

ON MULTIPLE L_p -CURVILINEAR-BRUNN-MINKOWSKI INEQUALITIES

MICHAEL ROYSDON AND SUDAN XING

ABSTRACT. We construct the extension of the curvilinear summation for bounded Borel measurable sets to the L_p space for multiple power parameter $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ when $p > 0$. Based on this $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for sets and concept of *compression* of sets, the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for bounded Borel measurable sets and its normalized version are established. Furthermore, by utilizing the hypo-graphs for functions, we enact a brand new proof of $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality, as well as its normalized version, for functions containing the special case of L_p Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality through the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets. Moreover, we propose the multiple power $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal-convolution for two functions together with its properties. Last but not least, we introduce the definition of the surface area originated from the variation formula of measure in terms of the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for sets as well as $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal-convolution for functions together with their corresponding Minkowski type inequalities and isoperimetric inequalities for $p \geq 1$, etc.

1. INTRODUCTION

By \mathbb{R}^n we denote the n -dimensional Euclidean space with its usual inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and Euclidean norm $\| \cdot \|$. For a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $V_n(A)$, the volume (Lebesgue measure) of the set A . By $\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A, \end{cases}$ we denote the characteristic function of A . For a subspace $H \in G_{n,k}$ —the k -dimensional Grassmannian manifold on \mathbb{R}^n equipped with the Haar probability measure $\nu_{n,k}$, its orthogonal complement $H^\perp \in G_{n,n-k}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

Denote for $a, b \geq 0$, $\alpha \in [-\infty, \infty]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the α -mean of a, b as

$$M_\alpha^t(a, b) = \begin{cases} [(1-t)a^\alpha + tb^\alpha]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \pm\infty, \\ a^{1-t}b^t, & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \max\{a, b\}, & \text{if } \alpha = +\infty, \\ \min\{a, b\}, & \text{if } \alpha = -\infty, \end{cases}$$

if $ab > 0$, and $M_\alpha^t(a, b) = 0$ if $ab = 0$. For Borel subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, the Minkowski convex combination of A and B is the vector summation

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)A + tB &= \{(1-t)x + ty : x \in A, y \in B\} \\ &= \{(M_1^t(x_1, y_1), \dots, M_1^t(x_n, y_n)) : x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A, y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in B\}. \end{aligned}$$

The famous Brunn-Minkowski inequality in \mathbb{R}^n asserts that for any measurable sets A and B ,

$$V_n((1-t)A + tB) \geq M_{1/n}^t(V_n(A), V_n(B)), \quad (1.1)$$

with equality holds if and only if A and B are homothetic. Moreover, the Minkowski's first inequality associated to the variation for the volume in terms of Minkowski summation for convex bodies

$A, B \in \mathcal{K}_{(o)}^n$ (convex, compact subsets in \mathbb{R}^n with the origin o in their interiors) states that

$$V(A, B) := \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} V_n(A + \varepsilon B) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_B(u) dS(A, u) \geq V_n(A)^{1-\frac{1}{n}} V_n(B)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where $S(A, \cdot)$ stands for the surface area measure for A , and $h_B(u) = \max_{y \in B} \langle u, y \rangle$ for $u \in S^{n-1}$ denotes the support function of B defined on S^{n-1} .

For a comprehensive study of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and its myriad applications, see the books [2, 29, 53] and the survey [24], etc. The recent years have witnessed various directions of generalization for the Brunn-Minkowski theory, particularly, the extension of the Brunn-Minkowski theory to the field of general measures [6, 8, 19, 22, 25, 28, 30, 32–34, 39, 42, 44, 58, 59] and functional space [1, 4, 7, 14, 17, 18, 27, 45–48], etc.

Recall that a non-negative Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n is α -concave for $\alpha \in [-\infty, \infty]$ if for each $t \in (0, 1)$ and all pairs of Borel sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mu((1-t)A + tB) \geq \begin{cases} M_\alpha^t(\mu(A), \mu(B)), & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \pm\infty, \\ \mu(A)^{1-t} \mu(B)^t, & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \max\{\mu(A), \mu(B)\}, & \text{if } \alpha = +\infty, \\ \min\{\mu(A), \mu(B)\}, & \text{if } \alpha = -\infty, \end{cases}$$

whenever $\mu(A)\mu(B) > 0$, and the averages on the right-hand side are denoted as zero otherwise. The case $\alpha = 0$ is known as a log-concave measure, and the case $\alpha = -\infty$ is the so-called quasi-concave measure. The definition of α -concave function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined in a similar way for $\alpha \in [-\infty, \infty]$.

The commonly used bridge connects functional analysis with convex geometry, are the typical sets uniquely determined by the functions, for example, the super-level of functions, Ball's body defined by functions [2], revolution bodies for functions [3, 27, 49], or graphs (Epigraph or Subgraph [50]) of functions, etc. In this paper, we focus on the hypo-graph of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, the area between the curve $y = f(x)$ and the x -axis,

$$\text{hyp}(f) := \{(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ : 0 \leq r \leq f(x)\}.$$

Obviously if f is compactly supported, $\text{hyp}(f)$ is a bounded set in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

For general sets in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , particularly, bounded Borel sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$, Uhrin proposed the definition of the *curvilinear convex combination* of A and B in [59], for $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$,

$$(1-t) \times_\alpha A +_\alpha t \times_\alpha B \tag{1.2}$$

$$:= \left\{ ((1-t)x + ty, M_\alpha^t(a, b)) : (x, a) \in A, (y, b) \in B \right\} \tag{1.3}$$

$$= \left\{ ((1-t)(A \cap \mathbb{R}^n) + t(B \cap \mathbb{R}^n), M_\alpha^t(a, b)) : (x, a) \in A, (y, b) \in B \right\} \tag{1.4}$$

$$= \left\{ (M_1^t(x_1, y_1), \dots, M_1^t(x_n, y_n), M_\alpha^t(a, b)) : (x_1, \dots, x_n, a) \in A, (y_1, \dots, y_n, b) \in B \right\} \tag{1.5}$$

for $\alpha \neq 0$, and where $M_\alpha^t(a, b)$ for the cases $\alpha = 0, \pm\infty$ are interpreted via continuity as $a^{1-t}b^t$, $\max\{a, b\}$, and $\min\{a, b\}$, respectively. When $\alpha = 1$, it recovers the usual Minkowski convex combination in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

To prove the curvilinear extension for Brunn-Minkowski-Lusternik inequality for general bounded Borel measurable sets, Uhrin [57] applied the concept of *compression* for a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ which

is also known as *shaking* originally introduced by Blaschke [5, 15] of positive measure as

$$\tilde{A} = \text{hyp}(V_A),$$

where $V_A(z) = V_1(A \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z))$, $A \cap (z + \mathbb{R}_+) \neq \emptyset$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In another way, the compression process of a set can be viewed as taking the hypo-graph of set segment function defined on \mathbb{R}^n . Based on the definitions of curvilinear summation and compression for sets in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , Uhrin in [59] established, a Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for sets in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . That is, for $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and any bounded Borel subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$, each having finite positive volume, and $t \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that $A = \tilde{A}$ and $B = \tilde{B}$. Then one has

$$V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_\alpha A +_\alpha t \times_\alpha B) \geq \begin{cases} M_{\frac{\alpha}{1+n\alpha}}^t(V_{n+1}(A), V_{n+1}(B)), & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \min \left\{ (1-t)^{\frac{1+n\alpha}{\alpha}} V_{n+1}(A), t^{\frac{1+n\alpha}{\alpha}} V_{n+1}(B) \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha < -\frac{1}{n}. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

It is obvious that this significant inequality recovers the classic Brunn-Minkowski inequality in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} when $\alpha = 1$.

The connection between the *compression* of $n+1$ -dimensional set and the hypo-graph of functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n has the further relationships below. On one hand, if A and B are the hypo-graphs of functions $f : \text{supp} f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \text{supp} g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\text{supp} f, \text{supp} g$ being bounded Borel sets, then by (1.2), for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one can obtain that

$$V_{(1-t)\text{supp}f+t\text{supp}g}(z) = V_1([(1-t) \times_\alpha \text{hyp}(f) +_\alpha t \times_\alpha \text{hyp}(g)] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) \quad (1.7)$$

$$= \sup_{z=(1-t)x+ty} ((1-t)f(x)^\alpha + tg(y)^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \quad (1.8)$$

On the other hand, by choosing $f = \chi_A$ and $g = \chi_B$ for any bounded Borel sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, equation (1.7) takes the form $V_{(1-t)A+tB}(z)^\alpha = (1-t)V_A(z)^\alpha + tV_B(z)^\alpha$ since

$$\begin{aligned} V_1([(1-t) \times_\alpha \text{hyp}(\chi_A) +_\alpha t \times_\alpha \text{hyp}(\chi_B)] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) &= \sup_{z=(1-t)x+ty} ((1-t)\chi_A(x)^\alpha + t\chi_B(y)^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &= \chi_{(1-t)A+tB}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Noting also that $\widetilde{\text{hyp}(f)} = \text{hyp}(f)$ and $\widetilde{\text{hyp}(g)} = \text{hyp}(g)$, integrating (1.7) for $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and applying (1.6), one obtain the Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality [8, 14] for $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}$ and [21, Lemma 3.3] for $\alpha < -1/n$. Let $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a triple of integrable functions (with finite support) satisfying the condition

$$h((1-t)x + ty) \geq M_\alpha^t(f(x), g(y))$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(x)g(y) > 0$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x)dx \geq \begin{cases} M_{\frac{\alpha}{1+n\alpha}}^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)dx \right), & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \min \left\{ (1-t)^{\frac{1+n\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)dx, t^{\frac{1+n\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)dx \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha < -\frac{1}{n}. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

Thus far we observed that the Brunn-Minkowski theory for sets in \mathbb{R}^n is a special case for the curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski theory in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , which further leads to the Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality for functions in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, various other extensions of the classical Brunn-Minkowski theory have been developed in recent years, for instance, in [23, 53], Firey's generalization of the Minkowski combination—the L_p -Minkowski convex combination. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Then, for any convex bodies $K, L \subset \mathcal{K}_{(o)}^n$, and $t \in (0, 1)$, the L_p -Minkowski combination of K and L with respect to coefficients $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\alpha \cdot_p K +_p \beta \cdot_p L \in \mathcal{K}_{(o)}^n$ is the convex body whose support function satisfies

$$h_{(1-t) \cdot_p K +_p t \cdot_p L}(u) = M_p^t(h_K(u), h_L(u)), \quad u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (1.10)$$

Equivalently, it can be reinterpreted by *quasilinearization* method [40] applying the L_p coefficients $(C_{p,\lambda,t}, D_{p,\lambda,t})$, i.e.,

$$h_{(1-t) \cdot_p K +_p t \cdot_p L}(u) = \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1} [C_{p,\lambda,t} h_K(u) + D_{p,\lambda,t} h_L(u)], \quad u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

where

$$C_{p,\lambda,t} := (1-t)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{q}} \text{ and } D_{p,\lambda,t} := t^{\frac{1}{p}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

What's more, in [37] Lutwak, Yang, and Zhang generalized the definition (1.10) to the collection of all Borel measurable subsets of \mathbb{R}^n that coincides with the definition proposed by Firey if the sets involved are convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors for $p \geq 1$. Given non-empty Borel measurable subsets A and B of \mathbb{R}^n , and $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$(1-t) \cdot_p A +_p t \cdot_p B = \{C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y : x \in A, y \in B, 0 < \lambda < 1\}, \quad (1.11)$$

together with the extended L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for non-empty measurable sets, i.e.,

$$V_n((1-t) \cdot_p A +_p t \cdot_p B) \geq M_n^t(V_n(A), V_n(B)).$$

Additionally, the L_p Minkowski's first inequality associated to the variation for the volume in terms of L_p Minkowski summation for $A, B \in \mathcal{K}_{(o)}^n$ when $p \geq 1$ states that

$$V_p(A, B) := \frac{p}{n} \cdot \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} V_n(A +_p \varepsilon \cdot_p B) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \frac{p}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_B(u)^p h_A(u)^{1-p} dS(A, u) \geq V_n(A)^{1-\frac{p}{n}} V_n(B)^{\frac{p}{n}}. \quad (1.12)$$

On the other hand, if $p \in [0, 1)$, in [11] Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang, and Zhang posed the corresponding L_p summation as the associated Aleksandrov body

$$(1-t) \cdot_p A +_p t \cdot_p B = \bigcap_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u \rangle \leq M_p^t(h_A(u), h_B(u))\} \quad (1.13)$$

for any convex bodies $A, B \subset \mathcal{K}_{(o)}^n$, and any $t \in (0, 1)$. The definitions (1.10) and (1.13) agree on the range $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Moreover, the local L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for $p \in [0, 1)$ can be seen in [16, 43, 51], etc. For more advances of the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski theory see also [9–13, 19, 26, 31, 35–38, 41, 46, 52, 54, 55, 61–63], etc.

