Packing K_r s in bounded degree graphs

Michael McKay^{a,*}, David Manlove^a

^aSchool of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, UK

Abstract

We study the problem of finding a maximum-cardinality set of r-cliques in an undirected graph of fixed maximum degree Δ , subject to the cliques in that set being either vertex disjoint or edge disjoint. It is known for r = 3 that the vertex-disjoint (edge-disjoint) problem is solvable in linear time if $\Delta = 3$ ($\Delta = 4$) but APX-hard if $\Delta \geq 4$ ($\Delta \geq 5$).

We generalise these results to an arbitrary but fixed $r \geq 3$, and provide a complete complexity classification for both the vertex- and edge-disjoint variants in graphs of maximum degree Δ .

Specifically, we show that the vertex-disjoint problem is solvable in linear time if $\Delta < 3r/2 - 1$, solvable in polynomial time if $\Delta < 5r/3 - 1$, and APX-hard if $\Delta \ge \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$. We also show that if $r \ge 6$ then the above implications also hold for the edge-disjoint problem. If $r \le 5$, then the edge-disjoint problem is solvable in linear time if $\Delta < 3r/2 - 1$, solvable in polynomial time if $\Delta \le 2r - 2$, and APX-hard if $\Delta \ge 2r - 2$.

Keywords: K_r -packing, Clique packing, Vertex-disjoint triangles, Edge-disjoint triangles, Triangle packing, Claw-free graphs

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

In this paper we consider two problems related to clique packings in undirected graphs. Both problems involve finding a maximum-cardinality set of r-cliques, in a given undirected graph, where r is a fixed constant. We call such a set a K_r -packing. In the first problem, which we call the Vertex-Disjoint K_r -Packing Problem (VDK_r), the cliques in the K_r -packing must be pairwise vertex disjoint. In the second problem, which we call the Edge-Disjoint K_r -Packing Problem (EDK_r), the cliques in the K_r -packing must be pairwise edge disjoint. Note that in both problems r is a fixed constant and does not form part of the problem input. If r is not fixed then both problems generalise the well-studied problem of finding a clique of a given size [1]. Note also that if a vertex-disjoint K_r -packing has cardinality |V|/r then we refer to it as a K_r -factor [2].

Most existing research concerning vertex- and edge-disjoint K_r -packings relates to either special or more general cases. For example, a special case of VDK_r is VDK₂, also known as *Maximum Cardinality Matching*. Maximum Cardinality Matching is is a central problem of graph theory and algorithmics [3]. A classical result of Edmonds [4] is that a maximum-cardinality matching can be found in polynomial time. Conversely, EDK₂ is trivial.

VDK₃ and EDK₃ have been the subject of much research. In particular, VDK₃ is closely associated with the decision problem known as *Partition Into Triangles* (PIT) [1], which asks whether a given undirected graph contains a K_3 -factor. Karp [5] noted in 1975 that PIT is NP-complete. In 2002, Caprara and Rizzi [6] considered VDK₃ and EDK₃ in graphs of a fixed maximum degree Δ . They showed that VDK₃ is solvable in polynomial time if $\Delta \leq 3$ and APX-hard even when $\Delta = 4$, and EDK₃ is solvable in polynomial time if $\Delta \leq 4$ and APX-hard even when $\Delta = 5$. They also showed that VDK₃ is NP-hard for planar graphs even when $\Delta = 4$ and EDK₃ is NP-hard for planar graphs even when $\Delta = 5$. In their paper, Caprara and Rizzi [6] referenced a well-known approximation algorithm of Hurkens and Schrijver [7] for a more general type of packing problem. They noted that this algorithm leads to, for any fixed constant $\varepsilon > 0$, a $(3/2 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm for VDK₃ and EDK₃. In 2013, van Rooij et al. [8] established an equivalence between VDK₃ when $\Delta = 4$ and *Exact 3-Satisfiability* (X3SAT). They used this equivalence to devise an $O(1.02220^n)$ -time algorithm for PIT when $\Delta = 4$.

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: mikemckay22030gmail.com (Michael McKay), david.manlove@glasgow.ac.uk (David Manlove)

A well-studied generalisation of VDK_r involves finding in a given undirected graph H a maximumcardinality set of vertex-disjoint subgraphs where each subgraph is isomorphic to some fixed graph G. Such a set is known as a G-packing. A G-packing is a G-factor if the packing has cardinality |V(H)|/|V(G)|, where V(H) is the set of vertices in H and V(G) is the set of vertices in G. In 1978, Kirkpatrick and Hell [9] showed that if G contains a component with three or more vertices then it is NP-complete to decide whether a given undirected graph contains a G-factor. In 1983, Kirkpatrick and Hell [10] surveyed previous work on G-packing, and also consider a further generalisation to so-called \mathscr{G} -packing, where \mathscr{G} is a fixed set of graphs and any subgraph in the \mathscr{G} -packing must be isomorphic to some element of \mathscr{G} . A survey of research involving G-packing can be found in a paper of Yuster [11] published in 2007.

Another interesting generalisation of VDK_r involves \mathscr{G} -packings such that \mathscr{G} is a set of cliques. Of course, any K_r -packing problem can be seen as a \mathscr{G} -packing problem in which $\mathscr{G} = \{K_r\}$. In 1984, Kirkpatrick and Hell [12] presented polynomial-time algorithms for any case of the vertex-disjoint \mathscr{G} packing problem in which $\mathscr{G} \subseteq \{K_2, K_3, ...\}$ and \mathscr{G} contains K_2 . In the same paper they also showed that the decision version of the \mathscr{G} -packing problem is NP-complete for any set \mathscr{G} where $\mathscr{G} \subseteq \{K_3, K_4, ...\}$. In 2008, Chataigner et al. [13] studied a related optimisation problem in which $\mathscr{G} = \{K_2, K_3, ..., K_r\}$ and the goal is to maximise the number of edges covered by such a \mathscr{G} -packing. They showed that if r = 3then this problem is APX-complete, even when the input graph has fixed maximum degree 4. They also presented new approximation algorithms, which in some cases improve on approximation ratios obtained via the previously-mentioned result of Hurkens and Schrijver [7].

Compared to their various special and more general cases, VDK_r and EDK_r as we have described them here appear to have received less attention in the literature. In 1998, Dahlhaus and Karpinski [14] considered VDK_2 and VDK_r in chordal and strongly chordal graphs. They showed that a K_r -factor can be found in polynomial time in a given chordal or strongly chordal graph, if it exists. They remarked that if $r \ge 4$ then the decision version of VDK_r is NP-complete for split graphs (a subset of chordal graphs), but left open the complexity for split graphs and chordal graphs when r = 3. Later, in 2001, Guruswami et al. [2] also considered VDK_r in relation to restricted classes of graphs, and resolved the open question of Dahlhaus and Karpinski. Guruswami et al. showed that if $r \ge 3$ then the decision version of VDK_r is NP-complete for chordal graphs, planar graphs (only for r = 3 and r = 4), line graphs, and total graphs. They also described polynomial-time algorithms for VDK_3 in split graphs, the K_r -factor decision problem in split graphs, and VDK_r in cographs (also known as P_4 -free graphs). They noted that this completely characterised the complexity of VDK_r for split graphs. The algorithm of Guruswami et al. for cographs was later extended by Pedrotti and de Mello [15] for so-called P_4 -sparse graphs.

The approximability of VDK_r and EDK_r has also been studied. It is straightforward to apply the previously-discussed result of Hurkens and Schrijver [7] to show that there exists a polynomial-time $(r/2 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm for VDK_r and EDK_r, for any fixed constant $\varepsilon > 0$. In 2005, Manić and Wakabayashi [16] described approximation algorithms that improve on this approximation ratio in the restricted cases of VDK₃ when $\Delta = 4$, and EDK₃ when $\Delta = 5$. They also presented a linear-time algorithm for VDK₃ on indifference graphs.

From the converse perspective of graphs with a fixed minimum degree, a classical result of Hajnal and Szemerèdi [17] is that a given undirected graph G = (V, E) contains a K_r -factor if it has a minimum degree greater than or equal to (1 - 1/r)|V|. Kierstead and Kostochka [18] later generalised this result to show that, in this case, such a packing can be constructed in polynomial time. Subsequent research has explored more general conditions for the existence of K_r -factors and G-factors [19, 20].

1.2. Our contribution

Caprara and Rizzi [6] showed that VDK₃ is solvable in polynomial time if $\Delta = 3$ and APX-hard if $\Delta = 4$; and EDK₃ is solvable in polynomial time if $\Delta = 4$ and APX-hard if $\Delta = 5$. In this paper we generalise their results and provide a full classification of the complexity of VDK_r and EDK_r for any $\Delta \geq 1$ and any fixed $r \geq 3$. This classification in shown in Table 1.

