

ON HYPERCYCLICITY AND LINEAR CHAOS IN A NONCLASSICAL SEQUENCE SPACE AND BEYOND

MARAT V. MARKIN AND ERIC MONTOYA

ABSTRACT. We analyze the hypercyclicity, chaoticity, and spectral structure of (bounded and unbounded) weighted backward shifts in a nonclassical sequence space, which the space l_1 of summable sequences is both isometrically isomorphic to and continuously and densely embedded into.

Based on the weighted backward shifts, we further construct new bounded and unbounded linear hypercyclic and chaotic operators both in the nonclassical sequence space and the classical space l_1 , including those that are hypercyclic but not chaotic.

1. INTRODUCTION

We analyze the hypercyclicity, chaoticity, and spectral structure of the weighted backward shifts, *bounded*

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto A_w x := w (x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \quad (w \in \mathbb{F})$$

($\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{C}$), as well as *unbounded*

$$A_w x := (w^k x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (w \in \mathbb{F}, |w| > 1)$$

with maximal domain

$$D(A_w) := \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid (w^k x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\},$$

(for the first mention in the classical setting, see [15, 19]) in a nonclassical sequence space X introduced in [8] (see also [10]), which the space l_1 of summable sequences is both isometrically isomorphic to and continuously and densely embedded into.

Based on the weighted backward shifts, we further construct new bounded and unbounded linear hypercyclic and chaotic operators both in the nonclassical sequence space X and the classical space l_1 , including those that are hypercyclic but not chaotic.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The subsequent preliminaries are essential for our discourse.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A16, 47B37; Secondary 47A10.

Key words and phrases. Hypercyclic vector, periodic point, hypercyclic operator, chaotic operator, spectrum.

2.1. Certain Facts on Classical Sequence Spaces.

Definition 2.1 (Schauder Basis).

For a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ over \mathbb{F} , a subset $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ is called a *Schauder basis* if

$$\forall x \in X \exists! (c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} : x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k e_k.$$

The series is called the *Schauder expansion* of x and the numbers $c_k \in \mathbb{F}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are called the *coordinates* of x relative to $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (see, e.g., [14, 16, 18]).

Classical examples of such spaces are the spaces

$$l_p := \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p < \infty \right. \right\}$$

of *p-summable sequences* ($1 \leq p < \infty$) and the space

$$c_0 := \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \left| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0 \right. \right\}$$

of *vanishing sequences*.

For these spaces, the set $\{e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where

$$\delta_{nk} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq n, \end{cases}$$

is the *Kronecker delta*, is the *standard* Schauder basis and

$$\forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X : x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k.$$

($X := l_p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) or $X := c_0$).

For the space

$$c := \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \left| \exists \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k \in \mathbb{F} \right. \right\}$$

of *convergent sequences*, the *standard* Schauder basis is $\{e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, where

$$e_0 := (1, 1, 1, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c : x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k e_k,$$

where

$$c_0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m, \quad c_k = x_k - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The space

$$l_{\infty} := \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \left| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k| < \infty \right. \right\}$$

of *bounded sequences* has no Schauder basis since it is not separable.

See, e.g. [14, 16, 18].

Remark 2.1. Observe that c is a proper subspace of l_∞ and c_0 is a proper subspace (a *hyperplane*) of c , i.e.,

$$c_0 \subset c \subset l_\infty,$$

(see, e.g., [14, 16, 17]).

Henceforth, make use of the following

Theorem 2.1 (General Characterization of Convergence [18, Theorem 1]).

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space with a Schauder basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and corresponding coordinate functionals $c_n(\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a vector x in X ,

$$x_n \rightarrow x, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

iff

$$(1) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} : c_k(x_n) \rightarrow c_k(x), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists K_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall K \geq K_0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} c_k(x_n)e_k \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Remark 2.2. In the chain of the proper inclusions

$$c_{00} \subset l_p \subset l_q \subset c_0,$$

where $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, (see, e.g., [14, 16]),

$$l_p \hookrightarrow l_q \hookrightarrow c_0$$

are *continuous* and *dense* embeddings.

In particular, the continuity of embeddings

$$l_p \hookrightarrow l_q \quad (1 \leq p < q < \infty)$$

is implied by the *Characterization of Convergence in l_p* ($1 \leq p < \infty$) (see, e.g., [16, Proposition 2.16], [14, Proposition 2.17]), which, in its turn, is a particular case of the prior general characterization [18].

2.2. Spectrum.

The spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of a closed linear operator A in a complex Banach space X is the union of the following pairwise disjoint sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(A) &:= \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid A - \lambda I \text{ is not injective, i.e., } \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A \}, \\ \sigma_c(A) &:= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid A - \lambda I \text{ is injective, not surjective, and } \overline{R(A - \lambda I)} = X \right\}, \\ \sigma_r(A) &:= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid A - \lambda I \text{ is injective and } \overline{R(A - \lambda I)} \neq X \right\} \end{aligned}$$

($R(\cdot)$ is the *range* of an operator and $\overline{\cdot}$ is the *closure* of a set), called the *point*, *continuous*, and *residual spectrum* of A , respectively (see, e.g., [6, 14]).

2.3. Hypercyclicity and Linear Chaos.

Definition 2.2 (Hypercyclic and Chaotic Linear Operators).

For a (bounded or unbounded) linear operator A in a (real or complex) Banach space X , a nonzero vector

$$x \in C^\infty(A) := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} D(A^n)$$

($D(\cdot)$ is the *domain* of an operator, $A^0 := I$, I is the *identity operator* on X) is called *hypercyclic* if its *orbit* under A

$$\text{orb}(x, A) := \{A^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$$

is dense in X , i.e.,

$$\overline{\text{orb}(x, A)} = X.$$

Linear operators possessing hypercyclic vectors are said to be *hypercyclic*.

If there exist an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a vector

$$x \in D(A^N) \quad \text{with} \quad A^N x = x,$$

such a vector is called a *periodic point* for the operator A of period N . If $x \neq 0$, we say that N is a *period* for A . Hypercyclic linear operators with a dense in X set $\text{Per}(A)$ of periodic points, i.e.,

$$\overline{\text{Per}(A)} = X,$$

are said to be *chaotic*.

See [2, 5, 9].

Examples 2.1.

1. On the space $X := l_p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) or $X := c_0$, the classical Rolewicz weighted backward shifts

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto A_w x := w(x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X,$$

where $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|w| > 1$ are *chaotic* [9, 19].

2. On the nonclassical sequence space

$$X := \left\{ (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right| < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k}{k} = 0 \right. \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \|x\| := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right|,$$

which takes the center stage in the subsequent discourse (see Section 3), the backward shift

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto Ax := (x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$$

is *hypercyclic* but *not* densely periodic, and hence, *not* chaotic [8] (see also [10, Exercise 4.1.3]).

3. On an infinite-dimensional separable Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, the identity operator I is densely periodic but *not* hypercyclic, and hence, *not* chaotic.

Remarks 2.1.

- In the prior definition of hypercyclicity, the underlying space is necessarily *infinite-dimensional* and *separable* (see, e.g., [10]).
- For a hypercyclic linear operator A , the set $HC(A)$ of its hypercyclic vectors is necessarily dense in X , and hence, the more so, is the subspace $C^\infty(A) \supseteq HC(A)$.
- Observe that

$$\text{Per}(A) = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \text{Per}_N(A),$$

where

$$\text{Per}_N(A) = \ker(A^N - I), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

is the *subspace* of N -periodic points of A .

- As immediately follows from the inclusions

$$HC(A^n) \subseteq HC(A), \quad \text{Per}(A^n) \subseteq \text{Per}(A), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

if, for a linear operator A in an infinite-dimensional separable Banach space X and some $n \geq 2$, the operator A^n is hypercyclic or chaotic, then A is also hypercyclic or chaotic, respectively.

Prior to [2,3], the notions of linear hypercyclicity and chaos had been studied exclusively for *continuous* linear operators on Fréchet spaces, in particular for *bounded* linear operators on Banach spaces (for a comprehensive survey, see [1,10]).

The following extension of *Kitai's criterion* for bounded linear operators (see [7,11]) is a useful shortcut for establishing hypercyclicity for (bounded or unbounded) linear operators without explicitly furnishing a hypercyclic vector as in [19].

Theorem 2.2 (Sufficient Condition for Hypercyclicity [2, Theorem 2.1]).