In view of the L_p summation for sets, we notice that the L_p extension of Brunn-Minkowski theory has a close relationship with the L_p coefficients $(C_{p,\lambda,t}, D_{p,\lambda,t})$. Recall in [49, 50], the L_p mean for numbers (or sets) with L_p coefficients below. Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $\alpha \in [-\infty, \infty]$, and $t, \lambda \in (0, 1)$,

$$M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) := \begin{cases} [C_{p,\lambda,t}a^\alpha + D_{p,\lambda,t}b^\alpha]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \pm\infty, \\ a^{C_{p,\lambda,t}} b^{D_{p,\lambda,t}}, & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \max\{a, b\}, & \text{if } \alpha = +\infty, \\ \min\{a, b\}, & \text{if } \alpha = -\infty, \end{cases}$$

if $ab > 0$ and $M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a,b) = 0$ otherwise. With the L_p mean with L_p coefficients in hand, the authors in [49, 50] further established a functional counterpart of the L_p summation as well as its corresponding L_p version of the Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality (1.9). The L_p Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality for functions states that *if $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and a triple of bounded integrable functions $f, g, h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the condition*

$$h(C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y) \geq M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y)) \quad (1.14)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(x)g(y) > 0$ and all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x)dx \geq \begin{cases} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)dx \right), & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1+n\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)dx, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1+n\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)dx \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha < -\frac{1}{n}, \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

for $0 < \lambda < 1$. Choosing $p = 1$ condition (1.14) and inequality (1.15) return to the classical Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality in [8, 14], each of which has found countless applications in various fields of mathematics [24]. Based on the condition for this inequality, the $L_{p,s}$ -supremal convolution for two functions $f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $s \in [-\infty, \infty]$, $f \oplus_{p,s} g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ in [49, 50] was defined as

$$((1-t) \otimes_{p,s} f \oplus_{p,s} t \otimes_{p,s} g)(z) = \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(\sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x+D_{p,\lambda,t}y} \left[C_{p,\lambda,t}f(x)^{\frac{1}{s}} + D_{p,\lambda,t}g(y)^{\frac{1}{s}} \right]^s \right) \quad (1.16)$$

together with its corresponding Brunn-Minkowski inequality and isoperimetric inequality for various types of measures.

In this paper, we aim to construct the framework of L_p theory for curvilinear summation for sets in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , bases on which we further establish the corresponding L_p Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities for sets. Together with this geometric inequality and the hypo-graph for functions, we present a brand new proof of the L_p Borell-Brascamp-Lieb type inequality for functions, etc. In another way, we introduce the vector power parameter and provide the multiple version of the definition of the L_p curvilinear summation for sets and its corresponding applications including L_p concavity definitions for measures. The variation formula for the measure and corresponding Minkowski type inequalities and isoperimetric inequalities with respect to multiple L_p curvilinear summation for sets and L_p supremal convolutions for functions are also established.

More in detail, we introduce in Section 2 various extensions of multiple L_p -curvilinear combinations for sets containing Uhrin's classical curvilinear summation for sets as special case. Let $A, B \subset (\mathbb{R}_+)^{n+1}$ be bounded Borel sets, $t \in (0, 1)$, and power vector $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$, with $\alpha_i \in [-\infty, \infty]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. For $p \geq 1$, we define the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for A and B as

$$(1-t) \otimes_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A \oplus_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \otimes_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B := \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \left(M_{p,\alpha_1}^{(t,\lambda)}(x_1, y_1), \dots, M_{p,\alpha_{n+1}}^{(t,\lambda)}(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \right) : (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in A, (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in B \right\}, n \geq 0.$$

While for $0 < p < 1$, we define the corresponding $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for A and B with replacing $\bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1}$ by $\bigcap_{0 < \lambda < 1}$ above. We also define the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -quasi-curvilinear summation for A and B when $p \geq 0$ in a similar way with different coefficients (See details in Section 2) for different cases of p . Moreover, the monotone properties with respect to special parameter power for $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation are also introduced.

In Sections 3 we present a detailed proof for the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality by induction on dimension following the ideas of Uhrin [59]. The proof relied on the monotone properties under compression operator for $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for sets with special power parameter.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \geq 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ with $\alpha_i \in (0, 1]$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Suppose that $A, B \subset (\mathbb{R}_+)^{n+1}$ are bounded Borel sets of positive measure such that $A = \tilde{A}$ and $B = \tilde{B}$. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$V_{n+1}((1-t) \otimes_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A \oplus_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \otimes_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B) \geq \begin{cases} M_{p\gamma}^t(V_{n+1}(A), V_{n+1}(B)), & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} \geq -(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}, \\ \sup \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(A), [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(B) \right\} : 0 < \lambda < 1 \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} < -(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma = (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}$.

When $p = 1$ and $\bar{\alpha} = \{1, \dots, 1, \alpha\}$, it recovers the curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets by Uhrin [59]. Moreover, in Subsection 3.2 we further present a normalized version of this $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality in certain circumstance.

In Section 4, based on the relation of hypo-graph of functions in \mathbb{R}^n with sets in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and the L_p -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski type inequality in Section 3, we establish the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality for functions, a multiple version of the L_p Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality. While in [49, 50] the traditional proofs of Borell-Brascamp-Lieb type inequality encompass the revolution bodies for functions, mass transportation and classic Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality, we present a totally different multiple version using geometric inequalities for sets which further connects the Brunn-Minkowski theory in convex geometric analysis and functional analysis thereof. The multiple L_p Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality states in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$, $\alpha_i \in (0, 1]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Suppose that $f, g, h: (\mathbb{R}_+)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are a triple of bounded integrable functions having bounded support that satisfy the condition*

$$h(M_{p,\alpha_1}^{(t,\lambda)}(x_1, y_1), \dots, M_{p,\alpha_n}^{(t,\lambda)}(x_n, y_n)) \geq M_{p,\alpha_{n+1}}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), g(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \quad (1.17)$$

for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^n$ such that $f(x)g(y) > 0$ and for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} h(x) dx \geq \begin{cases} M_{p\gamma}^t \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} f(x) dx, \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} g(x) dx \right), & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} \geq -(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}, \\ \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} f(x) dx, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} g(x) dx \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} < -(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma = (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}$.

Similarly, we establish a normalized version of the multiple $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality in Subsection 4.2. Furthermore, we present in Subsection 4.3 a normalized $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets in terms of measures.

In Section 5, we focus on the variation formula of the multiple summations for sets defined on Sections 2 firstly and establish their corresponding geometric and functional inequalities. More in detail, in Section 5.1, the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ - μ -surface area for in terms of the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for sets is defined below. Let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $p \geq 1$, and $\bar{\alpha} \in [0, \infty]^{n+1}$. We define the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ - μ -surface area of a μ -integrable set A with respect to a μ -integrable set B by

$$S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B) - \mu(A)}{\varepsilon},$$

with the following L_p -Minkowski's first inequality. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1, \alpha) \in [0, \infty]^{n+1}$, and $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable invertible function. Let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and assume that μ is $F(t)$ -concave of any two non-negative bounded μ -measure sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in terms of the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation. Then the following inequality holds:

$$S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) \geq S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, A) + \frac{F(\mu(B)) - F(\mu(A))}{F'(\mu(A))}. \quad (1.18)$$

In particular, when $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$, we obtain the following isoperimetric type inequality:

$$S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) \geq S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, A).$$

In Subsection 5.2, based on the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality for functions above, we introduce the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ supremal-convolution of $f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & ((1-t) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} f +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} g)(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(\sup_{z_i = M_{p,\alpha_i}^{(t,\lambda)}(x_i, y_i), 1 \leq i \leq n} M_{p,\alpha_{n+1}}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), g(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which goes back to the corresponding $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal-convolution when choosing appropriate parameter $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1, \frac{1}{s})$ in (1.16). Moreover, we give the functional counterpart in a similar method for $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ - μ -surface area in terms of functions. That is, let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n , $p \geq 1$, and $\bar{\alpha} \in [0, \infty]^{n+1}$. We define the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ - μ -surface area of a μ -integrable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with respect to a μ -integrable function g by

$$S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(f, g) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \oplus_{p,\bar{\alpha}} (\varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} g) d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\mu}{\varepsilon}.$$

The L_p functional counterparts of L_p Minkowski's first inequality for $S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(f, g)$ and L_p -isoperimetric type inequalities are also established accordingly.

2. L_p -CURVILINEAR MINKOWSKI CONVEX COMBINATIONS

In this Section, we introduce the L_p -curvilinear summation for sets using the L_p coefficients with two parameters to give the L_p -curvilinear summation with vector powers. The case for $p \geq 1$ which is a natural generalization of Uhrin's curvilinear summation for sets and the $0 < p < 1$ which is much more delicate for the Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities are proposed below.

Definition 2.1. Let $A, B \subset (\mathbb{R}_+)^{n+1}$ be bounded Borel sets, $t, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, and power vector $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ with $\alpha_i \in [-\infty, \infty]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$.

(i) For $p \geq 1$, we define the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for A and B as

$$(1-t) \otimes_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A \oplus_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \otimes_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B$$

$$:= \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \left(M_{p,\alpha_1}^{(t,\lambda)}(x_1, y_1), \dots, M_{p,\alpha_{n+1}}^{(t,\lambda)}(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \right) : (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in A, (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in B \right\}, n \geq 0.$$

For $0 < p < 1$, we define the corresponding $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for A and B replacing $\bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1}$ by $\bigcap_{0 < \lambda < 1}$ above.

(ii) For $p \geq 1$, we define the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -quasi-curvilinear summation for A and B as

$$(1-t) \otimes^{p,\bar{\alpha}} A \oplus^{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \otimes^{p,\bar{\alpha}} B \quad (2.1)$$

$$:= \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \left(\min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} x_1, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} y_1 \right\}, \dots, \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1}}} x_{n+1}, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1}}} y_{n+1} \right\} \right) \right\}, n \geq 0,$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in A$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in B$.

For $0 < p < 1$, we define the corresponding $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -quasi-curvilinear summation for A and B replacing $\bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1}$ by $\bigcap_{0 < \lambda < 1}$ above.

In particular, without the coefficient t , we have

$$A \oplus_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B = \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \left(\left((1-\lambda)^{1/q} x_1^{\alpha_1} + \lambda^{1/q} y_1^{\alpha_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}, \dots, \left((1-\lambda)^{1/q} x_{n+1}^{\alpha_{n+1}} + \lambda^{1/q} y_{n+1}^{\alpha_{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1}}} \right) \right\}, n \geq 0,$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in A$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in B$, i.e., the L_p coefficients $(C_{p,\lambda,t}, D_{p,\lambda,t})$ will be replaced accordingly as $((1-\lambda)^{1/q}, \lambda^{1/q})$ in a similar way for the operations $\otimes^{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ and $\oplus^{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ for all $p > 0$. On the other hand, for the power parameter, if $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1, \alpha)$, we call the above definition the $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear Minkowski convex combination of A and B . More in detail,

$$(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B$$

$$:= \begin{cases} \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \left(C_{p,\lambda,t} x + D_{p,\lambda,t} y, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) \right) : (x, a) \in A, (y, b) \in B \right\}, & \text{if } n \geq 0, \\ \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B \right\}, & \text{if } n = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \left(\left((1-t) \cdot_p (A \cap \mathbb{R}^n) +_p t \cdot_p (B \cap \mathbb{R}^n), \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) \right) : (x, a) \in A, (y, b) \in B \right), & \text{if } n \geq 0, \\ \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B \right\}, & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

and the $L_{p,\alpha}$ -quasi curvilinear Minkowski convex combination of A and B for $\alpha \neq 0$ has a similar definition accordingly. Furthermore, if $p = 1$, it recovers the classical $(L_{1,\alpha})$ curvilinear summation and $(L_{1,\alpha})$ quasi-curvilinear summation in (1.4). If $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1, 1)$, it goes back to the L_p Minkowski summation for $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, i.e., $(1-t) \cdot_p A +_p t \cdot_p B$ in (1.11).

Next we verify the monotone properties of the $L_{p,\alpha}$ -quasi curvilinear Minkowski summation in terms of the power α .

Proposition 2.2. *Let $t \in (0, 1)$, and let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ be non-empty bounded Borel measurable sets, with $V_{n+1}(A), V_{n+1}(B) > 0$. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) (Increasing on power) If $A = \tilde{A}$ and $B = \tilde{B}$, the inclusion

$$(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B \supset (1-t) \times_{p,\beta} A +_{p,\beta} t \times_{p,\beta} B$$

holds for $p \geq 1$, whenever $-\infty \leq \beta < \alpha \leq +\infty$;

(2) (Increasing on power) If $A = \tilde{A}$, $B = \tilde{B}$, and in addition, $A, B \subset (\mathbb{R}_+)^{n+1}$, then

$$(1-t) \times^{p,\alpha} A + {}^{p,\alpha} t \times^{p,\alpha} B \supset (1-t) \times^{p,\beta} A + {}^{p,\beta} t \times^{p,\beta} B$$

holds for $p \geq 1$ whenever $-\infty \leq \beta < \alpha \leq +\infty$.

(3) (Decreasing on power) If $A = \tilde{A}$, $B = \tilde{B}$, and in addition, $A, B \subset (\mathbb{R}_+)^{n+1}$, then

$$(1-t) \times^{p,\alpha} A + {}^{p,\alpha} t \times^{p,\alpha} B \subset (1-t) \times^{p,\beta} A + {}^{p,\beta} t \times^{p,\beta} B$$

holds for $0 < p < 1$ whenever $-\infty \leq \beta < \alpha \leq +\infty$.