In the next section, Section 1.3, we define some additional notation and make an observation on the coincidence of vertex- and edge-disjoint K_r -packings. In Section 2 we consider the case when $\Delta < 3r/2-1$. We show that in this case any maximal vertex- or edge-disjoint K_r -packing is also maximum, and devise a linear-time algorithm for both VDK_r and EDK_r in this setting. In Section 3 we present our algorithmic results, which show that VDK_r can be solved in polynomial time if $\Delta < 5r/3 - 1$; and EDK_r can be solved in polynomial time if $\Delta < 5r/3 - 1$; and EDK_r can be solved in polynomial time if $\Delta < 5r/3 - 1$. In Section 4 we show that our algorithmic results are in a sense best possible, unless $P \neq NP$. Specifically, we show

	is solvable in		is APX hard if
	linear time if	polynomial time if	
VDK_r	$\Delta < 3r/2 - 1$	$\Delta < 5r/3 - 1$	$\Delta \geq \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$
EDK_r	$\Delta < 3r/2 - 1$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta \leq 2r-2 \text{ if } r \leq 5 \\ \Delta < 5r/3-1 \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right.$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta > 2r-2 \text{ if } r \leq 5 \\ \Delta \geq \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1 \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right.$

Table 1: Our complexity results for VDK_r and EDK_r where r > 3

that VDK_r is APX-hard if $\Delta \geq \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$; and EDK_r is APX-hard if either $3 \leq r \leq 5$ and $\Delta > 2r - 2$, or $r \geq 6$ and $\Delta \geq \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$. In other words, we prove that there exist fixed constants $\varepsilon > 1$ and $\varepsilon' > 1$ such that no polynomial-time ε -approximation algorithm exists for VDK_r if $\Delta \geq \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$; and no polynomial-time ε' -approximation algorithm exists for EDK_r if either $3 \leq r \leq 5$ and $\Delta > 2r - 2$, or $r \geq 6$ and $\Delta \geq \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$. In Section 5 we recap our results and consider directions for future work.

1.3. Preliminaries

In this section we clarify our notation and terminology and make a preliminary observation.

Let G = (V, E) be a simple undirected graph. For any vertex v in V let the open neighbourhood of v, denoted $N_G(v)$, be the set of vertices adjacent to v in G and let the closed neighbourhood of v, denoted $N_G[v]$, be $N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. We denote by $\deg_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ the degree of v in G and by $\Delta(G) = \max_{v \in V} \deg_G(v)$ the maximum degree of G. If the graph in question is clear from context then we just write Δ . For any subset of vertices $U \subseteq V$, we denote by G[U] the subgraph of G induced by U. For any vertex $v_1 \in V$, if $N_G(v_1)$ contains three vertices v_2, v_3, v_4 that are an independent set then we say that the subgraph induced by $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ in G is a claw. If no induced subgraph of G is a claw then we say that G is claw-free [21].

We write K_r to mean a clique of size r, for some integer $r \ge 1$. Let K_r^G be the set of K_r s in G. We say that a set T is a K_r -packing in G if $T \subseteq K_r^G$. We say that a K_r -packing T is vertex disjoint if any two K_r s in T have no vertex in common, and is edge disjoint if any two K_r s in T intersect by at most one vertex. The Vertex-Disjoint K_r -Packing Problem (VDK_r) is the following optimisation problem: given a simple undirected graph G, find a vertex-disjoint K_r -packing of maximum cardinality. The Edge-Disjoint K_r -Packing Problem (EDK_r) is defined analogously.

For any maximisation problem P, instance I of P, and feasible solution S of I, let $m_P(I, S)$ denote the measure of S. Let $\operatorname{opt}_P(I) = \max_{S \in \mathcal{F}(I)} m_P(I, S)$, where $\mathcal{F}(I)$ is the set of feasible solutions of I.

For technical purposes we define the K_r -vertex intersection graph $\mathcal{K}_r^G = (K_r^G, E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G})$ of G, where $\{U, W\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$ if and only if $|U \cap W| \ge 1$ for any $U, W \in K_r^G$. Similarly, we define the K_r -edge intersection graph $\mathcal{K}_r^{'G} = (K_r^G, E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G})$ of G in which $\{U, W\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$ if $|U \cap W| \ge 2$ for any $U, W \in K_r^G$. We now make a preliminary observation.

Observation 1. If $\Delta < 2r - 2$ then any edge-disjoint K_r -packing is also vertex disjoint.

Proof. Any two K_r s that intersect by at least one vertex must in fact intersect by at least two vertices, since otherwise that vertex has degree at least 2r - 2.

2. Linear-time solvability

In this section we present an algorithm that can solve VDK_r and EDK_r in linear time if $\Delta < 3r/2-1$. This algorithm generalises an algorithm of van Rooij et al. [8] that can solve VDK₃ in linear time if $\Delta \leq 3$.

The key insight behind this algorithm is that if $\Delta < 3r/2 - 1$ then any maximal vertex-disjoint K_r -packing is also a maximum vertex-disjoint K_r -packing. The proof of this is stated below in Theorem 1, which we prove using a sequence of lemmas. In what follows, suppose G = (V, E) is a simple undirected graph where $\Delta(G) < 3r/2 - 1$.

Lemma 1. For any $U_i, U_j \in K_r^G$, if $\{U_i, U_j\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$ then $|U_i \cap U_j| > r/2$.

Proof. Consider some $U_i, U_j \in K_r^G$ where $\{U_1, U_2\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$. By the definition of the K_r -vertex intersection graph \mathcal{K}_r^G , there exists some vertex $u \in V$ where $u \in U_i \cap U_j$. Since $3r/2 - 1 > \Delta(G) \ge \deg_G(u) \ge |U_i \cup U_j| - 1 = |U_i| + |U_j| - |U_i \cap U_j| - 1 = 2r - |U_i \cap U_j| - 1$ it follows that $|U_i \cap U_j| > 2r - 3r/2 = r/2$.

Lemma 2. \mathcal{K}_r^G is a disjoint union of cliques (i.e. a cluster graph [22]).

Proof. It suffices to show that if there exists three sets U_i, U_j, U_k in K_r^G where $\{U_i, U_j\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$ and $\{U_j, U_k\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$, then $\{U_i, U_k\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$. Consider some such $U_i, U_j, U_k \in K_r^G$. Since $\{U_i, U_j\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$ and $\{U_j, U_k\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$, by Lemma 1 it must be that $|U_i \cap U_j| > r/2$ and $|U_j \cap U_k| > r/2$. Since $|U_j| = r$ it follows that $|U_i \cap U_k| > 0$ and thus that $\{U_i, U_k\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$.

Theorem 1. If T is a maximal vertex-disjoint K_r -packing then T is a maximum vertex-disjoint K_r -packing.

Proof. Suppose T is a maximal vertex-disjoint K_r -packing in G, which by definition corresponds to a maximal independent set in \mathcal{K}_r^G . Since \mathcal{K}_r^G is the disjoint union of cliques (by Lemma 2), any two maximal independent sets in \mathcal{K}_r^G have the same cardinality, so T is also maximum.

We have shown in Theorem 1 that any maximal vertex-disjoint K_r -packing is also a maximum vertexdisjoint K_r -packing. It follows immediately that VDK_r can be solved in $O(|V|^r)$ time by constructing the K_r -vertex intersection graph \mathcal{K}_r^G and greedily selecting an independent set. In fact, the explicit construction of \mathcal{K}_r^G can be avoided by exploring G and greedily selecting K_r s. We present Algorithm greedyCliques, shown in Algorithm 1, and show that it requires O(|V|) time.

Algorithm 1 Algorithm greedyCliques

Input: a fixed integer $r \ge 1$ and a simple undirected graph G = (V, E) where $\Delta(G) < 3r/2 - 1$ **Output:** a maximum K_r -packing T

```
T \leftarrow \emptyset
while |V| > 0 do
     v \leftarrow \text{any vertex in } V
    if \deg_G(v) \ge r - 1 then
          K \leftarrow \varnothing
          for each subset W of size r - 1 of N_G(v) do
              if G[W] has \binom{r-1}{2} edges then
                   \triangleright W must be a clique of size r-1 in G
                   K \leftarrow W \cup \{v\}
               end if
         end for
         if K \neq \emptyset then
              G \leftarrow G[V \setminus K]
               T \leftarrow T \cup \{\{K\}\}
         else
               G \leftarrow G[V \setminus \{v\}]
         end if
     else
         G \leftarrow G[V \setminus \{v\}]
     end if
end while
return T
```

Lemma 3. Algorithm greedyCliques requires O(|V|) time.

Proof. In any iteration of the outermost while loop, either a single vertex v or a non-empty set of vertices K is removed from G. It follows that the algorithm terminates after at most |V| iterations of this loop. It remains to show that one iteration of this loop can be performed in constant time.