Let X be a (real or complex) infinite-dimensional separable Banach space and A be a densely defined linear operator in X such that each power A^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a closed operator. If there exists a set

$$Y \subseteq C^\infty(A) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A^n)$$

dense in X and a mapping $B : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that

- (1) $\forall x \in Y : ABx = x$ and
- (2) $\forall x \in Y : A^n x, B^n x \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$

then the operator A is hypercyclic.

The subsequent newly established sufficient condition for linear chaos [12], obtained via strengthening one of the hypotheses of the prior sufficient condition for hypercyclicity, serves as a shortcut for establishing chaoticity for (bounded or unbounded)

linear operators without explicitly furnishing both a hypercyclic vector and a dense set of periodic points and is fundamental for our discourse.

Theorem 2.3 (Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos [12, Theorem 3.2]).

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a (real or complex) infinite-dimensional separable Banach space and A be a densely defined linear operator in X such that each power A^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a closed operator. If there exists a set

$$Y \subseteq C^\infty(A) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A^n)$$

dense in X and a mapping $B : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that

- (1) $\forall x \in Y : ABx = x$ and
- (2) $\forall x \in Y \exists \alpha = \alpha(x) \in (0, 1), \exists c = c(x, \alpha) > 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} :$
 $\max(\|A^n x\|, \|B^n x\|) \leq c\alpha^n,$

then the operator A is chaotic.

For applications, see [13].

We also need the following statements.

Corollary 2.1 (Chaoticity of Powers [12, Corollary 4.3]).

For a chaotic linear operator A in a (real or complex) infinite-dimensional separable Banach space subject to the Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos (Theorem 2.3), each power A^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is chaotic.

Theorem 2.4 (Kitai [10, Theorem 5.6]).

For a bounded hypercyclic operator A on a complex infinite-dimensional separable Banach space, every connected component of its spectrum $\sigma(A)$ meets the unit circle.

Proposition 2.1 ([10, Proposition 5.7]).

For a bounded hypercyclic operator A on a complex infinite-dimensional separable Banach space, its spectrum has no isolated points and its point spectrum $\sigma_p(A)$ contains infinitely many roots of unity.

3. NONCLASSICAL SEQUENCE SPACE

3.1. Space and Its Elements.

For us, underlying is the following nonclassical infinite-dimensional sequence space

$$X := \left\{ (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right| < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k}{k} = 0 \right. \right\}$$

introduced in [8], which is separable and Banach relative to the norm

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \|x\| := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right|$$

(see also [10, Exercise 4.1.3]) and referred to as $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ henceforth.

Remark 3.1. Thus, a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is in the space X iff it satisfies the two conditions:

$$\left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0,$$

the latter condition being equivalent to

$$x_k = o(k), \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following examples illustrate the independence of the above conditions.

Examples 3.1.

1. $(1, 1, 1, \dots) \in X$ since

$$\left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \left(-\frac{1}{k(k+1)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0.$$

2. $\left(\frac{(-1)^k}{\ln(k+1)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin X$ since

$$\left(\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\ln(k+2)} - \frac{(-1)^k}{\ln(k+1)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \left(\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\ln(k+2)} + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\ln(k+1)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin l_1$$

$$\text{although} \quad \left(\frac{(-1)^k}{k \ln(k+1)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0.$$

3. $(k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin X$ since

$$\left(\frac{k+1}{k+1} - \frac{k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (0, 0, 0, \dots) \in l_1 \quad \text{but} \quad \left(\frac{k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (1, 1, 1, \dots) \notin c_0.$$

4. $(k^2)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin X$ since

$$\left(\frac{(k+1)^2}{k+1} - \frac{k^2}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (1, 1, 1, \dots) \notin l_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{k^2}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin c_0.$$

The following example relates the well-known class of power sequences to the space X .

Example 3.2 (Power Sequences).

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(k^p)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \Leftrightarrow p < 1.$$

In particular,

$$(1, 1, 1, \dots), \left(\sqrt{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \quad \text{and} \quad (k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (k^2)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin X.$$

Indeed,

$$\left(\frac{k^p}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (k^{p-1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0 \Leftrightarrow p-1 < 0 \Leftrightarrow p < 1.$$

Further, since, for $p - 1 < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(k+1)^p}{k+1} - \frac{k^p}{k} \right| &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(k+1)^{p-1} - k^{p-1}| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^{p-1} - (k+1)^{p-1}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n (k^{p-1} - (k+1)^{p-1}) = 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^{p-1} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we infer that $(k^p)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$.

In particular,

$$\forall p < 1 : \|(k^p)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\| = 1.$$

Remark 3.2. As follows from Examples 3.1 and Example 3.2 (see also Remark 2.1),

$$l_{\infty} \supset c \supset c_0 \ni \left(\frac{(-1)^k}{\ln(k+1)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin X \ni \left(\sqrt{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin l_{\infty},$$

Hence,

$$c_0 \not\subseteq X \not\subseteq l_{\infty}.$$

Thus, we conclude that the space X is not a part of the hierarchy of the classical spaces c_0 , c , and l_{∞} .

3.2. Isometric Isomorphisms.

Let us look at the following classical space

$$bv_0 := \left\{ (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0 \mid \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{k+1} - x_k| < \infty \right\},$$

of vanishing sequences of bounded variation with the norm

$$bv_0 \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \|x\|_0 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{k+1} - x_k|.$$

(see, e.g., [6]).

Proposition 3.1 (Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition).

1. The space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the space bv_0 under the mapping

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto J_1 x := \left(\frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0$$

with the inverse

$$bv_0 \ni y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto J_1^{-1} y := (ky_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X.$$

2. The space bv_0 is isometrically isomorphic to the space l_1 under the mapping

$$bv_0 \ni y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto J_2 y := (y_{k+1} - y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1,$$

with the inverse

$$l_1 \ni z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto J_2^{-1}z := \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0,$$

where

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k.$$

3. The space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the space l_1 under the mapping $J := J_2 J_1$, i.e.,

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto Jx := \left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$$

with the inverse $J^{-1} = J_1^{-1} J_2^{-1}$, i.e.,

$$l_1 \ni z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto J^{-1}z := \left(k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X,$$

where

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k.$$

Proof.

1. As is easily seen, $J_1 : X \rightarrow bv_0$ is an *injective linear operator* which is a bijection between X and bv_0 since

$$\forall y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0 : x := (ky_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \text{ and } J_1 x = \left(\frac{ky_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = y.$$

Since further

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X : \|J_1 x\|_0 &= \left\| \left(\frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_0 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right| = \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

we infer that J_2 is an *isometric isomorphism* between the spaces X and bv_0 with the inverse

$$bv_0 \ni y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto J_1^{-1}y := (ky_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X.$$

2. As is easily seen, $J_2 : bv_0 \rightarrow l_1$ is a linear operator.

Suppose that for an arbitrary $y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0$,

$$J_2 y = (y_{k+1} - y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (0, 0, 0 \dots).$$

Then, inductively,

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : y_k = y_1,$$

i.e., y is a constant sequence.

Thus, in view of the fact that

$$y_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty,$$

implies that $y = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$.

Therefore, the linear operator J_2 is *injective* (see, e.g., [14, 16]).

For an arbitrary $z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$, let

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Then

$$y := \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0$$

since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j = z_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z_j = 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_{k+1} - y_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^k z_j - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z_k| < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$J_2 y = \left(\sum_{j=0}^k z_j - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = z.$$

Hence, the operator J_2 is a *bijection* between bv_0 and l_1 .

Since further

$$\begin{aligned} \forall y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0 : \|J_2 y\|_1 &= \|(y_{k+1} - y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_1 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_{k+1} - y_k| = \|y\|_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the norm of l_1 , we infer that J_2 is an *isometric isomorphism* between the spaces bv_0 and l_1 with the inverse

$$l_1 \ni z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto J_2^{-1} z := \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0,$$

where

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k.$$

3. By parts 1 and 2, it is clear that $J := J_2 J_1$ is an *isometric isomorphism* between X and l_1 , and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X : Jx &= J_2 J_1 x = J_2 \left(\frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= \left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $J^{-1} := J_1^{-1} J_2^{-1}$ is the inverse of J , and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 : J^{-1} z &= J_1^{-1} J_2^{-1} z = J_1^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= \left(k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k.$$

□

Remarks 3.1.