Proof. (1) Choose any point $(z, r) \in (1-t) \times_{p,\beta} A + {}_{p,\beta} t \times_{p,\beta} B$ with $-\infty \leq \beta < \alpha \leq +\infty$. Then by (2.2), there exist $(x, a) \in A$, $(y, b) \in B$, and some $\lambda, t \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$(z, r) = \left(C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y, M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) \right).$$

It is easy to check that (for $\alpha, \beta \neq 0, \pm\infty$),

$$\begin{aligned} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) &= (C_{p,\lambda,t}a^\alpha + D_{p,\lambda,t}b^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} \\ &= (M_\lambda)^{1/\alpha}((1-\theta_\lambda)a^\alpha + \theta_\lambda b^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &\geq (M_\lambda)^{1/\alpha}((1-\theta_\lambda)a^\beta + \theta_\lambda b^\beta)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\geq (M_\lambda)^{1/\beta}((1-\theta_\lambda)a^\beta + \theta_\lambda b^\beta)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &= M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Hölder's inequality [49] $M_\lambda \leq 1$ when $p \geq 1$ for

$$M_\lambda := C_{p,\lambda,t} + D_{p,\lambda,t} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_\lambda := D_{p,\lambda,t}/M_\lambda.$$

This, together with the fact that $A = \tilde{A}$ and $B = \tilde{B}$, yields the desired result. The extremal cases $\alpha, \beta = 0, \pm\infty$ follow similarly.

(2) As for any $0 < \lambda < 1$, $0 < t < 1$, we have $C_{p,\lambda,t} \leq 1$ and $D_{p,\lambda,t} \leq 1$ for $p \geq 1$. Further since $\beta < \alpha$, one can easily check that $C_{p,\lambda,t}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} > C_{p,\lambda,t}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ and $D_{p,\lambda,t}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} > D_{p,\lambda,t}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$. Therefore the inclusion relation stays true for the ${}^{p,\alpha}$ and $\times^{p,\alpha}$ when $p \geq 1$.

(3) For $0 < p < 1$, $C_{p,\lambda,t} \geq 1$ and $D_{p,\lambda,t} \geq 1$, thus the reverse inclusion relation holds. \square

Remark 2.3. *The inclusion or its inverse form is not applicable for the case of ${}_{p,\alpha}$ and $\times_{p,\alpha}$ when $0 < p < 1$.*

Utilizing the method of mathematical induction on the number of power parameter, naturally we obtain the following corollary about the monotone properties of the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation $({}_{p,\bar{\alpha}}, \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}})$ and $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation $({}^{p,\bar{\alpha}}, \times^{p,\bar{\alpha}})$ below.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $t \in (0, 1)$, and let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ be non-empty bounded Borel measurable sets, with $V_{n+1}(A), V_{n+1}(B) > 0$. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) (Increasing on power) If $A = \tilde{A}$, $B = \tilde{B}$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ and $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n+1})$, the inclusion

$$(1-t) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A + {}_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B \supset (1-t) \times_{p,\bar{\beta}} A + {}_{p,\bar{\beta}} t \times_{p,\bar{\beta}} B$$

holds for $p \geq 1$, whenever $-\infty \leq \beta_i \leq \alpha_i \leq +\infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n+1$;

(2) (Increasing on power) If $A = \tilde{A}$, $B = \tilde{B}$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$, $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n+1})$, and in addition, $A, B \subset (\mathbb{R}_+)^{n+1}$, then

$$(1-t) \times^{p, \bar{\alpha}} A +^{p, \bar{\alpha}} t \times^{p, \bar{\alpha}} B \supset (1-t) \times^{p, \bar{\beta}} A +^{p, \bar{\beta}} t \times^{p, \bar{\beta}} B$$

holds for $p \geq 1$ whenever $-\infty \leq \beta_i \leq \alpha_i \leq +\infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n+1$.

(3) (Decreasing on power) If $A = \tilde{A}$, $B = \tilde{B}$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$, $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n+1})$, and in addition, $A, B \subset (\mathbb{R}_+)^{n+1}$,

$$(1-t) \times^{p, \bar{\alpha}} A +^{p, \bar{\alpha}} t \times^{p, \bar{\alpha}} B \subset (1-t) \times^{p, \bar{\beta}} A +^{p, \bar{\beta}} t \times^{p, \bar{\beta}} B$$

holds for $0 < p < 1$ whenever $-\infty \leq \beta_i \leq \alpha_i \leq +\infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n+1$.

3. L_p -CURVILINEAR-BRUNN-MINKOWSKI TYPE INEQUALITIES

Following the concepts we proposed in Section 2 the $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation $(+_{p, \bar{\alpha}}, \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}})$ and $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation $(+^{p, \bar{\alpha}}, \times^{p, \bar{\alpha}})$, we aim to establish a L_p -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for sets for $p \geq 1$. In Subsection 3.1, we prove the multiple $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality by mathematical induction based on the properties of compression of $L_{p, \alpha}$ -curvilinear summation. We also present a normalized version of $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality in Subsection 3.2.

3.1. Proof of $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets. Firstly, we show the 1-dimensional Brunn-Minkowski type inequality in terms of the $L_{p, \alpha}$ -curvilinear summation for sets.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p \geq 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1]$. Let sets $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be of the form*

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i] \quad L = \bigcup_{j=1}^n [c_j, d_j],$$

where all of the intervals in the unions in K and L have mutually disjoint interiors and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the set of natural numbers). Then

$$V_1((1-t) \times_{p, \alpha} K +_{p, \alpha} t \times_{p, \alpha} L) \geq M_{p, \alpha}^t(V_1(K), V_1(L)). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let us consider the case $\alpha \neq 0, -\infty$. The proof follows by induction on the integer $N = m+n$.

Step 1: Assume that $m = n = 1$ ($N = 2$), in which case $K = [a, b]$ and $L = [c, d]$ for some appropriately chosen $0 \leq a < b$, $0 \leq c < d$. It is easy to check using the definition of $L_{p, \alpha}$ -curvilinear summation for 1-dimension in (2.2) that

$$(1-t) \times_{p, \alpha} K +_{p, \alpha} t \times_{p, \alpha} L = \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} [M_{p, \alpha}^{(t, \lambda)}(a, c), M_{p, \alpha}^{(t, \lambda)}(b, d)].$$

For each fixed $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, letting

$$M_\lambda := C_{p, \lambda, t} + D_{p, \lambda, t} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_\lambda := D_{p, \lambda, t} / M_\lambda,$$

we obtain by Minkowski inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} V_1([M_{p, \alpha}^{(t, \lambda)}(a, c), M_{p, \alpha}^{(t, \lambda)}(b, d)]) &= M_{p, \alpha}^{(t, \lambda)}(b, d) - M_{p, \alpha}^{(t, \lambda)}(a, c) \\ &= [C_{p, \lambda, t} b^\alpha + D_{p, \lambda, t} d^\alpha]^\frac{1}{\alpha} - [C_{p, \lambda, t} a^\alpha + D_{p, \lambda, t} c^\alpha]^\frac{1}{\alpha} \\ &= (M_\lambda)^{1/\alpha} \left\{ [(1 - \theta_\lambda) b^\alpha + \theta_\lambda d^\alpha]^\frac{1}{\alpha} - [(1 - \theta_\lambda) a^\alpha + \theta_\lambda c^\alpha]^\frac{1}{\alpha} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq (M_\lambda)^\alpha [(1 - \theta_\lambda)(b - a)^\alpha + \theta_\lambda(d - c)^\alpha]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &= M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_1(K), V_1(L)). \end{aligned}$$

This further implies that

$$V_1((1 - t) \times_{p,\alpha} K +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} L) \geq \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_1(K), V_1(L)) = M_{p,\alpha}^t(V_1(K), V_1(L)), \quad (3.2)$$

verifying the (3.1) inequality for case $m = n = 1$.

Step 2: Let $N > 2$ be an integer, and assume the inequality (3.1) holds for the case $N - 1$. Let $k, l > 0$, and set

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &:= K \cap [0, k], & K_2 &:= K \cap [k, \infty), \\ L_1 &:= L \cap [0, l], & L_2 &:= L \cap [l, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

With assumptions placed on K and L , the sets K_1, K_2 and L_1, L_2 , are mutually almost disjoint, and we see that $V_1(K) = V_1(K_1 \cup K_2) = V_1(K_1) + V_1(K_2)$ and $V_1(L) = V_1(L_1 \cup L_2) = V_1(L_1) + V_1(L_2)$.

Denote k_1, k_2 , and l_1, l_2 , the number of intervals in K_1, K_2 , and L_1, L_2 , respectively. Without loss of generality, we chose k, l satisfying conditions below:

$$k_1 + l_1 \leq m + n - 1, \quad k_2 + l_2 \leq m + n - 1,$$

and

$$\frac{V_1(K_1)}{V_1(L_1)} = \frac{V_1(K_2)}{V_1(L_2)} = r > 0.$$

We obtain by definition of $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear summation for sets in (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - t) \times_{p,\alpha} K +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} L &= \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(\bigcup_{i,j=1}^2 \{M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(u, v) : (u, v) \in K_i \times L_j\} \right) \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \bigcup_{i=1}^2 \{M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(u, v) : (u, v) \in K_i \times L_i\} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^2 \{(1 - t) \times_{p,\alpha} K_i +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} L_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the final union is an almost disjoint union. Further applying the inductive step, we observe by (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} &V_1((1 - t) \times_{p,\alpha} K +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} L) \\ &\geq V_1\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^2 (1 - t) \times_{p,\alpha} K_i +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} L_i\right) \\ &= V_1((1 - t) \times_{p,\alpha} K_1 +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} L_1) + V_1((1 - t) \times_{p,\alpha} K_2 +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} L_2) \\ &\geq \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \{M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_1(K_1), V_1(L_1)) + M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_1(K_2), V_1(L_2))\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

For each fixed $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we notice using the assumptions placed on k, l , we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
& M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_1(K_1), V_1(L_1)) + M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_1(K_2), V_1(L_2)) \\
&= [C_{p,\lambda,t}V_1(K_1)^\alpha + D_{p,\lambda,t}V_1(L_1)^\alpha]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + [C_{p,\lambda,t}V_1(K_2)^\alpha + D_{p,\lambda,t}V_1(L_2)^\alpha]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
&= (V_1(K_1) + V_1(K_2)) [C_{p,\lambda,t} + D_{p,\lambda,t}r^\alpha]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
&= [C_{p,\lambda,t}(V_1(K_1) + V_1(K_2))^\alpha + D_{p,\lambda,t}(V_1(L_1) + V_1(L_2))^\alpha]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
&= M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_1(K), V_1(L)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4), together with the extreme point of the function $f(\lambda) = [(1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{q}}r + \lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}s]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ with $r, s > 0$, on $(0, 1)$ depending on the choice of $\alpha \leq 1$ [49, 50] that (3.1) holds for $N > 2$. Therefore based on Steps 1 and 2, we obtain the desired result. \square

Recall the definition of compression for a bounded Borel set $\tilde{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\tilde{A} = \{(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ : 0 \leq r \leq V_1(A \cap (x + \mathbb{R}_+)), A \cap (x + \mathbb{R}_+) \neq \emptyset\}. \tag{3.5}$$

It is easy to check that the compression operator is volume invariant, $V_{n+1}(A) = V_{n+1}(\tilde{A})$. In the following, we first further analyze another monotone properties under compression operation $A \mapsto \tilde{A}$ in terms of power parameters, particularly, for the $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear summation for sets, i.e., the case when $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1, \alpha)$, $+_{p,\alpha}$, $+^{p,\alpha}$, etc. Then based on this property, we will present the detailed proof of $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets in $n+1$ -dimensional setting.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $p \geq 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha \in [-\infty, 1]$. Let $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ be bounded Borel sets such that $V_{n+1}(K), V_{n+1}(L) > 0$. Then*

$$V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \geq V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{A} +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{B}).$$

Proof. Based on the definition for compression in (3.5), for any set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$, denote the segment projection on \mathbb{R}^n as

$$C(z) = \{r \geq 0 : (z, r) \in C\} = C \cap (z + \mathbb{R}_+), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Firstly, we verify the statement for sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ of the form

$$A = \bigcup_{m=1}^M A_m, \quad B = \bigcup_{l=1}^L B_l,$$

where the unions are almost disjoint, and A_m, B_l are rectangular boxes with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. More precisely, we can write

$$A_m = (\Pi_{i=1}^n [a_{im}, c_{im}]) \times [a, c], \quad B_l = (\Pi_{i=1}^n [b_{il}, d_{il}]) \times [b, d]$$

for appropriate choices of constants $a_{im} < c_{im}$, $b_{il} < d_{il}$ and $a, b, c, d \geq 0$.