In each iteration, either $\deg_G(v) \ge r-1$ or $\deg_G(v) < r-1$. Computing $\deg_G(v)$ requires O(r)time, since $\Delta < 3r/2 - 1$. Consider the first branch of the outermost if statement. There are $\binom{|N_G(v)|}{r-1} \le \binom{\Delta}{r-1} < \binom{3r/2-1}{r-1} = O(2^r)$ iterations of the for loop. In each iteration, the algorithm tests if G[W]contains $\binom{r-1}{2}$ edges. This can be performed in $O(r^2)$ time. Removing K from G and adding K to T, if $K \ne \emptyset$, can be done in $O(r^2)$ time. In both the else branch in which $K = \emptyset$ and the second branch of the outermost if statement, v can be removed from G in O(r) time.

Theorem 2. If $\Delta(G) < 3r/2 - 1$ then VDK_r can be solved in linear time.

Proof. By Lemma 3, Algorithm greedyCliques terminates in $O(2^r|V|)$ time. By Theorem 1, it suffices to show that this algorithm returns a set T that is a maximal vertex-disjoint K_r -packing in G. Suppose K'is an arbitrary K_r in G. We show that either K' is added to T or at least one vertex in K' belongs to some other K_r in T. By the pseudocode, the algorithm removes at least one vertex in each iteration of the while loop, which ends once there are no remaining vertices. Consider the first iteration of the while loop in which any vertex v in K' is identified and removed. Let G' be the value of G at the beginning of this iteration. By definition, at this point every vertex in K' is present in G', including v. Since $\deg_{G'}(v) \ge r - 1$, it must be that v was not deleted from G' by the second branch of the innermost if statement. Similarly, v cannot have been deleted from G' by the second branch of the innermost if statement, since v belongs to K', which is a clique of size r in G'. The only possibility is that v was deleted from G' as a result of v being part of some K_r in G', which was at some point added to T.

Corollary 1. If $\Delta(G) < 3r/2 - 1$ then EDK_r can be solved in linear time.

Proof. If $r \leq 2$ then EDK_r is trivial. If $r \geq 3$ then it must be that $\Delta < 3r/2 - 1 < 2r - 2$, so by Observation 1 any edge-disjoint K_r -packing is also vertex disjoint. It follows that any maximum vertex-disjoint K_r -packing returned by Algorithm greedyCliques is also a maximum edge-disjoint K_r -packing.

3. Polynomial-time solvability

3.1. Vertex-disjoint K_r -packing

In this section we consider VDK_r. We show that VDK_r is solvable in polynomial time if $\Delta < 5r/3 - 1$. The proof involves finding an independent set in the K_r -vertex intersection graph \mathcal{K}_r^G . We build on the technique of Caprara and Rizzi [6] and first show that if $\Delta(G) < 5r/3 - 1$ then \mathcal{K}_r^G is claw-free. It follows that a maximum independent set in \mathcal{K}_r^G can be found in polynomial time [21, 23], which corresponds directly to a maximum vertex-disjoint K_r -packing.

There is an evident relationship between packing problems and independent sets in intersection graphs [16, 24, 25]. In his paper on claw-free graphs, Minty [21] remarked that an algorithm to find a maximum cardinality matching (i.e. solve VDK₂) can be used to find a maximum independent set in a line graph (i.e a K_2 -vertex intersection graph). Here, like Caprara and Rizzi [6], we make use of the converse relationship and show that if the corresponding intersection graph is claw-free then VDK_r and EDK_r can be solved in polynomial time.

In what follows, suppose G = (V, E) is an undirected graph where $\Delta(G) < 5r/3 - 1$. In Lemma 4 we place a lower bound on the size of the intersection of any two K_r s in G that intersect by at least one vertex.

Lemma 4. $|U_i \cap U_j| > r/3$ for any $\{U_i, U_j\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_n^G}$.

Proof. Consider some $\{U_i, U_j\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$ and an arbitrary vertex $u_l \in |U_i \cap U_j|$. Now $5r/3 - 1 > \Delta(G) \ge \deg_G(u_l) \ge |U_i \cup U_j| - 1 = 2r - |U_i \cap U_j| - 1$. Rearranging gives $|U_i \cap U_j| > r/3$.

Lemma 5. \mathcal{K}_r^G is claw-free.

Proof. Consider some $U_i, U_{j_1}, U_{j_2}, U_{j_3} \in K_r^G$ where $\{U_i, U_{j_a}\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$ for each $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. By Lemma 4, it must be that $|U_i \cap U_{j_1}| > r/3$, $|U_i \cap U_{j_2}| > r/3$, and $|U_i \cap U_{j_3}| > r/3$. Since $|U_i| = r$ it follows by the pigeonhole principle that either U_{j_1} intersects U_{j_2}, U_{j_2} intersects U_{j_3} , or U_{j_1} intersects U_{j_3} , so the subgraph induced by $\{U_i, U_{j_1}, U_{j_2}, U_{j_3}\}$ is not a claw in \mathcal{K}_r^G .

Theorem 3. If $\Delta(G) < 5r/3 - 1$ then VDK_r can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof. First, construct the K_r -vertex intersection graph $\mathcal{K}_r^G = (K_r^G, E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G})$. The set K_r^G can be constructed in $O(\binom{|V|}{r}) = O(|V|^r)$ by considering every possible set of r vertices in V. The set $E_{\mathcal{K}_r^G}$ can then be constructed in $O(|V|^{2r})$ time. Next, find a maximum independent set in \mathcal{K}_r^G , which can be done in polynomial time since \mathcal{K}_r^G is claw-free (by Lemma 5) [23, 21].

Given a claw-free graph in which each vertex has a real weight, there exists polynomial-time algorithms that can find an independent set of maximum total weight [26, 27]. We remark that the the result shown in Theorem 3 can be generalised to a version of VDK_r in which vertices or edges have weights, and the goal is to find a K_r -packing of maximum total weight.

3.2. Edge-disjoint K_r -packing

In this section we consider EDK_r . Using Theorem 3 and Observation 1, it is straightforward to show that if $\Delta < 5r/3 - 1$ then EDK_r can be solved in polynomial time. We state this result as Theorem 4. In what follows, suppose G is an undirected graph.

Theorem 4. If $\Delta(G) < 5r/3 - 1$ then EDK_r can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof. If $\Delta(G) < 5r/3 - 1$ then we can find a maximum vertex-disjoint K_r -packing in polynomial time by Theorem 3. Such a packing is also a maximum edge-disjoint K_r -packing, by Observation 1.

We now show that this upper bound on $\Delta(G)$ can be increased if $r \in \{4, 5\}$. The key insight in this case is that if $r \in \{4, 5\}$ and $\Delta \leq 2r - 2$ then the K_r -edge intersection graph ${\mathcal{K}'}_r^G$ is claw-free. This is the same technique used by Caprara and Rizzi [6] to show that EDK₃ is solvable in polynomial time when $\Delta(G) \leq 4$.

Lemma 6. If $r \in \{4,5\}$ and $\Delta(G) \leq 2r-2$ then the K_r -edge intersection graph \mathcal{K}'_r^G is claw-free.

Proof. Consider some $U_i, U_{j_1}, U_{j_2}, U_{j_3} \in K_r^G$ where $\{U_i, U_{j_a}\} \in E_{\mathcal{K}'_r^G}$ for each $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that the subgraph induced by $\{U_i, U_{j_1}, U_{j_2}, U_{j_3}\}$ in \mathcal{K}'_r^G is a claw. By the definition of $E_{\mathcal{K}'_r^G}$, it must be that $|U_{j_a} \cap U_{j_b}| < 2$ for any a and b where $a \neq b$ and $a, b \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. It must also be that $|U_i \cap U_{j_a}| \geq 2$ for each $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since $|U_i| = r \leq 5$, assume without loss of generality that $|U_i \cap U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}| \geq 1$. Furthermore, it must be that $|U_i \cap U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}| = 1$, otherwise $|U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}| > 1$ which is a contradiction. Let v_r be the single vertex in $U_i \cap U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}$. Since U_{j_1} and U_{j_2} are K_r s in G and $\deg_G(v_r) \leq 2r - 2$ it must be that $N_G[v_r] = U_{j_1} \cup U_{j_2}$. Since U_i is also a K_r it follows that $U_i \subset (U_{j_1} \cup U_{j_2})$.

Now consider $U_i \cap U_{j_1}$ and $U_i \cap U_{j_2}$. If $|U_i \cap U_{j_1}| + |U_i \cap U_{j_2}| \ge r+2$ then since $|U_i| = r$ it follows that $|U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}| \ge 2$ which is a contradiction. It follows that $|U_i \cap U_{j_1}| + |U_i \cap U_{j_2}| \le r+1$ and either $|U_i \cap U_{j_1}| \le (r+1)/2$ or $|U_i \cap U_{j_2}| \le (r+1)/2$. Assume without loss of generality that $|U_i \cap U_{j_1}| \le (r+1)/2$.