- The fact that the space bv_0 is *isometrically isomorphic* to l_1 is stated as [6, Exercise IV.13.11] (see also [4]).
- Since l_1 is an infinite dimensional separable Banach space, as follows from the prior proposition, so are the spaces bv_0 and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.
- Since the subspace c_{00} of eventually zero sequences is dense in the space l_1 and $J_2^{-1}(c_{00}) = J^{-1}(c_{00}) = c_{00}$, by the prior proposition, c_{00} is also dense in the spaces bv_0 and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

3.3. Continuous and Dense Embeddings.

The latter remark is consistent with the following proposition further relating the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ to the spaces l_1 and bv_0 .

Proposition 3.2 (Continuous and Dense Embeddings).

The chain of proper inclusions

$$(3.1) \quad c_{00} \subset l_1 \subset bv_0 \subset X.$$

holds, where the embeddings

$$l_1 \hookrightarrow bv_0 \quad \text{and} \quad bv_0 \hookrightarrow X$$

are continuous and dense with

$$(3.2) \quad \|z\|_0 \leq 2\|z\|_1, \quad z \in l_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|y\| \leq \|y\|_0, \quad y \in bv_0.$$

Furthermore, the embedding $l_1 \hookrightarrow X$ is a continuous and dense embedding with

$$(3.3) \quad \|z\| \leq \|z\|_1, \quad z \in l_1.$$

Proof. First, it is clear that $c_{00} \subset l_1$ is a proper inclusion. Moreover,

$$\forall z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 : z \in c_0$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z_{k+1} - z_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z_{k+1}| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z_k| \leq 2\|z\|_1 < \infty.$$

Hence, the inclusion $l_1 \subseteq bv_0$ holds.

Moreover,

$$bv_0 \ni \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin l_1,$$

since $\left(\frac{1}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty \quad \text{while} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \right| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) = 1 < \infty.$$

Therefore, the inclusion $l_1 \subset bv_0$ is proper.

Due to estimate (3.4),

$$\|z\|_0 \leq 2\|z\|_1, \quad z \in l_1,$$

which makes the embedding $l_1 \hookrightarrow bv_0$ *continuous*.

Consider an arbitrary $y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0$.

In view of $bv_0 \subseteq c_0$,

$$\left(\frac{y_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0.$$

Furthermore, since $y \in bv_0$,

$$\left(r_k := \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} |y_{j+1} - y_j| \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Hence, the following is clear

$$(3.5) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} : |y_{k+1} - y_k| = r_k - r_{k+1}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \leq |y_k| = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} (|y_j| - |y_{j+1}|) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} |y_{j+1} - y_j| = r_k.$$

In view of $r_1 = \|y\|_0$, using (3.5) and (3.6), for an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{y_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{y_k}{k} \right| &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{y_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{y_k}{k+1} + \frac{y_k}{k+1} - \frac{y_k}{k} \right| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{y_{k+1} - y_k}{k+1} - \frac{y_k}{k(k+1)} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{|y_{k+1} - y_k|}{k+1} + \frac{|y_k|}{k(k+1)} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{r_k - r_{k+1}}{k+1} + \frac{|y_k|}{k(k+1)} \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{r_k - r_{k+1}}{k+1} + \frac{r_k}{k(k+1)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{r_k}{k+1} - \frac{r_{k+1}}{k+1} + \frac{r_k}{k} - \frac{r_k}{k+1} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{r_k}{k} - \frac{r_{k+1}}{k+1} \right) = r_1 - \frac{r_{n+1}}{n+1} = \|y\|_0 - \frac{r_{n+1}}{n+1} \leq \|y\|_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|y\| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{y_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{y_k}{k} \right| \leq \|y\|_0.$$

Thus, the inclusion $bv_0 \subseteq X$ holds and

$$\|y\| \leq \|y\|_0, \quad y \in bv_0,$$

so that the embedding $bv_0 \hookrightarrow X$ is *continuous*.

Moreover, since

$$X \ni (1, 1, 1, \dots) \notin c_0 \supseteq bv_0$$

(see Examples 3.1), the inclusion $bv_0 \subset X$ is proper.

Thus, chain of proper inclusions (3.1) holds, the embeddings

$$l_1 \hookrightarrow bv_0 \quad \text{and} \quad bv_0 \hookrightarrow X$$

being *continuous* with estimates (3.2) in place.

Since $\{e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a *Schauder basis* for l_1 (see Section 2.1), bv_0 , and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (see Section 3.4) we infer that

$$c_{00} = \text{span} \left(\{e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right)$$

is a *dense* subspace in l_1 , bv_0 , and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

Thus, the continuous embeddings

$$l_1 \hookrightarrow bv_0 \quad \text{and} \quad bv_0 \hookrightarrow X$$

are also *dense*.

The continuity and denseness of the embeddings

$$l_1 \hookrightarrow bv_0 \quad \text{and} \quad bv_0 \hookrightarrow X$$

instantly implies, the *continuity* and *denseness* for the embedding

$$l_1 \hookrightarrow X,$$

in which, by estimates (3.2),

$$\|z\| \leq \|z\|_0 \leq 2\|z\|_1, \quad z \in l_1.$$

Let us show that the latter estimate can be refined to estimate (3.3). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2: & \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{z_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{z_k}{k} \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{z_{k+1}}{k+1} \right| + \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{z_k}{k} \right| \\
& = |z_1| + \frac{|z_{n+1}|}{n+1} + 2 \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{|z_k|}{k} \\
& \leq |z_1| + |z_{n+1}| + 2 \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{|z_k|}{2} \\
& = |z_1| + |z_{n+1}| + \sum_{k=2}^n |z_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |z_k|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|z\| := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{z_k}{k} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{z_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{z_k}{k} \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |z_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z_k| = \|z\|_1.$$

□

Example 3.3 (Exponential Sequences).

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$(\lambda^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \Leftrightarrow |\lambda| < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = 1.$$

In particular,

$$(1, 1, 1, \dots), (2^{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \quad \text{and} \quad (i^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, ((-1)^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (2^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin X.$$

(i is the *imaginary unit*).

Indeed, for $\lambda = 1$,

$$(\lambda^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (1, 1, 1, \dots)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$$

(see Examples 3.1).

For $|\lambda| < 1$, since

$$(\lambda^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1,$$

by the prior proposition, $(\lambda^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$.

For $|\lambda| > 1$, since

$$\left(\frac{\lambda^k}{k} \right) \notin c_0,$$

we infer that $(\lambda^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin X$.

For $\lambda = -1$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \right| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(-1)^{k+1}| \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k} \right) = \infty,$$

so that $(\lambda^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = ((-1)^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin X$.

Lastly, for $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\lambda \notin \{-1, 1\}$,

$$\exists \theta \in (-\pi, \pi] \setminus \{0, \pi\} : \lambda = e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall k \in \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{e^{i\theta(k+1)}}{k+1} - \frac{e^{i\theta k}}{k} \right| &= |e^{i\theta k}| \left| \frac{e^{i\theta}}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \right| = \left| \frac{\cos \theta + i \sin \theta}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \right| \\ &= \left| \left(\frac{\cos \theta}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \right) + i \frac{\sin \theta}{k+1} \right| \geq \frac{|\sin \theta|}{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi] \setminus \{0, \pi\}$ so that $|\sin \theta| \neq 0$, by the *Comparison Test*,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{e^{i\theta(k+1)}}{k+1} - \frac{e^{i\theta k}}{k} \right| = \infty.$$

Therefore, $(\lambda^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \notin X$.

3.4. Convergence.

The set $\{e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where δ_{nk} is the *Kronecker delta*, is a *Schauder basis* for the space l_1 (see Preliminaries).

Hence, by the *Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition* (Proposition 3.1),

$$J^{-1}(\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \{J^{-1}e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a Schauder basis for X ($J : X \rightarrow l_1$ is the corresponding isometric isomorphism), where

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : J^{-1}e_n &:= \left(k \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta_{nj} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \delta_{nj} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \left(k \left(-\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \delta_{nj} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= (-1, -2, \dots, -n, 0, 0, \dots) = -\sum_{k=1}^n k e_k. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the set $\{e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, is also a *Schauder basis* for X and

$$(3.7) \quad \forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X : x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad \forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X : \left\| x - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k e_k \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} x_k e_k \right\| \\ &= \frac{|x_{n+1}|}{n+1} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3. Similarly, by the *Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition* (Proposition 3.1),

$$J_2^{-1}(\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \left\{ -\sum_{k=1}^n e_k \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a *Schauder basis* for the space bv_0 ($J_2 : bv_0 \rightarrow l_1$ is the corresponding *isometric isomorphism*) as well as the set $\{e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Based on the above, we obtain the following

Corollary 3.1 (Characterization of Convergence in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$).

