be a 4⁺-face. Initially, f had non-negative charge. Since f neither gains nor loses any charge during the discharging process, its charge remains the same, that is non-negative.

5-vertex: Let v be a 5-vertex of G . Initially, v had charge 1. If there are at most three 3-faces incident to v , then v loses charge at most $3 \cdot (1/3) = 1$ and hence has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process. So we may assume that there are at least four 3-faces incident to v .

Case 1. There are five 3-faces incident to v .

Note that v loses charge $5 \cdot (1/3)$ to the faces incident to it. Since v can afford to lose charge at most 1, it must regain charge $2/3$. Note that for every 3-face incident to v , there are two 3-faces adjacent to it. Hence by the definition of the class \mathcal{G}_1 , for each of the 3-faces incident to v , there must be a 4⁺-face adjacent to it. We can infer that if u is a neighbor of v , then the edge uv is the mid-edge of a special diamond incident to u . If v has at least two 7⁺-neighbors, then by Rule R_3 , v gets sufficient charge. Assume that v has exactly one 7⁺-neighbor. Then v has four remaining 6⁻-neighbors. Since $\delta(G) \geq 5$, each of these remaining four neighbors of v is a 5-vertex or a 6-vertex. If at most one of these four neighbors of v is 6-vertex, then $G[N(v) \cup \{v\}]$ contains the configuration H , a contradiction. So at least two among these four 6⁻-neighbors of v are 6-vertices. Hence v gets charge $1/3$ from the 7⁺-neighbor by Rule R_3 and at least $2 \cdot (1/6)$ from the 6-neighbors by Rule R_2 . Hence v gets sufficient charge to make its charge non-negative. Finally, assume that v has no 7⁺-neighbor. If v has at most three 6-neighbors and the remaining are 5-neighbors, then it is easy to verify that $G[N(v) \cup \{v\}]$ contains the configuration H , a contradiction. So v has at least four 6-neighbors. Hence v gets sufficient charge, that is at least $4 \cdot (1/6)$, by Rule R_2 to make its charge non-negative. Hence v has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

Case 2. There are exactly four 3-faces incident to v .

Note that v loses charge $4 \cdot (1/3)$ to the faces incident to it by Rule R_1 . Since v can afford to lose charge at most 1, it must regain charge $1/3$. Let v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 , and v_5 be the vertices adjacent to v such that $\{v, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ induces a 3-face for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$, for each 3-face of G , there are at most two 3-faces adjacent to it. So for each of the 3-faces induced by $\{v, v_2, v_3\}$ and $\{v, v_3, v_4\}$, there must be a 4⁺-face adjacent to it. Note that vv_2, vv_3 , and vv_4 are the mid-edges of special diamonds incident to v_2, v_3 , and v_4 , respectively. Now if any of v_2, v_3 , and v_4 is a 7⁺-vertex, then v gets sufficient charge by Rule R_3 . So assume that each of v_2, v_3 , and v_4 is a 5-vertex or a 6-vertex. Now if at most one among v_2, v_3 , and v_4 is a 6-vertex, then $G[\{v, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$ contains the configuration H , a contradiction. So at least two of v_2, v_3 , and v_4 are 6-vertices. Hence v gets sufficient charge, that is $2 \cdot (1/6)$, by Rule R_2 and hence v has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

6-vertex: Let v be a 6-vertex. Initially, v had charge 2. Let the total number of 4⁺-faces incident to v be t . Then the number of 3-faces incident to v is at most $6 - t$. So v loses charge at most $\frac{1}{3}(6 - t)$ to the 3-faces incident to it by Rule R_1 . We claim that there are at most $2t$ special diamonds incident to v . If each 4⁺-face incident to v contains only two incident edges to v on its boundary, then this claim is trivial since each 4⁺-face can cause at most two diamonds incident to v to be special diamonds. If some of the 4⁺-faces have exactly three edges incident to v on its boundary, then it

is easy to see that the situation does not change. So assume that there is a 4^+ -face having four or more edges incident to v on its boundary. Then these edges are not the mid-edges of any special diamonds incident to v . It follows that at most 2 special diamonds can be there which is clearly at most $2t$. So the claim holds. Therefore, v loses charge at most $\frac{1}{6}(2t)$ through the mid-edges of the special diamonds incident to it by Rule R_2 . So v loses charge at most $\frac{1}{3}(6-t) + \frac{1}{6}(2t) = 2$ and hence v has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

7^+ -vertex: Let v be a 7^+ -vertex. Initially, v had charge $d_G(v) - 4$. Recall that $w_G(v) = w_G^t(v) + w_G^d(v)$, where $w_G^t(v)$ is the total number of the 3-faces incident to v and $w_G^d(v)$ is the total number of the special diamonds incident to v . Since v loses charge $1/3$ to each of the incident 3-faces by Rule R_1 , v loses charge $\frac{1}{3}w_G^t(v)$ to the incident 3-faces. Recall that by Rule R_3 , v loses charge $1/3$ to a 5-vertex u if uv is the mid-edge of a special diamond incident to v . Note that there are at most $w_G^d(v)$ such 5-vertices. So v loses charge at most $\frac{1}{3}w_G^d(v)$ due to Rule R_3 . Hence v loses charge at most $\frac{1}{3}w_G^t(v) + \frac{1}{3}w_G^d(v) = \frac{1}{3}w_G(v)$. Since $d_G(v) \geq 7$, by Lemma 4, $w_G(v) \leq 3(d_G(v) - 4)$. So v loses charge at most $\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3(d_G(v) - 4) = d_G(v) - 4$ and hence v has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and α and β be any two L -colorings of G . In order to prove that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 190|V(G)|$, we prove the claim that there exists a recoloring sequence from α to β that recolors every vertex of G at most 190 times. We use induction on the number of vertices of G to prove the claim. If $|V(G)| = 1$, then the claim is trivial. So the base case is true. Now assume that there is a 4^- -vertex $v \in V(G)$. By induction, there is a recoloring sequence σ_{G-v} from $\alpha|_{G-v}$ to $\beta|_{G-v}$ that recolors every vertex of $G-v$ at most 190 times. Note that the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v)$ in σ_{G-v} is at most $t = d(v) \cdot 190$. So by taking $G^* = G$, $G^{**} = G - v$, and $L(v) = 10$ in Lemma 3, the sequence σ_{G-v} can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors v at most $\lceil \frac{d(v) \cdot 190}{10 - d(v) - 1} \rceil + 1 \leq 153 < 190$ times since $d(v) \leq 4$. Now we may assume that $\delta(G) \geq 5$. Then by Theorem 6, G contains a diamond H with three vertices of degree 5 and one vertex of degree at most 6. Let $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, where v_1 is the 6^- -vertex and v_2, v_3 , and v_4 are 5-vertices. For the rest of the proof, we assume that v_1 is a 6-vertex. For the case when v_1 is a 5-vertex, the proof is similar.

First assume that v_1v_3 is the mid-edge of H . By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-V(H)}$ from $\alpha|_{G-V(H)}$ to $\beta|_{G-V(H)}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - V(H)$ at most 190 times. Note that $d_{G-\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}}(v_1) = 3$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v_1) \cap (G - V(H))$ in $\sigma_{G-V(H)}$ is at most $t = 3 \cdot 190$. So by taking $G^* = G - \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, $G^{**} = G - V(H)$, and $L(v) = 10$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-V(H)}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ that recolors v_1 at most $\lceil \frac{3 \cdot 190}{10 - 3 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 96 < 190$ times. Note that $d_{G-\{v_2, v_4\}}(v_3) = 3$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v_3) \cap (G - \{v_2, v_3, v_4\})$ in $\sigma_{G-\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ is at most $t = 2 \cdot 190 + 96$. So by taking $G^* = G - \{v_2, v_4\}$, $G^{**} = G - \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, and $L(v) = 10$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{v_2, v_4\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{v_2, v_4\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{v_2, v_4\}}$ that recolors v_3 at most $\lceil \frac{2 \cdot 190 + 96}{10 - 3 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 81 < 190$ times. Similarly, $\sigma_{G-\{v_2, v_4\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_{G-v_4} from $\alpha|_{G-v_4}$ to $\beta|_{G-v_4}$ and then σ_{G-v_4} can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors each of v_2 and v_4 at most $\lceil \frac{3 \cdot 190 + 96 + 81}{10 - 5 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 188 < 190$ times.