Now consider U_{j_3} . Since the subgraph induced by $\{U_i, U_{j_1}, U_{j_2}, U_{j_3}\}$ in \mathcal{K}'_r^G is a claw, it must be that $|U_{j_3} \cap U_i| \geq 2$, $|U_{j_3} \cap U_{j_1}| \leq 1$, and $|U_{j_3} \cap U_{j_2}| \leq 1$. Since $U_i \subset (U_{j_1} \cup U_{j_2})$, the only possibility is that $|U_{j_3} \cap U_i| = 2$, $|U_{j_3} \cap U_i \cap U_{j_2}| = 1$ and $|U_{j_3} \cap U_i \cap U_{j_1}| = 1$.

Let v_s be the single vertex in $U_i \cap U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_3}$. Since U_i , U_{j_1} , and U_{j_3} are K_r s in G it follows that v_s is adjacent to every other vertex in $U_{j_3} \cup U_i \cup U_{j_1}$ so

$$\deg_G(v_s) \ge |U_i \cup U_{j_1} \cup U_{j_3}| - 1 = 3r - |U_i \cap U_{j_1}| - |U_i \cap U_{j_3}| - |U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_3}| + |U_i \cap U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_3}| - 1 .$$

Recall that since the subgraph induced by $\{U_i, U_{j_1}, U_{j_2}, U_{j_3}\}$ in \mathcal{K}'_r^G is a claw, $|U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_3}| \leq 1$. We deduced earlier that $|U_i \cap U_{j_1}| \leq (r+1)/2$, $|U_i \cap U_{j_3}| = 2$, and $|U_{j_3} \cap U_i \cap U_{j_1}| = 1$. Since $r \geq 4$ it follows that $\deg_G(v_s) \geq (5r-7)/2 > 2r-2$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 5. If $r \leq 5$ and $\Delta(G) \leq 2r - 2$ then EDK_r can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof. If $r \leq 2$ then EDK_r is trivial. Caprara and Rizzi [6] prove the case when r = 3 and $\Delta(G) \leq 4$. If $r \in \{4,5\}$ and $\Delta(G) \leq 2r - 2$ then by Lemma 6, the K_r -edge intersection graph ${\mathcal{K}'}_r^G$ is claw-free. It follows that a maximum edge-disjoint K_r -packing can be found in polynomial time by constructing ${\mathcal{K}'}_r^G$, in $O(|V|^{2r})$ time, and finding in it a maximum independent set, which can also be accomplished in polynomial time [23, 21].

As we remarked for VDK_r in Section 3.1, it seems that the results shown in Theorems 4 and 5 can be generalised to a version of EDK_r in which vertices or edges have weights, and the goal is to find a K_r -packing of maximum total weight.

4. APX-hardness

4.1. Vertex-disjoint K_r -packing

We now show that if $r \ge 3$ then VDK_r is APX-hard even when $\Delta \ge \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$. In other words, for any $r \ge 3$ there exists some fixed constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that no polynomial-time ε -approximation algorithm exists for VDK_r even when $\Delta \ge \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$, unless $\mathsf{P} = \mathsf{NP}$.

We reduce from the problem of finding a Maximum Independent Set (MIS) in a graph G = (V, E) that has maximum degree 3 and is triangle-free. Berman and Karpinski [28] show that this optimisation problem, which we refer to as MIS-3-TF, is APX-hard, notably providing an explicit lower bound on the approximation ratio (specifically, they showed that it is NP-hard to approximate MIS-3-TF within $140/139 - \varepsilon$, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$).

The reduction from MIS-3-TF is as follows. Our goal is to construct a new graph G' = (V', E') where each K_r in G' corresponds to exactly one vertex in V and each vertex in V corresponds to exactly one K_r in G'. For any two adjacent vertices in G, the intersection of the two corresponding K_r s in G' will contain exactly |r/3| vertices.

To do this, first construct a set of |V| disjoint $K_r s$ in G', labelled $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_{|V|}\}$ where $U_i = \{u_i^1, u_i^2, \ldots, u_i^r\}$ for any *i* where $1 \leq i \leq |V|$. Next, consider each edge $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E$. let $U'_i = \{u_i^{a_1}, u_i^{a_2}, \ldots, u_i^{a_{\lfloor r/3 \rfloor}}\}$ be any set of $\lfloor r/3 \rfloor$ vertices in U_i with degree r-1 and $U'_j = \{u_j^{b_1}, u_j^{b_2}, \ldots, u_j^{b_{\lfloor r/3 \rfloor}}\}$ be any set of $\lfloor r/3 \rfloor$ vertices in U_j with degree r-1. For each q from 1 to $\lfloor r/3 \rfloor$ inclusive, identify $u_i^{a_q}$ and $u_j^{b_q}$ to create a single vertex labelled $u_{ij}^{a_q}$. Label $U'_j = U'_i$ as W_{ij} .

Finally, for each vertex $v_i \in V$ let X_i be the set of (at least $r \mod 3$) vertices in U_i with degree r-1. Note that any vertex in G' either belongs to some set W_{ij} where $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E$ or some set X_i where $v_i \in V$.

We first show that the set of K_r s in G' is \mathcal{U} .

Lemma 7. $\mathcal{U} = K_r^{G'}$.

Proof. By definition, $\mathcal{U} \subseteq K_r^{G'}$ so it remains to show that each K_r in G' belongs to \mathcal{U} . Suppose K is an arbitrary K_r in G'. By definition, any vertex in any set X_i has degree r-1 in G' and thus belongs to exactly one K_r in G', namely U_i , which belongs to $K_r^{G'}$. It follows that each vertex in K belongs to some set W_{ij} where $1 \leq i, j \leq |V|$. Since $|W_{ij}| = \lfloor r/3 \rfloor$ it must be that either there exist three sets $W_{i_1j_1}, W_{i_2j_2}, W_{i_3j_3}$ where $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \ldots, j_3 \leq |V|$ and $K = W_{i_1j_1} \cup W_{i_2j_2} \cup W_{i_3j_3}$, or there exist four or more sets $W_{i_1j_1}, W_{i_2j_2}, W_{i_3j_3}, W_{i_4,j_4}, \ldots$ where $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \cdots \leq |V|$ and K contains at least one vertex in each set. In the latter case, we may assume without loss of generality that $\{i_1, j_1\} \cap \{i_2, j_2\} = \emptyset$. By the construction of G' it follows that no edge exists between any vertex in $W_{i_1j_1}$ and any vertex in $W_{i_2j_2}, W_{i_3j_3}$ where $K = W_{i_1j_1} \cup W_{i_2j_2} \cup W_{i_3j_3}$ and $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \ldots, j_3 \leq |V|$. By construction, the closed neighbourhood of any vertex in $W_{i_1j_1}$ is $U_{i_1} \cup U_{j_1}$ so since K is a K_r ,

By construction, the closed neighbourhood of any vertex in $W_{i_1j_1}$ is $U_{i_1} \cup U_{j_1}$ so since K is a K_r , without loss of generality assume that $i_1 \in \{i_2, j_2\}$ and $j_1 \in \{i_3, j_3\}$. A symmetric argument shows that $i_2 \in \{i_1, j_1\}$ and $j_2 \in \{i_3, j_3\}$, and $i_3 \in \{i_1, j_1\}$ and $j_3 \in \{i_2, j_2\}$. By symmetry, we need only consider the two cases, in which $i_1 = i_2 = i_3$ and in which $K = W_{i_1, i_2} \cup W_{i_2, i_3} \cup W_{i_3, i_1}$. In the former case, Kmust be labelled U_{i_1} and thus belongs to K_r^G . In the latter case, by the construction of G' the three vertices $\{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}\}$ in G form a triangle, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 8. $\Delta(G') = \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1.$

Proof. By definition, any vertex in any set X_i has degree r-1. Any vertex in any set W_{ij} has degree $|U_i| + |U_j| - |W_{ij}| - 1 = 2r - \lfloor r/3 \rfloor - 1 = \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$, since r is an integer.

Theorem 6. If $r \ge 3$ and $\Delta \ge \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$ then VDK_r is APX-hard.