A sequence $\left(x^{(n)} := \left(x_k^{(n)}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, i.e.,

$$x^{(n)} \rightarrow x, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

iff

$$(1) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} : x_k^{(n)} \rightarrow x_k, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists K \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}^{(n)}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k^{(n)}}{k} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Since the set $\{e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a *Schauder basis* for the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ with the coordinate functionals

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto c_k(x) = x_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

(see (3.7)), by the *General Characterization of Convergence Proposition* (Theorem 2.1), a sequence $\left(x^{(n)} := \left(x_k^{(n)}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, i.e.,

$$x^{(n)} \rightarrow x, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

iff

$$(1') \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} : c_k(x^{(n)}) = x_k^{(n)} \rightarrow c_k(x) = x_k, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2') \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists K_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall K \geq K_0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} :$$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} x_k^{(n)} e_k \right\| = \frac{|x_{K+1}^{(n)}|}{K+1} + \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}^{(n)}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k^{(n)}}{k} \right| < \varepsilon$$

(see (3.8)).

Thus, condition (1) is equivalent to condition (1').

It is clear that condition (2') implies seemingly weaker condition (2).

For the reverse implication, by condition (2), suppose that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists K_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=K_0+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}^{(n)}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k^{(n)}}{k} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then, since $x^{(n)} \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we further have:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall K \geq K_0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{\varepsilon}{2} &> \sum_{k=K_0+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}^{(n)}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k^{(n)}}{k} \right| \geq \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}^{(n)}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k^{(n)}}{k} \right| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|x_k^{(n)}|}{k} - \frac{|x_{k+1}^{(n)}|}{k+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{|x_{K+1}^{(n)}|}{K+1} - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{m+1}^{(n)}|}{m+1} = \frac{|x_{K+1}^{(n)}|}{K+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\frac{|x_{K+1}^{(n)}|}{K+1} + \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}^{(n)}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k^{(n)}}{k} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, condition (2') is implied by condition (2) so that both conditions are equivalent. \square

Remark 3.4. Condition (2) can be equivalently restated as follows:

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{k+1}^{(n)}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k^{(n)}}{k} \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad K \rightarrow \infty.$$

4. BOUNDED WEIGHTED BACKWARD SHIFTS

Lemma 4.1 (Norm Identities).

On the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, the backward shift

$$(4.1) \quad X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto Ax := (x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$$

is a bounded linear operator subject to the following norm identities:

$$\|A^n\| = n + 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, $\|A\| = 2$.

Proof. Let

$$(4.2) \quad bv_0 \ni y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \hat{A}y := (y_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0.$$

The fact that the mapping \hat{A} is well defined and linear on bv_0 is obvious.

The linear operator \hat{A} is also bounded since

$$\forall y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_{k+2} - y_{k+1}| = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |y_{k+1} - y_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_{k+1} - y_k| = \|y\|_0.$$

In particular, we infer

$$(4.3) \quad \|\hat{A}\| \leq 1.$$

Let $x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$ be arbitrary.

By the *Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition* (Proposition 3.1),

$$(4.4) \quad \exists y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in bv_0 : x = J_1^{-1}y := (ky_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

($J_1 : X \rightarrow bv_0$ is the corresponding *isometric isomorphism*).

Hence, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (x_{k+n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} && \text{by (4.4);} \\ & = ((k+n)y_{k+n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (ky_{k+n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} + n(y_{k+n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ & \quad \text{by the } \textit{Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition} \text{ (Proposition 3.1) and (4.2);} \\ & = J_1^{-1}\hat{A}^n y + n\hat{A}^n y && \text{since } n\hat{A}^n y \in bv_0 \subset X \\ & \quad \text{by the } \textit{Continuous and Dense Embeddings Proposition} \text{ (Proposition 3.2)} \\ & && \text{and (4.4);} \\ & = J_1^{-1}\hat{A}^n J_1 x + n\hat{A}^n J_1 x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the operator A is well defined on X by (4.1) and is, obviously, *linear*.

Let $x \in X$ with $\|x\| = 1$ be arbitrary.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^n x\| &= \left\| J_1^{-1}\hat{A}^n J_1 x + n\hat{A}^n J_1 x \right\| \leq \left\| J_1^{-1}\hat{A}^n J_1 x \right\| + n \left\| \hat{A}^n J_1 x \right\| \\ & \quad \text{by the } \textit{Continuous and Dense Embeddings Proposition} \text{ (Proposition 3.2),} \\ & && \text{estimates (3.2);} \\ & \leq \left\| J_1^{-1}\hat{A}^n J_1 x \right\| + n \left\| \hat{A}^n J_1 x \right\|_0 \\ & \quad \text{by the } \textit{Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition} \text{ (Proposition 3.1)} \\ & = \left\| \hat{A}^n J_1 x \right\|_0 + n \left\| \hat{A}^n J_1 x \right\|_0 = (n+1) \left\| \hat{A}^n J_1 x \right\|_0 \leq (n+1) \left\| \hat{A} \right\|^n \|J_1 x\|_0 \\ & && \text{by estimate (4.3);} \\ & \leq (n+1) \|J_1 x\|_0 \\ & \quad \text{by the } \textit{Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition} \text{ (Proposition 3.1)} \\ & = (n+1) \|x\| = n+1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A is a *bounded linear operator* on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and

$$\|A^n\| \leq n+1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and

$$x_k^{(n)} := \begin{cases} k, & k \leq n+1, \\ 0, & k > n+1, \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, in view of the *Continuous and Dense Embeddings Proposition* (Proposition 3.2),

$$x^{(n)} := \left(x_k^{(n)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \subset X.$$

Since

$$\|x^{(n)}\| = \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{k+1}{k+1} - \frac{k}{k} \right| + \left| \frac{0}{n+2} - \frac{n+1}{n+1} \right| = 1$$

and

$$\|A^n x^{(n)}\| = \left\| \left(x_{k+n}^{(n)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\| = \left| \frac{0}{2} - \frac{n+1}{1} \right| = n+1,$$

we infer that

$$n+1 \leq \|A^n\|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\|A^n\| = n+1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

Remarks 4.1.

- By the prior lemma, we conclude that the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is *shift invariant*.
- As follows from the proof of the prior lemma, for the backward shift

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto Ax := (x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$$

on the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, the following representations

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : A^n = J_1^{-1} \hat{A}^n J_1 + n \hat{A}^n J_1$$

hold.

Theorem 4.1 (Bounded Weighted Backward Shifts).

Let $w \in \mathbb{F}$, the bounded linear weighted backward shift operator

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto A_w x := w(x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$$

on the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is

- (1) nonhypercyclic for $|w| < 1$,
- (2) hypercyclic but not chaotic for $|w| = 1$, and
- (3) chaotic along with every power A_w^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for $|w| > 1$.

Provided the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complex (i.e., $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$),

$$\sigma(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq |w|\}$$

with

$$\sigma_p(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < |w|\} \cup \{w\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_c(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = |w|\} \setminus \{w\}.$$

Proof. The fact that $A_w = wA$, where

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto Ax := (x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$$

is the unweighted backward shift, is a *bounded linear operator* on X for arbitrary $w \in \mathbb{F}$ follows from the *Norm Identities Lemma* (Lemma 4.1) by which

$$(4.6) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \|A_w^n\| = \|w^n A^n\| = |w|^n \|A^n\| = |w|^n (n+1).$$

With this in mind, for the following below consider $w \in \mathbb{F}$.

(1) Let $|w| < 1$.

By identities (4.6), we infer that

$$\forall x \in X : 0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_w^n x\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |w|^n (n+1) \|x\| = 0.$$

Therefore, A_w is *not* hypercyclic.

(2) Let $|w| = 1$.

In view of A_w being a bounded linear operator on X , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, A_w^n is a bounded linear operator on X , and hence, by *Characterization of Closedness for Bounded Linear Operators* (see [14, 16]), is also a *closed linear operator*.

Since $w \neq 0$, let

$$c_{00} \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto B_w x := w^{-1} (x_{k-1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}},$$

with $x_0 := 0$ and the linearity being obvious.