Now assume that v_2v_4 is the mid-edge of H . By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-V(H)}$ from $\alpha|_{G-V(H)}$ to $\beta|_{G-V(H)}$ that recolors every vertex of $G-V(H)$ at most 190 times. Note that $d_{G-\{v_2,v_3,v_4\}}(v_1) \leq 4$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v_1) \cap (G-V(H))$ in $\sigma_{G-V(H)}$ is at most $t = 4 \cdot 190$. So by taking $G^* = G - \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, $G^{**} = G - V(H)$, and $L(v) = 10$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-V(H)}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{v_2,v_3,v_4\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{v_2,v_3,v_4\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{v_2,v_3,v_4\}}$ that recolors v_1 at most $\lceil \frac{4 \cdot 190}{10-4-1} \rceil + 1 = 153 < 190$ times. Note that $d_{G-\{v_2,v_4\}}(v_3) = 3$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v_3) \cap (G - \{v_2, v_3, v_4\})$ in $\sigma_{G-\{v_2,v_3,v_4\}}$ is at most $t = 3 \cdot 190$. Now by taking $G^* = G - \{v_2, v_4\}$, $G^{**} = G - \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, and $L(v) = 10$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-\{v_2,v_3,v_4\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{v_2,v_4\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{v_2,v_4\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{v_2,v_4\}}$ that recolors v_3 at most $\lceil \frac{3 \cdot 190}{10-3-1} \rceil + 1 = 96 < 190$ times. Similarly, $\sigma_{G-\{v_2,v_4\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_{G-v_4} from $\alpha|_{G-v_4}$ to $\beta|_{G-v_4}$ that recolors v_2 at most $\lceil \frac{2 \cdot 190 + 153 + 96}{10-4-1} \rceil + 1 = 127 < 190$ times. Then σ_{G-v_4} can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors v_4 at most $\lceil \frac{2 \cdot 190 + 153 + 127 + 96}{10-5-1} \rceil + 1 = 190$ times. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 2

To prove Theorem 2, we first prove the following structural result for the graphs in the class \mathcal{G}_2 by using the discharging method.

Theorem 7. *If $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$ and $\delta(G) \geq 4$, then G contains at least one of the following configurations (see Figure 3).*




Figure 3: The numbers next to the vertices represent their degrees.

H_1 : An edge whose both endpoints have degree 4.

H_2 : A triangle with one vertex of degree 4 and the other two vertices of degree 5.

H_3 : A triangle with the three vertices having degrees 4, 5, and 6 such that the 6-vertex of the triangle has a 4-neighbor that is not a vertex of the triangle.

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$ and $\delta(G) \geq 4$. Consider a plane embedding of G such that for each 3-face of G , there is at most one 3-face adjacent to it. For the sake of contradiction, assume that G does not contain any of the configurations H_1, H_2 , and H_3 . Note that two 3-faces of G cannot share more than one edge since $\delta(G) \geq 4$. Assign charges to the vertices and the faces of G by using the balanced charging method (see Subsection 1.3). Now we re-allocate the charges using the following discharging rules.

R_1 : Every 5-vertex sends charge $1/3$ to every 3-face incident to it.

R_2 : Let v be a 6^+ -vertex and f be a 3-face incident to it. If the other two vertices incident to f are a 4-vertex and a 5-vertex, then v sends charge $2/3$ to f . If the other two vertices incident to f are a 4-vertex and a 6^+ -vertex, then v sends charge $1/2$ to f . If both the other vertices incident to f are 5^+ -vertices, then v sends charge $1/3$ to f .

Now we show that at the end of the discharging process, every vertex and every face has non-negative charge to obtain a contradiction to the fact that $ch(G) = -8$.

3-face: Let f be a 3-face of G . Initially, f had charge -1 . Since $\delta(G) \geq 4$, all the vertices incident to f are 4^+ -vertices. First assume that all the vertices incident to f are 5^+ -vertices. Then f gets charge $1/3$ from each of its incident vertices by Rules R_1 and R_2 . Hence f has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process. Now assume that there is a 4-vertex v incident to f . Let u and w be the other two vertices incident to f . Since G does not contain the configuration H_1 , both u and w are 5^+ -vertices. If both u and w are 6^+ -vertices, then f gets charge $1/2$ from each of u and w by Rule R_2 and hence gets sufficient charge to make its charge non-negative. So assume that at least one of u and w is a 5-vertex. Without loss of generality, we may assume that u is a 5-vertex. Now since G does not contain the configuration H_2 , w is a 6^+ -vertex. So f gets charge $1/3$ from u by Rule R_1 and charge $2/3$ from w by Rule R_2 . Hence f has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

4^+ -face: Let f be a 4^+ face. Initially, f had non-negative charge. Since f neither gains nor loses any charge during the discharging process, its charge remains the same, that is non-negative.

4-vertex: Let v be a 4-vertex. Initially, v had charge 0. Since v neither gains nor loses any charge during the discharging process, its charge remains the same, that is 0.

5-vertex: Let v be a 5-vertex. Initially, v had charge 1. If there are at least four 3-faces incident to v , then there is a 3-faces incident to v which is adjacent to at least two distinct 3-faces, a contradiction to the fact that $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$. So there are at most three 3-faces incident to v . Now since v sends charge $1/3$ to each of the incident 3-faces by Rule R_1 , v loses charge at most $3 \cdot (1/3)$. Hence v has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

6-vertex: Let v be a 6-vertex. Initially, v had charge 2. If there are at least five 3-faces incident to v , then there is a 3-face incident to v which is adjacent to at least two distinct 3-faces, a contradiction to the fact that $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$. So there are at most four 3-faces incident to v . Since $\delta(G) \geq 4$, the neighbors of v are 4^+ -vertices. If every vertex of every 3-face incident to v is a 5^+ -vertex, then v sends charge $1/3$ to each of the 3-faces incident to it by Rule R_2 . Thus v loses charge at most $4 \cdot (1/3) < 2$. So assume that there is a 4-vertex incident to a 3-face that is incident to v . Since $\delta(G) \geq 4$ and G does not contain the configuration H_1 , the neighbors of any 4-vertex are 5^+ -vertices.

First assume that in every 3-face incident to v that has an incident 4-vertex, the other incident vertex is a 6^+ -vertex. Since v loses charge at most $1/2$ to each such 3-face by Rule R_2 , v loses charge at most $4 \cdot (1/2) = 2$. Next assume that there is a 3-face f incident to v in which the other two incident vertices are a 4-vertex, say u and a 5-vertex, say w . Then, since G contains the 3-face f

and does not contain the configuration H_3 , the neighbors of v other than u are 5^+ -vertices. So v loses charge $1/3$ to each of the incident 3-faces which are not incident to u by Rule R_2 . Note that there are at most two 3-faces that are incident to the edge uv and may get charge $2/3$ from v by Rule R_2 . So v loses charge at most $2 \cdot \frac{2}{3} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = 2$. Hence at the end of the discharging process, v has non-negative charge.

7^+ -vertex: Let v be a 7^+ -vertex. Initially, v had charge $d(v) - 4$. Since for each 3-face of G , there are at most two 3-faces adjacent to it, the number of 3-faces incident to v is at most $\lfloor \frac{2}{3} \cdot d(v) \rfloor$. Since v loses charge at most $2/3$ to each incident 3-face by Rule R_2 , it loses charge at most $\frac{2}{3} \cdot \lfloor \frac{2}{3} \cdot d(v) \rfloor$. Since $d(v) \geq 7$, we have $\frac{2}{3} \cdot \lfloor \frac{2}{3} \cdot d(v) \rfloor \leq d(v) - 4$. Hence at the end of the discharging process, v has non-negative charge. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$ and α and β be any two L -colorings of G . In order to prove that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 13|V(G)|$, we prove the claim that there exists a recoloring sequence from α to β that recolors every vertex of G at most 13 times. We use induction on the number of vertices of G to prove the claim. If $|V(G)| = 1$, then the claim is trivial. So the base case is true. First assume that there is a 3^- -vertex $v \in V(G)$. By induction, there is a recoloring sequence σ_{G-v} from $\alpha|_{G-v}$ to $\beta|_{G-v}$ that recolors every vertex of $G-v$ at most 13 times. Note that the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v)$ in σ_{G-v} is at most $t = d(v) \cdot 13$. So by taking $G^* = G$, $G^{**} = G - v$, and $|L(v)| = 9$ in Lemma 3, the sequence σ_{G-v} can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors v at most $\lceil \frac{d(v) \cdot 13}{9 - d(v) - 1} \rceil + 1 \leq 9 < 13$ times since $d(v) \leq 3$. Now we may assume that $\delta(G) \geq 4$. Then by Theorem 7, G contains at least one of the configurations H_1, H_2 , and H_3 . Now for each configuration, we show that the claim holds.

Configuration H_1 : Let uv be an edge of G such that u and v are 4-vertices. By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{u,v\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{u,v\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{u,v\}}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - \{u, v\}$ at most 13 times. Note that $d_{G-u}(v) = 3$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v) \cap (G - \{u, v\})$ in $\sigma_{G-\{u,v\}}$ is at most $t = 3 \cdot 13$. So by taking $G^* = G - u$, $G^{**} = G - \{u, v\}$, and $|L(v)| = 9$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-\{u,v\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_{G-u} from $\alpha|_{G-u}$ to $\beta|_{G-u}$ that recolors v at most $\lceil \frac{3 \cdot 13}{9 - 3 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 9$ times. Note that the number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(u)$ in σ_{G-u} is at most $t = 3 \cdot 13 + 9$. So by taking $G^* = G$, $G^{**} = G - u$, and $|L(u)| = 9$ in Lemma 3, σ_{G-u} can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors u at most $\lceil \frac{3 \cdot 13 + 9}{9 - 4 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 13$ times.