Proof. We first show that a vertex-disjoint K_r -packing of size B exists in G' if and only if an independent set of size B exists in G. By the design of the reduction, and Lemma 7, any two K_r s in $K_r^{G'}$ that are not vertex disjoint in G' correspond to two vertices in G that are adjacent. Conversely, for any two vertices in G that are adjacent, by the design of the reduction it must be that the two corresponding K_r s in $K_r^{G'}$ are not vertex disjoint in G'. It follows that, for any graph G, $\operatorname{opt}_{MIS-3-TF}(G) = \operatorname{opt}_{VDK_r}(G')$. Moreover, for any vertex-disjoint K_r -packing T in G', there exists a corresponding independent set S in G where |S| = |T|, and thus that $\operatorname{m_{MIS-3-TF}}(G, S) = \operatorname{m_{VDK_r}}(G', T)$.

To show that VDK_r is APX-hard in this case, we use an *L*-reduction [29]. An *L*-reduction from optimisation problem Q to an optimisation problem P shows that if there exists a $(1 + \delta)$ -approximation algorithm for Q. The reduction that we have described from MIS-3-TF to VDK_r is an *L*-reduction with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ (also called a *strict reduction* [29]), which shows that VDK_r is APX-hard even when $\Delta(G') = \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$ (shown in Lemma 8). To show that VDK_r is APX-hard even when $\Delta(G') \ge \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$, one can add to G' a disconnected star.



Figure 1: The reduction from Max $2SAT_{<3}$ to EDK_4

4.2. Edge-disjoint packing

4.2.1. Edge-disjoint K_r -packing when $r \geq 6$

If $r \ge 6$ and $\Delta = \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$ then it must be that $\Delta < 2r - 2$, so by Observation 1, any edge-disjoint K_r -packing is also vertex disjoint. Theorem 7 then follows, which shows that if $r \ge 6$ then EDK_r is APX-hard even when $\Delta \ge \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$.

Theorem 7. If $r \ge 6$ and $\Delta \ge \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$ then EDK_r is APX-hard.

Proof. Suppose $\Delta = \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$. By definition, any vertex-disjoint K_r -packing is also edge disjoint. Since $r \geq 6$ it follows that $\Delta = \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1 < 2r - 2$ so by Observation 1, any edge-disjoint K_r -packing is also vertex disjoint. We have shown that an edge-disjoint K_r -packing of size B exists in G if and only if a vertex-disjoint K_r -packing of size B exists in G. This fact constitutes an L-reduction with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ from the restricted case of VDK_r in which $\Delta = \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$. The lemma follows by Theorem 6. As in the proof of Theorem 6, to show that EDK_r is APX-hard if $r \geq 6$ even when $\Delta(G) \geq \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$, one can add to G' a disconnected star.

4.2.2. Edge-disjoint K_4 -packing

We now show that EDK₄ is APX-hard even when $\Delta = 7$. We present an *L*-reduction from a variant of *Maximum Satisfiability* [30], inspired by the *L*-reduction of Caprara and Rizzi [6] for EDK₃ when $\Delta = 5$.

An instance of Maximum Satisfiability is a boolean formula ϕ in conjunctive normal form with *clauses* $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{|C|}\}$ and *variables* $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{|X|}\}$. Each clause contains a set of *literals*. Each literal is formed by either a variable or its negation. A *truth assignment* \mathfrak{f} is a function $\mathfrak{f} : X \mapsto \{\text{true, false}\}$. A clause is *satisfied* by \mathfrak{f} if any of its literals are true. The goal is to find a truth assignment that satisfies the maximum number of clauses. We denote by Max $2\text{SAT}_{\leq 3}$ the special case of Maximum Satisfiability in which each clause contains at most two literals and each variable occurs in at most three clauses. Let m_i be the number of occurrences of variable x_i in ϕ for each variable $x_i \in X$. We assume that $2 \leq m_i \leq 3$ for each $x_i \in X$, since if any variable occurs in exactly one clause it can be set to the value satisfying that clause. Max $2\text{SAT}_{\leq 3}$ is APX-hard [30].

Given an instance ϕ of Max 2SAT_{≤ 3}, we construct a graph G such that a truth assignment for ϕ exists that satisfies at least k clauses if and only if there exists an edge-disjoint K_4 -packing of size at least $\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i + k$. As in the case of the reduction presented for EDK₃ by Caprara and Rizzi, the reduction here is one of local replacement [1]. The reduction, shown in Figure 1, involves the construction and connection of variable and clause gadgets, which is a common technique when reducing from a variant of Maximum Satisfiability. The reduction itself is as follows.

For each variable x_i , construct a variable gadget of $10m_i$ vertices, labelled $R_i = \{a_i^j, b_i^j, c_i^j, d_i^j, e_i^j, h_i^j, u_i^j, v_i^j, w_i^j, w_i^j, y_i^j\}$ for each j where $1 \le j \le m_i$. For each j where $1 \le j \le m_i$, add an edge (if it does not exist

already) between each pair of vertices in $\{a_i^j, b_i^j, u_i^j, v_i^j\}$, $\{a_i^j, b_i^j, c_i^j, v_i^j\}$, $\{c_i^j, v_i^j, d_i^j, w_i^j\}$, $\{d_i^j, w_i^j, e_i^j, y_i^j\}$, $\{d_i^j, a_i^{j+1}, y_i^j, u_i^{j+1}\}$ if $j < m_i$ and otherwise $\{h_i^j, a_i^1, y_i^j, u_i^1\}$.

We shall refer to $\{a_i^j, b_i^j, u_i^j, v_i^j\}$, $\{c_i^j, v_i^j, d_i^j, w_i^j\}$, and $\{d_i^j, e_i^j, h_i^j, y_i^j\}$ as the even K_{4s} in R_i , and $\{a_i^j, b_i^j, c_i^j, v_i^j\}$, $\{d_i^j, w_i^j, e_i^j, y_i^j\}$, and $\{h_i^j, a_i^{j+1}, y_i^j, u_i^{j+1}\}$ (and $\{h_i^j, a_i^1, y_i^j, u_i^1\}$) as the odd K_{4s} in R_i . Note that at this point in construction, $\deg_G(a_i^j) = \deg_G(v_i^j) = \deg_G(d_i^j) = \deg_G(y_i^j) = 6$, $\deg_G(u_i^j) = \deg_G(v_i^j) = \deg_G(w_i^j) = \deg_G(w_i^j) = 4$ for each j where $1 \le j \le m_i$.

We shall now construct the clause gadgets. For each clause c_r , construct a clause gadget of 5 vertices labelled $S_r = \{s_r^1, t_r^1, s_r^2, t_r^2, w_r\}$. Add an edge (if it does not exist already) between each pair of vertices in $\{s_r^1, t_r^1, s_r^2, w_r\}$ and $\{s_r^1, s_r^2, t_r^2, w_r\}$. We shall refer to $\{s_r^1, t_r^1, s_r^2, w_r\}$ and $\{s_r^1, s_r^2, t_r^2, w_r\}$ as P_i^r and P_j^r supposing the variables of the first and second literals in c_r are x_i and x_j . Note that at this point in construction, $\deg_G(s_r^1) = \deg_G(s_r^2) = \deg_G(w_r) = 4$ and $\deg_G(t_r^1) = \deg_G(t_r^2) = 3$.

We shall now connect the variable and clause gadgets. For each clause c_r , suppose x_i is the variable of some literal in c_r where c_r contains the j^{th} occurrence of x_i in ϕ . If x_i is the first literal in c_r and occurs positively in c_r then identify b_i^j and s_r^1 , and c_i^j and t_r^1 . We shall hereafter refer to the first identified vertex as either b_i^j or s_r^1 and the second identified vertex as either c_i^j or t_r^1 . Note that now $\deg_G(b_i^j) = \deg_G(c_i^j) = 7$. Similarly, if x_i is the first literal in c_r and occurs negatively in c_r then identify e_i^j and s_r^1 , and h_i^j and t_r^1 . In this case $\deg_G(e_i^j) = \deg_G(h_i^j) = 7$. If x_i is the second literal in c_r and occurs positively in c_r then identify b_i^j and s_r^2 , and c_i^j and t_r^2 . Similarly, if x_i is the second literal in c_r and occurs negatively in c_r then identify e_i^j and s_r^2 , and c_i^j and t_r^2 . This completes the construction of G. Observe that $\Delta = 7$.

It is straightforward to show that the reduction can be performed in polynomial time. We now prove that the reduction is correct in the first direction. By construction, no K_4 exists in G that contains at least one vertex in a variable gadget and at least one vertex in a clause gadget. Thus, we shall say that some K_4 is *in* a variable or clause gadget if it is a strict subset of that gadget.

Lemma 9. If a truth assignment \mathfrak{f} for ϕ satisfies at least k clauses then an edge-disjoint K_4 -packing T exists in G where $|T| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i + k$.