Inductively,

$$\forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : B_w^n x = w^{-n} (x_{k-n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \subset X$$

$$(x_{k-n} := 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n).$$

Furthermore, for arbitrary $x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \subset l_1 \subset X$, we have:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \left(\frac{x_{k-n}}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \subset l_1 \subset X$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_w^n x\| &= \|w^{-n} (x_{k-n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\| = |w|^{-n} \|(x_{k-n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\| && \text{since } |w| = 1; \\ &= \|(x_{k-n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\| && \\ &\quad \text{by the } \textit{Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition} \text{ (Proposition 3.1)} ; \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{x_{k-n}}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_0 && \\ &\quad \text{by the } \textit{Continuous and Dense Embeddings Proposition} \text{ (Proposition 3.2),} \\ &\quad \text{estimates (3.2);} \\ &\leq 2 \left\| \left(\frac{x_{k-n}}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_1 = 2 \left\| \left(\frac{x_k}{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{2}{n+1} \|x\|_1 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Considering $x \in c_{00}$, it is clear that

$$A_w^n x \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\forall x \in c_{00} : A_w^n x, B_w^n x \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

i.e., the second condition of the *Sufficient Condition for Hypercyclicity* (Theorem 2.2) is met.

On the other hand, the first condition is clearly met. i.e.,

$$\forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} : A_w B_w x = w ((B_w x)_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} := x.$$

Furthermore, the following is clear:

$$c_{00} \subseteq C^\infty(A) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A^n) \subseteq X.$$

Therefore, since c_{00} is dense in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (see Remarks 3.1 and Proposition 3.2), by the *Sufficient Condition for Hypercyclicity* (Theorem 2.2), A_w is *hypercyclic*.

In [8], it is shown that the only periodic points of A are *constant sequences*.

Here, we generalize the proof and obtain the following:

$$(4.7) \quad \text{Per}(A_w) \subseteq \text{span}\{(1, 1, 1, \dots)\}.$$

Indeed, by way of contradiction, suppose

$$\exists x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \text{Per}(A_w) \setminus \text{span}\{(1, 1, 1, \dots)\}.$$

Then,

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} : x = A_w^N x = w^N (x_{k+N})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

and

$$\exists j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j \neq x_{j+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : w^{kN} x_{j+kN} = x_j \neq x_{j+1} = w^{kN} x_{j+1+kN}$$

and hence, in view of $|w| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > \|x\| &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{j+1+kN}}{j+1+kN} - \frac{x_{j+kN}}{j+kN} \right| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{j+1} w^{-kN}}{j+1+kN} - \frac{x_j w^{-kN}}{j+kN} \right| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |w|^{-kN} \left| \frac{x_{j+1}}{j+1+kN} - \frac{x_j}{j+kN} \right| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{j+1}}{j+1+kN} - \frac{x_j}{j+kN} \right| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{j+1}}{j+1+kN} - \frac{x_j}{j+1+kN} + \frac{x_j}{j+1+kN} - \frac{x_j}{j+kN} \right| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{j+1+kN} - \frac{x_j}{(j+kN)(j+1+kN)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

However, since $x_j \neq x_{j+1}$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{j+1+kN} \right|}{\left| \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{j+1+kN} - \frac{x_j}{(j+kN)(j+1+kN)} \right|} = 1$$

so that, by the *Comparison Test*,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{j+1+kN} - \frac{x_j}{(j+kN)(j+1+kN)} \right| = \infty.$$

The obtained contradiction implies inclusion (4.7), which, in view of the fact that the one-dimensional subspace $\text{span}\{(1, 1, 1, \dots)\}$ of *constant sequences* is *nowhere dense* in the infinite-dimensional space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (see, e.g., [14, 16]), implies that

$$\overline{\text{Per}(A_w)} \neq X.$$

Whence, we conclude that the operator A_w is *not* chaotic.

(3) Let $|w| > 1$.

Similar to the case of $|w| = 1$, it is clear that A_w^n is a *closed linear operator* for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Further, since $w \neq 0$, let

$$c_{00} \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto B_w x := w^{-1} (x_{k-1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \subset X$$

with $x_0 := 0$.

Inductively,

$$\forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : B_w^n x = w^{-n} (x_{k-n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \subset X$$

$$(x_{k-n} := 0, k = 1, \dots, n).$$

Hence, for arbitrary $x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \subset l_1 \subset X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|B_w^n x\| = \|w^{-n} (x_{k-n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\| = |w|^{-n} \|(x_{k-n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|$$

by the *Continuous and Dense Embeddings Proposition* (Proposition 3.2),
estimate (3.3);

$$\leq |w|^{-n} \|(x_{k-n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_1 = |w|^{-n} \|x\|_1.$$

In view of $\|x\|_1 < \infty$ being fixed, $(A_w^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ eventually zero, and $|w| > 1$,

$$\forall x \in c_{00} \quad \exists \alpha(x) \in (|w|^{-1}, 1) \subset (0, 1), \quad \exists c = c(x, \alpha) > \|x\|_1 \geq 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} :$$

$$\max(\|A_w^n x\|, \|B_w^n x\|) \leq \max(\|A_w^n x\|, |w|^{-n} \|x\|_1) \leq c \alpha^n.$$

i.e., the second condition of the *Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos* (Theorem 2.3) is met.

Furthermore,

$$\forall x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} : A_w B_w x = w ((B_w x)_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} := x.$$

i.e., the first condition of the *Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos* (Theorem 2.3) is also met.

Lastly, the space $c_{00} \subset X$ is a dense subspace satisfying

$$c_{00} \subseteq C^\infty(A_w) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A_w^n) \subseteq X.$$

Hence, by the *Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos* (Theorem 2.3) and the *Chaoticity of Powers Corollary* (Corollary 2.1), A_w is a *chaotic* linear operator as well as its every power A_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Let us now analyze the spectral structure of A .

For this purpose, suppose that the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complex.

Then, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, by the *Exponential Sequences Example* (Example 3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} A - \lambda I \text{ is not injective} &\Leftrightarrow \exists x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, \dots)\} : (A - \lambda I)x = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, \dots)\} : Ax = \lambda x \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, \dots)\} : x_{k+1} = \lambda x_k \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists x_1 \in \mathbb{C} : x_1 (\lambda^{k-1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, \dots)\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\lambda^{k-1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, \dots)\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\lambda^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \lambda (\lambda^{k-1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, \dots)\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 < |\lambda| < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for the case of $\lambda = 0$, it is clear that A is *not* injective since for $e_1 := (\delta_{1k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, \dots)\}$,

$$Ae_1 = (0, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Hence,

$$(4.8) \quad \sigma_p(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < 1\} \cup \{1\}.$$

In view of A being a closed linear operator, $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a *closed* subset (see, e.g., [14]) so that

$$(4.9) \quad \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq 1\} = \overline{\sigma_p(A)} \subseteq \sigma(A).$$

Furthermore, since A is a bounded linear operator on the complex Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, by *Gelfand's Spectral Radius Theorem* (see, e.g., [14]), considering the *Norm Identities Lemma* (Lemma 4.1),

$$(4.10) \quad \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\frac{\ln(n+1)}{n}} = e^0 = 1.$$

Therefore, in view of (4.9) and (4.10), the following holds:

$$(4.11) \quad \sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq 1\}.$$

Since the operator A is *hypercyclic*, by [12, Proposition 4.1]

$$\sigma_r(A) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, since $\sigma_p(A)$, $\sigma_c(A)$, and $\sigma_r(A)$ partition $\sigma(A)$,

$$(4.12) \quad \sigma_c(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Hence, provided the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complex, since $\sigma_p(A_0) = \sigma(A_0) = \{0\}$ is clear, by (4.8), (4.11), and (4.12),

$$(4.13) \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq |w|\}$$

with

$$(4.14) \quad \sigma_p(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < |w|\} \cup \{w\}$$

and

$$\sigma_c(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = |w|\} \setminus \{w\}.$$

□

Remarks 4.2.

- For $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $|w| < 1$, when the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complex, by (4.13),

$$\sigma(A_w) = \sigma(wA) = w\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq |w| < 1\}.$$

Hence,

$$\sigma(A_w) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\} = \emptyset,$$

so that, by Theorem 2.4 (see [10, Theorem 5.6]), A_w is *not* hypercyclic.

- For $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $|w| = 1$, the fact that A_w is *not* chaotic coincides with B_w not satisfying the second condition of the *Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos* (Theorem 2.3).