Configuration H_2 : Let u, v , and w be the vertices of a triangle with degrees 4, 5, and 5, respectively. By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{u,v,w\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{u,v,w\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{u,v,w\}}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - \{u, v, w\}$ at most 13 times. Note that $d_{G-\{u,w\}}(v) = 3$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v) \cap (G - \{u, v, w\})$ is at most $t = 3 \cdot 13$. So by taking $G^* = G - \{u, w\}$, $G^{**} = G - \{u, v, w\}$, and $|L(v)| = 9$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-\{u,v,w\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{u,w\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{u,w\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{u,w\}}$ that recolors v at most $\lceil \frac{3 \cdot 13}{9 - 3 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 9$ times. Similarly, by using Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-\{u,v,w\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_{G-u} and then σ_{G-u} can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors w at most $\lceil \frac{3 \cdot 13 + 9}{9 - 4 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 13$ times and u at most $\lceil \frac{2 \cdot 13 + 13 + 9}{9 - 4 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 13$ times.

Configuration H_3 : Let u, v, w , and x be the vertices of degree 4, 5, 6, and 4, respectively such that u, v , and w are adjacent to each other and w is adjacent to x . By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{u,v,w,x\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{u,v,w,x\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{u,v,w,x\}}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - \{u, v, w, x\}$ at most 13 times. Note that $d_{G-\{u,v,w,x\}}(w) = 3$ and hence the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v) \cap (G - \{u, v, w, x\})$ is at most $t = 3 \cdot 13$. So by taking $G^* = G - \{u, v, x\}$, $G^{**} = G - \{u, v, w, x\}$, and $|L(w)| = 9$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-\{u,v,w,x\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{u,v,x\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{u,v,x\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{u,v,x\}}$ that recolors w at most $\lceil \frac{3 \cdot 13}{9-3-1} \rceil + 1 = 9$ times. Similarly, by using Lemma 3, the recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{u,v,x\}}$ can be extended to $\sigma_{G-\{u,x\}}$, then $\sigma_{G-\{u,x\}}$ can be extended to σ_{G-u} , and then σ_{G-u} can be extended to σ_G from α to β that recolors each of u, v , and x at most 13 times. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G \mid G \text{ is a planar graph and } G \text{ has a plane embedding such that the faces adjacent to any 3-face of } G \text{ are } 5^+\text{-faces and at most three 3-faces are adjacent to any 5-face}\}$. Recall that $\mathcal{G}_3 = \{G \mid G \text{ is a planar graph and } G \text{ has a plane embedding such that the faces adjacent to any 3-face of } G \text{ are } 6^+\text{-faces}\}$. Note that \mathcal{G}_3 is a subclass of \mathcal{G} .

For positive integers x and y and a non-negative integer p , we use $x(p)y$ -path to denote a path on $p + 2$ vertices, where the intermediate p vertices are of degree 4 and the endpoints of the path have degree x and y (see Figure 4(a)). For a face f of a plane graph G , a *facial walk* of f is a closed walk along the boundary of f . Note that there may be more than one facial walk of a face f . By using the discharging method, we prove the following structural result for the graphs in \mathcal{G} .




Figure 4: The numbers next to the vertices denote their degrees. The graph in (a) is an $x(p)y$ -path. In (b), we represent the discharging Rule $R_1(c)$ given in the proof of Theorem 8. Here, f is a 6^- -face.

Theorem 8. *If $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\delta(G) \geq 3$, then G contains at least one of the following configurations.*

H_4 : *An edge whose both endpoints are 3-vertices.*

H_5 : A graph consisting of two paths $P_i = v_1v_2^i v_3^i \dots v_{p_i+2}^i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that P_i is a $4(p_i)3$ -path with $p_i \leq 3$ for every $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $v_2^1 \neq v_2^2$. Note that both the paths share the initial 4-vertex v_1 .

H_6 : A graph consisting of four paths $P_i = v_1v_2^i v_3^i \dots v_{p_i+2}^i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that P_i is a $5(p_i)3$ -path with $p_i \leq 4$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $v_2^i \neq v_2^j$ for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Note that the paths share the initial vertex v_1 . Moreover, if any of the P_i 's is a $5(4)3$ -path, then the 5-vertex is adjacent to the 3-vertex of the path making a $5(0)3$ -path among the four paths.

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\delta(G) \geq 3$. Consider a plane embedding of G such that the faces adjacent to any 3-face of G are 5^+ -faces and at most three 3-faces are adjacent to any 5-face. Note that H_5 and H_6 describe classes of configurations. We call a configuration H to be of *type* H_i for $i \in \{5, 6\}$ if $H \in H_i$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that G does not contain the configurations H_4 and configurations of type H_5 and type H_6 . Since $\delta(G) \geq 3$, two distinct faces cannot share two edges that are consecutive in any facial walks of both the faces. Assign charges to the vertices and the faces of G using the balanced charging method (see Subsection 1.3). Now we re-allocate the charges among the vertices and the faces of G using the following discharging rules.

R_1 : If v is a 5^+ -vertex, then v sends charge $c = 1/3$ through each of its incident edges. Any charge c emerging from v towards an edge $e = vu$ moves with the following rules.

- (a) u is a 3-vertex: c gets added to the charge of u .
- (b) u is a 5^+ -vertex: c gets added back to the charge of v .
- (c) u is a 4-vertex: If there is no 6^- -face to which the edge e is incident, then c gets added back to the charge of v . Assume that there is a 6^- -face to which the edge e is incident. Note that there are at most two such faces. Along any facial walk of each such 6^- -face, we will try to find $4(p)3$ -paths starting from u . If no such $4(p)3$ -path exists along any of the facial walks of any of the 6^- -faces, then c gets added back to the charge of v . So assume that there exists such a $4(p)3$ -path. By Claim 1 (stated and proved after the discharging rules), there is only one such $4(p)3$ -path, say P . Then the charge c gets added to the charge of the last vertex of P , that is the 3-vertex of P . Note that the charge c emerges from a x -vertex v ; $x \geq 5$, moves through a $x(p)3$ -path vP , and gets added to the 3-vertex of the path. We refer to Figure 4(b) for a pictorial representation of the Rule $R_1(c)$. We use *long distance discharging* to denote such a discharging by a x -vertex v ; $x \geq 5$, to a 3-vertex w through a $x(p)3$ -path $vv_1v_2 \dots v_k w$ along a facial walk of a 6^- -face. We call v , w , vv_1 , and $v_k w$ as the *source*, *sink*, *source edge*, and *sink edge* of the long distance discharging by v , respectively.

R_2 : For $5 \leq l \leq 6$, if an l -face f is adjacent to a 3-face, then f sends charge $1/3$ to the 3-face through each common incident edge.

R_3 : Every 7-face f discharges as follows.

- (a) If an edge vu is incident to f , where v is a 3-vertex and u is a 4-vertex, then f sends charge $1/3$ to v .

- (b) If f is adjacent to a 3-face, then f sends charge $1/3$ to the 3-face through each common incident edge.

R_4 : Every 8^+ -face f discharges as follows.

- (a) If a 3-vertex v is incident to f , then f sends charge $1/3$ to v . If f contains v several times on its facial walk, then f sends charge $1/3$ to v each time its facial walk visits v .
- (b) If f is adjacent to a 3-face, then f sends charge $1/3$ to the 3-face through each common incident edge.

To continue the proof of Theorem 8, we need Claims 1-3.

Claim 1. *If vu is an edge, where v is a 5^+ -vertex and u is a 4-vertex, then there is at most one $4(p)3$ -path that has u as the initial vertex and lies along any facial walk of any 6^- -face incident to vu .*

Proof of Claim 1. Let vu be an edge, where v is a 5^+ -vertex and u is a 4-vertex. Assume that there are at least two $4(p)3$ -paths that have u as the initial vertex and lie along some (possibly different) facial walks of the (possibly different) 6^- -face(s) incident to vu . Let two such paths be P_1 , a $4(p_1)3$ -path and P_2 , a $4(p_2)3$ -path for some non-negative integers p_1 and p_2 . Note that P_1 and P_2 may share some vertices other than u . Further note that P_1 and P_2 may lie on different facial walks of a single 6^- -face incident to vu or P_1 and P_2 may lie on different facial walks of distinct 6^- -faces incident to vu . Since P_1 and P_2 lie on the facial walks of 6^- -face(s) and these paths cannot contain the 5^+ -vertex v which is also on the facial walks of the 6^- -face(s), we have $p_1 \leq 3$ and $p_2 \leq 3$. Then $P_1 \cup P_2$ contains a configuration of type H_5 , a contradiction. So there is only one such $4(p)3$ -path. \square

Claim 2. *If a source and a source edge of long distance discharging is fixed, then the sink and the sink edge are unique. There may be two sources for a fixed sink and sink edge.*

Proof of Claim 2. Let v and vv_1 be the source and the source edge of long distance discharging. By Claim 1, there is a unique $4(p)3$ -path starting from v_1 along which long distance discharging happens. Therefore, there is a unique sink and a unique sink edge once the source and the source edge are fixed. Now let w and wy be the sink and the sink edge of some long distance discharging. It is possible that there are two distinct 6^- -faces f_1 and f_2 incident to wy . Let z_1 and z_2 be a x_1 -vertex and a x_2 -vertex on f_1 and f_2 , respectively, where $x_1, x_2 \geq 5$. Let there be a $x_1(p_1)3$ -path P_1 and a $x_2(p_2)3$ -path P_2 from z_1 to w and z_2 to w in some facial walks of f_1 and f_2 , respectively such that both the paths contain the sink edge wy . Note that since f_1 and f_2 are 6^- -faces, by Rule $R_1(c)$, z_1 sends charge $1/3$ to w and z_2 sends charge $1/3$ to w through the paths P_1 and P_2 , respectively. So we may conclude that there may be two sources for a fixed sink and sink edge. \square