Proof. Suppose \mathfrak{f} is a truth assignment for ϕ that satisfies at least k clauses. We shall construct an edge-disjoint K_4 -packing T where $|T| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i + k$. For each variable x_i , if $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is true then add the set of even K_4 s in R_i to T. Similarly, if $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is false

For each variable x_i , if $f(x_i)$ is true then add the set of even K_4 s in R_i to T. Similarly, if $f(x_i)$ is false then add the set of odd K_4 s in R_i to T. Now $|T| = \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i$. For each clause gadget c_r that is satisfied by \mathfrak{f} , it must be that there exists some variable x_i where either $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is true and x_i occurs positively in c_r or $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is false and x_i occurs negatively in c_r . In either case, add P_i^r to T. Now, T contains exactly $\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i K_4$ s in variable gadgets and at least $k K_4$ s in clause gadgets. It remains to show that T is edge disjoint. By the construction of G, any two K_4 s in T in the same variable gadget are edge disjoint. Consider an arbitrary P_r^i in some clause gadget c_r that belongs to T. It must be that either $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is true and x_i occurs positively in c_r or $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is false and x_i occurs negatively in c_r . In the former case, T contains the set of even K_4 s in R_i so since $P_i^r \cap R_i = \{b_i^j, c_i^j\}$ where $1 \le j \le 3$ it follows that T is edge disjoint. In the latter case, T contains the set of odd K_4 s in R_i so since $P_i^r \cap R_i = \{e_i^j, h_i^j\}$ where $1 \le j \le 3$ it also follows that T is edge disjoint.

We now prove that the reduction is correct in the second direction. We say that some edge-disjoint K_4 -packing T in G is *canonical* if for any variable gadget R_i , T contains either the set of even K_4 s in R_i or the set of odd K_4 s in R_i . By the construction of G, no edge-disjoint K_4 -packing can contain all even K_4 s and all odd K_4 s.

We first show that for any variable gadget R_i and edge-disjoint K_r -packing T, if T contains neither all even K_{4s} in R_i nor all odd K_{4s} in R_i then the number of K_{4s} in T is at most $3m_i - 1$.

Proposition 1. Suppose T is an arbitrary edge-disjoint K_4 -packing in G. For any variable gadget R_i , if T contains neither all even K_4s in R_i nor all odd K_4s in R_i then the number of K_4s in T is at most $3m_i - 1$.

Proof. By the construction of G, each even K_4 in R_i intersects exactly two odd K_4 s in R_i by at least two vertices and each odd K_4 in R_i intersects exactly two even K_4 s in R_i by at least two vertices. It follows that the K_4 -edge intersection graph ${\mathcal{K}'}_r^G$ contains a cycle of $6m_i$ vertices corresponding

It follows that the K_4 -edge intersection graph \mathcal{K}'_r^G contains a cycle of $6m_i$ vertices corresponding to the $6m_i K_4$ s in R_i . It then follows that any edge-disjoint K_4 -packing that contains $3m_i K_4$ s in R_i corresponds to an independent set of size $3m_i$ in \mathcal{K}'_r^G , and thus is either the set of even K_4 s in R_i or the set of odd K_{4} s in R_i . Since T contains neither all even K_{4} s in R_i nor all odd K_{4} s in R_i it follows that $|T| < 3m_i$.

We can now prove that for any edge-disjoint K_4 -packing in G that is not canonical, there exists a canonical edge-disjoint K_4 -packing in G of at least the same cardinality.

Lemma 10. If T is an edge-disjoint K_4 -packing then there exists a canonical edge-disjoint K_4 -packing T' where $|T'| \ge |T|$.

Proof. If T is already canonical then let T' = T. Otherwise, by the definition of canonical, there must exist at least one variable gadget i such that T contains neither all even K_{4s} in R_i nor all odd K_{4s} in R_i . For any such i where $1 \le i \le |X|$, we show how to modify T to ensure that it either contains the set of even K_{4s} in R_i or the set of odd K_{4s} in R_i and the cardinality of T does not decrease. It follows that there exists a canonical edge-disjoint K_{4} -packing T' where $|T'| \ge |T|$.

Note that by Proposition 1, the number of K_{4s} in R_{i} in T is at most $3m_{i} - 1$.

Suppose the variable x_i corresponding to R_i occurs in clauses $c_{r_1}, c_{r_2}, \ldots, c_{r_{m_i}}$, corresponding to the sets $P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}$. It must be that either at most one K_4 in $\{P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}\}$ exists in T where the corresponding occurrence of x_i is positive; or at most one K_4 in $\{P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}\}$ exists in T where the corresponding occurrence of x_i is negative. Suppose the former case is true. Remove the K_4 in $\{P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}\}$ in T where the corresponding occurrence of x_i is negative. Suppose the former case is true. Remove the K_4 in $\{P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}\}$ in T where the corresponding occurrence of x_i is positive. Next, remove any even K_4 s in R_i in T and add the set of odd K_4 s in R_i not already in T. The number of K_4 s in R_i in T is now $3m_i$ so since at most one K_4 was removed, which was not in R_i , it follows that the cardinality of T has not decreased. To see that T is still edge-disjoint, observe that any K_4 in $\{P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}\}$ in T intersects any odd K_4 in R_i by at most one vertex. The construction and proof in the latter case are symmetric.

Lemma 11. If T is an edge-disjoint K₄-packing where $|T| = \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i + k$ for some integer $k \ge 1$ then exists a truth assignment f that satisfies at least k clauses.

Proof. Assume by Lemma 10 that T is canonical. It follows that T contains exactly $\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i K_4$ s in variable gadgets and at least $k K_4$ s in clause gadgets. For each variable x_i , set $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ to be true if T contains all even K_4 s in R_i and false otherwise. Now consider each clause gadget c_r where S_r contains some K_4 in T, denoted P_i^r . Suppose x_i occurs positively in c_r . It follows that P_i^r contains b_i^j, c_i^j for some j where $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Since T is canonical and edge-disjoint it follows that T contains the set of even K_4 s in R_i . By the construction of \mathfrak{f} it follows that $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is true and thus c_r is satisfied. The proof for when x_i occurs negatively in c_r is symmetric. It follows that at least k clauses are satisfied by \mathfrak{f} .

Lemma 12. If r = 4 and $\Delta = 7$ then EDK_r is APX-hard.

Proof. We now show that the *L*-reduction we have described from Max $2\text{SAT}_{\leq 3}$ (which is APX-hard [30]) to EDK₄ when $\Delta = 7$ is valid. For compactness, we abbreviate Max $2\text{SAT}_{\leq 3}$ when appearing in a subscript to M2S3.

An *L*-reduction is characterised by a pair (f, g) of functions that can be computed in polynomial time. Here, f is the reduction described at the start of this section (Section 4.2.2) in which an instance G of EDK₄ is constructed from an arbitrary instance ϕ of Max $2\text{SAT}_{\leq 3}$. It is straightforward to show that f can be computed in polynomial time.

The function g is described by Lemma 11. For any instance ϕ of Max $2\text{SAT}_{\leq 3}$ and edge-disjoint K_4 -packing in $f(\phi)$, g computes a truth assignment \mathfrak{f} for ϕ . It is also straightforward to show that g can be computed in polynomial time.

To show that f and g constitute a valid L-reduction, we must show that there exists fixed constants α and β such that for any instance ϕ of Max 2SAT_{<3},

$$\operatorname{opt}_{\operatorname{EDK}_{4}}(f(\phi)) \leq \alpha \operatorname{opt}_{\operatorname{M2S3}}(\phi)$$

and that for any instance ϕ and any edge-disjoint K_4 -packing T in $f(\phi)$,

 $\operatorname{opt}_{M2S3}(\phi) - \operatorname{m}_{M2S3}(\phi, g(\phi, T)) \leq \beta(\operatorname{opt}_{EDK_4}(f(\phi)) - \operatorname{m}_{EDK_4}(f(\phi), T))$

We shall now demonstrate the existence of some such α and β . Recall that in the instance of Max $2\text{SAT}_{\leq 3}$, X is the set of variables, C is the set of clauses, and m_i is the number of occurrences of each variable x_i . Note that by the definition of Max $2\text{SAT}_{\leq 3}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} m_i$ is the total number of literals, which must be

at most 2|C|. Note also that for any instance ϕ of Max $2\text{SAT}_{\leq 3}$, it must be that $\text{opt}_{M2S3}(\phi) \geq |C|/2$. This is because a truth assignment satisfying |C|/2 clauses can be found using a greedy algorithm that in each step assigns a truth value to a variable occurring in the maximum number of clauses [31]. We can now show that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{opt}_{\mathrm{EDK}_{4}}(f(\phi)) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_{i} + \operatorname{opt}_{\mathrm{M2S3}}(\phi) & \text{by Lemma 11} \\ &= 3\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} m_{i} + \operatorname{opt}_{\mathrm{M2S3}}(\phi) \\ &\leq 6|C| + \operatorname{opt}_{\mathrm{M2S3}}(\phi) & \operatorname{since} 2|C| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} m_{i} \\ &\leq 13\operatorname{opt}_{\mathrm{M2S3}}(\phi) & \operatorname{since} \operatorname{opt}_{\mathrm{M2S3}}(\phi) \geq |C|/2 \end{aligned}$$

so $\alpha = 13$. We can also show that for any instance ϕ and any edge-disjoint K_4 -packing T in $f(\phi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{opt}_{M2S3}(\phi) - \operatorname{m}_{M2S3}(\phi, g(\phi, T)) &\leq \operatorname{opt}_{M2S3}(\phi) - \left(|T| - \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i \right) & \text{by Lemma 11} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i + \operatorname{opt}_{M2S3}(\phi) - |T| \\ &\leq \operatorname{opt}_{EDK_4}(f(\phi)) - |T| & \text{by Lemma 9} \\ &= \operatorname{opt}_{EDK_4}(f(\phi)) - \operatorname{m_{EDK_4}}(f(\phi), T) & \text{since } \operatorname{m_{EDK_4}}(f(\phi), T) = |T| \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\beta = 1$.