Indeed, for $e_1 := (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots) \in c_{00}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_w^n e_1\| &= |w|^n \|B_1^n e_1\| = \|B_1^n e_1\| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{0}{n} \right| + \left| \frac{0}{n+2} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right| = \frac{2}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\forall \alpha \in (0, 1) \quad \forall c > 0 : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|B_w^n e_1\|}{c\alpha^n} = \frac{1}{c} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{(n+1)\alpha^n} = \infty.$$

- For $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $|w| = 1$,

$$\text{Per}(A_w) \subseteq \text{span}\{(1, 1, 1, \dots)\}.$$

From this, the following holds:

$$\text{Per}(A_w) = \text{span}\{(1, 1, 1, \dots)\} \Leftrightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : w^N = 1$$

and

$$\text{Per}(A_w) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \forall N \in \mathbb{N} : w^N \neq 1.$$

- For $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|w| = 1$, when the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complex, by (4.14),

$$\sigma_p(A_w) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\} = \{w\}.$$

Hence, $\sigma_p(A_w)$ doesn't contain infinitely many roots of unity so that, by Proposition 2.1 (see [10, Proposition 5.7]), A_w is *not* chaotic.

5. UNBOUNDED WEIGHTED BACKWARD SHIFTS

Lemma 5.1.

Let $w \in \mathbb{F}$ and $|w| > 1$. Then, for the weighted backward shift operator

$$A_w x := (w^k x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ with maximal domain

$$D(A_w) := \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid (w^k x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\},$$

each power

$$A_w^n x = \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with domain

$$D(A_w^n) = \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\}$$

is a densely defined unbounded closed linear operator and the subspace

$$C^\infty(A_w) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A_w^n)$$

is dense in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

Proof. Let $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|w| > 1$ be arbitrary.

Similarly to [17, Lemma 3.1],

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : A_w^n x = \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}},$$

with domain

$$D(A_w^n) = \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\},$$

where

$$c_{00} \subseteq D(A_w^{n+1}) \subseteq D(A_w^n).$$

Since $c_{00} \subset X$ is a dense subspace (see Remarks 3.1 and Proposition 3.2), it also follows verbatim [17, Lemma 3.1], that each power A_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is densely defined with

$$C^\infty(A_w) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A_w^n)$$

being dense in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

Let $e_m := (\delta_{mk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \subset X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $m \geq 2$, then

$$(5.1) \quad \left\| \frac{m}{2} e_m \right\| = \frac{m}{2} \|e_m\| = \frac{m}{2} \left(\left| \frac{1}{m} - \frac{0}{m-1} \right| + \left| \frac{0}{m+1} - \frac{1}{m} \right| \right) = \frac{m}{2} \frac{2}{m} = 1.$$

For an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in view of $|w| > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall m \geq 2 : \left\| A_w^n \frac{m+n}{2} e_{m+n} \right\| &= \frac{m+n}{2} \|A_w^n e_{m+n}\| \\
&= \frac{m+n}{2} \left\| \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] \delta_{(m+n)(k+n)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\| \\
&= \frac{m+n}{2} \left(\left| \frac{\prod_{j=m}^{m+n-1} w^j}{m} - \frac{0}{m-1} \right| + \left| \frac{0}{m+1} - \frac{\prod_{j=m}^{m+n-1} w^j}{m} \right| \right) \\
&= \frac{m+n}{m} \prod_{j=m}^{m+n-1} |w|^j \geq \prod_{j=m}^{m+n-1} |w|^j \geq |w|^{mn} \rightarrow \infty, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of (5.1), A_w^n is *unbounded*.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(x^{(m)} := \left(x_k^{(m)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $D(A_w^n)$ such that

$$x^{(m)} \rightarrow x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X, \quad m \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$A_w^n x^{(m)} = \left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n}^{(m)} \rightarrow y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, by the *Characterization of Convergence in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ Corollary* (Corollary 3.1), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_k^{(m)} \rightarrow x_k, \quad m \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n}^{(m)} \rightarrow y_k, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proceeding as in [17, Lemma 3.1], we infer that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n} = y_k,$$

which means that

$$\left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = y \in X.$$

Whence, we conclude that $x \in D(A_w^n)$ and $y = A_w^n x$, which, by the *Sequential Characterization of Closed Linear Operators* (see, e.g., [14, 16]), implies the operator A_w^n is *closed*. \square

Theorem 5.1 (Unbounded Weighted Backward Shifts).

For an arbitrary $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|w| > 1$, the unbounded linear weighted backward shift operator

$$A_w x := (w^k x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ with maximal domain

$$D(A_w) := \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid (w^k x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\}$$

is chaotic as well as its every power A_w^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Furthermore, each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue for A_w of geometric multiplicity 1, i.e.,

$$\dim \ker(A_w - \lambda I) = 1.$$

In particular, provided the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complex,

$$\sigma_p(A_w) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. Let $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|w| > 1$ be arbitrary.

Consider the following mapping:

$$c_{00} \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto B_w x := \left(w^{-(k-1)} x_{k-1} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \quad (x_0 := 0).$$

For which, it is clear that B_w is a well-defined linear operator such that

$$(5.2) \quad A_w B_w x = x, \quad x \in Y.$$

i.e., the first condition of the *Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos* (Theorem 2.3) is met.

Notice

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto B_w^2 x = \left(w^{-(k-1)} w^{-(k-2)} x_{k-2} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (x_{k-2} := 0, \quad k = 1, 2)$$

and

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto B_w^3 x = \left(w^{-(k-1)} w^{-(k-2)} w^{-(k-3)} x_{k-3} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

$(x_{k-3} := 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3)$.

Inductively, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto B_w^n x = \left(\left[\prod_{j=1}^n w^{-(k-j)} \right] x_{k-n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

$(x_{k-n} := 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n)$.

Hence, for arbitrary $x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00} \subset l_1 \subset X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|B_w^n x\| = \left\| \left(\left[\prod_{j=1}^n w^{-(k-j)} \right] x_{k-n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|$$

by the *Continuous and Dense Embeddings Proposition* (Proposition 3.2), estimate (3.3);

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\| \left(\left[\prod_{j=1}^n w^{-(k-j)} \right] x_{k-n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_1 = \left\| \left(\left[\prod_{j=1}^n w^{-(k+n-j)} \right] x_k \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_1 \\
&\hspace{20em} \text{since } |w| > 1; \\
&\leq \left\| \left(\left[\prod_{j=1}^n w^{-1} \right] x_k \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_1 = |w|^{-n} \|x\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of $\|x\|_1 < \infty$ being fixed, $(A_w^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ eventually zero, and $|w| > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\forall x \in c_{00} \exists \alpha(x) \in (|w|^{-1}, 1) \subset (0, 1), \exists c = c(x, \alpha) > \|x\|_1 \geq 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \\
&\quad \max(\|A_w^n x\|, \|B_w^n x\|) \leq \max(\|A_w^n x\|, |w|^{-n} \|x\|_1) \leq c\alpha^n.
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. the second condition of the *Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos* (Theorem 2.3) is also met.

By Lemma 5.1, the *Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos* (Theorem 2.3), and the *Chaoticity of Powers Corollary* (Corollary 2.1), we conclude that the operator A_w is *chaotic* as well as its every power A_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

What follows almost verbatim mimics the reasoning of [15, Theorem 3.1] (also [17, Theorem 3.2]).

For arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ($\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{C}$) and $x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in D(A_w)$, the equation

$$(5.3) \quad A_w x = \lambda x$$

is equivalent to

$$(w^k x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \lambda (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}},$$

i.e.,

$$w^k x_{k+1} = \lambda x_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Whence, we recursively infer that

$$x_k = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda}{w^{k-j}} \right] x_1 = \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{w^{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (k-j)}} x_1 = \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{w^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}} x_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{w^{\frac{k}{2}}} \right)^{k-1} x_1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where for $\lambda = 0$, $0^0 := 1$.

Considering that $|w| > 1$, for all sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$\left| \frac{\lambda}{w^{\frac{k}{2}}} \right|^{k-1} = \left(\frac{|\lambda|}{|w|^{\frac{k}{2}}} \right)^{k-1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{k-1},$$

which implies, by the *Comparison Test* and the *Continuous and Dense Embeddings Proposition* (Proposition 3.2),

$$y := (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} := \left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{w^{\frac{k}{2}}} \right)^{k-1} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \subset X.$$

Further, since

$$w^k y_{k+1} = w^k \frac{\lambda^k}{w^{\sum_{j=1}^k (k+1-j)}} = \frac{\lambda^k}{w^{\sum_{j=2}^k (k+1-j)}} = \frac{\lambda^k}{w^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}} x_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{w^{\frac{k-1}{2}}} \right)^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

we similarly conclude that

$$(w^k y_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X,$$

and hence,

$$y \in D(A_w) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus, we have shown that, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$\ker(A_w - \lambda I) = \text{span}(\{y\}) \subseteq D(A_w),$$

and hence,

$$\dim \ker(A_w - \lambda I) = 1,$$

which completes the proof. \square

6. MORE HYPERCYCLICITY AND LINEAR CHAOS

Here, we discuss how the known chaos generates new chaos via the conjugacy relative the isometric isomorphism between the spaces $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and l_1 (see Section 3.2).