Applying Fubini's theorem, the volume of $n+1$ -dimensional set can be interpreted as the integral with respect to the segment function, i.e.,

$$V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_{[(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B]}(z) dz;$$

$$V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{A} +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{B}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_{[(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{A} +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{B}]}(z) dz.$$

Based on these two identities, it suffices to show the inequality related to the segment functions

$$V_{[(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B]}(z) \geq V_{[(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{A} +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{B}]}(z)$$

holds for almost every $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Observe by definition of $L_{p,\alpha}$ curvilinear summation in (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} [(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B](z) &= \{r \geq 0 : (z, r) \in (1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B\} \\ &= \{M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) : (C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b)) \text{ such that} \\ &\quad z = C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y \text{ with } (x, a) \in A, (y, b) \in B, 0 < \lambda < 1\} \\ &= \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \bigcup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} [C_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} A(x) +_{\alpha} D_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} B(y)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Analogously, its compression version has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} [(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{A} +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{B}](z) &= \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \bigcup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} [C_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} \tilde{A}(x) +_{\alpha} D_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} \tilde{B}(y)] \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \bigcup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} [C_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} \tilde{A}(\bar{x}) +_{\alpha} D_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} \tilde{B}(\bar{y})] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for some $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The fact that $\widetilde{A(\bar{x})} = \tilde{A}(x)$, and $\widetilde{B(\bar{y})} = \tilde{B}(y)$, together with the representations (3.6), (3.7), and Lemma 3.1, yields

$$\begin{aligned} V_{[(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B]}(z) &\geq V_1 \left(\bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} [C_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} A(\bar{x}) +_{\alpha} D_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} B(\bar{y})] \right) \\ &= V_1((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A(\bar{x}) +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B(\bar{y})) \\ &\geq \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(V_1(\widetilde{A(\bar{x})}), V_1(\widetilde{B(\bar{y})}) \right) \\ &= \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)} (V_1(\tilde{A}(\bar{x})), V_1(\tilde{B}(\bar{y}))) \\ &\geq V_1 \left(\bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} [C_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} \tilde{A}(\bar{x}) +_{\alpha} D_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{\alpha} \tilde{B}(\bar{y})] \right) \\ &= V_{[(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{A} +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{B}]}(z). \end{aligned}$$

All in all, we see that

$$V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_{[(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B]}(z) dz$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_{[(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{A} +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{B}]}(z) dz \\
&= V_1((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{A} +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{B}),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Next we will need the following Hölder type inequalities for the generalized averages with L_p coefficients to prove the $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality. Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, and $a, b, c, d \geq 0$. Then

$$M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)}(c, d) \geq \begin{cases} M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)}(ac, bd), & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \geq 0, \\ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} ac, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} bd \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha + \beta, \alpha \cdot \beta < 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\gamma = (\alpha\beta)/(\alpha + \beta)$. Here we give a brief proof of this inequality as follows. Assume that $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$, and $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. The case for $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$ comes from [50]. We now handle the case when $\alpha + \beta, \alpha \cdot \beta < 0$. Assume that

$$[C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} ac \leq [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} bd,$$

and set

$$x = \frac{[D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} b}{[C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} a}, \quad y = \frac{[D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\beta}} d}{[C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\beta}} c}.$$

Then proving (3.8) amounts to establishing the inequality

$$(1 + x^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (1 + y^\beta)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \geq 1$$

for $x \cdot y \geq 1$, $\alpha + \beta, \alpha \cdot \beta < 0$, which origins from [59, Page 296], as desired.

Based on the monotone properties of compression for L_p -curvilinear summations above, next we present the high dimensional $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality, which extends both the classical curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality by Uhrin [57], as well as, the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for non-convex sets proved by Lutwak, Yang, and Zhang [37]. The proof is processed through approximation which is also a typical method used in the proof for the Brunn-Minkowski inequality (see [56]).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p \geq 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Suppose that $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ are bounded Borel sets of positive measure such that $A = \tilde{A}, B = \tilde{B}$. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \\
&\geq \begin{cases} M_{p\gamma}^t(V_{n+1}(A), V_{n+1}(B)), & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(A), [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(B) \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha < -\frac{1}{n}, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{1+n\alpha}$.

Proof. To begin with, we consider the box-type sets A and B with the form

$$A = \bigcup_{m=1}^M (A_m \times [0, a_m]), \quad B = \bigcup_{l=1}^L (B_l \times [0, b_l]),$$

where the $A_m, B_l \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are rectangular boxes with sides parallel to the coordinates axes, and the union are almost disjoint when $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}$. The proof is similar to the 1-dimensional case by induction on $N = M + L$ in two steps below.

Step 1: Assume that $N = 2$ ($M = L = 1$). Then A and B are of the form

$$A = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, c_i] \right) \times [0, a], \quad B = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [b_i, d_i] \right) \times [0, b].$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B \\ &= \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [C_{p,\lambda,t} a_i + D_{p,\lambda,t} b_i, C_{p,\lambda,t} c_i + D_{p,\lambda,t} d_i] \right) \times [0, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b)] \\ &= \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(C_{p,\lambda,t} \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, c_i] + D_{p,\lambda,t} \prod_{i=1}^n [b_i, d_i] \right) \times [0, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b)]. \end{aligned}$$

For each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, by applying Fubini's theorem and the Brunn-Minkowski inequality in \mathbb{R}^n (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{n+1} \left(\left(C_{p,\lambda,t} \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, c_i] + D_{p,\lambda,t} \prod_{i=1}^n [b_i, d_i] \right) \times [0, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b)] \right) \\ &= V_n \left(\left(C_{p,\lambda,t} \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, c_i] + D_{p,\lambda,t} \prod_{i=1}^n [b_i, d_i] \right) \right) \cdot V_1([0, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b)]) \\ &\geq M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(V_n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, c_i] \right), V_n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [b_i, d_i] \right) \right) \cdot M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b), \end{aligned}$$

with $\beta = \frac{1}{n}$.

Therefore, we have

$$V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \geq \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(V_n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, c_i] \right), V_n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [b_i, d_i] \right) \right) \cdot M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a, b) \right\},$$

with $\beta = \frac{1}{n}$. Applying the Hölder type inequality (3.8) with the above choice of $\beta = \frac{1}{n}$ yields the result in this case.

Step 2: Assume that we have established the result in the case $M + L < N$ for some $N > 2$. Let \bar{H} be a coordinate hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n and set $H = \bar{H} \times \mathbb{R}_+$. We cut A and B by translates $H + x$ and $H + y$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by $(H + x)^-, (H + x)^+$ the closed half-spaces of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ determined by x , and similarly define $(H + y)^-$ and $(H + y)^+$. Consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= A \cap (H + x)^-, & A_2 &= A \cap (H + x)^+, \\ B_1 &= B \cap (H + y)^-, & B_2 &= B \cap (H + y)^+. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$A = A_1 \cup A_2, \quad B = B_1 \cup B_2,$$

where the unions are almost disjoint. Denote by m_1, m_2 and l_1, l_2 the numbers of rectangular boxes comprising A_1, A_2 and B_1, B_2 , respectively. We can select \bar{H} , x and y in such a way that

$$m_1 + l_1 \leq M + L - 1, \quad m_2 + l_2 \leq M + L - 1,$$

and

$$\frac{V_{n+1}(A_1)}{V_{n+1}(B_1)} = \frac{V_{n+1}(A_2)}{V_{n+1}(B_2)} = r > 0.$$

Notice

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B \\ &= \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(\bigcup_{i,j=1}^2 \{ (C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a,b)) : ((x,a), (y,b)) \in A_i \times B_j \} \right) \\ &\supset \bigcup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^2 \{ (C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(a,b)) : ((x,a), (y,b)) \in A_i \times B_i \} \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^2 (1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A_i +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B_i \end{aligned}$$

where the last union is almost disjoint.

By taking volumes, and using the inductive hypothesis, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \\ &\geq V_{n+1} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^2 (1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A_i +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A_i +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B_i) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sum_{i=1}^2 M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_{n+1}(A_i), V_{n+1}(B_i)), & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sum_{i=1}^2 \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(A_i), [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(B_i) \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha < -\frac{1}{n}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{1+n\alpha}$.

For each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, the assumptions on $m_i, l_i, i = 1, 2$ yield, for $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_{n+1}(A_i), V_{n+1}(B_i)) &= (V_{n+1}(A_1) + V_{n+1}(A_2)) M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)}(1, r) \\ &= M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_{n+1}(A_1) + V_{n+1}(A_2), V_{n+1}(B_1) + V_{n+1}(B_2)) \\ &= M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_{n+1}(A), V_{n+1}(B)). \end{aligned}$$

With the maximal point for $0 < \lambda < 1$, we obtain the inequality for $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}$ in (3.9).

A similar argument works for the case $\alpha < -\frac{1}{n}$. This completes the proof for sets which are comprised of unions of almost disjoint rectangular boxes in \mathbb{R}^n and an interval in \mathbb{R}_+ . To complete the proof, we use standard density considerations (see, for example, [56, 59]). \square

Combining Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain the following corollary, which shows that, Theorem 3.3 is indeed a sharper form of the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets before compression.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $-\frac{1}{n} \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then, for any pair of bounded Borel sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$, each of positive volume, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) &\geq V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{A} +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \tilde{B}) \\ &\geq M_{p\gamma}^t(V_{n+1}(A), V_{n+1}(B)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{1+n\alpha}$.

Naturally, by repeating the above Theorem with respect to the multiple power parameters for $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality, we obtain the following theorem in terms of the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets with the vector power $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $p \geq 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ with $\alpha_i \in (0, 1]$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Suppose that $A, B \subset (\mathbb{R}_+)^{n+1}$ are bounded Borel sets of positive measure such that $A = \tilde{A}$ and $B = \tilde{B}$. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n+1}((1-t) \otimes_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A \oplus_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \otimes_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B) \\ \geq \begin{cases} M_{p\gamma}^t(V_{n+1}(A), V_{n+1}(B)), & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} \geq -(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}, \\ \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(A), [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(B) \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} < -(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}$.

Remark 3.6. *If $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1)$, the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation recovers the L_p Minkowski summation by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [37] and the above $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality goes back to the L_p Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets.*

3.2. Normalized L_p -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequalities. In the following we will examine the normalized version of the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality in previous Sub-section.

Although all results in this section may be formulated for general bounded Borel sets in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$, due to standard density considerations, it suffices to establish all results for compact F_σ -sets. To this end, we assume that $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ of the form

$$A = \bigcup_{m=1}^M (\bar{A}_m \times A_m), \quad B = \bigcup_{l=1}^M (\bar{B}_l \times B_l), \quad M \leq \infty,$$

where $\bar{A}_m, \bar{B}_m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A_m, B_m \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ are compact sets for all m, l . Moreover, we have the following identities by definitions of $L_{p,\alpha}$ operations

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B &= \bigcup_{m,l=1}^M \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}} (C_{p,\lambda,t} \times_\alpha (\bar{A}_m \times A_m) +_\alpha D_{p,\lambda,t} \times_\alpha (\bar{B}_l \times B_l)); \\ (1-t) \times^{p,\alpha} A +^{p,\alpha} t \times^{p,\alpha} B &= \bigcap_{m,l=1}^M \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}} (C_{p,\lambda,t} \times^\alpha (\bar{A}_m \times A_m) +^\alpha D_{p,\lambda,t} \times^\alpha (\bar{B}_l \times B_l)), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{Q} denotes the set of all rational numbers. It is not difficult to see that each $C_{p,\lambda,t} \times_\alpha (\bar{A}_m \times A_m) +_\alpha D_{p,\lambda,t} \times_\alpha (\bar{B}_l \times B_l)$ and each $C_{p,\lambda,t} \times^\alpha (\bar{A}_m \times A_m) +^\alpha D_{p,\lambda,t} \times^\alpha (\bar{B}_l \times B_l)$ are compact sets, and consequently, $(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B$ and $(1-t) \times^{p,\alpha} A +^{p,\alpha} t \times^{p,\alpha} B$ are bounded F_σ -subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

Next we consider the generalized section function and the corresponding compression. Let $\bar{H} = H \times \mathbb{R}_+$ for $H \subset G_{n,k}$ when $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Fix any bounded Borel measurable subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and the generalized segment function with respect to H on H^\perp , $V_{A,H}: H^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$V_{A,H}(y) = V_{k+1}(A \cap (\bar{H} + y)).$$

When $k = 0$, $H = \{o\}$, and $H^\perp = \mathbb{R}^n$, $V_{A,H}(\cdot)$ recovers $V_A(\cdot)$, the classical segment function. It is easy to see that the function $V_{A,H}$ is integrable and bounded.