4.2.3. Edge-disjoint K_5 -packing

We now show that EDK₅ is APX-hard even when $\Delta = 9$. We present an *L*-reduction that follows the same pattern as the one shown in Section 4.2.2. This reduction, shown in Figure 2, is as follows. For each variable x_i , construct a variable gadget of $8m_i$ vertices, labelled $R_i = \{a_i^j, b_i^j, c_i^j, d_i^j, e_i^j, h_i^j, u_i^j, v_i^j\}$ for each j where $1 \leq j \leq m_i$. For each j where $1 \leq j \leq m_i$, add an edge (if it does not exist already) between each pair of vertices in $\{a_i^j, b_i^j, e_i^j, u_i^j, v_i^j\}$, $\{b_i^j, c_i^j, e_i^j, h_i^j, v_i^j\}$, and finally $\{c_i^j, d_i^j, h_i^j, v_i^j, u_i^{j+1}\}$ and $\{d_i^j, a_i^{j+1}, h_i^j, e_i^{j+1}, u_i^{j+1}\}$ if $j < m_i$, otherwise $\{c_i^i, d_i^j, h_i^j, v_i^j, u_i^1\}$ and $\{d_i^j, a_i^1, h_i^j, e_i^1, u_i^j\}$. We shall refer to $\{a_i^j, b_i^j, e_i^j, u_i^j, v_i^j, u_i^{j+1}\}$ (and $\{c_i^j, d_i^j, h_i^j, v_i^j, u_i^1\}$) as odd K_5s in R_i , and $\{b_i^j, c_i^j, e_i^j, h_i^j, v_i^j\}$ and $\{d_i^j, a_i^{j+1}, h_i^j, e_i^{j+1}, u_i^{j+1}\}$ (and $\{d_i^j, a_i^1, h_i^j, e_i^1, u_i^1\}$) as even K_5s in R_i . At this point $\deg_G(a_i^j) = \deg_G(b_i^j) = \deg_G(c_i^j) = \deg_G(c_i^j) = \deg_G(u_i^j) = \deg_G(v_i^j) = \deg_G(v_i^j) = 8$ for any j where $1 \leq j \leq m_i$.

We shall now construct the clause gadgets. For each clause c_r , construct a clause gadget of 7 vertices labelled $S_r = \{s_r^1, t_r^1, s_r^2, t_r^2, w_r^1, w_r^2, w_r^3, w_r^4\}$. Add an edge (if it does not exist already) between each pair of vertices in $\{s_r^1, t_r^1, w_r^1, w_r^2, w_r^3\}$ and $\{s_r^2, t_r^2, w_r^2, w_r^3, w_r^4\}$. Label $\{s_r^1, t_r^1, w_r^1, w_r^2, w_r^3\}$ and $\{s_r^2, t_r^2, w_r^2, w_r^3, w_r^4\}$ as P_i^r and P_i^r , where the variables of the literals in c_r are x_i and x_j .

The connection of variable and clause gadgets follows the same pattern as for EDK₄. For each clause c_r , suppose x_i is the variable of some literal in c_r where c_r contains the j^{th} occurrence of x_i in ϕ . If x_i is the first literal in c_r and occurs positively in c_r then identify a_i^j and s_r^1 , and b_i^j and t_r^1 . Now $\deg_G(a_i^j) = \deg_G(b_i^j) = 9$. Similarly, if x_i is the first literal in c_r and occurs negatively in c_r then identify b_i^j and s_r^1 , and c_i^j and t_r^1 . If x_i is the second literal in c_r and occurs positively in c_r then identify a_i^j and s_r^2 , and b_i^j and t_r^2 . If x_i is the second literal in c_r and occurs negatively in c_r then identify b_i^j and s_r^2 , and b_i^j and t_r^2 . Now $\Delta = 9$.

As before, the reduction can be performed in polynomial time. We now prove correctness in the first direction.

Lemma 13. If a truth assignment \mathfrak{f} for ϕ satisfies at least k clauses then an edge-disjoint K_5 -packing T exists in G where $|T| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 2m_i + k$.

Proof. Suppose \mathfrak{f} is a truth assignment for ϕ that satisfies at least k clauses. We shall construct an edge-disjoint K_5 -packing T where $|T| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 2m_i + k$. For each variable x_i , add to T the set of even K_5 s in R_i if $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is true and otherwise the set of odd K_5 s in R_i . Now $|T| = \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i$. For each clause



Figure 2: The reduction from Max $2SAT_{\leq 3}$ to EDK₅

 c_r satisfied by \mathfrak{f} , it must be that there exists some variable x_i where $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is true and x_i occurs positively in c_r , or there exists some variable x_i where $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is false and x_i occurs negatively in c_r . As before, in either case add P_i^r to T. Now $|T| = \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 2m_i + k$. The proof that T is edge disjoint is analogous to the proof in Lemma 9.

We now prove the second direction. Like before, we say that some edge-disjoint K_5 -packing T in G is *canonical* if for any R_i , T contains either the set of even K_5 s in R_i or the set of odd K_5 s in R_i .

Lemma 14. If T is an edge-disjoint K_5 -packing then there exists a canonical edge-disjoint K_5 -packing T' where $|T'| \ge |T|$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 10. Here we describe the modification of a single variable gadget R_i where T contains neither all even K_5 s nor all odd K_5 s in R_i . It must be that the number of K_5 s in R_i in T is at most $2m_i - 1$.

Suppose x_i occurs in clauses $c_{r_1}, c_{r_2}, \ldots, c_{r_{m_i}}$, corresponding to the sets $P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}$. It must be that either at most one K_5 in $\{P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}\}$ exists in T where the corresponding occurrence of x_i is positive, or at most one K_5 in $\{P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}\}$ exists in T where the corresponding occurrence of x_i is negative. In the former case, remove the K_5 in $\{P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}\}$ where the corresponding occurrence of x_i is positive as well as any even K_5 s in R_i in T, then add the set of odd K_5 s not already in T. The number of K_5 s in R_i is now $2m_i$ so since at most one K_5 was removed, which was not in R_i , it follows that the cardinality of T has not decreased. To see that T is still edge-disjoint, observe that any K_5 in $\{P_i^{r_1}, P_i^{r_2}, \ldots, P_i^{r_{m_i}}\}$ in T intersects any odd K_5 by at most one vertex. The construction and proof in the latter case is symmetric.

Lemma 15. If T is an edge-disjoint K₅-packing where $|T| = \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 2m_i + k$ for some integer $k \ge 1$ then exists a truth assignment f that satisfies at least k clauses.

Proof. Assume by Lemma 14 that T is canonical. It follows that T contains exactly $\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 2m_i K_5$ s in variable gadgets and at least $k K_5$ s in clause gadgets. For each variable x_i , set $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ to be true if T contains all even K_5 s in R_i and false otherwise. Now consider each clause gadget c_r where S_r contains some K_5 in T, which we label P_i^r . Suppose x_i occurs positively in c_r . It follows that P_i^r contains a_i^j, b_i^j for some j where $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Since T is edge disjoint it follows that T contains the even K_5 s in R_i . By the construction of \mathfrak{f} it follows that $\mathfrak{f}(x_i)$ is true and thus that c_r is satisfied. The proof when x_i occurs negatively in c_r is symmetric. It follows thus that at least k clauses are satisfied by \mathfrak{f} .

Lemma 16. If r = 5 and $\Delta = 9$ then EDK_r is APX-hard.

Proof. The reduction described runs in polynomial time, and Lemma 15 shows how to construct a truth assignment \mathfrak{f} that satisfies k clauses given an edge-disjoint K_5 -packing of cardinality $\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i + k$ where $k \geq 1$. By Lemmas 13 and 15, in the reduction a truth assignment \mathfrak{f} for ϕ exists that satisfies at least k clauses if and only if there exists an edge-disjoint K_5 -packing of size at least $\sum_{i=1}^{|X|} 3m_i + k$. This reduction is thus an L-reduction with $\alpha = 9$ and $\beta = 1$.