Claim 3. *If there is a 6^- -face incident to an edge vu , where v is a 3-vertex and u is a 4-vertex, then v gets charge at least $1/3$ through the edge vu by long distance discharging.*

Proof of Claim 3. Let f be a 6^- -face incident to an edge vu , where v is a 3-vertex and u is a 4-vertex. By taking the direction of traversal from v to u , we search for a $3(p)x$ -path along a facial walk of f

such that the initial vertex of the path is the 3-vertex v and $x \geq 5$. If such a path does not exist, then along that facial walk of f , there exists a $3(p)3$ -path P for some non-negative integer p or a cycle C such that exactly one of its vertices is a 3-vertex and the remaining all others are 4-vertices. Note that we can find a suitable 4-vertex, say v^* on P and C such that P and C can be viewed as the union of two paths P_1 and P_2 , where P_i is a $4(p_i)3$ -path with $p_i \leq 3$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, P_1 and P_2 have v^* as the initial 4-vertex, and the neighbors of v^* in P_1 and P_2 are different. So P and C are configurations of type H_5 , a contradiction. Hence there exists a $3(p)x$ -path P along a facial walk of f such that the initial vertex of the path is the 3-vertex v and $x \geq 5$. Let w be the x -vertex of P and w' be the vertex adjacent to w in P . Now since w is a 5^+ -vertex and w' is a 4-vertex, by Rule $R_1(c)$ for the vertex w , there will be a long distance discharging with w and ww' as the source and the source edge and v and uv as the sink and the sink edge. \square

We now return to the proof of Theorem 8. We show that at the end of the discharging process, every vertex and every face has non-negative charge and obtain a contradiction to the fact that $ch(G) = -8$.

3-vertex: Let v be a 3-vertex of G and v_1, v_2 , and v_3 be its neighbors. Initially, v had charge -1 . Since G does not contain the configuration H_4 and $\delta(G) \geq 3$, v_i is a 4^+ -vertex for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. For every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let f_i be the face incident to the edge vv_i such that f_i appears next to the edge vv_i when we move clockwise around v . Note that f_i 's may not be distinct. We show that v gets sufficient charge from its neighbors, its incident faces, and some 5^+ -vertices (by long distance discharging) to make its charge non-negative.

First assume that f_i is a distinct face for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Consider the pairs $\{v_i, f_i\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Note that the pairs are pairwise non-intersecting. Now we show that due to each pair $\{v_i, f_i\}$; $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, v gets charge $1/3$. This happens as follows. If v_i is a 5^+ -vertex, then v_i sends charge $1/3$ to v by Rule $R_1(a)$. So assume that v_i is a 4-vertex. If f_i is a 7^+ -face, then, since v_i is a 4-vertex, v gets charge $1/3$ from f_i by Rule $R_3(a)$ or $R_4(a)$. So assume that f_i is a 6^- -face. Then by Claim 3, v gets charge at least $1/3$ through the edge vv_i by long distance discharging from some source. Since there are three non-intersecting pairs $\{v_i, f_i\}$; $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, v gets charge $1/3$ at least three times. So in total v gets charge at least $3 \cdot (1/3)$ and hence v has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

Now assume that at least two faces among f_1, f_2 , and f_3 are same. Without loss of generality, assume that $f_1 = f_2$. Recall that all the neighbors of v are 4^+ -vertices. Since $f_1 = f_2$, by the way the faces f_1 and f_2 are defined, the edge vv_2 has to be a cut edge and gets counted twice on any facial walk of f_1 . Note that any facial walk of f_1 would look like $vv_2u' \dots w'v_2vv_3 \dots v_1v$, where u' and w' are distinct neighbors of v_2 different from v . Hence it is easy to verify that f_1 is a 8^+ -face. Now we consider two cases depending on $f_1 = f_3$ or $f_1 \neq f_3$. First assume that $f_1 = f_3$ (all three faces are same). Then vv_1, vv_2 , and vv_3 are cut edges and hence f_1 contains v thrice on any of its facial walk. So v gets charge $3 \cdot (1/3)$ from f_1 by Rule $R_4(a)$. Hence v gets sufficient charge to make its charge non-negative. Now assume that $f_1 \neq f_3$. Then f_1 contains v twice on any of its facial walk. Hence v gets charge $2 \cdot (1/3)$ from f_1 by Rule $R_4(a)$. We now show that v gets another $1/3$ charge due to the pair $\{v_3, f_3\}$. If v_3 is a 5^+ -vertex, then v gets charge $1/3$ from v_3 by Rule $R_1(a)$. So v_3 is a 4-vertex.

Now if f_3 is 7^+ -face, then, since v_3 is a 4-vertex, v gets charge $1/3$ from f_3 by Rule $R_3(a)$ or $R_4(a)$. So assume that f_3 is a 6^- -face. Then by Claim 3, v gets charge $1/3$ through the edge vv_3 by long distance discharging. Hence v has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

4-vertex: Initially, every 4-vertex had charge 0. Since any 4-vertex neither gains nor loses any charge during the discharging process, its charge remains the same, that is 0.

5-vertex: Let v be a 5-vertex. Initially, v had charge 1. So v can afford to lose charge at most 1 during the discharging process. Recall that through each edge e incident to v , v loses charge either 0 or $1/3$ by Rule R_1 . We show that v loses charge $1/3$ through at most three of its incident edges implying that v has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process. In particular, we show that if v loses charge $1/3$ through at least four of its incident edges, then a configuration of type H_5 is obtained, a contradiction. For this purpose, it is sufficient to show that if v loses charge $1/3$ through an incident edge vu , then a $5(p)3$ -path starting with the edge vu is obtained with $p \leq 4$. Moreover, if $p = 4$ for any such path, then we have to show that v is adjacent to the last vertex of the $5(p)3$ -path.

Let vu be an edge and v loses charge $1/3$ through the edge vu . Note that v does not lose any charge through vu if u is a 5^+ -vertex by Rule $R_1(b)$. So u is a 3-vertex or a 4-vertex since $\delta(G) \geq 3$. First assume that u is a 3-vertex. Then the charge $1/3$ gets added to the charge of u by Rule $R_1(a)$ and vu is a $5(0)3$ -path. Now assume that u is a 4-vertex. Then v loses the charge by Rule $R_1(c)$, that is by long distance discharging. Since the source v and the source edge vu are fixed, by Claim 2, there is a unique sink and a unique sink edge. The sink is a 3-vertex w that is incident to a 6^- -face f which is also incident to v . Since this long distance discharging requires a $5(p)3$ -path P from v to w along a facial walk of f that is a 6^- -face, $p \leq 4$. Moreover, if $p = 4$, then f has to be a 6-face and Pv has to be a facial walk of f ; thus v is adjacent to the 3-vertex of P . So we get the desired $5(p)3$ -path.

6^+ -vertex: Let v be a 6^+ -vertex. Initially, v had charge $d(v) - 4$. Since any 6^+ -vertex loses charge $1/3$ along each of the edges incident to it by Rule R_1 , v loses charge at most $(1/3) \cdot d(v)$. Since $d(v) \geq 6$, we have $d(v) - 4 - d(v)/3 \geq 0$. Hence v has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

4-face: Initially, every 4-face has charge 0. Since any 4-face neither gains nor loses any charge during the discharging process, its charge remains the same, that is 0.

k -face for $k \in \{5, 6\}$: Let f be a 5-face. Initially, f had charge 1. Since $G \in \mathcal{G}$, there are at most three 3-faces adjacent to f . Note that f sends charge $1/3$ to each of its adjacent 3-faces through the common incident edges by Rule R_2 . Since $\delta(G) \geq 3$ and f is a 5-face, any 3-face cannot share more than one edge with f . This implies that f loses charge at most $3 \cdot (1/3) = 1$ and hence f has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process. Now let f be a 6-face. Initially, f had charge 2. Since f sends charge $1/3$ to each of its adjacent 3-faces through the common incident edges by Rule R_2 , f loses charge at most $6 \cdot (1/3) = 2$. Hence f has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

7-face: Let f be a 7-face. Initially, f had charge 3. Since f sends charge $1/3$ to each of its

adjacent 3-faces through the common incident edges by Rule $R_3(b)$, f loses charge at most $7 \cdot (1/3)$ to the 3-faces adjacent to it. Let $S_G(f) = \{v \in V(G) \mid v \text{ is a 3-vertex and } v \text{ has a 4-neighbor } u \text{ such that } vu \text{ is incident to } f\}$. We claim that $|S_G(f)| \leq 2$. If $|S_G(f)| \geq 3$, then let $v_i \in S_G(f)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, v_i has a 4-neighbor u_i such that $v_i u_i$ is incident to f . Since G does not contain the configuration H_4 , v_i is not adjacent to v_j for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since f is a 7-face, without loss of generality, we may assume that $v_1 v'_1 v_2 v'_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_1$ is a facial walk of f for some vertices v'_1, v'_2, v_4 , and v_5 . Note that $u_2 = v'_1$ or $u_2 = v'_2$. Then $\{v_1, v'_1, v_2\}$ or $\{v_2, v'_2, v_3\}$ induces a configuration of type H_5 , a contradiction. So $|S_G(f)| \leq 2$. Recall that f sends charge $1/3$ to each vertex of $S_G(f)$ by Rule $R_3(a)$. So f loses charge at most $2 \cdot (1/3)$ to the vertices of $S_G(f)$. This implies that in total f loses charge at most $9 \cdot (1/3) = 3$ and hence f has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process.