Denote the *optimal norm* $\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty$, *normalized super-level set* $C_r(V_{A,H})$ for $V_{A,H}$, and the *normalized compression* A_H , correspondingly as

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_{A,H}\|_\infty &= \sup_{y \in H^\perp} V_{A,H}(y), \\ C_r(V_{A,H}) &= \{y \in H^\perp : V_{A,H}(y) \geq r \|V_{A,H}\|_\infty\}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 1, \\ A_H &= \left\{ (y, r) \in H^\perp \times \mathbb{R}_+ : 0 \leq r \leq \frac{V_{A,H}(y)}{\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty}, A \cap (\bar{H} + y) \neq \emptyset \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

When $k = 0$, we denote the above as $\|V_{A_0}\|$, $C_r(V_{A_0})$ and A_0 , respectively.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Then, for any bounded F_σ -sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ of positive volume,*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A_0 +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B_0) &\geq V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0) \\ &\geq \int_0^1 V_n((1-t) \cdot_p C_r(V_{A_0}) +_p t \cdot_p C_r(V_{B_0})) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. All of the sets $A_0, B_0, C_r(V_{A_0})$, and $C_r(V_{B_0})$ are F_σ -sets, and A_0, B_0 satisfy the compression condition

$$A_0 = \tilde{A}_0, \quad B_0 = \tilde{B}_0.$$

Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $[(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ \cap z) \neq \emptyset$. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &[(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z) \\ &= [(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} \tilde{A}_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} \tilde{B}_0] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z) \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1)} C_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{-\infty} \tilde{A}_0 +_{-\infty} D_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{-\infty} \tilde{B}_0 \right) \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z) \\ &= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1)} \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{-\infty} \tilde{A}_0 +_{-\infty} D_{p,\lambda,t} \times_{-\infty} \tilde{B}_0] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z) \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1)} \left\{ (C_{p,\lambda,t} x + D_{p,\lambda,t} y, \min\{a, b\}) : (x, a) \in \tilde{A}_0, (y, b) \in \tilde{B}_0 \right\} \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1)} \bigcup_{z = C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} \left\{ (z, 0) \times \left[0, \min \left\{ \frac{V_{A_0}(x)}{\|V_{A_0}\|_\infty}, \frac{V_{B_0}(y)}{\|V_{B_0}\|_\infty} \right\} \right] \right\}.$$

By taking volumes, we see that, for each such $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) \\ &= \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left[\sup_{z = C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} \min \left\{ \frac{V_{A_0}(x)}{\|V_{A_0}\|_\infty}, \frac{V_{B_0}(y)}{\|V_{B_0}\|_\infty} \right\} \right], \end{aligned}$$

which implies the inclusion relationship

$$C_r(V_{(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0}) \supset (1-t) \cdot_p C_r(V_{A_0}) +_p t \cdot_p C_r(V_{B_0}). \quad (3.11)$$

Fubini's theorem together with the inclusion (3.11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) dz \\ &= \int_0^1 V_n(C_r(V_{(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0})) dr \\ &\geq \int_0^1 V_n((1-t) \cdot_p C_r(V_{A_0}) +_p t \cdot_p C_r(V_{B_0})) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, utilizing the monotone properties in Proposition 2.2 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A_0 +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B_0) &\geq V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0) \\ &\geq \int_0^1 V_n((1-t) \cdot_p C_r(V_{A_0}) +_p t \cdot_p C_r(V_{B_0})) dr, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. \square

Next we will obtain the following *normalized* $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets when $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1, \alpha)$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, and $t \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ are bounded F_σ -sets having positive volume such that $A = \tilde{A}$ and $B = \tilde{B}$. Then, for any $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \cdot M_{-p\alpha}^t(\|V_A\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_B\|_\infty^{-1}) \\ &\geq \int_0^1 V_n((1-t) \cdot_p C_r(V_A) +_p t \cdot_p C_r(V_B)) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Arguing as in proof of Proposition 3.7 and using the fact that $A = \tilde{A}, B = \tilde{B}$, we see that, for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $[(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z) \neq \emptyset$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & [(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z) \\ &= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1)} \bigcup_{z = C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} \left\{ (z, 0) \times [0, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_A(x), V_B(y))] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further taking volumes, we conclude that for each fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) = \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_A(x), V_B(y)).$$

Applying the inequality (3.8) with $\beta = -\alpha$, we conclude the relation between the segment function for $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear summation for sets and the normalized segment function for $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear summation below, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) \cdot \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(M_{p,-\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(\|V_A\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_B\|_\infty^{-1}) \right) \\ & \geq \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left[\sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_A(x), V_B(y)) \cdot M_{p,-\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(\|V_A\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_B\|_\infty^{-1}) \right] \\ & \geq \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left[\sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} \min \left\{ \frac{V_A(x)}{\|V_A\|_\infty}, \frac{V_B(y)}{\|V_B\|_\infty} \right\} \right] \\ & = V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this inequality for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and using Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \cdot M_{-p\alpha}^t(\|V_A\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_B\|_\infty^{-1}) \\ & = V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \cdot \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(M_{p,-\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(\|V_A\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_B\|_\infty^{-1}) \right) \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) dz \cdot \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(M_{p,-\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(\|V_A\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_B\|_\infty^{-1}) \right) \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left[\sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y} \min \left\{ \frac{V_A(x)}{\|V_A\|_\infty}, \frac{V_B(y)}{\|V_B\|_\infty} \right\} \right] dz \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) dz \\ & = \int_0^1 V_n(C_r(V_{(1-t) \times_{p,-\infty} A_0 +_{p,-\infty} t \times_{p,-\infty} B_0})) dr \\ & \geq \int_0^1 V_n((1-t) \cdot_p C_r(V_A) +_p t \cdot_p C_r(V_B)) dr, \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 3.7, completing the proof. □

Moreover, we extend the normalized above $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality to more general space with power parameters $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1, \alpha)$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ be bounded F_σ -sets of positive volume such that $A = \tilde{A}$ and $B = \tilde{B}$. Let $H \subset G_{n,k}$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, and let $\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty, \|V_{B,H}\|_\infty$ and $C_r(V_{A,H}), C_r(V_{B,H})$ be defined as in (3.10). Then, for any $\alpha, \beta \in (-\infty, \infty)$*

with $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \cdot M_{p\beta}^t(\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_{B,H}\|_\infty^{-1}) \\ & \geq \begin{cases} V_{n-k+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\delta} A_H +_{p,\delta} t \times_{p,\delta} B_H), & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{1}{k}, \\ V_{n-k+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\delta} A_H +_{p,\delta} t \times_{p,\delta} B_H), & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} < -\frac{1}{k}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = (\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} + k)^{-1}$.

Proof. Using similar arguments in Theorem 3.8, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \cdot M_{p\beta}^t(\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_{B,H}\|_\infty^{-1}) \\ & = V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} A +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} B) \cdot \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)}(\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_{B,H}\|_\infty^{-1}) \\ & \geq \int_{H^\perp} \int_H \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x+D_{p,\lambda,t}y} \Phi(x, y) dz, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\Phi(x, y) = M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_A(x), V_B(y)) \cdot M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)}(\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty^{-1}, \|V_{B,H}\|_\infty^{-1}).$$

Applying (3.8) to Φ , we see that

$$\Phi(x, y) \geq M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{V_A(x)}{\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty}, \frac{V_B(y)}{\|V_{B,H}\|_\infty} \right) =: \tilde{\Phi}(x, y)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta}$.

Write $z = (z_1, z_2) \in H \times H^\perp = \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore based on (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{H^\perp} \int_H \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x+D_{p,\lambda,t}y} \tilde{\Phi}(x, y) dz \\ & \geq \int_{H^\perp} \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z_2=C_{p,\lambda,t}v+D_{p,\lambda,t}w} \left(\int_H \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z_1=C_{p,\lambda,t}u+D_{p,\lambda,t}s} \tilde{\Phi}(u+v, s+w) dz_1 \right) dz_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}(u+v, s+w) & = M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{V_A(u+v)}{\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty}, \frac{V_B(s+w)}{\|V_{B,H}\|_\infty} \right) \\ & = M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{V_1(A \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + u + v))}{\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty}, \frac{V_1(B \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + s + w))}{\|V_{B,H}\|_\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For each fixed v, w consider the following normalized compression in (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} C_H(v) & = \left\{ (h, r) : r \in \left[0, \frac{V_1(A \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + h + v))}{\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty} \right], A \cap (\mathbb{R} + h + v) \neq \emptyset \right\}, \\ D_H(w) & = \left\{ (h, r) : r \in \left[0, \frac{V_1(B \cap (\mathbb{R} + h + w))}{\|V_{B,H}\|_\infty} \right], B \cap (\mathbb{R} + h + w) \neq \emptyset \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $C_H(v) = \widetilde{C}_H(v)$ and $D_H(w) = \widetilde{D}_H(w)$, and so, akin to the proof of Theorem 3.8, using Fubini's theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_H \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z_1 = C_{p,\lambda,t}u + D_{p,\lambda,t}s} \widetilde{\Phi}(u + v, s + w) dz_1 \\
&= \int_H \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z_1 = C_{p,\lambda,t}u + D_{p,\lambda,t}s} \left(M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{V_1(A \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + u + v))}{\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty}, \frac{V_1(B \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + s + w))}{\|V_{B,H}\|_\infty} \right) \right) dz_1 \quad (3.13) \\
&= \int_H V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\gamma} C_H(v) +_{p,\gamma} t \times_{p,\gamma} D_H(w)] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z_1)) dz_1 \\
&= V_{k+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\gamma} C_H(v) +_{p,\gamma} t \times_{p,\gamma} D_H(w))
\end{aligned}$$

By applying Theorem 3.9 to the last quantity appearing in (3.13), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{H^\perp} \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z_2 = C_{p,\lambda,t}v + D_{p,\lambda,t}w} \left(\int_H \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z_1 = C_{p,\lambda,t}u + D_{p,\lambda,t}s} \widetilde{\Phi}(u + v, s + w) dz_1 \right) dz_2 \\
&\geq \int_{H^\perp} \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z_2 = C_{p,\lambda,t}v + D_{p,\lambda,t}w} \left[\begin{cases} M_{p,\delta}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_{k+1}(C_H(v)), V_{k+1}(D_H(w))), & \text{if } \gamma \geq -\frac{1}{k}, \\ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} V_{k+1}(C_H(v)), [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} V_{k+1}(D_H(w)) \right\}, & \text{if } \gamma < -\frac{1}{k}, \end{cases} \right] dz_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = (\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} + k)^{-1}$.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{k+1}(C_H(v)) &= V_1(A_H \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + v)), \\
V_{k+1}(D_H(w)) &= V_1(B_H \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + w)).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, noting that $\widetilde{A}_H = A_H$ and $\widetilde{B}_H = B_H$, similar tactics as before yield the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}
& V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\delta} \widetilde{A}_H +_{p,\delta} t \times_{p,\delta} \widetilde{B}_H] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z_2)) \\
&= \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z_2 = C_{p,\lambda,t}v + D_{p,\lambda,t}w} M_{p,\delta}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_1(\widetilde{A}_H \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + v)), V_1(\widetilde{B}_H \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + w))), \\
& V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\delta} \widetilde{A}_H +_{p,\delta} t \times_{p,\delta} \widetilde{B}_H] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z_2)) \\
&= \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \sup_{z_2 = C_{p,\lambda,t}v + D_{p,\lambda,t}w} R(v, w),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(v, w) = \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} V_1(\widetilde{A}_H \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + v)), [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} V_1(\widetilde{B}_H \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + w)) \right\}.$$

Putting everything together, by integrating these inequalities over H^\perp , and using Fubini's theorem appropriately, we conclude the proof. \square

4. GENERALIZED $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -BORELL-BRASCAMP-LIEB INEQUALITY

In [49, 50], the authors proved the L_p Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality using the methods of mass transportation, revolution bodies and the classic Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality, etc. In this section, we extend the L_p Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality for functions to multiple power $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ by proving the $L_{p,\alpha}$ curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for as well as utilizing the hypo-graph of functions in Subsection 4.1. Based on this inequality, we establish a normalized version of $L_{p,\alpha}$

Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality for functions and a normalized $L_{p,\alpha}$ curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets in terms of measure in Subsections 4.2 and 4.3, respectively.

4.1. New proof of multiple L_p -Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality for functions. Recall the L_p ($L_{p,\alpha}$) Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality for functions in [49, 50] below.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha \in [-\infty, +\infty]$. Suppose that $f, g, h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are a triple of integrable functions that satisfy the condition*

$$h(C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y) \geq M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y)) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(x)g(y) > 0$ and for every $t, \lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then the following integral inequality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x)dx \geq \begin{cases} M_{p,\alpha}^{t\gamma} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \right), & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha < -\frac{1}{n}, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{1+n\alpha}$.