Theorem 6.1 (More Bounded Linear Chaos in X).

For $w \in \mathbb{F}$, the bounded linear operator

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \hat{A}_w x := w \left(\frac{k}{k+1} x_{k+1} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$$

on the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is chaotic as well as its every power

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \hat{A}_w^n x = w^n \left(\frac{k}{k+n} x_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

when $|w| > 1$ and nonhypercyclic otherwise when $|w| \leq 1$.

Provided the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complex

$$\sigma(\hat{A}_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq |w|\}$$

with

$$\sigma_p(\hat{A}_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < |w|\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_c(\hat{A}_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = |w|\}.$$

Proof. Let $w \in \mathbb{F}$ be arbitrary.

The weighed backward shift

$$l_1 \ni z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto A_w z := w(z_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$$

is a bounded linear operator which is chaotic [19] as well as its every power \hat{A}_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) when $|w| > 1$, being subject to the *Sufficient Condition for Linear Chaos* (Theorem 2.3) (see [12, Examples 3.1]), and is nonhypercyclic when $|w| \leq 1$ since $\|A_w\| = |w| \leq 1$ (see, e.g., [14]).

By the *Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition* (Proposition 3.1), the mapping

$$(6.1) \quad X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto Jx := \left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$$

is an *isometric isomorphism* between $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and l_1 with the inverse

$$(6.2) \quad l_1 \ni z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto J^{-1}z := \left(k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X,$$

where

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k.$$

On the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, consider the linear operator

$$\hat{A}_w := J^{-1}A_w J$$

naturally emerging from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} l_1 & \xrightarrow{A_w} & l_1 \\ \uparrow J & & \uparrow J \\ X & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_w} & X \end{array}.$$

Since

$$\hat{A}_w^n := J^{-1}A_w^n J, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$l_1 \ni z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto A_w^n z := w^n (z_{k+n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1,$$

for any $x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_w^n x &= J^{-1}A_w^n Jx = J^{-1}A_w^n \left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = J^{-1}w^n \left(\frac{x_{k+1+n}}{k+1+n} - \frac{x_{k+n}}{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= w^n \left(k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = w^n \left(\frac{k}{k+n} x_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \end{aligned}$$

since, for

$$z_k := \frac{x_{k+1+n}}{k+1+n} - \frac{x_{k+n}}{k+n}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x_{k+1+n}}{k+1+n} - \frac{x_{k+n}}{k+n} \right) = \frac{x_{1+n}}{1+n},$$

and hence,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j = z_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{x_{j+1+n}}{j+1+n} - \frac{x_{j+n}}{j+n} \right) = \frac{x_{1+n}}{1+n} + \frac{x_{k+n}}{k+n} - \frac{x_{1+n}}{1+n} = \frac{x_{k+n}}{k+n}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Further, since $J : X \rightarrow l_1$ is an *isometric isomorphism*, the operator \hat{A}_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) inherits the *boundedness* and *chaotic/hypercyclic properties* of A_w as well as its *spectral structure*.

Hence, \hat{A}_w is *chaotic* as well as its every power \hat{A}_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) when $|w| > 1$ and is *nonhypercyclic* when $|w| \leq 1$.

Provided the underlying space is complex, the spectral part of the theorem follows from the fact that

$$\sigma(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq |w|\}$$

with

$$\sigma_p(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < |w|\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_c(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = |w|\}$$

(see, e.g., [14]). □

Theorem 6.2 (More Unbounded Linear Chaos in X).

For arbitrary $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|w| > 1$, the linear operator

$$\hat{A}_w x := \left(k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} w^j \left(\frac{x_{j+1}}{j+1} - \frac{x_{j+2}}{j+2} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ with domain

$$D(\hat{A}_w) := \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid \left(w^k \left(\frac{x_{k+2}}{k+2} - \frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \right\}$$

is unbounded and chaotic as well as its every power

$$\hat{A}_w^n x = \left(k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{m=j}^{j+n-1} w^m \right] \left(\frac{x_{j+n}}{j+n} - \frac{x_{j+1+n}}{j+1+n} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ with domain

$$D(\hat{A}_w^n) = \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] \left(\frac{x_{k+1+n}}{k+1+n} - \frac{x_{k+n}}{k+n} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \right\}.$$

Furthermore, each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue for \hat{A}_w of geometric multiplicity 1, i.e.,

$$\dim \ker(\hat{A}_w - \lambda I) = 1.$$

In particular, provided the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complex,

$$\sigma_p(\hat{A}_w) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. Let $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|w| > 1$ be arbitrary.

As is known, the unbounded weighted backward shift

$$D(A_w) \ni z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto A_w z := (w^k z_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$$

in l_1 with domain

$$D(A_w) := \left\{ z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \mid (w^k z_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \right\}.$$

is a *chaotic* linear operator [15, Theorem 3.1] along with every power A_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) [12, Examples 3.1].

Based the *Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition* (Proposition 3.1), for the *isometric isomorphism* $J : X \rightarrow l_1$ subject to (6.1) and (6.2), in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, consider the linear operator

$$\hat{A}_w := J^{-1}A_w J$$

as is given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} l_1 \supseteq D(A_w) & \xrightarrow{A_w} & l_1 \\ \uparrow J & & \uparrow J \\ X \supseteq D(\hat{A}_w) & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_w} & X \end{array},$$

where the domain of \hat{A}_w is

$$D(\hat{A}_w) := J^{-1}(D(A_w)).$$

Since

$$\hat{A}_w^n = J^{-1}A_w^n J, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$A_w^n z = \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] z_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

with

$$D(A_w^n) = \left\{ z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \mid \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] z_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \right\}$$

(cf. [15, 17]), in view of (6.1),

$$D(\hat{A}_w^n) = \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] \left(\frac{x_{k+1+n}}{k+1+n} - \frac{x_{k+n}}{k+n} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \right\}.$$

Moreover, for arbitrary $x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$, in view of (6.1) and (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_w^n x &= J^{-1}A_w^n Jx = J^{-1}A_w^n \left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{x_k}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= J^{-1} \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] \left(\frac{x_{k+1+n}}{k+1+n} - \frac{x_{k+n}}{k+n} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= \left(k \left[z_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left[\prod_{m=j}^{j+n-1} w^m \right] \left(\frac{x_{j+1+n}}{j+1+n} - \frac{x_{j+n}}{j+n} \right) \right] \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= \left(-k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{m=j}^{j+n-1} w^m \right] \left(\frac{x_{j+1+n}}{j+1+n} - \frac{x_{j+n}}{j+n} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= \left(k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{m=j}^{j+n-1} w^m \right] \left(\frac{x_{j+n}}{j+n} - \frac{x_{j+1+n}}{j+1+n} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] \left(\frac{x_{k+1+n}}{k+1+n} - \frac{x_{k+n}}{k+n} \right).$$

Further, since $J : X \rightarrow l_1$ is an *isometric isomorphism*, the operator \hat{A}_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) inherits the *unboundedness* and *chaoticity* of A_w as well as its *eigenvalues* coupled with their *geometric multiplicities*.

Therefore, the operator \hat{A}_w is *unbounded* and *chaotic* as well as its every power \hat{A}_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Furthermore, in view of,

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F} : \dim \ker(A_w - \lambda I) = 1$$

(see [15, Theorem 3.1]), each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is a *simple eigenvalue* for \hat{A}_w . \square

Theorem 6.3 (More Bounded Linear Chaos in l_1).

For $w \in \mathbb{F}$, the bounded linear operator

$$l_1 \ni z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \hat{A}_w z := w \left(\frac{k+2}{k+1} z_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \sum_{j=0}^k z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$$

with

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k,$$

on the space l_1 is

1. non-hypercyclic for $|w| < 1$,
2. hypercyclic but not chaotic for $|w| = 1$, and
3. chaotic as well as its every power

$$l_1 \ni z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \hat{A}_w^n z := w^n \left(\frac{k+1+n}{k+1} z_{k+n} - \frac{n}{k(k+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$$

with

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k,$$

for $|w| > 1$.