8^+ -face: Let f be a 8^+ -face. Initially, f had charge $d(f) - 4$. Since f sends charge $1/3$ to each of its adjacent 3-faces through the common incident edges by Rule $R_4(b)$, f loses charge at most $\frac{1}{3} \cdot d(f)$ to the 3-faces adjacent to it. Since G does not contain the configuration H_4 , there are at most $\lfloor \frac{d(f)}{2} \rfloor$ 3-vertices incident to f . So f loses charge at most $\frac{1}{3} \cdot \lfloor \frac{d(f)}{2} \rfloor$ to the 3-vertices incident to it by Rule $R_4(a)$. Hence in total f loses charge at most $\frac{1}{3} \cdot (d(f) + \lfloor \frac{d(f)}{2} \rfloor)$. Since $d(f) \geq 8$, we have $\frac{1}{3} \cdot (d(f) + \lfloor \frac{d(f)}{2} \rfloor) \leq d(f) - 4$. So f has non-negative charge at the end of the discharging process. \square

In the following observations, we describe the structure of the minimum order configuration of type H_5 and type H_6 in a graph.

Observation 2. *Let a graph G contain the configuration of type H_5 and H^* be the minimum order subgraph of G of type H_5 . Let $P_i = v_1 v_2^i \dots v_{p_i+2}^i$; $i \in \{1, 2\}$ be the $4(p_i)3$ -path such that $H^* = P_1 \cup P_2$, and $|V(P_1)| \geq |V(P_2)|$. Then one of the following holds.*

- (a) P_1 and P_2 do not share any vertex other than the initial 4-vertex v_1 .
- (b) If P_1 and P_2 share a vertex w other than the initial 4-vertex v_1 , then there is a $4(p_1^*)3$ -path P_1^* for some $p_1^* < p_1 \leq 3$ in $G - w$ which is a subpath of P_1 starting with v_1 such that P_1^* and P_2 do not share any vertex other than initial 4-vertex v_1 and $V(H^*) = V(P_1^* \cup P_2)$.

Proof. If P_1 and P_2 do not share any vertex other than the initial 4-vertex v_1 , then we are done. So assume that P_1 and P_2 share a vertex w , say v_i^1 other than the initial 4-vertex v_1 . Then all the vertices appearing after w in the paths P_1 and P_2 are shared. Otherwise, we can remove some non-shared vertices that appears after w in the path P_1 or P_2 to get a configuration of type H_5 with less order. We now show that $i \geq 3$. Assume that $i = 2$. By the definition of H_5 , $v_2^1 \neq v_2^2$. So $w = v_2^1 = v_j^2$ for some $j \geq 3$. Then, since the vertices that appears after w in the paths P_1 and P_2 are shared, $|V(P_2)| \geq |V(P_1)|$, a contradiction. So we have $i \geq 3$. Let $P_1^* = v_1 \dots v_{i-1}^1$. Note that the path $P_1^* w$ is chordless since H^* is of minimum order. Then P_1^* is a $4(p_1^*)3$ -subpath of P_1 for some $p_1^* < p_1 \leq 3$ in $G - w$. Clearly, P_1^* and P_2 do not share any vertex other than initial 4-vertex v_1 and $V(H^*) = V(P_1^* \cup P_2)$. \square

Observation 3. *Let a graph G contain the configuration of type H_6 and H^{**} be the minimum order subgraph of G of type H_6 . Let $P_i = v_1 v_2^i \dots v_{p_i+2}^i$; $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be the $5(p_i)3$ -path such that*

$H^{**} = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3 \cup P_4$ and $|V(P_1)| \geq |V(P_2)| \geq |V(P_3)| \geq |V(P_4)|$. Then for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, one of the following holds.

- (a) P_i and $P_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup P_4$ do not share any vertex other than the initial 5-vertex v_1 .
- (b) If P_i and $P_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup P_4$ share a vertex w other than the initial 5-vertex v_1 , then there is a $5(p_i^*)3$ -path P_i^* for some $p_i^* < p_i \leq 4$ in $G - w$ which is a subpath of P_i starting with v_1 such that P_i^* does not share any vertex with $P_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup P_4$ and $V(H^{**}) = V(P_i^*) \cup V((P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_4) - P_i)$

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If P_i and $P_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup P_4$ do not share any vertex other than the initial 5-vertex v_1 , then we are done. So assume that P_i and $P_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup P_4$ share a vertex w , say v_k^i with least index k other than 1. Let P_j be the path for some $j \in \{i+1, \dots, 4\}$ that contains w . Then all the vertices appearing after w in P_i and P_j are shared. Otherwise, we can remove some non-shared vertices that appears after w in the path P_i or P_j to get a configuration of type H_6 with less order. We now show that $k \geq 3$. Assume that $k = 2$. By the definition of H_6 , $v_2^i \neq v_2^j$. So $w = v_2^i = v_l^j$ for some $l \geq 3$. Then, since the vertices that appears after w in P_i and P_j are shared, $|V(P_j)| \geq |V(P_i)|$, a contradiction. So we have $k \geq 3$. Let $P_i^* = v_1 v_2^i \dots v_{k-1}^i$. Note that the path $P_i^* w$ is chordless since H^{**} is of minimum order. Then P_i^* is a $5(p_i^*)3$ -subpath of P_i for some $p_i^* < p_i \leq 4$ in $G - w$. Clearly, P_i^* and $P_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup P_4$ do not share any vertex other than the initial 5-vertex v_1 and $V(H^{**}) = V(P_i^*) \cup V((P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_4) - P_i)$. \square

Recall that \mathcal{G}_3 is a subclass of \mathcal{G} . To prove Theorem 3, we prove the following stronger result.

Theorem 9. *If $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 242|V(G)|$.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and α and β be any two L -colorings of G . In order to prove that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 242|V(G)|$, we prove the claim that there exists a recoloring sequence from α to β that recolors every vertex of G at most 242 times. We use induction on the number of vertices of G to prove the claim. If $|V(G)| = 1$, then the claim is trivial. So the base case is true. First assume that there is a 2^- -vertex $v \in V(G)$. By induction, there is a recoloring sequence σ_{G-v} from $\alpha|_{G-v}$ to $\beta|_{G-v}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - v$ at most 242 times. Note that the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v)$ in σ_{G-v} is at most $t = d(v) \cdot 242$. So by taking $G^* = G$, $G^{**} = G - v$, and $|L(v)| = 7$ in Lemma 3, the sequence σ_{G-v} can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors v at most $\lceil \frac{d(v) \cdot 242}{7-d(v)-1} \rceil + 1 \leq 122 < 242$ times since $d(v) \leq 2$. Similarly, we can show that σ_{G-v} can be extended to a desired recoloring sequence if $d(v) < 2$. Now we may assume that $\delta(G) \geq 3$. Then by Theorem 8, G contains at least one of the configurations H_4 , H_5 , and H_6 . Now for each configuration, we show that the claim holds.

Configuration H_4 : Let uv be an edge such that u and v are 3-vertices. By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{u,v\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{u,v\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{u,v\}}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - \{u, v\}$ at most 242 times. Note that $d_{G-u}(v) = 2$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v) \cap (G - \{u, v\})$ in $\sigma_{G-\{u,v\}}$ is at most $2 \cdot 242$. So by taking $G^* = G - u$, $G^{**} = G - \{u, v\}$, and $|L(v)| = 7$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-\{u,v\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_{G-u} from $\alpha|_{G-u}$ to $\beta|_{G-u}$ that recolors v at most $\lceil \frac{2 \cdot 242}{7-2-1} \rceil + 1 = 122 < 242$ times. Note that the total number of recoloring of

the vertices of $N(u)$ in σ_{G-u} is at most $t = 2 \cdot 242 + 122$. So by taking $G^* = G$, $G^{**} = G - u$, and $|L(v)| = 7$ in Lemma 3, σ_{G-u} can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors u at most $\lceil \frac{2 \cdot 242 + 122}{7-3-1} \rceil + 1 = 203 < 242$ times.