Proof. As f and g are integrable functions, we may assume without loss of generality that f, g are bounded functions supported on a bounded sets. For a bounded integrable function $m: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with bounded support, consider its hypo-graph

$$\text{hyp}(m) = \{(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ : r \in [0, f(x)], x \in \text{supp}(m)\},$$

which is a bounded Borel set. It is easy to check that

$$\text{hyp}(m) = \widetilde{\text{hyp}(m)}, \quad V_{n+1}(\text{hyp}(m)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m(x)dx.$$

Observe, for suitable choices of $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(f) +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(g)] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z) \\ &= \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \bigcup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x+D_{p,\lambda,t}y} (z, 0) \times [0, M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y))], \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in \text{supp}(f)$ and $y \in \text{supp}(g)$. In particular, the above implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(f) +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(g)] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) \\ &= \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left[\sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x+D_{p,\lambda,t}y} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the assumption (4.1), this shows that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n+1}(\text{hyp}(h)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(z)dz \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left[\sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x+D_{p,\lambda,t}y} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y)) \right] dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(f) +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(g)] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z))dz \\ &= V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(f) +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we employ the $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets, i.e., Theorem 3.3 to the sets $\text{hyp}(f)$ and $\text{hyp}(g)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x)dx &\geq V_{n+1}((1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(f) +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(g)) \\
&\geq \begin{cases} \sup_{0<\lambda<1} \left\{ M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)}(V_{n+1}(\text{hyp}(f)), V_{n+1}(\text{hyp}(g))) \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \sup_{0<\lambda<1} \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(\text{hyp}(f)), [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} V_{n+1}(\text{hyp}(g)) \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha < -\frac{1}{n}, \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \sup_{0<\lambda<1} \left\{ M_{p,\gamma}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \right) \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \sup_{0<\lambda<1} \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha < -\frac{1}{n}, \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} M_{p\gamma}^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \right), & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \sup_{0<\lambda<1} \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha < -\frac{1}{n}, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{1+n\alpha}$, completing the proof. \square

Repeating the proof of Theorem 4.1, similar to the process in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5, we obtain the following generalization and strengthening of Uhrin's curvilinear Prékopa-Leindler inequality in [57]—the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ with $\alpha_i \in [0, 1]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Suppose that $f, g, h: (\mathbb{R}_+)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are a triple of bounded integrable functions having bounded support that satisfy the condition*

$$h(M_{p,\alpha_1}^{(t,\lambda)}(x_1, y_1), \dots, M_{p,\alpha_n}^{(t,\lambda)}(x_n, y_n)) \geq M_{p,\alpha_{n+1}}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), g(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^n$ with $f(x)g(y) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)g(y_1, \dots, y_n) > 0$, and for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} h(x)dx \\
&\geq \begin{cases} M_{p\gamma}^t \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} f(x)dx, \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} g(x)dx \right), & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} \geq -\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \\ \sup_{0<\lambda<1} \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} f(x)dx, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} g(x)dx \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} < -\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^{-1}\right)^{-1}$.

4.2. Normalized L_p -Borell-Brascamp-Lieb type inequality. The main focus of this subsection is the following normalized version of the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality to more general space in previous Subsection.

Let $H \subset G_{n,k}$ be a linear subspace for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and denote by H^\perp its orthogonal complement in \mathbb{R}^n . For an integrable function $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, Fubini's theorem implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(z)dz = \int_{H^\perp} \left(\int_H h(x+y)dy \right) dx.$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha, \beta \in [-\infty, \infty]$ be such that $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$. Let $H \in G_{n,k}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $f, g, h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a triple of integrable functions, and assume*

that the hypo-graphs $A = \text{hyp}(f)$ and $B = \text{hyp}(g)$ are bounded F_σ -sets in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that f, g, h satisfy the condition

$$h(C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y) \geq M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y)) \quad (4.3)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(x)g(y) > 0$ and all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Consider the norms of marginal functions of f, g with respect to $H \in G_{n,k}$ where $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\|f\|_H = \sup_{z \in H^\perp} \int_H f(x+z)dx =: \sup_{z \in H^\perp} I(f, z), \quad \|g\|_H = \sup_{z \in H^\perp} \int_H g(x+z)dx =: \sup_{z \in H^\perp} I(g, z).$$

Then the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x)dx \right) \cdot M_{p\beta}^t(\|f\|_H^{-1}, \|g\|_H^{-1}) \\ & \geq \begin{cases} \int_{H^\perp} M_{p\delta}^t \left(\frac{I(f,u)}{\|f\|_H}, \frac{I(g,v)}{\|g\|_H} \right) dw & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{1}{k}, \\ \int_{H^\perp} \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{I(f,u)}{\|f\|_H}, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{I(g,v)}{\|g\|_H} \right\} \right\} dw, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} < -\frac{1}{k}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where the suprema are taken over all ways to write appropriately selected $w \in H^\perp$ in the form $w = C_{p,\lambda,t}u + D_{p,\lambda,t}v$, and where $\delta = (\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} + k)^{-1}$.

Proof. The goal of the proof is to invoke Theorem 3.9 at the right moment. As we have seen before in the proof of Theorem 4.1,

$$\text{hyp}(f) = \widetilde{\text{hyp}(f)}, \quad V_{n+1}(\text{hyp}(f)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)dx,$$

and the same with g and h . Additionally, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(f) +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(g)] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) \\ & = \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left[\sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x+D_{p,\lambda,t}y} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Integrating (4.5) over $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and taking into account the condition (4.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(z)dz & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left[\sup_{z=C_{p,\lambda,t}x+D_{p,\lambda,t}y} M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y)) \right] dz \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_1([(1-t) \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(f) +_{p,\alpha} t \times_{p,\alpha} \text{hyp}(g)] \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ + z)) dz. \end{aligned}$$

The definitions in equation (3.10) yield

$$\|V_{\text{hyp}(f),H}\|_\infty = \|f\|_H, \quad \|V_{\text{hyp}(g),H}\| = \|g\|_H,$$

and

$$|(1-t) \times^{p,\delta} \text{hyp}(f)_H +^{p,\delta} t \times^{p,\delta} \text{hyp}(g)_H| = \int_{H^\perp} \Psi(w)dw,$$

where

$$\Psi(w) = \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left[\sup_{w=C_{p,\lambda,t}u+D_{p,\lambda,t}v} \min \left\{ (C_{p,\lambda,t})^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{I(f,u)}{\|V_{A,H}\|_\infty}, (D_{p,\lambda,t})^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{I(g,v)}{\|V_{B,H}\|_\infty} \right\} \right],$$

with $A = \text{hyp}(f)$ and $B = \text{hyp}(g)$. Finally, by applying Theorem 3.9, we conclude the proof. \square

When $k = n$, we obtain the normalized L_p -Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality as follows.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha, \beta \in [-\infty, \infty]$ be such that $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$. Let $f, g, h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a triple of integrable functions, and assume that the hypo-graphs $A = \text{hyp}(f)$ and $B = \text{hyp}(g)$ are bounded F_σ -sets in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that f, g, h satisfy the condition*

$$h(C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y) \geq M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y)) \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(x)g(y) > 0$ and all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) dx \right) \cdot M_{p\beta}^t(\|f\|_\infty^{-1}, \|g\|_\infty^{-1}) \\ & \geq \begin{cases} M_{p\omega}^t \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx}{\|f\|_\infty}, \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx}{\|g\|_\infty} \right) & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{1}{n}, \\ \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx}{\|f\|_H}, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx}{\|g\|_H} \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} < -\frac{1}{n}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where the suprema are taken over all ways to write appropriately selected $w \in H^\perp$ in the form $w = C_{p,\lambda,t}u + D_{p,\lambda,t}v$, and where $\omega = (\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} + n)^{-1}$.

4.3. Normalized L_p -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for measures. In this Subsection, we further consider the form for normalized $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for sets in terms of measure. Before stating our next results, we introduce some preliminary notions. Let μ be a measure on \mathbb{R}^n having a bounded density $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set of positive μ measure, and $H \in G_{n,k}$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n$. Consider the function $\mu_{A,H}(u) = \mu(A \cap (H - u))$, $u \in H^\perp$, and set

$$m_{A,H}^\mu := \sup\{\mu_{A,H}(u) : u \in H^\perp\}.$$

We consider the normalized super-level sets of $\mu_{A,H}$ given for $r \in [0, 1]$

$$C_{A,H}^\mu(r) = \{u \in H^\perp : \mu_{A,H}(u) \geq r \cdot m_{A,H}^\mu\} \subset H^\perp \in G_{n,n-k}.$$

In particular, using Fubini's theorem, we see that

$$\mu(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\phi\chi_A)(z) dz = \int_{H^\perp} \int_H (\phi\chi_A)(u + w) dw du = \int_{H^\perp} \mu_{A,H}(u) du = m_{A,H} \int_0^1 \mu(C_{A,H}^\mu(r)) dr. \quad (4.8)$$

Theorem 4.5. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha, \beta \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, with $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$, and $H \in G_{n,k}$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Let μ be a measure on \mathbb{R}^n given by $d\mu(x) = \phi(x)dx$, where $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is bounded α -concave function whose support contains the origin. For any compact sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, each having positive μ -measure, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu((1-t) \cdot_p A +_p t \cdot_p B) \cdot M_{p\beta}^t(m_{A,H}^{-1}, m_{B,H}^{-1}) \\ & \geq \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \mu((1-t) \cdot_p C_{A,H}(r) +_p t \cdot_p C_{B,H}(r)) dr, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{1}{k}, \\ \int_{H^\perp} \sup \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{\mu_{A,H}(u)}{m_{A,H}}, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{\mu_{B,H}(v)}{m_{B,H}} \right\} \right\} dw, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} < -\frac{1}{k}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where the suprema are taken over all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and all ways to write $w = C_{p,\lambda,t}u + D_{p,\lambda,t}v$ with $u, v \in H^\perp$, and where $\delta = (\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} + k)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be the density of the measure μ . Consider the functions $f = \phi\chi_A$, $g = \phi\chi_B$, and $h = \phi\chi_{(1-t)\cdot_p A +_p t\cdot_p B}$. Then, for $\lambda, t \in (0, 1)$, using Hölder's inequality together with the α -concavity of ϕ and the fact that the support of ϕ contains the origin, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} h(C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y) &= \phi\chi_{(1-t)\cdot_p A +_p t\cdot_p B}(C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y) \\ &= \phi(C_{p,\lambda,t}x + D_{p,\lambda,t}y) \\ &\geq M_{p,\alpha}^{(t,\lambda)}(f(x), g(y)), \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $x \in A, y \in B$, and so the triple f, g, h satisfy the assumptions (4.6) of Theorem 4.3.

Moreover, we see that

$$\|f\|_H = \sup_{u \in H^\perp} \int_H f(x+u)du = \sup_{x \in H^\perp} \int_H (\phi\chi_A)(x+u)du = \sup_{u \in H^\perp} \mu(A \cap (H-u)) = m_{A,H},$$

and also that, for each $u \in H^\perp$, the marginal $I(f, u) = \mu(A \cap (H-u)) = \mu_{A,H}(u)$. Similarly, $\|g\|_H = m_{B,H}$, and for any $v \in H^\perp$, we have $I(g, v) = \mu(B \cap (H-v)) = \mu_{B,H}(v)$.

Suppose that $\beta \in (-\infty, \infty)$ is such that $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$. By applying inequality (4.7) to the triple f, g, h , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu((1-t)\cdot_p A +_p t\cdot_p B) \cdot \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)}(m_{A,H}^{-1}, m_{B,H}^{-1}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(z)dz \cdot \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)}(\|f\|_H^{-1}, \|g\|_H^{-1}) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \int_{H^\perp} \sup \left\{ M_{p,\delta}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{I(f,u)}{\|f\|_H}, \frac{I(g,v)}{\|g\|_H} \right) \right\} dw, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{1}{k}, \\ \int_{H^\perp} \sup \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{I(f,u)}{\|f\|_H}, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{I(g,v)}{\|g\|_H} \right\} \right\} dw, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} < -\frac{1}{k}, \end{cases} \quad (4.10) \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{H^\perp} \sup \left\{ M_{p,\delta}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{\mu_{A,H}(u)}{m_{A,H}}, \frac{\mu_{B,H}(v)}{m_{B,H}} \right) \right\} dw, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{1}{k}, \\ \int_{H^\perp} \sup \left\{ \min \left\{ [C_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{\mu_{A,H}(u)}{m_{A,H}}, [D_{p,\lambda,t}]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{\mu_{B,H}(v)}{m_{B,H}} \right\} \right\} dw, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} < -\frac{1}{k}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the suprema are taken over all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and over all ways to write appropriately selected $w \in H^\perp$ in the form $w = C_{p,\lambda,t}u + D_{p,\lambda,t}v$ where $\delta = (\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} + k)^{-1}$.