Provided the space l_1 is complex (i.e., $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$),

$$\sigma(\hat{A}_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq |w|\}$$

with

$$\sigma_p(\hat{A}_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < |w|\} \cup \{w\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_c(\hat{A}_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = |w|\} \setminus \{w\}.$$

Proof. For $w \in \mathbb{F}$, let

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto A_w x := w(x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X.$$

By the *Bounded Weighted Backward Shifts Theorem* (Theorem 4.1), A_w is a bounded linear operator that is

- (i) *nonhypercyclic* for $|w| < 1$,
- (ii) *hypercyclic* but *not chaotic* for $|w| = 1$, and
- (iii) *chaotic* along with every power A_w^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for $|w| > 1$.

By the *Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition* (Proposition 3.1), in view of (6.1) and (6.2), on the space l_1 , consider the linear operator

$$\hat{A}_w := JA_w J^{-1}.$$

i.e., the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} l_1 & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_w} & l_1 \\ \uparrow J & & \uparrow J \\ X & \xrightarrow{A_w} & X \end{array} .$$

Since

$$\hat{A}_w^n = JA_w^n J^{-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$X \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto A_w^n x = w^n (x_{k+n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X,$$

for any $z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$, in view of (6.1) and (6.2), the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_w^n z &= JA_w^n J^{-1} z = JA_w^n \left(k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = Jw^n \left((k+n) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= w^n \left(\frac{k+1+n}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k+n} z_j - \frac{k+n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= w^n \left(\frac{k+1+n}{k+1} z_{k+n} + \frac{k+1+n}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j - \frac{k+n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= w^n \left(\frac{k+1+n}{k+1} z_{k+n} - \frac{n}{k(k+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k.$$

Furthermore, since J is an *isometric isomorphism*, \hat{A}_w inherits the *boundedness* and *chaotic/hypercyclic properties* of A_w as well as its *spectral structure*.

Hence, \hat{A}_w is a bounded linear operator that is

1. *nonhypercyclic* for $|w| < 1$,

2. *hypercyclic* but *not chaotic* for $|w| = 1$, and
3. *chaotic* along with every power A_w^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for $|w| > 1$.

Provided the underlying space is complex, the spectral part of this theorem follows from the *Bounded Weighted Backward Shifts Theorem* (Theorem 4.1) where

$$\sigma(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq |w|\}$$

with

$$\sigma_p(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < |w|\} \cup \{w\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_c(A_w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = |w|\} \setminus \{w\}.$$

□

Theorem 6.4 (More Unbounded Linear Chaos in l_1).

For an arbitrary $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|w| > 1$, the linear operator

$$\hat{A}_w z := \left(w^{k+1} \frac{k+2}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} z_j - w^k \frac{k+1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^k z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

with

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k,$$

and domain

$$D(\hat{A}_w) := \left\{ z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \mid \left(w^k (k+1) \sum_{j=0}^k z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\}$$

is unbounded and chaotic as well as its every power

$$\hat{A}_w^n z = \left(\left[\prod_{m=k}^{k-1+n} w^m \right] \left(w^n \frac{k+1+n}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k+n} z_j - \frac{k+n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k,$$

and domain

$$D(\hat{A}_w^n) = \left\{ z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \mid \left(\left[\prod_{m=k}^{k+n-1} w^m \right] (k+n) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\}.$$

Furthermore, each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue for \hat{A}_w of geometric multiplicity 1, i.e.,

$$\dim \ker(\hat{A}_w - \lambda I) = 1.$$

In particular, provided the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complex,

$$\sigma_p(\hat{A}_w) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. For arbitrary $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|w| > 1$, let

$$D(A_w) \ni x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto A_w x := (w^k x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$$

where

$$D(A_w) := \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid (w^k x_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 and the *Unbounded Weighted Backward Shifts Theorem* (Theorem 5.1), A_w is *unbounded* and *chaotic* along with every power A_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

By the *Isometric Isomorphisms Proposition* (Proposition 3.1), in view of (6.1) and (6.2), in the space l_1 , consider the following linear operator

$$\hat{A}_w := JA_w J^{-1}$$

that emerges from the commutative diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} l_1 \supseteq D(\hat{A}_w) & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_w} & l_1 \\ \uparrow J & & \uparrow J \\ X \supseteq D(A_w) & \xrightarrow{A_w} & X \end{array}.$$

where the domain of \hat{A}_w is

$$D(\hat{A}_w) := J(D(A_w)).$$

Since

$$\hat{A}_w^n = JA_w^n J^{-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where, by Lemma 5.1,

$$A_w^n x = \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}},$$

with domain

$$D(A_w^n) = \left\{ x := (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \mid \left(\left[\prod_{j=k}^{k+n-1} w^j \right] x_{k+n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\},$$

in view of (6.2),

$$D(\hat{A}_w^n) = \left\{ z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1 \mid \left(\left[\prod_{m=k}^{k+n-1} w^m \right] (k+n) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X \right\}$$

with

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k.$$

Moreover, for arbitrary $z := (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$, in view of (6.1) and (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_w^n z &= JA_w^n J^{-1} z = JA_w^n \left(k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = J \left(\left[\prod_{m=k}^{k+n-1} w^m \right] (k+n) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= \left(\frac{(k+1+n) \left[\prod_{m=k+1}^{k+n} w^m \right] \sum_{j=0}^{k+n} z_j}{k+1} - \frac{(k+n) \left[\prod_{m=k}^{k-1+n} w^m \right] \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= \left(\left[\prod_{m=k}^{k-1+n} w^m \right] \left(w^n \frac{k+n+1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k+n} z_j - \frac{k+n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1+n} z_j \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$z_0 := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k.$$

Further, since $J : X \rightarrow l_1$ is an *isometric isomorphism*, the operator \hat{A}_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) inherits the *boundedness* and *chaoticity* of A_w as well as its *eigenvalues* coupled with their *geometric multiplicities*.

Therefore, \hat{A}_w is *unbounded* and *chaotic* as well as its every power \hat{A}_w^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Furthermore, by the *Unbounded Weighted Backward Shifts Theorem* (Theorem 5.1), every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an *simple eigenvalue* for A_w . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bayart and É. Matheron, *Dynamics of Linear Operators*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [2] J. Bès, K.C. Chan, and S.M. Seubert, *Chaotic unbounded differentiation operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **40** (2001), no. 3, 257–267.
- [3] R. deLaubenfels, H. Emamirad, and K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *Chaos for semigroups of unbounded operators*, Math. Nachr. **261/262** (2003), 47–59.
- [4] B. de Malafosse, E. Malkowsky, and V. Rakočević, *Operators Between Sequence Spaces and Applications*, Springer, Singapore, 2021.
- [5] R.L. Devaney, *An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, New York, 1989.
- [6] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz with the assistance of W.G. Bade and R.G. Bartle, *Linear Operators. Part I: General Theory*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- [7] R.M. Gethner and J.H. Shapiro, *Universal vector for operators on spaces of holomorphic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), no. 2, 281–288.
- [8] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *Hypercyclic and chaotic weighted shifts*, Studia Math. **139** (2000), no. 1, 47–68.
- [9] G. Godefroy and J.H. Shapiro, *Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **98** (1991), 229–269.
- [10] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann and A.P. Manguillot, *Linear Chaos*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, London, 2011.
- [11] C. Kitai, *Invariant Closed Sets for Linear Operators*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Toronto, 1982.
- [12] M.V. Markin, *On sufficient and necessary conditions for linear hypercyclicity and chaos*, arXiv:2106.14872v5.
- [13] ———, *On the chaoticity of derivatives*, arXiv:2106.09682.

- [14] ———, *Elementary Operator Theory*, De Gruyter Graduate, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin/Boston, 2020.
- [15] ———, *On the chaoticity and spectral structure of Rolewicz-type unbounded operators*, [arXiv:1811.06640](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.06640).
- [16] ———, *Elementary Functional Analysis*, De Gruyter Graduate, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin/Boston, 2018.
- [17] M.V. Markin, G. Martinez Lazaro, and E.S. Sichel, *On linear chaos in the space of convergent sequences*, [arXiv:2203.02032](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.02032).
- [18] M.V. Markin and O.B. Soghomonian, *On a characterization of convergence in Banach spaces with a Schauder basis*, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2021** (2021), Article ID 1640183, 5 pp.
- [19] S. Rolewicz, *On orbits of elements*, *Studia Math.* **32** (1969), 17–22.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO
5245 N. BACKER AVENUE, M/S PB 108
FRESNO, CA 93740-8001, USA

Email address, corresponding author: mmarkin@csufresno.edu

Email address: emontoya@mail.fresnostate.edu