Claim 4. *If $v_1 v_2 \dots v_{p+2}$ is a $x(p)3$ -path of a subgraph G' of G and a subgraph G'' of G' contains that path and there is a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G'' - \{v_2, \dots, v_{p+2}\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G'' - \{v_2, \dots, v_{p+2}\}}$ to $\beta|_{G'' - \{v_2, \dots, v_{p+2}\}}$ that recolors every vertex of $G'' - \{v_1, \dots, v_{p+2}\}$ at most 242 times and v_1 at most c_1 times, then $\sigma_{G'' - \{v_2, \dots, v_{p+2}\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G''}$ from $\alpha|_{G''}$ to $\beta|_{G''}$ that recolors v_i at most c_i times, where $c_i = \lceil \frac{484 + c_{i-1}}{3} \rceil + 1$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, p+2\}$.*

Proof of Claim 4. Let the hypothesis of the claim be true. Consider the sets $X_i = \{v_i, \dots, v_{p+2}\}$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, p+2\}$. Note that $d_{G'}(v_2) = 4$, $d_{G''}(v_2) \leq 4$, and $d_{G'' - X_3}(v_2) \leq 3$. We take $d_{G''}(v_2) = 4$ and $d_{G'' - X_3}(v_2) = 3$ to determine c_2 . For other values of $d_{G''}(v_2)$ and $d_{G'' - X_3}(v_2)$, c_2 can be found to be even less by a similar approach. Note that the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N_{G''}(v_2) \cap (G'' - X_2)$ in $\sigma_{G'' - X_2}$ is at most $t = 2 \cdot 242 + c_1$. So by taking $G^* = G'' - X_3$, $G^{**} = G'' - X_2$, and $|L(v)| = 7$ in Lemma 3, the recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G'' - X_2}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G'' - X_3}$ from $\alpha|_{G'' - X_3}$ to $\beta|_{G'' - X_3}$ that recolors v_2 at most $c_2 = \lceil \frac{2 \cdot 242 + c_1}{7-3-1} \rceil + 1 = \lceil \frac{484 + c_1}{3} \rceil + 1$ times. Similarly, by using Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G'' - X_3}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G'' - X_4}$ that recolors v_3 at most $c_3 = \lceil \frac{484 + c_2}{3} \rceil + 1$ times, then $\sigma_{G'' - X_4}$ to $\sigma_{G'' - X_5}$ and so on. At the end, we obtain a desired recoloring sequence. \square

Configuration H_5 : Without loss of generality, assume that H^* is a subgraph of G that has minimum possible number of vertices among all the configurations of type H_5 in G . Let $P_1 = v_1 v_2^1 \dots v_{p_1+2}^1$ and $P_2 = v_1 v_2^2 \dots v_{p_2+2}^2$ be the $4(p_1)3$ -path and the $4(p_2)3$ -path, respectively with $|V(P_1)| \geq |V(P_2)|$ that forms the subgraph H^* . Now we define P_1^* and p_1^* with the help of Observation 2. If Observation 2(a) holds, that is P_1 and P_2 do not share any vertex other than v_1 , then we take $P_1^* = P_1$ and $p_1^* = p_1$. Now if Observation 2(b) holds, then we define P_1^* and p_1^* as defined in Observation 2(b).

Let $P = V(P_1^*) \cup V(P_2)$, $P' = P \setminus \{v_1\}$, and $P'' = P' \setminus V(P_1^*)$. By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence σ_{G-P} from $\alpha|_{G-P}$ to $\beta|_{G-P}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - P$ at most 242 times. Note that $d_{G-P'}(v_1) = 2$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v_1) \cap (G - P)$ in σ_{G-P} is at most $t = 2 \cdot 242$. Hence by taking $G^* = G - P'$, $G^{**} = G - P$, and $|L(v)| = 7$ in Lemma 3, σ_{G-P} can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-P'}$ from $\alpha|_{G-P'}$ to $\beta|_{G-P'}$ that recolors v_1 at most $\lceil \frac{2 \cdot 242}{7-2-1} \rceil + 1 = 122 < 242$ times. Note that P_1^* is contained in $G - P''$ and it is a $4(p_1^*)3$ -path of a subgraph G' of G containing $G - P''$, where $G' = G$ if $P_1^* = P_1$ and $G' = G - w$ if P_1^* is a proper subpath of P_1 (see Observation 2(b)). Further note that $\sigma_{G-P'}$ is a recoloring sequence that recolors every vertex of $G - P'$ at most 242 times and v_1 at most 122 times. Hence by taking $G'' = G - P''$ in Claim 4, $\sigma_{G-P'}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-P''}$ from $\alpha|_{G-P''}$ to $\beta|_{G-P''}$ that recolors v_i^1 at most c_i times, where $c_i = \lceil \frac{484 + c_{i-1}}{3} \rceil + 1$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, p_1^* + 2\}$. Similarly, by Claim 4, $\sigma_{G-P''}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors v_i^2 at most c_i times, where $c_i = \lceil \frac{484 + c_{i-1}}{3} \rceil + 1$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, p_2 + 2\}$. Let $p = \max\{p_1^*, p_2\}$. Note that $p \leq 3$. Now since $c_1 = 122$ and $p \leq 3$, we have $\max_{2 \leq i \leq p+2} \{c_i\} \leq 242$. So σ_G is the desired recoloring sequence.

Configuration H_6 : Without loss of generality, assume that H^{**} is a subgraph of G that has minimum possible number of vertices among all the configurations of type H_6 in G . Let $P_1 = v_1v_2^1 \dots v_{p_1+2}^1$, $P_2 = v_1v_2^2 \dots v_{p_2+2}^2$, $P_3 = v_1v_2^3 \dots v_{p_3+2}^3$, and $P_4 = v_1v_2^4 \dots v_{p_4+2}^4$ be the $5(p_1)$ 3-path, the $5(p_2)$ 3-path, the $5(p_3)$ 3-path, and the $5(p_4)$ 3-path, respectively with $|V(P_1)| \geq |V(P_2)| \geq |V(P_3)| \geq |V(P_4)|$ that forms the subgraph H^{**} . Note that $4 \geq p_1 \geq p_2 \geq p_3 \geq p_4$. So if $p_4 = 4$, then $p_i = 4$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. This contradicts the definition of H_6 stating the existence of a $5(0)$ 3-path among the four paths. So $p_4 \leq 3$. Now for $i = 1$ to 3 in order, we define P_i^* and p_i^* with the help of Observation 3. If Observation 3(a) holds, that is P_i does not share any vertex with $P_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup P_4$, then we take $P_i^* = P_i$ and $p_i^* = p_i$. If Observation 3(b) holds, then we define P_i^* and p_i^* as defined in Observation 3(b). Clearly, $V(H^{**}) = V(P_1^* \cup P_2^* \cup P_3^* \cup P_4)$. Now we show that $p_i^* \leq 3$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If $p_i \leq 3$, then we are done. So assume that $p_i = 4$, that is P_i is a $5(4)$ 3-path. Then by the definition of H_6 , there is a $5(0)$ 3-path P_j for some $j \in \{i+1, \dots, 4\}$ such that P_i and P_j shares a vertex. Then P_i^* is a proper subpath of P_i by Observation 3(b) and hence $p_i^* \leq 3$.

Let $P = \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 3} V(P_j^*) \right) \cup V(P_4)$, $P' = P \setminus \{v_1\}$, $Q_1 = P' \setminus V(P_1^*)$, $Q_2 = Q_1 \setminus V(P_2^*)$, and $Q_3 = Q_2 \setminus V(P_3^*)$. By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence σ_{G-P} from $\alpha|_{G-P}$ to $\beta|_{G-P}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - P$ at most 242 times. Note that $d_{G-P'}(v_1) = 1$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v_1) \cap (G - P)$ in σ_{G-P} is at most $t = 242$. So by taking $G^* = G - P'$, $G^{**} = G - P$, and $|L(v)| = 7$ in Lemma 3, σ_{G-P} can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-P'}$ from $\alpha|_{G-P'}$ to $\beta|_{G-P'}$ that recolors v_1 at most $c_1 = \lceil \frac{242}{7-1-1} \rceil + 1 = 50$ times. Note that P_1^* is contained in $G - Q_1$ and P_1^* is a $5(p_1^*)$ 3-path of a subgraph G' of G containing $G - Q_1$, where $G' = G$ if $P_1^* = P_1$ and $G' = G - w$ if P_1^* is a proper subpath of P_1 (see Observation 3(b)). Further note that $\sigma_{G-P'}$ recolors every vertex of $G - Q_1$ at most 242-times and v_1 at most 50 times. So by taking $G'' = G - Q_1$ in Claim 4, $\sigma_{G-P'}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_{G-Q_1} such that v_i^1 is recolored at most c_i times, where $c_i = \lceil \frac{484+c_i-1}{3} \rceil + 1$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, p_1^* + 2\}$. Now since $c_1 = 50$ and $p_1^* \leq 3$, we have $\max_{1 \leq i \leq p_1^*+2} \{c_i\} \leq 242$. Similarly, we use Claim 4 repeatedly to extend the recoloring sequence σ_{G-Q_1} to σ_{G-Q_2} , σ_{G-Q_2} to σ_{G-Q_3} , and lastly, σ_{G-Q_3} to σ_G such that σ_G is the desired recoloring sequence. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 4

We use the following structural result given by Shen et al. [21] to prove Theorem 4.