For the case when $\frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{1}{k}$, we claim that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{H^\perp} \sup \left\{ M_{p,\delta}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{\mu_{A,H}(u)}{m_{A,H}}, \frac{\mu_{B,H}(v)}{m_{B,H}} \right) : w = C_{p,\lambda,t}u + D_{p,\lambda,t}v, 0 < \lambda < 1 \right\} dw \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \mu((1-t)\cdot_p C_{A,H}(r) +_p t\cdot_p C_{B,H}(r))dr. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if we consider the function $M: H^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$M(w) = \sup \left\{ M_{p,\delta}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{\mu_{A,H}(u)}{m_{A,H}}, \frac{\mu_{B,H}(v)}{m_{B,H}} \right) : w = C_{p,\lambda,t}u + D_{p,\lambda,t}v, 0 < \lambda < 1 \right\},$$

then it is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \{w \in H^\perp : M(w) \geq r\} &\supset (1-t)\cdot_p \left\{ u \in H^\perp : \frac{\mu_{A,H}(u)}{m_{A,H}} \geq r \right\} +_p t\cdot_p \left\{ v \in H^\perp : \frac{\mu_{B,H}(v)}{m_{B,H}} \geq r \right\} \\ &= (1-t)\cdot_p C_{A,H}(r) +_p t\cdot_p C_{B,H}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any β such that $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$ and $\frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{1}{k}$, using the inequality (4.10) together with Fubini's theorem and the above inclusion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu((1-t) \cdot_p A +_p t \cdot_p B) \cdot M_{p\beta}^t(m_{A,H}^{-1}, m_{B,H}^{-1}) \\ &= \mu((1-t) \cdot_p A +_p t \cdot_p B) \cdot \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)}(m_{A,H}^{-1}, m_{B,H}^{-1}) \\ &\geq \int_{H^\perp} M(w) dw \\ &= \int_0^1 \mu(\{w \in H^\perp : M(w) \geq r\}) dr \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \mu((1-t) \cdot_p C_{A,H}(r) +_p t \cdot_p C_{B,H}(r)) dr, \end{aligned}$$

which verifies Theorem 4.5 in the case that $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$ and $\frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{1}{k}$. The case for $\frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta} < -\frac{1}{k}$ can be proved in a similar way as in Theorem 4.3. \square

By choosing $k = n$ in Theorem 4.5, the right-hand side of inequality (4.9) satisfies

$$\int_0^1 \mu((1-t) \cdot_p C_{A,H}(r) +_p t \cdot_p C_{B,H}(r)) dr \geq \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,1}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{\mu(A)}{m_{A,H}}, \frac{\mu(B)}{m_{B,H}} \right).$$

Therefore, using the identity (4.8), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $H \in G_{n,k}$ for some $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in (-\infty, \infty)$ be such that $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha\beta/(\alpha + \beta) \geq -1/k$. Let μ be a measure on \mathbb{R}^n whose density is a bounded α -concave function whose support contains the origin. For any compact sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, each having positive μ -measure, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu((1-t) \cdot_p A +_p t \cdot_p B) \cdot \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,\beta}^{(t,\lambda)}(m_{A,H}^{-1}, m_{B,H}^{-1}) \\ &\geq \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} M_{p,1}^{(t,\lambda)} \left(\frac{\mu(A)}{m_{A,H}}, \frac{\mu(B)}{m_{B,H}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, choosing $p = 1$, we obtain

$$\mu((1-t)A + tB) \geq [(1-t)(m_{A,H})^{-\beta} + t(m_{B,H})^{-\beta}]^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \cdot \left[(1-t) \frac{\mu(A)}{m_{A,H}} + t \frac{\mu(B)}{m_{B,H}} \right].$$

5. $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -MINKOWSKI FIRST TYPE AND ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITIES FOR MEASURES

Recall the definition for L_p mixed volume for convex bodies in (1.12) derived from the variation formula for volume (Lebesgue measure) in terms of the L_p Minkowski summation for convex bodies. In Subsection 5.1, we will define the surface area for measures with respect to the multiple L_p curvilinear summation for Borel sets. Additionally, we will examine the corresponding L_p versions of the Minkowski's first inequality and isoperimetric inequality, etc. Based on the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality, we further propose the definition of multiple supremal-convolution for functions together with the L_p Minkowski's first inequality and isoperimetric inequality with respect to this new convolution definition.

5.1. $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -Minkowski first type and isoperimetric inequalities for sets. Firstly, we pose the concept of F -concavity with respect to the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ curvilinear summation for sets defined in Section 2.

Definition 5.1. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in [0, \infty]^{n+1}$, and $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an invertible differentiable function. We say that a measure μ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is $F(t)$ -concave with respect to the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation of bounded Borel sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and any $t \in [0, 1]$, if

$$\mu((1-t) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B) \geq F^{-1}((1-t)F(\mu(A)) + tF(\mu(B))) \quad (5.1)$$

Particularly, if $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1, \alpha)$ in $n+1$ -dimensional space, we call the measure μ above is $F(t)$ -concave with respect to the $L_{p,\alpha}$ -curvilinear summation of bounded Borel sets A, B . Furthermore, when $\alpha = 1$, it recovers the definition for [60] $F(t)$ -concave of L_p Minkowski summation for sets in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Inspired by the works in [18, 27, 49], we introduce the surface area extension of $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for measures below.

Definition 5.2. Let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $p \geq 1$, and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in [0, \infty]^{n+1}$. We define the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ - μ -surface area of a μ -integrable set A with respect to a μ -integrable set B by

$$S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B) - \mu(A)}{\varepsilon}.$$

If μ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , we will simply denote it as $S_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$.

Next we will establish the L_p -Minkowski's first inequality in terms of the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ - μ -surface area for $p \geq 1$ below.

Theorem 5.3. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in [0, \infty]^{n+1}$ and $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable invertible function. Let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , and assume that μ is $F(t)$ -concave of $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for any two μ -measurable sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) \geq S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, A) + \frac{F(\mu(B)) - F(\mu(A))}{F'(\mu(A))}. \quad (5.2)$$

When $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$, the following isoperimetric type inequality holds:

$$S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) \geq S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, A).$$

Proof. Consider first that $\alpha_i \neq 0, +\infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. It follows from (5.8) and the assumption for measure μ being $F(t)$ -concave of $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for any two μ -measurable sets, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A \oplus_{p,\bar{\alpha}} (\varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B)) &= \mu\left(\left[(1-\varepsilon) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A\right)\right] \oplus_{p,\bar{\alpha}} (\varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B)\right) \\ &\geq F^{-1}\left\{(1-\varepsilon)F\left(\mu\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A\right)\right) + \varepsilon F(\mu(B))\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we denote the following function with parameter ε as

$$Q_{F,\mu,s,p}(\varepsilon) := F^{-1}\left[(1-\varepsilon)F\left(\mu\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A\right)\right) + \varepsilon F(\mu(B))\right]. \quad (5.3)$$

It is easy to check that the equality in (5.3) holds true when $\varepsilon = 0$, i.e., $Q_{F,\mu,s,p}(0) = \mu(A)$. Furthermore, we obtain the relation between the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ - μ -surface area and the function $Q_{F,\mu,s,p}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B) - \mu(A)}{\varepsilon} \\ &\geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{Q_{F,\mu,s,p}(\varepsilon) - Q_{F,\mu,s,p}(0)}{\varepsilon} = Q'_{F,\mu,s,p}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left[\mu \left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A \right) \right] \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu([(1+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2+\dots) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A]) - \mu(A)}{\varepsilon} = S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, A).$$

Therefore, it follows from (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) &\geq G'_{F,\mu,s,p}(0) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, A) \cdot F' \left(\mu \left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A \right) \right) |_{\varepsilon=0}}{F'(\mu(A))} \\ &\quad + \frac{-F(\mu([(1-\varepsilon) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A])) |_{\varepsilon=0} + F(\mu(B))}{F'(\mu(A))} \\ &= S_{\mu,p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, A) + \frac{F(\mu(B)) - F(\mu(A))}{F'(\mu(A))}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

The proofs when $\alpha_i = 0, \infty$ follow in similar procedures and thus omitted. \square

In particular, we obtain a natural corollary of Theorem 5.3 for Lebesgue measure $\mu = V_{n+1}(\cdot)$, then the L_p surface area with respect to the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation has the following form,

$$S_{p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{V_{n+1}(A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B) - V_{n+1}(A)}{\varepsilon}.$$

Moreover, we have its corresponding Minkowski's type inequality and isoperimetric inequality accordingly by Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in (0, 1]^{n+1}$. Then, for any bounded measurable sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, one has*

$$S_{p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) \geq S_{p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, A) + \frac{V_{n+1}(B)^{p\gamma} - V_{n+1}(A)^{p\gamma}}{p\gamma V_{n+1}(A)^{p\gamma-1}},$$

where $\gamma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^{-1} \right)^{-1}$. In particular, when $V_{n+1}(A) = V_{n+1}(B) > 0$, we obtain the following isoperimetric type inequality:

$$S_{p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, B) \geq S_{p,\bar{\alpha}}(A, A).$$

Following the ideas in [49, 50], except for the case that the measure μ can be $F(t)$ -concave of $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for any two μ -measurable sets, we propose the composite of the function F and measure $\mu - F \circ \mu$ in terms of the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation: given a measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n which is $F(t)$ -concave in terms of Bounded $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the L_p - μ -surface area of the set A with respect to the set $B - \mathbb{V}_{p,F}^{\bar{\alpha},\mu}(A, B)$ as

$$\mathbb{V}_{p,F}^{\bar{\alpha},\mu}(A, B) := F'(1) \cdot \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B) - \mu(A)}{\varepsilon}, \quad (5.4)$$

and denote by

$$\mathbb{M}_{p,F}^{\bar{\alpha},\mu}(A) := \frac{1}{F'(1)} \cdot \mu(A) - \left. \frac{d^-}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=1} \mu(\varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A). \quad (5.5)$$

We further need the following concavity property of $F \circ \mu$ with respect of the L_p -Minkowski convex combination.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $p \geq 1$, $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly increasing differentiable function and μ be a measure on \mathbb{R}^n that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Suppose that $F \circ \mu$ is concave with bounded Borel sets in terms of $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation. Then, for any bounded Borel sets A, B , the maps*

$$\varepsilon \mapsto F(\mu(\varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A)), \quad \varepsilon \mapsto F(\mu(A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \varepsilon \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B))$$

are concave on $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$. Therefore, by definition of L_p -curvilinear summation,

$$A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} ((1-t)\varepsilon_1 + t\varepsilon_2) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B = (1-t) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} [A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \varepsilon_1 \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B] + t \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} [A + \varepsilon_2 \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B].$$

It follows from the fact $F \circ \mu$ is concave with respect to bounded sets in terms of $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\mu(A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} ((1-t)\varepsilon_1 + t\varepsilon_2) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B)) \\ &= F(\mu((1-t) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} [A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \varepsilon_1 \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B] + t \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} [A + \varepsilon_2 \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B])) \\ &\geq (1-t)F(\mu(A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \varepsilon_1 \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B)) + tF(\mu(A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} \varepsilon_2 \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B)), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. The proof of the second inequality assertion follows in similar lines. \square

Together with the definition of L_p - μ -surface area (5.4), (5.5) and Lemma 5.5, we are now prepared to establish the following isoperimetric type inequality.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $p \geq 1$, $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly increasing differentiable function and μ be a measure on \mathbb{R}^n that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Suppose that μ is $F(t)$ -concave with respect bounded Borel sets. Then for any bounded Borel sets A, B , one has*

$$\mathbb{V}_{p,F}^{\bar{\alpha},\mu}(A, B) + F'(1)\mathbb{M}_{p,F}^{\bar{\alpha},\mu}(A) \geq \frac{F'(1)[F(\mu(B)) - F(\mu(A))]}{F'(\mu(A))} + \mu(A),$$

with equality only if and only if $A = B$.

Proof. Denote the function $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as

$$f(t) = F(\mu((1-t) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B)) - [(1-t)F(\mu(A)) + tF(\mu(B))].$$

As $F \circ \mu$ is concave with respect the $L_{p,\bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for bounded Borel sets, the functions f is concave and such that $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, and the right-derivative of f at $t = 0$ exists satisfying

$$\left. \frac{d^+}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(t) \geq 0,$$

with equality if and only if $f(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

We only need to compute the right derivative at 0 of $\mu((1-t) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A +_{p,\bar{\alpha}} t \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B)$ since

$$\left. \frac{d^+}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(t) = F'(\mu(A)) \cdot \left. \frac{d^+}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mu((1-t) \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} A + t \times_{p,\bar{\alpha}} B) + F(\mu(A)) - F(\mu(B)).$$

Setting $g(r, s) = \mu(r \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} (A +_{p, \bar{\alpha}} s \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} B))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^+}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \mu((1-t) \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} A + t \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} B) &= \frac{d^+}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g\left(1-t, \frac{t}{1-t}\right) \\ &= -\frac{d^-}{dt} \Big|_{t=1} \mu(t \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} A) + \frac{d^+}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \mu(A +_{p, \bar{\alpha}} t \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} B) \\ &= \mathbb{M}_{p, F}^{\bar{\alpha}, \mu}(A) - \frac{1}{F'(1)} \mu(A) + \frac{1}{F'(1)} \mathbb{V}_{p, F}^{\bar{\alpha}, \mu}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\frac{d^+}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(t) = F'(\mu(A)) \left[\mathbb{M}_{p, F}^{\bar{\alpha}, \mu}(A) - \frac{1}{F'(1)} \mu(A) + \frac{1}{F'(1)} V_p^\mu(A, B) \right] + F(\mu(A)) - F(\mu(B)).$$

It follows from the fact $\frac{d^+}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(t) \geq 0$ and the equality case of $\frac{d^+}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(t) = 0$ the desired inequality holds true. \square

5.2. $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ supremal-convolution and corresponding inequalities for functions. Based on the condition for $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality (4.2), similar to the definition of $L_{p, s}$ supremal-convolution (1.16) originated from the classic Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality, we define a generalized version of supremal-convolution for multiple power parameters in L_p case below.

Definition 5.7. Let $p \geq 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ with $\alpha_i \in [-\infty, \infty]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. Suppose that $f, g: (\mathbb{R}_+)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are a triple of bounded integrable functions having bounded support. We define the $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ supremal-convolution of f and g as

$$\begin{aligned} &((1-t) \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} f +_{p, \bar{\alpha}} t \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} g)(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(\sup_{z_i = M_{p, \alpha_i}^{(t, \lambda)}(x_i, y_i), 1 \leq i \leq n} M_{p, \alpha_{n+1}}^{(t, \lambda)}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), g(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha_{n+1} = \frac{1}{s}$, it recovers the definition of $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal-convolution in [49].