We now combine Lemmas 12 and 16 with the existing result of Caprara and Rizzi [6] in Theorem 8.

Theorem 8. If $3 \le r \le 5$ and $\Delta > 2r - 2$ then EDK_r is APX-hard.

Proof. Caprara and Rizzi [6] prove the case when r = 3 and $\Delta = 5$. In Lemma 12 we prove the case when r = 4 and $\Delta = 7$. In Lemma 16 we prove the case when r = 5 and $\Delta = 9$.

5. Conclusion and future work

To recap, we considered two problems that involve finding a maximum-cardinality K_r -packing in an undirected graph of fixed maximum degree Δ . In the first problem (VDK_r), the K_r -packing must be vertex disjoint. In the second problem (EDK_r), it must be edge disjoint. It is known that VDK₃ is solvable in linear time if $\Delta = 3$ but APX-hard if $\Delta \geq 4$, and EDK₃ is solvable in linear time if $\Delta = 4$ but APX-hard if $\Delta \geq 5$ [6]. We generalised these results and presented a full complexity classification for both VDK_r and EDK_r.

Specifically, we first showed that both VDK_r and EDK_r are solvable in linear time if $\Delta < 3r/2 - 1$ (Theorem 2 and Corollary 1). We then showed that both VDK_r and EDK_r are solvable in polynomial time if $\Delta < 5r/3 - 1$ (Theorems 3 and 4). We then showed that if $r \leq 5$ then EDK_r is actually solvable in polynomial time in the slightly more general case in which $\Delta \leq 2r - 2$ (Theorem 5). We then showed that VDK_r is APX-hard if $r \geq 3$ and $\Delta \geq \lceil 5r/3 \rceil - 1$ (Theorem 7), or $3 \leq r \leq 5$ and $\Delta > 2r - 2$ (Theorem 8).

Some of our polynomial-time algorithms involved finding a maximum independent set in a corresponding intersection graph. In each case, we showed that this intersection graph was claw-free, from which it follows that a maximum independent set in the intersection graph can be found in polynomial time [21, 23]. As we noted in Section 3, in a more general setting in which the vertices of a claw-free graph have real weights, it is possible to find an independent set of maximum weight in polynomial time [21, 26]. We remarked that our polynomial-time solvability results involving claw-free graphs can therefore be generalised to versions of VDK_r and EDK_r in which vertices or edges have weights and the goal is to find a K_r -packing of maximum total weight. An interesting direction for future work could be to consider other weighted versions of VDK_r and EDK_r. More generally, it might be interesting to explore whether there are other types of packing problem in which a "natural" condition implies that the intersection graph is claw-free.

Another direction for future work involves approximation algorithms. For example, Manić and Wakabayashi [16] showed that the known approximation ratio of $(3/2 + \varepsilon)$ for VDK₃ and EDK₃ with can be improved upon in the restricted settings where $\Delta = 4$ and $\Delta = 5$, respectively. It might be possible to show a similar improvement of the corresponding approximation ratio for VDK_r and EDK_r in the setting of an arbitrary fixed maximum degree.

Another possible direction is parameterised complexity. If r is not a fixed constant then our lineartime algorithms could be seen as FPT algorithms and our other polynomial-time algorithms could be seen as XP algorithms, in both cases relative to parameter r. It might be interesting to explore parameterised hardness with respect to parameter r, for values of r where only XP algorithms are currently known.

6. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (grant numbers EP/R513222/1 and EP/X013618/1). The authors thank the anonymous reviewer for their detailed review and observation regarding a weighted variant of VDK_r .

References

- [1] M. Garey, D. Johnson, Computers and Intractability, Freeman, San Francisco, CA, 1979.
- [2] V. Guruswami, C. Pandu Rangan, M. S. Chang, G. J. Chang, C. K. Wong, The K_r-Packing Problem, Computing 66 (2001) 79–89.
- [3] L. Lovász, M. Plummer, Matching Theory, AMS/Chelsea Publishing 367, Americal Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [4] J. Edmonds, Paths, trees and flowers, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 17 (1965) 449–467.
- [5] R. M. Karp, On the computational complexity of combinatorial problems, Networks 5 (1) (1975) 45–68.
- [6] A. Caprara, R. Rizzi, Packing triangles in bounded degree graphs, Information Processing Letters 84 (4) (2002) 175–180.
- [7] C. Hurkens, A. Schrijver, On the size of systems of sets every t of which have an SDR, with an application to the worst-case ratio of heuristics for packing problems, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 2 (1) (1989) 68–72.
- [8] J. M. M. van Rooij, M. E. van Kooten-Niekerk, H. L. Bodlaender, Partition into triangles on bounded degree graphs, Theory of Computing Systems 52 (4) (2013) 687–718.
- [9] D. G. Kirkpatrick, P. Hell, On the completeness of a generalized matching problem, in: Proceedings of STOC '78: the 10th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1978, pp. 240–245.
- [10] D. G. Kirkpatrick, P. Hell, On the complexity of general graph factor problems, SIAM Journal on Computing 12 (3) (1983) 601–609.
- [11] R. Yuster, Combinatorial and computational aspects of graph packing and graph decomposition, Computer Science Review 1 (1) (2007) 12–26.
- [12] D. G. Kirkpatrick, P. Hell, Packings by cliques and by finite families of graphs, Discrete Mathematics 49 (1) (1984) 45–59.
- [13] F. Chataigner, G. Manić, Y. Wakabayashi, R. Yuster, Approximation algorithms and hardness results for the clique packing problem, Discrete Applied Mathematics 157 (7) (2009) 1396–1406.
- [14] E. Dahlhaus, M. Karpinski, Matching and multidimensional matching in chordal and strongly chordal graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 84 (1-3) (1998) 79–91.
- [15] V. Pedrotti, C. P. de Mello, K_r-packing of P₄-sparse graphs, Tech. Rep. IC-08-025, Institute of Computing, University of Campinas (2008).
 URL https://web.archive.org/web/20220912204041/https://www.ic.unicamp.br/~wtd/2012/Anais/AnaisWitecomputing
- [16] G. Manić, Y. Wakabayashi, Packing triangles in low degree graphs and indifference graphs, Discrete Mathematics 308 (8) (2008) 1455–1471.
- [17] A. Hajnal, E. Szemerédi, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős, Combinatorial Theory and Its Applications 2 (1970) 601–623.
- [18] H. Kierstead, A. Kostochka, A short proof of the Hajnal–Szemerédi theorem on equitable colouring, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 17 (2) (2008) 265–270.
- [19] A. Treglown, The regularity lemma and applications to packings in graphs, MSci thesis, University of Birmingham, UK (2007).

- [20] J. Balogh, A. Kostochka, A. Treglown, On perfect packings in dense graphs, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 20 (1) (2013).
- [21] G. J. Minty, On maximal independent sets of vertices in claw-free graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 28 (3) (1980) 284–304.
- [22] H. N. de Ridder et al. Information system on graph classes and their inclusions (ISGCI) [online] (2022) [cited 2022 Sep 11].
- [23] N. Sbihi, Algorithme de recherche d'un stable de cardinalité maximum dans un graphe sans étoile, Discrete Mathematics 29 (1) (1980) 53–76.
- [24] M. M. Halldórsson, Approximating discrete collections via local improvements, in: Proceedings of SODA '95: the 6th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, 1995, pp. 160–169.
- [25] V. Kann, Maximum bounded 3-dimensional matching is MaxSNP-complete, Information Processing Letters 37 (1) (1991) 27–35.
- [26] D. Nakamura, A. Tamura, A revision of Minty's algorithm for finding a maximum weight stable set of a claw-free graph, Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan 44 (2) (2001) 194–204.
- [27] Y. Faenza, G. Oriolo, G. Stauffer, An algorithmic decomposition of claw-free graphs leading to an $O(n^3)$ -algorithm for the weighted stable set problem, in: Proceedings of SODA '11: the 22nd Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, 2011, pp. 630–646.
- [28] P. Berman, M. Karpinski, On some tighter inapproximability results, in: Proceedings of ICALP '99: the 26th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming, Vol. 1644 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, 1999, pp. 200–209.
- [29] P. Crescenzi, A short guide to approximation preserving reductions, in: Proceedings of CCC '97: The 12th Annual IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity, 1997, pp. 262–273.
- [30] G. Ausiello, P. Crescenzi, G. Gambosi, V. Kann, A. Marchetti-Spaccamela, M. Protasi, Complexity and Approximation, Springer, 1999.
- [31] V. V. Vazirani, Approximation Algorithms, Springer, 2003.