Lemma 5 ([21]). *Let G be a plane graph such that $\delta(G) \geq 4$ and G has no 4-cycles. Then there exists a 4-vertex v in G that is incident to two non-adjacent 3-faces, say f_1 induced by $\{v, v_1, v_2\}$ and f_2 induced by $\{v, v_3, v_4\}$, such that every vertex but at most one of $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ has degree exactly 4.*

Proof of Theorem 4. Let α and β be two L -colorings of G . In order to prove that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, L)) \leq 29|V(G)|$, we prove the claim that there exists a recoloring sequence from α to β that recolors every vertex of G at most 29 times. We use induction on the number of vertices to prove the claim. If $|V(G)| = 1$, then the claim is trivial. So the base case is true. First assume that there is a 3^- -vertex

$v \in V(G)$. By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence σ_{G-v} from $\alpha|_{G-v}$ to $\beta|_{G-v}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - v$ at most 29 times. Note that the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v)$ in σ_{G-v} is at most $t = d(v) \cdot 29$. So by taking $G^* = G$, $G^{**} = G - v$, and $|L(v)| = 8$ in Lemma 3, σ_{G-v} can be extended to a recoloring sequence σ_G from α to β that recolors v at most $\lceil \frac{d(v) \cdot 29}{8 - d(v) - 1} \rceil + 1 = 23 < 29$ times since $d(v) \leq 3$. So we may assume that $\delta(G) \geq 4$. Then by Lemma 5, there exists a 3-face f induced by $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ such that $d(v_i) = 4$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and v_1 has a 4-neighbor v_4 that is not incident to f . By induction, there exists a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ that recolors every vertex of $G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ at most 29 times. Note that $d_{G-\{v_1, v_3, v_4\}}(v_2) = 2$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v_2) \cap (G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\})$ in $\sigma_{G-\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ is at most $t = 2 \cdot 29$. So by taking $G^* = G - \{v_1, v_3, v_4\}$, $G^{**} = G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, and $|L(v_2)| = 8$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{v_1, v_3, v_4\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{v_1, v_3, v_4\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{v_1, v_3, v_4\}}$ that recolors v_2 at most $\lceil \frac{2 \cdot 29}{8 - 2 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 13$ times. Note that $d_{G-\{v_1, v_4\}}(v_3) = 3$ and the total number of recolorings of the vertices of $N(v_3) \cap (G - \{v_1, v_3, v_4\})$ in $\sigma_{G-\{v_1, v_3, v_4\}}$ is at most $t = 2 \cdot 29 + 13$. So by taking $G^* = G - \{v_1, v_4\}$, $G^{**} = G - \{v_1, v_3, v_4\}$, and $|L(v_3)| = 8$ in Lemma 3, $\sigma_{G-\{v_1, v_3, v_4\}}$ can be extended to a recoloring sequence $\sigma_{G-\{v_1, v_4\}}$ from $\alpha|_{G-\{v_1, v_4\}}$ to $\beta|_{G-\{v_1, v_4\}}$ that recolors v_3 at most $\lceil \frac{2 \cdot 29 + 13}{8 - 3 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 19$ times. Similarly, $\sigma_{G-\{v_1, v_4\}}$ can be extended to σ_{G-v_1} and then σ_{G-v_1} can be extended to σ_G from α to β that recolors v_4 at most $\lceil \frac{3 \cdot 29}{8 - 3 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 23$ times and v_1 at most $\lceil \frac{29 + 13 + 19 + 23}{8 - 4 - 1} \rceil + 1 = 29$ times. \square

6 Graphs with bounded independence number

In this section, we prove Theorem 5. For a color i of a coloring c of a graph G , $c^{-1}(i)$ is the set of vertices of G that are assigned color i in c . For two colorings c_1 and c_2 of G , we say that c_1 and c_2 share a color class if there exist colors i and j of c_1 and c_2 , respectively such that $c_1^{-1}(i) = c_2^{-1}(j)$. A *frozen k -coloring* of a graph G is a k -coloring of G such that all the k colors appear in $N(v) \cup \{v\}$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Note that a frozen k -coloring of a graph G is an isolated vertex in $\mathcal{G}(G, k)$. So to show that $\mathcal{G}(G, k)$ is disconnected, it is sufficient to show the existence of a frozen k -coloring of G . We divide the proof of Theorem 5 into two parts. In Lemma 6, we show the existence of a graph G such that $\mathcal{G}(G, \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor)$ is disconnected. In Lemma 10, we show that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k')) \leq 4|V(G)|$ for every $k' \geq \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor + 1$. So the proof of Theorem 5 follows by Lemma 6 and Lemma 10.

Lemma 6. *For every $p \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, there exists a k -colorable graph with the independence number at most p such that $\mathcal{G}(G, \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor)$ is disconnected.*

Proof. Fix an integer $p \geq 2$. To prove the lemma, first we show the $k = 2$ case by showing the existence of a 2-colorable graph $G(2)$ with the independence number at most p such that $\mathcal{G}(G(2), p)$ is disconnected. Then we show the $k = 3$ case by showing the existence of a 3-colorable graph $G(3)$ with the independence number at most p such that $\mathcal{G}(G(3), \lfloor \frac{3p}{2} \rfloor)$ is disconnected. Then by using these two special graphs, we show the existence of a k -colorable graph $G(k)$ with the independence number at most p such that $\mathcal{G}(G(k), \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor)$ is disconnected for every $k \geq 4$.

Let $G(2)$ be the bipartite graph obtained by removing a perfect matching from a complete bipartite




Figure 5: Elliptical regions represent independent sets. Bold line between two sets represents that all the edges between the sets are present except the edges represented by dashed lines. The number next to a vertex is the color assigned to that vertex.

graph with both the partite sets having cardinality p . Note that $G(2)$ has independence number p . Now properly color the graph $G(2)$ such that every color from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ appears in each partite set of $G(2)$ (see Figure 5(left)). Note that the coloring obtained is a frozen p -coloring of $G(2)$ and hence $\mathcal{G}(G(2), p)$ is disconnected. Since $G(2)$ is 2-colorable, Lemma 6 holds for $k = 2$. The construction of the graph $G(2)$ first appeared in [7].

Now we construct a 3-colorable graph $G(3)$ with the independence number at most p such that $\mathcal{G}(G(3), \lfloor \frac{3p}{2} \rfloor)$ is disconnected as follows. If p is even, then $V(G(3))$ has $3p$ vertices; otherwise $V(G(3))$ has $3p - 1$ vertices. The vertex set $V(G(3))$ can be partitioned as $I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3$ such that I_1, I_2 , and I_3 are independent sets and $|I_1| = |I_2| = p$. Let $I_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$. There exists a $\lfloor \frac{3p}{2} \rfloor$ -coloring c of $G(3)$ such that the following holds. The vertex v_i is assigned color i for every $i \leq p$. Every vertex of I_2 is assigned a distinct color i if $i \leq p$ and i is odd. Every vertex of I_3 is assigned a distinct color i if $i \leq p$ and i is even. Every remaining vertex of I_2 is assigned a distinct color from the set $\{p+1, p+2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{3p}{2} \rfloor\}$. Similarly, every remaining vertex of I_3 is assigned a distinct color from the set $\{p+1, p+2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{3p}{2} \rfloor\}$. All possible edges are present in $G(3)$ such that I_1, I_2 , and I_3 remain independent sets and c remains a proper coloring. We refer to Figure 5(right) for a representation of $G(3)$ when p is even. Note that c is a frozen $\lfloor \frac{3p}{2} \rfloor$ -coloring of $G(3)$. Hence Lemma 6 holds for $k = 3$.

Now assume that $k \geq 4$. Note that there exist integers x and y such that $k = 2x + 3y$ and $y \in \{0, 1\}$. Consider a graph $G(k)$ that is constructed by taking x copies of $G(2)$ and y copy of $G(3)$ and adding all possible edges between every pair of copies. Note that $G(k)$ has independence number at most p . Since $G(2)$ has a frozen p -coloring and $G(3)$ has a frozen $\lfloor \frac{3p}{2} \rfloor$ -coloring, $G(k)$ has a frozen $xp + y \lfloor \frac{3p}{2} \rfloor$ -coloring. If k is even, then $x = \frac{k}{2}$ and $y = 0$. If k is odd, then $x = \frac{k-3}{2}$ and $y = 1$. So for any $k \geq 4$, $G(k)$ has a frozen $\lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor$ -coloring. Hence $G(k)$ is a k -colorable graph with the independence number at most p such that $\mathcal{G}(G(k), \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor)$ is disconnected. \square

Lemma 7 (Renaming Lemma [3]). *If c_1 and c_2 are two k -colorings of a graph G that induce the*

same partition of vertices into color classes, then there exists a recoloring sequence σ_G from c_1 to c_2 in $\mathcal{G}(G, k')$; $k' > k$ that recolors every vertex at most 2 times.