By the definitions of $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal-convolution, we conclude that with the same conditions for $\bar{\alpha}$, γ , f and g in Theorem 4.2, one has the following Brunn-Minkowski type inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((1-t) \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} f \oplus_{p, \bar{\alpha}} t \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} g)(x) dx \\ &\geq \begin{cases} M_{p\gamma}^t \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} f(x) dx, \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} g(x) dx \right), & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} \geq - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1} \right)^{-1}, \\ \sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \min \left\{ [C_{p, \lambda, t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} f(x) dx, [D_{p, \lambda, t}]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} g(x) dx \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha_{n+1} < - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1} \right)^{-1}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Next, similar to the definition of surface area defined in above subsection in terms of $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -curvilinear summation for sets with respect to measures, we will give the surface area for functions with respect to the $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal convolution for functions in this section accordingly, which is also a natural multiple generalization in [49].

We also have a F -concavity in terms of the integral of $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal convolution for measures similar to Definition 5.1.

Definition 5.8. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in [0, \infty]^{n+1}$, and $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an invertible differentiable function. We say that a measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n is $F(t)$ -concave with respect to the $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal convolution of functions belonging to some class \mathcal{A} of bounded non-negative μ -integrable functions if, for any members f, g belonging to \mathcal{A} and any $t \in [0, 1]$, one has that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [((1-t) \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} f) \oplus_{p, \bar{\alpha}} (t \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} g)] d\mu \geq F^{-1} \left((1-t)F \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\mu \right) + tF \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g d\mu \right) \right).$$

Further we pose the following definition of the surface area extension for $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal convolution with measures.

Definition 5.9. Let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n , $p \geq 1$, and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in [0, \infty]^{n+1}$. We define the L_p - μ -surface area of a μ -integrable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with respect to a μ -integrable function g by

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mu, p, \bar{\alpha}}(f, g) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \oplus_{p, \bar{\alpha}} (\varepsilon \times_{p, \bar{\alpha}} g) d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\mu}{\varepsilon}.$$

If μ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n , we will simply denote it as $\mathbb{S}_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$.

The L_p -Minkowski's first inequality below for $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ supremal-convolution, which is generalization of L_p -Minkowski's first inequality in terms of $L_{p, s}$ supremal-convolution for functions in [49].

Theorem 5.10. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in [0, \infty]^{n+1}$, and $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable invertible function. Let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and assume that μ is $F(t)$ -concave with respect to some class, \mathcal{A} , of non-negative bounded μ -integrable functions and the $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal convolution. Then the following inequality holds for any members f, g of the class \mathcal{A} :

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mu, p, \bar{\alpha}}(f, g) \geq \mathbb{S}_{\mu, p, \bar{\alpha}}(f, f) + \frac{F \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g d\mu \right) - F \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\mu \right)}{F' \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\mu \right)}.$$

In particular, when $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g d\mu$, we obtain the following isoperimetric type inequality:

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mu, p, \bar{\alpha}}(f, g) \geq \mathbb{S}_{\mu, p, \bar{\alpha}}(f, f).$$

In particular, we obtain a natural corollary of Theorem 5.3 for Lebesgue measure μ .

Corollary 5.11. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in (0, 1]^{n+1}$. Then, for any bounded integrable functions $f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, one has

$$\mathbb{S}_{p, \bar{\alpha}}(f, g) \geq \mathbb{S}_{p, \bar{\alpha}}(f, f) + \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx \right)^{p\gamma} - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx \right)^{p\gamma}}{p\gamma \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx \right)^{p\gamma-1}},$$

where $\gamma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^{-1} \right)^{-1}$. In particular, when $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx > 0$, we obtain the following isoperimetric type inequality:

$$\mathbb{S}_{p, \bar{\alpha}}(f, g) \geq \mathbb{S}_{p, \bar{\alpha}}(f, f).$$

Remark 5.12. The definition of $F \circ \mu$ concavity with respect to the $L_{p, \bar{\alpha}}$ -supremal-convolution can also be defined accordingly with similar variation formulas exist for functions in (5.4) and has similar inequalities in Theorem 5.6, and thus omitted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was partially supported by the Zuckerman STEM Leadership Program.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Artstein-Avidan, D.I. Florentin, and A. Segal, *Functional Brunn-Minkowski inequalities induced by polarity*, Adv. Math., 364 (2020), 107006.
- [2] S. Artstein-Avidan, A. Giannopoulos and V.D. Milman, *Asymptotic geometric analysis, Part I*, Amer. Math. Soc., 2015.
- [3] S. Artstein-Avidan, B. Klartag, and V.D. Milman, *The Santaló point of a function, and a functional form of the Santaló inequality*, Mathematika, 51 (2004), 33-48.
- [4] K. Ball, *Logarithmically concave functions and sections of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n* , Studia Math., 88 (1988), 69-84.
- [5] W. Blaschke. *Über affine Geometrie XI: Lösung des "Vierpunktproblems" von Sylvester aus der Theorie der geometrischen Wahrscheinlichkeiten*, Leipziger Berichte, 69 (1917), 436-453.
- [6] S. Bobkov, *Convex bodies and norms associated to convex measures*, Prob. Th. and Rel., 147 (2010), 303-332.
- [7] S. Bobkov, A. Colesanti, and I. Fragaá, *Quermassintegrals of quasi-concave functions and generalized Prékopa-Leindler inequalities*, Manuscript. Math., 3 (2014), 131-169.
- [8] C. Borell, *Convex set functions in d -space*, Per. Math. Hung., 6 (1975), 111-136.
- [9] K.J. Böröczky, P. Hegedűs, and G. Zhu, *On the discrete logarithmic Minkowski problem*, Int. Math. Res. Not., 2016 (2015), 1807-1838.
- [10] K.J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality*, Adv. Math., 231 (2012), 1974-1997.
- [11] K.J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *The logarithmic Minkowski Problem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 26 (2013), 831-852.
- [12] K.J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *Affine images of isotropic measures*, J. Differential Geom., 99 (2015), 407-442.
- [13] K.J. Böröczky and H.T. Trinh, *The planar L_p -Minkowski problem for $0 < p < 1$* , Adv. in App. Math., 87 (2017), 58-81.
- [14] H.J. Brascamp and E.H. Lieb, *On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log-concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation*, J. Funct. Anal., 22 (1976), 366-389.
- [15] S. Campi, A. Colesanti, and P. Gronchi, *Shaking compact sets*, Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie, 42 (2001), 123-136.
- [16] S. Chen, Y. Huang, Q. Li and J. Liu, *L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for $p \in (1 - \frac{c}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}, 1)$* , Adv. Math., 368 (2020), 107166.
- [17] A. Colesanti, *Log-concave functions*, Convexity and Concentration. Springer, New York, NY, 2017, 487-524.
- [18] A. Colesanti and I. Fragalà, *The first variation of the total mass of log-concave functions and related inequalities*, Adv. Math., 244 (2013), 708-749.
- [19] A. Colesanti, G. Livshyts and A. Marsiglietti, *On the stability of Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal., 273 (2017), 1120-1139.
- [20] D. Cordero-Erausquin, M. Fradelizi, and B. Maurey, *The (B)-conjecture for the Gaussian measure of dilates of symmetric convex sets and related problems*, J. Funct. Anal., 214 (2004), 410-427.
- [21] S. Dancs and B. Uhrin, *On a class of integral inequalities and their measure-theoretic consequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 74 (1980), 388-400.
- [22] A. Eskenazis and G. Moschidis *The dimensional Brunn-Minkowski inequality in Gauss space*, J. Funct. Anal., 280 (2021), 108914.
- [23] W.J. Firey, *p -Means of convex bodies*, Math. Scand., 10 (1962), 17-24.
- [24] R. Gardner, *The Brunn-Minkowski inequality*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 39 (2002), 355-405.
- [25] R. Gardner and A. Zvavitch, *Gaussian Brunn-Minkowski-type inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 360 (2010), 5333-5353.
- [26] A.V. Kolesnikov, G.V. Livshyts, *On the Local version of the Log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture and some new related geometric inequalities*, Int. Math. Res. Not., in press.
- [27] B. Klartag, *Marginals of Geometric Inequalities*, Geom. Funct. Anal., (2007), 133-166.
- [28] B. Klartag and V.D. Milman, *Geometry of log-concave functions and measures*, Geom. Dedicata, 112 (2005), 169-182.

- [29] A. Koldobsky, *Fourier Analysis in Convex Geometry*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, AMS, Providence RI, 2005.
- [30] A. Kolesnikov and G. Livshyts, *On the Gardner-Zvavitch conjecture: symmetry in the inequalities of Brunn-Minkowski type*, Adv. Math., 384 (2021), 107689.
- [31] A. Kolesnikov and E. Milman, *Local L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for $p < 1$* , Memo. of AMS, in press.
- [32] L. Leindler, *On a certain converse of Hölder's inequality. II*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 33 (1972), 217-223.
- [33] G. Livshyts, *An extension of Minkowski theorem and its applications to questions about projections for measures*, Adv. Math., 356 (2019), 106-803.
- [34] G. Livshyts, A. Marsiglietti, P. Nayar, and A. Zvavitch, *On the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for general measures with applications to new isoperimetric-type inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 369 (2017), 8725-8742.
- [35] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom., 38 (1993), 131-150.
- [36] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey Theory II*, Adv. Math., 118 (1996), 244-294.
- [37] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey inequality for non-convex sets*, Adv. in Appl. Math., 48 (2019), 407-413.
- [38] A. Marsiglietti, *A note on an L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for convex measures in the unconditional case*, Pacific J. Math., 277 (2015), 187-200.
- [39] A. Marsiglietti, *On the improvement of concavity of convex measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 144 (2016), 775-786.
- [40] D.S. Mitrinovic, J. Pecaric, and A.M. Fink, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, Springer Science & Business Media, 61 (2013).
- [41] P. Nayar and T. Tkocz, *A Note on a Brunn-Minkowski Inequality for the Gaussian Measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 141 (2013), 4027-4030.
- [42] A. Prékopa, *logarithmic concave measures with applications to stochastic programming*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 32 (1971), 301-316.
- [43] E. Putterman, *Equivalence of the local and global versions of the L^p -Brunn-Minkowski inequality*, J. Funct. Anal., 280 (2021), 108956.
- [44] M. Ritoré and J. Yepes Nicolás, *Brunn-Minkowski inequalities in product metric measure spaces*, Adv. Math., 325 (2018), 824-863.
- [45] A. Rossi and P. Salani, *Stability for a strengthened Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality*, Appl. Anal., 98 (2019), 1773-1784.
- [46] L. Rotem, *A letter: The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for complex bodies*, <http://www.tau.ac.il/~liranro1/papers/complexletter.pdf>.
- [47] L. Rotem, *Support functions and mean width for α -concave functions*, Adv. Math., 243 (2013), 168-186.
- [48] L. Rotem, *Surface area measures of log-concave functions*, J. Anal. Math., in press.
- [49] M. Roysdon and S. Xing, *On L_p -Brunn-Minkowski type and L_p -isoperimetric type inequalities for general measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 374 (2021), 5003-5036.
- [50] M. Roysdon and S. Xing, *On the framework of summation for functions*, arXiv:2108.06929.
- [51] C. Saraglou, *Remarks on the conjectured log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality*, Geom. Dedicata, 177 (2015), 353-365.
- [52] C. Saraglou, *More on logarithmic sums of convex bodies*, Mathematika, 62 (2016), 818-841.
- [53] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Second expanded ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [54] A. Stancu, *The logarithmic Minkowski inequality for non-symmetric convex bodies*, Adv. Math., 73 (2016), 43-58.
- [55] A. Stancu and S. Vikram, *A flow approach to the fractional Minkowski problem*, Geom. Dedicata, 191 (2017), 137-151.
- [56] E.M. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Real analysis: measure theory, integration, and Hilbert spaces*, Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [57] B. Uhrin, *Some remarks about the convolution of unimodal functions*, Ann. Prob., 12 (1984), 640-645.
- [58] B. Uhrin, *Extensions and sharpenings of Brunn-Minkowski and Bonnesen inequalities*. In Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, 48 (1985), 551-571.
- [59] B. Uhrin, *Curvilinear extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski-Lusternik inequality*, Adv. Math., 109 (1994), 288-312.

- [60] D. Wu, *A generalization of L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities and L_p -Minkowski problems for measures*, Adv. in Appl. Math., 89 (2017), 156-183.
- [61] D. Xi and G. Leng, *Dar's conjecture and the log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality*, J. Differential Geom., 103 (2016), 145-189.
- [62] D. Yang and G. Zhang, *The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality in \mathbb{R}^3* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 147 (2019), 4465-4475.
- [63] G. Zhu, *The L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes for $0 < p < 1$* , J. Funct. Anal., 269 (2015), 1070-1094.

(Michael Roysdon) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, ISRAEL
Email address: michaelroy@tauex.tau.ac.il

(Sudan Xing) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, CANADA
Email address: sxing@ualberta.ca