Lemma 8. *Let G be a graph with the independence number at most p for some fixed $p \geq 2$. If G is k -colorable for some positive integer k , then in any k' -coloring of G for $k' \geq \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor + 1$, there exists a color class that contain at most one vertex of G .*

Proof. Let G be k -colorable. Since G has independence number at most p , every color class in any coloring of G contains at most p vertices of G . Since G is k -colorable, we have $|V(G)| \leq pk$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exists a k' -coloring of G such that $k' \geq \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and every color class contains at least two vertices of G . Then $|V(G)| \geq 2k' \geq 2(\lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor + 1) > pk$ which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 9. *Let c_1 be a k -coloring of G such that it has a color class with at most one vertex of G . Given any k -coloring c , there exists a k -coloring c_2 that shares a color class with c , say C , such that c_2 can be obtained from c_1 by recoloring each vertex of C at most once.*

Proof. Let i be a color of c_1 such that $|c_1^{-1}(i)| \leq 1$. Now we choose a color j of c as follows. If $c_1^{-1}(i) = \{v\}$, then j is the color assigned to v in c . If $c_1^{-1}(i) = \emptyset$, then j is any color of c whose color class is non-empty. Let $C = c^{-1}(j)$. Recolor the vertices of C by the color i in the coloring c_1 one by one. Since the vertices of C are pairwise non-adjacent, at each step a proper k -coloring is obtained. Let c_2 be the new coloring obtained from c_1 at the end of the process. Then it is clear that $c_2^{-1}(i) = C = c^{-1}(j)$ and there is a recoloring sequence from c_1 to c_2 that recolors every vertex of C at most once. \square

Lemma 10. *Let G be a graph with the independence number at most p for some fixed $p \geq 2$. If G is k -colorable for some $k \geq 2$, then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}(G, k')) \leq 4|V(G)|$ for every $k' \geq \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor + 1$.*

Proof. Let G be k -colorable for some $k \geq 2$. Let c_1 and c_2 be two distinct k' -colorings of G for $k' \geq \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor + 1$. It is sufficient to show that there exists a recoloring sequence from c_1 to c_2 that recolors every vertex of G at most 4 times. Let γ be any $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G .

Claim 5. *There exists a $\chi(G)$ -coloring c^* such that c^* and γ partition $V(G)$ into the same color classes and c^* can be obtained from c_1 by recoloring every vertex of G at most once.*

Proof of Claim 5. We use induction on $\chi(G)$ to prove the claim. For the base case, assume that $\chi(G) = 1$. Then G consists of only isolated vertices and hence c^* can be obtained from c_1 by recoloring every vertex of G by a single color. So assume that $\chi(G) \geq 2$ and the induction hypothesis that the claim is true for all the graphs whose chromatic number is less than $\chi(G)$. Since G is k -colorable and c_1 is a k' -coloring of G for $k' \geq \lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor + 1$, by Lemma 8, there exists a color class of c_1 that contains at most one vertex of G . Then by Lemma 9, a k' -coloring c'_1 of G exists such that c'_1 and γ share at least one color class, say C , and c'_1 can be obtained from c_1 by recoloring the vertices of C at most once. Let i and j be the colors of c'_1 and γ , respectively such that $c'^{-1}_1(i) = \gamma^{-1}(j) = C$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i = k'$ and $j = \chi(G)$.

Let G_1 be the subgraph of G induced by the vertex set $V(G) \setminus C$. Let \hat{c}_1 and $\hat{\gamma}$ be the $(k' - 1)$ -coloring $c'_1|_{G_1}$ and the $(\chi(G) - 1)$ -coloring $\gamma|_{G_1}$, respectively. Note that $\hat{\gamma}$ is a $\chi(G_1)$ -coloring of G_1 since $\chi(G_1) = \chi(G) - 1$. Let $k'_1 = k' - 1$ and $k_1 = k - 1$. Then \hat{c}_1 and $\hat{\gamma}$ are k'_1 -coloring and $\chi(G_1)$ -coloring of G , respectively. Note that $k'_1 = k' - 1 \geq (\lfloor \frac{pk}{2} \rfloor + 1) - 1 \geq \lfloor \frac{p(k-1)}{2} \rfloor + 1 = \lfloor \frac{pk_1}{2} \rfloor + 1$. Further note that G_1 is k_1 -colorable since G is k -colorable. So by induction, there exists a k'_1 -coloring c_1^* of G_1 such that c_1^* and $\hat{\gamma}$ partition $V(G_1)$ into same sets of color classes and c_1^* can be obtained from \hat{c}_1 by recoloring every vertex of G_1 at most once. Let c^* be a k' -coloring of G such that $c^*|_{G_1} = c_1^*$ and $c^*(v) = c'_1(v)$ for $v \in C$. Thus there is a recoloring sequence from c'_1 to c^* that recolors the vertices of G_1 at most once and does not recolor the vertices of C . So there is a recoloring sequence from c_1 to c^* that recolors every vertex of G at most once and c^* and γ partition $V(G)$ into the same color classes. Note that the first $k' - \chi(G)$ color classes of c^* are empty and hence c^* uses $\chi(G)$ colors only. This completes the proof of the claim. \square

Now we return to the proof of Lemma 10. By Claim 5, there exist $\chi(G)$ -colorings c^* and c^{**} such that there are recoloring sequences from c_1 to c^* and from c_2 to c^{**} that recolors every vertex of G at most once. Recall that c^* and c^{**} are $\chi(G)$ -colorings of G such that c^* , c^{**} , and γ induce the same partition of vertices into color classes. Since $k' > \chi(G)$, by Lemma 7, there is a recoloring sequence from c^* to c^{**} in $\mathcal{G}(G, k')$ that recolors every vertex of G at most twice. Hence there is a recoloring sequence from c_1 to c_2 that recolors every vertex of G at most 4 times. \square

References

- [1] V. Bartier, N. Bousquet, C. Feghali, M. Heinrich, B. Moore, T. Pierron, Recolouring planar graphs of girth at least five, 2021, [arXiv:2112.00631](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.00631).
- [2] M. Bonamy, M. Johnson, I. Lignos, V. Patel, D. Paulusma, Reconfiguration graphs for vertex colourings of chordal and chordal bipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Optim.* 27 (2014) 132–143.
- [3] M. Bonamy, N. Bousquet, Recoloring graphs via tree decompositions, *European J. Combin.* 69 (2018) 200–213.
- [4] P. Bonsma, L. Cereceda, Finding paths between graph colourings: PSPACE-completeness and superpolynomial distances, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 410 (50) (2009) 5215–5226.
- [5] N. Bousquet, G. Perarnau, Fast recoloring of sparse graphs, *European J. Combin.* 52 (2016) 1–11.
- [6] N. Bousquet, M. Heinrich, A polynomial version of Cereceda’s conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 155 (2022) 1-16.
- [7] L. Cereceda, *Mixing Graph Colourings* (Ph.D. thesis), London School of Economics and Political Science, 2007.
- [8] L. Cereceda, J. van den Heuvel, M. Johnson, Connectedness of the graph of vertex-colourings, *Discrete Math.* 308 (2008) 913-919.

- [9] S. Chen, M. Delcourt, A. Moitra, G. Perarnau, L. Postle, Improved bounds for randomly sampling colorings via linear programming, in: Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2019, 2216–2234.
- [10] D. W. Cranston, List-recoloring of sparse graphs, *European J. Combin.* 105 (2022) 103562.
- [11] D. W. Cranston, R. Mahmoud, 5-Coloring Reconfiguration of Planar Graphs with No Short Odd Cycles, 2022, [arXiv:2208.02228](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.02228).
- [12] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 173, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [13] Z. Dvořák, C. Feghali, A Thomassen-type method for planar graph recoloring, *European J. Combin.* 95 (2021) 103319.
- [14] M. Dyer, A.D. Flaxman, A.M. Frieze, E. Vigoda, Randomly coloring sparse random graphs with fewer colors than the maximum degree, *Random Struct. Algorithms* 29 (4) (2006) 450–465.
- [15] C. Feghali, Reconfiguring colorings of graphs with bounded maximum average degree, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 147 (2021) 133–138.
- [16] G. Fijavž, M. Juvan, B. Mohar, R. Škrekovski, Planar graphs without cycles of specific lengths, *European J. Combin.* 23 (2002) 377–388.
- [17] A. Frieze, E. Vigoda, A survey on the use of Markov chains to randomly sample colourings, *Oxf. Lect. Ser. Math. Appl.* 34 (2007) 53.
- [18] O. Merkel, Recolouring weakly chordal graphs and the complement of triangle-free graphs, *Discrete Math.* 345 (2022) 112708.
- [19] B. Mohar, J. Salas, On the non-ergodicity of the Swendsen–Wang–Kotecký algorithm on the Kagomé lattice, *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* 2010 (05) (2010) P05016.
- [20] N. Nishimura, Introduction to reconfiguration, *Algorithms* 11 (2018) 52.
- [21] Y. Shen, G. Zheng, W. He, Y. Zhao, Structural properties and edge choosability of planar graphs without 4-cycles, *Discrete Math.* 308 (2008) 5789–5794.
- [22] P. Sittitrai, K. Nakprasit, Planar graphs without mutually adjacent 3-, 5-, and 6-cycles are 3-degenerate, *Discrete Math.* 345 (2022) 112942.
- [23] J. van den Heuvel, The complexity of change, p. 409, in: S.R. Blackburn, S. Gerke, M. Wildon (Eds.), Part of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 2013.
- [24] W. Wang, K.W. Lih, Choosability and edge choosability of planar graphs without five cycles, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 15 (2002) 561–565.